



Ultrasonographic tissue characterisation of human Achilles tendons: quantification of tendon structure through a novel non-invasive approach

H T M van Schie, R J de Vos, S de Jonge, E M Bakker, M P Heijboer, J A N Verhaar, J L Tol and H Weinans

Br. J. Sports Med. published online 6 Aug 2009;
doi:10.1136/bjsm.2009.061010

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://bjsm.bmj.com/cgi/content/abstract/bjsm.2009.061010v1>

These include:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Open Access | This article is free to access |
| Rapid responses | You can respond to this article at:
http://bjsm.bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/bjsm.2009.061010v1 |
| Email alerting service | Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article |

Notes

Online First contains unedited articles in manuscript form that have been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but have not yet appeared in the paper journal (edited, typeset versions may be posted when available prior to final publication). Online First articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Online First articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To order reprints of this article go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to *British Journal of Sports Medicine* go to:
<http://journals.bmj.com/subscriptions/>

Ultrasonographic tissue characterisation of human Achilles tendons: quantification of tendon structure through a novel non-invasive approach

H.T.M. van Schie, R.J. de Vos, S. de Jonge, E.M. Bakker, M.P. Heijboer, J.A.N. Verhaar, J.L. Tol, H. Weinans.

KEYWORDS

Achilles, tendon, tendinopathy, ultrasonography, UTC.

ABBREVIATIONS

UTC – Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation

VISA-A – Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment- Achilles

AAS – Ankle Activity Score

ICC – Intra-class Correlation Coefficient

WORD COUNT

Abstract: 250

Manuscript (excluding title page, references, figures and tables): 2465

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

H.T.M. van Schie^{1,3}, DVM; PhD, Dept. of Orthopaedics, Erasmus university Medical Centre, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, Dr Molenwaterplein 50, room Ee1614, 3015 GE Rotterdam. Tel: 010-7044626, fax: 010-7044696, The Netherlands.

& Dept. of Equine Sciences, Faculty Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands. E-mail: j.vanschie@erasmusmc.nl.

CO-AUTHORS

R.J. de Vos^{1,2}, MD

S. de Jonge², MD

E.M. Bakker⁴, PhD

M.P. Heijboer¹, MD

J.A.N. Verhaar¹, MD; PhD

J.L. Tol², MD; PhD

H. Weinans¹, PhD

1. Dept. of Orthopaedics, Erasmus university Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
2. The Hague Medical Centre Antoniushove, Leidschendam, The Netherlands.
3. Dept. of Equine Sciences, Faculty Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht university, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
4. Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess if three-dimensional imaging of the Achilles tendon by Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC) can differentiate between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons.

Design: Case-control study.

Setting: Sports medical department of The Hague medical centre.

Patients: Twenty-six tendons from patients with chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy were included. The “matched” control group consisted of 26 asymptomatic tendons.

Interventions: Symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons were scanned using the UTC-procedure. One researcher performed the ultrasonographic data-collection. These blinded data were randomised and outcome measures were determined by two independent observers.

Main outcome measurements: The raw ultrasonographic images were analysed with a custom-designed algorithm that quantifies the three-dimensional stability of echopatterns, qua intensity and distribution over contiguous transverse images. This three-dimensional stability was related to tendon structure in previous studies. UTC categorizes four different echo-types that represent: I) highly stable; II) medium stable; III) highly variable and IV) constantly low intensity and variable distribution. The percentages of echo-types were calculated and the maximum tendon-thickness was measured. Finally, the inter-observer reliability of UTC was determined.

Results: Symptomatic tendons showed less pixels in echo-types I and II than asymptomatic tendons (51.5% versus 76.6%, $p < 0.001$), thus less three-dimensional

stability of the echopattern. The mean maximum tendon thickness was 9.2 mm in the symptomatic group and 6.8 mm in the asymptomatic group ($p < 0.001$). The Intra-class Correlation Coefficient (ICC) for the inter-observer reliability of determining the echo-types I+II was 0.95. The ICC for tendon thickness was 0.84.

Conclusion: UTC can quantitatively evaluate tendon structure and thereby discriminate symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons. As such UTC might be useful to monitor treatment protocols.

