http://hdl.handle.net/1765/109943



Epidemiology of basal cell carcinoma: scholarly review

J.A.C. Verkouteren K.H.R. Ramdas M. Wakkee T. Nijsten Br J Dermatol. 2017 Aug;177(2):359-372.



ABSTRACT

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common cancer in white-skinned individuals with increasing incidence rates worldwide. Patients with BCC place a large burden on healthcare systems, because of the high incidence and the increased risk of synchronous and metachronous BCCs and other ultraviolet radiation (UVR) related skin cancers (i.e., field cancerization). As a result, the disability-adjusted life years and healthcare costs have risen significantly in recent decades. BCC is a complex disease, in which the interplay between UVR, phenotype (UVR-sensitive) and genotype (somatic mutations and germline mutations/polymorphisms) fulfils a key role in the aetiopathogenesis. Prevention programmes with continual refinements and improvements could be of major importance in tackling the growing skin cancer problem. To provide the most appropriate BCC care, physicians should engage in shared decision-making and choose their treatments wisely.

zafing

INCIDENCE, TRENDS AND GEOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES

Basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) do not have a precursor lesion and most likely arise from stem cells within hair follicles and interfollicular epidermis.^{1,2} There are different histopathological subtypes, of which nodular is the most frequent, followed by superficial and infiltrative, and mixed types are frequently found as well.³⁻⁵ The frequencies reported depend on the classification system used and period.^{3,6} Most BCCs occur in the head and neck region (i.e., sun exposed), followed by trunk and extremities (i.e., relatively sun-unexposed).^{3,4}

Incidence per region, trends and differences

BCC is the most common cancer in white-skinned people with increasing incidence rates worldwide.⁷ Although reliable BCC incidence estimates are needed to monitor trends and allocate healthcare services, it is remarkable how few countries register BCCs in national/regional cancer registries. This registration gap can be explained by the tumour's high volume and low mortality, along with an inability to include nonhistopathologically confirmed BCCs and high incidence of synchronous and metachronous BCCs.

Comparisons of incidence rates between countries is difficult because different standardization methods are used. The incidence of BCC is strongly inversely related to the country's geographic latitude combined with the pigment status of its inhabitants (Table 1). The rates in Europe have increased approximately 5% annually over recent decades.⁷ In the U.S.A., rates have increased about 2% per year leading to over 2.5 million patients with BCC treated annually.^{7–9} The highest rates are seen in Australia, where over one in two inhabitants will be diagnosed with BCC by the time they are 70 years old, but the increasing incidence in Australia appears to be reaching a plateau, as the rates for people below 60 years of age have stabilized.^{7,10,11} In non-Western regions, such as Asia and South America, incidence rates are ten to hundred-folds lower, but have also increased.^{12,13}

The increase in incidence can be explained by an increased awareness in the general population and among physicians, more surgical treatments (e.g., more excisions with histopathological confirmation instead of cryotherapy or electrodessication), improved registration, an ageing population and changes in the distribution of risk factors such as ultraviolet radiation (UVR) exposure patterns. The latter is often a matter of debate, but is underlined by the observation that the incidence of UVR-related skin tumours increased significantly and more steeply compared with other cutaneous malignancies.¹⁴

BCC incidence increases significantly with age, but the most remarkable increase has been observed in young women in both Europe (Netherlands and Denmark) and the U.S.A., resulting in a reversed male : female ratio (female > male) in younger

Ezafing

Table 1. Overvi	ew of incidence rat	tes and trer	nds of BCC world	wide			
Continent	Country	Latitude ^a	Incidence rate ^b	Standardization	Period ^c	Trends (EAPC)	Reference
Europe	Finland	61° N	♀90.2; ♂104.8	ESR	2009		De Vries, 2012 ²⁰⁰
	Scotland	56° N	⊊81; ♂123	ESR	2006		De Vries, 2012 ²⁰⁰
	Denmark	56° N	⊊96.6; ♂°91.2	WSR	1978- 2007	₽ 4.6%; 33.7%	Birch-Johansen, 2010 ¹⁶
	Lithuania	55° N	₽47.4; 346.4	ESR	1996- 2010	₽ 2.6%; ∂3.3%	Jurciukonyte, 2013 ²⁰¹
	United Kingdom	55° N	₽135.4; ∂172.1	ESR	2000-2011		Reinau, 2014 ²⁰²
	Northern Ireland	54° N	86.8	ESR	2000-2006		Lomas, 2012 ⁷
	Ireland	53° N	⊋85.7; ∂ [°] 98.0	WSR	1994-2003		Carsin, 2011 ²⁰³
	England	52° N	76.2	ESR	2000-2006		Lomas, 2012 ⁷
	Netherlands	51° N	₽157.3; ♂164.7	ESR	2002- 2009	₽ 7.9%; ð6.8%	Flohil, 2013 ¹⁷
	Germany	51° N	82.2	ESR	2006- 2010	6.8%	Rudolph, 2015 ²⁰⁴
	Croatia	45° N	₽24.5; ♂33.6	WSR	2003-2005		Lipozenčić, 2010 ²⁰⁵
	Serbia	44° N	⊋27.8; ♂31.0	WSR	1999- 2011	6.1%	Videnovi , 2015 ²⁰⁶
	Spain	41° N	128.0	WSR	2006-2007		Bielsa, 2009 ²⁰⁷
	Malta	35° N	⊊70; ♂84	ESR	2009		De Vries, 2012 ²⁰⁰
North America	Canada (AB)	53° N	⊋119.6; ♂147.0	CAN	2000- 2006	-0.8%	Jung, 2010 ²⁰⁸
	Canada (MB)	53° N	₽77.4; ð93.9	WSR	1971- 2000	2.4%	Demers, 2005 ²⁰⁹
	USA (NH)	43° N	₽165.5; 3309.9	NSA	1979-1980, 1993-1994	⊋4.4%; ∂4.4%	Karagas, 1999 ²¹⁰
	USA	37° N	₽1,019; ♂1,488	ASR	2004- 2006		Wu, 2013 ²¹¹
	USA (CA)	36° N	₽774; ♂1,069	NSA	1998- 2012	0.9%;	Asgari, 2015 ¹⁰⁰
	USA (NM)	34° N	우 485.5; ♂930.3	NSA	1998-1999		Athas, 2003 ²¹²
	USA (AZ)	34° N	⊋497.1; ∂°935.9	USA	1996		Harris, 2001 ²¹³
Asia	Jordan	33° N	⊋8.8; ∂6.2	WSR	1991-2000	1	Rawashdeh, 2004 ¹²
	Israel	31° N	₽158; ∂225	ESR	2006-2011	-0.7%	Sella, 2015 ²¹⁴
	Singapore	1∘ N	4.5		2003-2006	1	Sng, 2009 ²¹⁵



zafing 6

Continent	Country	Latitude ^a	Incidence rate ^b	Standardization	Period ^c	Trends (EAPC)	Reference
Africa	Kenya	0°	0.0065 ^d	CIR	1968-1997	1	Munyao, 1999 ²¹⁶
	South Africa	30° S	$\operatorname{\mathbb{P}}^1.7^d$; $\operatorname{\mathbb{C}}^3.0^d$	ASR	2000-2004	ı	Norval, 2014 ²¹⁷
South America	Brazil	27° S	295.2	CIR	2008	1	Custódio, 2010 ²¹⁸
	Chile	53° S	3.9	CHL	1994-2000		Abarca, 2002 ¹³
Oceania	Papua NG	6° S	0.3	CIR	1960-1980		Foster, 1988 ²¹⁹
	Australia	25° S	⊋745; ♂1,041	WSR	2002	ı	Staples, 2006 ¹⁰
	Australia (QLD)	26° S	₽1,269; ♂1,813	WSR	1997-2006		Richmond-Sinclair, 2009 ²²⁰
	New Zealand	40° S	⊋215; ∂383	WSR	1997-2006	₽ 4.4%; 33.1%	Brougham, 2011 ²²¹
		-	-				

BCC, basal cell carcinoma; EAPC, estimated annual percentage change; ESR: European Standardized Rate; WSR: World Standardized Rate; AB, Alberta; Age Standardized Rate; CA, California; NM, New Mexico; AZ, Arizona; CIR: Crude Incidence Rate; CHL: Chile standardized rate; Papua NG, Papua New I CAN: Canadian standardized rate; MB, Manitoba; USA (country), United States of America; NH, New Hampshire; USA, USA standardized rate; ASR, Guinea; QLD, Queensland.

^a Estimate (rounded) of the latitude (N, Northern Hemisphere; S, Southern Hemisphere), based on latitudes from <u>www.worldatlas.com</u> (visited at 18-04-2016).

^b Per 100,000 person years, both sexes combined or separated.

^c The year(s) represent the period to which the incidence rates belong, if in **bold**, the incidence rate belongs to that specific year.

^d Incidence rate for native Africans.

populations compared with older populations (male > female).^{15–17} This discrepancy between men and women could be a result of the higher use of tanning beds by young women^{18,19} and of women paying closer attention to their appearance and the health of their skin, which may result in more medical visits.²⁰

Multiple basal cell carcinomas

In line with the concept of field cancerization,²¹ patients diagnosed with a first BCC have an increased risk of developing a second BCC and other UVR-related skin cancers.^{22,23} Patients with a BCC have a 17-fold increased risk of a subsequent BCC compared with the general population, followed by a threefold increased risk of a subsequent squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and a twofold increased risk of a melanoma.²³ The majority of patients with skin cancer are prone to develop the same type (i.e., BCC or SCC) of skin cancer.²⁴ Approximately one-third of all patients with a first BCC will develop at least a second BCC, 4% an SCC and 0.5% a melanoma, but these elevated risks also vary geographically and reflect the underlying incidence rates.²³ The likelihood of subsequent UVR-related cancers supports the concept that skin cancer shows similarities with other chronic conditions, something which has been coined 'actinic neoplasia syndrome' by Weinstock et al.²⁵

BURDEN OF DISEASE

Global skin cancer burden

The World Health Organization (WHO) quantifies the burden of a disease with the disability-adjusted life year (DALY).²⁶ This time-based measure aggregates years of life lost through premature death (YLL) and years lived with disability (YLD). One DALY equals the loss of 1 year of life lived in full health.

The mortality of BCC is extremely low as it rarely metastasizes, with rates ranging from 0.0028% to 0.55%, and will therefore hardly affect YLL.²⁷ However, the high and increasing BCC incidence, the decreasing age at first BCC, and the high occurrence of multiple BCCs (mBCC) and other UVR-related skin cancers puts a strain on healthcare services. The WHO Global Burden of Disease (GBD) project showed that the age-standardized YLD rates for nonmelanoma skin cancers (NMSCs) increased significantly between 1990 and 2013 (42.5%, to 126 200) and are comparable with the rates of oesophageal, ovarian or thyroid cancer.²⁸ Unfortunately, the GBD project does not differentiate between the various NMSC subtypes.

A GBD on UVR exposure computed a BCC-specific DALY and estimated that 58 000 DALYs were lost globally in 2000.²⁹ A Dutch study of keratinocyte cancer (KC; both BCC and SCC) burden showed that the world standardized DALY rates for BCC

Ezafung

in both sexes doubled between 1989 and 2008, from two to four per 100 000 personyears.³⁰ However, both studies included only the first BCC and therefore will have underestimated the true burden.

Healthcare costs

Results of cost analysis studies of different BCC treatments are usually not generalizable, because of the different healthcare systems between countries. Nonetheless, a 2015 systematic review summarized the healthcare expenditure for different countries and national cost estimates were adjusted for country-specific inflation and presented in 2013 euros.³¹ In absolute terms, the U.S.A. spends the most money on KC (~ 600 million), followed by Australia (> 350 million), Germany (> 150 million) and the U.K. (> 100 million).³¹ However, the KC costs relative to the size of the population were highest for Australia, followed by New Zealand, Sweden and Denmark, whereas Brazil and Canada had the lowest.³¹

A U.S.A. Medicare expenditure study showed that NMSC was the fifth most costly cancer between 1992 and 1995.³² One U.S.A. study estimated the productivity loss per BCC case and reported an estimated cost of \$1235.³³ A recent report estimated the average annual cost of treating NMSC in the U.S.A. at \$4.8 billion from 2007 to 2011, which is a 74% increase compared with the 2002–06 estimate.³⁴ A relatively large part of the U.S.A. treatment costs (> \$2 billion) comprise Mohs micrographic surgery (MMS), a treatment which has grown exponentially in recent decades.^{35,36} MMS is a cost-effective treatment as long as it is performed by skilled physicians and used in properly selected patients, such as patients with recurrent or aggressive histological BCCs in the H-zone (temporal, retro- and pre-auricular, orbital and infranasal areas, ears and nose).^{35,37,38} From at least a cost perspective, usage of MMS should be monitored to prevent over-usage.

