Altered tract-specific white matter microstructure is related to poorer cognitive performance.
The Rotterdam Study.

Lotte G.M Cremers, Marius de Groot, Albert Hofman, Gabriel P. Krestin, Aad van der Lugt, Wiro J. Niessen, Meike W. Vernooij, M. Arfan Ikram

Erasmus University Rotterdam

ABSTRACT

White matter microstructural integrity has been related to cognition. Yet, the potential role of specific white matter tracts on top of a global white matter effect remains unclear, especially when considering specific cognitive domains. Therefore, we determined the tract-specific effect of white matter microstructure on global cognition and specific cognitive domains. In 4,400 nondemented and stroke-free participants (mean age 63.7, 55.5% female) we obtained diffusion magnetic resonance imaging parameters (fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity) in 14 white matter tracts using probabilistic tractography and assessed cognitive performance with a cognitive test battery. Tract-specific white matter microstructure in all supratentorial tracts was associated with poorer global cognition. Lower fractional anisotropy in association tracts, primarily the inferior-fronto-occipital fasciculus, and higher mean diffusivity in projection tracts, in particular the posterior thalamic radiation, most strongly related to poorer cognition. Altered white matter microstructure related to poorer information processing speed, executive functioning, and motor speed, but not to memory. Tract-specific microstructural changes may aid in better understanding the mechanism of cognitive impairment and neurodegenerative diseases.

INTRODUCTION

Brain white matter damage is increasingly recognized as an important factor in the pathophysiology of cognitive impairment and dementia.[1-4] Evidence shows that macrostructural white matter changes, such as white matter lesions, white matter atrophy and lacunes relate to poorer cognitive performance. Studies have already suggested a regional pattern of association between these macrostructural white matter changes and specific cognitive domains.[5-7] At the same time, it is thought that such conventional markers only represent the tip of the iceberg of white matter changes. Focusing on microstructural changes by means of the microstructural integrity of the white matter, may provide a more in-depth insight of alterations in the white matter. Perhaps more importantly, the white matter is not a bulk substance but consists of different white matter tracts, which are important for the connection of different cortical regions.[8] Changes in white matter microstructural integrity are accompanied by changes in diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (diffusion-MRI) parameters. Fractional anisotropy (FA) is generally lower, mean diffusivity (MD) is generally higher (with exceptions) in older or diseased brains, which is thought to reflect reduced white matter microstructure.[9,10]

Altered microstructure of white matter tracts, e.g. as a result of aging or pathologic processes, is presumed to lead to loss of communication between cortical regions, resulting in poorer cognitive performance, the so-called "disconnection hypothesis".[6,11-14] Information processing speed and executive function are the most consistently impaired cognitive functions that have been related to white matter damage.[15-17] However the potential role of specific white matter tracts on top of a global white matter effect in cognitive performance remains unclear, especially when considering specific cognitive domains. It is necessary to investigate these potential roles for specific white matter tracts to elucidate probable mechanism of cognitive impairment and neurodegenerative diseases. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the tract-specific effect of white matter microstructure on global cognition and specific cognitive domains in a large, middle aged and elderly population of 4400 persons from the population-based Rotterdam Study,[18] using diffusion-MRI.

METHODS

Study population

This study is based on participants from the Rotterdam Study, an ongoing, prospective, population-based cohort study including participants of 45 years and older living in Ommoord, a suburb of Rotterdam.[19] From 2005 onwards, MRI-scanning was included in the study protocol.[20] Between 2006 and 2011, 5,430 nondemented participants with-

out contraindications for MRI (including claustrophobia) were eligible for scanning. Among these persons, 4,841 underwent a multi-sequence MRI acquisition of the brain, including diffusion-weighted MRI-scanning. We excluded scans with incomplete acquisitions (N=53), scans with artifacts hampering automated processing (N=112), and scans with MRI-defined cortical infarcts (N=160). We additionally excluded 116 participants with history of clinical stroke. This resulted in 4400 individuals with analyzable MRI data. Of these, 3876 participants had fully available cognition data. MRI-scanning and cognitive assessment took place at the same visit, apart from 677 participants who underwent MRI-scanning on average 1.9 years (standard deviation (SD) 0.6) before cognitive assessment. The Rotterdam Study has been approved by the medical ethics committee according to the Population Study Act Rotterdam Study, executed by the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports of the Netherlands. All participants gave written informed consent.

MRI acquisition and processing

We performed multi-sequence MR imaging on a 1.5 tesla MRI scanner (GE Signa Excite), undergoing a QA protocol keeping the system unchanged (no major updates or upgrades) for the period of inclusion. The imaging protocol was described extensively elsewhere.[20] Due to a technical problem between February 2007 and May 2008, 1338 subjects were scanned with the phase and frequency encoding directions swapped for the diffusion acquisition, which led to a mild ghosting artifact in the phase encoding direction.[21] This was treated as a potential confounder in the analysis (see statistical analysis). An automated tissue segmentation approach was used to classify scans into grey matter, white matter, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and background tissue. Intracranial volume (ICV) (excluding the cerebellum and surrounding CSF) was estimated by summing total grey and white matter and CSF volumes, and used to correct for head size.[22] White matter lesions (WML) were identified using an automated post-processing step based on the FLAIR image and the tissue segmentation.[23] We visually assessed the presence of infarcts on structural MRI sequences, and in case of involvement of cortical grey matter we classified them as cortical infarcts.

Diffusion-MRI processing and tractography

For diffusion-MRI, we performed a single shot, diffusion-weighted spin echo echo-planar imaging sequence. Maximum b-value was 1000 s/mm^2 in 25 non-collinear directions; three volumes were acquired without diffusion weighting (b-value = 0 s/mm^2). All diffusion data were pre-processed using a standardized pipeline.[24] In short, eddy current and head-motion correction were performed on the diffusion data. The resampled data was used to fit diffusion tensors, allowing (in combination with the

tissue segmentation) computation of global mean FA and MD in the normal-appearing white matter .

