PART I PROCALCITONIN-GUIDED THERAPY

CHAPTER 4

HIGHER DIAGNOSTIC
ACCURACY AND COSTEFFECTIVENESS USING
PROCALCITONIN IN THE
TREATMENT OF EMERGENCY
MEDICINE PATIENTS
WITH FEVER (THE HITEMP
STUDY): A MULTICENTER
RANDOMIZED STUDY

Yuri van der Does MD,
Maarten Limper MD PhD,
Stephanie C.E. Schuit MD PhD,
Marten J. Poley PhD,
Joost van Rosmalen PhD,
Christian Ramakers PhD,
Peter Patka MD PhD,
Eric C.M. van Gorp MD PhD,
Pleunie P.M. Rood MD PhD.

Published in:

BMC Emergency Medicine 2016 Apr 6;16:17

ABSTRACT

Background

Fever is a common symptom in the emergency department(ED). Fever can be caused by bacterial infections, which are treated with antibiotics. Often, bacterial infections cannot be ruled out in the ED using standard diagnostics, and empiric antibiotic treatment is started. Procalcitonin(PCT) is a biomarker for bacterial infections, but its role in an undifferentiated ED population remains unclear. We hypothesize that PCT-guided therapy may reduce antibiotics prescription in undifferentiated febrile ED patients. The primary objectives of this study are to determine a) the efficacy, b) the safety of PCT-guided therapy, and c) the accuracy of the biomarker PCT for bacterial infections. The secondary objective is to study the cost-effectiveness of PCT-guided therapy.

Methods and design

This is a multicenter noninferiority randomized controlled trial. All adult ED patients with fever(≥ 38.2°C) are randomized between standard care with and without the addition of a PCT level, after written informed consent.

- a) For efficacy, the reduction of patients receiving antibiotics is calculated, using a superiority analysis: differences between the PCT-guided group and control group are assessed using a Fisher's exact test, and a multivariable logistic regression analysis to account for the effects of demographic and medical variables on the percentage of febrile patients receiving antibiotics.
- b) Safety consists of a composite endpoint, defined as mortality, intensive care admission and ED return visit within 14 days. Noninferiority of PCT will be tested using a one-sided 95%confidence interval for the difference in the composite safety endpoint between the PCT-guided and control groups using a noninferiority margin of 7.5%.
- c)Accuracy of PCT and CRP for the diagnosis of bacterial infections will be reported, using the sensitivity, specificity, and the area under the receiver-operating-characteristic curve in the definitive diagnosis of bacterial infections.

The sample size is 550 patients, which was calculated using a power analysis for all primary objectives. Enrollment of patients started in august 2014 and will last two years.

Discussion

PCT may offer a more tailor-made treatment to the individual ED patient with fever. Prospective costs analyses will reveal the economic consequences of implementing PCT-guided therapy in the ED.

This trial is registered in the Dutch trial register: NTR4949.

BACKGROUND

Fever is one of the most common symptoms of patients visiting the emergency department (ED). The etiology of fever is diverse, ranging from infectious diseases to neoplasms and trauma¹. Specific etiologies of fever, such as severe bacterial infections, have to be treated within one hour after ED presentation with adequate antibiotic therapy, according to the surviving sepsis guidelines².

Because time is of the essence in the initiation of therapy, physicians in the ED have a limited time window for diagnosing the etiology of fever. This results in a "better safe than sorry" approach, in which broad-spectrum antibiotics are administered to febrile patients, only based on history and physical examination, and readily available diagnostic entities.

On the other hand, antibiotic resistance is becoming an increasing problem world-wide. Antimicrobial stewardship advocates thoughtful initiation of antibiotic therapy. Thus, in treatment of bacterial infections, both under-treatment and overtreatment are undesirable. Therefore, it is vital to increase the accuracy of diagnostics of febrile illness.

The mainstay of diagnosing the etiology of fever in the ED consists of history, physical examination and laboratory analysis of serum and other bodily fluids, and chest X-ray examinations. Cultures and viral throat swabs are obtained, but are of no use in the ED, because results take several hours to days and treatment has to be started early after ED presentation². Currently, leukocyte count, with or without leukocyte differentiation, and C-reactive protein (CRP) are the laboratory discriminators of choice in the initial approach in the diagnostic process of febrile diseases.

