## ISPD GUIDELINES/RECOMMENDATIONS

# LENGTH OF TIME ON PERITONEAL DIALYSIS AND ENCAPSULATING PERITONEAL SCLEROSIS — POSITION PAPER FOR ISPD: 2017 UPDATE

Edwina A. Brown,<sup>1</sup> Joanne Bargman,<sup>2</sup> Wim van Biesen,<sup>3</sup> Ming-Yang Chang,<sup>4</sup> Frederic O. Finkelstein,<sup>5</sup> Helen Hurst,<sup>6</sup>
David W. Johnson,<sup>7</sup> Hideki Kawanishi,<sup>8</sup> Mark Lambie,<sup>9</sup> Thyago Proença de Moraes,<sup>10</sup>
Johann Morelle,<sup>11</sup> and Graham Woodrow<sup>12</sup>

Imperial College Renal and Transplant Centre, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK; University Health Network and the University of Toronto, ON, Canada; Renal Division, Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium; Kidney Research Center, Department of Nephrology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan; Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA; Central Manchester and Manchester Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK; Department of Nephrology, University of Queensland at Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Australia; Tsuchiya General Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Hiroshima University, Japan; Institute for Applied Clinical Sciences, Keele University, Stoke-on-Trent, UK; Pontificia Universidade Catolica do Parana, Curitiba, Parana, Brazil; Division of Nephrology, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium, et Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium; and St James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK

KEY WORDS: Peritoneal dialysis; encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis; shared decision-making; ultrafiltration; membrane transport.

Peritoneal dialysis (PD) is a successful dialysis modality that enables patients with end-stage kidney disease to have a home-based treatment with many advantages for their quality of life. In general, survival outcomes of PD are equal to those of hemodialysis (HD). The reported technique success of PD is, however, shorter than that of HD. Whereas there are "positive" reasons for stopping PD, such as transplantation or recovery of renal function, some patients transfer to HD because of peritonitis, inadequate small solute clearance and/or ultrafiltration (UF), and social factors (1). "Adequacy" and "problems with maintaining euvolemia" as reasons for dropout increase over time, especially as residual renal function declines. Nevertheless, even anuric patients can be maintained successfully on PD (2). Many patients are reluctant to transfer to HD, even when clinically indicated, because they perceive that such a transfer would adversely affect their quality of

Correspondence to: Edwina A. Brown, Imperial College Renal and Transplant Centre, Hammersmith Hospital, London W12 OHS, UK. e.a.brown@imperial.ac.uk

Received 26 January 2017; accepted 4 April 2017.

life. A proper presentation of, and possible use of decision aids about different renal replacement therapies, including home HD and the option of conservative care, from the start of treatment onward (integrated care) could potentially reduce disappointment when a transfer is needed (3–5).

One of the potential, although extremely rare, complications of long-term PD is encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis (EPS); it is associated with high morbidity related to bowel obstruction and malnutrition. The reported mortality of this condition is around 50%, usually within 12 months of the diagnosis (6,7). Mortality rates, however, depend upon severity of the disease, and not all deaths are due directly to EPS alone (7). It has been advocated by some that there should be a time limit for PD to prevent patients from developing this potentially devastating complication.

The principal aims of this paper are:

- 1. To review existing information about the epidemiology of EPS and its risk factors;
- 2. To determine whether there are any predictors for the development of EPS that would guide the decision to stop PD and transfer to HD; and

Perit Dial Int 2017; 37 (4):362-374 https://doi.org/10.3747/pdi.2017.00018 Peritoneal Dialysis International 🥒

vritoneal Dialysis International

3. To reach a consensus that should be given to nephrologists and their patients about the length of time that is advisable to remain on PD.

#### **GUIDELINES FOR EPS**

Guidelines on the topic of EPS have been issued by the Japanese Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (8), the UK Renal Association (9), and the Dutch EPS Registry (10). When reading the guidelines, it is clear that issuing evidence-based guidelines on EPS is being hampered by:

- A lack of well-defined diagnostic criteria, especially to determine early stages of EPS;
- A lack of interventions that consistently improve outcome of EPS, even after PD has been stopped;
- The fact that EPS may develop or symptomatically progress after discontinuation of PD (11) and transfer to HD or transplantation, making guidance about when to transfer patients electively from PD to HD particularly difficult;
- A lack of epidemiological data in different dialysis populations relating length of time on PD to odds of developing EPS (EPS has, however, rarely been reported to occur before 3 years on PD); and
- Difficulties determining individual risk and impact for transfer to HD given their comorbidities, personal situation, tolerance of HD, and need for vascular access.

#### EPIDEMIOLOGY OF EPS

Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is a very uncommon complication of PD. Its risk of occurrence has been demonstrated to vary markedly among centers, among countries, and over time (Table 1). Based on the reports of various single-center, multi-center, and national registry observational cohort studies, the prevalence of EPS has been observed to vary between 0.4% and 8.9%, its incidence rate between 0.7 and 13.6 per 1,000 patient-years, and its risk of occurrence after 5 years on PD between 0.6% and 6.6% (Table 1). A variable (0 – 71%) proportion of EPS cases are not diagnosed until after completion of PD (12,13), including following kidney transplantation (14). The appreciable variability in observed EPS incidence, prevalence, and timing of occurrence may be related to genetic factors, differences in PD care (e.g. daily prescribed PD volumes, dialysate glucose exposure, use of biocompatible fluids, peritonitis prevention strategies, time spent on PD, etc.), or limitations of the studies published to date, including ascertainment bias, detection bias (e.g. under-diagnosing mild cases, over-diagnosing simple peritoneal fibrosis, lack of a reliable screening test, and failure to monitor patients following PD completion), inadequate length of follow-up (considering the usually long lag time to development of EPS following PD commencement), and inadequate sample size (thousands of patients need to be followed for many years to provide sufficiently precise statistical estimates of risk for informing clinical decision-making) (15). Most studies to date have also failed to consider the competing risks of death and kidney transplantation (15).

Some studies from Germany (16), Spain (17), Japan (18), Australia (13,19), and the Netherlands (20) have further suggested that the incidence of EPS may be decreasing over time, although the reported incidence/prevalence estimates have been too imprecise to be certain of this. If EPS is indeed becoming less common, the reasons remain uncertain.

Most studies have consistently identified increasing PD duration as a key risk factor for development of EPS (Table 1) (11,12,18,21–27). Other parameters that have been identified in at least 1 study to be possible risk factors for EPS include higher dialysate glucose exposure, use of conventional PD solutions (as opposed to biocompatible PD solutions), peritonitis (frequent, severe, or prolonged), younger age (presumably because of lower competing risk of death), abdominal surgery,  $\beta$ -blocker use, icodextrin use, kidney transplantation, UF failure, and higher peritoneal solute transport rate (PSTR) (13,20–22,25,28–32). However, these data have been too imprecise and/or inconsistent to be considered reliable at this time.