INTRODUCTION

The Achilles tendon is a hierarchically arranged structure with a collagenous matrix in the direction of tensile forces transferred through the tendon.¹

Midportion Achilles tendinopathy is the clinical designation used for a painful swollen Achilles tendon at 2-7 cm proximal to the tendon insertion with an impaired function.^{2,3}

In these tendons a remarkable matrix disintegration can be found as a consequence of degeneration. Biochemically, a young matrix with high collagen turnover and a tendency to fibrotic repair is observed.⁴ These phenomena are elements of the histopathological label "tendinosis".⁵

On ultrasonography, the echogenicity of tendons is based on the density and arrangement of the collagenous matrix. Normal tendons are characterised by a regular echopattern, qua intensity and arrangement in grey scale images.^{6,7} On the contrary, disintegration of tendon bundles leads to a remarkable loss of the echogenicity. Consequently, hypoechoic lesions are frequently described in cases of tendinosis.⁸

There are some sonographic studies that evaluated the possible difference between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons. One study reported only hypoechoic areas in 68% of the symptomatic Achilles tendons⁹, but another group found hypoechoic areas also in 11 percent of asymptomatic tendons.¹⁰ Some studies focussed on the prognostic value of hypoechoic lesions. No difference was found in overall outcome after conservative treatment between tendons with normal ultrasonographic appearance and tendons with a hypoechoic area.¹¹ Khan et al.¹² reported that a reduced area of hypoechogenicity on ultrasonography did not correlate with an improved clinical outcome.

The main problem with ultrasonography is that it is a real-time and operator-dependent technique; transducer-handling and machine-settings can influence size and appearance of a hypoechoic lesion. To our knowledge, the inter-observer reliability of ultrasonographic assessment of tendon structure is not known and changes in time are difficult to compare. Furthermore, the structure of the (disintegrated) tendon is a three-dimensional phenomenon that can not be captured in flat two-dimensional transverse (nor longitudinal) images.

There is growing interest in finding ways to quantify tendon integrity.^{13,14} In veterinary medicine a method for computerised ultrasonographic tissue characterisation (UTC) has been developed for tendons in the horse.¹⁵ This work revealed that each ultrasonographic image actually is a mixture of “structure-related echoes” and “interference”.^{7,16} Structure-related echoes are directly generated at tendon bundles and are stable over a large number of contiguous transverse cross-sections. Echoes resulted from interference are the result of multiple echoes generated by smaller entities, like fibrils, cells, or fluid and are variable over contiguous cross-sections. Based on these equine studies, four different echo-types were discriminated with use of this three-dimensional stability criterion. With histology as reference test, the echo-types reflected the underlying structure and pathology in the horse tendon tissue (Figure 1).¹⁵⁻¹⁷

The aim of this study is to evaluate UTC in the human setting. Therefore we determined its inter-observer reliability and verified whether the technique could discriminate symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons. The tendon thickness was also determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients were included in an out-patients sports medicine department in a large district general hospital. Patients who had participated in a previous study on the effect of an eccentric exercise programme at the sports medicine department were included.^{18,19} In this previous study, inclusion criteria were an age between 18 and 70 years and presence of symptoms for more than two months. All patients had a tendon, which was tender on palpation and painful during or after activity. The tendon thickening was located approximately 2-7 cm proximal to the distal insertion. The diagnosis was made based upon clinical examination. Exclusion criteria were the presence of an insertional disorder and complete tendon rupture. Most of the patients had already received several types of conservative treatment, but none had undergone surgery for their Achilles tendon injury. All patients with persistent pain on palpation or during sports and less than 100 points on the VISA-A (Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment- Achilles) questionnaire²⁰, which is a validated instrument to quantify the clinical severity of Achilles tendinopathy, were selected in the symptomatic group. The activity of the lower extremity was evaluated with use of the Ankle Activity Score (AAS)²¹, which quantifies the ankle-related activity level.

Ultrasonographic images of “matched” asymptomatic tendons were collected from patients who visited the Sports medicine department for other injuries. When individuals had not experienced pain in one of their Achilles tendons in the past, they were asked to participate in the study for evaluation of both their Achilles tendons. Inclusion was based on matching the symptomatic group in age, gender and activity level.

Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC)

The UTC procedure and measurements were performed using a standardised protocol (Figure 2). A 10 MHz linear-array transducer (Smartprobe 10L5, Terason 2000, Teratech, USA) was moved manually along and perpendicular to the tendon's long axis over a distance of 9.6 cm. A custom-build tracking and data-collection device facilitated the collection of "raw" digital transverse images at regular distances of 0.2 mm. These images were stored on the hard disk of a computer and subsequently composed to a three-dimensional data block. This data block was used for the tomographical visualisation of the Achilles tendon in three planes of view: transverse, sagittal and coronal (Figure 3).