A higher accuracy of ruling in or ruling out bacterial infections using biomarkers may result in more accurate antimicrobial therapy. On the individual patient level, fewer patients would be treated empirically with antibiotics. Adverse events and drug interactions would be reduced. Also, a more accurate diagnosis could save hospital expenses and result in cost reductions. On a population level, antibiotics resistance could be countered. For patient safety, this should obviously be without an added risk of under-treatment.

Procalcitonin (PCT) is a promising biomarker for bacterial infections. PCT is a precursor protein of calcitonin. Unlike calcitonin, which is only produced in the C-cells of the thyroid gland, PCT can be produced ubiquitously throughout the human body. The production of PCT is upregulated by proinflammatory cytokines like interleukin -1 (IL-1), IL-2, IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor alpha, and directly by bacterial endotoxins and lipopolysaccharide. Interferon gamma, a cytokine associated with viral infections, reduces the upregulation of PCT. It has been shown that PCT levels in non-infectious febrile conditions, such as autoimmune diseases or fever caused by malignant disorders stay low, whereas CRP levels often rise significantly³. Furthermore, an increase in PCT levels can be monitored within 4 to 6 hours after start of

infection⁴⁻⁶. In comparison, CRP is an acute phase protein synthesized exclusively in the liver. CRP levels increase during inflammatory states, but are not specific for bacterial infections and take more time, 6 to 48 hours after start of infection, to be detectable compared to PCT^{5,7}. These characteristics give PCT a theoretical advantage over CRP.

Recently, clinical studies have focused on PCT as a biomarker of bacterial infections, showing better diagnostic properties than commonly used markers such as CRP^{3,8-14}. Studies have shown that PCT-guided antibiotic therapy- i.e. starting or withholding antibiotics, based on the PCT-level - is safe and reduces prescription of antibiotics in several distinct patient groups: savings of more than 23% in the intensive care unit (ICU) and up to 78% in the general practice setting^{8,11,15}. However, evidence of the effectiveness of PCT-guided antibiotic therapy in an undifferentiated ED population is scarce.

The ED is the gateway of hospital healthcare. Patients enter with symptoms and leave with a diagnosis. Either they are admitted, or are sent home. For almost every diagnosis, there are numerous medical tests and interventions available that can be utilized in the ED. However, budgets are limited and should therefore be used in the most efficient manner possible. ED based cost-effectiveness studies are used to estimate the value for money offered by new technologies. These studies serve as a tool for hospital administrators and decision makers who are responsible for prioritizing interventions under economic constraints¹⁶.

Estimated yearly costs associated with antibiotic resistance in Europe are in the range of 1.5 billion euros, comprising extra healthcare costs and productivity losses caused by infections due to antibiotic-resistant bacteria¹⁷. PCT-guided therapy may contribute to a reduction of these enormous costs. It may lead to a reduction in costs of antibiotics and additional diagnostics tests, such as blood cultures in non-bacterial disease. Costs of hospital admissions could be reduced as admission for observation may become obsolete. As an added effect, there may be a reduction of productivity losses, related to paid and unpaid work. Patients may be able to return to their daily activities faster.

The current evidence on the cost-effectiveness of PCT-guided therapy consists of retrospective hypothetical models. One study analyzed the cost-effectiveness of PCT testing in patients hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia with a mathematical model¹⁸. The authors showed that a PCT-guided protocol would cost less and would be more effective than usual care¹⁸. Recently, a model-based study from the US assessed the economic impact of PCT testing in patients with acute respiratory tract infections. The authors documented that PCT-guided care was cost saving in inpatient, ICU and outpatient settings, mainly due to a reduction in antibiotic costs. Total yearly savings at the US national level were calculated at 1.6 billion

dollars19.

These estimates only included costs of PCT testing and savings on costs of hospitalization, excluding savings from other care consumption and productivity losses, which cannot be estimated at this stage. There is a need to broaden the evidence base to promote the efficient use of PCT-guided therapy in patients with fever presenting at EDs.

The primary objectives of this study are to determine a) the efficacy and b) the safety of PCT-guided therapy, and c) the accuracy of the biomarker PCT for bacterial infections. The secondary objective is to study the cost-effectiveness of PCT-guided therapy.