The diffusion data was also used to segment white matter tracts using a diffusion tractography approach described previously.[21] For 14 different white matter tracts (11 of which segmented bilaterally) tract-specific white matter microstructural diffusion-MRI parameters (median FA, and MD) were obtained with subsequent combination of left and right measures (**Figure 1**).[21] The average reproducibility of our tract-specific measurements was 87%, which is good.[21] We standardized tract-specific diffusion-MRI parameters (zero mean, unit SD) to facilitate comparison of associations. Tracts were categorized, based on anatomy, into brainstem tracts, projection tracts, association tracts, limbic system tracts and callosal tracts.[21]


Figure 1. Overview of white matter tracts
Abbreviations: MCP: middle cerebellar peduncle, ML: medial lemniscus, CST: cortico-spinal tract, ATR: anterior thalamic radiation, STR: superior thalamic radiation, PTR: posterior thalamic radiation, SLF: superior longitudinal fasciculus, ILF: inferior longitudinal fasciculus, IFO: inferior-fronto-occipital fasciculus, UNC: uncinated fasciculus, CGC: cingulate gyrus part of cingulum, CGH: parahippocampal part of cingulum, FMA: forceps major, FMI: forceps minor. A=anterior, S=superior, L=lateral.

Tract segmentations were also used to acquire tract-specific white matter volumes, and- by combining the tissue and tract segmentations- tract-specific WML volumes. Tract-specific WML volumes were natural-log transformed, to account for their skewed distribution.

The cerebellum could not be fully incorporated in the field of view of the diffusion-MRI scan, resulting in partial coverage of the medial lemniscus at the lower border of the scan. To overcome this problem alternative seed masks for tractography were selected until reasonable coverage was achieved.[21] This correction was treated as a potential confounder in all models that included the medial lemniscus (see statistical analysis).

Assessment of cognitive function

Cognitive function was assessed in all participants with the following cognitive test battery: 15-Word Learning Test (15-WLT), which tests immediate and delayed recall to investigate memory;[25] Stroop tests (reading, color-naming, interference), which tap into information processing speed and executive function;[26,27] Letter-Digit Substitution Task and[28] Word Fluency Test (WFT);[29] which both test executive function, and the Purdue Pegboard test to measure fine motor speed.[30]

To aid comparison across cognitive tests we generated z-scores for each cognitive test. The z-scores for the Stroop tests were inverted: higher scores on the Stroop test indicate a poorer performance, while higher scores on the other cognitive tests indicate better cognitive performance. In addition, we also investigated global cognition by constructing a g-factor using a principal component analysis on the delayed recall score of the 15-Word Learning Test, Stroop interference Test, Letter-Digit Substitution Task, Word Fluency Test, and the Purdue Pegboard test.[31]

Ascertainment of dementia and clinical stroke

Prevalent dementia and clinical stroke were ascertained as previously described,[32-34] and these patients were excluded.

Other measurements

The following cardiovascular risk factors, based on information derived from home interviews and physical examinations during the center visit, were assessed. Blood pressure was measured twice in sitting position using a random-zero sphygmomanometer and the average of two measurements was used in the analyses. Information on the use of antihypertensive medication was collected by using questionnaires and by checking the medication cabinets of the participants. Total serum cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were determined in blood serum, taking lipid-lowering medication into account. Smoking was assessed by interview and coded

as never, former and current. Diabetes mellitus status was determined based on fasting serum glucose level (>= 7.0 mmol/l) or, if unavailable, non-fasting serum glucose level (>=11.1 mmol/l) or the use of anti-diabetic medication.[19] The participants' attained level of education was collected and was categorized into 7 categories, ranging from primary education only to university level.

Missing values on cardiovascular risk factors and education (<3% for all variables) were imputed by multiple imputation (n=5), based on age, sex, education, serum cholesterol level, HDL cholesterol level, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication, lipid-lowering medication, smoking and diabetes.

Apolipoprotein E (APOE)-ε4 allele carriership was assessed on coded genomic DNA samples.[35] APOE genotype was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Statistical analysis

First, we created scatterplots correlating tract-specific diffusion parameters with global cognition (g-factor), adjusted for age and sex, to explore linearity of the associations. Next, associations of tract-specific diffusion measurements with cognitive performance were evaluated using multivariable linear regression models. Standardized betas and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated on standardized FA or MD within each tract. We performed analyses in three models. In model I, analyses were adjusted for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, tract-specific white matter volume, and tract-specific WML volume. In model II we additionally adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors and *APOE-ε4 allele* carriership, to study whether there were pathways relating white matter microstructure to cognition, other than those involving these factors. This is relevant since vascular factors may be considered either confounders or part of the causal chain from vascular factors through white matter microstructure to cognition. We furthermore applied model III, which additionally adjusted for global FA (for analyses with tract-specific FA as determinant) or for global MD (for analyses with tract-specific MD as determinant) on top of model II, to study whether specific tracts provided additional information above global white matter diffusion-MRI parameters. In all analyses, we treated the phase encoding direction of the diffusion scan as a potential confounder. For analyses in which the medial lemniscus was studied we additionally adjusted for the variable position of seed masks, as explained before.

We compared effect sizes across associations of different tracts and cognitive tests using Z-tests $(beta_1–beta_2)/(sqrt(standard\ error_1^2+standard\ error_2^2))$ to investigate whether the association with cognition in certain tracts was significantly different across tracts. See Supplementary Table A.1 for all betas and standard errors. Moreover, we performed a series of sensitivity analyses, excluding the participants who underwent MRI-scanning on average 1.9 years prior to cognitive assessment, and we also excluded participants with a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) lower than 26. We corrected the

p-value (alpha level of 0.05) for multiple comparisons using Šidák correction, after estimating the number of independent tests, resulting in a threshold for significance of p<0.0006.[36,37] All analyses were carried out using R version 2.15.0.

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study population. Mean age of the participants was 63.7 ±11.1 years, and 55.5 % were women. Scatterplots correlating tract-specific diffusion parameters with global cognition (g-factor), adjusted for age and sex are shown in **Supplementary Fig. A.1 & A.2**.