Another potential cost driver of BCC care is methylaminolaevulinate photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT). In the Netherlands, MAL-PDT was used very frequently, in part due to a very profitable reimbursement.³⁹ This changed after a Dutch single-blind, noninferiority, randomized controlled trial (RCT) demonstrated that the much less costly topical fluorouracil and imiquimod were not inferior to MAL-PDT for clearance of superficial BCC after 12 months.^{40,41}

RISK FACTORS

BCC is a complex disease because the likelihood of developing this tumour depends on the interplay between constitutional predisposition (genotypic and phenotypic characteristics) and subsequent exposure to environmental risk factors. Figure 1

Ezafung

shows the most important genetic, phenotypic and environmental risk factors for the development of BCC (see Supporting Information, Table S1, for more details). Because BCC is a complex disease, most risk factors studied have small effect sizes and it is very possible that several of the observed associations are false-positive and/or clinically irrelevant.⁴²



Figure 1. Main nongenetic risk factors of BCC

This flowchart shows the main genotypic, phenotypic and environmental risk factors for BCC. The arrows show how the different risk factor categories exert effects on each other and on BCC. BCC, basal cell carcinoma; SNPs, single nucleotide polymorphisms; NBCCS, naevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome; XP, xeroderma pigmentosum; UVR, ultraviolet radiation; PUVA, psoralen plus ultraviolet-A radiation; UVB, ultraviolet B radiation. * Consists of complexion, hair colour and eye colour.

Complex disease: environmental risk factors

Ultraviolet radiation

UVR is the major environmental risk factor for BCC (population attributable fraction > 90%⁴³), but its assessment is problematic (i.e., exposure pattern, timing and amount), its exposure varies but is universal and its effect sizes are small. Nevertheless, it seems that intense intermittent UVR exposure (e.g., outdoor recreational activities and beach holidays), in particular during childhood and adolescence, leads to a significant increase in the risk of BCC.^{44–49} The amount of UVR exposure is positively associated with BCC risk, but this effect levels off or even decreases after a certain amount of exposure.^{46,47} The skin's ability to tan modulates the UVR-induced risk.

A systematic review and meta-analysis showed that indoor tanning is significantly associated with an increased risk of BCC [relative risk 1.29; 95% confidence interval

Frafing

(CI) 1.08–1.53; $I^2 = 37\%$; no evidence of publication bias], especially if used early in life.⁵⁰ Patients with psoriasis who have had a high number (> 100–200) of psoralen plus UVA radiation (PUVA) treatments develop significantly more BCCs than expected and this risk seems to persist over time.^{51–53} UVB therapy (> 300 treatments) has also been associated with modest risk increases in the risk of developing BCC.^{51,54}

Photosensitizing drugs

Photosensitizing medication has the ability to induce a phototoxic and/or photoallergic reaction upon UVR exposure. In addition to psoralen, other photosensitizing medications (e.g., diuretics, tetracyclines and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) were shown to be positively associated with BCC in several pharmaco-epidemiological studies.^{55–57} However, most of these studies suffered from important limitations and no dose–response relationships were observed.

Ionizing radiation

Patient groups at risk are those irradiated in the past for benign disorders such as tinea capitis, acne and otitis serosa,^{58–61} and those irradiated for different types of cancer, including childhood cancer survivors and haematopoietic cell transplantation survivors.^{62,63} Nonmedical groups at risk are atomic bomb survivors and occupational groups such as radiological technologists.^{64,65} The elevated BCC risks are confined to the site of radiation exposure.⁶⁶

Ionizing radiation (IR)-induced BCC risk appears to increase with a person's skin susceptibility to UVR and younger age at exposure (i.e., basal layer more sensitive to radiation carcinogenesis). ^{58,59,61} The development of mBCC in irradiated skin occurs frequently as well.⁶¹

Chemicals

Arsenic is a carcinogen that appears naturally (i.e., well water), medicinally and in the workplace (e.g., mining and agriculture).⁶⁷ Chronic exposure to arsenic can induce BCC formation, especially on the trunk, and BCC multiplicity occurs frequently as well.^{67–69}

Smoking

A systematic review and meta-analysis showed that smoking is not significantly associated with BCC, with no evidence of publication bias [odds ratio (OR) 0.95; 95% CI 0.82–1.09; $I^2 = 59\%$].⁷⁰ A less rigorous meta-analysis suggested that 'ever smokers' compared with 'never smokers' had slightly elevated risks of BCC (OR 1.02; 95% CI 1.00–1.04; $I^2 = 84\%$).⁷¹ Overall, it seems that smoking has little to no effect on BCC development.

Ezafung

Human papillomaviruses

In contrast to SCC, some observational studies have found a significant positive association between human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA or seropositivity and BCC.⁷²⁻⁷⁴ However, most case–control studies did not find a clear association between different cutaneous oncogenic HPV types and BCC.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ For now, the evidence that viral oncogenesis plays a role in BCC development is far from conclusive.⁷⁸

Diet and drinks

The epidemiological literature on the role of dietary factors in the development of BCC is inconsistent and insufficient for most of the factors studied.⁷⁹ The evidence for protective effects of selenium, carotenoids and vitamins on BCC development is inconsistent.^{80–84}

Several studies on the relationship between alcohol and BCC have been conducted, showing conflicting evidence and beverage-dependent relations.^{85–89} Caffeine intake (e.g., coffee) has been associated with a reduced risk of BCC and mBCC.^{90–92} Whether caffeine really inhibits photocarcinogenesis or is just a proxy for global health and lifestyle needs to be differentiated.⁹³

Systemic immunosuppression

Over recent decades, the number of chronic immune-suppressed patients, who are at an elevated risk of SCC and to a lesser extent of BCC, has grown consistently as a result of the increasing number of organ transplant recipients, the immunosuppressive agents used in different diseases (e.g., inflammatory bowel disease and non-Hodgkin lymphoma) and the increased longevity of these chronic immune-suppressed patients.⁹⁴⁻⁹⁶ The overall BCC incidence in renal transplant recipients was⁷⁻¹⁶ (depending on geographic location) times greater than in the general population.^{94,97,98} The extent of UVR exposure and UVR-induced DNA damage prior to transplantation (i.e., UVR-induced DNA mutations) combined with an impaired cutaneous immune surveillance results in an elevated field risk and metachronous BCCs and SCCs.⁹⁹

Complex disease: phenotypic risk factors

Increasing age and male sex (at older age) are well-known host characteristics that increase the risk of BCC.^{17,100} The ability to repair (UVR-induced) DNA damage reduces with age, which leads to an accumulation of damage and an increased incidence of BCC in older people.^{101,102}

The highest BCC risks can be found in people with a personal and/or family history of skin cancer, who are (highly) sensitive to UVR exposure and are exposed to intense intermittent UVR. This sensitivity is determined by the combination of a fair complexion, light hair colour and light eye colour, and low ability to tan.^{47–49,103–108}

Ezafung

Acute effects of excessive UVR exposure such as (childhood) sunburns and more long-lasting signs of actinic damage such as melanocytic naevi, freckles, solar elastosis, solar lentigines and actinic keratoses are also significant predictors of an increased BCC risk.^{44,48,109–111} These manifestations of photodamage could be a warning sign of field cancerization.

Risk factors for different histopathological subtypes

Multiple observational studies have found body area, age and sex preferences for certain histopathological BCC subtypes (see Supporting Information, Table S1). Superficial BCCs are predominantly located on the trunk and patients diagnosed with a superficial BCC are significantly younger and more often female than patients with other subtypes.^{3,4,6} These results could indicate that the different subtypes have other aetiologies with respect to UVR exposure and the interaction between constitutional characteristics and other environmental risk factors. In addition, truncal BCCs have been associated with acute intense intermittent exposure patterns.^{112,113}

Risk factors for multiple basal cell carcinomas

Higher age at initial BCC, male sex and a history of BCC have all been found to be positively associated with metachronous BCCs (see Supporting Information, Table S1).^{22,114,115} The value of other phenotypic (e.g., skin type) and environmental (e.g., UVR) characteristics in predicting a new BCC is under debate^{22,114,116,117} and studies may be hindered by the index event bias.¹¹⁸

A recently developed prediction model for a second BCC showed that the risk factor profile differs between a first and second BCC.⁹² The most discriminating predictor was the presentation of mBCC at first BCC diagnosis.⁹² Other factors associated with a second BCC were age at first BCC (parabolic relation with maximum risk at 68 years), male sex, superficial subtype of the first BCC and coffee consumption.⁹² An update of this prediction model, including up to five metachronous BCCs, is in preparation.

GENETIC PREDISPOSITION

Somatic mutations

UVR-induced cancers such as BCC and melanoma exhibit the highest prevalence of somatic mutations, of which the majority show 'UV signatures', of all cancers.^{119,120} Acquired mutations in RAS oncogenes do not seem to play an important role in BCC pathogenesis.¹²¹⁻¹²³ However, two tumour suppressor genes are important in sporadic BCC carcinogenesis, namely patched 1 (PTCH1) and tumour protein p53 (TP53).

Ezafino

The key evidence of a crucial role of PTCH1 in BCC development came from patients with naevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome (NBCCS). PTCH1 (chromosome 9q22) encodes a protein that is the receptor for sonic hedgehog, a secreted molecule implicated in the formation of embryonic structures and in tumorigenesis.^{124,125} Loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 9q22 is the most frequent (58-69%) genetic alteration in sporadic BCCs.^{126–128} Inactivation of PTCH1 and upregulation of hedgehog signalling are most likely pivotal events in BCC carcinogenesis.^{129,130}

TP53 (chromosome 17p13) encodes a tumour suppressor protein that can induce several processes, such as cell cycle arrest, senescence, apoptosis and DNA repair.¹³¹ Mutations in this gene play a role in carcinogenesis in a wide variety of tissues.¹³² Direct DNA sequencing of the TP53 gene in BCCs revealed mutations in approximately 44–65% of tumours.^{127,133,134}

Germline polymorphisms

The melanocortin 1 receptor gene (MC1R) is a major determinant of skin colour and hair colour, and MC1R variants are significantly associated with BCC risk, even after correcting for skin pigmentation.^{135–137} This pleiotropy suggests that MC1R variants exert carcinogenic pigmentation independent effects. Pigmentation pathway single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in tyrosinase (TYR) and agouti signalling protein (ASIP) confer risk of BCC as well.¹³⁸ Studies investigating a possible link between defects in DNA repair genes and BCCs have yielded conflicting results.¹³⁰

The first genome-wide association study in patients with BCC was conducted in 2008 and since then, six have been performed in total, finding 17 different risk-increasing SNPs mapped to 16 different chromosomal regions (Table 2).¹³⁹⁻¹⁴⁴ The ORs found are small overall, between 1.15 and 1.55 (except for TP53 variants), and it is not surprising that much of the genetic variability is still unexplained. New approaches such as exome sequencing and epigenetic studies will further explain heritability.