METHODS AND DESIGN

The HiTEMP study is designed as a multicenter noninferiority randomized controlled trial on PCT-guided therapy. The study will be performed in both academic and non-academic teaching hospital settings. Patients will be asked for written informed consent. Patients who consent are randomized using an online computer program, to either the standard-of-care diagnostic workup of febrile patients (control group), or the standard-of-care workup with the addition of the diagnostic biomarker PCT.

Primary outcome measures

a) The efficacy of PCT-guided therapy is defined as the percentage of patients who are prescribed antibiotics in the ED. b) The safety outcome measure consists of a composite endpoint of 30 days mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission within 30 days, or a return visit to the ED within 14 days. c) The accuracy of the definitive diagnosis is reported for PCT and CRP using the sensitivity, specificity, and the AUC of the diagnosis of bacterial infections. The definitive diagnosis is defined in two ways. 1. As "confirmed bacterial infection", in which culture – and PCR results that fit the clinical case presentation are used to report presence of bacterial infections. 2. As "suspected bacterial infection", in which two independent physicians will give their expert opinion on the presence of a bacterial infection using culture results, image modalities and clinical course, in case of discrepancy, a third physician will decide.

Secondary outcome measures

Secondary outcome measures for cost-effectiveness are hospital treatment costs, related medical consumption during follow-up, costs of absenteeism and reduced productivity while at work.

The adherence to PCT advice is reported, including the percentage of antibiotic prescriptions with low PCT result, and withholding of antibiotics in patients with high PCT result. The percentage of patients who received antibiotics without suspected bacterial infection is reported. Outcome measures are reported for the total patient population, and are stratified by source of infection: respiratory tract infections, urinary tract infections, skin and soft tissue infections, central nervous system infections, abdominal infections, non-infectious fever, fever without source, and other causes of fever.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: All patients of 18 years and older visiting the ED, with a temperature of \geq 38.20C (100.80F) are eligible. Temperature is measured using an ear thermometer, in triage. Eligible patients need to provide written informed consent. Exclusion criteria: Patients with specific immunocompromised status, defined

as neutropenia with absolute neutrophil count less than 0.5x109/L, current chemotherapy, or post-organ transplantation are excluded. Furthermore, pregnant patients, moribund patients and patients with a diagnosis that requires primary surgical intervention, or within 72 hours after surgery are excluded. Patients are randomized using a minimization procedure²⁰. The factors for minimization are imbalance in randomization result and study site. The randomization result is allocated at patient enrolment by a computer-generated algorithm.

Sample size calculation and statistical analyses

Sample size and power analysis: For the three primary objectives, the following analyses are used: a) a superiority analysis for the reduction in the percentage of febrile patients receiving antibiotics. b) a noninferiority analysis for safety, and c) an analysis of the test characteristics (sensitivity and specificity) of the biomarker PCT and CRP. The total sample size calculation is based on a power analysis for each of these three primary outcome measures; the total sample size is the maximum of the required sample sizes for the different primary outcome measures.

- a) For the superiority analysis of the reduction in the prescription of antibiotics, we assumed that antibiotics are initially prescribed at the ED to 73% of the patients in the control arm, and that the intervention will reduce this percentage to 53%, based on a careful estimate using recent literature¹⁴. To obtain 80% power to detect a significant difference between the two groups using a two-sided Fisher's exact test with a significance level of 5%, the required sample size is 101 patients per group.
- b) The noninferiority analysis for the safety objective uses a composite endpoint consisting of ICU admittance, 30-days mortality or second ED visit within 14 days. For an accurate sample size calculation, we first analyzed ED data from the Erasmus MC from January 2011 until May 2012. In this data set, the prevalence of the composite safety endpoint was 12.7% (102 cases out of 809 patients). We used this percentage as the expected rate in the control group. Using a noninferiority margin of 7.5%, a noninferiority analysis comparing the rates in the control and the intervention groups requires 244 patients in each group to obtain 80% power with a one-sided alpha of 5%. To account for 10% expected dropout, the total sample size must be 550 patients.
- c) The third sample size calculation is based on a comparison of sensitivity and specificity of PCT and CRP in patients with infection. We used data from a meta-analysis^{21,22} to estimate the accuracy of PCT and CRP for bacterial infection. We conservatively assumed zero correlation between the test results of PCT and CRP for the diagnosis of bacterial infection. For the prevalence of bacterial infections, we used data from our pilot study³ to obtain a representative estimate of the prevalence of bacterial infections, which led to an estimated prevalence of 68%. Based on the results of the meta-analysis, the sensitivity of PCT was 0.83 and for CRP 0.73; the specificity was 0.88 for PCT and 0.60 for CRP. Using McNemar's test, 340