The association of tract-specific diffusion parameters and global cognition is presented in **Table 2** and in **Figure 2**. Lower FA and higher MD in all tracts (except for brainstem tracts) were associated with lower global cognition. For FA, white matter microstructure in the association tracts followed by the callosal tracts was most strongly related with global cognition. After adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors and *APOE-ε4* allele carriership (model II), the mean difference in g-factor (z-score) per SD increase of FA in the association tracts ranged from 0.09 (CI:0.06;0.12) to 0.12 (CI:0.09;0.16), in the callosal tracts from 0.09 (CI:0.05;0.12) to 0.11 (CI:0.08;0.14). For MD, white matter microstructure in the projection tracts, followed by the association tracts was most strongly related with global cognition. Mean difference in z-score for the g-factor per SD increase of MD in the projection tracts, after adjusting for cardiovascular risk factors and *APOE-ε4* allele carriership, ranged from -0.16 (CI:-0.20;-0.13) to -0.18 (CI:-0.22;-0.14), in the association tracts from -0.11 (CI:-0.14;-0.08) to -0.16 (CI:-0.20;-0.12). After controlling for global FA or global MD (model III), the associations attenuated but, the majority of the associations remained significant.

Table 3 presents the associations of white matter microstructure with cognitive performance on separate cognitive tests after adjustment for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, tract-specific white matter volume, tract-specific WML volume, cardiovascular risk factors and *APOE-ε4* allele carriership (model II). Higher values of FA, in all tracts (except the brain stem tracts), but mainly in the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, inferior longitudinal fasciculus (association tracts), forceps minor (callosal tract) and the posterior thalamic radiation (projection tract), were generally associated with a better performance on the Stroop tests, Letter-Digit Substitution Task, and the Purdue Pegboard test and to a lesser degree on the Word Fluency Test. Higher values of MD in all tracts, but mainly in the projection tracts, (especially in the posterior thalamic radiation) and in the association tracts, in particular in the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus were associated with poorer performance on the Stroop tests, Letter-Digit Substitution Task, and the Purdue Pegboard test, and also to a lesser degree on the Word Fluency Test. Additionally adjusting for global FA or global MD

Table 1. Population characteristics

Characteristic	Total (N = 4400)
Age, yrs	63.7 (11.1)
Sex, women	2444 (55.5)
Cognitive tests results[a]	
Word Learning Test immediate recall (sum of 3 trials)	23.3 (6.5)
Word Learning Test delayed recall	7.7 (2.9)
Stroop test	
Reading subtask of Stroop test, s	17.0 (3.4)
Color naming subtask of Stroop test, s	23.2 (4.6)
Interference subtask of Stroop test, s	47.7 (17.2)
Letter-Digit Substitution Task, number of correct digits	30.4 (7.1)
Word Fluency Test, number of animals	23.0 (6.0)
Purdue Pegboard test, number of pins placed	10.5 (1.9)
Education[b]	3 (1-4)
Systolic blood pressure, mmHg	139.3 (21.5)
Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg	83.1 (10.8)
Blood pressure lowering medication	1555 (35.3)
Serum cholesterol, mmol/l	5.5 (1.1)
HDL-cholesterol, mmol/l	1.5 (0.4)
Lipid lowering medication	1231 (28.0)
Smoking	
Never	1363 (31.0)
Former	2130 (48.4)
Current	907 (20.6)
Diabetes mellitus	413 (9.4)
Apolipoprotein E-ε4 allele carriership	1184 (28.8)
Normal appearing white matter volume, ml	404.1 (61.6)
Intra-cranial volume, ml	1340.0 (133.1)
White matter lesion volume[b], ml	2.9 (1.6-6.0)
Mean FA	0.3 (0.02)
Mean MD, 10^{-3} mm^2/sec	0.7 (0.03)

Continuous variables are presented as means (standard deviations) and categorical variables as n (percentages). Data on *apolipoprotein E-ε4 allele* carriership were missing in 287 persons. Global FA and global MD were missing in 173 participants, due to failed segmentation.

[a]Cognitive tests were available for Word Learning Test immediate recall in n=4186, Word Learning Test delayed recall in n=4134, reading subtask of Stroop test in n=4207, color naming subtask of Stroop test in n=4207, interference subtask of Stroop test in n=4200, Letter-Digit Substitution Task in n=4234, Word Fluency Test in n= 4272, and Purdue Pegboard test in n= 4087.

[b]Education and white matter lesion volume are presented as median (inter-quartile range).

Abbreviations: N;number of participants, HDL;high-density lipoprotein, s;seconds, FA;fractional anisotropy, MD;mean diffusivity x 10^{-3} mm^2/sec.

Table 2. Associations of fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity with global cognition (g-factor)

