

The Perspective of the Intensivist on Inotropes and Postoperative Care Following Pediatric Heart Surgery: An International Survey and Systematic Review of the Literature

World Journal for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery
2018, Vol. 9(1) 10-21
© The Author(s) 2017
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/2150135117731725
journals.sagepub.com/home/pch



Peter P. Roeleveld, MD¹ , and J. C. A. de Klerk, MD²

Abstract

Introduction: Inotropes are frequently being used in children undergoing heart surgery to prevent or treat low cardiac output syndrome (LCOS). There is only limited evidence that inotropes actually positively influence postoperative outcome. Our aim was to describe the current international practice variation in the use of inotropes following congenital heart surgery. **Methods:** We developed an online survey regarding the postoperative use of inotropes. We sent an invitation to all 197 registered members of the Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Society (PCICS) to participate in the survey. We also performed a systematic review of the literature. **Results:** Ninety-eight people (50%) responded, representing 62 international centers. Milrinone is routinely used perioperatively by 90 respondents (97%). Adrenaline/epinephrine is routinely used by 43%, dopamine by 36%, dobutamine by 11%, and levosimendan by 6%. Steroids are used routinely by 54% before initiating cardiopulmonary bypass. Vasopressin is used by 44% of respondents. The development of LCOS is monitored with lactate in 99% of respondents, physical examination (98%), intermittent mixed venous saturation (76%), continuous mixed venous saturation (13%), echocardiography (53%), core-peripheral temperature gap (29%), near-infrared spectrometry (25%), and 4% use cardiac output monitors (PiCCO, USCOM). To improve cardiac output, 42% add/increase milrinone, 37% add adrenaline, and 15% add dopamine. Rescue therapy is titrated individually, based on the patients' pathophysiology. A systematic review of the literature failed to show compelling evidence with regard to the benefit of inotropes. **Conclusions:** Despite the lack of sufficient evidence, milrinone is used by the vast majority of caregivers following congenital heart surgery.

Keywords

low cardiac output syndrome, pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery, prevention, child, survey, inotropes

Submitted April 12, 2017; Accepted August 16, 2017

Presented at the Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Society (PCICS) 11th Annual International Meeting, Houston Texas, USA, December 9-11, 2015.

Introduction

Inotropes are frequently being used in children undergoing heart surgery to prevent or treat low cardiac output syndrome (LCOS) that typically occurs 6 to 18 hours after cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) surgery.¹ There is ample evidence describing how certain inotropes affect the hemodynamics in adults and children undergoing heart surgery. But there is only limited evidence that certain inotropes actually positively influence postoperative outcome.² A recent Cochrane review concluded there is insufficient evidence of effectiveness of prophylactic milrinone in preventing death or LCOS in children undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease, compared to placebo.³ There is no evidence in the literature in favor of one inotrope over another in improving postoperative outcomes.

The aim of this study was to describe current international practice variation regarding the use of inotropes in children undergoing heart surgery.

¹ Department of Pediatric Intensive Care, Leiden University Medical center, Leiden, The Netherlands

² Department of Neonatal Intensive Care, Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Corresponding Author:

Peter P. Roeleveld, Department of Pediatric Intensive Care, Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands.

Email: p.p.roeleveld@lumc.nl

Abbreviations and Acronyms	
ACE	angiotensin converting enzyme
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CPB	cardiopulmonary bypass
DHCA	deep hypothermic circulatory arrest
ECMO	extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
iNO	inhaled nitric oxide
LCOS	low cardiac output syndrome
LOS	length of stay
MAP	mean arterial blood pressure
MV	mechanical ventilation
NIRS	near-infrared spectrometry
PAP	pulmonary artery pressure
PCICS	Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Society
PICU	pediatric intensive care unit
PVR	pulmonary vascular resistance
SVR	systemic vascular resistance
PICCO	pulse index continuous cardiac output
USCOM	Doppler cardiac output measurement

Methods

Survey

In May 2014, we developed an online survey for the members of the Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Society (PCICS), with questions regarding the prevention and treatment of LCOS in pediatric patients after cardiac surgery.

The questionnaire consisted of 22 questions covering different aspects of postoperative management in children who underwent cardiac surgery. Most of the questions concerned the treatment and prevention of LCOS in children while other questions were about postoperative temperature control. The management of hypertension in patients who underwent surgery for coarctation of the aortae was part of the same survey and published separately.⁴ Most questions could be answered with multiple answers. Respondents were free not to answer a question if they chose not to do so, therefore not all questions were answered by all 98 respondents.

Survey Participants

We sent an invitation to partake in the survey to all 197 registered PCICS members at the time. In the accompanying letter it was mentioned that all responses would be analyzed anonymously and that it was the intention to publish the results. A reminder was sent after two and four weeks if they had not yet responded. Data were collected between May and June 2014.

Data Analysis

The results are descriptive and were expressed as percentages. No comparative statistical tests were performed.

Literature Review

The authors searched PubMed for all known inotropes and cross-referenced them with key words such as “pediatric,”

Table 1. Demographics of the Respondents: Origin, Specialization, and Number of Operations Performed at Their Center per Annum.^{a,b,c}

Individual distribution	N	%
United States	65	66
Europe	10	10
Canada	8	8
Australia	5	5
Asia	4	4
South America	5	5
South Africa	1	1
Total	98	100
Center distribution		
United States	38	61
Europe	9	15
Canada	5	8
Australia	3	3
Asia	4	6
South America	2	3
South Africa	1	2
Total	62	100
Center size (operations per annum)		
Less than 100	5	5
100-200	9	9
200-300	22	22
300-400	24	25
400-500	16	16
More than 500	22	22

Abbreviation: PICU: pediatric intensive care unit.

^aEurope: France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Sweden, United Kingdom.

^bAsia: India, Israel, United Arab Emirates.

^cSouth America: Argentina, Colombia.

“child,” “neonate,” “newborn,” “cardiac,” “heart,” “congenital,” and “surgery.” The authors also scanned all references in the found articles and then read all titles and abstracts of relevant studies. Then randomized controlled trials were selected in which inotropes were compared with each other or with placebo in pediatric or congenital cardiac surgery, or animal models of congenital heart surgery.

Results

Survey Participants

Ninety-eight people (50%) responded from 62 different medical centers across the world (center distribution: 61% United States, 15% Europe, 8% Canada, 6% Asia, 3% Australia, 3% South America, and 2% Africa; see Table 1). Fifty-six (73%) respondents were pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) consultants, 27 (35%) pediatric cardiologists, 23 (23%) nurse practitioners, 3 (3%) cardiothoracic surgeons (3%), 5 (5%) anesthesiologists, and 4 (4%) PICU trainees. Several medical respondents were dually certified (PICU and cardiology). Most respondents (63%) worked in centers with more than 300 pediatric heart surgeries per year.