The genetic predisposition of mBCC is not well documented and may involve genetic changes different from those associated with a primary BCC.¹⁴⁵ The cytochrome (CYP) supergene family and the glutathione S-transferase (GST) supergene family are involved in different metabolizing and detoxification processes, such as detoxification of products of oxidative stress.¹⁴⁶ Polymorphisms in these genes have been associated with increasing BCC numbers.¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁴⁹

Germline mutations

NBCCS is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by mBCC, odontogenic keratocysts of the jaws, palmar and/or plantar pits and skeletal abnormalities.^{150–152} The majority of patients with NBCCS start developing their BCCs from puberty onwards and affected individuals may develop from a few up to over a thousand BCCs.^{150,151}

Ezafino

Patients exposed to IR or high levels of UVR become even more susceptible to BCC formation.^{150,151} Using family-based linkage studies of NBCCS kindreds, the causative locus was first mapped to 9q22 and then to the PTCH1 gene.^{124–126}

Patients suffering from xeroderma pigmentosum (XP) have germline mutations in their nucleotide excision repair genes, which are of crucial importance for removing UVR-induced DNA damage.¹⁵³ They have high risks of developing mBCC and other skin cancers during childhood.¹⁵⁴

A few other genodermatoses can also cause development of mBCC early in life, namely Bazex Dupre–Christol syndrome^{155,156} and Rombo syndrome.^{157,158}

SNP ^b	Risk allele	Frequency	Context	Region	Mapped gene ^b	OR (95% CI)
rs7538876	А	0.35	intron	1p36.13	PADI6	1.28 (1.19-1.37)
rs801114	G	0.33	downstream gene	1q42.13	RHOU, LOC105373143	1.28 (1.19-1.37)
rs401681	С	0.56	intron	5p15.33	CLPTM1L	1.25 (1.18-1.34)
rs7335046	G	0.12	downstream gene	13q32.3	UBAC2, LINC01232	1.26 (1.18-1.34)
rs1805007	Т	0.07	missense	16q24.3	MC1R	1.55 (1.45-1.66)
rs12210050	Т	0.17	intergenic	6p25.3	LOC105374875	1.24 (1.17-1.31)
rs78378222	С	NR	3' UTR	17p13.1	TP53	2.16 (1.83-2.54)
rs214782	G	0.17	intron	20p13	LOC105372503, TGM3	1.29 (1.22-1.37)
rs7006527	А	0.86	intron	8q22.2	RGS22	1.3 (1.22-1.41)
rs59586681	Т	0.61	intergenic	20p13	LOC388780	1.16 (1.11-1.22)
rs2151280	G	NR	intron	9p21.3	CDKN2B-AS1	1.2 (1.14-1.27)
rs157935	Т	NR	intron	7q32.3	LINC-PINT	1.23 (1.15-1.31)
rs57244888	Т	0.90	intergenic	2p24.3	LOC105373443, LOC105373444	1.32 (1.22-1.43)
rs13014235	С	0.46	missense	2q33.1	ALS2CR12	1.15 (1.10-1.20)
rs28727938	С	0.94	intron	8q21.13	LINC01111, MRPL9P1	1.43 (1.30-1.59)
rs73635312	G	0.87	Upstream gene	10p14	LOC105376400	1.35 (1.25-1.45)
rs11170164	Т	0.09	missense	12q13.13	KRT5	1.29 (NR)

Table 2. Genome-wide significant risk SNPs for BCC^a

BCC, basal cell carcinoma; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; NR, not reported; 3' UTR, three prime untranslated region.

^a This table has been based on data available at <u>www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas</u>, accessed 20-02-2016 with the search term "basal cell carcinoma".²²²

^b Mapped to dbSNP Build 146 and Genome Assembly GRCh38.p5.

Frafing

PREVENTION

Primary prevention

The goal of primary prevention is to reduce the incidence of a first BCC. Even though UVR exposure is not solely responsible for the development of BCC, a considerable risk reduction is expected by adequate sun protection.¹⁵⁹ However, an Australian community-based RCT demonstrated that the daily application of sunscreen did not reduce the risk of BCC.¹⁶⁰ This finding could be partly explained by the occurrence of BCCs on sites that were not treated with sunscreen and the relatively high age of the included participants, and underlines the complex association between UVR and BCC.¹⁶⁰ Multiple national campaigns have been initiated to create public awareness, improve professional education and start behavioural change, such as the SunSmart programme in Australia.^{161,162} Initially, these campaigns focused on informing people about the harmful effects of UVR exposure but now more actively try to influence behaviour. In addition, they target children and adolescents at schools, because minimizing (excessive) UVR exposure at an early age is a very important preventive measure.^{161,163} At a legislative level, local governments were encouraged to adopt sun protection policies such as sales tax exemption for approved sunscreens and the creation of sufficient shade at schools and other public open spaces.^{161,162} Commercial indoor tanning salons in Australia were banned completely as of 1 January 2015 and multiple other countries have restricted the use of indoor tanning as well.¹⁶⁴

Although the awareness of the hazardous effects of excessive UVR exposure has increased over time, the incidence of most UVR-related skin cancers is still increasing, suggesting that people have not fully adopted this knowledge in their behavior (i.e., 'knowledge–behaviour gap'). Nevertheless, Australian studies reported the stabilization of NMSC rates for people younger than 60 years¹⁰ and also showed a significant decline in excision rates for KCs in men and women younger than 45 years.¹⁶⁵ The positive effects of primary prevention programmes might become more evident over time, as the follow-up is still relatively short since the initiation of these programmes.

In addition to behavioural changes, the use of natural, synthetic or biological chemical agents to reverse, suppress or prevent carcinogenic progression to invasive cancer (i.e., chemoprevention) could be promising in reducing the BCC burden as well.¹⁶⁶ Chemoprevention could be used as both a primary and secondary prevention measure. Whether an agent is a good chemoprophylactic candidate is determined by the risk : benefit ratio. Many agents, such as beta carotene, selenium, synthetic retinoids (tretinoin, isotretinoin) and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs have been tested but showed no chemopreventive effect on BCC development.^{160,167–172} However, when retinoids were used in patients with genodermatoses (NBCCS, XP) a more promising protective effect was seen on BCC development.^{173–175} Another systemic

Ezafung

chemoprophylactic that works well in patients with NBCCS is the hedgehog pathway inhibitor vismodegib, but adverse events occur frequently.¹⁷⁶

Secondary prevention

The goal of secondary prevention is to detect skin cancer at an early stage (screening) and to prevent metachronous skin cancers. Taking the Wilson and Jungner principles for population-based disease screening into consideration, BCC screening by itself is not likely to be cost-effective because the costs of case-finding (including diagnosis and treatment) are most likely in a nonacceptable relation to the overall healthcare costs.¹⁷⁷ In addition, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recently (2016) concluded that: 'the current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefit and harms of screening for skin cancer in adults with a clinical visual skin examination'.¹⁷⁸ However, the German skin cancer screening programme showed that the skin cancer incidence went up during the screening period, but this does not necessarily mean that it was (cost) effective.¹⁷⁹

A way of increasing the cost-effectiveness of screening is restricting screening to high-risk patients such as those with a history of BCCs. Recently, a prediction was developed that could reasonably assess the absolute risk of a second BCC using simple phenotypic, lifestyle and tumour-specific characteristics.⁹² Further improving these prediction models in the coming years could help physicians identify these high-risk patients and give them the right follow-up. The downside of targeted screening approaches in high-risk patients is the so-called 'prevention paradox' in which you address the high-risk individual but not the overwhelming majority of low-risk patients that develop BCCs.¹⁸⁰

Tertiary prevention

The goal of tertiary prevention is to soften the impact of (advanced/metastatic) BCC on patients' lives. A small group (about 1%) of patients have BCCs that have progressed to an inoperable stage or have metastasized, and these advanced BCCs were associated with a significant disease burden.^{181,182} In order to improve their ability to function, their quality of life and their life expectancy, MMS, radiotherapy and vismodegib could be used.

IMPLICATIONS FOR HEALTH POLICIES

Overall impact

Although BCC-related mortality is low, both tumour growth and treatment can cause considerable functional and cosmetic morbidity. The recent U.S.A. initiative to rename

Ezafung

BCC to 'indolent lesion of epithelial origin (IDLE)' may be understandable from a public health perspective, but is inappropriate on an individual level because it falsely reassures patients.¹⁸³ In addition, the lay press recently minimized the consequences that BCC can have on the well-being of a patient, confirming the downgrading of BCC as a nonissue.¹⁸⁴ These controversial opinions could be a warning sign that policy-makers are developing a different view on BCC care.

Treatment-related impact

To provide the most appropriate BCC care, physicians should individualize the management of BCCs, taking tumour, patient and treatment characteristics into account, and combine this with patient preferences and needs (i.e., shared decision-making).¹⁸⁵ The dermatologist should be the lead of skin cancer management, but needs to combine diagnostic expertise with a high level of surgical skills to provide the optimal care. In addition to dermatologists, the general practitioner (GP) also can play an important role in BCC management. In countries such as Australia, where skin cancer poses a large burden on the healthcare systems, trained GPs with a special interest in skin cancer. A Dutch study showed that the majority of GPs questioned were willing to extend their role in skin cancer care, including surgical excision of low-risk BCCs, but that they requested additional skin cancer training.¹⁸⁶

Choosing the most cost-effective treatment for BCC wisely becomes increasingly important.¹⁸⁷ The positioning and appropriate use of MMS in the management strategy of BCC is crucial, because it drives the increment in costs related to BCC care.^{35,188} Appropriate use of more costly treatments is warranted to ensure access to this more expensive treatment over the long term. Linos et al. have raised another controversial issue in BCC management among patients with limited life expectancy.¹⁸⁹ In a U.S.A. prospective cohort study, they showed that most NMSCs were treated surgically, regardless of the patient's life expectancy.¹⁸⁹ Although it remains a controversial topic, it should stimulate clinicians to provide individualized care in line with patients' needs, especially for certain subgroups of patients with BCC.

Follow-up-related impact

The underlying rationale to monitor patients with BCC is to identify recurrences and new tumours, educate and psychologically support and reassure patients.¹⁹⁰ This multidimensional rationale makes it difficult to generate consensus about frequency and duration of follow-up. For example, most clinical recurrences appear within 3 years, but up to 20% may occur within 5–10 years,^{191,192} whereas the psychological stress often peaks in the first years after a cancer diagnosis.^{193,194} In addition, the risk of metachronous BCCs is highest in the first 3 years after diagnosis, but remains elevated

Ezafung

over time.^{115,195,196} The Dutch BCC guideline differentiates between high- and low-risk BCCs and recommends annual follow-up for high-risk BCCs.¹⁹⁷ In contrast, the U.K. guideline concludes, 'Clearly, within the British health care system it is not possible to offer long-term follow-up to all patients who have had their first and only primary BCC treated'.¹⁹⁸ Again, there is very little data to support both recommendations, but the costs of annual monitoring by dermatologists is a very expensive surveillance method because of the tumour's high incidence.

In contrast, there exists enough data that support that both the dermatologist and the GP should perform total body skin examinations in patients presenting with a primary BCC, because the chance of finding another synchronous BCC is significant.^{115,199} Clinicians should also be aware of the increase in BCC incidence in younger (female) patients,¹⁵ which could lead to an exponential increase in its occurrence in the future elderly population, because those with a history of BCC are likely to develop more of these tumours.²³

A more cost-effective approach could be to invest in providing personalized information on BCC and its treatment, and educate patients on important risk factors, risks of metachronous skin cancer, sun avoidance measures, skin self-examination, and train GPs in after care of patients with skin cancer, but this needs to be studied in more detail as has been done for other cancers.

zafing

REFERENCES

- 1 Peterson SC, Eberl M, Vagnozzi AN et al. Basal cell carcinoma preferentially arises from stem cells within hair follicle and mechanosensory niches. Cell Stem Cell 2015; 16:400–12.
- 2 Youssef KK, Van Keymeulen A, Lapouge G et al. Identification of the cell lineage at the origin of basal cell carcinoma. Nat Cell Biol 2010; 12:299–305.
- 3 Bastiaens MT, Hoefnagel JJ, Bruijn JA et al. Differences in age, site distribution, and sex between nodular and superficial basal cell carcinoma indicate different types of tumors. J Invest Dermatol 1998; 110:880–4.
- 4 Scrivener Y, Grosshans E, Cribier B. Variations of basal cell carcinomas according to gender, age, location and histopathological subtype. Br J Dermatol 2002; 147:41–7.
- 5 Betti R, Radaelli G, Crosti C et al. Margin involvement and clinical pattern of basal cell carcinoma with mixed histology. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2012; 26:483–7.
- 6 Arits AH, Schlangen MH, Nelemans PJ et al. Trends in the incidence of basal cell carcinoma by histopathological subtype. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2011; 25:565–9.
- 7 Lomas A, Leonardi-Bee J, Bath-Hextall F. A systematic review of worldwide incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer. Br J Dermatol 2012; 166:1069–80.
- 8 Rogers HW, Weinstock MA, Feldman SR et al. Incidence estimate of nonmelanoma skin cancer (keratinocyte carcinomas) in the U.S. population, 2012. JAMA Dermatol 2015; 151:1081–6.
- 9 American Cancer Society. Key statistics for basal and squamous cell skin cancers. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/cancer/skincancer-basalandsquamouscell/detailedguide/skin-cancerbasal-and squamous-cell-key-statistics (last accessed 23 December 2016).
- 10 Staples MP, Elwood M, Burton RC et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer in Australia: the 2002 national survey and trends since 1985. Med J Aust 2006; 184:6–10.
- 11 Cancer Council Australia. Skin cancer. Available at: http://www.cancer.org.au/about-cancer/ types-of cancer/skin-cancer.html (last accessed 23 December 2016).
- 12 Rawashdeh MA, Matalka I. Basal cell carcinoma of the maxillofacial region: site distribution and incidence rates in Arab/Jordanians, 1991 to 2000. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2004; 62:145–9.
- 13 Abarca JF, Casiccia CC. Skin cancer and ultraviolet-B radiation under the Antarctic ozone hole: southern Chile, 1987–2000. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed 2002; 18:294–302.
- 14 Holterhues C, Vries E, Louwman MW et al. Incidence and trends of cutaneous malignancies in the Netherlands, 1989–2005. J Invest Dermatol 2010; 130:1807–12.
- 15 Christenson LJ, Borrowman TA, Vachon CM et al. Incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas in a population younger than 40 years. JAMA 2005; 294:681–90.
- 16 Birch-Johansen F, Jensen A, Mortensen L et al. Trends in the incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in Denmark 1978–2007: rapid incidence increase among young Danish women. Int J Cancer 2010; 127:2190–8.
- 17 Flohil SC, Seubring I, van Rossum MM et al. Trends in basal cell carcinoma incidence rates: a 37-year Dutch observational study. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133:913–18.
- 18 Robinson JK, Rigel DS, Amonette RA. Trends in sun exposure knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors: 1986 to 1996. J Am Acad Dermatol 1997; 37:179–86.
- 19 Koster B, Thorgaard C, Clemmensen IH et al. Sunbed use in the Danish population in 2007: a cross-sectional study. Prev Med 2009; 48:288–90.
- 20 Swetter SM, Layton CJ, Johnson TM et al. Gender differences in melanoma awareness and detection practices between middle aged and older men with melanoma and their female spouses. Arch Dermatol 2009; 145:488–90.

