Unemployment Benefits Crowd Out Nascent Entrepreneurial Activity

Philipp Koellinger and Maria Minniti

ERIM REPORT SERIES RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT						
ERIM Report Series reference number	ERS-2008-012-ORG					
Publication	March 2008					
Number of pages	9					
Persistent paper URL	http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11808					
Email address corresponding author	koellinger@few.eur.nl					
Address	Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM)					
	RSM Erasmus University / Erasmus School of Economics					
	Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam					
	P.O.Box 1738					
	3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands					
	Phone: + 31 10 408 1182					
	Fax: + 31 10 408 9640					
	Email: info@erim.eur.nl					
	Internet: www.erim.eur.nl					

Bibliographic data and classifications of all the ERIM reports are also available on the ERIM website: www.erim.eur.nl

ERASMUS RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT

REPORT SERIES RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT

ABSTRACT AND KEY	WORDS							
Abstract	Analyzing a cross-country panel of 16 OECD countries from 2002 to 2005, we find that higher unemployment benefits crowd out nascent entrepreneurial activity. Our results hold regardless of entrepreneurial motivation (necessity or opportunity) and entrepreneurial type (imitative or innovative).							
Free Keywords	entrepreneurship, business startups, unemployment benefits							
Availability	The ERIM Report Series is distributed through the following platforms:							
	Academic Repository at Erasmus University (DEAR), <u>DEAR ERIM Series Portal</u>							
	Social Science Research Network (SSRN), <u>SSRN ERIM Series Webpage</u>							
	Research Papers in Economics (REPEC), REPEC ERIM Series Webpage							
Classifications	The electronic versions of the papers in the ERIM report Series contain bibliographic metadata by the following classification systems:							
	Library of Congress Classification, (LCC) <u>LCC Webpage</u>							
	Journal of Economic Literature, (JEL), <u>JEL Webpage</u>							
	ACM Computing Classification System CCS Webpage							
	Inspec Classification scheme (ICS), ICS Webpage							

Unemployment benefits crowd out nascent entrepreneurial activity

Philipp Koellinger (a) (b) (c) (d), Maria Minniti (e)

Submitted version (v1.0)

Date of completion of manuscript: 18 March 2008

- (a) Erasmus University Rotterdam, School of Economics, Department of Applied Economics, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, Netherlands; Email: koellinger@few.eur.nl, Tel.: +31-10-4089141, Fax: +31-10-4089141
- (b) Tinbergen Institute, Rotterdam, NL
- (c) Erasmus Research Institute of Management, Rotterdam, NL
- (d) EIM Business Policy Research, Zoetermeer, NL
- (e) Southern Methodist University, Cox School of Business, Department of Strategy and Entrepreneurship, P.O. Box 750333, Dallas, TX 75275-0333, USA; Email: mminniti@cox.smu.edu, Tel.: +1-214-7683145, Fax: +1-214-7684099

Unemployment benefits crowd out nascent entrepreneurial activity

Abstract

Analyzing a cross-country panel of 16 OECD countries from 2002 to 2005, we find that higher

unemployment benefits crowd out nascent entrepreneurial activity. Our results hold regardless of

entrepreneurial motivation (necessity or opportunity) and entrepreneurial type (imitative or

innovative).

JEL Codes: M13, J23, J65

Keywords: Entrepreneurship, Business Startups, Unemployment Benefits

1 Introduction

Although some evidence exists of a negative relationship between unemployment benefits and

established self-employment (Parker and Robson, 2004), the relationship between unemployment

benefits and start-up activity remains unclear. This is largely due to the lack of appropriate data

since such a relationship can be properly understood only by looking at individuals who are

actually in the process of starting a business. In other words, by considering all individuals who

attempt to start a business rather than focusing only on those who have succeeded. Thank to new

available data, we fill this gap by focusing on nascent rather than established entrepreneurship

thereby capturing the effects of unemployment benefits on *start-up propensity*.

2

Using data for 16 developed countries, we provide robust empirical evidence that generous unemployment benefits are negatively related to entrepreneurial activity. We show this to be true regardless of entrepreneurial motivation and type. To the extent that entrepreneurship is important for growth, our results suggest that unemployment benefits can generate a costly crowding out effect.

