

References

- Abdellaoui, M. 2000. Parameter-free elicitation of utility and probability weighting functions. *Management Science* **46** (11) 1497-1512.
- Abdellaoui, M., A.E. Attema, H. Bleichrodt. 2006. Intertemporal tradeoffs for gains and losses: An experimental measurement of discounted utility. *Submitted*.
- Abdellaoui, M., C. Barrios, P.P. Wakker. 2007. Reconciling introspective utility with revealed preference: Experimental arguments based on prospect theory. *Journal of Econometrics* **138** 356-378.
- Abdellaoui, M., F. Vossman, M. Weber. 2005. Choice-based elicitation and decomposition of decision weights for gains and losses under uncertainty. *Management Science* **51** 1384-1399.
- Abellan-Perpignan, J.M., J.L. Pinto, I. Mendez, X. Badia-Llach. 2006. Towards a better QALY model. *Health Economics* **15** (7) 665-676.
- Ahlbrecht, M., M. Weber. 1997. An empirical study on intertemporal decision making under risk. *Management Science* **43** 813-826.
- Ainslie, G. 1975. Specious reward: A behavioral theory of impulsiveness and impulse control. *Psychological Bulletin* **82** 463-496.
- Airolidi, M., D. Read, S. Frederick. 2005. Present bias and dynamic inconsistency: a longitudinal study. *Work in progress*.
- Andersen, S., G.W. Harrison, M.I. Lau, E.E. Rutström. 2006. Eliciting risk and time preferences. *Working paper*.

Antonides, G., S.R. Wunderink. 2001. Subjective time preference and willingness to pay for an energy-saving durable good. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie* **32** (3) 133-141.

Arnesen, T., M. Trommald. 2005. Are QALYs based on the time trade-off comparable? A review of TTO-methodologies. *Health Economics* **14** (1) 39-53.

Arrow, K.J. 1951. Alternative approaches to the theory of choice in risk-taking situations. *Econometrica* **19** 404-437.

Attema, A.E. 2006. Measuring proneness to arbitrage using only pencil and paper. *Medium Econometrische Toepassingen* **14** (4) 2-6.

Attema, A.E., H. Bleichrodt, K.I.M. Rohde, P.P. Wakker. 2006. Time-tradeoff sequences for quantifying and visualizing the degree of time inconsistency, using only pencil and paper. *Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam*.

Attema, A.E., H. Bleichrodt, P.P. Wakker. 2007. A new method for measuring the utility of life duration. *Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam*.

Attema, A.E., W.B.F. Brouwer. 2007a. The correction of TTO-values for utility curvature using a risk-free utility elicitation method. *Submitted*.

Attema, A.E., W.B.F. Brouwer. 2007b. Can we fix it? Yes we can! But what? A new test of procedural invariance in TTO-measurement. *Health Economics, forthcoming*.

Attema, A.E., W.B.F. Brouwer. 2007c. On the (not so) constant proportional tradeoff in TTO-measurement. *Submitted*.

Baker, F., M.W. Johnson, W.K. Bickel. 2003. Delay discounting in current and never-before cigarette smokers: similarities and differences across commodity, sign, and magnitude. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **112** 382-392.

Barsky, R.B., F.T. Juster, M.S. Kimball, M.D. Shapiro. 1997. Preference parameters and behavioral heterogeneity: An experimental approach in the health and retirement study. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **112** 537-579.

Becker, G.S., K.M. Murphy. 1988. A theory of rational addiction. *Journal of Political Economy* **96** (4) 675-700.

Bénabou, R., J. Tirole. 2002. Self-confidence and personal motivation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **117** 871-915.

Benhabib, J., A. Bisin, A. Schotter. 2006. Present bias, quasi-hyperbolic discounting, and fixed costs. *Working paper*.

Benzion, U., A. Rapoport, J. Yagil. 1989. Discount rates inferred from decisions: An experimental study. *Management Science* **35** 270-284.

Bernheim, B.D., J. Skinner, S. Weinberg. 2001. What accounts for the variation in retirement wealth among U.S. Households? *American Economic Review* **91** 832-857.