Ezafing

- 21 Slaughter DP, Southwick HW, Smejkal W. Field cancerization in oral stratified squamous epithelium; clinical implications of multicentric origin. Cancer 1953; 6:963–8.
- 22 Karagas MR, Stukel TA, Greenberg ER et al. Risk of subsequent basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin among patients with prior skin cancer. Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. JAMA 1992; 267:3305–10.
- 23 Flohil SC, van der Leest RJ, Arends LR et al. Risk of subsequent cutaneous malignancy in patients with prior keratinocyte carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer 2013; 49:2365–75.
- 24 Keim U, van der Pols JC, Williams GM et al. Exclusive development of a single type of keratinocyte skin cancer: evidence from an Australian population-based cohort study. J Invest Dermatol 2015; 135:728–33.
- 25 Weinstock MA, Lee KC, Chren MM et al. Quality of life in the actinic neoplasia syndrome: the VA Topical Tretinoin Chemoprevention (VATTC) trial. J Am Acad Dermatol 2009; 61:207–15.
- 26 Murray CJL, Lopez AD. The Global Burden of Disease: A comprehensive assessment of mortality and disability from diseases, injuries, and risk factors in 1990 and projected to 2020, Vol. I. Cambridge, MA: The Harvard School of Public Health on behalf of the World Health Organization and the World Bank, 1996.
- 27 Lo JS, Snow SN, Reizner GT et al. Metastatic basal cell carcinoma: report of twelve cases with a review of the literature. J Am Acad Dermatol 1991; 24:715–19.
- 28 Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2015; 386:743–800.
- 29 Lucas RM, McMichael AJ, Armstrong BK et al. Estimating the global disease burden due to ultraviolet radiation exposure. Int J Epidemiol 2008; 37:654–67.
- 30 Hollestein LM, de Vries E, Aarts MJ et al. Burden of disease caused by keratinocyte cancer has increased in the Netherlands since 1989. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014; 71:896–903.
- 31 Gordon LG, Rowell D. Health system costs of skin cancer and cost-effectiveness of skin cancer prevention and screening: a systematic review. Eur J Cancer Prev 2015; 24:141–9.
- 32 Housman TS, Feldman SR, Williford PM et al. Skin cancer is among the most costly of all cancers to treat for the Medicare population. J Am Acad Dermatol 2003; 48:425–9.
- 33 Guy GP, Ekwueme DU. Years of potential life lost and indirect costs of melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Pharmacoeconomics 2011; 29:863–74.
- 34 Guy GP Jr, Machlin SR, Ekwueme DU et al. Prevalence and costs of skin cancer treatment in the U.S., 2002–2006 and 2007–2011. Am J Prev Med 2015; 48:183–7.
- 35 Stern RS. Cost effectiveness of Mohs micrographic surgery. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133:1129–31.
- 36 Donaldson MR, Coldiron BM. Mohs micrographic surgery utilization in the Medicare population, 2009. Dermatol Surg 2012; 38:1427–34.
- 37 Mosterd K, Krekels GA, Nieman FH et al. Surgical excision versus Mohs' micrographic surgery for primary and recurrent basal-cell carcinoma of the face: a prospective randomised controlled trial with 5-years' follow-up. Lancet Oncol 2008; 9:1149–56.
- 38 van Loo E, Mosterd K, Krekels GA et al. Surgical excision versus Mohs' micrographic surgery for basal cell carcinoma of the face: a randomised clinical trial with 10 year follow-up. Eur J Cancer 2014; 50:3011–20.

Ezafing

- 39 Nijsten T. Commentary on 'Photodynamic therapy versus topical imiquimod versus topical fluorouracil for treatment of superficial basal-cell carcinoma: a single blind, non-inferiority, randomized controlled trial'. Br J Dermatol 2015; 172:12.
- 40 Arits AH, Mosterd K, Essers BA et al. Photodynamic therapy versus topical imiquimod versus topical fluorouracil for treatment of superficial basal-cell carcinoma: a single blind, non-inferiority, randomized controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 2013; 14:647–54.
- 41 Arits AH, Spoorenberg E, Mosterd K et al. Cost-effectiveness of topical imiquimod and fluorouracil vs. photodynamic therapy for treatment of superficial basal-cell carcinoma. Br J Dermatol 2014; 171:1501–7.
- 42 Ioannidis JP. Why most published research findings are false. PLoS Med 2005; 2:e124.
- 43 Olsen CM, Wilson LF, Green AC et al. Cancers in Australia attributable to exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation and prevented by regular sunscreen use. Aust N Z J Public Health 2015; 39:471–6.
- 44 Gallagher RP, Hill GB, Bajdik CD et al. Sunlight exposure, pigmentary factors, and risk of nonmelanocytic skin cancer. I. Basal cell carcinoma. Arch Dermatol 1995; 131:157–63.
- 45 Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR et al. Does intermittent sun exposure cause basal cell carcinoma? A case–control study in Western Australia. Int J Cancer 1995; 60:489–94.
- 46 Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR et al. A dose-response curve for sun exposure and basal cell carcinoma. Int J Cancer 1995; 60:482–8.
- 47 Rosso S, Zanetti R, Martinez C et al. The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. II: Different sun exposure patterns in the aetiology of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. Br J Cancer 1996; 73:1447–54.
- 48 van Dam RM, Huang Z, Rimm EB et al. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma of the skin in men: results from the health professionals follow-up study. Am J Epidemiol 1999; 150:459–68.
- 49 Naldi L, DiLandro A, D'Avanzo B et al. Host-related and environmental risk factors for cutaneous basal cell carcinoma: evidence from an Italian case–control study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42:446–52.
- 50 Wehner MR, Shive ML, Chren MM et al. Indoor tanning and nonmelanoma skin cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2012; 345:e5909.
- 51 Stern RS; PUVA Follow-Up Study. The risk of squamous cell and basal cell cancer associated with psoralen and ultraviolet A therapy: a 30-year prospective study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2012; 66:553–62.
- 52 Bruynzeel I, Bergman W, Hartevelt HM et al. 'High single-dose' European PUVA regimen also causes an excess of non-melanoma skin cancer. Br J Dermatol 1991; 124:49–55.
- 53 Nijsten TE, Stern RS. The increased risk of skin cancer is persistent after discontinuation of psoralen+ultraviolet A: a cohort study. J Invest Dermatol 2003; 121:252–8.
- 54 Man I, Crombie IK, Dawe RS et al. The photocarcinogenic risk of narrowband UVB (TL-01) phototherapy: early follow-up data. Br J Dermatol 2005; 152:755–7.
- 55 Ruiter R, Visser LE, Eijgelsheim M et al. High-ceiling diuretics are associated with an increased risk of basal cell carcinoma in a population- based follow-up study. Eur J Cancer 2010; 46:2467–72.
- 56 Robinson SN, Zens MS, Perry AE et al. Photosensitizing agents and the risk of non-melanoma skin cancer: a population-based case– control study. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133:1950–5.
- 57 McDonald E, Freedman DM, Alexander BH et al. Prescription diuretic use and risk of basal cell carcinoma in the nationwide U.S. radiologic technologists cohort. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014; 23:1539–45.

Ezafung

- 58 Ron E, Modan B, Preston D et al. Radiation-induced skin carcinomas of the head and neck. Radiat Res 1991; 125:318–25.
- 59 Karagas MR, McDonald JA, Greenberg ER et al. Risk of basal cell and squamous cell skin cancers after ionizing radiation therapy. For The Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996; 88:1848–53.
- 60 Ronckers CM, Land CE, Hayes RB et al. Late health effects of childhood nasopharyngeal radium irradiation: nonmelanoma skin cancers, benign tumors, and hormonal disorders. Pediatr Res 2002; 52:850–8.
- 61 Shore RE, Moseson M, Xue X et al. Skin cancer after X-ray treatment for scalp ringworm. Radiat Res 2002; 157:410–18.
- 62 Watt TC, Inskip PD, Stratton K et al. Radiation-related risk of basal cell carcinoma: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2012; 104:1240–50.
- 63 Leisenring W, Friedman DL, Flowers ME et al. Nonmelanoma skin and mucosal cancers after hematopoietic cell transplantation. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24:1119–26.
- 64 Sugiyama H, Misumi M, Kishikawa M et al. Skin cancer incidence among atomic bomb survivors from 1958 to 1996. Radiat Res 2014; 181:531–9.
- 65 Yoshinaga S, Hauptmann M, Sigurdson AJ et al. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in relation to ionizing radiation exposure among U.S. radiologic technologists. Int J Cancer 2005; 115:828–34.
- 66 Lichter MD, Karagas MR, Mott LA et al. Therapeutic ionizing radiation and the incidence of basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. The New Hampshire Skin Cancer Study Group. Arch Dermatol 2000; 136:1007–11.
- 67 Maloney ME. Arsenic in dermatology. Dermatol Surg 1996; 22:301-4.
- 68 Guo HR, Yu HS, Hu H et al. Arsenic in drinking water and skin cancers: cell-type specificity (Taiwan, ROC). Cancer Causes Control 2001; 12:909–16.
- 69 Leonardi G, Vahter M, Clemens F et al. Inorganic arsenic and basal cell carcinoma in areas of Hungary, Romania, and Slovakia: a case–control study. Environ Health Perspect 2012; 120:721–6.
- 70 Leonardi-Bee J, Ellison T, Bath-Hextall F. Smoking and the risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Dermatol 2012; 148:939–46.
- 71 Song F, Qureshi AA, Gao X et al. Smoking and risk of skin cancer: a prospective analysis and a meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol 2012; 41:1694–705.
- 72 Iftner A, Klug SJ, Garbe C et al. The prevalence of human papillomavirus genotypes in nonmelanoma skin cancers of nonimmunosuppressed individuals identifies high-risk genital types as possible risk factors. Cancer Res 2003; 63:7515–19.
- 73 Feltkamp MC, Broer R, di Summa FM et al. Seroreactivity to epidermodysplasia verruciformisrelated human papillomavirus types is associated with nonmelanoma skin cancer. Cancer Res 2003; 63:2695–700.
- 74 Iannacone MR, Gheit T, Waterboer T et al. Case–control study of cutaneous human papillomavirus infection in basal cell carcinoma of the skin. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133:1512–20.
- 75 Wieland U, Ritzkowsky A, Stoltidis M et al. Communication: papillomavirus DNA in basal cell carcinomas of immunocompetent patients: an accidental association? J Invest Dermatol 2000; 115:124–8.
- 76 Karagas MR, Waterboer T, Li Z et al. Genus beta human papillomaviruses and incidence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of skin: population based case–control study. BMJ 2010; 341:c2986.