It should be stressed that all current data indicate that the majority of patients receiving PD for a long duration do not develop EPS. Clinicians switching patients who have been on PD for several years to HD in an attempt to pre-emptively circumvent the risk of EPS (0.7 - 9.5 episodes per 1,000 patient-years) should consider the alternative risks of HD complications, including arteriovenous fistula failure (47% at 1 year) (42), bacteremia (137 episodes per 1,000 patientyears) (43), and endocarditis (1.7 - 4.8 episodes per 1,000 patient-years) (45,46). Other devastating complications of HD with consequences as potentially dire as EPS include embolic stroke from vegetations caused by endocarditis (47), other metastatic complications of bloodstream infections including osteomyelitis and spinal epidural abscess (48), and central venous stenosis (49). Although these conditions appear to be at least as prevalent as EPS in PD patients, the literature on these complications is scant.

#### DIAGNOSIS OF EPS

The diagnosis of EPS is based on a combination of structural (e.g. computed tomography [CT] scan appearance) and functional features (intermittent subacute bowel obstruction). It is important to be clinically aware of the possibility of EPS for many years after stopping PD; failure to listen to the patient and his/her symptoms may lead to a delay in diagnosis (50). Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis presents after withdrawal from PD in the majority (70 – 90% in some series) of patients (11,51) and the time from cessation of PD until the development of EPS has been reported as up to 5 years (52). The diagnosis is clinical, relies on a constellation of symptoms, and can be confirmed radiologically. Changes in the peritoneum need to be differentiated from those of long-term PD. Only a fibrous cocoon wrapped around the bowel is diagnostic; a thickened peritoneal membrane and intra-abdominal

BROWN et al. JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4 PDI

TABLE 1
Studies Examining the Epidemiology of EPS\*

Country	Time period	Study design	N	Prevalence	EPS epidemiology Incidence rate (/1,000 patient-yrs)	Risk with time	Reference
Iran	1995–2012	2-center, retrospective, observational cohort	464	8.9%	7	≥4 yrs: 8.6% ≥5 yrs: 10.8% ≥6 yrs: 23.3% ≥7 yrs: 25%	Alatab <i>et al.</i> 2017 (21)
Germany	1997–2015	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	745 (catheters	4% (1995–2000) ) 0% (2001–2003) 5% (2004–2006) 11% (2007–2009) 15% (2010–2012) 5% (2013–2015) 15% (2010–2012)	NA	NA	Kitterer <i>et al</i> . 2016 (16)
Scotland	2000–2007	Scottish Renal Registry	1,238	2.8%	8.7 (by 2007) 13.6 (by 2014)	1 yr: 1.1% 3 yrs: 3.4% 4 yrs: 8.8% 5 yrs: 9.4% 7 yrs: 22.2%	Petrie <i>et al.</i> 2016 (24)
Italy	1979–2013	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	920	2.8%	9.5	<2 yrs: 3% 2-4 yrs: 3% 4-6 yrs: 4% 6-8 yrs: 6% 8-10 yrs: 8% 10-12 yrs: 18% 12-14 yrs: 75% >14 yrs: 67%	Vizzardi <i>et al.</i> 2016 (27)
Japan	1987–2013	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	270	4.8%	NA	NA	Yamahatsu et al. 2015 (33)
Spain	1980–2012	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	679	2.9% (overall) 5.6% (1980–1990) 3.9% (1991–2000) 0.3% (2000–2012)	NA	NA	De Sousa- Amorim <i>et al</i> . 2014 (17)
Japan	2008–2012	Multicenter, prospective observational cohort (55 centers)	1,338	1.0%	2.3	<3 yrs: 0.3% 5 yrs: 0.6% 8 yrs: 2.3% >8 yrs: 1.2%	Nakayama et al. 2014 (18)
Korea	2001–2011	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	606	1.3%	1.4	NA	Hong <i>et al.</i> 2013 (34)
Italy	1986–2011	Italian Registry of Pediatric Chronic Dialysis	712 (children)	1.9%	NA	<5 yrs: 0.45% ≥5 yrs: 21.1%	Vidal <i>et al</i> . 2013 (26)
Europe	2001–2010	Multicenter, retrospective observational cohort (European Paediatric Dialysis Working Group, 14 centers)	1,472 (children)	1.5%	8.7	NA	Shroff <i>et al</i> . 2013 (35)

### TABLE 1 (cont'd)

ISPD POSITION PAPER ON LENGTH OF TIME ON PD AND EPS: 2017 UPDATE

Country	Time period	Study design	N	Prevalence	EPS epidemiology Incidence rate (/1,000 patient-yrs)	Risk with time	Reference
USA	1998-2003	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	76	18.4% (>5 yrs)	NA	NA	Gayomali <i>et al.</i> 2011 (37)
Netherlands	1 January 1996 – 1 July 2007	Multicenter case-control study	2,022	2.7%	NA	NA	Korte <i>et al</i> . 2011 (29)
USA	1979–2009	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	676	1.2%	NA	≥6 yrs: 15% ≥9 yrs: 38%	Bansal <i>et al</i> . 2010 (22)
Canada	1974–2008	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	1,966	1.1%	NA	NA	Trigka <i>et al</i> . 2011 (38)
Ireland	1989–2008	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	615	1.98%	3.2	≥6 yrs: 20% ≥8 yrs: 100%	Phelan <i>et al</i> . 2010 (25)
Australia and New Zealand	1995–2007	Binational Registry (ANZDATA)	7,618	0.4%	1.8	3 yrs: 0.3% 5 yrs: 0.8% 8 yrs: 3.9%	Johnson <i>et al</i> . 2010 (13)
Slovenia	1983–2003	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	423	1.2%	NA	NA	Lindic <i>et al</i> . 2009 (39)
Scotland	2000–2007	Scottish Renal Registry	1,238	1.5%	4.9	<1 yr: 0% 1-2 yrs: 0.6% >2-3 yrs: 2.0% >3-4 yrs: 3.5% >4-5 yrs: 8.1% >5-6 yrs: 8.8% >6 yrs: 5%	Brown <i>et al.</i> 2009 (7)
Turkey	1989–2003	Single-center, retrospective, observational cohort	104 (children)	1.9%	NA	NA	Ekim <i>et al.</i> 2005 (40)
Korea	1981–2002	Multicenter, retrospective observational cohort (7 centers)	4,290	0.79% (center variation 0.28–2.86%)	NA	NA	Kim <i>et al</i> . 2005 (28)
Japan	April 1999– March 2003	Multicenter, prospective observational cohort (57 centers)	1,958	2.5%	NA	3 yrs: 0% 5 yrs: 0.7% 8 yrs: 2.1% 10 yrs: 5.9% 15 yrs: 5.8% >15 yrs: 17.2%	Kawanishi et al. 2004 (11)
Korea	1981–2002	Multicenter, retrospective observational cohort (5 centers)	3,888	0.8%	NA	NA	Lee <i>et al</i> . 2003 (30)

EPS = encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis; NA = not applicable.

adhesions are common in long-term PD and after peritonitis, particularly tuberculous peritonitis, and are therefore not diagnostic (52).