Table 2. Reports of Drugs Regimen for Prevention of LCOS and Timing of Administration.^a

Prophylactic drug regimen	N	%
Milrinone	90/93	97
Adrenaline/epinephrine	42/93	45
Dopamine	35/93	38
Dobutamine	10/93	11
Levosimendan	5/93	5
Other	10/93	11
Not answered	5/98	5
Timing of administration		
Preoperatively	1/93	1
After anesthetic induction	1/93	1
When on CPB	39/93	42
While coming off CPB	59/93	63
In PICU	27/93	29
Other	5/93	5
Not answered	5/98	5
Other drugs used		
Noradrenaline/norepinephrine	38/69	55
Alpha blockers	7/69	10
ACE-inhibitors	10/69	15
Steroids (before CPB)	37/69	54
Steroids continued after CPB	10/69	15
Vasopressin	30/69	43
Adrenaline/epinephrine	3/69	4
Other (triiodothyronin, calcium, epinephrine)	15/69	22
Not answered	29/98	30

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; LCOS, low cardiac output syndrome; PICU, pediatric intensive care unit.

^aMultiple answers possible.

Drug Choice for the Prevention of LCOS

Ninety-three (95%) of all 98 respondents answered this question. The prevention of LCOS is characterized by considerable variability (see Table 2). Eight different drugs used for the prevention of LCOS. Most respondents use milrinone (97%) routinely for the prevention of LCOS. Other frequently used drugs for the prevention of LCOS are adrenaline (45%) and dopamine (38%). Dobutamine is used by 11% and levosimendan by 5% of respondents.

There is marked variability in the timing of initiating prophylactic inotropes. Respondents could give multiple answers as individual clinical indication might necessitate different timing. Forty-two percent start the inotrope at the start of CPB, 63% indicate starting while coming off CPB, and 29% started inotropes when the patient arrives in the ICU.

Sixty-nine respondents (70%) indicated that they routinely use other pharmacological agents besides their main inotrope. Other medications that are frequently used concomitantly are noradrenaline/norepinephrine (55%), steroids (54%), and vasopressin (43%). Thirty percent of respondents indicate that they give noradrenaline/norepinephrine routinely to maintain blood pressure while giving milrinone. Vasodilators such as angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and alpha-agonists are routinely used by 10 (15%) of 69 and 7 (10%) of

Table 3. Monitoring of Development of LCOS. Multiple Answers Possible. NIRS: Near-infrared Spectrometry.

Monitoring modality	N	%
Lactate	90/91	99
Physical examination	89/91	98
Intermittent venous saturation	69/91	76
Echocardiography	48/91	53
Core-peripheral temperature gap	26/91	32
NIRS	24/91	26
Continuous venous saturation	12/91	13
PICCO	2/91	2
USCOM	1/91	1
Other	4/91	4
Not answered	7/98	7

Abbreviations: LCOS, low cardiac output syndrome; NIRS, near-infrared spectrometry; PICCO, pulse index continuous cardiac output; USCOM, Doppler cardiac output measurement.

69, respectively. Adrenaline/epinephrine is routinely used by 3 (4%) of 69 of respondents.

Out of 69 who answered this question, 57 (83%) respondents specifically indicated that the use of these second-tier medications is based on clinical requirements. The strategies used vary from the use of vasopressors in vasodilated states to improve perfusion pressures, to vasodilators in order to promote after-load reduction and cardiac output.

When steroids are used, they are mostly given before going on CPB (54%) and in 15% it is routinely continued after the bypass.

Monitoring Cardiac Output

Ninety-one respondents indicated the use of different methods to monitor cardiac output postoperatively (see Table 3). Lactate (99%), physical examination (98%), and intermittent or continuous venous saturation (89%) are mostly used for monitoring cardiac output. Echocardiography is used by 53% of the respondents, core-peripheral temperature gap by 32%, and near-infrared spectrometry (NIRS) is used by 26%. The use of minimally invasive cardiac output monitoring (e.g. pulse index continuous cardiac output [PICCO, Pulsion, Germany] or Doppler hemodynamic cardiac output monitoring [USCOM Ltd, Australia] is limited (3%).

Drug Choice for Improving Cardiac Output

Most respondents indicate that their choice of drugs for improving cardiac output is based on the pathophysiology of the underlying condition, the severity of the LCOS, and the medication that is already being administered. Eighty-five respondents (85/98 = 87%) answered this question and indicated increasing either milrinone (42%) or adrenaline/epinephrine (36%) when cardiac output is worsening (see Table 4). If this treatment fails, then in most of the cases adrenaline/epinephrine (40%) will be added as the second drug of choice. Under "other" 17% indicated that clinical circumstances

Table 4. Reports of Drugs Regimen for Treatment of LCOS.^a

	First Choice		Second Choice	
	N	%	N	%
Milrinone	36/85	42	13/86	15
Dobutamine	2/85	2	1/86	1
Dopamine	13/85	15	8/86	9
Adrenaline/epinephrine	31/85	36	34/86	40
Noradrenaline/norepinephrine	0/85	0	0/86	0
Levosimendan	1/85	1	3/86	3
Steroids	2/85	2	9/86	10
Vasodilators	0/85	0	4/86	5
Others (eg, ECMO)	17/98	17	14/86	16
Not answered	13/98	13	12/98	12

Abbreviations: ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; LCOS, low cardiac output syndrome.

^aOne answer only.

Table 5. Strategy in Patients With Mixed Circulation.^a

	N	%
Routinely decrease SVR, with	70/89	79
Milrinone	87/88	99
Nitroprusside	47/88	53
Nitroglycerin	7/88	8
Alpha-blockers	15/88	17
ACE-inhibitors	23/88	26
Others	7/88	8
Routinely increase PVR, with	37/89	42
Increasing PEEP	31/85	36
Lowering FiO ₂ < 21%	38/85	45
Hypoventilation	52/85	61
Increase hemoglobin/hematocrit	39/85	46
Do not increase PVR but lower SVR	12/85	14

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; SVR: systemic vascular resistance; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; PEEP, positive end expiratory pressure.

^aMultiple answers possible.

directed their specific therapies. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) was also given as an option several times but was not specified by many other respondents. The timing of initiating ECMO postcardiotomy remains challenging. It was not a part of this survey.

Managing Cardiac Output in a Mixed Circulation

Eighty-nine respondents (91%) answered questions regarding specific management in mixed circulations (see Table 5). In a mixed circulation, the majority will try to decrease the systemic vascular resistance (SVR) to promote systemic circulation (79%). Forty-two percent will try to increase pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) to promote systemic cardiac output. A combination of both is indicated by 17%, which is probably an underestimation because we did not specifically ask how many used a combination.

Table 6. Temperature Management Following Pediatric Heart Surgery.

	After CPB		After CPB with DHCA	
	N	%	N	%
No temperature protocol	13/87	15	19/81	23
Prevent hyperthermia >38°C	45/87	52	39/81	48
36°C-37°C	22/87	25	16/81	20
35°C-36°C	7/87	8	7/81	9

Abbreviations: CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; DHCA, deep hypothermic circulatory arrest.

To achieve an increase in PVR, respondents will try to achieve hypoventilation (61%), increase hemoglobin (46%), lower inhaled oxygen concentration below 21% (45%), and/or increase positive end expiratory pressure (36%). All 85 respondents of this question indicate the use of a combination of these nonpharmacological strategies.

Eighty-eight respondents answered the question regarding decreasing SVR. Almost all (87/88) aim to lower SVR by using milrinone (99%) followed by nitroprusside (53%), ACE-inhibitors (26%), alpha-blockers (17%), nitroglycerin (8%), or others like nicardipine or phenoxybenzamine (8%).