patients with a bacterial infection (340/0.68=500 patients in total) are required to obtain 80% power for detecting a difference in sensitivity between PCT and CRP. Forty-one patients without a bacterial infection (41/(1-0.68)=129 patients in total) are required to obtain 80% power for detecting a difference in specificity between PCT and CRP. To ensure sufficient power for all three primary outcome measures, we thus use a sample size of 275 patients per group (550 patients in total).

Statistical analyses for the primary study parameters

a) Antibiotics use. The percentage of patients that are prescribed antibiotics is compared between the PCT-guided group and control group using a Fisher's exact test. Demographic parameters (age, sex, mortality), medical parameters (medication use, comorbidity, temperature, CRP and PCT measurements), hospital admission related parameters (hospital admittance, hospital length of stay, ICU admittance, ICU length of stay) are compared between the PCT-guided group and control group using Fisher's exact tests for dichotomous variables, chi-square tests for categorical variables with more than two categories, t-tests for continuous variables that are normally distributed, and Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous variables that are not normally distributed. A logistic regression analysis will be used to determine the influence of these parameters on the proportion of antibiotics prescriptions in the control group and the PCT-guided therapy group. To select the independent variables in this logistic regression model, we will use a stepwise backward approach in which only the independent variables are retained that have a significant effect using a significance level of 5%; however, the variable group (PCT-guided group versus control group) will be included in the model irrespective of the results. In the event of missing values in possible confounding data, like intoxications and prescription drugs use, we will use multiple imputation. Both an intention to treat analysis and a per protocol analysis will be performed. Physician adherence to the PCT guidance will be determined.

b) Safety. Significant differences between the PCT-guided group and control group in the composite safety endpoint are determined using a one-sided upper 95% confidence limit for the difference in proportion between the PCT-guided group and the control group. This confidence interval will be calculated using the method of Agresti and Caffo²³. Noninferiority of the intervention will be established if the 95% confidence interval excludes 7.5%, i.e. if PCT-guided prescription of antibiotics does not increase the rate of the composite endpoint by more than 7.5 percentage points. Differences in hospital length of stay will be assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. c) Accuracy of PCT and CRP. In all patients, the final diagnosis of the etiology of fever will be determined. Also, it will be determined if there is a bacterial infection. The variables "confirmed bacterial infection" and "suspected bacterial infection" will serve as gold standards for the evaluation of the accuracy of the biomarkers CRP and PCT. These will be determined retrospectively, using culture results and all

diagnostic tests available. In all patients, both PCT and CRP levels will be available for statistical analysis. The ability to predict bacterial infection of both PCT and CRP will be evaluated using receiver operating characteristic curves, and the area under the curve will be calculated. In addition, logistic regression will be performed to analyze the effects of PCT and CRP levels on the probability of a bacterial infection. The independent variables in this analysis are age, sex, PCT, CRP, temperature, comorbidity, and other variables that have a p<0.1 for the difference between groups. We will account for possible non-linear effects of age, PCT, CRP and temperature by using appropriate transformations of these variables.

Statistical analyses for the secondary study parameters

The study will involve an economic evaluation from the societal perspective comparing PCT-guided therapy with usual care. The economic evaluation will use the technique of cost-minimization analysis, which compares two interventions of identical effectiveness to find out which is less costly. Total treatment costs will be compared between the PCT-guided therapy arm and the control arm, including costs for PCT testing (intervention group only), other diagnostic tests, ED visits, antibiotics and other medications, adverse effects of antibiotics, hospital admissions, return visits to the general practitioner (GP) and other related medical consumption. These costs will be taken into account during the one-month follow-up period. Unit prices will be calculated using real economic cost prices or using standard cost-prices for health economic evaluations²⁴. Unit prices will be multiplied by the quantities for each resource used, and then summed over the separate types of resource to give a total cost per patient. In addition, differences in labor productivity losses will be evaluated by comparing costs of absence from work (absenteeism) and reduced productivity while at work (presenteeism). Moreover, productivity losses related to unpaid work (e.g., household work, shopping, odd jobs, and voluntary work) will be included. Productivity losses will be evaluated using the Productivity Costs Questionnaire²⁵. Mean total costs will be calculated for patients in each treatment group.