Clinical Features of EPS: The diagnosis of EPS is based on a combination of bowel obstruction and features of encapsulation due to peritoneal fibrosis. Symptoms such as anorexia, nausea, vomiting, and weight loss are common. In addition, the step-wise process of symptom progression is important. If the capsule formed is too thin to impair intestinal peristalsis, EPS does not develop (encapsulating stage). However, if the capsule thickens with time, bowel obstruction symptoms appear (ileus stage). These symptoms improve by temporary fasting, but recur several months later. If the time to recurrence gradually shortens, EPS is diagnosed. Clinically, this progression manifests itself with early symptoms (bloody ascites, appetite loss, nausea, diarrhea, and abdominal pain), progressing to more severe symptoms, including constipation and abdominal mass accompanied by severe malnutrition and weight loss. Sometimes, early EPS presents with an inflammatory state including fever, general fatique, and slight weight loss, with an elevated C-reactive protein, anemia, and hypoalbuminemia. The intermittent progression, and therefore symptoms, of EPS is a useful distinguishing feature from other gastrointestinal disorders (53).

**Radiological Diagnosis of EPS:** Of the techniques available, computed tomographic (CT) scanning has been reported as

having the most discriminant value (52). It is also widely available and has the greatest reproducibility. Computed tomographic scanning is therefore recommended as the first investigation. The features that have been shown to have a high degree of agreement among radiologists are peritoneal calcification, bowel thickening, bowel tethering, and bowel dilatation (54,55). It should be stressed that finding these changes on CT scan does not suffice to make the diagnosis of EPS, especially not in the absence of the symptoms described above. Many long-term PD patients will have thickening of the peritoneal membrane without any features of EPS.

PDI

Downloaded from http://www.pdiconnect.com/ by guest on September 26, 2018

**Pathological Features of EPS:** A characteristic macroscopic appearance is observed at laparotomy or laparoscopy. Histological changes that are characteristic of EPS have been described but are not specific and overlap with membrane changes that occur with UF failure and infectious peritonitis in long-term PD (56). Thus, an "opportunistic" peritoneal biopsy at the time of incidental abdominal surgery in the absence of other features of EPS may be misleading and should not be used to make a diagnosis of EPS.

**Membrane Transport Characteristics:** Changes in PSTR are frequent in PD. It has been recognized for many years that UF capacity falls and there is an increase in PSTR prior to development of EPS (57). These membrane changes are, however, also commonly observed in patients on long-term PD who do not

<sup>\*</sup>Presented in order of publication from most recent to oldest.

Peritoneal Dialysis International 🥒

develop EPS. The Pan-Thames EPS study showed that, while the majority of patients had high PSTR, some developed EPS in the absence of these membrane changes and had good UF (6). These changes are discussed in more detail in the section on predicting EPS.

JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4

#### MANAGEMENT OF EPS

It is generally accepted that, after a diagnosis of EPS, PD should be discontinued and the patient transferred to HD. However, it should be considered that some cases of EPS are clinically less severe and potentially could worsen upon stopping PD. The eventual risks of HD (access, access-related infection, hemodynamic intolerance, lifestyle issues, and patient preference) should also be considered carefully in a discussion with the patient about the best future renal replacement therapy option. Generally, the PD catheter is removed on discontinuing PD. Some patients in Japan have been managed by leaving the catheter in situ to apply regular peritoneal lavage (58,59). It is unclear whether this process has any beneficial effects by removing mediators of the peritoneal fibrotic process, or whether the catheter and irrigation fluid could act as a further stimulus to the EPS process.

Nutritional support (often by parenteral nutrition) is crucial in patients with EPS, many of whom will recover with conservative treatment (60). In addition, drug therapies that have been reported to have beneficial effects in EPS include corticosteroids (11,61), tamoxifen (62-64), and immunosuppression (65–67). The fact that EPS can actually develop following renal transplantation in patients already receiving corticosteroids and/or other immunosuppressive agents may, at least theoretically, arque against any therapeutic benefit of these drugs. Most of these reports are limited to isolated cases or relatively small series, have not been uniformly successful, and are potentially limited by other interventions, selection, and positive publication biases. The 2 larger studies gave conflicting results. The study from the Netherlands (63) patients) found that the mortality of the tamoxifen group was lower than those not given the drug (64). In contrast, in a large UK series (111 patients), there was no difference in outcomes for patients treated with steroids, immunosuppression, tamoxifen, or combinations of these compared with patients that were not (6). No definitive conclusions can therefore be drawn at this time about their value in the management of EPS.

On the basis of successful surgical results from Japan (51,68,69), aggressive surgical treatment for when there was no improvement of bowel obstruction was started in the UK (70) and Germany (71,72). From these reports, it appears that with surgery, EPS mortality rate declines to 32 – 35%. It must be stated, though, that for surgical results to be successful, the surgical team must have a thorough understanding of the pathology of EPS. Such surgery should therefore only be done in specialist regional centers that can provide appropriate surgical training and patient support.

#### RENAL TRANSPLANTATION AND EPS

Although there were reports from the UK and the Netherlands (73-75), the numbers from these reports are small and there is still no evidence of a genuine sustained increase in the occurrence of post-transplant EPS. Balanced against this, there have been isolated reports of dramatic resolution of established EPS following renal transplantation, possibly as a result of immunosuppression (76). Indeed, a prior diagnosis and treatment of EPS is not a contraindication to transplantation. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis occurs after transplantation only in patients who have been exposed to PD for several years; there appears to be no risk if patients have been on PD for a short time. Ideally, therefore, patients should be transplanted within 3 - 4 years of starting PD. This requires appropriate patient education, efficient workup, access to the national deceased organ waiting list, and encouragement of living donation. The same is true for patients on HD so that patients can benefit from the improved survival and quality of life associated with successful transplantation.

#### SCREENING FOR EPS

There is no reliable screening tool established for EPS. Change in PSTR across the peritoneal membrane is not particularly helpful. A rise in PSTR is common in patients on long-term PD and therefore is commonly found in patients subsequently developing EPS. As discussed, though, EPS can also occur in patients with slow PSTR. Similar observations and conclusions apply to loss of UF.

Computed tomographic scanning has been proposed as a screening tool, but EPS can occur within a year or less of a normal CT scan in asymptomatic patients (77). In contrast, long-term patients on PD with minor abdominal symptoms in this study were sometimes found to have minor CT scan abnormalities; all such patients then progressed to the full EPS clinical syndrome on stopping PD.

#### PREDICTING EPS

**Epidemiology:** When predicting the absolute risk of EPS, for both clinical and statistical reasons, it is not possible to ignore the competing risk of death. Methods such as the Kaplan-Meier estimator will not account for competing risks and therefore will overestimate the level of risk (78). Work for the Peritoneal Dialysis Competitive Risk Analysis for Long-Term Outcomes (PD-CRAFT) study using data from the ANZDATA registry and the Scottish Renal Registry has verified this phenomenon, showing increasing disparity with longer follow-up. As is clearly shown in the studies of the epidemiology of EPS, duration of PD is strongly associated with EPS risk. In competing risks prediction models for patients in ANZDATA 3 and 5 years after the start of PD using age and primary renal disease as predictors of mortality and duration of PD as a predictor of EPS, most of the variability in EPS incidence was explained (unpublished

BROWN et al. JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4 PDI

data from PD-CRAFT), i.e. the 'real-world' risk of EPS is almost entirely due to the risk of death and the duration of PD. No prediction models are available for clinical usage currently as the baseline risk is not yet clear due to the variability in EPS incidence described previously.