Temperature Regulation in PICU

Eighty-seven (89%) and 81 (83%) of 98 respondents answered the questions about temperature management post CPB and post deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) respectively (see Table 6). Postoperative hyperthermia is prevented routinely in PICU in the majority of the respondents following CPB (52%) with or without DHCA (48%). Only about 8% will routinely try to achieve mild hypothermia (35°C-36°C or 95°F-97°F). Nine respondents (10%) indicate that hypothermia will be used in patients for heart rate control (eg, in case of junctional ectopic tachycardia).

Systematic Review of the Literature

We identified 20 randomized controlled trials comparing inotropes in (animal models of) congenital pediatric heart surgery. Table 7 gives a clear overview of these studies, including design, subjects, the presented results, and the effects on clinically relevant outcomes such as mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation (MV), and length of stay (LOS). Of those 20 studies, 2 were crossover studies reporting on hemodynamic variables^{5,7} and 2 were animal studies.^{11,12} Of the remaining 16 studies in children, 6 studies reported on mortality.^{2,14,17,18,20,21} In none of these studies, mortality was positively (or negatively) influenced by the studied inotrope. Duration of MV or LOS (PICU and/or hospital) was reported by 10 of the 16 studies.^{2,13,14,16-18,20-23} Only in one report the duration of MV was positively influenced, by a combination of milrinone and inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) in children following the Fontan operation.²¹ In the other nine studies, no positive effect of

Table 7. All Published Randomized Controlled Trials of Inotropes in (Models of) Pediatric Cardiac Surgery.^a

Author, Year of Publication	Inotropes	Subjects	Results	Effect on Mortality?	Effect on Mechanical Ventilation or LOS?
Booker et al 1995. ⁵	Dopamine vs Dobutamine. Crossover study	19 children undergoing cardiac surgery	No significant hemodynamic differences	NA	NA
Wenstone, et al 1991 ⁶	Dobutamine vs dopamine.	142 children undergoing CPB surgery.	No difference in renal function or urine output	Not available	Not available
Kwapisz, et al 2009 ⁷	Dobutamine vs Dopexamine. Crossover design	11 children undergoing cardiac surgery	Both drugs similarly increased cardiac index (measured via transpulmonary thermodilution)	NA	NA
Jaccard et al 1984 ⁸	Dobutamine vs Isoprenaline.	12 children after correction of Tetralogy of Fallot	Isoprenaline was more effective than Dobutamine in raising CI.	Not available	Not available
Bailey et al 1997 ⁹	Amrinone vs sodium nitroprusside (SNP). Crossover study.	10 infants after cardiac surgery	Amrinone resulted in significant increase in cardiac index. (SNP did not)	NA	NA
Innes et al 1994. ¹⁰	Dobutamine vs Enoximone.	28 children following cardiac surgery	Mean arterial pressure significantly higher in Dobutamine group. No difference in CI	Not available	Not available
Stocker et al 2007 ¹¹	Milrinone vs levosimendan vs placebo	Animal model of pediatric CPB. 16 piglets.	Placebo decreased CO by 15%. Milrinone maintained CO. Levosimendan increased CO 14%	Not available	Not available
Riordan et al 1996 ¹²	Dobutamine vs Adrenaline vs Dopamine	Animal model of HLHS. n=6	All three drugs increased CO. Only adrenaline significantly decreased Qp/Qs and increased systemic oxygen delivery.	NA	NA
Hoffman et al 2003 ²	Milrinone vs placebo (PRIMACORP)	238 children after cardiac surgery	High-dose milrinone (0.75 µg/kg/min) reduced the relative risk of LCOS by 55% ($P = .023$). No difference in urine output, creatinine clearance, lactate, or mixed venous saturation.	No difference in mortality	No difference in duration of MV or LOS.
Laitinen et al 1997 ¹³	Amrinone vs dopamine & nitroglycerin	32 infants after AVSD repair	Systemic and pulmonary blood flow were higher in Amrinone group with lower oxygen consumption	No mortality	No difference in duration of MV or LOS
Laitinen et al 1999 ¹⁴	Amrinone vs dopamine & nitroglycerin.	35 Neonates after arterial switch operation	Amrinone provided better CO, lower SVR, and lower oxygen consumption	Not available	No difference in duration of MV, or LOS
Momeni et al 2011 ¹⁵	Levosimendan vs Milrinone.	36 children after congenital heart surgery	No difference in lactate 4 hours postop. Less oxygen demand with levosimendan	Not available	Not available
Lechner et al 2012 ¹⁶	Levosimendan (0.1) vs Milrinone (0.5).	40 infants after corrective open heart surgery	Postoperative CO similar (transesophageal Doppler). HR, systemic arterial pressure, LAP, MvsO ₂ , lactate, fractional shortening and NIRS were all similar.	Not available	No difference in duration of MV or LOS
Ebade et al 2013 ¹⁷	Levosimendan vs Dobutamine.	50 children with pulmonary hypertension undergoing surgical repair of cardiac septal defects	Both significantly decreased PAP and CI. But levosimendan was more potent	No mortality	No difference in duration of MV or ICU LOS

(continued)

Table 7. (continued)

Author, Year of Publication	Inotropes	Subjects	Results	Effect on Mortality?	Effect on Mechanical Ventilation or LOS?
Ricci et al 2012 ¹⁸	Levosimendan & Milrinone & dopamine vs Milrinone & dopamine.	63 newborns after cardiac surgery	LCOS in 37% of levosimendan patients and in 61% of standard regimen ($P = .059$). Lower lactate, heart rate, and inotrope score in levosimendan group.	No improvement in mortality	No improvement in duration of MV or LOS
Pellicer et al 2013 ¹⁹	Levosimendan vs Milrinone.	20 children undergoing cardiovascular surgery	Infants receiving Levosimendan had higher peripheral oxygenation (NIRS) and lower inotrope scores. No differences in pro-BNP, troponin, or echo findings.	Not available	Not available
Costello et al 2014 ²⁰	Nesiritide vs Milrinone vs Placebo.	106 children after Fontan surgery	No difference in median days alive. No difference in cardiac index, inotropes scores	No difference	No difference in duration of MV or LOS
Cai et al 2008 ²¹	Nitric oxide vs Milrinone vs nitric oxide & Milrinone	64 children with high PVR following Fontan surgery	Combination of nitric oxide & Milrinone led to most significant reduction in PVR and improvement of oxygenation. All three combinations significantly improved systemic circulation.	No mortality	Time on MV was shortest in combined iNO and milrinone group. No difference in LOS.
Bettendorf et al. 2000 ²²	Triiodothyronine vs placebo	40 children after cardiac surgery	CI and systolic function improved significantly	Not available	No significant difference in duration of MV or LOS
Chowdhury et al 2001 ²³	Triiodothyronine vs placebo	75 children after cardiac surgery	No difference in outcome measures. Significantly lower inotrope score only in newborn subgroup	Not available	No effect on LOS or duration of MV

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable (because of the design of some trials mortality could not be studied); MV, mechanical ventilation; LOS, length of stay; Vs, versus; CO, cardiac output; CI, cardiac index; iNO, inhaled nitric oxide; LCOS, low cardiac output syndrome; PVR, pulmonary vascular resistance; NIRS, near-infrared spectrometry; SVR, systemic vascular resistance; PAP, pulmonary artery pressure; PCWP, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure; Qp/Qs, pulmonary to systemic blood flow ratio; CPB, cardiopulmonary bypass; HLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome.