The three-dimensional stability of the echopattern, qua intensity and distribution over contiguous transverse images, was analysed and quantified by means of custom-designed algorithms for image analysis as developed on isolated tendons collected from horses.^{7,15-}

¹⁷ In these equine studies, four echo-types were assigned, based on the stability of intensity and distribution in contiguous transverse images, namely: I) highly stable; II) medium stable; III) highly variable and IV) constantly low intensity and variable distribution. Figure 1 summarises how underlying tissue structure was related to the stability of the echopattern. In the current human study we used the same UTC algorithms.

All datasets were blinded and randomised. Two researchers (HVS, RJV) performed the complete UTC processing and analysis.

The thickest part of the tendon in the anterior-posterior (AP) direction was identified and the maximum thickness was measured. After that, the border of the tendon was identified in the grey-scale image and the percentages of the four echo-types at the transverse cross-

section at this site were calculated (Figures 3 and 4). Also at 2 mm proximal and 2 mm distal from this position the echo-types were calculated. The average of the echo-types in these three cross-sections was used in the overall assessment of the tendon.

Study design

The design was case-control study. The severity of the clinical status of the symptomatic tendons was evaluated by a single researcher, using the VISA-A questionnaire.²⁰

The Inter-observer reliability was calculated with a one-way random model. The Intra-class Correlation Coefficient (ICC) was used to evaluate the correlation between the observations of two researchers. To evaluate the ICC, one score per observer per tendon was computed. The reliability is excellent if the ICC is > 0.75 , fair to good if $0.4 < \text{ICC} < 0.75$ and poor if ICC is < 0.4 .¹⁵ To detect a difference in UTC parameters between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons, a student t-test was used. Statistics were performed using SPSS version 15.0.0 and significance was assumed for p-values less than 0.05. The study protocol was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Hospital. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

RESULTS

Patients

In both the symptomatic and asymptomatic group 26 Achilles tendons were included.

In the symptomatic group there were 12 male and 14 female tendons. In seven patients symptoms were located in the left Achilles tendon, three patients in the right tendon and

eight patients had bilateral complaints. The mean age was 44.9 years (SD 6.2), mean duration of symptoms was 193.9 weeks (SD 277.5) and the mean body mass index was 24.8 kg/m² (SD 2.2). The mean AAS was 4.8 (SD 2.0) and the mean VISA-A score was 67.3 (SD 18.2).

In the asymptomatic group there were 16 male and 10 female tendons. The mean age was 43.6 years (SD 12.6) and the mean body mass index was 26.1 kg/m² (SD 4.3). The mean AAS was 5.5 (SD 1.6).

There was no significant difference between the symptomatic group and asymptomatic group in age ($p=0.63$), gender ($p=0.17$), BMI ($p=0.20$) and AAS ($p=0.15$).

UTC measurements

UTC analyses showed a distinct difference between the distribution of the four echo-types in the symptomatic group versus the asymptomatic group. Figure 4 represents a typical echo-type distribution of both groups in transversal view. Quantitatively the symptomatic group was significantly different from the asymptomatic group for all echo types (Table 1). Although there was some overlap between the groups, symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons could be clearly discriminated, e.g. based on the percentage of pixels in echo-types I+II (51.5% vs 76.6% in symptomatic vs. asymptomatic, $p<0.001$) (Table 1, Figure 5). A difference in mean tendon thickness between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons could also be observed (9.2 mm vs. 6.8 mm in symptomatic vs. asymptomatic, $p<0.001$) (Table 1).

When a threshold of 75% in echotypes I+II is chosen (see dotted line in figure 5), three symptomatic tendons are above this threshold and six asymptomatic tendons are under

this threshold (Table 2). Thus, nine of the totally 52 tendons were categorised in another group than expected, based on the UTC-findings. With 43 tendons that were categorised as expected, an accuracy of 83% was found.

The ICC values for the UTC parameters are presented in table 1.

