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Abstract

Using a real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), we developed and evaluated a rapid, sensitive, specific and reproducible method for the detection of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) DNA in plasma. This method allowed us to screen plasma and serum samples over a range between 100 and $10^7$ genome equivalents of EBV-DNA per milliliter (geq/ml) using two sample preparation methods based on absorption. A precision study yielded an average coefficient of variation for both methods of less than 12 %, with a coefficient of regression for the standard curve of a minimum of 0.98. We detected EBV-DNA in 19.2% of plasma samples from immunosuppressed solid organ transplant patients without symptoms for EBV infections, with a mean load of 440 geq/ml. In all transplant patients diagnosed with EBV related lymphoproliferative disease (EBV-LPD), EBV-DNA could be detected with a mean load of 544,570 geq/ml. No EBV-DNA could be detected in healthy individuals, and a mean of 6,400 geq/ml could be detected in patients with infectious mononucleosis. Further studies revealed that the inhibitory effect of heparinized plasma could be efficiently removed by use of an extraction method with Celite as the absorbent.
1. Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is the etiological agent of infectious mononucleosis (IM) and is etiologically associated with Burkitt's lymphoma and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Usually, the virus produces a mild and self-limiting primary infection in childhood. However, as a gamma herpesvirus, it persists for life by a combination of latency in B-lymphocytes and chronic replication in oropharyngeal epithelial cells. A serious complication after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and solid organ transplantation is the development of EBV-related lymphoproliferative disease (EBV-LPD) due to immunosuppressive therapy. The condition can be rapidly fatal if it is not diagnosed and treated in an early stage.

Recently, it has been observed that there is a relation between EBV-LPD and the EBV load in plasma or infected peripheral blood lymphocytes, as measured by semiquantitative or competitive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assays. With the advent of real-time Taqman quantification and improved sample preparation techniques, the whole process from sample retrieval to quantitative result can be reduced. Furthermore, the dynamic range in which samples can be analyzed quantitatively without dilution has improved considerably.

In this paper, we describe the validation of a Taqman based assay for the quantification of EBV-DNA in plasma. The assay is based on the linearity, takes into account intra- and inter assay variability, as well as detection limits, and can be performed in a routine setting, providing quantitative results within less than 6 hours. Furthermore, we have evaluated two different extraction methods, not only for EDTA-treated plasma, but also for heparin-treated plasma, because heparin is known to be inhibitory for PCRs.

2. Materials and methods

Patients and samples

Serum samples from patients with a clinical suspicion for a primary EBV-infection (infectious mononucleosis, n=22) and a serological profile positive for IgM viral capsid antigen (VCA) and negative for anti-EB nuclear antigen (EBNA), were used for this analysis. These samples were kindly provided by dr Peter Schroder (Groningen Public Health Laboratory). Plasma samples from recipients of an allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell graft (n=5) and solid organ transplant patients (n=5), who were diagnosed clinically and histologically with EBV-LPD, were also enrolled in the present evaluation study. Samples were taken before start of treatment (including before the start of reduction of immunosuppressive treatment) was initiated. Lymph node biopsy specimens were also obtained from these patients and the diagnosis was confirmed with the EBER probe. Furthermore, samples from a cohort of randomly selected, EBV-seropositive, solid organ
transplant recipients (kidney, heart and liver, n=109) were included for cross-sectional analysis. These patients had no EBV-related disease and were routinely screened for hepatitis markers. Healthy individuals and blood donors (n=100) without any sign of infectious mononucleosis or EBV-LPD were used as a control group. From all patients, EDTA plasma samples were aliquoted and frozen at -80°C within 2 hours after collection. Only serum was available from the 22 patients with a primary EBV infection.

**Nucleic acid extraction**

For the isolation of EBV-DNA from plasma or serum samples, two protocols were used. The first protocol was essentially based on the method described by Boom and coworkers. Briefly, 100 µl of plasma was added to 1 ml of buffer 1 (120 g guanidinium isothiocyanate in 100 ml 0.1 M Tris [pH 6.4], 22 ml 0.2 M EDTA [pH 8.0] 2.6 g Triton X-100). After the addition of 50 µl Celite solution, the mixture was incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature and subsequently centrifuged for 10 s at full speed in a tabletop centrifuge. The pellet was washed twice with buffer 2 (identical to buffer 1 but without Triton X-100 solution and EDTA), twice with 70 % ethanol, and once with aceton. The silica pellet was dried at room temperature in a vacuum exsiccator for 10 min, after which the DNA was eluted from the silica by adding 100 µl RNase and DNase-free water and was incubated for 10 min at 56°C. After centrifugation at 12,000g for 2 min, the supernatant contained the DNA and was ready for use.