Laboratory examinations

Blood samples will be obtained at inclusion. Samples will be centrifuged (3000 N Relative centrifugal force (Rcf) at room temperature for 5 minutes). The serum will be measured on the routine analyzer of the clinical chemistry laboratory (Roche Cobas 8000 system, Roche Diagnostics Netherlands). PCT-measurements will be performed by using an electro-chemiluminiscent immunoassay (ECLIA)(Roche diagnostics, Brahms, Henningsdorf, Germany). All samples will be measured and reported without knowledge of the clinical status of the subjects.

Higher diagnostic accuracy and cost-effectiveness using procalcitonin in the Treatment of Emergency Medicine Patients with fever (The HiTEMP study): a multicenter randomized study

PCT-guided therapy

PCT-guided therapy is defined as the initiation of antibiotics, based on all available diagnostics with the addition of PCT-levels. The PCT results are appraised using a two-point scale, in which bacterial infections are respectively deemed unlikely (PCT < 0.5 μ g/L) and likely (PCT \geq 0.5 μ g/L). These cut-off values are used in other trials²⁶⁻²⁸.

Follow-up

One month after inclusion, patients will be contacted by telephone by one of the investigators. Course of the disease, including medicine use, related GP hospital visits (and diagnostics/prescriptions), and labor productivity losses, will be evaluated. Three months after inclusion, one of the investigators will contact patient's GP in order to evaluate the final outcome of the febrile episode. Patients are allowed to participate only once.

Adverse events

The composite safety endpoint is continually monitored during the trial. Also, adverse events, not in the composite safety endpoint - death after 30 days, life threatening events or any other important medical event that may jeopardize patients in any way - are monitored by the data safety monitoring board of the study.

Ethics approval and trial registration

The ethics committee of the Erasmus University Medical Center, in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, approved this trial. It is conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, version March 1, 2013 and in accordance with the Dutch Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (Wet Medisch wetenschappelijk Onderzoek met mensen, WMO). The CONSORT statements and its revised extension for reporting noninferiority trials were consulted and taken into account when designing and writing this protocol^{29,30}. The trial has been registered in the Dutch trial registry, NTR4949.

DISCUSSION

Although observational studies have shown that PCT is more sensitive and specific for bacterial infections in comparison to CRP^{14,31}, and prospective trials have investigated the reduction of antibiotics in patients with respiratory complaints^{32,33}, the role of PCT in the ED remains unclear. This study is designed to answer the question whether PCT can aid in improving the accuracy of diagnosing bacterial infections in an ED setting. The real-life clinical ED setting differs from a research setting in which patients have very specific symptoms. Consequently, the value of PCT for ED patients without specific complaints has not been determined.

Because of this clinical problem, we used an objective measurement of temperature of 38.2°C or higher as sole inclusion criterion. As a result, we have a more heterogeneous population compared to other trials^{32,33}, allowing us to extrapolate the results to the majority of the adult ED patient population. Furthermore, we will be able to identify cases where physicians disregard the PCT level, and investigate the causes. These are urgent questions in emergency medicine.

The costs of PCT-guided therapy may be of influence in implementation in clinical practice. In the Netherlands alone, 94.2 billion euros was spent on healthcare in 2013, and the costs are rising³⁴. Therefore, there is much interest in healthcare initiatives that benefit patients' health and are not associated with additional costs. Currently, there are no prospective cost-effectiveness studies on PCT-guided therapy. Two economic evaluation studies^{18,19} report that a PCT-guided antibiotic algorithm may reduce costs. However, physician adherence to the PCT-guided therapy protocol could not be accurately estimated, and productivity losses are not analyzed. Therefore, these studies do not provide concrete evidence. In the HiTEMP study, costs are analyzed prospectively to provide a more accurate recommendation.