White matter tracts	Fractional Anisotropy			Mean Diffusivity		
	Model I	Model II	Model III	Model I	Model II	Model III
Tracts in brainstem						
Middle cerebellar peduncle	-0.01 (-0.05;0.03)	-0.01 (-0.05;0.03)	-0.01 (-0.05;0.03)	-0.01 (-0.04;0.02)	0.00 (-0.03;0.03)	0.02 (-0.01;0.05)
Medial lemniscus [a]	0.03 (0.00;0.06)	0.03 (0.00;0.06)	0.01 (-0.02;0.05)	**-0.05 (-0.08;-0.02)**	-0.04 (-0.07;-0.01)	0.00 (-0.03;0.03)
Projection tracts						
Corticospinal tract	0.01 (-0.02;0.03)	0.01 (-0.02;0.04)	-0.02 (-0.05;0.01)	**-0.17 (-0.20;-0.13)**	**-0.16 (-0.19;-0.12)**	**-0.11 (-0.17;-0.06)**
Anterior thalamic radiation	**0.07 (0.04;0.10)**	**0.07 (0.04;0.10)**	0.04 (0.00;0.08)	**-0.17 (-0.21;-0.13)**	**-0.16 (-0.20;-0.12)**	**-0.13 (-0.19;-0.07)**
Superior thalamic radiation	0.01 (-0.02;0.04)	0.01 (-0.01;0.04)	-0.02 (-0.05;0.01)	**-0.17 (-0.21;-0.13)**	**-0.16 (-0.20;-0.13)**	**-0.13 (-0.19;-0.07)**
Posterior thalamic radiation	**0.11 (0.08;0.14)**	**0.10 (0.07;0.14)**	**0.09 (0.05;0.13)**	**-0.19 (-0.23;-0.15)**	**-0.18 (-0.22;-0.14)**	**-0.14 (-0.19;-0.09)**
Association tracts						
Superior longitudinal fasciculus	**0.09 (0.06;0.12)**	**0.09 (0.06;0.12)**	0.06 (0.01;0.11)	**-0.13 (-0.16;-0.09)**	**-0.13 (-0.16;-0.09)**	-0.06 (-0.13;0.00)
Inferior longitudinal fasciculus	**0.11 (0.08;0.14)**	**0.10 (0.07;0.13)**	**0.09 (0.05;0.13)**	**-0.14 (-0.18;-0.10)**	**-0.13 (-0.17;-0.10)**	-0.10 (-0.16;-0.04)
Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	**0.13 (0.10;0.16)**	**0.12 (0.09;0.16)**	**0.11 (0.07;0.15)**	**-0.17 (-0.20;-0.13)**	**-0.16 (-0.20;-0.12)**	**-0.13 (-0.20;-0.07)**
Uncinate fasciculus	**0.06 (0.03;0.09)**	0.06 (0.02;0.09)	-0.01 (-0.05;0.04)	**-0.11 (-0.14;-0.08)**	**-0.11 (-0.14;-0.08)**	-0.02 (-0.08;0.04)
Limbic system tracts						
Cingulate gyrus part of cingulum	**0.07 (0.05;0.10)**	**0.07 (0.04;0.10)**	0.04 (0.01;0.07)	**-0.09 (-0.11;-0.06)**	**-0.08 (-0.11;-0.05)**	0.00 (-0.04;0.04)
Parahippocampal part of cingulum	**0.05 (0.02;0.08)**	**0.05 (0.02;0.08)**	0.03 (0.00;0.06)	**-0.07 (-0.10;-0.04)**	**-0.07 (-0.10;-0.04)**	-0.03 (-0.06;0.00)
Callosal tracts						
Forceps major	**0.09 (0.06;0.12)**	**0.09 (0.05;0.12)**	0.06 (0.02;0.10)	**-0.12 (-0.16;-0.09)**	**-0.12 (-0.16;-0.08)**	-0.07 (-0.12;-0.02)
Forceps minor	**0.12 (0.09;0.15)**	**0.11 (0.08;0.14)**	0.07 (0.03;0.11)	**-0.15 (-0.18;-0.12)**	**-0.14 (-0.18;-0.11)**	-0.07 (-0.13;-0.02)

Values represent the mean differences in z-score (95% CI) of the g-factor per SD increase of fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity. Results in bold were significant after correction for multiple testing ($p<6.0*10^{-4}$). Model I: Adjusted for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, WM and log-transformed WML volumes of the investigated tract. Model II: Model I, and additionally adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors (systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication, serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, lipid lowering medication, smoking, diabetes), and *apolipoprotein E-ε4* allele carriership. Model III: Model II, and additionally adjusted for mean global FA or mean global MD.

[a] Additionally adjusted for the variable position of the seed mask.

Abbreviations: g-factor; general cognitive factor (incorporating Word Learning Test delayed recall, interference subtask of Stroop test, Letter-Digit Substitution Test, Word Fluency Test and Purdue Pegboard test), WM; white matter, WML; white matter lesion volume, FA; fractional anisotropy, MD; mean diffusivity x 10^{-3} mm^2/sec. G-factor available in N=3876.

Erasmus University Rotterdam

Figure 2. Diffusion-MRI measures and global cognition
Mean differences in z-score of global cognition for all 14 white matter tracts in the population, presented in a single subject anatomy for visualization. Colors reflect the mean differences in z-score per SD increase of FA (row1) or MD (row2), adjusted for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, tract-specific WM volume, and tract-specific WML volume (model I). Model II is model I and additionally adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors, and *apolipoprotein E-ε4* allele carriership. Model III adjusts for global FA or global MD on top of model II.
Higher strength of association is depicted in darker blue for positive associations, darker red for negative associations, with non-significant associations displayed in white.
Abbreviations: FA; fractional anisotropy, MD; mean diffusivity, ICV; intracranial volume, WM; white matter, WML; white matter lesion.

(**Table 4**) attenuated most of the associations, but the majority of the projection and association tracts remained significant, with again the strongest associations for the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and the posterior thalamic radiation. We did not find any association between tract-specific microstructure and memory (15-Word Learning Tests). Overall, the effect sizes of the projection, association and callosal tracts with the different cognitive tests were significantly larger than the effect sizes of the brain stem and the limbic system (data not shown). This was particularly true for the posterior thalamic radiation and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus, which showed strongest effects among all tracts.

Excluding 677 participants who underwent MRI-scanning on average 1.9 years prior to cognitive assessment yielded similar findings (data not shown). Also, excluding participants with a Mini Mental State Examination lower than 26 yielded similar results (data not shown). There was no significant interaction between the microstructure of any of the tracts and *APOE-ε4* allele carriership after correction for multiple testing.

Table 3. Associations of tract-specific fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity with separate cognitive tests