The second and commercially available protocol was essentially based on the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid kit protocol (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, The Netherlands). To compare this method directly with the above described procedure, a 100 µl plasma sample is added to the mixture provided with the kit, and finally, the same volume of 100 µl is eluted. Briefly, a 100 µl plasma sample was added to 100 µl 6 M guanidine-HCl-10 mM urea-10 mM Tris-HCl-20% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 supplemented with carrier RNA and 800 µg of proteinase K. After incubation for 10 min at 72°C, 50 µl isopropanol was added and the mixture transferred onto a High Pure filter tube combined with a collection tube. The filter tube was centrifuged at 12,000g for 1 min in a standard tabletop centrifuge at room temperature. The filter was washed twice with 450 µl of buffer (20 mM NaCl and 2 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5] in ethanol). After placement of a new collection tube under the filter, 100 µl Rnase- and Dnase-free water was added to elute the DNA. To reduce the detection level of the assay, the input and elution volumes compared to those used in the original procedure can be changed, that is, the input volume can be increased to 200 µl of plasma and the elution volume can be decreased to 50 µl.

**Real-Time Taqman assay**

The PCR primers for the Taqman assay were selected from the EBV-DNA genome and encode the nonglycosylated membrane protein BNRF1 p143. The forward and reverse
primers and the probe were designed using Primer Express software (PE Biosystems, Nieuwerkerk aan de IJssel, The Netherlands), and generated a DNA product of 74 basepairs. The primers used were EBV/p143 forward primer (5'-GGA.ACC.TGG.TCA.TCC.TTG.C) and the reverse primer (5'-ACG.TGC.ATG.GAC.CGG.TTA.AT), which were synthesized at Isogen Biosciences (Maarssen, The Netherlands). A fluorogenic probe (5'-CGC.AGG.CAC.TCG.TAC.TGC.TCG.CT) was synthesized by PE Biosystems with a FAM reporter molecule attached to the 5' end and a TAMRA quencher linked at the 3' end. The PCR amplification was performed in a 50-μl volume containing 2x Taqman universal master mixture, 45 pmol of forward primer per μl, 2.5 pmol of reverse primer, 5 pmol of the Taqman probe, and 10 μl of isolated DNA. All reactions were performed in duplicate. After preparation of the reaction tubes, the whole plate holder was centrifuged at 1,000g for 1 min at room temperature in a swingout rotor (Hettich, Rotina 48R, Tuttlingen, Germany) to remove small air bubbles in the vessels. The amplification and detection was performed with an ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (PE Biosystems). After incubation for 2 min at 50 °C with uracil N'-glycosylase to inactivate possible PCR contaminants from former reactions, the reaction tube was incubated for 10 min at 95°C to inactivate the uracil N'-glycosylase and to release the activity of the AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase. The PCR cycling program consisted of 42 two-step cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 60°C. Real-time measurements were taken, and a threshold cycle (Ct) value for each sample was calculated by determining the point at which the fluorescence exceeded a threshold limit of 0.04. Each run contained several negative controls (no template), a positive control containing a known EBV copy number based on a standard for which the EBV copy number was counted by electron microscopy (EBV EM standard), and a standard dilution curve for plasmid DNA containing the PCR product as insert (see below). Each specimen was run in duplicate and was considered positive only if both replications were above the threshold limit.

**Standardization**

For the standardization of the assay, a standard containing 6.68 x 10⁹ EBV particles per ml (EBV B95-8, Advanced Biotechnologies Incorporated, Maryland, U.S.A.), as determined by electron microscopy, was used. Serial half-log dilutions of this standard, ranging from 10⁷ down to 10 geq/ml, were made to characterize linearity, precision, specificity and sensitivity of the Taqman assay. For the preparation of the standard curve for the routine Taqman runs, the PCR product of 74 basepairs was directly cloned into a pCRII vector (Invitrogen, Leek, The Netherlands) and transformed into the appropriate bacterial strain. The colonies were prescreened by PCR to confirm the size of the insert. Plasmid DNA was isolated on the Vistra Labstation (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, The Netherlands) and was isolated in bulk. The standard curve made from the plasmid was calibrated using the EBV EM standards and was
routinely made in duplicate with a range equivalent to from 100 up to $10^7$ geq/ml. It was shown that the slope for the plasmid standard was not significantly different from the slope obtained with the EBV EM standard ($P < 0.0001$) (data not shown).

Statistics

The standard curve was created automatically by the ABI 7700 Sequence Detection System software by plotting the $C_t$ values against each standard of known concentration. This $C_t$ value was also used for calculation of the intra- and interassay coefficients of variation for the technique. Logarithmic transformation of the readings of the different assays was carried out for the comparison of the isolation procedures. $x$-$y$ scatter diagrams were drawn, and the correlation coefficients ($r^2$) or Spearman correlation ($r$) was determined and linear regression analysis was done by using the statistical functions of SPSS (version 8.0) software. Student $t$ test was used for comparison of EBV-DNA copy numbers in each group analyzed.