Ezafing

- 77 Andersson K, Luostarinen T, Strand AS et al. Prospective study of genital human papillomaviruses and nonmelanoma skin cancer. Int J Cancer 2013; 133:1840–5.
- 78 Harwood CA, Proby CM. Human papillomaviruses and non-melanoma skin cancer. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2002; 15:101–14.
- 79 McNaughton SA, Marks GC, Green AC. Role of dietary factors in the development of basal cell cancer and squamous cell cancer of the skin. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005; 14:1596–607.
- 80 Knekt P, Aromaa A, Maatela J et al. Serum selenium and subsequent risk of cancer among Finnish men and women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1990; 82:864–8.
- 81 Wei Q, Matanoski GM, Farmer ER et al. Vitamin supplementation and reduced risk of basal cell carcinoma. J Clin Epidemiol 1994; 47:829–36.
- 82 van Dam RM, Huang Z, Giovannucci E et al. Diet and basal cell carcinoma of the skin in a prospective cohort of men. Am J Clin Nutr 2000; 71:135–41.
- 83 Davies TW, Treasure FP, Welch AA et al. Diet and basal cell skin cancer: results from the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. Br J Dermatol 2002; 146:1017–22.
- Fung TT, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D et al. Vitamins and carotenoids intake and the risk of basal cell carcinoma of the skin in women (United States). Cancer Causes Control 2002; 13:221–30.
- 85 Fung TT, Hunter DJ, Spiegelman D et al. Intake of alcohol and alcoholic beverages and the risk of basal cell carcinoma of the skin. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2002; 11:1119–22.
- 86 Freedman DM, Sigurdson A, Doody MM et al. Risk of basal cell carcinoma in relation to alcohol intake and smoking. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003; 12:1540–3.
- 87 Ansems TM, van der Pols JC, Hughes MC et al. Alcohol intake and risk of skin cancer: a prospective study. Eur J Clin Nutr 2008; 62:162–70.
- 88 Jensen A, Birch-Johansen F, Olesen AB et al. Intake of alcohol may modify the risk for nonmelanoma skin cancer: results of a large Danish prospective cohort study. J Invest Dermatol 2012; 132:2718–26.
- 89 Zhang Y, Ferrucci LM, Cartmel B et al. Alcohol intake and early onset basal cell carcinoma in a case control study. Br J Dermatol 2014; 171:1451–7.
- 90 Jacobsen BK, Bjelke E, Kvale G et al. Coffee drinking, mortality, and cancer incidence: results from a Norwegian prospective study. J Natl Cancer Inst 1986; 76:823–31.
- 91 Song F, Qureshi AA, Han J. Increased caffeine intake is associated with reduced risk of basal cell carcinoma of the skin. Cancer Res 2012; 72:3282–9.
- 92 Verkouteren JA, Smedinga H, Steyerberg EW et al. Predicting the risk of a second basal cell carcinoma. J Invest Dermatol 2015; 135:2649–56.
- 93 Mirza SS, Tiemeier H, de Bruijn RF et al. Coffee consumption and incident dementia. Eur J Epidemiol 2014; 29:735–41.
- 94 Hartevelt MM, Bavinck JN, Kootte AM et al. Incidence of skin cancer after renal transplantation in the Netherlands. Transplantation 1990; 49:506–9.
- 95 Singh H, Nugent Z, Demers AA et al. Increased risk of nonmelanoma skin cancers among individuals with inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2011; 141:1612–20.
- 96 Jensen AO, Thomsen HF, Engebjerg MC et al. Use of oral glucocorticoids and risk of skin cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a population-based case-control study. Br J Cancer 2009; 100:200–5.
- 97 Jemec GB, Holm EA. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in organ transplant patients. Transplantation 2003; 75:253–7.

Ezafing

- 98 Moloney FJ, Comber H, O'Lorcain P et al. A population-based study of skin cancer incidence and prevalence in renal transplant recipients. Br J Dermatol 2006; 154:498–504.
- 99 Wisgerhof HC, Edelbroek JR, de Fijter JW et al. Subsequent squamous- and basal-cell carcinomas in kidney-transplant recipients after the first skin cancer: cumulative incidence and risk factors. Transplantation 2010; 89:1231–8.
- 100 Asgari MM, Moffet HH, Ray GT et al. Trends in basal cell carcinoma incidence and identification of high-risk subgroups, 1998–2012. JAMA Dermatol 2015; 151:976–81.
- 101 Wei Q, Matanoski GM, Farmer ER et al. DNA repair and aging in basal cell carcinoma: a molecular epidemiology study. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1993; 90:1614–18.
- 102 Moriwaki S, Ray S, Tarone RE et al. The effect of donor age on the processing of UV-damaged DNA by cultured human cells: reduced DNA repair capacity and increased DNA mutability. Mutat Res 1996; 364:117–23.
- 103 Zanetti R, Rosso S, Martinez C et al. The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. I: Skin characteristics and sunburns in basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. Br J Cancer 1996; 73:1440–6.
- 104 Dwyer T, Blizzard L, Ashbolt R et al. Cutaneous melanin density of Caucasians measured by spectrophotometry and risk of malignant melanoma, basal cell carcinoma, and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. Am J Epidemiol 2002; 155:614–21.
- 105 Zanetti R, Rosso S, Martinez C et al. Comparison of risk patterns in carcinoma and melanoma of the skin in men: a multi-centre case–control study. Br J Cancer 2006; 94:743–51.
- 106 Dessinioti C, Tzannis K, Sypsa V et al. Epidemiologic risk factors of basal cell carcinoma development and age at onset in a Southern European population from Greece. Exp Dermatol 2011; 20:622–6.
- 107 Qureshi AA, Zhang M, Han J. Heterogeneity in host risk factors for incident melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer in a cohort of US women. J Epidemiol 2011; 21:197–203.
- 108 Walther U, Kron M, Sander S et al. Risk and protective factors for sporadic basal cell carcinoma: results of a two-centre case–control study in southern Germany. Clinical actinic elastosis may be a protective factor. Br J Dermatol 2004; 151:170–8.
- 109 Green A, Battistutta D, Hart V et al. Skin cancer in a subtropical Australian population: incidence and lack of association with occupation. The Nambour Study Group. Am J Epidemiol 1996; 144:1034–40.
- 110 de Vries E, Trakatelli M, Kalabalikis D et al. Known and potential new risk factors for skin cancer in European populations: a multicentre case–control study. Br J Dermatol 2012; 167 (Suppl. 2):1–13.
- 111 Khalesi M, Whiteman DC, Tran B et al. A meta-analysis of pigmentary characteristics, sun sensitivity, freckling and melanocytic nevi and risk of basal cell carcinoma of the skin. Cancer Epidemiol 2013; 37:534–43.
- 112 Lovatt TJ, Lear JT, Bastrilles J et al. Associations between UVR exposure and basal cell carcinoma site and histology. Cancer Lett 2004; 216:191–7.
- 113 Neale RE, Davis M, Pandeya N et al. Basal cell carcinoma on the trunk is associated with excessive sun exposure. J Am Acad Dermatol 2007; 56:380–6.
- 114 Richmond-Sinclair NM, Pandeya N, Williams GM et al. Clinical signs of photodamage are associated with basal cell carcinoma multiplicity and site: a 16-year longitudinal study. Int J Cancer 2010; 127:2622–9.
- 115 Flohil SC, Koljenovic S, de Haas ER et al. Cumulative risks and rates of subsequent basal cell carcinomas in the Netherlands. Br J Dermatol 2011; 165:874–81.

Ezafung

- 116 Robinson JK. Risk of developing another basal cell carcinoma. A 5-year prospective study. Cancer 1987; 60:118–20.
- 117 Lovatt TJ, Lear JT, Bastrilles J et al. Associations between ultraviolet radiation, basal cell carcinoma site and histology, host characteristics, and rate of development of further tumors. J Am Acad Dermatol 2005; 52:468–73.
- 118 Dahabreh IJ, Kent DM. Index event bias as an explanation for the paradoxes of recurrence risk research. JAMA 2011; 305:822–3.
- 119 Jayaraman SS, Rayhan DJ, Hazany S et al. Mutational landscape of basal cell carcinomas by whole-exome sequencing. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134:213–20.
- 120 Alexandrov LB, Nik-Zainal S, Wedge DC et al. Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. Nature 2013; 500:415–21.
- 121 van der Schroeff JG, Evers LM, Boot AJ et al. Ras oncogene mutations in basal cell carcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas of human skin. J Invest Dermatol 1990; 94:423–5.
- 122 Pierceall WE, Goldberg LH, Tainsky MA et al. Ras gene mutation and amplification in human nonmelanoma skin cancers. Mol Carcinog 1991; 4:196–202.
- 123 Campbell C, Quinn AG, Rees JL. Codon 12 Harvey-ras mutations are rare events in nonmelanoma human skin cancer. Br J Dermatol 1993; 128:111–14.
- 124 Hahn H, Wicking C, Zaphiropoulous PG et al. Mutations of the human homolog of Drosophila patched in the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Cell 1996; 85:841–51.
- 125 Johnson RL, Rothman AL, Xie J et al. Human homolog of patched, a candidate gene for the basal cell nevus syndrome. Science 1996; 272:1668–71.
- 126 Gailani MR, Bale SJ, Leffell DJ et al. Developmental defects in Gorlin syndrome related to a putative tumor suppressor gene on chromosome 9. Cell 1992; 69:111–17.
- 127 van der Riet P, Karp D, Farmer E et al. Progression of basal cell carcinoma through loss of chromosome 9q and inactivation of a single p53 allele. Cancer Res 1994; 54:25–7.
- 128 Quinn AG, Campbell C, Healy E et al. Chromosome 9 allele loss occurs in both basal and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. J Invest Dermatol 1994; 102:300–3.
- 129 Gailani MR, Bale AE. Developmental genes and cancer: role of patched in basal cell carcinoma of the skin. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997; 89:1103–9.
- 130 Epstein EH. Basal cell carcinomas: attack of the hedgehog. Nat Rev Cancer 2008; 8:743–54.
- 131 Bieging KT, Mello SS, Attardi LD. Unravelling mechanisms of p53-mediated tumour suppression. Nat Rev Cancer 2014; 14:359–70.
- 132 Vogelstein B. Cancer. A deadly inheritance. Nature 1990; 348:681–2.
- 133 Rady P, Scinicariello F, Wagner RF Jr et al. p53 mutations in basal cell carcinomas. Cancer Res 1992; 52:3804–6.
- 134 Ziegler A, Leffell DJ, Kunala S et al. Mutation hotspots due to sunlight in the p53 gene of nonmelanoma skin cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1993; 90:4216–20.
- 135 Bastiaens MT, ter Huurne JA, Kielich C et al. Melanocortin-1 receptor gene variants determine the risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer independently of fair skin and red hair. Am J Hum Genet 2001; 68:884–94.
- 136 Box NF, Duffy DL, Irving RE et al. Melanocortin-1 receptor genotype is a risk factor for basal and squamous cell carcinoma. J Invest Dermatol 2001; 116:224–9.
- 137 Han J, Kraft P, Colditz GA et al. Melanocortin 1 receptor variants and skin cancer risk. Int J Cancer 2006; 119:1976–84.
- 138 Gudbjartsson DF, Sulem P, Stacey SN et al. ASIP and TYR pigmentation variants associate with cutaneous melanoma and basal cell carcinoma. Nat Genet 2008; 40:886–91.