Bickel, W.K., M.W. Johnson. 2003. Delay discounting: A fundamental behavioral process of drug dependence. G.F. Loewenstein, D. Read, R.F. Baumeister, eds. *Time and decision*. Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 419-440.

Bickel, W.K., A.L. Odum, G.J. Madden. 1999. Impulsivity and cigarette smoking: delay discounting in current, never, and ex-smokers. *Psychopharmacology* **146** 447-454.

Bleichrodt, H. 2001. Probability weighting in choice under risk: An empirical test. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **23** 185-198.

Bleichrodt, H. 2002. A new explanation for the difference between time trade-off utilities and standard gamble utilities. *Health Economics* **11** 447-456.

Bleichrodt, H., J.M. Abellan-Perpinan, J.L. Pinto, I. Mendez. 2007. Resolving inconsistencies in utility measurement under risk: Tests of generalizations of expected utility. *Management Science* **53** 469-482.

Bleichrodt, H., A. Gafni. 1996. Time preference, the discounted utility model and health. *Journal of Health Economics* **15** 49-66.

Bleichrodt, H., M. Johannesson. 1997. The validity of QALYs: An empirical test of constant proportional tradeoff and utility independence. *Medical Decision Making* **17** 21-32.

Bleichrodt, H., M. Johannesson. 2001. Time preference for health: A test of stationarity versus decreasing timing aversion. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **45** 265-282.

Bleichrodt, H., J.L. Pinto. 2000. A parameter-free elicitation of the probability weighting function in medical decision analysis. *Management Science* **46** 1485-1496.

Bleichrodt, H., J.L. Pinto. 2005. The validity of QALYs under non-expected utility. *Economic Journal* **115** 533-550.

Bleichrodt, H., J.L. Pinto, J.M. Abellan-Perpinan. 2003. A consistency test of the time trade-off. *Journal of Health Economics* **22** 1037-1052.

Bleichrodt, H., J.L. Pinto, P.P. Wakker. 2001. Making descriptive use of prospect theory to improve the prescriptive use of expected utility. *Management Science* **47** 1498-1514.

Bommier, A. 2005. Life-cycle theory for human beings. *Working paper*.

Bommier, A. 2006. Uncertain lifetime and intertemporal choice: risk aversion as a rationale for time discounting. *International Economic Review* **47** (4) 1223-1246.

Bostic, R., R.J. Herrnstein, R.D. Luce. 1990. The effect on the preference reversal of using choice indifference. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* **13** 193-212.

Bretteville-Jensen, A.L. 1999. Addiction and discounting. *Journal of Health Economics* **18** 393-407.

Brocas, I., J.D. Carrillo. 2000. The value of information when preferences are dynamically inconsistent. *European Economic Review* **44** 1104-1115.

Brocas, I., J.D. Carrillo. 2001. Rush and discounting under hyperbolic discounting and interdependent activities. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **22** 141-164.

Cairns, J.A. 1992. Health, wealth and time preference. *Project Appraisal* **7** (1) 31-40.

Cairns, J.A. 1994. Valuing future benefits. *Econometrics and Health Econometrics* **3** 221-229.

Cairns, J.A., M.M. van der Pol. 1997a. Saving future lives: a comparison of three discounting models. *Health Economics* **6** (4) 341-350.

Cairns, J.A., M.M. van der Pol. 1997b. Constant and decreasing timing aversion for saving lives. *Social Science and Medicine* **45** (11) 1653-1659.

Cairns, J.A., M.M. van der Pol. 2000. The estimation of marginal time preference in a UK-wide sample (TEMPUS) project. *Health Technology Assessment* **4** 1-73.

Camerer, C.F. 1995. Individual decision making. J. Kagel, A. Roth, eds. *The handbook of experimental economics*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 587-703.

Camerer, C.F., R.M. Hogarth. 1999. The effects of financial incentives in experiments: A review and capital-labor-production framework. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **19** 7-42.

Chapman, G.B. 1996a. Temporal discounting and utility for health and money. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition* **22** 771-791.