2 Data

Table 1 describes the data used in our analysis. Annual data on nascent entrepreneurial activity are taken from the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM) study. Every year, GEM conducts a representative population survey of at least 2000 people in each participating country. Individuals classify as *nascent entrepreneurs* if they claim to be engaged in starting a business they will at least partially own and that has paid wages or profits for no more than 6 months (Reynolds et al., 2005).

GEM data allow us to divide nascent entrepreneurs between those who are starting a business to pursue a profitable opportunity but could be otherwise employed (*opportunity entrepreneurs*), and those who are starting because no better employment option is available (*necessity entrepreneurs*). The average share of necessity nascent entrepreneurs across countries and time in our sample is 14%.

In an alternative, entrepreneurs may be distinguished between innovators, who consider starting a business because of the monopolistic rents generated by their innovation, and imitators, who replicate existing businesses. GEM data allow us to divide nascent entrepreneurs between those who have many competitors and whose technology and product or service are already established (*imitative entrepreneurs*) and those who have introduced at least some innovation (*innovative entrepreneurs*) (Koellinger, 2008). The average share of purely imitative nascent entrepreneurs across countries and time in our sample is 27%.

Annual data on unemployment rates and public out-of-work income maintenance and support for the same countries and years are taken from the OECD Employment Outlook (2005, 2006, 2007).

The average generosity of unemployment benefits at the individual level is approximated by an index obtained by dividing the public spending on out-of-work income maintenance and support, measured in percent of GDP, by one plus the current unemployment rate. This index controls for the fact that an increase in unemployment rises public spending on out-of-work income maintenance and support without necessarily increasing unemployment benefits at the individual level. A high index value suggests generous average unemployment benefits compared to the national income. Unfortunately, our proxy for unemployment benefits does not capture possible differences in support schemes enjoyed by formerly employed and self-employed although such differences may be important (Hessels et al., 2007).

Analysis

We analyze a balanced cross-country panel with 16 countries and four annual observations (2002 to 2005) using random and fixed effects linear models that control for unobserved heterogeneity across countries (Wooldridge, 2002). Thus, we rule out alternative explanations for the estimated coefficients which might be due to unobserved heterogeneity. We estimate two alternative models with different measures for the generosity of unemployment benefits, one using the unemployment support index and another using the original share of public out-of-work income maintenance and support as percent of GDP. All regression results are qualitatively identical for both measures.

Table 2 shows regression results for the prevalence of nascent entrepreneurial activity across countries and time. The results suggest that high levels of unemployment benefits crowd out nascent entrepreneurial activity. Unemployment benefits are negatively associated to nascent entrepreneurial activity and highly significant in all models. Specification tests suggest that unobserved heterogeneity is highly significant and the difference in coefficients between random and fixed effects is not significant according to the Hausman test, thus supporting the random effects specification.

In Table 3, necessity nascent entrepreneurs are excluded. Our results show again a significant negative effect of unemployment benefits. Thus, the results suggest that higher unemployment

benefits influence negatively even the share of individuals trying to start businesses because they perceive desirable opportunities. Furthermore, Table 4 shows that the share of opportunity and necessity entrepreneurs is unaffected by variations in unemployment benefits.

We now turn to the distinction between innovative and imitative entrepreneurs. In Table 5, imitative nascent entrepreneurs are excluded. Again, our results show a significant negative effect of unemployment benefits. This suggests that generous unemployment benefits crowd out innovative entrepreneurial activity.

In addition, Table 6 shows no influence of unemployment *benefits* on the ratio of innovative to imitative nascent entrepreneurs. Across the countries in our sample, such ratio is about 7 to 3 on average, with significant fluctuations across countries and time. Unemployment *levels*, however, do affect the ratio: Not surprisingly, higher levels of unemployment are associated with lower shares of innovative and higher shares of purely imitative nascent entrepreneurs.

Conclusion

Our results fill a gap in the employment choice literature by providing evidence that generous unemployment benefits are negatively related to nascent entrepreneurship and that this is true regardless of entrepreneurial motivation and type. In other words, higher unemployment benefits seem to reduce the overall entrepreneurial propensity of a country. If entrepreneurial activity is important for economic growth, our results suggest that the opportunity costs of unemployment benefits may be higher than what is normally assumed in the labor economics studies.

References

Hessels, J., A. van Stel, P. Brouwer, S. Wennekers, 2007, Social security arrangements and early-stage entrepreneurial activity, Comparative Labor Law and Policy Journal 13, 37-46.

Koellinger, P., 2008, Why are some entrepreneurs more innovative than others? Small Business Economics Journal, forthcoming.