3. Results

The limit of detection by both extraction methods was determined with half-log dilutions of the EBV EM standard. Both assays were used in an identical format, in which 100 µl of patient material was used as input, while the DNA was eluted in 100 µl RNAse- and DNAse-free water. Both assays were able to detect viral DNA over a linear span of between 100 and $10^7$ geq/ml (Figure 1). Statistical analysis of the standard curves over this range showed that both methods were linear with an $r^2$ value of a minimum of 0.98. Furthermore, the slopes of both standard curves were not significantly different. However, the average $C_t$ values obtained by the extraction method described by Boom et al. were 1.44 lower over the whole linear range ($P < 0.0001$) than those obtained with the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit. This shows that the efficiency of the extraction step was better for the method described by Boom et al., as also indicated by the fact that 50 geq/ml of the dilution were detected in all eight replicates, whereas 50 geq/ml were detected in only one of eight replicates with the adapted High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit. Both methods were unable, however, to detect 10 geq/ml of the EBV EM standard per ml used in the formats described above.

A precision study for both extraction methods was performed by evaluating serial half-log dilutions of the EBV EM standard ranging from 50 to $10^7$ geq/ml in originally EBV-seronegative serum. The $C_t$ values obtained were used for the calculation. The study was carried out over 3 consecutive days and two sets of independent isolations were performed.
Figure 1. **Standard curve for Taqman PCR**. Serial dilutions of the EBV EM standard ranging from 50 to $10^7$ geq/ml were made. Extraction was performed by the method of Boom (▲, Spearman correlation coefficient, 0.997) or the method with the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid kit (■, Spearman correlation coefficient, 0.998) as matrix. Equal volumes of input and output material (100 µl) were used. The Ct values, which correspond to the PCR cycle number in which the value is above the threshold limit, are plotted against the calculated number of particles counted by electron microscopy.

A total of eight replicates of the 12 dilutions for both extraction methods were tested on each day. Again, only the method of Boom et al. was able to detect EBV in the sample with 50 geq/ml. The assay exhibited a very good total precision throughout the range of the numbers of EBV-DNA copies in the EBV-seropositive samples, with coefficients of variation ranging from 0.7 to 11.7%. The relatively high coefficient of variation (11.7%) was due to the inability to detect 100 geq/ml in one of the eight replicates by the method with the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit. The average coefficient of variation for the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit was 2.37% (range, 1.1-11.7%), and for the method of Boom et al. 1.56% (range, 0.7-7.0). There was no difference in between-day variation and within-run variation, or within the independent isolations (data not shown).

We furthermore evaluated whether both extraction methods were able to remove efficiently the inhibitory effect of heparin on the PCR-based assay. Therefore, four dilutions of the EBV EM standard were made in heparin- or EDTA-treated plasma, the dilutions ranged from 500 to $10^7$ geq/ml. The efficiencies of isolation and amplification by the method of Boom et al were almost identical whether EDTA-treated or heparin-treated plasma was used (Figure 2), with variation being less then twofold.16
Figure 2. Effect of heparin on real-time Taqman PCR. Detection of EBV EM standard dilutions made in heparin-treated plasma (dashed line) or EDTA-treated plasma (solid line). From these EBV dilutions, ranging from 500 to $10^7$ geq/ml, DNA was isolated by the extraction method of Boom et al., which uses Celite (■) to absorb the DNA, as well as by the method with the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid kit (Roche Diagnostics) (●). The extracted DNA was quantified by the Taqman assay.

However, with the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit, the efficiency was reduced between 12- and 200-fold when heparin-treated plasma samples were compared to EDTA-treated plasma samples. EBV could not be detected in the heparin-treated plasma sample containing 500 geq/ml. Use of EDTA is much easier than the use of heparinase I, which degrades heparin and involves another incubation step. This experiment also confirms again that the method of Boom et al. is able to extract the EBV DNA more efficiently than the High Pure Viral Nucleic Acid extraction kit, as indicated by the lower $C_t$ value. The analytical specificity of the assay was determined by analyzing DNAs from other human herpesviruses (Herpes simplex virus types 1 and 2, Varicella zoster virus, Cytomegalovirus, and human Herpesviruses 6, 7 and 8), as well as from other viruses routinely used in the laboratory for DNA or RNA analysis (Hepatitis B, C, and G viruses and Human Papilloma virus). All these samples yielded results below the detection level of 100 geq/ml or a $C_t$ value of 42.