Chapman, G.B. 1996b. Expectations and preferences for sequences of health and money. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* **67** 59-75.

Chapman, G.B. 1998. Sooner or later: the psychology of intertemporal choice. D.L. Medin, ed. *The psychology of learning and motivation* **38** 83-113.

Chapman, G.B. 2000. Preferences for improving and declining sequences of health outcomes. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* **13** 203-218.

Chapman, G.B. 2003. Time discounting of health outcomes. G.F. Loewenstein, D. Read, R.F. Baumeister, eds. *Time and decision*. Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 395-417.

Chapman, G.B. 2005. Short-term cost for long-term benefit: time preference and cancer control. *Health Psychology* **24** (4) S41-S48.

Chapman, G.B., N.T. Brewer, E.J. Coups, S. Brownlee, H. Leventhal, E.A. Leventhal. 2001. Value for the future and preventive health behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied* **7** (3) 235-250.

Chapman, G.B., E.J. Coups. 1999. Time preferences and preventive health behavior: acceptance of the influenza vaccine. *Medical Decision Making* **19** 307-314.

Chapman, G.B., A.S. Elstein. 1995. Valuing the future: temporal discounting of health and money. *Medical Decision Making* **15** 373-386.

Chapman, G.B., R. Nelson, D.B. Hier. 1999. Familiarity and time preferences: decision making about treatments for migraine headaches and Crohn's disease. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied* **5** (1) 17-34.

Clarke, P., J. Wolstenhome, K. Johnston. 2003. Time trade-off or time gain?: It all depends on your point of reference. *Working paper, University of Oxford*.

Coller, M., G.W. Harrison, E.E. Rutström. 2005. Does everyone have quasi-hyperbolic preferences? *Working paper*.

Cook, J., J. Richardson, A. Street. 1994. A cost utility analysis of treatment options for gallstone disease: methodological issues and results. *Health Economics* **3** (3) 157-168.

Cropper, M.L., S.K. Ayded, P.R. Portney. 1992. Rates of time preference for saving lives. *American Economic Review* **82** 469-472.

Cropper, M.L., S.K. Ayded, P.R. Portney. 1994. Preferences for live saving programs: how the public discounts time and age. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **8** 243-265.

Dasgupta, P., E. Maskin. 2005. Uncertainty and hyperbolic discounting. *American Economic Review* **95** 1290-1299.

DellaVigna, S., U. Malmendier. 2006. Paying not to go to the gym. *American Economic Review* **96** (3) 694-719.

Delqu  , P. 1997. 'Bi-matching': A new preference assessment method to reduce compatibility effects. *Management Science* **43** 640-658.

Diamond, P., B. K  szegi. 2003. Quasi-hyperbolic discounting and retirement. *Journal of Public Economics* **87** 1839-1872.

Dolan, P. 1997. Modeling valuations for EuroQol health states. *Medical Care* **35** 1095-1108.

Dolan, P. 2000. The measurement of health-related quality of life for use in resource allocation decisions in health care. A.J. Culyer, J.P. Newhouse, eds. *Handbook of Health Economics* (vol. 1). Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1723-1760.

Dolan, P., C. Gudex. 1995. Time preference, duration and health state valuations. *Health Economics* **4** (4) 289-299.

Dolan, P., M.W. Jones-Lee. 1997. The time trade-off: a note on the effect of lifetime reallocation of consumption and discounting. *Journal of Health Economics* **16** 731-739.

Dolan, P., P.F.M. Stalmeier. 2003. The validity of time trade-off values in calculating QALYs: Constant proportional time trade-off versus the proportional heuristic. *Journal of Health Economics* **22** 445-458.

Donaldson, C., R. Thomas, D.J. Torgerson. 1997. Validity of open ended and payment scale approaches to eliciting willingness to pay. *Applied Economics* **29** 79-84.

Donkers, B., R. Gregory, J.L. Knetsch. 2004. Discounting future gains and future losses: predictable differences and appropriate measures. *Working paper*.