Echotypes	Symptomatic tendons (n=26)		Asymptomatic tendons (n=26)		P-value	ICC
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
% I	33.9	12.7	48.4	12.3	< 0.001	0.92
% II	17.6	4.9	28.3	7.4	< 0.001	0.92
% III	20.9	7.5	12.5	7.9	< 0.001	0.95
% IV	27.5	11.1	10.8	7.6	< 0.001	0.95
% I + % II	51.5	16.4	76.6	15.1	< 0.001	0.95
% III + % IV	48.4	16.4	23.4	15.1	< 0.001	0.95
Tendon AP diameter (mm)	9.2	1.7	6.8	1.2	< 0.001	0.84

Table 1 – Mean percentage of the separate four echo-types and tendon thickness are denoted for the symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons. Echo-types I+II (structure-related) and II+IV (non-structure related) are grouped. The p-values for the difference between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons and ICC values for the two observers are also presented for the echo-types and mean tendon thickness. The difference in all

measured UTC parameters between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons was statistically significant.

	Normal tendon structure	Abnormal tendon structure	
Asymptomatic tendon	20	6	26
Symptomatic tendon	3	23	26
	23	39	52

Table 2 – The number of tendons that were symptomatic and asymptomatic are given.

With use of the threshold of 75% echo-types I+II, these tendons were divided in groups with normal (> 75% echo-types I+II) or abnormal (< 75% echo-types I+II) tendon structure. Three tendons in the symptomatic had a normal tendon structure and six tendons in the asymptomatic group had an abnormal tendon structure. The other 43 of totally 52 tendons were expected to be below the threshold (symptomatic tendons) or above the threshold (asymptomatic tendons). Therefore, UTC has an accuracy of 83% $((43/52) \times 100\%)$.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study that quantifies tendon structure in patients with Achilles tendinopathy and controls with use of Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC). Symptomatic tendons showed significantly less stability of transverse echo-patterns (less

echo-types I+II) than asymptomatic tendons ($p < 0.001$). The mean AP tendon thickness was 9.2 mm in the symptomatic group and 6.8 mm in the asymptomatic group ($p < 0.001$). The inter-observer reliability of determining the UTC parameters was excellent (ICC 0.92-0.95) for quantification of the ultrasonographic tendon structure. This indicates that different observers examining the same tendon have excellent agreement between their findings; a prerequisite for implementation of UTC in the clinical setting. For the evaluation of the tendon AP thickness an ICC of 0.84 was found.

Recently, other researchers focussed on quantifying tendon integrity.^{13,14} For instance, Bashford et al.¹³ attempted to quantify structural changes in tendon tissue by analysing the anisotropy of the speckle pattern of the ultrasonographic image. Anisotropy of tendons is based on their unique architecture with tendon bundles organized in longitudinal alignment. As such, this approach is fairly similar to UTC since both methods aim to isolate exclusively structure-related information from a “speckle pattern”. As a consequence of anisotropy, ultrasonography of tendons is sensitive for the tilt of the transducer, possibly leading to a hypoechoic representation of normal tendons.^{22,23} In case of UTC this artifact is prevented by the tracking device with the transducer clamped in standardised position, in alignment and perpendicular to the tendons long-axis and with fixed transducer-tilt in the transverse plane. The foot was supported with the ankle joint in a maximum dorsi-flexion which prevented a sag of the Achilles tendon as much as possible and thus resulted in an optimal angle of insonation. With this technique, only small tilting (lower than three degrees) may have occurred. From previous equine studies, it is known that transducer tilting within three degrees can result in small variations in grey-level.²⁴ However, with use of UTC the outcome in echotypes is determined with

the relative grey-value of the contiguous pixels and not the absolute grey-value. Therefore, small tilting would have no effects on the echotypes measured with UTC.

In the study of Bashford et al.¹³ tendinopathic and healthy tendons could be discriminated with an accuracy of 80%. With optimal settings, an accuracy of 83% was found with use of UTC in this study, which is comparable with the study of Bashford et al.¹³ (Figure 5 and Table 2). The advantage of UTC is that a connection with underlying tissue histology was made with this approach in equine tendons.

The normal Achilles tendon has a more or less flattened ellipsoid shape with the axis through maximum AP-thickness frequently somewhat oblique, from antero-lateral towards postero-medial. Therefore we decided not to measure the maximum AP-thickness in the sagittal plane but rather in the transverse image, thus independent of angle of insonation. Maximum AP thickness was defined as the maximum diameter perpendicular to the maximal latero-medial width (Figure 3D). In the great majority of diseased tendons at least some increase of cross-sectional area can be observed. Therefore it is relevant to measure the antero-posterior thickness. Aström et al.²⁵ found that a thickness over 10 mm may be related to the presence of a partial rupture. However, it should be noted that these dimensions do not provide information about the tendon structure. With use of UTC, the dimension was measured manually and maybe therefore the inter-observer reliability was not as excellent as measured by Syha et al.²⁶, who used an automatic identification procedure. With their approach, an inter-reader variability of 3.9% was found.