To reduce the risk of harming patients who receive PCT-guided therapy, we designed a noninferiority study protocol for the primary safety objective. Our study is in its design largely similar to the ProHOSP trial³³. This ED based noninferiority trial, which investigates the start of antibiotic therapy using PCT in patients with suspected respiratory tract infections, uses a composite endpoint with a noninferiority margin of 7.5%. Moreover, in the Cochrane review on PCT-guided therapy, no differences in mortality or treatment failure were found³⁵. We therefore consider the noninferiority margin of 7.5% of the composite endpoint ethically acceptable. We use a composite endpoint, consisting of patient centered outcomes. These outcomes, i.e. mortality, ICU admittance <30 days or return to ED within two weeks, are a potential sign of treatment failure.

There are logistical issues that may arise during the course of the study. One of the most important issues may be physician protocol adherence. Physicians need to

Higher diagnostic accuracy and cost-effectiveness using procalcitonin in the Treatment of Emergency Medicine Patients with fever (The HiTEMP study): a multicenter randomized study

learn how they should interpret the value of the PCT level, and develop a certain clinical 'feel' towards it. The investigators will facilitate adoption of PCT, by informing every physician prior and during the study. Possibly, a 'learning curve' can be seen in the treatment according to PCT guidance. However, the physician motivates every choice of treatment that is not in accordance with the treatment advice based on the PCT result. Moreover, the accuracy of PCT will be calculated using the definitive diagnosis. Physician adherence to PCT guidance will also be investigated.

CONCLUSION

The HiTEMP trial addresses critical clinical questions in emergency medicine. PCT may offer a more tailor-made treatment to the individual ED patient with fever. The study will also shed light on the cost consequences of implementing PCT-guided therapy in the ED.

Prospective

The HiTEMP study is open for inclusion. Results are expected at the end of 2016.

This study protocol is approved by the medical ethics committee (Medisch ethische toetsingscommissie, METC) of the Erasmus University Medical Center, in Rotterdam, the Netherlands. Reference number MEC 2013-149.

Higher diagnostic accuracy and cost-effectiveness using procalcitonin in the Treatment of Emergency Medicine Patients with fever (The HiTEMP study): a multicenter randomized study

REFERENCES

- Limper M, Eeftinck Schattenkerk D, de Kruif MD, et al. One-year epidemiology of fever at the Emergency Department. The Netherlands journal of medicine 2011;69:124-8.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. Intensive care medicine 2013;39:165-228.
- Limper M, van der Does Y, Brandjes DP, De Kruif MD, Rood PP, van Gorp EC. Procalcitonin guided antibiotic therapy in patients presenting with fever in the emergency department. The Journal of infection 2014 Oct;69(4):410-2.
- 4. Linscheid P, Seboek D, Nylen ES, et al. In vitro and in vivo calcitonin I gene expression in parenchymal cells: a novel product of human adipose tissue. Endocrinology 2003;144:5578-84.
- 5. Becker KL, Snider R, Nylen ES. Procalcitonin assay in systemic inflammation, infection, and sepsis: clinical utility and limitations. Critical care medicine 2008;36:941-52.
- 6. Becker KL, Nylen ES, White JC, Muller B, Snider RH, Jr. Clinical review 167: Procalcitonin and the calcitonin gene family of peptides in inflammation, infection, and sepsis: a journey from calcitonin back to its precursors. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism 2004;89:1512-25.
- Pepys MB, Hirschfield GM. C-reactive protein: a critical update. The Journal of clinical investigation 2003;111:1805-12.
- Schuetz P, Albrich W, Christ-Crain M, Chastre J, Mueller B. Procalcitonin for guidance of antibiotic therapy. Expert review of anti-infective therapy 2010;8:575-87.
- Soni NJ, Samson DJ, Galaydick JL, Vats V, Pitrak DL, Aronson N. Procalcitonin-Guided Antibiotic Therapy. J Hosp Med 2013 Sep;8(9)530-40.
- Kopterides P, Siempos, II, Tsangaris I, Tsantes A, Armaganidis A. Procalcitonin-guided algorithms of antibiotic therapy in the intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Critical care medicine 2010;38:2229-41.
- 11. Burkhardt O, Ewig S, Haagen U, et al. Procalcitonin guidance and reduction of antibiotic use in acute respiratory tract infection. European Respiratory Journal 2010;36:601-7.
- 12. Long W, Deng X, Zhang Y, Lu G, Xie J, Tang J. Procalcitonin guidance for reduction of antibiotic use in low-risk outpatients with community-acquired pneumonia. Respirology 2011;16:819-24.
- Uzzan B, Cohen R, Nicolas P, Cucherat M, Perret GY. Procalcitonin as a diagnostic test for sepsis in critically ill adults and after surgery or trauma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Critical care medicine 2006;34:1996-2003.
- 14. Limper M, de Kruif MD, Ajubi NE, et al. Procalcitonin as a potent marker of bacterial infection in febrile Afro-Caribbean patients at the emergency department. European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious diseases: official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology 2011;30:831-6.
- Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Bingisser R, et al. Procalcitonin guidance of antibiotic therapy in communityacquired pneumonia: a randomized trial. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2006;174:84-93.
- Hsu H, Walensky RP. Cost-effectiveness analysis and HIV screening: the emergency medicine perspective. Ann Emerg Med 2011;58:S145-50.
- 17. EMEA. The bacterial challenge: time to react. A call to narrow the gap between multidrug-resistant bacteria in the EU and the development of new bacterial agents. 2009.
- 18. Smith KJ, Wateska A, Nowalk MP, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of Procalcitonin-Guided Antibiotic Use in Community Acquired Pneumonia. Journal of general internal medicine 2013.
- Schuetz P, Balk R, Briel M, et al. Economic evaluation of procalcitonin-guided antibiotic therapy in acute respiratory infections: a US health system perspective. Clin Chem Lab Med 2015;53:583-92.
- Pocock SJ, Simon R. Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. Biometrics 1975;31:103-15.
- 21. Simon L, Gauvin F, Amre DK, Saint-Louis P, Lacroix J. Serum procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels as markers of bacterial infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2004;39:206-17.
- Simon L. Erratum: Serum Procalcitonin and C-Reactive Protein Levels as Markers of Bacterial Infection:
 A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2005;May:1386–8.
- Agresti A, Caffo B. Simple and Effective Confidence Intervals for Proportions and Differences of Proportions Result from Adding Two Successes and Two Failures. The American Statistician 2000;54:280-8.