White matter tracts FA	Immediate memory	Delayed memory	Stroop 1	Stroop 2	Stroop 3	LDST	Word Fluency	Pudue Pegboard
Brainstem tracts								
Middle cerebellar peduncle	0.01	0.01	-0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.02	0.02
Medial lemniscus[a]	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.04	-0.01
Projection tracts								
Corticospinal tract	-0.02	-0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.03	-0.01	0.01
Anterior thalamic radiation	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.05
Superior thalamic radiation	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.01
Posterior thalamic radiation	0.01	-0.01	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.05	0.12
Association tracts								
Superior longitudinal fasciculus	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.09
Inferior longitudinal fasciculus	0.01	-0.01	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.05	0.09
Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	0.01	-0.01	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.11
Uncinate fasciculus	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.05
Limbic system tracts								
Cingulate gyrus part of cingulum	0.00	-0.03	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05
Parahippocampal part of cingulum	-0.01	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.04
Callosal tracts								
Forceps major	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09
Forceps minor	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.05	0.11
MD								
Brainstem tracts								
Middle cerebellar peduncle	0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.04	-0.01	0.00	0.01
Medial lemniscus[a]	0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.02	-0.06	0.05	-0.02	-0.01
Projection tracts								
Corticospinal tract	-0.04	-0.03	-0.09	-0.13	-0.13	-0.15	-0.10	-0.13
Anterior thalamic radiation	-0.05	-0.05	-0.10	-0.13	-0.16	-0.14	-0.10	-0.10
Superior thalamic radiation	-0.03	-0.03	-0.10	-0.13	-0.15	-0.15	-0.10	-0.12
Posterior thalamic radiation	-0.05	-0.04	-0.11	-0.16	-0.18	-0.16	-0.11	-0.13
Association tracts								
Superior longitudinal fasciculus	-0.01	-0.02	-0.09	-0.11	-0.12	-0.13	-0.07	-0.09
Inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-0.03	-0.02	-0.09	-0.12	-0.15	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10
Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	-0.04	-0.03	-0.11	-0.14	-0.17	-0.14	-0.10	-0.14
Uncinate fasciculus	0.01	-0.01	-0.04	-0.07	-0.10	-0.11	-0.07	-0.08
Limbic system tracts								
Cingulate gyrus part of cingulum	0.01	0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.07	-0.07	-0.05	-0.06
Parahippocampal part of cingulum	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	-0.02	-0.07	-0.04	-0.06	-0.02
Callosal tracts								
Forceps major	-0.01	-0.02	-0.09	-0.08	-0.11	-0.10	-0.07	-0.09
Forceps minor	-0.03	-0.03	-0.09	-0.13	-0.13	-0.14	-0.09	-0.13

Values represent the mean differences in Z-score of each cognitive test per SD increase of fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity. The colored cells represent significant associations surviving multiple testing ($p < 6.0 * 10^{-4}$) and the intensity in red and blue reflects the magnitude of the associations whereby darker colors reflect stronger associations. Results are adjusted for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, WM and log-transformed WML volumes of the investigated tract, cardiovascular risk factors (systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication, serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, lipid lowering medication, smoking, diabetes, and *apolipoprotein E-ε4* allele carriership.[a] Additionally adjusted for the variable position of the seed mask.
Abbreviations: LDST;Letter-Digit Substitution Task, SD; standard deviation, WM; white matter, WML;white matter volume, HDL; high-density lipoprotein.
Abbreviations of diffusion measurements: FA. fractional anisotropy; MD. mean diffusivity x 10^{-3} mm^2/sec.

Table 4. Associations of tract-specific fractional anisotropy and mean diffusivity with separate cognitive tests adjusted for global DTI

White matter tracts FA	Immediate memory	Delayed memory	Stroop 1	Stroop 2	Stroop 3	LDST	Word Fluency	Purdue Pegboard
Brainstem tracts								
Middle cerebellar peduncle	0.02	0.02	-0.03	-0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.02	-0.03
Medial lemniscus[a]	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.03	-0.03
Projection tracts								
Corticospinal tract	-0.02	-0.01	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.02
Anterior thalamic radiation	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.00
Superior thalamic radiation	0.00	0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.03
Posterior thalamic radiation	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.08
Association tracts								
Superior longitudinal fasciculus	-0.01	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.05
Inferior longitudinal fasciculus	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.05	0.06
Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	0.01	-0.01	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.08
Uncinate fasciculus	-0.04	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01	0.00	0.02	-0.01
Limbic system tracts								
Cingulate gyrus part of cingulum	-0.01	-0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.01
Parahippocampal part of cingulum	-0.02	-0.01	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.02
Callosal tracts								
Forceps major	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05
Forceps minor	-0.01	-0.01	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.07
MD								
Brainstem tracts								
Middle cerebellar peduncle	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02
Medial lemniscus[a]	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	-0.03	0.00	-0.01	0.03
Projection tracts								
Corticospinal tract	-0.05	-0.04	-0.11	-0.09	-0.11	-0.10	-0.08	-0.11
Anterior thalamic radiation	-0.09	-0.08	-0.10	-0.10	-0.18	-0.09	-0.08	-0.08
Superior thalamic radiation	-0.06	-0.06	-0.12	-0.11	-0.17	-0.10	-0.09	-0.10
Posterior thalamic radiation	-0.06	-0.06	-0.11	-0.14	-0.18	-0.10	-0.10	-0.09
Association tracts								
Superior longitudinal fasciculus	-0.01	-0.05	-0.08	-0.06	-0.09	-0.06	-0.06	-0.05
Inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-0.04	-0.06	-0.10	-0.08	-0.19	-0.05	-0.04	-0.07
Inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus	-0.08	-0.09	-0.13	-0.11	-0.20	-0.06	-0.10	-0.13
Uncinate fasciculus	0.04	-0.01	0.01	0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.01
Limbic system tracts								
Cingulate gyrus part of cingulum	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.00	0.02	-0.02	0.01
Parahippocampal part of cingulum	-0.02	-0.06	0.01	0.02	-0.04	0.02	-0.04	0.00
Callosal tracts								
Forceps major	-0.02	-0.02	-0.09	-0.04	-0.09	-0.04	-0.06	-0.04
Forceps minor	-0.03	-0.05	-0.07	-0.07	-0.06	-0.06	-0.03	-0.11

Values represent the mean differences in Z-score of each cognitive test per SD increase of fractional anisotropy or mean diffusivity. The colored cells represent significant associations surviving multiple testing ($p<6.0*10^{-4}$), and the intensity in red and blue reflects the magnitude of the associations whereby darker colors reflect stronger associations. Results are adjusted for age, sex, education, intracranial volume, WM and log-transformed WML volumes of the investigated tract, cardiovascular risk factors (systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication, serum cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, lipid lowering medication, smoking, diabetes, *apolipoprotein E-ε4* allele carriership, and additionally for global DTI parameters (global FA or global MD).[a] Additionally adjusted for the variable position of the seed mask. *Abbreviations:* LDST;Letter-Digit Substitution Task, SD; standard deviation, WM; white matter, WML;white matter volume, HDL; high-density lipoprotein. *Abbreviations of diffusion measurements:* FA. fractional anisotropy; MD. mean diffusivity x 10^{-3} mm²/sec.