Despite the very strong effect of the duration of PD and risk of mortality, clinicians may wish to further stratify patients at risk of EPS. Data on this are not robust enough for strong guidance as there are no datasets with sufficiently detailed information on the very large populations required to study EPS. There are several small, mostly single-center, studies that have examined membrane function testing and dialysate biomarkers for this purpose, and they have all been predicated on the same pathophysiological model for membrane damage.

Membrane Function: As already discussed, longitudinal follow-up of peritoneal membrane function has shown that EPS is associated with progressive transport defects, including excessive increase in PSTR and loss of UF capacity as compared with control long-term PD patients (6,29,32,79–88) (summarized in Table 2). As PSTR predominantly reflects peritoneal inflammation (89,90) and EPS is an inflammatory condition, changes in PSTR appeared a promising risk marker for epidemiological and pathophysiological reasons. More recent longitudinal studies carefully matched for duration of PD to account for the association between PSTR and duration of PD (91) suggest that differences in PSTR are apparent only in the later stages prior to EPS, therefore limiting its application as a potential risk indicator (83,88).

Progressive uncoupling between solute and water transport across the EPS peritoneal membrane (a loss of UF capacity disproportionate to the rise in PSTR) (92), thought to be due to fibrosis, led to the hypothesis that functional measures of this fibrosis may be a more reliable and earlier indicator of the risk of EPS (83). This hypothesis was verified in case-control series demonstrating a reduction in osmotically-driven water flow across the peritoneal membrane (estimated by either sodium sieving, free-water transport, or direct assessment of osmotic conductance) in EPS patients (89,90). In daily clinical practice, the modified 3.86% glucose-based peritoneal equilibration test (PET) accurately evaluates UF capacity, allows the diagnosis of UF failure (defined as a net UF < 400 mL after 4 h), and provides the opportunity to determine sodium sieving, estimated either by the change in dialysate-over-plasma ratio of sodium or by the dip in dialysate sodium concentration during the first hour of the dwell (93). As a biochemical measure, it is theoretically more reliable than volumetric assessment of UF, which may be highly variable and influenced by other factors such as catheter patency.

The combination of functional and structural analysis of the membrane of patients with EPS demonstrated that reduced osmotic water transport is directly related to the degree of fibrosis and to changes in collagen density and structure in the peritoneal interstitium (88), in line with predictions based on the serial pore-membrane/fiber matrix and distributed models (94–96). Of note, the low osmotic

conductance and abolition of sodium sieving are not associated with any change in the expression of aquaporin-1 (AQP1) water channels in the peritoneal capillaries of patients with EPS (97,98).

**Biomarkers:** As the current pathophysiological model for EPS risk is based on chronic inflammation and fibrosis within the peritoneum, the use of biomarkers from the peritoneal effluent has been suggested to improve the prediction of EPS. They have therefore been tested to assess the risk of EPS in 4 different case control studies either fully or partially matched for duration of PD using prospectively collected dialysate samples (99–102). The consistent finding is that several inflammatory cytokines (interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, chemokine ligand 15, and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1) are slightly elevated up to several years before EPS, supporting a role for chronic peritoneal inflammation in the physiopathology of EPS. However, the levels of biomarkers in the effluent show significant variability (a lognormal distribution) and the differences between cases and controls, whilst statistically significant, have been small and therefore of debatable clinical significance. Whether functional measurements or biomarkers, when combined with PD duration and risk factors for mortality, improve the prediction of EPS has not been tested prospectively.

#### PREVENTION OF EPS

As discussed, the incidence of EPS increases significantly with time on PD, particularly after 5 or more years of treatment, but the majority of long-term PD patients will not develop EPS. Importantly, EPS may develop or worsen after stopping PD. There are no prospective data demonstrating any benefit of pre-emptively switching long-term PD patients to HD. A modality switch could also have significant adverse psychosocial and medical implications for patients, which need to be considered on an individual basis. Long-term access for HD also needs to be discussed and planned with the patient; the risk of infection from temporary HD access is considerably higher than the low risk of EPS at some point in the future.

Downloaded from http://www.pdiconnect.com/ by guest on September 26, 2018

If considering switching patients from long-term PD to HD pre-emptively because of concern about risk of EPS, it may be appropriate to select those patients with potentially adverse features for a high risk of PD technique failure such as high and rising peritoneal permeability, low UF capacity, difficulty in fluid balance control, and requirement for high glucose concentration dialysate, as well as those with frequent episodes of peritonitis. These features would then possibly select those at greater risk of PD technique failure. The effect of this management on EPS risk is, however, unknown. It would be important to ensure that these patients are monitored specifically for clinical features of EPS, which can develop some time after switching to HD. Informing patients of the early signs of EPS was recommended in a study of patient experiences in particular at the time of transition to HD as

TABLE 2
Case Series and Registry Studies Reporting Data on Membrane Function in Patients with EPS\*

ISPD POSITION PAPER ON LENGTH OF TIME ON PD AND EPS: 2017 UPDATE

Country	Time period	Study design	Number of EPS patients	Number of control patients	Type of PET	Changes in peritoneal transport in EPS	Predictive value of peritoneal transport defects	Mechanistic insights	Reference
Belgium	1994– 2014	Single-center, retrospective, observational	7	28, PD duration and gender- matched	3.86% PET	Loss of UF capacity, fast solute transport, uncoupling between water and solute transport, loss of sodium sieving	Loss of sodium sieving independently associated with EPS	Transport defects linked to changes in the collagen matrix of the peritoneal interstitium. Preserved expression of AQP1 water channels	Morelle <i>et al.</i> 2015 (88)
Netherlands	1995– 2008	Single-center, retrospective, observational	12	21 patients with UFF and 26 patients without UFF	SPA, incl. 3.86% PET	Loss of OCG and FWT	Loss of FWT independently associated with EPS	-	Sampimon <i>et al.</i> 2014 (87)
Europe	2001– 2010	Multicenter, retrospective, observational, pediatric patients	22	1,450	NA	UFF in 15/17 (88%) of patients on PD	_	_	Shroff <i>et al.</i> 2013 (35)
Netherlands	1995– 2008	Single-center, retrospective, observational	12	21 patients with UFF and 26 patients without UFF	SPA, incl. 3.86% 4-h PET	Loss of UF capacity, fast solute transport, loss of FWT both in EPS and patients with UFF without EPS. Lower ELAR in EPS than UFF patients	21% of patients who presented UFF eventually developed EPS. 50% of patients with UFF who continued PD for more than 3 years developed EPS	_	Sampimon <i>et al.</i> 2011 (85)
Netherlands	1996– 2007	Multicenter, retrospective, observational	63	126, matched for date of PD start	2.27% and 3.86% PET	UFF more prevalent (60%) in EPS than in controls (15%)	UFF independently associated with EPS	-	Korte <i>et al.</i> 2011 (29)
United Kingdom	2000– 2009	Single-center, retrospective, observational	39	71, on PD for >4 years	2.27% PET	Fast solute transport, and loss of UF capacity	_	_	Habib <i>et al</i> . 2010 (84)