^aAll are randomized controlled trials unless otherwise mentioned.

inotropes was found on important outcome measures such as duration of MV and LOS.

Discussion

This is the first international survey of the use of inotropes and intensive care management after pediatric cardiac surgery. There have been one Italian and one European survey concerning pediatric LCOS.²⁴⁻²⁶

Prevention of Low Cardiac Output Syndrome

The results of this survey show that, worldwide, milrinone is being used by 97% of respondents for the prevention of LCOS in children (Table 2). The other drugs used are adrenaline/epinephrine (45%), dopamine (38%), dobutamine (11%), and/or levosimendan (5%). These results include the use of multiple drugs. This diversity in pharmacological approaches reflects the current lack of sufficient guiding evidence in the literature.³

In 2011, a European survey also reported a marked variability with milrinone as the most used inotrope (71%) in pediatric cardiac surgery patients which is significantly fewer than 97% in our survey.²⁴ In their survey, dopamine (19%) and

adrenaline (16%) were used much less frequently than in our survey (45% and 38%, respectively). A recent single-country survey reported that a combination of dopamine and milrinone was the most frequent drug regimen for prevention of LCOS after congenital heart surgery in Italy.²⁶ This difference in the use of inotropes could reflect differences in European and American approach to the use of inotropes as most of our respondents were North American or Canadian. Due to the design of our study and the survey engine used, it was not possible to do a subanalysis and compare continents.

Improving Cardiac Output

To improve postoperative cardiac output, there is more diversity in inotrope use, whereby milrinone, adrenaline/epinephrine, dopamine, and dobutamine are mostly used (Table 4). In the European and Italian surveys, milrinone was most often used for the treatment of LCOS with elevated SVR or elevated PVR.^{25,26} In the European study, dobutamine is preferred for the treatment of LCOS with low SVR, but adrenaline/epinephrine or dopamine were first and second choice in the Italian survey. In this current survey, the authors did not specify the different kinds of LCOS (high SVR, low SVR).

Thus, in this and other surveys, multiple drugs are used for the prevention and treatment of LCOS in pediatric patients after cardiac surgery. But there seems to be a clear preference for milrinone despite the lack of compelling evidence for its benefit over other drug regimens.³

The Ideal Inotrope

The ideal inotrope would consistently improve systolic and diastolic cardiac function, decrease afterload, improve cardiac output, have a favorable effect on myocardial oxygen hemodynamics, and improve survival and quality of life, with as little adverse effects and interactions as possible. Furthermore, ideally, different specific inotropes would have proven effects and benefits for specific disease states. Unfortunately, the ideal inotrope does not exist. But the (cardiac) intensivist does have a number of pharmacological options at his or her disposal with well-known hemodynamic effects.²⁷

Milrinone. Multiple studies in adults, and children, have shown the positive hemodynamic effects in response to milrinone, an effect not seen in preterm infants.²⁸⁻³⁴ Milrinone is a phosphodiesterase inhibitor and improves contractility by inhibiting the breakdown of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP).²⁹ Other effects are afterload reduction and improved diastolic function (lusotropy) with minimal increase in myocardial oxygen consumption. Together these effects lead to an increased cardiac index and decrease in the mean arterial blood pressure (MAP). Milrinone has a relatively long half-life of 2 to 4 hours.^{35,36} Stocker et al showed that milrinone can maintain normal cardiac output in an animal model of congenital heart surgery.¹¹

Milrinone in the pediatric population was first described in 1995 by Chang et al in ten neonates following cardiac surgery.³⁷ The authors, using a thermodilution pulmonary artery catheter (Baxter Edwards Critical-Care, Irvine, CA), measured an increase in cardiac index from 2.1 (\pm 0.5) to 3.0 (\pm 0.8) L/m²/min with the use of 0.5 μ g/kg/min milrinone and 3 to 7 μ g/kg/min dopamine, without increased myocardial oxygen consumption. This was not a controlled trial and they did not comment on clinical outcomes. Then in 1998, a pharmacokinetic study in 19 infants and children reported therapeutic plasma levels with both 0.5 and 0.75 μ g/kg/min milrinone with reduced clearance compared to adults, especially in infants.³⁸ They also did not report on clinical outcomes.

In the landmark Primacor study, by Hoffman et al, a high-dose milrinone (0.75 μ g/kg/min) led to a significant reduction in surrogate markers of low cardiac output by 55% in children after biventricular repair.² However, there was no difference in lactate, duration of MV, hospital LOS, or mortality. Low-dose milrinone (0.25 μ g/kg/min) showed no benefit compared to placebo. Unfortunately the dose of milrinone used was not addressed in the current survey. It might be that underdosing of milrinone can explain the lack of positive effects on clinically relevant outcomes.³⁹

A pharmacological study in 2013 showed that a median dose of milrinone of 0.5 μ g/kg/min led to either sub- or

supratherapeutic levels (<100 and >300 ng/mL, respectively) in 52% of the patients.⁴⁰ The authors report that there is an association between LCOS (defined as lactate > 2 mmol/L) and supratherapeutic milrinone blood levels, there was no association between subtherapeutic blood levels and LCOS. There was a higher incidence of arrhythmias with milrinone levels >200 ng/mL, but otherwise no correlation was found between blood levels and clinical outcomes such as hypotension, tachycardia, or lactate. However, milrinone dosing was not protocolized and given at the discretion of the treating physician, which may have confounded the results. The authors report an important suboptimal use of milrinone but do not comment on clinical outcome measures such as duration of MV, hospital LOS, and/or mortality.⁴⁰

A pharmacokinetic drug-disease model, reported by Vogt et al, also suggests that the current dosage for prevention as well as for treatment of LCOS might not be sufficient and suggests dosing should be age stratified.³⁹ Another pharmacological study conversely suggested the use of lower dose milrinone (0.2 μ g/kg/min) because of drug accumulation post CPB surgery in children.⁴¹ The effects of these age-related doses on the cardiac output and clinical outcomes remain to be investigated.

The only study in which milrinone improved an important clinical outcome was reported by Cai et al who showed that in 46 children following Fontan-type surgery, combined use of iNO and milrinone (0.5 μ g/kg/min) did significantly reduce the duration of MV.²¹ Length of hospital stay, however, was not affected.

In adults there have been concerns of chronic use of milrinone increasing mortality.^{42,43} However, a more recent meta-analysis of 20 randomized trials showed no increased mortality due to milrinone in adult patients undergoing cardiac surgery.⁴⁴ But the higher-quality trials did suggest a trend toward increased mortality with milrinone. Although pediatric studies were included, no pediatric subanalysis was performed. Adults with chronic heart failure are very different than young children with congenital heart defects following surgery, but unlimited use of milrinone might not be without harm.