UTC has clear advantages over conventional ultrasonography, as UTC directly visualizes and quantifies integrity. Moreover, the stability of the transverse echo-pattern in the

tendon long axis is not an absolute value but rather a relative one, and thus independent of ultrasonographic gain settings. This stability refers to stability of the echo pattern in consecutive transverse sections and is thereby a true three-dimensional measure. Furthermore, the tracking-device prevented the transducer from tilting and thus the angle of insonation is standardized and stable. The use of the tracking and data-collection system did not only prevent a tilting artifact^{22,23} but also facilitated the storage and subsequent compounding of transverse images.

There are some limitations in this study. For instance, the group of symptomatic tendons had already been unsuccessfully treated with a heavy load eccentric exercise programme. Öhberg and Alfredson²⁷ reported in an observational follow-up study that all 41 tendons of 30 patients had structural ultrasonographic changes (hypoechoic area or irregular fibre structure). After follow-up (mean 28 months) with a 12-week eccentric exercise programme, 37 of 41 tendons had a normalized tendon structure. It is possible that this treatment may alter the tendon structure and therefore result in an improved echo pattern in some symptomatic tendons. Similarly we cannot exclude that a certain degree of degeneration could have been present in the asymptomatic group. Subjects were included simply by asking if the subjects never had complaints in the Achilles tendon region, while stricter criteria could have been used. From postmortem studies it is known that asymptomatic tendon degeneration is present in approximately 30%.²⁸ This may implicate that a certain degree of degeneration could have been present in the asymptomatic group. Based on the anatomical similarities between animal and human tendons²⁹, the UTC echo-types in human tendons can be expected to be similar to horse tendons. Further analyses that relate UTC outcomes with histology from human Achilles

tendon material (e.g. from patients undergoing surgery) might corroborate tissue characterisation by UTC. Reference sets for different age, gender and activity level might be needed to precisely determine the clinical relevance.

The UTC procedure could be even more standardised with standard dorsiflexion of the ankle joint and improved tracking- and data- collection device. As such UTC might be a very useful quantitative method for the monitoring and evaluation of existing and new treatment protocols for tendinopathy. In addition, it would be very valuable to determine its prognostic value.

CONCLUSION

Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC) was transferred from equine validated tests to human Achilles tendons. The method showed excellent inter-observer reliability and a significant difference in quantified echo-types between symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons, indicating its value for the evaluation of treatment in tendinopathy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

None

COMPETING INTERESTS / FUNDING

None

What is already known on this topic:

An ultrasonographic observation in tendinopathic tendons is the presence of a hypoechoic lesion. However, ultrasonography is essentially based on a qualitative assessment and transducer handling and machine settings do influence echogenicity and size of the hypoechoic lesion to a large extent. Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC) is a valuable technique to quantify the integrity of tendon tissue in the horse, with histology as reference test.

What this study adds

In this study Ultrasonographic Tissue Characterisation (UTC) was applied for the first time in human Achilles tendons. There was an excellent inter-observer reliability when evaluating the images and a significant difference in quantified echo patterns between symptomatic and asymptomatic Achilles tendons.

Comments: Patient consent was obtained for the use of all photographs in this article.

"The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article to be published in British Journal of Sports Medicine editions and any other BMJ PGL products to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence. "

REFERENCES

1. O'Brien M. The anatomy of the Achilles tendon. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2005;**10**(2):225–38.
2. Maffulli N, Wong J, Almekinders LC. Types and epidemiology of tendinopathy. *Clin Sports Med* 2003;**22**:675–92.
3. Alfredson H. Chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy: an update on research and treatment. *Clin Sports Med* 2003;**22**:727-741.
4. de Mos M, van El B, DeGroot J, Jahr H, van Schie HT, van Arkel ER, Tol H, Heijboer R, van Osch GJ, Verhaar JA. Achilles tendinosis: changes in biochemical composition and collagen turnover rate. *Am J Sports Med* 2007;**35**:1549-56.
5. Astrom M, Rausing A. Chronic Achilles tendinopathy. A survey of surgical and histopathologic findings. *Clin Orthop* 1995;**316**:151–64.
6. Martinoli CM, Derchi LE, Pastorino C, Bertolotto M, Silvestri E. Analysis of echotexture of tendons with US. *Radiology* 1993;**186**:839-43.
7. van Schie HTM, Bakker EM. Structure-related echoes in ultrasonographic images of equine superficial digital flexor tendons *Am J Vet Res* 2000;**61**:202-09.
8. Bleakney RR, White LM. Imaging of the Achilles tendon. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2005;**10**:239-254.
9. Reiter M, Ulreich N, Dirisamer A, Tscholakoff D, Bucek RA. Colour and power Doppler sonography in symptomatic Achilles tendon disease. *Int J Sports Med* 2004;**25**(4):301-5.