Parker, S.C., Robson, M.T., 2004, Explaining international variations in self-employment: Evidence from a panel of OECD countries, Southern Economic Journal 71(2), 287–301.

OECD, 2007, 2006, 2005, OECD Unemployment Outlook. (OECD Publishing, Paris).

Reynolds, P., Bosma, N., Autio, E., Hunt, S., de Bono, N., Servais, I., Lopez-Garcia, P., Chin, N., 2005, Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: Data collection design and implementation 1998-2003, Small Business Economics Journal, 24, 205-231.

Wooldridge, J., 2002, Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. (Cambridge, MA and London, England: MIT Press).

Table 1: Four year averages for nascent entrepreneurial activity, unemployment, and public unemployment expenditures in 16 countries, 2002-2005

Country	Nascent	Share of	Share of	Unemployment	Unemployment	Unemployment
	entrepreneurs	opportunity	innovative	rate (% of adult	support1 (% of	support index ²
	(% of adult pop	nascent	nascent	pop 18-64) ⁺	GDP) ⁺	
	18-64)*	entrepreneurs	entrepreneurs			
		(% of adult	(% of adult			
		nascent	nascent			
		entrepreneurs)*	entrepreneurs)*			
Australia	5.73	87	77	5.8	0.69	0.65
Belgium	2.37	91	68	8.1	1.96	1.81
Canada	5.24	85	76	7.3	0.71	0.66
Denmark	2.90	94	84	5.1	1.81	1.72
Finland	2.96	88	67	8.8	1.53	1.41
France	3.05	73	68	9.3	1.57	1.44
Germany	3.99	75	70	9.1	2.23	2.05
Ireland	4.84	81	78	4.5	0.80	0.76
Japan	0.92	83	68	5.0	0.47	0.45
Netherlands	2.59	90	72	3.9	1.98	1.90
New Zealand	8.41	86	73	4.4	0.67	0.64
Norway	4.14	92	74	4.4	0.82	0.78
Spain	2.60	85	56	10.5	1.44	1.31
Sweden	1.66	90	76	6.0	1.19	1.12
UK	2.66	86	80	4.9	0.25	0.23
USA	7.66	86	76	5.6	0.34	0.32

^{*} Data from Global Entrepreneurship Monitor

* Data from OECD Employment Outlook

1 Public out-of-work income maintenance and support

2 Support index = (Public out-of-work income maintenance and support index = (Publ

Table 2: Regression results on prevalence of nascent entrepreneurial activity across 16 countries, 2002-2005

	Random effects		Fixed effects		Random effects		Fixed effe	ects
Unemployment support index ⁺	-176.7**	(84.36)	-294.6*	(163.3)	-	-	-	-
Unemployment support in % of GDP	-	-	-	-	-1.67**	(0.80)	-2.83*	(1.57)
Unemployment rate	0.13	(0.18)	0.35	(0.27)	0.15	(0.19)	0.39	(0.28)
Constant	4.64**	(1.15)	4.52**	(1.43)	4.52**	(1.14)	4.36**	(1.40)
Model diagnostics								
Hausman Test (Prob > Chi2)	0.94				0.94			
R2	0.13		0.11		0.13		0.11	
Rho	0.87**		0.88**		0.87**		0.88**	

N = 64. Standard errors in parentheses.

All models include time dummies.

Table 3: Regression results on prevalence of opportunity nascent entrepreneurial activity across 16 countries, 2002-2005

	Random effects		Fixed effects		Random effects		Fixed effe	ects
Unemployment support index ⁺	-146.7**	(69.54)	-247.4*	(133.0)	-	-	-	-
Unemployment support in % of GDP	-	-	-	-	-1.38**	(0.66)	-2.36*	(1.28)
Unemployment rate	0.55	(0.15)	0.23	(0.22)	0.07	(0.15)	0.26	(0.23)
Constant	4.23**	(0.95)	4.19**	(1.17)	4.13**	(0.94)	4.04**	(1.14)
Model diagnostics								
Hausman Test (Prob > Chi2)	0.94				0.93			
R2	0.15		0.12		0.15		0.12	
Rho	0.87		0.89		0.87		0.89	

N = 64. Standard errors in parentheses.

All models include time dummies.