Therefore, we can conclude from the literature that there is insufficient evidence that milrinone improves clinical outcomes post pediatric heart surgery, a finding confirmed by a recent Cochrane review.³

However, despite this lack of evidence, the positive hemodynamic effects of milrinone do lead to a widespread use as shown in our and other surveys.²⁴⁻²⁶ One of the hemodynamic effects is vasodilation with afterload reduction leading to improved cardiac output, however, there is also a high concomitant use of vasopressors as 55% report the use of noradrenaline and 43% use vasopressin to treat low SVR and blood pressure in our survey. Therefore, we must ask ourselves how much of the beneficial effect of milrinone is being counteracted by the use of vasopressors. This may be a reason why no study has yet been able to report a positive effect on clinical outcomes.

Adrenaline/Epinephrine. Epinephrine or adrenaline, is an endogenous catecholamine with dose-dependent effects. At lower

doses (0.05-0.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$), it stimulates the beta-adrenergic receptors leading to increased contractility, increased heart rate, and afterload reduction through peripheral vasodilation.^{45,46} At higher doses ($>0.1 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$), it also stimulates alpha-adrenergic receptors leading to vasoconstriction. The stimulation of the beta-receptors leads to an increased calcium influx into the myocardial cell and increased cAMP, at the cost of increased oxygen consumption. In 1979, Benzing et al reported that the cardiac index in 13 children after cardiac surgery increased significantly when epinephrine was added to sodium nitroprusside, without a significant change in systemic resistance.⁴⁷

In animal models of single ventricle physiology, adrenaline increased cardiac output, systemic perfusion, and systemic oxygen delivery.^{12,48} Despite the fact that there are no randomized controlled trials with adrenaline in pediatric heart surgery, 45% and 40% of respondents use adrenaline for prevention and treatment of LCOS, respectively, in this survey.

Dobutamine. Dobutamine is a synthetic catecholamine, with a short half-life of two minutes, which asserts its effect through beta receptors, stimulating a calcium influx and increase in cAMP. It has positive inotropic and chronotropic effects with peripheral systemic vasodilatation and no pulmonary vasodilatation.^{8,49-52} Important side effects are arrhythmias and increased myocardial oxygen consumption. Several adult and pediatric studies have found that dobutamine led to an increase in cardiac index in patients who underwent cardiac surgery, due to an increase in heart rate without an increase in stroke volume.^{8,34,49} Myocardial oxygen uptake and coronary blood flow is increased with dobutamine.⁵³ In an animal model, Ferrara has found that dobutamine at higher dosage produced an increase in cardiac output only in term animals compared to preterm animals, with a significant increase in mean systemic arterial blood pressure.⁵⁴ When compared to enoximone, dobutamine also increased the MAP, but there was no difference in cardiac output in a study of 28 children following heart surgery.¹⁰ The authors did not comment on mortality, duration of MV, or LOS. There is, to our knowledge, no literature on the effect of dobutamine on clinically relevant outcomes in children after heart surgery despite its well-known hemodynamic effects. Dobutamine to prevent LCOS is used routinely by 11% in this survey.

Dopamine. Dopamine also has dose-dependent actions but with relatively unpredictable dose response.^{5,55} In low doses ($<5 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$) dopamine acts on dopaminergic receptors inducing natriuresis, diuresis, and increased mesenteric flow.^{54,56} In medium dose (5-15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$) dopamine stimulates beta1-adrenergic receptors increasing cardiac output through chronotropy (mild effect), inotropy, and afterload reduction and increases glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and urine output through via dopaminergic receptors.⁵⁷ At high doses ($>15 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$) peripheral resistance increased via alpha-receptors leading to an increased MAP. Side effects are increased myocardial oxygen consumption, arrhythmias,

hypothyroidism, and impaired T-lymphocyte proliferation.^{55,58,59} In one adult study comparing dobutamine with dopamine, both drugs increased myocardial oxygen uptake but dopamine seemed to cause coronary constriction.⁵³ In children, an increase in pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) and PVR is seen with dopamine in a dose higher than 7.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{min}$.⁵ Dopamine also causes an increase in cerebral, cardiac, and intestinal blood flow in term and preterm animals, which is dose dependent.⁵⁴ Dopamine has not been proven useful in the prevention or alteration of the course of acute renal failure.⁶⁰ When compared to dobutamine, there was no difference in renal function or urine output in 142 children after heart surgery.⁶ Again, to our knowledge, there is no literature on the effect of dopamine on clinically relevant outcomes in children after heart surgery. However, because of its hemodynamic effects, it is used to prevent LCOS by 38% of respondents in this survey.

Other drugs and strategies. Levosimendan, a calcium-sensitizer, is a relatively new inotrope and one of the most studied.⁶¹⁻⁶⁴ Levosimendan has a half-life of 1 hour (in adults) and is metabolized into an active metabolite, OR-1896, with a much longer half-life of 75 to 80 hours.^{65,66} Both levosimendan and OR-1896 improve myocardial contractility by making troponin C more sensitive to the available intracellular calcium during systole, without affecting diastolic function.⁶⁷ It does not cause chronotropy and does not increase myocardial oxygen demand.^{68,69} By stimulating potassium channels in vascular smooth muscle cells, levosimendan causes peripheral, coronary, and pulmonary vasodilatation.^{70,71} Because of these effects, levosimendan could be useful in children with congenital heart disease.⁷² The most important side effect is hypotension due to peripheral vasodilatation. Levosimendan improves outcomes in adults with acute decompensation of chronic heart failure, and in adults with poor left ventricle function undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery.^{63,64,73} In neonatal and infant congenital cardiac surgery patients, levosimendan was shown to be as efficacious as milrinone.^{15,16} However, myocardial oxygen demand was significantly lower in the levosimendan group. In a pediatric study, levosimendan lowered PAP and increased cardiac index significantly more than dobutamine.¹⁷ But when added to a standard postoperative protocol of milrinone and dopamine, levosimendan did not improve mortality, duration of MV, or hospital LOS in one study of 63 neonates.¹⁸ After heart surgery, levosimendan improved peripheral oxygenation (NIRS) and lowered inotrope scores in 20 children.¹⁹ The current level of evidence is insufficient to judge whether prophylactic levosimendan prevents LCOS and mortality in pediatric patients undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease.⁷⁴ The use of levosimendan is reported by 5% of respondents of this survey. Currently, there are three studies registered at clinicaltrials.gov comparing milrinone with levosimendan in neonatal cardiac surgery (NCT00549107, NCT01576094, and NCT00695929).

Calciumgluconate is often used based on the knowledge that the neonatal myocardium is calcium dependent. It increases MAP and SVR, probably due to peripheral vasoconstriction

but has been shown not to increase cardiac index in adults or in premature neonates.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ The only report of calcium gluconate increasing myocardial contractility and cardiac output was in healthy horses without changing filling pressures or MAP.⁷⁸

Hypothyroidism, with prolonged ICU LOS, is well described in adults and children following CPB.^{23,79-81} Triiodothyronin supplementation may be a useful adjunct in the management of patients after CPB, improving cardiac index, cardiac function, and inotrope requirements, but its positive effect on clinical outcomes has not been established.^{22,23,82,83}

New inotropes like istaroxime, nesiritide, apelin, or ome-camtiv mecarbil have shown some beneficial hemodynamic effects in adults, but there is too limited evidence in children at this time.²⁰ Costello et al compared nesiritide with milrinone and placebo in 106 children following Fontan operation and found no difference in cardiac index or survival.²⁰ None of the respondents in this survey reported their use.