PDI

					(	/			
Country	Time period	Study design	Number of EPS patients	Number of control patients	Type of PET	Changes in peritoneal transport in EPS	Predictive value of peritoneal transport defects	Mechanistic insights	: Reference
United Kingdom	1990– 2010	Single-center, retrospective, observational	9	36, PD duration and age- matched	2.27% PET	Loss of UF capacity, fast solute transport, uncoupling between water and solute transport	_	_	Lambie <i>et al</i> . 2010 (83)
United Kingdom	1997– 2007	Multicenter, retrospective, observational	111 (63 with peritoneal transport testing)	_	2.27% PET	41/63 (65%) with fast solute transport status, and 7/63 (11%) with slow solute transport status at last PET	_	_	Balasubramaniam et al. 2009 (6)
Netherlands	1995– 2006	Single-center, retrospective, observational	11	-	SPA, incl. 3.86% 4-h PET	Inverse U-shaped trend in solute transport and progressive decrease in both UF and FWT	_	_	Sampimon <i>et al.</i> 2007 (82)
Japan	_	Single-center, retrospective, observational	18	60, on PD for >2 years	'Fast' PET	Fast transport status	Fast solute transport status independently associated with EPS	_	Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> 2005 (81)
Japan	<1998	Single-center, retrospective, observational	12	128	2.27% PET	Early onset fast solute transport status and UFF in 12/12 (100%) of EPS patients	_		Yamamoto <i>et al.</i> 2002 (80)
Netherlands	1984– 1997	Single-center, retrospective, observational	10	30, PD duration- matched	1.36% PET	Fast solute transport and loss of UF capacity	_	-	Hendriks <i>et al</i> . 1997 (32)

EPS = encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis; PET = peritoneal equilibration test; PD = peritoneal dialysis; UF = ultrafiltration; UFF = UF failure; AQP1 = aquaporin-1; SPA = standard peritoneal analysis; OCG = osmotic conductance to glucose; FWT = free-water transport; NA = not applicable; ELAR = effective lymphatic absorption rate.

<sup>\*</sup> Presented in order of publication from most recent to oldest.

PDI JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4

symptoms were often subtle but not understood. Providing written information is recommended to give the patients control and enable them to discuss their concerns with the healthcare team (50).

Other strategies that have been suggested for EPS prevention include minimization of dialysate glucose administration and prescription of "biocompatible" PD fluids. In comparison studies of peritoneal biopsy specimens of low GDP and conventional acidic solutions, the neutral solutions with low GDP were associated with less peritoneal membrane fibrosis and vascular sclerosis through suppression of advanced glycation end-product accumulation (103). Moreover, the multicenter prospective observation study (the NEXT-PD study) confirmed fewer cases of EPS (18). The authors postulated that the use of neutral solutions with low GDP may have contributed to this observation along with other factors such as discontinuing PD in high-risk patients and minimizing use of high-glucose fluids with use of icodextrin.

#### SUMMARY STATEMENTS

- 1. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is recognized as a potential and rare complication of long-term PD, occurring in patients on PD for more than 5 years. Although the incidence of EPS then increases with further time on PD, the condition remains infrequent and the majority of long-term PD patients are not affected.
- The decision about when to discuss EPS as a potential complication of long-term PD therapy should be undertaken at some point with the patient—not necessarily at the start of PD but more reasonably at the 3 4 year point of therapy.
- Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. It is therefore important to develop strategies to reduce the risk to an individual patient.
- 4. No single strategy to reduce the risk of EPS has been proven in clinical trials, but there is some evidence to support the following:
  - a. Minimizing dialysate glucose exposure, although it is important to ensure that fluid volume status is not compromised as a result
  - Preventing acute PD-related peritonitis using interventions recommended by the ISPD peritonitis guidelines (104)
  - c. Use of neutral-pH, low-glucose degradation product dialysis solutions (low-grade evidence only)
- 5. The more severe clinical features of EPS with bowel obstruction, poor nutrition, and ascites may develop even if PD is discontinued (patient transferred to HD or transplanted).
- 6. There are no specific predictors for the development of EPS:
  - a. Although many patients with EPS have high PSTR, this is not true for all patients and this is a common finding in patients on long-term PD.
  - b. There is no evidence that CT scanning has any value in predicting EPS.

- c. Progressive loss of osmotic conductance to glucose (uncoupling between water and solute transport, altered sodium sieving, decreased free-water transport) may reflect the development of peritoneal interstitial fibrosis and may help identifying patients at risk of EPS. However, this needs to be confirmed in prospective studies.
- 7. Although changes in peritoneal membrane function, loss of UF and frequent peritonitis are poor predictors of EPS, they are factors suggesting that transfer to HD should be considered and discussed with the patient, if appropriate, to optimize dialysis delivery. Such patients should be monitored closely for possible development of EPS if changing dialysis modality to HD.
- 8. Older patients and those with comorbidities have a limited life expectancy when starting dialysis. Few will therefore survive long enough on PD to be at risk of developing EPS. Such patients are unlikely to be candidates for transplantation so their quality of life on dialysis is very important. In considering discussing the risk of EPS with such a patient, it is therefore important to consider realistically their life expectancy, the feasibility of HD for that patient, and how this would affect their quality of life. Discussions with the patient should be part of a shared decision-making process about overall prognosis and goals of care.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is a rare condition. There is no evidence to withhold PD as a treatment option because of fear of development of EPS. There is insufficient evidence to support a single rule about optimal length of time on PD to avoid the risk of EPS

Each long-term patient needs to be considered individually, taking into account the following factors:

- 1. Age and prognosis of patient;
- 2. Length of time on PD;
- Quality of PD (dialysis adequacy, ultrafiltration, peritonitis frequency);
- 4. Access to and suitability for transplantation;
- 5. Potential risk of HD in the particular patient (hemodynamic stability, vascular access); and
- 6. Quality of life of the patient.

All these items should be discussed and any decision arrived at by shared decision-making.

#### **DISCLOSURES**

We have read and understood Peritoneal Dialysis International's policy on disclosing conflicts of interest and declare the following interests: EB has received speaker fees from Baxter Healthcare and Fresenius Medical Care; TM has speaker, travel, and consultant fees from Baxter Healthcare; JB has consultant fees from Baxter Healthcare, Amgen, Otsuka, Keryx, Rockwell Scientific, speaker fees from Amgen, DaVita Healthcare Partners, Baxter Healthcare; JM has travel grants and speaker fees from Baxter Healthcare and Fresenius Medical Care, and research grant from Baxter Healthcare; WvB has

BROWN et al. JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4 PDI

speaker fees and research grants from Fresenius Medical Care, Baxter Healthcare and Gambro; DJ has consultancy fees, research grants, speaker's honoraria and travel sponsorships from Baxter Healthcare and Fresenius Medical Care.