Dyer, J. S., R.K. Sarin. 1982. Relative risk aversion. *Management Science* **28** 875-886.

Etchart-Vincent, N. 2004. Is probability weighting sensitive to the magnitude of consequences? An experimental investigation on losses. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **28** 217-235.

Fennema, H., M. van Assen. 1999. Measuring the utility of losses by means of the trade-off method. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **17** 277-295.

Fischer, G.W., Z. Carmon, D. Ariely, G. Zauberman. 1999. Goal-based construction of preferences: Task goals and the prominence effect. *Management Science* **45** 1057-1075.

Fishburn, P.C. 1989. Retrospective on the utility theory of von Neumann and Morgenstern. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **2** 127-158.

Fishburn, P.C., G.A. Kochenberger. 1979. Two-piece von Neumann Morgenstern utility functions. *Decision Sciences* **10** 503-518.

Fisher, I. 1930. *The theory of interest: As determined by impatience to spend income and opportunity to invest it*. Kelley and Millman, New York.

Frank, R.H., R.M. Hutchens. 1993. Wages, seniority, and the demand for rising consumption. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* **21** 251-276.

Frederick, S. 1999. *Discounting, time preference, and identity*. Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University.

Frederick, S., G.F. Loewenstein, T. O'Donoghue. 2002. Time discounting and time preference: a critical review. *Journal of Economic Literature* **40** 351-401.

Fuchs, V.R. 1982. Time preference and health: An exploratory study. V.R. Fuchs, ed. *Economic Aspects of Health*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 93-120.

Gafni, A. 1995. Time in health: can we measure individuals' 'pure time preferences'? *Medical Decision Making* **15** (1) 31-37.

- Gigliotti, G., B. Sopher. 2003. Analysis of intertemporal choice: a new framework and experimental results. *Theory and Decision* **55** (3) 209-233.
- Gravelle, H., W.B.F. Brouwer, L.W. Niessen, M.J. Postma, F.F.H. Rutten. 2007. Discounting in economic evaluations: Stepping forward towards optimal decision rules. *Health Economics* **16** (3) 307-317.
- Green, L., N. Fristoe, J. Myerson. 1994. Temporal discounting and preference reversals in choice between delayed outcomes. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review* **1** 383-389.
- Green, L., J. Myerson, E.W. Macaux. 2005. Temporal discounting when the choice is between two delayed rewards. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* **31** (5) 1121-1133.
- Gruber, J.H., B. Köszegi. 2001. Is addiction "rational"? Theory and evidence. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **116** 1261-1303.
- Gruber, J.H., S. Mullainathan. 2005. Do cigarette taxes make smokers happier? *Advances in Economic Analysis & Policy* **5** (1) Article 4.
- Hall, J., K. Gerard, G. Salkeld, J. Richardson. 1992. A cost utility analysis of mammography screening in Australia. *Social Science & Medicine* **34** (9) 993-1004.
- Harris, C., D. Laibson. 2001. Dynamic choices of hyperbolic consumers. *Econometrica* **69** 935-957.
- Harrison, G.W., M.I. Lau, M.B. Williams. 2002. Estimating individual discount rates in Denmark: A field experiment. *American Economic Review* **92** (5) 1606-1617.
- Harvey, C.M. 1986. Value functions for infinite-period planning. *Management Science* **32** 1123-1139.
- Harvey, C.M. 1994. The reasonableness of non-constant discounting. *Journal of Public Economics* **53** 31-51.

Harvey, C.M. 1995. Proportional discounting of future costs and benefits. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **20** 381-399.

Herrnstein, R.J. 1981. Self-control as response strength. C.M. Bradshaw, E. Szabadi, C.F. Lowe, eds. *Quantification of steady-state operant behavior*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 3-20.

Hertwig, R., A. Ortmann. 2001. Experimental practices in economics: A challenge for psychologists? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **24** 383-403.

Hesketh, B. 2000. Time perspective in career-related choices: applications of time-discounting principles. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* **57** 62-84.

Holcomb, J.H., P.S. Nelson. 1992. Another experimental look at individual time preference. *Rationality and Society* **4** (2) 199-220.