Amrinone, a phosphodiesterase inhibitor, is not being used anymore but has been shown to increase cardiac output in children following heart surgery.^{9,13,14}

Postoperative Temperature Control

The use of hypothermia during heart surgery is well established, decreasing oxygen demand. Following congenital heart surgery, moderate hypothermia (32°C-33°C) has been used in children with refractory low cardiac output state.⁸⁴ In the retrospective study, cooling led to a significant increase in MAP, pH, and urine output and a decrease in heart rate, right atrial pressure, and platelet count.

Hyperthermia is known to increase oxygen consumption by 11% for every 1°C rise in temperature above 36°C.⁸⁵ Therefore, it has been advocated that normothermia should be maintained in children after cardiac surgery.⁵⁵ Most respondents of this survey routinely prevent hyperthermia or try to achieve a temperature below 37°C. Only 9% report to target 35°C to 36°C degrees routinely after congenital heart surgery with DHCA. Whether targeting hypothermia will improve outcomes remains to be investigated. At the moment no clinical trials comparing postoperative temperature management are registered at clinicaltrials.gov. The use of hypothermia for heart rate control is beyond the scope of this survey and review.

Future Research

It would be very interesting to investigate the difference between milrinone and other inotropes on mortality, duration of MV, and LOS in future randomized trials. These multicenter trials need to be large enough, which can be a challenge requiring strict study protocols as many other factors may influence cardiac output postoperatively (eg, anesthesia, surgical techniques, CPB management and duration, postoperative sedation, extubation management, fluid management, and ICU treatment goals such as temperature, pH, blood pressure, etc). Furthermore, these studies have to focus on specific congenital defects as different disease states (eg, biventricular vs univentricular

heart disease, VSD vs Fallot, Norwood versus Fontan, etc) will require different inotrope approaches. But first we may have to focus on the pharmacokinetic aspect of inotropes, assessing the effect of inotropic plasma levels on cardiac output and then try to find a dose-effect relationship. This will also need to be done in different age-groups. It will be very hard to convincingly show benefit of one inotrope over another in the many specific situations intensivists are faced with.

Currently three studies are comparing levosimendan and milrinone, hopefully these studies will be large enough to focus on the important clinical outcomes and not only the hemodynamic effects.

Limitations

The survey results are limited by the reliance on the self-reports by the participants. One limitation of the survey was that it did not specifically address the dosage of the different drugs that are being used nor did we address the different types of LCOS. Another limitation is that the survey was set up to determine provider-dependent practice variation rather than center-dependent variation and it was therefore also not possible to compare practice variation between the different continents and/or countries. However, the average number of respondents per center is approximately 1.6, which is consistent for all centers apart from the European and South African centers which both had one respondent per center. Therefore, the practice variation we identified in all respondents also reflects practice variation in the different centers and probably indicates that practice variation is more center dependent than provider dependent.

Conclusions

There are several inotropes available to the (cardiac) intensivist with, more or less, well described hemodynamic effects. The use of these inotropes seems very logical and appropriate in the prevention and treatment of low cardiac output states following pediatric heart surgery. Different inotropes can be used for different clinical situations, but the choice of inotrope is up to the treating physician as there is a lack of compelling evidence in the literature favoring one inotrope over another or even placebo. Despite this currently insufficient evidence on clinically relevant outcomes, milrinone is, by far, most often used for the treatment and prevention of low cardiac output in children worldwide.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Peter P. Roeleveld, MD  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5491-9408>

References

- Wernovsky G, Wypij D, Jonas RA, et al. Postoperative course and hemodynamic profile after the arterial switch operation in neonates and infants. A comparison of low-flow cardiopulmonary bypass and circulatory arrest. *Circulation*. 1995;92(8): 2226-2235.
- Hoffman TM, Wernovsky G, Atz AM, et al. Efficacy and safety of milrinone in preventing low cardiac output syndrome in infants and children after corrective surgery for congenital heart disease. *Circulation*. 2003;107(7): 996-1002.
- Burkhardt BE, Rucker G, Stiller B. Prophylactic milrinone for the prevention of low cardiac output syndrome and mortality in children undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015(3):CD009515.
- Roeleveld PP, Zwijsen EG. Treatment Strategies for Paradoxical Hypertension Following Surgical Correction of Coarctation of the Aorta in Children. *World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg*. 2017; 8(3): 321-331.
- Booker PD, Evans C, Franks R. Comparison of the haemodynamic effects of dopamine and dobutamine in young children undergoing cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 1995;74(4): 419-423.
- Wenstone R, Campbell JM, Booker PD, McKay R. Renal function after cardiopulmonary bypass in children: comparison of dopamine with dobutamine. *Br J Anaesth*. 1991;67(5): 591-594.
- Kwapisz MM, Neuhauser C, Scholz S, et al. Hemodynamic effects of dobutamine and dopexamine after cardiopulmonary bypass in pediatric cardiac surgery. *Pediatr Anesth*. 2009;19(9): 862-871.
- Jaccard C, Berner M, Rouge JC, Oberhansli I, Friedli B. Hemodynamic effect of isoprenaline and dobutamine immediately after correction of tetralogy of Fallot. Relative importance of inotropic and chronotropic action in supporting cardiac output. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 1984;87(6): 862-869.
- Bailey JM, Miller BE, Kanter KR, Tosone SR, Tam VK. A comparison of the hemodynamic effects of amrinone and sodium nitroprusside in infants after cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 1997;84(2): 294-298.
- Innes PA, Frazer RS, Booker PD, et al. Comparison of the haemodynamic effects of dobutamine with enoximone after open heart surgery in small children. *Br J Anaesth*. 1994;72(1): 77-81.
- Stocker CF, Shekerdemian LS, Norgaard MA, et al. Mechanisms of a reduced cardiac output and the effects of milrinone and levosimendan in a model of infant cardiopulmonary bypass. *Crit Care Med*. 2007;35(1): 252-259.
- Riordan CJ, Randsbaek F, Storey JH, Montgomery WD, Santamore WP, Austin EH III. Inotropes in the hypoplastic left heart syndrome: effects in an animal model. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1996; 62(1): 83-90.
- Laitinen P, Happonen JM, Sairanen H, et al. Amrinone versus dopamine-nitroglycerin after reconstructive surgery for complete atrioventricular septal defect. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 1997; 11(7): 870-874.
- Laitinen P, Happonen JM, Sairanen H, Peltola K, Rautiainen P. Amrinone versus dopamine and nitroglycerin in neonates after arterial switch operation for transposition of the great arteries. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 1999;13(2): 186-190.
- Momeni M, Rubay J, Matta A, et al. Levosimendan in congenital cardiac surgery: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2011;25(3): 419-424.
- Lechner E, Hofer A, Leitner-Peneder G, et al. Levosimendan versus milrinone in neonates and infants after corrective open-heart surgery: a pilot study. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2012;13(5): 542-548.
- Ebade AA, Khalil MA, Mohamed AK. Levosimendan is superior to dobutamine as an inodilator in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension for children undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Anesth*. 2013;27(3): 334-339.
- Ricci Z, Garisto C, Favia I, Vitale V, Di Chiara L, Cogo PE. Levosimendan infusion in newborns after corrective surgery for congenital heart disease: randomized controlled trial. *Intensive Care Med*. 2012;38(7): 1198-1204.
- Pellicer A, Riera J, Lopez-Ortego P, et al. Phase 1 study of two inodilators in neonates undergoing cardiovascular surgery. *Pediatr Res*. 2013;73(1): 95-103.
- Costello JM, Dunbar-Masterson C, Allan CK, et al. Impact of empiric nesiritide or milrinone infusion on early postoperative recovery after Fontan surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2014;7(4): 596-604.
- Cai J, Su Z, Shi Z, et al. Nitric oxide and milrinone: combined effect on pulmonary circulation after Fontan-type procedure: a prospective, randomized study. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2008;86(3): 882-888; discussion -8.
- Bettendorf M, Schmidt KG, Grulich-Henn J, Ulmer HE, Heinrich UE. Tri-iodothyronine treatment in children after cardiac surgery: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled study. *Lancet*. 2000;356(9229): 529-534.
- Chowdhury D, Ojamaa K, Parnell VA, McMahon C, Sison CP, Klein I. A prospective randomized clinical study of thyroid hormone treatment after operations for complex congenital heart disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2001;122(5): 1023-1025.
- Vogt W, Laer S. Prevention for pediatric low cardiac output syndrome: results from the European survey EuLoCOS-Paed. *Paediatr Anaesth*. 2011;21(12): 1176-1184.
- Vogt W, Laer S. Treatment for paediatric low cardiac output syndrome: results from the European EuLoCOS-Paed survey. *Arch Dis Child*. 2011;96(12): 1180-1186.
- Rizza A, Bignami E, Belletti A, et al. Vasoactive drugs and hemodynamic monitoring in pediatric cardiac intensive care: an Italian survey. *World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg*. 2016;7(1): 25-31.
- Shekerdemian L. Perioperative manipulation of the circulation in children with congenital heart disease. *Heart*. 2009;95(15): 1286-1296.
- Paradis M, Evans N, Kluckow M, Osborn D. Randomized trial of milrinone versus placebo for prevention of low systemic blood flow in very preterm infants. *J Pediatr*. 2009;154(2): 189-195.
- Yano M, Kohno M, Ohkusa T, et al. Effect of milrinone on left ventricular relaxation and Ca(2+) uptake function of cardiac sarcoplasmic reticulum. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2000; 279(4): H1898-H1905.