10. Leung JL, Griffith JF. Sonography of chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a case-control study. *J Clin Ultrasound* 2008;**36**(1):27-32.
11. Archambault JM, Wiley JP, Bray RC, Verhoef M, Wiseman DA, Elliott PD. Can sonography predict the outcome in patients with achillodynia? *J Clin Ultrasound* 1998;**26**(7):335-9.
12. Khan KM, Forster BB, Robinson J, Cheong Y, Louis L, Maclean L, Taunton JE. Are ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging of value in assessment of Achilles tendon disorders? A two year prospective study. *Br J Sports Med* 2003;**37**(2):149-53.
13. Bashford GR, Tomsen N, Arya S, Burnfield JM, Kulig K. Tendinopathy discrimination by use of spatial frequency parameters in ultrasound B-mode images. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2008;**27**(5):608-15.
14. Meghoufel A, Cloutier G, Crevier-Denoix N, DeGuise JA. A thinning algorithm for equine tendon structure identification from 2 D ultrasound images. *IEEE ISBI* 2008:1565-68.
15. Van Schie HT, Bakker EM, Jonker AM, van Weeren PR. Computerised ultrasonographic tissue characterisation of equine superficial digital flexor tendons by means of stability quantification of echo patterns in contiguous transverse ultrasonographic images. *Am J Vet Res* 2003;**64**(3):366-75.
16. van Schie HTM, Bakker EM, Jonker AM, van Weeren PR. Efficacy of computerised discrimination between structure-related and non-structure-related echoes in ultrasonographic images for the quantitative evaluation of the structural

- integrity of superficial digital flexor tendons in horses. *Am J Vet Res* 2001;**62**:1159-66.
17. Van Schie HT, Bakker EM, Cherdchutham W, van Weeren PR. Monitoring of the repair process of surgically created partial lesions in equine superficial digital flexor tendons by means of computerised ultrasonography. *Am J Vet Res* 2009;**70**(1):37-48.
18. de Vos RJ, Weir A, Visser RJ, de Winter TC, Tol JL. The additional value of a night splint to eccentric exercises in chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Sports Med* 2007;**41**:e5.
19. de Jonge S, de Vos RJ, Van Schie HT, Verhaar JA, Weir A, Tol JL. One-year follow-up of a randomised controlled trial on added splinting to eccentric exercises in chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med* 2008. Epub ahead of print, PMID:18838406.
20. Robinson JM et al. The VISA-A questionnaire: a valid and reliable index of the clinical severity of Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med* 2001;**35**(5): 335-41.
21. Halasi T, Kynsburg A, Tállay A, Berkes I. Development of a new activity score for the evaluation of ankle instability. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;**32**(4):899-908.
22. Fornage BD. Achilles tendon: US examination. *Radiology* 1986;**159**:759-64.
23. Fornage BD. The hypoechoic normal tendon: a pitfall. *J Ultrasound Med* 1987;**6**:19-22.
24. Van Schie HTM, Bakker, EM, van Weeren PR. Ultrasonographic evaluation of equine tendons: a quantitative in vitro study of the effects of amplifier gain level,