Horowitz, J.K., K.E. McConnell. 2002. A review of WTA/WTP studies. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **44** 426-447.

Hsee, C.K., R.P. Abelson, P. Salovey. 1991. The relative weighting of position and velocity in satisfaction. *Psychological Science* **2** (4) 263-266.

Kahneman, D., J.L. Knetsch, R.H. Thaler. 1990. Experimental tests of the endowment effect and the Coase Theorem. *Journal of Political Economics* **98** 1325-1348.

Kahneman, D., A. Tversky. 1979. Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica* **47** 263-91.

Karp, L. 2005. Global warming and hyperbolic discounting. *Journal of Public Economics* **89** 261-282.

Keller, L.R., E. Strazzera. 2002. Examining predictive accuracy among discounting models. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **24** (2) 143-160.

Kirby, K.N. 1997. Bidding on the future: evidence against normative discounting of delayed rewards. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* **126** 54-70.

- Kirby, K.N., R.J. Herrnstein. 1995. Preference reversals due to myopic discounting of delayed reward. *Psychological Science* **6** (2) 83-89.
- Kirby, K.N., N.N. Marakovic. 1995. Modelling myopic decisions: evidence for hyperbolic delay-discounting within subjects and amounts. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* **64** 22-30.
- Kirby, K.N., N.M. Petry, W.K. Bickel. 1999. Heroin addicts have higher discount rates for delayed rewards than non-drug-using controls. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* **128** 78-87.
- Kirby, K.N., M. Santiesteban. 2003. Concave utility, transaction costs, and risk in measuring discounting of delayed rewards. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, memory and cognition* **29** (1) 66-79.
- Kirsch, J., A. McGuire. 2000. Establishing health state valuations for disease specific states: An example from heart disease. *Health Economics* **9** (2) 149-158.
- Knetsch, J.L. 1989. The endowment effect and evidence of nonreversible indifference curves. *American Economic Review* **79** (5) 1277-1284.
- Koopmans, T.C. 1960. Stationary ordinal utility and impatience. *Econometrica* **28** 287-309.
- Krantz, D.H., R.D. Luce, P. Suppes, A. Tversky. 1971. *Foundations of measurement (vol. 1)*. Academic Press, New York.
- Krusell, P., A.A. Smith. 2003. Consumption-savings decisions with quasi-geometric discounting. *Econometrica* **71** 365-375.
- Laibson, D. 1997. Golden eggs and hyperbolic discounting. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **112** 443-477.
- Lamers, L.M., P.F.M. Stalmeier, P.F.M. Krabbe, J.J. Busschbach. 2006. Inconsistencies in TTO and VAS values for EQ-5D health states. *Medical Decision Making* **26** (2) 173-181.

Lamers, L.M., P.F.M. Stalmeier, J. McDonnell, P.F.M. Krabbe, J.J. Busschbach. 2005. Kwaliteit van leven meten in economische evaluaties: Het Nederlands EQ-5D tarief. (In Dutch.) *Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde* **149** (28) 1574-1578.

Lazaro, A., R. Barberan, E. Rubio. 2001. Private and social time preferences for health and money: An empirical estimation. *Health Economics* **10** 351-356.

LeBoeuf, R.A. 2006. Discount rates for time versus dates: The sensitivity of discounting to time-interval description. *Journal of Marketing Research* **43** (1) 59-72.

Llewellyn-Thomas, H., H.J. Sutherland, R. Tibshirani, A. Ciampi, J.E. Till, N.F. Boyd. 1982. The measurement of patients' values in medicine. *Medical Decision Making* **2** 449-462.

Loewenstein, G.F. 1988. Frames of mind in intertemporal choice. *Management Science* **34** (2) 200-214.

Loewenstein, G.F., D. Prelec. 1991. Negative time preference. *American Economic Review* **81** 347-352.

Loewenstein, G.F., D. Prelec. 1992. Anomalies in intertemporal choice: Evidence and an interpretation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **107** 573-597.