4. Discussion

To demonstrate that the Taqman-based assay described here could be used to detect EBV-DNA in clinical samples and to determine a baseline value for plasma EBV-DNA levels in different groups, we evaluated clinical samples from 100 healthy individuals, 22 patients with infectious mononucleosis, a cohort of 109 asymptomatic immunosuppressed solid organ transplant recipients, and 10 patients with a confirmed diagnosis of EBV-LPD. The results are summarized in Table 1. For this evaluation, samples were analyzed in duplicate.
using the High Pure Viral Nucleid Acid extraction kit, which has a cutoff value of 100 geq/ml. As expected, EBV-DNA could not be detected in the plasma of any of the 100 healthy individuals.

We were able to detect low levels of EBV-DNA in 21 of 109 solid-organ transplant patients (19.2%), with a mean of 440 geq/ml (range, <100-12,000 geq/ml). This was, however, not statistically different from the value for the control group (P = 0.19). We could detect a signal for EBV-DNA in 16 of 22 samples (72.7%) from infectious mononucleosis patients, with a mean value of 6,400 geq/ml (range, <100-45,000 geq/ml). This EBV-DNA load was significantly higher as compared to the control group (P < 0.006). It has been shown previously, that the presence of EBV-DNA in plasma is diagnostic for a clinical EBV infection.²⁵,²⁶,¹⁹ EBV-DNA should be absent in the plasma of healthy individuals.

Table 1. Quantification of EBV-DNA by real-time Taqman analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Group</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>% positive</th>
<th>Mean EBV DNA loada (geq/ml, range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy Donors</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid-Organ Transplant Patients</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>440 (&lt;100 – 12,000)b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious mononucleosis</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>6,400 (&lt;100 – 45,000)c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV-LPD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>544,750 (74,000 – 3,200,000)d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All values are averages of two independent experiments
b Not statistically different from healthy control group (P = 0.19)
c Statistically different from control group (P < 0.006) and Solid-Organ Transplant Group (P < 0.0001).
d Statistically different from all other groups studied (P < 0.0001).

Using a sensitive detection method like PCR, however, one is able to detect viral genomes in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (MNCs) of healthy controls.⁸,¹⁹ In the study of Kimura et al., a viral load of 315 copies per µg of MNC DNA was set as a criterion for distinguishing a latent infection from a symptomatic EBV-infection or EBV-related disease.⁷

EBV-DNA could be detected in 16 of 22 samples from infectious mononucleosis patients with primary infection. It can be concluded from the data of Yamamoto et al. that this is due to the time point of sampling.¹⁹ Also, the group of Kimura et al. did not find a positive
EBV-DNA signal in MNCs from all of their IM patients analyzed. We expected to be able to find active replication of EBV in the group of immunocompromised solid-organ transplant recipients due to immune suppression. We were able to detect EBV-DNA in plasma of 19.2% of patients analyzed. None of these patients had clinical signs of active EBV-infection or EBV-related disease. However, one could expect specifically that EBV reactivation is more likely to occur in this group than in healthy individuals. Our findings indicate that plasma EBV loads of up to 12,000 geq/ml can easily be detected in our cohort without any evidence of EBV related disease. However, no data are available on the longitudinal follow-up period required to determine whether several EBV reactivation periods can be detected in this group. We could confirm the data from Kimura et al, who also detected EBV-DNA in MNCs from 14% of posttransplant patients without signs of EBV-related disease. The group for which the use of a quantitative PCR should be most useful are patients with a diagnosis of EBV-LPD. In the group of 10 transplant patients diagnosed with EBV-LPD but for whom treatment such as reduction of immunosuppressive therapy, or the initiation of antiviral treatment was not yet initiated, the mean EBV load in plasma was 544,750 geq/ml (range, 74,000-3.2 x 10^7 geq/ml), which is significantly higher than in the groups mentioned above (P < 0.0001). However, there is a difference between a clinical diagnosis of EBV-LPD and the viral load at which one should be aware that EBV-LPD is developing. Therefore, we suggest that routine monitoring of patients at risk for EBV-LPD will allow determination of whether there is a progression of this life-threatening disease from a virological point of view.

In summary using real-time PCR technique, an easy-to-use and highly reproducible technique is available for evaluation of the significance of EBV-DNA in plasma samples of immunosuppressed patients. Depending on the isolation method used, inhibition by heparin of the amplification reaction can be eliminated. In this study we also confirm data presented by others that there is a relation between plasma EBV-DNA levels and EBV-related diseases. However, active replication could also be detected in patients without clinical EBV-related disease. Future studies must define cutoff levels at which treatment of patients at risk for EBV-LPD should be initiated. The technique can then be used to monitor the effect of antiviral therapy on EBV, whether this is by infusion of donor T-cells, a change of immunosuppressive therapy, or provision of nucleoside analogues to inhibit EBV replication.

Acknowledgments

We thank Judith Guldemeester for assisting in various parts of this study, and Philip Rothbarth (Department of Microbiology, Enschede, The Netherlands), who initiated the use of molecular techniques for the detection of EBV-related disease in stem cell transplant recipients.
References

Chapter 2