#### REFERENCES

- Perl J, Davies SJ, Lambie M, Pisoni RL, McCullough K, Johnson D, et al. The Peritoneal Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (PDOPPS): Unifying efforts to inform practice and improve global outcomes in peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2016; 36:297–307.
- Brown EA, Davies SJ, Rutherford P, Meeus F, Borras M, Riegel W, et al.; on behalf of EAPOS Group. Survival of functionally anuric patients on automated peritoneal dialysis: the European APD Outcome Study (EAPOS). J Am Soc Nephrol 2003; 14:2948–57.
- YoDDA; Yorkshire Dialysis Decision Aid. [Online.] Available at: www.yodda. leeds.ac.uk/Survey/Outcomes?page=8. Accessed 9 Jan 2017.
- Winterbottom AE, Gavaruzzi T, Mooney A, Wilkie M, Davies SJ, Crane D, et al. Patient acceptability of the Yorkshire Dialysis Decision Aid (YoDDA) booklet: a prospective non-randomized comparison study across 6 predialysis services. Perit Dial Int 2016; 36:374–81.
- Morton R. Do dialysis decision aids improve treatment decision-making? Perit Dial Int 2016; 36:359–61.
- Balasubramaniam G, Brown EA, Davenport A, Cairns H, Cooper B, Fan SLS, et al. Clinical course and management of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a multicentre retrospective survey from the UK. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2009; 24:3209–15.
- Brown MC, Simpson K, Kerssens J, MacTier R; on behalf of the Scottish Renal Registry. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in the new millennium: a national cohort study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009; 4:1222–9.
- Kawaguchi Y, Saito A, Kawanishi H, Nakayama M, Miyazaki M, Nakamoto H, et al. Recommendations on the management of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in Japan, 2005: diagnosis, predictive markers, treatment, and preventive measures. Perit Dial Int 2005; 25(Suppl 4):S83–95.
- UK Encapsulating Peritoneal Sclerosis Clinical Guidelines July 2009. [Online.] Available at: www.renal.org/docs/default-source/ guidelines-resources/Encapsulating\_Peritoneal\_Sclerosis\_guidelines\_ UK\_EPS\_Group\_Final\_July\_2009.pdf. Accessed 9 Jan 2017.
- Habib SM, Betjes MGH, Fieren MWJA, Boeschoten EW, Abrahams AC, Boer WH, et al; on behalf of the EPS Registry. Management of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a guideline on optimal and uniform treatment. Neth J Med 2011; 69:500–7.
- Kawanishi H, Kawaguchi Y, Fukui H, Hara S, Imada A, Kubo H, et al; for the Long-Term Peritoneal Dialysis Study Group. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in Japan: a prospective, controlled, multicenter study. Am J Kidney Dis 2004; 44:729–37.
- Kawanishi H. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in Japan: prospective multicenter controlled study. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21(Suppl 3):S67-71.
- Johnson DW, Cho Y, Livingston BER, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Brown FG, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: incidence, predictors, and outcomes. Kidney Int 2010; 77(10):904–12.
- Latus J, Habib SM, Kitterer D, Korte MR, Ulmer C, Fritz P, et al. Histological and clinical findings in patients with post-transplantation and classical encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a European multicenter study. PLOS One 2014; 9(8):e106511.
- Nitsch D, Davenport A. Designing epidemiology studies to determine the incidence and prevalence of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis (EPS). Perit Dial Int 2015; 35(7):678–82.
- Kitterer D, Braun N, Alscher MD, Segerer S, Latus J. The number of patients with severe encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is decreasing in a large referral center in Germany. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis 2016; 9:183–6.
- De Sousa-Amorim E, Del Peso G, Bajo MA, Alvarez L, Ossorio M, Gil F, et al. Can EPS development be avoided with early interventions? The potential role oftamoxifen—a single-center study. Perit Dial Int 2014; 34(6):582–93.
- 18. Nakayama M, Miyazaki M, Honda K, Kasai K, Tomo T, Nakamoto H, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in the era of a multi-disciplinary

- approach based on biocompatible solutions: the NEXT-PD study. *Perit Dial Int* 2014; 34(7):766–74.
- Rigby RJ, Hawley CM. Sclerosing peritonitis: the experience in Australia. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1998; 13(1):154–9.
- Betjes MGH, Habib SM, Boeschoten EW, Hemke AC, Stuijk DG, Westerhuis R, et al. Significant decreasing incidence of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in the Dutch population of peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int 2017; 37:230–4.
- 21. Alatab S, Najafi I, Pourmand G, Hosseini M, Shekarchian S. Risk factors of severe peritoneal sclerosis in chronic peritoneal dialysis patients. *Ren Fail* 2017; 39(1):32–9.
- 22. Bansal S, Sheth H, Siddiqui N, Bender FH, Johnston JR, Piraino B. Incidence of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis at a single US university center. *Adv Perit Dial* 2010; 26:75–81.
- Brown EA, Van Biesen W, Finkelstein FO, Hurst H, Johnson DW, Kawanishi H, et al. Length of time on peritoneal dialysis and encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: position paper for ISPD. Perit Dial Int 2009; 29(6):595–600.
- 24. Petrie MC, Traynor JP, Mactier RA. Incidence and outcome of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Clin Kidney J* 2016; 9(4):624–9.
- Phelan PJ, Walshe JJ, Al-Aradi A, Garvey JP, Finnegan K, O'Kelly P, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: experience of a tertiary referral center. Ren Fail 2010; 32(4):459–63.
- 26. Vidal E, Edefonti A, Puteo F, Chimenz R, Gianoglio B, Lavoratti G, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in paediatric peritoneal dialysis patients: the experience of the Italian Registry of Pediatric Chronic Dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28(6):1603–9.
- Vizzardi V, Sandrini M, Zecchini S, Ravera S, Manili L, Cancarini G. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in an Italian center: thirty year experience. J Nephrol 2016; 29(2):259–67.
- Kim BS, Choi HY, Ryu DR, Yoo TH, Park HC, Kang SW, et al. Clinical characteristics of dialysis related sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis: multi-center experience in Korea. Yonsei Med J 2005; 46(1):104–11.
- 29. Korte MR, Sampimon DE, Lingsma HF, Fieren MW, Looman CW, Zietse R, et al. Risk factors associated with encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in Dutch EPS study. *Perit Dial Int* 2011; 31(3):269–78.

- 30. Lee HY, Kim BS, Choi HY, Park HC, Kang SW, Choi KH, et al. Sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis as a complication of long-term continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in Korea. Nephrology (Carlton) 2003; 8(Suppl):S33-9.
- 31. Nakamoto H, Kawaguchi Y, Suzuki H. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in Japan. *Adv Perit Dial* 2002; 18:119–23.
- Hendriks PM, Ho-dac-Pannekeet MM, van Gulik TM, Struijk DG, Phoa SS, Sie L, et al. Peritoneal sclerosis in chronic peritoneal dialysis patients: analysis of clinical presentation, risk factors, and peritoneal transport kinetics. Perit Dial Int 1997; 17(2):136–43.
- Yamahatsu A, Hamada C, Kaneko K, Io H, Nakata J, Tomino Y. Long-term outcome of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis (EPS) patients in a single center. J Clin Exp Nephrol 2015; 19(5):961–7.
- Hong KD, Bae JH, Jang YJ, Jung HY, Cho JH, Choi JY, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: case series from a university center. Korean J Intern Med 2013; 28(5):587–93.
- 35. Shroff R, Stefanidis CJ, Askiti V, Edefonti A, Testa S, Ekim M, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in children on chronic PD: a survey from the European Paediatric Dialysis Working Group. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28(7):1908–14.
- 36. Marinangeli G, Cabiddu G, Neri L, Viglino G, Russo R, Teatini U. Old and new perspectives on peritoneal dialysis in Italy emerging from the Peritoneal Dialysis Study Group Census. *Perit Dial Int* 2012; 32(5):558–65.
- Gayomali C, Hussein U, Cameron SF, Protopapas Z, Finkelstein FO. Incidence of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a single-center experience with long-term peritoneal dialysis in the United States. *Perit Dial Int* 2011; 31(3):279–86.
- Trigka K, Dousdampanis P, Chu M, Khan S, Ahmad M, Bargman JM, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a single-center experience and review of the literature. Int Urol Nephrol 2011; 43(2):519–26.
- 39. Lindic J, Rupnik AT, Tomazic J, Skoberne A, Gucek A, Ferluga D, et al.