Loewenstein, G.F., D. Prelec. 1993. Preferences for sequences of outcomes. *Psychological Review* **100** (1) 91-108.

Loewenstein, G.F., N. Sicherman. 1991. Do workers prefer increasing wage profiles? *Journal of Labor Economics* **9** 67-84.

Loewenstein, G.F., R.H. Thaler. 1989. Anomalies: intertemporal choice. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **3** (4) 181-193.

Luce, R.D., H. Raiffa. 1957. *Games and decisions*. Wiley, New York.

MacKeigan, L.D., A. Gafni, B.J. O'Brien. 2003. Double discounting of QALYs. *Health Economics* **12** 165-169.

- MacKeigan, L.D., L.N. Larson, J.R. Draugalis, J.L. Bootman, L.R. Bruns. 1993. Time preference for health gains versus health losses. *PharmacoEconomics* **3** 374-386.
- Madden, G.J., W.K. Bickel, E.A. Jacobs. 1999. Discounting of delayed rewards in opioid-dependent outpatients: exponential or hyperbolic discounting functions? *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology* **7** 284-293.
- Martin, A.J., P.P. Glasziou, R.J. Simes, T. Lumley. 2000. A comparison of standard gamble, time trade-off, and adjusted time trade-off scores. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care* **16** (1) 137-147.
- Mazur, J.E. 1987. An adjusting procedure for studying delayed reinforcement. J.E. Mazur, M.L. Commons, J.A. Nevin, H. Rachlin, eds. *Quantitative analyses of behavior (vol. 5): The effect of delay and of intervening events on reinforcement value*. Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 55-73.
- McCord, M.R., R. de Neufville. 1984. Utility dependence on probability: An empirical demonstration. *Journal of Large Scale Systems* **6** 91-103.
- McNeil, B.J., R. Weichselbaum, S.G. Pauker. 1978. Fallacy of the five-year survival in lung cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **299** 1397-1401.
- McNeil, B.J., R. Weichselbaum, S.G. Pauker. 1981. Speech and survival: trade-offs between quality and quantity of life in laryngeal cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **305** 982-987.
- Miyamoto, J.M., S.A. Eraker. 1988. A multiplicative model of the utility of survival duration and health quality. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General* **117** 3-20.
- Moore, M.J., W.K. Viscusi. 1990. Models for estimating discount rates for long-term health risks using labor market data. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **3** (3) 381-401.
- Myerson, J., L. Green. 1995. Discounting of delayed rewards: models of intertemporal choice. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior* **64** (3) 263-276.

- Nord, E. 1992. Methods for quality adjustment of life years. *Social Science and Medicine* **34** (5) 559-569.
- O'Donoghue, T., M. Rabin. 1999. Doing it now or later. *American Economic Review* **89** 103-124.
- O'Donoghue, T., M. Rabin. 2006. Optimal sin taxes. *Journal of Public Economics* **90** (10-11) 1825-1849.
- Oliver, A.J. 2003. The internal consistency of the standard gamble: Tests after adjusting for prospect theory. *Journal of Health Economics* **22** 659-674.
- Olsen, J.A. 1993. On what basis should health be discounted? *Journal of Health Economics* **12** 39-54.
- Olson, M., M.J. Bailey. 1981. Positive time preference. *Journal of Political Economy* **89** (1) 1-25.
- Pennings, J.M.E., A. Smidts. 2000. Assessing the construct validity of risk attitude. *Management Science* **46** 1337-1348.
- Pennings, J.M.E., A. Smidts. 2003. The shape of utility functions and organizational behavior, *Management Science* **49** 1251-1263.
- Phelps, E.S., R.A. Pollak. 1968. On second-best national saving and game-equilibrium growth. *The Review of Economic Studies* **35** 185-199.
- Pliskin, J.S., D.S. Shepard, M.C. Weinstein. 1980. Utility functions for life years and health status. *Operations Research* **28** 206-224.
- Prelec, D. 2004. Decreasing impatience: A criterion for non-stationary time preference and 'hyperbolic' discounting. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* **106** 511-532.
- Quiggin, J. 1982. A theory of anticipated utility. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* **3** 323-343.