30. Baim DS, McDowell AV, Cherniles J, et al. Evaluation of a new bipyridine inotropic agent—milrinone—in patients with severe congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 1983;309(13): 748-756.
31. Colucci WS, Wright RF, Jaski BE, Fifer MA, Braunwald E. Milrinone and dobutamine in severe heart failure: differing hemodynamic effects and individual patient responsiveness. *Circulation.* 1986;73(3 pt 2): III175-III183.
32. Monrad ES, Baim DS, Smith HS, Lanoue AS. Milrinone, dobutamine, and nitroprusside: comparative effects on hemodynamics and myocardial energetics in patients with severe congestive heart failure. *Circulation.* 1986;73(3 pt 2): III168-III174.
33. George M, Lehot JJ, Estanove S. Haemodynamic and biological effects of intravenous milrinone in patients with a low cardiac output syndrome following cardiac surgery: multicentre study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl.* 1992;5: 31-34.
34. Feneck RO, Sherry KM, Withington PS, Oduro-Dominah A; European Milrinone Multicenter Trial Group. Comparison of the hemodynamic effects of milrinone with dobutamine in patients after cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2001;15(3): 306-315.
35. McNamara PJ, Shivananda SP, Sahni M, Freeman D, Taddio A. Pharmacology of milrinone in neonates with persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn and suboptimal response to inhaled nitric oxide. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2013;14(1): 74-84.
36. Lehtonen LA, Antila S, Pentikainen PJ. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of intravenous inotropic agents. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2004;43(3): 187-203.
37. Chang AC, Atz AM, Wernovsky G, Burke RP, Wessel DL. Milrinone: systemic and pulmonary hemodynamic effects in neonates after cardiac surgery. *Crit Care Med.* 1995;23(11): 1907-1914.
38. Ramamoorthy C, Anderson GD, Williams GD, Lynn AM. Pharmacokinetics and side effects of milrinone in infants and children after open heart surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 1998;86(2): 283-289.
39. Vogt W. Evaluation and optimisation of current milrinone prescribing for the treatment and prevention of low cardiac output syndrome in paediatric patients after open heart surgery using a physiology-based pharmacokinetic drug-disease model. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 2014;53(1): 51-72.
40. Garcia Guerra G, Joffe AR, Senthilselvan A, Kutsogiannis DJ, Parshuram CS. Incidence of milrinone blood levels outside the therapeutic range and their relevance in children after cardiac surgery for congenital heart disease. *Intensive Care Med.* 2013; 39(5): 951-957.
41. Zuppa AF, Nicolson SC, Adamson PC, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of milrinone in neonates with hypoplastic left heart syndrome undergoing stage I reconstruction. *Anesth Analg.* 2006;102(4): 1062-1069.
42. Packer M, Carver JR, Rodeheffer RJ, et al. Effect of oral milrinone on mortality in severe chronic heart failure. The PROMISE Study Research Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1991;325(21): 1468-1475.
43. Zangrillo A, Biondi-Zoccai G, Ponschab M, et al. Milrinone and mortality in adult cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2012;26(1): 70-77.
44. Majure DT, Greco T, Greco M, et al. Meta-analysis of randomized trials of effect of milrinone on mortality in cardiac surgery: an update. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2013;27(2): 220-229.
45. Oualha M, Urien S, Spreux-Varoquaux O, et al. Pharmacokinetics, hemodynamic and metabolic effects of epinephrine to prevent post-operative low cardiac output syndrome in children. *Crit Care.* 2014;18(1): R23.
46. Gunnicker M, Brinkmann M, Donovan TJ, Freund U, Schieffer M, Reidemeister JC. The efficacy of amrinone or adrenaline on low cardiac output following cardiopulmonary bypass in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing preoperative beta-blockade. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1995;43(3): 153-160.
47. Benzing G III, Helmsworth JA, Schreiber JT, Kaplan S. Nitroprusside and epinephrine for treatment of low output in children after open-heart surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1979;27(6): 523-528.
48. Reddy VM, Liddicoat JR, McElhinney DB, et al. Hemodynamic effects of epinephrine, bicarbonate and calcium in the early post-natal period in a lamb model of single-ventricle physiology created in utero. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 1996;28(7): 1877-1883.
49. Alvarez J, Bouzada M, Fernandez AL, et al. Hemodynamic effects of levosimendan compared with dobutamine in patients with low cardiac output after cardiac surgery[in Spanish]. *Rev Esp Cardiol.* 2006;59(4): 338-345.
50. Zaritsky A, Chernow B. Use of catecholamines in pediatrics. *J Pediatr.* 1984;105(3): 341-350.
51. Martikainen TJ, Uusaro A, Tenhunen JJ, Ruokonen E. Dobutamine compensates deleterious hemodynamic and metabolic effects of vasopressin in the splanchnic region in endotoxin shock. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2004;48(8): 935-943.
52. Harada K, Tamura M, Ito T, Suzuki T, Takada G. Effects of low-dose dobutamine on left ventricular diastolic filling in children. *Pediatr Cardiol.* 1996;17(4): 220-225.
53. Fowler MB, Alderman EL, Oesterle SN, et al. Dobutamine and dopamine after cardiac surgery: greater augmentation of myocardial blood flow with dobutamine. *Circulation.* 1984;70(3 pt 2): I103-I111.
54. Ferrara JJ, Dyess DL, Peeples GL, et al. Effects of dopamine and dobutamine on regional blood flow distribution in the neonatal piglet. *Ann Surg.* 1995;221(5): 531-540; discussion 540-542.
55. Li J, Zhang G, Holtby H, et al. Adverse effects of dopamine on systemic hemodynamic status and oxygen transport in neonates after the Norwood procedure. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006;48(9): 1859-1864.
56. Mitchell IM, Pollock JC, Jamieson MP. Effects of dopamine on liver blood flow in children with congenital heart disease. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1995;60(6): 1741-1744.
57. Outwater KM, Treves ST, Lang P, Castaneda AR, Crone RK. Renal and hemodynamic effects of dopamine in infants following cardiac surgery. *J Clin Anesth.* 1990;2(4): 253-257.
58. Eldadah MK, Schwartz PH, Harrison R, Newth CJ. Pharmacokinetics of dopamine in infants and children. *Crit Care Med.* 1991; 19(8): 1008-1011.
59. Leclerc F, Sadik A. Is there still a place for dopamine in the paediatric critical care setting?[in French]. *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim.* 2007;26(6): 576-580.
60. Schenarts PJ, Sagraves SG, Bard MR, et al. Low-dose dopamine: a physiologically based review. *Curr Surg.* 2006;63(3): 219-225.
61. Namachivayam P, Crossland DS, Butt WW, Shekerdemian LS. Early experience with Levosimendan in children with ventricular dysfunction. *Pediatr Crit Care Med.* 2006;7(5): 445-448.