Table 4: Regression results on the share of opportunity vs. necessity nascent entrepreneurs across 16 countries, 2002-2005

	Random effects		Fixed effects		Random effects		Fixed eff	ects
Unemployment support index ⁺	1.65	(2.54)	-7.07	(12.5)	-	-	-	-
Unemployment support in % of GDP	-	-	-	-	0.02	(0.02)	-0.07	(0.12)
Unemployment rate	-0.02	(0.01)	-0.01	(0.02)	-0.02	(0.01)	-0.01	(0.02)
Constant	0.94**	(0.04)	1.01**	(0.11)	0.94**	(0.04)	1.01**	(0.11)
Model diagnostics								
Hausman Test (Prob > Chi2)	0.98				0.98			
R2	0.14		0.04		0.14		0.04	
Rho	0.36		0.60		0.36		0.60	

N = 64. Standard errors in parentheses.

All models include time dummies.

^{**} significant at > 95% confidence

^{*} significant at > 90% confidence

^{**} significant at > 95% confidence

^{*} significant at > 90% confidence

^{**} significant at > 95% confidence

^{*} significant at > 90% confidence

Table 5: Regression results on prevalence of innovative nascent entrepreneurial activity across 16 countries, 2002-2005

	Random effects		Fixed effects		Random effects		Fixed effects	
Unemployment support index ⁺	-133.3**	(63.4)	-221.3*	(123.5)	-	-	-	-
Unemployment support in % of GDP	-	-	-	-	-1.26**	(0.60)	-2.11*	(1.18)
Unemployment rate	0.02	(0.14)	0.17	(0.20)	0.04	(0.14)	0.19	(0.21)
Constant	3.90**	(0.86)	3.91**	(0.00)	3.81**	(0.86)	3.78**	(1.05)
Model diagnostics								
Hausman Test (Prob > Chi2)	0.96				0.96			
R2	0.17		0.14		0.17		0.14	
Rho	0.87**		0.88**		0.87**		0.88**	

N = 64. Standard errors in parentheses.

All models include time dummies.

Table 6: Regression results on the share of innovative vs. imitative nascent entrepreneurs across 16 countries, 2002-2005

	Random effects Fixed effects I		Random effects		Fixed eff	ects		
Unemployment support index ⁺	0.34	(2.32)	6.11	(15.0)	-	-	-	-
Unemployment support in % of GDP	-	-	-	-	0.00	(0.02)	0.06	(0.14)
Unemployment rate	-0.02**	(0.01)	-0.02	(0.02)	-0.02**	(0.01)	-0.02	(0.03)
Constant	0.85**	(0.04)	0.78**	(0.13)	0.85**	(0.04)	0.78**	(0.00)
Model diagnostics								
Hausman Test (Prob > Chi2)	1.00			1.00				
R2	0.34		0.18		0.34		0.18	
Rho	0.18		0.41*		0.18		0.41*	

N = 64. Standard errors in parentheses.

All models include time dummies.

^{**}significant at > 95% confidence * significant at > 90% confidence

^{**} significant at > 95% confidence * significant at > 90% confidence

Publications in the ERIM Report Series Research* in Management

ERIM Research Program: "Organizing for Performance"

2008

Explaining Preferences and Actual Involvement in Self-Employment: New Insights into the Role of Gender Ingrid Verheul, Roy Thurik and Isabel Grilo ERS-2008-003-ORG http://hdl.handle.net/1765/10979

Public Finance in China since the Late Qing Dynasty
Barbara Krug
ERS-2008-005-ORG
http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11287

Overoptimism among Founders: The Role of Information and Motivation Ingrid Verheul and Martin Carree ERS-2008-008-ORG http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11557

Do Foreign Greenfields Outperform Foreign Acquisitions or Vice Versa? An Institutional Perspective Arjen H.L. Slangen and Jean-François Hennart ERS-2008-009-ORG http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11558

Unemployment Benefits Crowd Out Nascent Entrepreneurial Activity
Philipp Koellinger and Maria Minniti
ERS-2008-012-ORG
http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11808

Acceleration of Technology Adoption within Firms: Empirical Evidence from the Diffusion of E-business Technologies
Philipp Koellinger and Christian Schade
ERS-2008-013-ORG
http://hdl.handle.net/1765/11809

ERIM Research Programs:

LIS Business Processes, Logistics and Information Systems

ORG Organizing for Performance

MKT Marketing

F&A Finance and Accounting

STR Strategy and Entrepreneurship

A complete overview of the ERIM Report Series Research in Management: https://ep.eur.nl/handle/1765/1