Erasmus University Rotterdam

DISCUSSION

In this study among middle-aged and elderly persons, altered tract-specific white matter microstructure in all supratentorial tracts, was associated with poorer cognitive performance, independent from age and macrostructural white matter pathology. Specifically, we found that these associations were driven by lower FA and higher MD, which have been suggested to reflect reduced white matter microstructural integrity.[10] Altered supratentorial tract-specific white matter microstructure was related to poorer information processing speed, executive function and motor speed, but not to memory. We found tract-specific differences for associations with cognitive function: among the fourteen white matter tracts the microstructural integrity in the posterior thalamic radiation (projection tract) and the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (association tract) were most strongly associated with cognitive performance.

Strengths of this study include the large sample size and the population-based setting. Furthermore, we performed a tract-specific analysis in fourteen main white matter tracts, and, the tract-specific measurements were performed with fully automated, publicly available methods.[38] Some limitations need to be considered. Due to the cross-sectional design, no conclusions can be drawn on the directionality of causality of the associations. Furthermore, the evaluation of cognitive performance in this study is less extensive compared to some other studies. However, we constructed a g-factor as a marker of global cognition using 5 different cognitive test variables, under the assumption that these tests are representative of various cognitive domains. This facilitates comparison with other studies, even when the incorporated cognitive tests are slightly different, since g-factors constructed of different cognitive tests across different test batteries are highly correlated and can be interpreted as stable factors in a variety of cognitive domains.[39] We performed a complete-case analysis to construct a g-factor and this might have caused some selection bias, as persons who did not have values for all cognitive tests were not included. Another aspect to note is that we used median FA and MD in each white matter tract. While the median is more robust to variation in the tails of the measurement distributions compared to the mean, capturing an entire tract in a single measurement discards spatial information that is retained in voxel-based techniques. Finally, the cerebellum could not be fully incorporated in the field of view, making analyses on brain stem tracts less reliable. Several papers have studied the association of white matter microstructure and cognition.[6,40-42] A global white matter effect has already been associated with cognition. The main novelty of our paper is that we show that tract-specific diffusion MRI measures (when adjusted for global diffusion-MRI measures) provide more information above global diffusion-MRI measures. Furthermore, by using a cognitive test battery rather than a single test, our study is not limited to a specific cognitive domain.

Erasmus University Rotterdam

In a previous study investigating the association of age and white matter microstructure, in the same community-dwelling population, a widespread altered (except for the sensorimotor tracts, including brainstem tracts) white matter microstructural integrity with age was found.[21] In extension to this study, we now demonstrate that altered microstructural integrity of the same supratentorial tracts is associated with poorer cognitive performance, independent from age and cardiovascular risk factors. Also, after excluding participants with possible mild cognitive impairment (MMSE<26), the associations remained significant. The association between tract-specific white matter microstructure and global cognition was particularly driven by information processing speed, executive function and motor speed, but not by memory. Only few tract-specific studies investigated the association of microstructural integrity of brain white matter with cognition in asymptomatic participants.[43-49] Some studies concluded that white matter throughout the brain was associated with cognitive performance and reported no specific role for any white matter tract.[49] Other studies found a tract-specific effect in which altered microstructural integrity in association and callosal tracts associated with poorer information processing speed and executive function,[43-45,47,48] and with poorer motor speed,[45] which is in line with our findings. However, some previous studies associated altered tract-specific white matter microstructure with memory, in contrast to our results.[45,46,50] Our study differs from these studies in that we investigated a larger sample, and we took white matter atrophy and white matter lesion volume into account, and thus adjusted for macrostructural white matter changes, which may have driven the association with memory function in previous research. Furthermore, other studies incorporated different memory tests and cognitive domains (e.g. impaired error monitoring) and different white matter tracts (e.g. fornix).[50]

We did not find similar associations with cognitive function across all tracts. We found the strongest associations between white matter microstructure and cognition in the posterior thalamic radiation and the inferior-occipital fasciculus. This is largely in line with previous studies performed in specific patient-populations such as in secondary-progressive multiple sclerosis, subcortical ischemic vascular disease,[51,52] and coronary artery disease.[16] We can hypothesize on the basis of this finding using the previously described 'disconnection hypothesis'[53]. The posterior thalamic radiation connects the thalamus with the posterior parietal and occipital lobe[54] and plays a key role connecting visual and motor processes. The inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus connects frontal cortical regions with posterolateral temporal and occipital regions and is involved in language function and in visual spatial function which influences coordinative abilities.[45,55] Information processing speed and executive function are partly dependent on language function and on visual capabilities; motor speed depends on coordinative abilities. Damage to the tracts integrating these processes may therefore lead to poorer cognitive performance in these domains.

Erasmus University Rotterdam

The role of *APOE-ε4* allele carriership in white matter microstructural integrity and cognition is still under debate. We found no significant interaction between *APOE-ε4* allele carriership and white matter microstructural integrity in the association with cognition, in line with previous studies.[56,57] This may indicate that although *APOE-ε4* allele carriership is a major genetic risk factor for cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease, this may not be mediated by altered white matter microstructural integrity. Lower values of FA in the association tracts were most strongly associated with poorer cognitive performance. In contrast, higher values of MD in the projections tracts were most strongly associated with impaired cognition. The disparity in associations between FA, MD and cognitive performance might indicate that FA and MD reflect different pathophysiology. The lack of specificity in the interpretation of diffusion-MRI parameters prevents clear-cut conclusions on the exact mechanisms underlying the changes in diffusion-MRI parameters. It can be hypothesized that FA is dominated by tract coherence and axonal loss, and MD by (volume of) extracellular fluid.[6,9] Apart from a biological difference, MD seems to be more sensitive to changes in regions with crossing tracts,[58] and since voxels of projection tracts (corticospinal tract, superior thalamic radiation) contain large volumes of crossing-tract anatomy, this might explain part of the disparity observed.[21] Our observation that after adjustments for global diffusion parameters, the various associations remained significant, at least indicates that there is variation in tracts beyond global diffusion-MRI parameters, and suggests local or functional differences in patterns of white matter neurodegeneration.