Rabin, M. 2000. Risk aversion and expected-utility theory: A calibration theorem. *Econometrica* **68** 1281-1292.

Rachlin, H., A. Raineri, D. Cross. 1991. Subjective probability and delay. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior* **55** 233-244.

Ramsey, F.P. 1928. A mathematical theory of saving. *The Economic Journal* **38** 543-559.

Read, D. 2001. Is time-discounting hyperbolic or subadditive? *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **23** (1) 5-32.

Read, D. 2004. Intertemporal choice. D. Koehler, N. Harvey, eds. *Blackwell handbook of judgment and decision making*. Blackwell, Oxford, 424-443.

Read, D., M. Airoidi, G. Loewe. 2005a. Intertemporal tradeoffs priced in interest rates and amounts: A study of method variance. *Working paper*.

Read, D., S. Frederick, B. Orsel, J. Rahman. 2005b. Four score and seven years from now: The date/delay effect in temporal discounting. *Management Science* **51** (9) 1326-1335.

Redelmeier, D.A., D.N. Heller. 1993. Time preferences in medical decision making and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Medical Decision Making* **13** 212-217.

Rohde, K.I.M. 2005. The hyperbolic factor: A measure of decreasing impatience. *Working Paper*.

Rubinstein, A. 2003. 'Economics and psychology'? The case of hyperbolic discounting. *International Economic Review* **44** 1207-1216.

Rutten-van Mölken, M.P., C.H. Bakker, E.K.A. van Doorslaer, S. van der Linden. 1995. Methodological issues of patient utility measurement. Experience from two clinical trials. *Medical Care* **33** 922-937.

Sackett, D.L., G.W. Torrance. 1978. The utility of different health states as perceived by the general public. *Journal of Chronic Disease* **31** 697-704.

- Salanié, F., N. Treich. 2006. Over-savings and hyperbolic discounting. *European Economic Review* **50** 1557-1570.
- Samuelson, P.A. 1937. A note on measurement of utility. *The Review of Economic Studies* **4** 155-161.
- Savage, L.J. 1954. *The foundations of statistics*. Wiley, New York.
- Sayman, S., A. Onculer. 2006. Reverse time inconsistency. *Working paper*.
- Schunk, D., C. Betsch. 2006. Explaining heterogeneity in utility functions by individual differences in decision modes. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **27** 386-401.
- Shelley, M.K. 1993. Outcome signs, question frames and discount rates. *Management Science* **39** 806-815.
- Shelley, M.K., T.C. Omer. 1996. Intertemporal framing issues in management compensation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* **66** (1) 42-58.
- Sopher, B., A. Sheth. 2006. A deeper look at hyperbolic discounting. *Theory and Decision* **60** 219-255.
- Spencer, A. 2003. The TTO method and procedural invariance. *Health Economics* **12** (8) 655-668.
- Stalmeier, P.F.M., T.G.G. Bezembinder. 1999. The discrepancy between risky and riskless utilities: A matter of framing? *Medical Decision Making* **19** 435-447.
- Stalmeier, P.F.M., T.G.G. Bezembinder, I.J. Unic. 1996. Proportional heuristics in time tradeoff and conjoint measurement. *Medical Decision Making* **16** 36-44.
- Stalmeier, P.F.M., G.B. Chapman, A.G.E.M. de Boer, J.J.B. van Lanschot. 2001. A fallacy of the multiplicative QALY model for low-quality weights in students and patients judging hypothetical health states. *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care* **17** (4) 488-496.