Ezafung

- 139 Stacey SN, Gudbjartsson DF, Sulem P et al. Common variants on 1p36 and 1q42 are associated with cutaneous basal cell carcinoma but not with melanoma or pigmentation traits. Nat Genet 2008; 40:1313–18.
- 140 Rafnar T, Sulem P, Stacey SN et al. Sequence variants at the TERT–CLPTM1L locus associate with many cancer types. Nat Genet 2009; 41:221–7.
- 141 Nan H, Xu M, Kraft P et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel alleles associated with risk of cutaneous basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Hum Mol Genet 2011; 20:3718–24.
- 142 Stacey SN, Sulem P, Jonasdottir A et al. A germline variant in the TP53 polyadenylation signal confers cancer susceptibility. Nat Genet 2011; 43:1098–103.
- 143 Stacey SN, Sulem P, Gudbjartsson DF et al. Germline sequence variants in TGM3 and RGS22 confer risk of basal cell carcinoma. Hum Mol Genet 2014; 23:3045–53.
- 144 Stacey SN, Helgason H, Gudjonsson SA et al. New basal cell carcinoma susceptibility loci. Nat Commun 2015; 6:6825.
- 145 Verkouteren JA, Pardo LM, Uitterlinden AG et al. Common variants affecting susceptibility to develop multiple basal cell carcinomas. J Invest Dermatol 2015; 135:2135–8.
- 146 Madan V, Hoban P, Strange RC et al. Genetics and risk factors for basal cell carcinoma. Br J Dermatol 2006; 154 (Suppl. 1):5–7.
- 147 Heagerty AH, Fitzgerald D, Smith A et al. Glutathione S-transferase GSTM1 phenotypes and protection against cutaneous tumours. Lancet 1994; 343:266–8.
- 148 Lear JT, Heagerty AH, Smith A et al. Multiple cutaneous basal cell carcinomas: glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1, GSTT1) and cytochrome P450 (CYP2D6, CYP1A1) polymorphisms influence tumour numbers and accrual. Carcinogenesis 1996; 17:1891–6.
- 149 Ramachandran S, Lear JT, Ramsay H et al. Presentation with multiple cutaneous basal cell carcinomas: association of glutathione S-transferase and cytochrome P450 genotypes with clinical phenotype. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1999; 8:61–7.
- 150 Gorlin RJ. Nevoid basal-cell carcinoma syndrome. Medicine (Baltimore) 1987; 66:98–113.
- 151 Kimonis VE, Goldstein AM, Pastakia B et al. Clinical manifestations in 105 persons with nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Am J Med Genet 1997; 69:299–308.
- 152 Gorlin RJ, Goltz RW. Multiple nevoid basal-cell epithelioma, jaw cysts and bifid rib. A syndrome. N Engl J Med 1960; 262:908–12.
- 153 Epstein JH, Fukuyama K, Reed WB et al. Defect in DNA synthesis in skin of patients with xeroderma pigmentosum demonstrated in vivo. Science 1970; 168:1477–8.
- 154 Kraemer KH, Lee MM, Scotto J. Xeroderma pigmentosum. Cutaneous, ocular, and neurologic abnormalities in 830 published cases. Arch Dermatol 1987; 123:241–50.
- 155 Bazex A, Dupre A, Christol B. Genodermatose complexe de type indetermine associant une hypotrichose, un etat atrophodermique generalise et des degenerescence cutanees multiples (epitheliomas basocellulaires). Bull Soc Fr Dermatol Syphiligr 1964; 71:206.
- 156 Gould DJ, Barker DJ. Follicular atrophoderma with multiple basal cell carcinomas (Bazex). Br J Dermatol 1978; 99:431–5.
- 157 Michaelsson G, Olsson E, Westermark P. The Rombo syndrome: a familial disorder with vermiculate atrophoderma, milia, hypotrichosis, trichoepitheliomas, basal cell carcinomas and peripheral vasodilation with cyanosis. Acta Derm Venereol 1981; 61:497–503.
- 158 van Steensel MA, Jaspers NG, Steijlen PM. A case of Rombo syndrome. Br J Dermatol 2001; 144:1215–18.

Ezafing

- 159 Stern RS, Weinstein MC, Baker SG. Risk reduction for nonmelanoma skin cancer with childhood sunscreen use. Arch Dermatol 1986; 122:537–45.
- 160 Green A, Williams G, Neale R et al. Daily sunscreen application and betacarotene supplementation in prevention of basal-cell and squamous-cell carcinomas of the skin: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 1999; 354:723–9.
- 161 Marks R. Two decades of the public health approach to skin cancer control in Australia: why, how and where are we now? Australas J Dermatol 1999; 40:1–5.
- 162 Montague M, Borland R, Sinclair C. Slip! Slop! Slap! and SunSmart, 1980–2000: skin cancer control and 20 years of population- based campaigning. Health Educ Behav 2001; 28:290–305.
- 163 Marks R. Skin cancer control in the 1990's, from Slip! Slop! Slap! To sun smart. Australas J Dermatol 1990; 31:1–4.
- 164 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Indoor Tanning Is Not Safe. Available at: https:// www.cdc.gov/cancer/skin/basic_info/indoor_tanning.htm (last accessed 23 December 2016).
- 165 Olsen CM, Williams PF, Whiteman DC. Turning the tide? Changes in treatment rates for keratinocyte cancers in Australia 2000 through 2011. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014; 71:21–6 e1.
- 166 Tsao AS, Kim ES, Hong WK. Chemoprevention of cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2004; 54:150–80.
- 167 Greenberg ER, Baron JA, Stukel TA et al. A clinical trial of beta carotene to prevent basal-cell and squamous-cell cancers of the skin. The Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. N Engl J Med 1990; 323:789–95.
- 168 Frieling UM, Schaumberg DA, Kupper TS et al. A randomized, 12-year primary-prevention trial of beta carotene supplementation for nonmelanoma skin cancer in the physician's health study. Arch Dermatol 2000; 136:179–84.
- 169 Duffield-Lillico AJ, Slate EH, Reid ME et al. Selenium supplementation and secondary prevention of nonmelanoma skin cancer in a randomized trial. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003; 95:1477–81.
- 170 Tangrea JA, Edwards BK, Taylor PR et al. Long-term therapy with low-dose isotretinoin for prevention of basal cell carcinoma: a multicenter clinical trial. Isotretinoin-Basal Cell Carcinoma Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1992; 84:328–32.
- 171 Levine N, Moon TE, Cartmel B et al. Trial of retinol and isotretinoin in skin cancer prevention: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Southwest Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1997; 6:957–61.
- 172 Zhang B, Liang X, Ye L et al. No chemopreventive effect of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on nonmelanoma skin cancer: evidence from meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014; 9:e96887.
- 173 Hodak E, Ginzburg A, David M et al. Etretinate treatment of the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Therapeutic and chemopreventive effect. Int J Dermatol 1987; 26:606–9.
- 174 Peck GL, DiGiovanna JJ, Sarnoff DS et al. Treatment and prevention of basal cell carcinoma with oral isotretinoin. J Am Acad Dermatol 1988; 19:176–85.
- 175 Kraemer KH, DiGiovanna JJ, Moshell AN et al. Prevention of skin cancer in xeroderma pigmentosum with the use of oral isotretinoin. N Engl J Med 1988; 318:1633–7.
- 176 Tang JY, Mackay-Wiggan JM, Aszterbaum M et al. Inhibiting the hedgehog pathway in patients with the basal-cell nevus syndrome. N Engl J Med 2012; 366:2180–8.
- 177 Wilson JMG, Jungner G. Principles and Practice of Screening for Disease. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1968.
- 178 US Preventive Services Task Force; Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, Curry SJ et al. Screening for Skin Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. JAMA 2016; 316:429–35.

Ezafing

- 179 Eisemann N, Waldmann A, Geller AC et al. Non-melanoma skin cancer incidence and impact of skin cancer screening on incidence. J Invest Dermatol 2014; 134:43–50.
- 180 Rose G. Rose's Strategy of Preventive Medicine. New York: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- 181 Robinson JK, Altman JS, Rademaker AW. Socioeconomic status and attitudes of 51 patients with giant basal and squamous cell carcinoma and paired controls. Arch Dermatol 1995; 131:428– 31.
- 182 Shingler SL, Garside J, Samanta K et al. Utilities for advanced basal cell carcinoma. J Med Econ 2013; 16:777–83.
- 183 Esserman LJ, Thompson IM, Reid B et al. Addressing overdiagnosis and overtreatment in cancer: a prescription for change. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15:e234–42.
- 184 Beck M. Rethink the word 'Cancer,' panel says. The Wall Street Journal, 2014.
- 185 Wenger NS. Skin cancer and shared decision making: comment on 'treatment of nonfatal conditions at the end of life'. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:1012–13.
- 186 van Rijsingen MC, van Bon B, van der Wilt GJ et al. The current and future role of general practitioners in skin cancer care: an assessment of 268 general practitioners. Br J Dermatol 2014; 170:1366–8.
- 187 American Academy of Dermatology. Ten things physicians and patients should question. Choosing Wisely, 2013. Released 29 October 2013 (1–5) and 19 August 2015 (6–10); sources updated 20 September 2016. Available at: http://www.choosingwisely.org/societies/americanacademy-of dermatology/ (last accessed 20 December 2016).
- 188 Rosenthal E. Patients' costs skyrocket; specialists' incomes soar. The New York Times, 2014.
- 189 Linos E, Parvataneni R, Stuart SE et al. Treatment of nonfatal conditions at the end of life: nonmelanoma skin cancer. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:1006–12.
- 190 Rose PW, Watson E. What is the value of routine follow-up after diagnosis and treatment of cancer? Br J Gen Pract 2009; 59:482–3.
- 191 Rowe DE, Carroll RJ, Day CL Jr. Long-term recurrence rates in previously untreated (primary) basal cell carcinoma: implications for patient follow-up. J Dermatol Surg Oncol 1989; 15:315–28.
- 192 Walker P, Hill D. Surgical treatment of basal cell carcinomas using standard postoperative histological assessment. Australas J Dermatol 2006; 47:1–12.
- 193 Helgeson VS, Snyder P, Seltman H. Psychological and physical adjustment to breast cancer over 4 years: identifying distinct trajectories of change. Health Psychol 2004; 23:3–15.
- 194 Stanton AL. Psychosocial concerns and interventions for cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24:5132–7.
- 195 Marghoob A, Kopf AW, Bart RS et al. Risk of another basal cell carcinoma developing after treatment of a basal cell carcinoma. J Am Acad Dermatol 1993; 28:22–8.
- 196 Wehner MR, Linos E, Parvataneni R et al. Timing of subsequent new tumors in patients who present with basal cell carcinoma or cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. JAMA Dermatol 2015; 151:382–8.
- 197 Nederlandse Vereniging voor Dermatologie en Venereologie (NVDV). Evidence-based Richtlijn Basaalcelcarcinoom. Utrecht, the Netherlands: NVDV, 2014.
- 198 Telfer NR, Colver GB, Morton CA et al. Guidelines for the management of basal cell carcinoma. Br J Dermatol 2008; 159:35–48.
- 199 Terrill PJ, Fairbanks S, Bailey M. Is there just one lesion? The need for whole body skin examination in patients presenting with non-melanocytic skin cancer. ANZ J Surg 2009; 79:707–12.



- 200 de Vries E, Micallef R, Brewster DH et al.; EPIDERM Group. Population-based estimates of the occurrence of multiple vs first primary basal cell carcinomas in 4 European regions. Arch Dermatol 2012; 148:347–54.
- 201 Jurciukonyte R, Vincerzevskiene I, Krilaviciute A et al. Epidemiology of basal cell carcinoma in Lithuania, 1996–2010. Br J Dermatol 2013; 169:1100–5.
- 202 Reinau D, Surber C, Jick SS et al. Epidemiology of basal cell carcinoma in the United Kingdom: incidence, lifestyle factors, and comorbidities. Br J Cancer 2014; 111:203–6.
- 203 Carsin AE, Sharp L, Comber H. Geographical, urban/rural and socioeconomic variations in nonmelanoma skin cancer incidence: a population-based study in Ireland. Br J Dermatol 2011; 164:822 9.
- 204 Rudolph C, Schnoor M, Eisemann N et al. Incidence trends of nonmelanoma skin cancer in Germany from 1998 to 2010. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2015; 13:788–97.
- 205 Lipozencic J, Celic D, Strnad M et al. Skin cancers in Croatia, 2003–2005: epidemiological study. Coll Antropol 2010; 34:865–9.
- 206 Videnovic G, Miljus D, Ilic D et al. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in the population of the city of Belgrade in the period 1999–2011. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2015; 143:290–5.
- 207 Bielsa I, Soria X, Esteve M et al. Population-based incidence of basal cell carcinoma in a Spanish Mediterranean area. Br J Dermatol 2009; 161:1341–6.
- 208 Jung GW, Metelitsa AI, Dover DC et al. Trends in incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancers in Alberta, Canada, 1988–2007. Br J Dermatol 2010; 163:146–54.
- 209 Demers AA, Nugent Z, Mihalcioiu C et al. Trends of nonmelanoma skin cancer from 1960 through 2000 in a Canadian population. J Am Acad Dermatol 2005; 53:320–8.
- 210 Karagas MR, Greenberg ER, Spencer SK et al. Increase in incidence rates of basal cell and squamous cell skin cancer in New Hampshire, USA. New Hampshire Skin Cancer Study Group. Int J Cancer 1999; 81:555–9.
- 211 Wu S, Han J, Li WQ et al. Basal-cell carcinoma incidence and associated risk factors in U.S. women and men. Am J Epidemiol 2013; 178:890–7.
- 212 Athas WF, Hunt WC, Key CR. Changes in nonmelanoma skin cancer incidence between 1977–1978 and 1998–1999 in Northcentral New Mexico. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003; 12:1105–8.
- 213 Harris RB, Griffith K, Moon TE. Trends in the incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancers in southeastern Arizona, 1985–1996. J Am Acad Dermatol 2001; 45:528–36.
- 214 Sella T, Goren I, Shalev V et al. Incidence trends of keratinocytic skin cancers and melanoma in Israel 2006–11. Br J Dermatol 2015; 172:202–7.
- 215 Sng J, Koh D, Siong WC et al. Skin cancer trends among Asians living in Singapore from 1968 to 2006. J Am Acad Dermatol 2009; 61:426–32.
- 216 Munyao TM, Othieno-Abinya NA. Cutaneous basal cell carcinoma in Kenya. East Afr Med J 1999; 76:97–100.
- 217 Norval M, Kellett P, Wright CY. The incidence and body site of skin cancers in the population groups of South Africa. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed 2014; 30:262–5.
- 218 Custodio G, Locks LH, Coan MF et al. Epidemiology of basal cell carcinomas in Tubarao, Santa Catarina (SC), Brazil between 1999 and 2008. An Bras Dermatol 2010; 85:819–26.
- 219 Foster HM, Webb SJ. Skin cancer in the North Solomons. Aust N Z J Surg 1988; 58:397-401.
- 220 Richmond-Sinclair NM, Pandeya N, Ware RS et al. Incidence of basal cell carcinoma multiplicity and detailed anatomic distribution: longitudinal study of an Australian population. J Invest Dermatol 2009; 129:323–8.