Conclusions

In conclusion, tract-specific diffusion-MRI parameters provide insight into the association between white matter tracts and cognitive performance beyond global diffusion-MRI parameters. This study provides novel etiological insight into the relation of the location of brain damage and cognitive performance; in other words it provides insight in which tract influences which specific cognitive domain. Second, our findings may also have clinical implications. For instance, knowledge on tract-specific effects on cognition may inform clinicians to predict which cognitive domains may be most affected depending on the location of a stroke. Finally, given the importance of recognizing pathways leading to cognitive impairment and dementia, our results may aid in developing new biomarkers for cognitive impairment and neurodegenerative diseases and may lead to better recognition of persons at risk.

CHAPTER REFERENCES

1. Pievani M, Agosta F, Pagani E, et al. Assessment of white matter tract damage in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2010;31(12):1862-1875.

2. Sexton CE, Kalu UG, Filippini N, Mackay CE, Ebmeier KP. A meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2011;32(12):2322 e2325-2318.

3. Wang Y, West JD, Flashman LA, et al. Selective changes in white matter integrity in MCI and older adults with cognitive complaints. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012;1822(3):423-430.

4. Brun A, Englund E. A white matter disorder in dementia of the Alzheimer type: a pathoanatomical study. *Ann Neurol.* 1986;19(3):253-262.

5. Benjamin P, Lawrence AJ, Lambert C, et al. Strategic lacunes and their relationship to cognitive impairment in cerebral small vessel disease. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2014;4:828-837.

6. Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Vrooman HA, et al. White matter microstructural integrity and cognitive function in a general elderly population. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2009;66(5):545-553.

7. Smith EE, O'Donnell M, Dagenais G, et al. Early cerebral small vessel disease and brain volume, cognition, and gait. *Ann Neurol.* 2015;77(2):251-261.

8. Doricchi F, Thiebaut de Schotten M, Tomaiuolo F, Bartolomeo P. White matter (dis)connections and gray matter (dys)functions in visual neglect: gaining insights into the brain networks of spatial awareness. *Cortex.* 2008;44(8):983-995.

9. Maclullich AM, Ferguson KJ, Reid LM, et al. Higher systolic blood pressure is associated with increased water diffusivity in normal-appearing white matter. *Stroke.* 2009;40(12):3869-3871.

10. Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - a technical review. *NMR Biomed.* 2002;15(7-8):435-455.

11. O'Sullivan M, Jones DK, Summers PE, Morris RG, Williams SC, Markus HS. Evidence for cortical "disconnection" as a mechanism of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurology.* 2001;57(4):632-638.

12. Salat DH, Tuch DS, Greve DN, et al. Age-related alterations in white matter microstructure measured by diffusion tensor imaging. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2005;26(8):1215-1227.

13. Teipel SJ, Grothe MJ, Filippi M, et al. Fractional anisotropy changes in Alzheimer's disease depend on the underlying fiber tract architecture: a multiparametric DTI study using joint independent component analysis. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2014;41(1):69-83.

14. Nazeri A, Chakravarty MM, Rajji TK, et al. Superficial white matter as a novel substrate of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2015;36(6):2094-2106.

15. Zhang R, Liu K, Yang L, et al. Reduced white matter integrity and cognitive deficits in maintenance hemodialysis ESRD patients: a diffusion-tensor study. *Eur Radiol.* 2015;25(3):661-668.

16. Santiago C, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, et al. White Matter Microstructural Integrity Is Associated with Executive Function and Processing Speed in Older Adults with Coronary Artery Disease. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2015;23(7):754-763.

17. Tuladhar AM, van Norden AG, de Laat KF, et al. White matter integrity in small vessel disease is related to cognition. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2015;7:518-524.

18. Hofman A, Brusselle GG, Darwish Murad S, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2016 objectives and design update. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2015;30(8):661-708.

19. Hofman A, Brusselle GG, Darwish Murad S, et al. The Rotterdam Study: 2016 objectives and design update. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2015;28(11):889-926.

20. Ikram MA, van der Lugt A, Niessen WJ, et al. The Rotterdam Scan Study: design and update up to 2012. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2011;26(10):811-824.

21. de Groot M, Ikram MA, Akoudad S, et al. Tract-specific white matter degeneration in aging: The Rotterdam Study. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association.* 2015;11(3):321-330.

22. Vrooman HA, Cocosco CA, van der Lijn F, et al. Multi-spectral brain tissue segmentation using automatically trained k-Nearest-Neighbor classification. *Neuroimage.* 2007;37(1):71-81.

23. de Boer R, Vrooman HA, van der Lijn F, et al. White matter lesion extension to automatic brain tissue segmentation on MRI. *Neuroimage.* 2009;45(4):1151-1161.

24. Koppelmans V, de Groot M, de Ruiter MB, et al. Global and focal white matter integrity in breast cancer survivors 20 years after adjuvant chemotherapy. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2014;35(3):889-899.

25. Bleecker ML, Bolla-Wilson K, Agnew J, Meyers DA. Age-related sex differences in verbal memory. *J Clin Psychol.* 1988;44(3):403-411.

26. Golden CJ. Identification of brain disorders by the Stroop Color and Word Test. *J Clin Psychol.* 1976;32(3):654-658.

27. Goethals I, Audenaert K, Jacobs F, et al. Cognitive neuroactivation using SPECT and the Stroop Colored Word Test in patients with diffuse brain injury. *J Neurotrauma.* 2004;21(8):1059-1069.

28. Lezak MD. Neuropsychological assessment in behavioral toxicology--developing techniques and interpretative issues. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 1984;10 Suppl 1:25-29.

29. Welsh KA, Butters N, Mohs RC, et al. The Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD). Part V. A normative study of the neuropsychological battery. *Neurology.* 1994;44(4):609-614.

30. Desrosiers J, Hebert R, Bravo G, Dutil E. The Purdue Pegboard Test: normative data for people aged 60 and over. *Disabil Rehabil.* 1995;17(5):217-224.