62. Egan JR, Clarke AJ, Williams S, et al. Levosimendan for low cardiac output: a pediatric experience. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2006;21(3): 183-187.
63. Landoni G, Mizzi A, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Levosimendan reduces mortality in critically ill patients. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *Minerva Anestesiol.* 2010;76(4): 276-286.
64. Landoni G, Mizzi A, Biondi-Zoccai G, et al. Reducing mortality in cardiac surgery with levosimendan: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2010;24(1): 51-57.
65. Papp Z, Csapo K, Pollesello P, Haikala H, Edes I. Pharmacological mechanisms contributing to the clinical efficacy of levosimendan. *Cardiovasc Drug Rev.* 2005;23(1): 71-98.
66. Kivikko M, Antila S, Eha J, Lehtonen L, Pentikainen PJ. Pharmacokinetics of levosimendan and its metabolites during and after a 24-hour continuous infusion in patients with severe heart failure. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2002;40(10): 465-471.
67. Antoniadis C, Tousoulis D, Koumallos N, Marinou K, Stefanadis C. Levosimendan: beyond its simple inotropic effect in heart failure. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2007;114(2): 184-197.
68. Schwarte LA, Picker O, Bornstein SR, Fournell A, Scheeren TW. Levosimendan is superior to milrinone and dobutamine in selectively increasing microvascular gastric mucosal oxygenation in dogs. *Crit Care Med.* 2005;33(1): 135-142; discussion 246-247.
69. Kaheinen P, Pollesello P, Levijoki J, Haikala H. Effects of levosimendan and milrinone on oxygen consumption in isolated guinea-pig heart. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 2004;43(4): 555-561.
70. Harkin CP, Pagel PS, Tessmer JP, Wartier DC. Systemic and coronary hemodynamic actions and left ventricular functional effects of levosimendan in conscious dogs. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 1995;26(2): 179-188.
71. Kaheinen P, Pollesello P, Levijoki J, Haikala H. Levosimendan increases diastolic coronary flow in isolated guinea-pig heart by opening ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol.* 2001;37(4): 367-374.
72. Raja SG, Rayen BS. Levosimendan in cardiac surgery: current best available evidence. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2006;81(4): 1536-1546.
73. Lim JY, Deo SV, Rababa'h A, et al. Levosimendan reduces mortality in adults with left ventricular dysfunction undergoing cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Card Surg.* 2015;30(7): 547-554.
74. Hummel J, Rücker G, Stiller B. Prophylactic levosimendan for the prevention of low cardiac output syndrome and mortality in paediatric patients undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017;3: CD011312.
75. Goertz AW, Lass M, Schutz W, Schirmer U, Beyer M, Georgieff M. Influence of intravenous calcium gluconate on saphenous vein graft flow in closed-chest patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 1994;8(5): 541-544.
76. Venkataraman PS, Wilson DA, Sheldon RE, Rao R, Parker MK. Effect of hypocalcemia on cardiac function in very-low-birth-weight preterm neonates: studies of blood ionized calcium, echocardiography, and cardiac effect of intravenous calcium therapy. *Pediatrics.* 1985;76(4): 543-550.
77. Zaloga GP, Strickland RA, Butterworth JFt, Mark LJ, Mills SA, Lake CR. Calcium attenuates epinephrine's beta-adrenergic effects in postoperative heart surgery patients. *Circulation.* 1990;81(1): 196-200.
78. Grubb TL, Foreman JH, Benson GJ, et al. Hemodynamic effects of calcium gluconate administered to conscious horses. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1996;10(6): 401-404.
79. Murzi B, Iervasi G, Masini S, et al. Thyroid hormones homeostasis in pediatric patients during and after cardiopulmonary bypass. *Annals Thorac Surg.* 1995;59(2): 481-485.
80. Bettendorf M, Schmidt KG, Tiefenbacher U, Grulich-Henn J, Heinrich UE, Schonberg DK. Transient secondary hypothyroidism in children after cardiac surgery. *Pediatr Res.* 1997;41(3): 375-379.
81. Portman MA, Fearneyhough C, Ning XH, Duncan BW, Rosenthal GL, Lupinetti FM. Triiodothyronine repletion in infants during cardiopulmonary bypass for congenital heart disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2000;120(3): 604-608.
82. Mainwaring RD, Capparelli E, Schell K, Acosta M, Nelson JC. Pharmacokinetic evaluation of triiodothyronine supplementation in children after modified Fontan procedure. *Circulation.* 2000;101(12): 1423-1429.
83. Dimmick SJ, Badawi N, Randell T. Thyroid hormone supplementation for the prevention of morbidity and mortality in infants undergoing cardiac surgery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews.* 2004;(3). Art. No.: CD004220. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004220.pub2.
84. Deakin CD, Knight H, Edwards JC, et al. Induced hypothermia in the postoperative management of refractory cardiac failure following paediatric cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia.* 1998;53(9): 848-853.
85. Li J, Schulze-Neick I, et al. Oxygen consumption after cardiopulmonary bypass surgery in children: determinants and implications. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2000;119(3): 525-533.