- CVZ. Handleiding voor kostenonderzoek: Methoden en standaard kostprijzen voor economische evaluaties. Diemen: College voor Zorgverzekeringen 2010.
- Hakkaart-van Roijen L. T, S.S., Bouwmans, C. Productivity costs questionnaire (PCQ). Rotterdam: institute for medical technology assessment 2012.
- Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Tubach F, et al. Use of procalcitonin to reduce patients' exposure to antibiotics in intensive care units (PRORATA trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2010;375:463-74.
- Schuetz P, Amin DN, Greenwald JL. Role of procalcitonin in managing adult patients with respiratory tract infections. Chest 2012;141:1063-73.
- Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Wolbers M, et al. Procalcitonin guided antibiotic therapy and hospitalization in patients with lower respiratory tract infections: a prospective, multicenter, randomized controlled trial. BMC health services research 2007;7:102.
- 29. Piaggio G, Elbourne DR, Altman DG, Pocock SJ, Evans SJ. Reporting of noninferiority and equivalence randomized trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association 2006;295:1152-60.
- Piaggio G, Elbourne DR, Pocock SJ, Evans SJ, Altman DG. Reporting of noninferiority and equivalence randomized trials: extension of the CONSORT 2010 statement. JAMA: the journal of the American Medical Association 2012;308:2594-604.
- 31. de Kruif MD, Limper M, Gerritsen H, et al. Additional value of procalcitonin for diagnosis of infection in patients with fever at the emergency department. Critical care medicine 2010;38:457-63.
- 32. Briel M, Schuetz P, Mueller B, et al. Procalcitonin-guided antibiotic use vs a standard approach for acute respiratory tract infections in primary care. Arch Intern Med 2008;168:2000-7.
- Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Thomann R, et al. Effect of procalcitonin-based guidelines vs standard guide lines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: The ProHOSP randomized controlled trial.
 JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association 2009;302:1059-66.
- 34. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek SN. Gezondheid en zorg in cijfers 2014. The Hague/Heerlen2014.
- 35. Schuetz P, Muller B, Christ-Crain M, et al. Procalcitonin to initiate or discontinue antibiotics in acute respiratory tract infections. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2012;9:Cd007498.