Peritoneal Dialysis International 🔟

Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in patients on peritoneal dialysis in Slovenia. *Ther Apher Dial* 2009; 13(4):282–7.

JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4

- Ekim M, Fitoz S, Yagmurlu A, Ensari A, Yuksel S, Acar B, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in paediatric peritoneal dialysis patients. Nephrology (Carlton) 2005; 10(4):341–3.
- 41. Hoshii S, Honda M, Itami N, Oh S, Matsumura C, Moriya S, *et al.* Sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis in pediatric peritoneal dialysis patients. *Pediatr Nephrol* 2000; 14(4):275–9.
- 42. Yokota S, Kumano K, Sakai T. Prognosis for patients with sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis following CAPD. *Adv Perit Dial* 1997; 13:221–3.
- Irish A, Viecelli A, Hawley C, Hooi LS, Pascoe E, Paul-Brent P, et al. Effect
  of fish oil and aspirin on arteriovenous fistula failure in hemodialysis: a
  randomized controlled trial. JAMA Intern Med 2017; 177(2):184–93.
- 44. Skov Dalgaard L, Norgaard M, Jespersen B, Jensen-Fangel S, Ostergaard LJ, Schonheyder HC, et al. Risk and prognosis of bloodstream infections among patients on chronic hemodialysis: a population-based cohort study. PLOS One 2015; 10(4):e0124547.
- 45. Hoen B. Infective endocarditis: a frequent disease in dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2004; 19(6):1360–2.
- Spiers C, Madison JR, Schatz IJ. Infective endocarditis in patients with end-stage renal disease. Clinical presentation and outcome. *Arch Intern* Med 2004; 164:71–5.
- Ishida K, Brown MG, Weiner M, Kobrin S, Kasner SE, Messe SR. Endocarditis is a common stroke mechanism in hemodialysis patients. Stroke 2014; 45:1164–6.
- 48. Lewis SS, Sexton DJ. Metastatic complications of bloodstream infections in hemodialysis patients. *Semin Dial* 2013; 26:47–53.
- Toomay S, Rectenwald J, Vazquez MA. Central venous stenosis in hemodialysis patients. Semin Dial 2016; 29:201–3.
- Hurst H, Summers A, Beaver K, Caress AL. Living with encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis (EPS): the patient's perspective. *Perit Dial Int* 2014; 34(7):758–65.
- Kawanishi H, Watanabe H, Moriishi M, Tsuchiya S. Successful surgical management of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Perit Dial Int* 2005; 25(Suppl 4):S39–47.
- 52. Goodlad C, Brown EA. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: what have we learned? *Semin Nephrol* 2011; 31:183–98.
- Nakamoto H. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis—a clinician's guide to diagnosis and medical management. *Perit Dial Int* 2005; 25(Suppl 4):S30–8.
- 54. Tarzi RM, Lim A, Moser S, Ahmed S, George A, Balasubramaniam G, *et al.*Assessing the validity of an abdominal CT scoring system as an aid to the diagnosis of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2008; 3:1702–10.
- 55. Vlijm A, Stoker J, Bipat S, Spijkerboer AM, Phoa SS, Maes R, et al. Computed tomographic findings characteristic for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a case-control study. Perit Dial Int 2009; 29:517–22.
- 56. Honda K, Oda H. Pathology of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Perit Dial Int* 2005; 25(Suppl 4):S19–29.
- Krediet RT, Struijk DG, Boeschoten EW, Koomen GC, Stouthard JM, Hoek FJ, et al. The time course of peritoneal transport kinetics in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients who develop sclerosing peritonitis. Am J Kidney Dis 1989; 4:299–307.
- 58. Moriishi M, Kawanishi H, Kawai T, Takahashi S, Hirai T, Shishida M, *et al.* Preservation of peritoneal catheter for prevention of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Adv Perit Dial* 2002; 18:149–53.
- 59. Yamamoto T, Nagasue K, Okuno S, Yamakawa T. The role of peritoneal lavage and the prognostic significance of mesothelial cell area in preventing encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Perit Dial Int* 2010; 30:343–52.
- El-Sherbini N, Duncan N, Hickson M, Johansson L, Brown EA. Nutrition changes in conservatively treated patients with encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Perit Dial Int* 2013; 33:538–43.
- 61. Kuriyama S, Tomonari H. Corticosteroid therapy in encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2001; 16:1304–5.
- 62. Summers AM, Clancy MJ, Syed F, Harwood N, Brenchley PE, Augustine T, et al. Single-center experience of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in patients on peritoneal dialysis for end-stage renal failure. Kidney Int