- transducer-tilt, and transducer-displacement. *Vet. Radiol. Ultrasound*. 1999, **40**, 151-60.
25. Aström M, Gentz CF, Nilsson P, Rausing A, Sjöberg S, Westlin N. Imaging in chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a comparison of ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging and surgical findings in 27 histologically verified cases. *Skeletal Radiol* 1996;**25**(7):615-20.
26. Syha R, Peters M, Birnesser H, Niess A, Hirschmueller A, Dickhuth HH, Sandrock M. Computer-based quantification of the mean Achilles tendon thickness in ultrasound images: effect of tendinosis. *Br J Sports Med* 2007;**41**(12):897-902.
27. Öhberg L, Alfredson H. Effects on neovascularisation behind the good results with eccentric training in chronic mid-portion Achilles tendinosis? *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2004;**12**(5):465-70.
28. Kannus P, Józsa L. Histopathological changes preceding spontaneous rupture of a tendon. A controlled study of 891 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1991;**73**(10):1507-25.
29. Wang JH. Mechanobiology of tendon. *J Biomech* 2006;**39**:1563-82.
30. Harris RA, Follett DH, Halliwell M, Wells PNT. Ultimate limits in ultrasonic imaging resolution. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1991;**17**:547-58.
31. van Schie HTM, Bakker EM, Jonker AM, van Weeren PR. Critical evaluation of ultrasonographic tissue characterisation of equine superficial digital flexor tendons by means of gray level statistics. *Am J Vet Res* 2000;**61**:210-19.

APPENDICES

Figure 1 – UTC is based on a transducer in transverse position that is displaced stepwise in the longitudinal direction. In this way, contiguous transverse images provide longitudinal information. The transducer emits ultrasound waves into the tissue in the direction of the beam central axis. According to Harris et al.³⁰, these waves form a three-dimensional sample volume that moves through tissue. Every echo in the ultrasonographic image is the resultant of interactions/reflections that occur in this sample volume.

Depending of size of anatomical structure relative to the three-dimensional sample volume, echoes can be divided into “structure-related” and “interfering”.

Structure-related echoes (type I and II) are generated by only one large structure present in the sample volume, thus one interface and one hit of ultrasound wave resulting in one really structure-related echo that is stable over a large number of contiguous transverse images while the transducer moves along the tendon.

In contrast, interfering echoes (type III and IV) represent more than just one smaller structure in the same sample volume, thus multiple interfaces and multiple hits, and thus one echo is the resultant of multiple interfering ones. These echoes are characterised by a remarkable lack of stability over contiguous transverse images.

UTC algorithms were tested on isolated flexor tendons collected from horses. By precise matching of UTC processed images with exactly corresponding tendon sections, echo-types were verified with use of histology as reference test: I intact and aligned tendon

bundles, II less integer and waving tendon bundles, III mainly fibrillar tissue, IV a mainly amorphous matrix with loose fibrils, cells or fluid.^{7,15-17, 31}

Figure 2 - Standardised UTC procedure. The patients lay prone on the examination table with their feet hanging over the edge of the examination table. The Achilles tendons were manually positioned in a maximum angle of dorsiflexion to ensure that the ultrasound probe was constantly perpendicular to the tendon. A stand-off with scan gel was applied to the Achilles tendon. The transducer was moved manually from proximal to distal in a straight line along a frame over a distance of maximum 9.6 cm with collection of images every 0.2 mm. These images were stored on the hard disk of a computer and subsequently composed to a 3-dimensional data block.

Figure 3 – Tomographic visualisation of a right Achilles tendon in three planes of view, in raw greyscale.

A – Transversal view

B – Sagittal view

C – Coronal view

D – Transversal view with the maximum antero-posterior (AP) thickness measured (white arrow), being the largest diameter perpendicular to the latero-medial width of the tendon. At this position the outline of the Achilles tendon was drawn in the transverse image. This cross-section was used for calculation of the percentages of echo-types within the tendon.

S = Skin

P = Peritendinous Space

AT = Achilles tendon

CA = Calcaneal bone

Figure 4 (coloured image) – Difference of an UTC-processed image between an asymptomatic tendon (A) and a symptomatic tendon (B) in transversal view. The border of the Achilles tendon, which was defined on the grey-scale image, is drawn with the white line. Green pixels represent echo-types I, blue pixels echo-types II, red pixels echo-types III and black pixels echo-types IV.

Note the frequently observed increased echo-types III and IV on the posteromedial side within the asymptomatic tendon and the diffuse distribution within the symptomatic tendon.

Figure 5 – The distribution of the percentages of echo-types I+II in symptomatic and asymptomatic tendons. A clear discrimination can be made between both groups. With a threshold of 75% echo-types I+II (dotted line), three tendons in the symptomatic group were above this threshold and six tendons in the asymptomatic group were below this threshold (dark bars). The other 43 tendons (grey bars) had a tendon structure that could be expected (abnormal structure in symptomatic tendons and normal structure in asymptomatic tendons).









