## **Propositions**

Belonging to the doctoral dissertation

## **Uncertainty in Bureaucracy:**

Toward a Sociological Understanding of Frontline Decision Making

## Nadine Raaphorst

- 1. In making decisions about citizens, frontline officials mainly experience action uncertainties involving the need to improvise to keep in control of situations (this dissertation).
- 2. Since frontline officials need to make judgments based on incomplete information, they actively construct convincing stories to make a case (this dissertation).
- 3. Frontline officials' decisions on a case are not only determined by substantive considerations, but also by social dynamics within public encounters and collegial interactions (this dissertation).
- 4. Signals about cases do not have a determinate meaning for frontline officials, but are interpreted in light of the broader picture that has been formed of the citizen (this dissertation).
- 5. Stereotypes about citizens not only serve as informational signals informing officials' judgments, but also serve as frames affecting frontline officials' interpretation of other citizen signals (this dissertation).
- 6. Since frontline officials are part of society and do not make decisions about citizens in a vacuum, public administration scholars should go beyond testing psychological and economic theories, to examine the explanatory value of sociological theories of decision making.
- 7. The adoption of undefined notions such as 'acceptability' or 'trust' within frontline policy delegates difficult interpretation issues to individual frontline officials.
- 8. Developing 'new' scientific concepts does not contribute to scientific progress if they are old ideas parading as new ones.
- 9. Instead of using top-down notions of discretion, public administration scholarship would benefit from studying frontline officials' own meaning making.
- 10. In order to study discrimination by public officials, scholars should not merely look at individual intent, but also at institutionalized practices.
- 11. Defining practices as 'reverse sexism' or 'reverse racism', denies existing power structures in society and ignores the systematic character of both sexism and racism.