- 2005; 68:2381-8.
- 63. Eltoum MA, Wright S, Atchley J, Mason JC. Four consecutive cases of peritoneal dialysis-related encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis treated successfully with tamoxifen. *Perit Dial Int* 2006; 26:203–6.
- 64. Korte MR, Fieren MW, Sampimon DE, Lingsma HF, Weimar W, Betjes MG; on behalf of the investigators of the Dutch Multicentre EPS Study. Tamoxifen is associated with lower mortality of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: results of the Dutch Multicentre EPS Study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011; 26:691–7.
- Junor BJR, McMillan MA. Immunosuppression in sclerosing peritonitis. Adv Perit Dial 1993; 9:187–9.
- 66. Rajani R, Smyth J, Koffman CG, Abbs I, Goldsmith DJ. Differential effect of sirolimus vs prednisolone in the treatment of sclerosing encapsulating peritonitis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 17:2278–80.
- 67. Lafrance JP, Letourneau I, Ouimet D, Bonnardeaux A, Leblanc M, Mathieu N, et al. Successful treatment of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis with immunosuppressive therapy. Am J Kidney Dis 2008; 51:7–10.
- Kawanishi H, Moriishi M, Ide K, Dohi K. Recommendation of the surgical option for treatment of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. *Perit Dial Int* 2008; 28(Suppl 3):S205–10.
- Kawanishi H. Surgical and medical treatments of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Contrib Nephrol 2012; 177:38–47.
- Campbell R, Augustine T, Hurst H, Pararajasingam R, van Dellen D, Armstrong S, et al. Anthropometrics identify wasting in patients undergoing surgery for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Perit Dial Int 2015; 35:471–80.
- Ulmer C, Braun N, Rieber F, Latus J, Hirschburger S, Emmel J, et al. Efficacy and morbidity of surgical therapy in late-stage encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Surgery 2013; 153:219–24.
- 72. Latus J, Ulmer C, Fritz P, Rettenmaier B, Biegger D, Lang T, et al. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a rare, serious but potentially curable complication of peritoneal dialysis—experience of a referral centre in Germany. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28:1021–30.
- Korte MR, Yo M, Betjes MG, Fieren MW, van Saase JCLM, Boer WH, et al. Increasing incidence of severe encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis after kidney transplantation. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002; 22:2412–4.
- 74. Fieren MW, Betjes MG, Korte MR, Boer WH. Posttransplant encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a worrying trend? *Perit Dial Int* 2007; 27:619–24.
- 75. de Freitas DG, Augustine T, Brown EA, Brenchley PEC, Collinson H, Davenport A, et al.; (UK EPS Group). Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis following renal transplantation—the UK experience. Am J Transplant 2007; 7(Suppl 2):163.
- 76. Hawley CM, Wall DR, Johnson DW, Campbell SB, Griffin AD, Rigby RJ, et al. Recovery of gastrointestinal function after renal transplantation in a patient with sclerosing peritonitis secondary to continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 1995; 26:658–61.
- Goodlad C, Tarzi R, Gedroyc W, Lim A, Moser S, Brown EA. Screening for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in patients on peritoneal dialysis: role of CT scanning. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011; 26:1374–9.
- Noordzij M, Leffondré K, van Stralen KJ, Zoccali C, Dekker FW, Jager KJ. When do we need competing risks methods for survival analysis in nephrology? Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28:2670–7.
- 79. Verger C, Celicout B, Larpent L, Goupil A. Encapsulating peritonitis during continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. A physiopathologic hypothesis. *Presse Med* 1986; 15:1311–4.
- 80. Yamamoto R, Nakayama M, Hasegawa T, Miwako N, Yamamoto H, Yokoyami K, et al. High-transport membrane is a risk factor for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis developing after long-term continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis treatment. Adv Perit Dial 2002; 18:131–4.
- Yamamoto R, Otsuka Y, Nakayama M, Maruyama Y, Katoh N, Ikeda M, et al. Risk factors for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in patients who have experienced peritoneal dialysis treatment. Clin Exp Nephrol 2005; 9:148–52.
- Sampimon DE, Coester AM, Struijk DG, Krediet RT. Time course of peritoneal transport parameters in peritoneal dialysis patients who develop peritoneal sclerosis. Adv Perit Dial 2007; 23:107–11.
- 83. Lambie ML, John B, Mushahar L, Huckvale C, Davies SJ. The peritoneal

BROWN et al. JULY 2017 - VOL. 37, NO. 4 PDI

osmotic conductance is low well before the diagnosis of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis is made. Kidney Int 2010; 78:611-8.

- 84. Habib AM, Preston E, Davenport A. Risk factors for developing encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in the icodextrin era of peritoneal dialysis prescription. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010; 25:1633-8.
- 85. Sampimon DE, Coester AM, Struijk DG, Krediet RT. The time course of peritoneal transport parameters in peritoneal dialysis patients who develop encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2011;
- 86. Shroff R, Stefanidis CJ, Askiti V, Edefonti A, Testa S, Ekim M, et al; European Paediatric Dialysis Working Group. Encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in children on chronic PD: a survey from the European Paediatric Dialysis Working Group. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2013; 28:1908-14.
- 87. Sampimon DE, Barreto DL, Coester AM, Struijk DG, Krediet RT. The value of osmotic conductance and free water transport in the prediction of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Adv Perit Dial 2014; 30:21-6.
- Morelle J, Sow A, Hautem N, Bouzin C, Crott R, Devuyst O, Goffin E. Interstitial fibrosis restricts osmotic water transport in encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. J Am Soc Nephrol 2015; 26:2521-33.
- 89. Lambie M, Chess J, Donovan KL, Kim YL, Do JY, Lee HB, et al. Independent effects of systemic and peritoneal inflammation on peritoneal dialysis survival. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24:2071-80.
- 90. Sawai A, Ito Y, Mizuno M, Suzuki Y, Toda S, Ito I, et al. Peritoneal macrophage infiltration is correlated with baseline peritoneal solute transport rate in peritoneal dialysis patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant
- 91. Davies SJ, Bryan J, Phillips L, Russell GI. Longitudinal changes in peritoneal kinetics: the effects of peritoneal dialysis and peritonitis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1996; 11:498-506.
- 92. Davies SJ. Longitudinal relationship between solute transport and ultrafiltration capacity in peritoneal dialysis patients. Kidney Int 2004;
- 93. Mujais S, Nolph K, Gokal R, Blake P, Burkart J, Coles G, et al. Evaluation and management of ultrafiltration problems in peritoneal dialysis. International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis Ad Hoc Committee on Ultrafiltration Management in Peritoneal Dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2000; 20:S5-21.
- 94. Rippe B, Venturoli D. Simulations of osmotic ultrafiltration failure in CAPD using a serial three-pore membrane/fiber matrix model. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2007; 292:F1035-43.

- 95. Flessner MF, Dedrick RL, Schultz JS. A distributed model of peritoneal plasma transport: theoretical considerations. Am J Physiol 1984; 246:R597-607.
- 96. Flessner MF. Peritoneal transport physiology: insights from basic research. J Am Soc Nephrol 1991; 2:122-35.
- Goffin E, Combet S, Jamar F, Cosyns JP, Devuyst O. Expression of aquaporin-1 in a long-term peritoneal dialysis patient with impaired transcellular water transport. Am J Kidney Dis 1999; 33:383-8.
- Morelle J, Sow A, Hautem N, Devuyst O, Goffin E. Ultrafiltration failure and impaired sodium sieving during long-term peritoneal dialysis: more than aquaporin dysfunction? Perit Dial Int 2016; 36:227-31.
- Lambie MR, Chess J, Summers AM, Williams PF, Topley N, Davies SJ, et al. Peritoneal inflammation precedes encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: results from the GLOBAL Fluid Study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2016; 31(3):480-6.
- 100. Goodlad C, Tam FWK, Ahmad S, Bhangal G, North BV, Brown EA. Dialysate cytokine levels do not predict encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Perit Dial Int 2014; 34:594-604.
- 101. Sampimon DE, Korte MR, Barreto DL, Vlijm A, de Waart R, Struijk DG, et al. Early diagnostic markers for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis: a case-control study. Perit Dial Int 2010; 30:163-9.
- 102. Lopes Barreto D, Struijk DG, Krediet RT. Peritoneal effluent MMP-2 and PAI-1 in encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis. Am J Kidney Dis 2015;
- 103. Kawanishi K, Honda K, Tsukada M, Oda H, Nitta K. Neutral solution low in glucose degradation products is associated with less peritoneal fibrosis and vascular sclerosis in patients receiving peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2013; 33:242-51.
- 104. Li PK-T, Szeto CC, Piraino B, de Arteaga J, Fan S, Figueiredo AE, et al. ISPD Peritonitis recommendations: 2016 update on prevention and treatment. Perit Dial Int 2016; 36:481-508.

ⓒ��� This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. For commercial re-use, please contact marketing@multimed.com