Ezafing

- 221 Brougham ND, Dennett ER, Tan ST. Changing incidence of nonmelanoma skin cancer in New Zealand. ANZ J Surg 2011; 81:633–6.
- 222 Welter D, MacArthur J, Morales J et al. The NHGRI GWAS catalog, a curated resource of SNPtrait associations. Nucleic Acids Res 2014; 42:D1001–6.

Ezalung

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table S1. Main nongenetic risk factors for basal cell carcinoma (BCC), superficial BCC and multiple BCCs

	BCC	Superficial BCC	Multiple BCCs
Phenotypic factors			
Age	+ (higher) ¹⁻⁸	+ (lower)9-12	+ (higher) ¹³⁻²²
Sex ^a	+ (male) ⁴	+ (female) ^{10,11}	+ (male) ^{13,17,19,20,23-26}
Light pigment status ^b	+1-3,6-8,27-43		
Low ability to tan (i.e., burn easily)	+1-3,7,27,31,34-39,41,43-47		
Painful/blistering sunburns	+ ^{6,8,30,31,34,40,43,46,48}		
Childhood painful/blistering sunburns	+ ^{28,29,35,36,38,39,49,50}		
Signs of actinic damage	+ ^{3,4,6-8,28-31,33-35,38,41,44,45,51-53}		
Personal history of skin cancer	+6,35,41		+ ^{13,21,54}
Family history of skin cancer	+ ^{6,7,28,35,45,55,56}		
Truncal (first) BCC		+9-12,57	+ ^{18,19,37,58,59}
Multiple BCCs at (initial) presentation			+ ^{22,60}
Environmental factors			
Childhood intense intermittent UVR exposure	+ ^{29,34,35,39,46,55,61}		
(Adult) intense intermittent UVR exposure	+ ^{8,35,38-41,46,50,56,62}		
Indoor tanning	+63-68		
PUVA therapy	+69-74		+ ⁷⁵
UVB therapy	+ ^{71,73,76,77}		
Medical ionizing radiation	+ ^{35,71,78-88}		+ ⁸⁴
Non-medical ionizing radiation	+ ⁸⁹⁻⁹²		
Arsenic	+ ^{93,94}		+95
Organ transplant recipients	+ ⁹⁶⁻¹⁰⁰		+ ¹⁰¹
Immunosuppressive agents	+102-105		

"+" means positively associated with the outcome and an empty cell means no (clear) association. References are shown in superscript. BCC, basal cell carcinoma; AK, actinic keratosis; PUVA, psoralen and ultraviolet-A; UVB, ultraviolet B.

^a analyses were frequently adjusted for age and sex, but papers often don't report the effect sizes. However, from incidence studies it is clear that both age and sex are significantly associated with BCC.

^b Consists of complexion, hair colour and eye colour.

Ezafung

References

- 1 Vitaliano PP. The use of logistic regression for modelling risk factors: with application to nonmelanoma skin cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 1978; **108**: 402-14.
- 2 Vitaliano PP, Urbach F. The relative importance of risk factors in nonmelanoma carcinoma. *Arch Dermatol* 1980; **116**: 454-6.
- 3 Vitasa BC, Taylor HR, Strickland PT *et al.* Association of nonmelanoma skin cancer and actinic keratosis with cumulative solar ultraviolet exposure in Maryland watermen. *Cancer* 1990; **65**: 2811-7.
- 4 Gilbody JS, Aitken J, Green A. What causes basal cell carcinoma to be the commonest cancer? *Aust J Public Health* 1994; **18**: 218-21.
- 5 Foote JA, Harris RB, Giuliano AR *et al*. Predictors for cutaneous basal- and squamous-cell carcinoma among actinically damaged adults. *Int J Cancer* 2001; **95**: 7-11.
- 6 Walther U, Kron M, Sander S *et al*. Risk and protective factors for sporadic basal cell carcinoma: results of a two-centre case-control study in southern Germany. Clinical actinic elastosis may be a protective factor. *Br J Dermatol* 2004; **151**: 170-8.
- 7 Qureshi AA, Zhang M, Han J. Heterogeneity in host risk factors for incident melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer in a cohort of US women. *J Epidemiol* 2011; **21**: 197-203.
- 8 Kaskel P, Lange U, Sander S *et al.* Ultraviolet exposure and risk of melanoma and basal cell carcinoma in Ulm and Dresden, Germany. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2015; **29**: 134-42.
- 9 McCormack CJ, Kelly JW, Dorevitch AP. Differences in age and body site distribution of the histological subtypes of basal cell carcinoma. A possible indicator of differing causes. Arch Dermatol 1997; 133: 593-6.
- 10 Bastiaens MT, Hoefnagel JJ, Bruijn JA et al. Differences in age, site distribution, and sex between nodular and superficial basal cell carcinoma indicate different types of tumors. *J Invest Dermatol* 1998; **110**: 880-4.
- 11 Scrivener Y, Grosshans E, Cribier B. Variations of basal cell carcinomas according to gender, age, location and histopathological subtype. *Br J Dermatol* 2002; **147**: 41-7.
- 12 Arits AH, Schlangen MH, Nelemans PJ *et al*. Trends in the incidence of basal cell carcinoma by histopathological subtype. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2011; **25**: 565-9.
- 13 Karagas MR, Stukel TA, Greenberg ER *et al.* Risk of subsequent basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin among patients with prior skin cancer. Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. *JAMA* 1992; **267**: 3305-10.
- 14 Clairmont A, Sies H, Ramachandran S et al. Association of NAD(P)H:quinone oxidoreductase (NQO1) null with numbers of basal cell carcinomas: use of a multivariate model to rank the relative importance of this polymorphism and those at other relevant loci. *Carcinogenesis* 1999; 20: 1235-40.
- 15 Ramachandran S, Fryer AA, Smith AG et al. Basal cell carcinoma. Cancer 2000; 89: 1012-8.
- 16 Kyrgidis A, Vahtsevanos K, Tzellos TG et al. Clinical, histological and demographic predictors for recurrence and second primary tumours of head and neck basal cell carcinoma. A 1062 patient-cohort study from a tertiary cancer referral hospital. *Eur J Dermatol* 2010; **20**: 276-82.
- 17 Richmond-Sinclair NM, Pandeya N, Williams GM et al. Clinical signs of photodamage are associated with basal cell carcinoma multiplicity and site: a 16-year longitudinal study. Int J Cancer 2010; **127**: 2622-9.



- 18 Ramachandran S, Fryer AA, Lovatt T *et al.* The rate of increase in the numbers of primary sporadic basal cell carcinomas during follow up is associated with age at first presentation. *Carcinogenesis* 2002; **23**: 2051-4.
- 19 Lovatt TJ, Lear JT, Bastrilles J *et al.* Associations between ultraviolet radiation, basal cell carcinoma site and histology, host characteristics, and rate of development of further tumors. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2005; **52**: 468-73.
- 20 Flohil SC, Koljenovic S, de Haas ER *et al*. Cumulative risks and rates of subsequent basal cell carcinomas in the Netherlands. *Br J Dermatol* 2011; **165**: 874-81.
- 21 Dyer RK, Weinstock MA, Cohen TS *et al.* Predictors of basal cell carcinoma in high-risk patients in the VATTC (VA Topical Tretinoin Chemoprevention) trial. *J Invest Dermatol* 2012; **132**: 2544-51.
- 22 Verkouteren JA, Smedinga H, Steyerberg EW *et al.* Predicting the Risk of a Second Basal Cell Carcinoma. *J Invest Dermatol* 2015; **135**: 2649-56.
- 23 Lear JT, Heagerty AH, Smith A *et al.* Multiple cutaneous basal cell carcinomas: glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1, GSTT1) and cytochrome P450 (CYP2D6, CYP1A1) polymorphisms influence tumour numbers and accrual. *Carcinogenesis* 1996; **17**: 1891-6.
- 24 Ramachandran S, Lear JT, Ramsay H *et al.* Presentation with multiple cutaneous basal cell carcinomas: association of glutathione S-transferase and cytochrome P450 genotypes with clinical phenotype. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1999; **8**: 61-7.
- 25 Hoy WE. Nonmelanoma skin carcinoma in Albuquerque, New Mexico: experience of a major health care provider. *Cancer* 1996; **77**: 2489-95.
- 26 Ramachandran S, Fryer AA, Lovatt TJ *et al.* Combined effects of gender, skin type and polymorphic genes on clinical phenotype: use of rate of increase in numbers of basal cell carcinomas as a model system. *Cancer Lett* 2003; **189**: 175-81.
- 27 Gellin GA, Kopf AW, Garfinkel L. Basal Cell Epithelioma. A Controlled Study of Associated Factors. *Arch Dermatol* 1965; **91**: 38-45.
- 28 Hogan DJ, To T, Gran L et al. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma. Int J Dermatol 1989; 28: 591-4.
- 29 Gallagher RP, Hill GB, Bajdik CD *et al.* Sunlight exposure, pigmentary factors, and risk of nonmelanocytic skin cancer. I. Basal cell carcinoma. *Arch Dermatol* 1995; **131**: 157-63.
- 30 Green A, Battistutta D, Hart V *et al*. Skin cancer in a subtropical Australian population: incidence and lack of association with occupation. The Nambour Study Group. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996; **144**: 1034-40.
- 31 Dessinioti C, Tzannis K, Sypsa V *et al.* Epidemiologic risk factors of basal cell carcinoma development and age at onset in a Southern European population from Greece. *Exp Dermatol* 2011; **20**: 622-6.
- 32 Dwyer T, Blizzard L, Ashbolt R *et al.* Cutaneous melanin density of Caucasians measured by spectrophotometry and risk of malignant melanoma, basal cell carcinoma, and squamous cell carcinoma of the skin. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; **155**: 614-21.
- 33 Green A, Battistutta D. Incidence and determinants of skin cancer in a high-risk Australian population. *Int J Cancer* 1990; **46**: 356-61.
- van Dam RM, Huang Z, Rimm EB *et al.* Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma of the skin in men: results from the health professionals follow-up study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999; **150**: 459-68.
- 35 Naldi L, DiLandro A, D'Avanzo B et al. Host-related and environmental risk factors for cutaneous basal cell carcinoma: evidence from an Italian case-control study. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42: 446-52.