31. Hoogendam YY, Hofman A, van der Geest JN, van der Lugt A, Ikram MA. Patterns of cognitive function in aging: the Rotterdam Study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2014;29(2):133-140.

32. Roth M, Tym E, Mountjoy CQ, et al. CAMDEX. A standardised instrument for the diagnosis of mental disorder in the elderly with special reference to the early detection of dementia. *Br J Psychiatry.* 1986;149:698-709.

33. Schrijvers EM, Verhaaren BF, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Ikram MA, Breteler MM. Is dementia incidence declining?: Trends in dementia incidence since 1990 in the Rotterdam Study. *Neurology.* 2012;78(19):1456-1463.

34. Akoudad S, Portegies ML, Koudstaal PJ, et al. Cerebral Microbleeds are Associated With an Increased Risk of Stroke: The Rotterdam Study. *Circulation.* 2015;132(6):509-516.

35. Wenham PR, Price WH, Blandell G. Apolipoprotein E genotyping by one-stage PCR. *Lancet.* 1991;337(8750):1158-1159.

36. Nyholt DR. A simple correction for multiple testing for single-nucleotide polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with each other. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2004;74(4):765-769.

37. Nyholt DR. Evaluation of Nyholt's procedure for multiple testing correction - author's reply. *Hum Hered.* 2005;60(1):61-62.

38. de Groot M, Vernooij MW, Klein S, et al. Improving alignment in Tract-based spatial statistics: evaluation and optimization of image registration. *NeuroImage.* 2013;76:400-411.

39. Johnson W, te Nijenhuis J, Bouchard TJ. Still just 1 g: Consistent results from five test batteries. *Intelligence.* 2008;36(1):81-95.

40. Penke L, Munoz Maniega S, Murray C, et al. A general factor of brain white matter integrity predicts information processing speed in healthy older people. *J Neurosci.* 2010;30(22):7569-7574.

41. Burzynska AZ, Wong CN, Voss MW, Cooke GE, McAuley E, Kramer AF. White matter integrity supports BOLD signal variability and cognitive performance in the aging human brain. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(4):e0120315.

42. Metzler-Baddeley C, Jones DK, Steventon J, Westacott L, Aggleton JP, O'Sullivan MJ. Cingulum microstructure predicts cognitive control in older age and mild cognitive impairment. *J Neurosci.* 2012;32(49):17612-17619.

43. Salami A, Eriksson J, Nilsson LG, Nyberg L. Age-related white matter microstructural differences partly mediate age-related decline in processing speed but not cognition. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012;1822(3):408-415.

44. Ystad M, Hodneland E, Adolfsdottir S, et al. Cortico-striatal connectivity and cognition in normal aging: a combined DTI and resting state fMRI study. *Neuroimage.* 2011;55(1):24-31.

45. Voineskos AN, Rajji TK, Lobaugh NJ, et al. Age-related decline in white matter tract integrity and cognitive performance: a DTI tractography and structural equation modeling study. *Neurobiol Aging.* 2012;33(1):21-34.

46. Charlton RA, Barrick TR, McIntyre DJ, et al. White matter damage on diffusion tensor imaging correlates with age-related cognitive decline. *Neurology.* 2006;66(2):217-222.

47. Lopez-Oloriz J, Lopez-Cancio E, Arenillas JF, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging, intracranial vascular resistance and cognition in middle-aged asymptomatic subjects. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2014;38(1):24-30.

48. Laukka EJ, Lovden M, Kalpouzos G, et al. Associations between white matter microstructure and cognitive performance in old and very old age. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11):e81419.

49. Kuznetsova KA, Maniega SM, Ritchie SJ, et al. Brain white matter structure and information processing speed in healthy older age. *Brain Struct Funct.* 2015.

50. Metzler-Baddeley C, Jones DK, Belaroussi B, Aggleton JP, O'Sullivan MJ. Frontotemporal connections in episodic memory and aging: a diffusion MRI tractography study. *J Neurosci.* 2011;31(37):13236-13245.

51. Lin L, Xue Y, Duan Q, et al. Microstructural White Matter Abnormalities and Cognitive Dysfunction in Subcortical Ischemic Vascular Disease: an Atlas-Based Diffusion Tensor Analysis Study. *J Mol Neurosci.* 2015;56(2):363-370.

52. Yu HJ, Christodoulou C, Bhise V, et al. Multiple white matter tract abnormalities underlie cognitive impairment in RRMS. *Neuroimage.* 2012;59(4):3713-3722.

53. Hogan AM, Vargha-Khadem F, Saunders DE, Kirkham FJ, Baldeweg T. Impact of frontal white matter lesions on performance monitoring: ERP evidence for cortical disconnection. *Brain.* 2006;129(Pt 8):2177-2188.

54. Aralasmak A, Ulmer JL, Kocak M, Salvan CV, Hillis AE, Yousem DM. Association, commissural, and projection pathways and their functional deficit reported in literature. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2006;30(5):695-715.

55. Schmahmann JD, Smith EE, Eichler FS, Filley CM. Cerebral white matter: neuroanatomy, clinical neurology, and neurobehavioral correlates. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2008;1142:266-309.

56. Wang R, Fratiglioni L, Laukka EJ, et al. Effects of vascular risk factors and APOE epsilon4 on white matter integrity and cognitive decline. *Neurology.* 2015;84(11):1128-1135.

57. Nyberg L, Salami A. The APOE epsilon4 allele in relation to brain white-matter microstructure in adulthood and aging. *Scand J Psychol.* 2014;55(3):263-267.

58. Jeurissen B, Leemans A, Tournier JD, Jones DK, Sijbers J. Investigating the prevalence of complex fiber configurations in white matter tissue with diffusion magnetic resonance imaging. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2013;34(11):2747-2766.

Supplementary Figure A1 Scatterplot correlating tract-specific fractional anisotropy with global cognition (g-factor), adjusted for age and sex.

Supplementary Figure A2. Scatterplot correlating tract-specific mean diffusivity with global cognition (g-factor), adjusted for age and sex.