- Stalmeier, P.F.M., P.P. Wakker, T.G.G. Bezeminder. 1997. Preference reversals: Violations of unidimensional procedure invariance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* **23** 1196-1205.
- Starmer, C. 2000. Developments in non-expected utility theory: The hunt for a descriptive theory of choice under risk. *Journal of Economic Literature* **28** 332-382.
- Shin, A.Y., P.J. Porter, M.C. Wallace, G. Naglie. 1997. Quality of life of stroke in younger individuals. Utility assessment in patients with arteriovenous malformations. *Stroke* **28** 2395-2399.
- Stiggelbout, A.M., J.C.J.M. de Haes. 2001. Patient preference for cancer therapy: An overview of measurement approaches. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* **19** (1) 220-230.
- Stiggelbout, A.M., G.M. Kiebert, J. Kievit, J.W.H. Leer, J.D.F. Habbema, J.C.J.M. de Haes. 1995. The "utility" of the time trade-off method in cancer patients: Feasibility and proportional trade-off. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* **48** (10) 1207-1214.
- Stiggelbout, A.M., G.M. Kiebert, J. Kievit, J.W.H. Leer, G. Stoter, J.C.J.M. de Haes. 1994. Utility assessment in cancer patients: adjustment of time trade-off scores for the utility of life years and comparison with standard gamble scores. *Medical Decision Making* **14** 82-90.
- Strotz, R.H. 1956. Myopia and inconsistency in dynamic utility maximization. *The Review of Economic Studies* **23** 165-180.
- Thaler, R.H. 1981. Some empirical evidence of dynamic inconsistency. *Economics Letters* **8** 201-207.
- Thaler, R.H., S. Benartzi. 2004. Save more tomorrow: Using behavioral economics to increase employee saving. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **112** 164-187.
- Tversky, A. 1967. Additivity, utility, and subjective probability. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* **4** 175-201.

Tversky, A., D. Kahneman. 1986. Rational choice and the framing of decisions. *The Journal of Business* **59** (4) S251-S278.

Tversky, A., D. Kahneman. 1991. Loss aversion in riskless choice: A reference-dependent model. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **56** 1039-1061.

Tversky, A., D. Kahneman. 1992. Advances in prospect theory: Cumulative representation of uncertainty. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **5** 297-323.

Tversky, A., S. Sattath, P. Slovic. 1988. Contingent weighting in judgment and choice. *Psychological Review* **95** 371-384.

Unic, I., P.F.M. Stalmeier, L.C.G. Verhoef, W.A.J. van Daal. 1998. Assessment of the time-tradeoff values for prophylactic mastectomy of women with a suspected genetic predisposition to breast cancer. *Medical Decision Making* **18** 268-277.

van der Pol, M.M., J.A. Cairns. 2002. A comparison of the discounted utility model and hyperbolic discounting models in the case of social and private intertemporal preferences for health. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* **49** 79-96.

van der Pol, M.M., L. Roux. 2005. Time preference bias in time trade-off. *European Journal of Health Economics* **6** 107-111.

van Nooten F., W.B.F. Brouwer. 2004. The influence of subjective expectations about length and quality of life on TTO. *Health Economics* **13** (8) 819-823.

van Osch, S.M.C., W.B. van den Hout, A.M. Stiggelbout. 2006. Exploring the reference point in prospect theory: Gambles for length of life. *Medical Decision Making* **26** 338-346.

van Osch, S.M.C., P.P. Wakker, W.B. van den Hout, A.M. Stiggelbout. 2004. Correcting biases in standard gamble and time tradeoff utilities. *Medical Decision Making* **24** 511-517.

Varey, C.A., D. Kahneman. 1992. Experiences extended across time: evaluation of moments and episodes. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* **5** (3) 169-185.

Vuchinich, R.E., C.A. Simpson. 1998. Hyperbolic temporal discounting in social drinkers and problem drinkers. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology* **6** (3) 292-305.

Wakker, P.P. 1994. Separating marginal utility and probabilistic risk aversion. *Theory and Decision* **36** 1-44.

Wakker, P.P. 2007. Explaining the characteristics of the power (CRRA) utility family. *Health Economics*, *forthcoming*.

Wakker, P.P., D. Deneffe. 1996. Eliciting von Neumann-Morgenstern utilities when probabilities are distorted or unknown. *Management Science* **42** 1131-1150.

Wakker, P.P., A.M. Stiggelbout. 1995. Explaining distortions in utility elicitation through the rank-dependent model for risky choices. *Medical Decision Making* **15** 180-186.