Ezafing

- 36 Zanetti R, Rosso S, Martinez C *et al.* The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. I: Skin characteristics and sunburns in basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. *Br J Cancer* 1996; **73**: 1440-6.
- 37 Lear JT, Tan BB, Smith AG *et al*. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma in the UK: case-control study in 806 patients. *J R Soc Med* 1997; **90**: 371-4.
- 38 de Vries E, Trakatelli M, Kalabalikis D et al. Known and potential new risk factors for skin cancer in European populations: a multicentre case-control study. Br J Dermatol 2012; 167 Suppl 2: 1-13.
- 39 Rosso S, Zanetti R, Martinez C et al. The multicentre south European study 'Helios'. II: Different sun exposure patterns in the aetiology of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. Br J Cancer 1996; 73: 1447-54.
- 40 Zanetti R, Rosso S, Martinez C *et al*. Comparison of risk patterns in carcinoma and melanoma of the skin in men: a multi-centre case-case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 2006; **94**: 743-51.
- Vlajinac HD, Adanja BJ, Lazar ZF et al. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma. Acta Oncol 2000;
 39: 611-6.
- 42 Lock-Andersen J, Drzewiecki KT, Wulf HC. The measurement of constitutive and facultative skin pigmentation and estimation of sun exposure in Caucasians with basal cell carcinoma and cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol* 1998; **139**: 610-7.
- 43 Ferrucci LM, Cartmel B, Molinaro AM *et al*. Host phenotype characteristics and MC1R in relation to early-onset basal cell carcinoma. *J Invest Dermatol* 2012; **132**: 1272-9.
- 44 Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR *et al.* Pigmentary and cutaneous risk factors for nonmelanocytic skin cancer--a case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 1991; **48**: 650-62.
- 45 Gon A, Minelli L. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma in a southern Brazilian population: a case-control study. *Int J Dermatol* 2011; **50**: 1286-90.
- 46 Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR *et al*. Does intermittent sun exposure cause basal cell carcinoma? a case-control study in Western Australia. *Int J Cancer* 1995; **60**: 489-94.
- 47 Lock-Andersen J, Drzewiecki KT, Wulf HC. Eye and hair colour, skin type and constitutive skin pigmentation as risk factors for basal cell carcinoma and cutaneous malignant melanoma. A Danish case-control study. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1999; **79**: 74-80.
- 48 Boyd AS, Shyr Y, King LE, Jr. Basal cell carcinoma in young women: an evaluation of the association of tanning bed use and smoking. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2002; **46**: 706-9.
- 49 Kennedy C, Bajdik CD, Willemze R et al. The influence of painful sunburns and lifetime sun exposure on the risk of actinic keratoses, seborrheic warts, melanocytic nevi, atypical nevi, and skin cancer. J Invest Dermatol 2003; 120: 1087-93.
- 50 Iannacone MR, Wang W, Stockwell HG et al. Patterns and timing of sunlight exposure and risk of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin--a case-control study. BMC Cancer 2012; 12: 417.
- 51 Lock-Andersen J, Drzewiecki KT, Wulf HC. Naevi as a risk factor for basal cell carcinoma in Caucasians: a Danish case-control study. *Acta Derm Venereol* 1999; **79**: 314-9.
- 52 Khalesi M, Whiteman DC, Tran B *et al*. A meta-analysis of pigmentary characteristics, sun sensitivity, freckling and melanocytic nevi and risk of basal cell carcinoma of the skin. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2013; **37**: 534-43.
- 53 Engel A, Johnson ML, Haynes SG. Health effects of sunlight exposure in the United States. Results from the first National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1971-1974. Arch Dermatol 1988; 124: 72-9.

Ezafing

- 54 Pandeya N, Purdie DM, Green A *et al*. Repeated occurrence of basal cell carcinoma of the skin and multifailure survival analysis: follow-up data from the Nambour Skin Cancer Prevention Trial. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005; **161**: 748-54.
- 55 Corona R, Dogliotti E, D'Errico M *et al*. Risk factors for basal cell carcinoma in a Mediterranean population: role of recreational sun exposure early in life. *Arch Dermatol* 2001; **137**: 1162-8.
- 56 Han J, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ. Risk factors for skin cancers: a nested case-control study within the Nurses' Health Study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006; **35**: 1514-21.
- 57 Betti R, Inselvini E, Carducci M *et al.* Age and site prevalence of histologic subtypes of basal cell carcinomas. *Int J Dermatol* 1995; **34**: 174-6.
- 58 Lear JT, Smith AG, Bowers B *et al.* Truncal tumor site is associated with high risk of multiple basal cell carcinoma and is influenced by glutathione S-transferase, GSTT1, and cytochrome P450, CYP1A1 genotypes, and their interaction. *J Invest Dermatol* 1997; **108**: 519-22.
- 59 Ramachandran S, Fryer AA, Smith A *et al*. Cutaneous basal cell carcinomas: distinct host factors are associated with the development of tumors on the trunk and on the head and neck. *Cancer* 2001; **92**: 354-8.
- 60 Lear JT, Smith AG, Heagerty AH *et al.* Truncal site and detoxifying enzyme polymorphisms significantly reduce time to presentation of further primary cutaneous basal cell carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 1997; **18**: 1499-503.
- 61 Kricker A, Armstrong BK, English DR *et al.* A dose-response curve for sun exposure and basal cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 1995; **60**: 482-8.
- 62 Rosso S, Zanetti R, Pippione M *et al.* Parallel risk assessment of melanoma and basal cell carcinoma: skin characteristics and sun exposure. *Melanoma Res* 1998; **8**: 573-83.
- 63 Karagas MR, Stannard VA, Mott LA *et al*. Use of tanning devices and risk of basal cell and squamous cell skin cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2002; **94**: 224-6.
- 64 Ferrucci LM, Cartmel B, Molinaro AM *et al*. Indoor tanning and risk of early-onset basal cell carcinoma. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012; **67**: 552-62.
- 65 Wehner MR, Shive ML, Chren MM *et al.* Indoor tanning and non-melanoma skin cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* 2012; **345**: e5909.
- 66 Zhang M, Qureshi AA, Geller AC *et al*. Use of tanning beds and incidence of skin cancer. *J Clin* Oncol 2012; **30**: 1588-93.
- 67 Ferrucci LM, Vogel RI, Cartmel B *et al.* Indoor tanning in businesses and homes and risk of melanoma and nonmelanoma skin cancer in 2 US case-control studies. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2014; **71**: 882-7.
- 68 Karagas MR, Zens MS, Li Z *et al.* Early-onset basal cell carcinoma and indoor tanning: a population-based study. *Pediatrics* 2014; **134**: e4-12.
- 69 Stern RS, Lange R. Non-melanoma skin cancer occurring in patients treated with PUVA five to ten years after first treatment. *J Invest Dermatol* 1988; **91**: 120-4.
- 70 Stern RS, Laird N. The carcinogenic risk of treatments for severe psoriasis. Photochemotherapy Follow-up Study. *Cancer* 1994; **73**: 2759-64.
- 71 Stern RS, Liebman EJ, Vakeva L. Oral psoralen and ultraviolet-A light (PUVA) treatment of psoriasis and persistent risk of nonmelanoma skin cancer. PUVA Follow-up Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998; 90: 1278-84.
- 72 Bruynzeel I, Bergman W, Hartevelt HM *et al.* 'High single-dose' European PUVA regimen also causes an excess of non-melanoma skin cancer. *Br J Dermatol* 1991; **124**: 49-55.
- 73 Stern RS, Study PF-U. The risk of squamous cell and basal cell cancer associated with psoralen and ultraviolet A therapy: a 30-year prospective study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2012; **66**: 553-62.

Ezafung

- 74 Nijsten TE, Stern RS. The increased risk of skin cancer is persistent after discontinuation of psoralen+ultraviolet A: a cohort study. *J Invest Dermatol* 2003; **121**: 252-8.
- 75 Katz KA, Marcil I, Stern RS. Incidence and risk factors associated with a second squamous cell carcinoma or basal cell carcinoma in psoralen + ultraviolet a light-treated psoriasis patients. *J Invest Dermatol* 2002; **118**: 1038-43.
- ⁷⁶ Lim JL, Stern RS. High levels of ultraviolet B exposure increase the risk of non-melanoma skin cancer in psoralen and ultraviolet A-treated patients. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; **124**: 505-13.
- 77 Man I, Crombie IK, Dawe RS *et al*. The photocarcinogenic risk of narrowband UVB (TL-01) phototherapy: early follow-up data. *Br J Dermatol* 2005; **152**: 755-7.
- 78 Gallagher RP, Bajdik CD, Fincham S et al. Chemical exposures, medical history, and risk of squamous and basal cell carcinoma of the skin. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1996; 5: 419-24.
- 79 Shore RE, Albert RE, Reed M *et al.* Skin cancer incidence among children irradiated for ringworm of the scalp. *Radiat Res* 1984; **100**: 192-204.
- 80 Ron E, Modan B, Preston D et al. Radiation-induced skin carcinomas of the head and neck. *Radiat Res* 1991; **125**: 318-25.
- 81 Karagas MR, McDonald JA, Greenberg ER et al. Risk of basal cell and squamous cell skin cancers after ionizing radiation therapy. For The Skin Cancer Prevention Study Group. J Natl Cancer Inst 1996; 88: 1848-53.
- 82 Lichter MD, Karagas MR, Mott LA *et al*. Therapeutic ionizing radiation and the incidence of basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. The New Hampshire Skin Cancer Study Group. *Arch Dermatol* 2000; **136**: 1007-11.
- 83 Ronckers CM, Land CE, Hayes RB *et al*. Late health effects of childhood nasopharyngeal radium irradiation: nonmelanoma skin cancers, benign tumors, and hormonal disorders. *Pediatr Res* 2002; **52**: 850-8.
- 84 Shore RE, Moseson M, Xue X *et al.* Skin cancer after X-ray treatment for scalp ringworm. *Radiat Res* 2002; **157**: 410-8.
- 85 Leisenring W, Friedman DL, Flowers ME et al. Nonmelanoma skin and mucosal cancers after hematopoietic cell transplantation. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 1119-26.
- 86 Schwartz JL, Kopecky KJ, Mathes RW et al. Basal cell skin cancer after total-body irradiation and hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Radiat Res* 2009; **171**: 155-63.
- 87 Watt TC, Inskip PD, Stratton K *et al.* Radiation-related risk of basal cell carcinoma: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012; **104**: 1240-50.
- 88 Perkins JL, Liu Y, Mitby PA et al. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 3733-41.
- 89 Ron E, Preston DL, Kishikawa M *et al*. Skin tumor risk among atomic-bomb survivors in Japan. *Cancer Causes Control* 1998; **9**: 393-401.
- 90 Yoshinaga S, Hauptmann M, Sigurdson AJ *et al*. Nonmelanoma skin cancer in relation to ionizing radiation exposure among U.S. radiologic technologists. *Int J Cancer* 2005; **115**: 828-34.
- 91 Sugiyama H, Misumi M, Kishikawa M *et al*. Skin cancer incidence among atomic bomb survivors from 1958 to 1996. *Radiat Res* 2014; **181**: 531-9.
- 92 Preston DL, Ron E, Tokuoka S *et al*. Solid cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors: 1958-1998. *Radiat Res* 2007; **168**: 1-64.
- 93 Guo HR, Yu HS, Hu H *et al.* Arsenic in drinking water and skin cancers: cell-type specificity (Taiwan, ROC). *Cancer Causes Control* 2001; **12**: 909-16.

Ezafung

- 94 Leonardi G, Vahter M, Clemens F *et al.* Inorganic arsenic and basal cell carcinoma in areas of Hungary, Romania, and Slovakia: a case-control study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012; **120**: 721-6.
- 95 Boonchai W, Green A, Ng J et al. Basal cell carcinoma in chronic arsenicism occurring in Queensland, Australia, after ingestion of an asthma medication. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 43: 664-9.
- 96 Hoxtell EO, Mandel JS, Murray SS *et al.* Incidence of skin carcinoma after renal transplantation. *Arch Dermatol* 1977; **113**: 436-8.
- 97 Hartevelt MM, Bavinck JN, Kootte AM *et al.* Incidence of skin cancer after renal transplantation in The Netherlands. *Transplantation* 1990; **49**: 506-9.
- 98 Jensen AO, Svaerke C, Farkas D et al. Skin cancer risk among solid organ recipients: a nationwide cohort study in Denmark. Acta Derm Venereol 2010; 90: 474-9.
- 99 Moloney FJ, Comber H, O'Lorcain P *et al*. A population-based study of skin cancer incidence and prevalence in renal transplant recipients. *Br J Dermatol* 2006; **154**: 498-504.
- 100 Park GH, Chang SE, Won CH *et al.* Incidence of primary skin cancer after organ transplantation: An 18-year single-center experience in Korea. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2014; **70**: 465-72.
- 101 Wisgerhof HC, Edelbroek JR, de Fijter JW et al. Subsequent squamous- and basal-cell carcinomas in kidney-transplant recipients after the first skin cancer: cumulative incidence and risk factors. *Transplantation* 2010; 89: 1231-8.
- 102 Peyrin-Biroulet L, Khosrotehrani K, Carrat F *et al.* Increased risk for nonmelanoma skin cancers in patients who receive thiopurines for inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2011; 141: 1621-28 e1-5.
- 103 Singh H, Nugent Z, Demers AA *et al.* Increased risk of nonmelanoma skin cancers among individuals with inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2011; **141**: 1612-20.
- 104 Sorensen HT, Mellemkjaer L, Nielsen GL et al. Skin cancers and non-hodgkin lymphoma among users of systemic glucocorticoids: a population-based cohort study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004; 96: 709-11.
- 105 Jensen AO, Thomsen HF, Engebjerg MC et al. Use of oral glucocorticoids and risk of skin cancer and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a population-based case-control study. Br J Cancer 2009; 100: 200-5.

Ezafung