ORIGINAL ARTICLE

ExomeChip-Wide Analysis of 95 626 Individuals Identifies 10 Novel Loci Associated With QT and JT Intervals

See **Editorial by Bos and Pereira**

Nathan A. Bihlmeyer, PhD et al

BACKGROUND: QT interval, measured through a standard ECG, captures the time it takes for the cardiac ventricles to depolarize and repolarize. JT interval is the component of the QT interval that reflects ventricular repolarization alone. Prolonged QT interval has been linked to higher risk of sudden cardiac arrest.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We performed an ExomeChip-wide analysis for both QT and JT intervals, including 209 449 variants, both common and rare, in 17 341 genes from the Illumina Infinium HumanExome BeadChip. We identified 10 loci that modulate QT and JT interval duration that have not been previously reported in the literature using single-variant statistical models in a meta-analysis of 95 626 individuals from 23 cohorts (comprised 83 884 European ancestry individuals, 9610 blacks, 1382 Hispanics, and 750 Asians). This brings the total number of ventricular repolarization associated loci to 45. In addition, our approach of using coding variants has highlighted the role of 17 specific genes for involvement in ventricular repolarization, 7 of which are in novel loci.

CONCLUSIONS: Our analyses show a role for myocyte internal structure and interconnections in modulating QT interval duration, adding to previous known roles of potassium, sodium, and calcium ion regulation, as well as autonomic control. We anticipate that these discoveries will open new paths to the goal of making novel remedies for the prevention of lethal ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac arrest.

The full author list is available on page 7.

Correspondence to: Dan E. Arking, PhD, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, 733 N. Broadway, MRB 459, Baltimore, MD 21205. E-mail arking@jhmi.edu

Clinical Perspective

Prolonged QT interval has been associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac arrest, a major cause of mortality, with between 180 000 and 450 000 cases of sudden cardiac arrest in the United States of America annually. Because the vast majority of sudden cardiac arrest occurs in the absence of clinical features that would bring a victim to medical attention, identifying additional risk factors and dissecting the pathogenesis of disease are of high importance. In this study, we conduct ExomeChip-wide analyses in 95 626 population-based multiethnic individuals to interrogate the role of a largely unstudied class of variation on ventricular repolarization in the population—coding single nucleotide variants. These variants fill in the gap between the extremely rare large-effect coding variants that result in the Mendelian long- and short-QT syndromes and the common small-effect largely noncoding variation identified through genome-wide association studies. The focus on exons and coding variants has an added benefit of directly implicating genes. Our approach of focusing on coding variants and both QT and JT intervals measures has identified 10 novel loci associated with ventricular repolarization and has implicated 17 specific genes, 7 of which are in novel loci. Our analyses show a role for myocyte internal structure and interconnections in modulating QT interval duration, adding to previous known roles of potassium, sodium, and calcium ion regulation, as well as autonomic control. We anticipate that these discoveries will open new paths to the goal of making novel remedies for the prevention of lethal ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac arrest.

Prolonged QT interval has been associated with increased risk of sudden cardiac arrest (SCA), a major cause of mortality, with between 180 000 and 450 000 cases of SCA in the United States of America annually.[1] Because the vast majority of SCA occurs in the absence of clinical features that would bring a victim to medical attention,[2] identifying additional risk factors and dissecting the pathogenesis of disease are of high importance.

Heritability estimates of QT interval are between 30% and 40%, indicating that genetic variants play a large role in modulating QT interval in the general population.[3] Mendelian syndromes of QT interval (long- and short-QT syndrome), which lead to increased risk of cardiac arrhythmias and SCA, occur in ≈1 in 2000

individuals and are caused by variants in ion channels or their interacting proteins.[4] Previous candidate gene and genome-wide association studies (GWAS), largely screening common noncoding variants, have identified 35 loci containing variants that modestly influence QT interval, the largest of these studies, the QT Interval International GWAS Consortium (QT-IGC),[5] included a discovery population of 76 061 European ancestry individuals.

In this study, we conduct ExomeChip-wide analyses in population-based samples to interrogate the role of a largely unstudied class of variation on ventricular repolarization in the population—coding single nucleotide variants (SNVs). These variants fill in the gap between the extremely rare large-effect coding variants that result in the Mendelian long- and short-QT syndromes and the common small-effect largely noncoding variation identified through GWAS. The focus on exons and coding variants has an added benefit of directly implicating genes. By contrast, noncoding variation typically implicates a region of the genome, often containing multiple genes, and therefore requiring extensive functional experiments to implicate a specific gene. Furthermore, in this study, we examine both QT and JT interval to more comprehensively examine ventricular repolarization. We have previously observed that variation in specific loci can influence ventricular depolarization and repolarization in a concordant fashion.[5,6]

We performed a meta-analysis of 23 cohorts including 95 626 multiethnic individuals comprised 83 884 European ancestry individuals, 9610 blacks, 1382 Hispanics, and 750 Asian individuals (Table I in the in the Data Supplement). Each individual was genotyped for 191 740 coding SNVs in 17 341 genes using the Illumina Infinium HumanExome BeadChip (ExomeChip), along with 17 709 noncoding SNVs of known importance from previous GWAS and variants tiling across the genome. These variants were chosen by evaluating ≈12 000 exome sequences for coding variants that appeared in at least 3 individuals.

METHODS

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results, subject to Data Use/Sharing Agreements adopted by individual participating cohorts. GWAS summary results will be available through the CHARGE Consortium Summary Results webpage available at dbGaP (phs000930).

This study was approved by local institutional review boards, and all participating subjects gave informed consent (detailed ethics statements in the Data Supplement).

SNV Association Tests and Meta-Analysis

Detailed methods are provided in the Data Supplement. Briefly, all cohorts excluded individuals with QRS intervals ≥120 ms, heart rate <40 beats per minute or >120 beats per

minute , left or right bundle branch block, atrial fibrillation on baseline ECG, Wolff–Parkinson–White syndrome, pacemaker, use of class I or class III blocking medication, or pregnant. Clinical characteristics summary statistics for each cohort are provided in Table I in the Data Supplement.

SNV effect size estimates are calculated via standard inverse variance–weighted meta-analysis of results provided by each cohort from a linear association model with QT/JT as the dependent variable, including covariates age, sex, RR interval (inverse heart rate), height, body mass index, and cohort-specific adjustments (principal components, clinic, family structure). Significance is similarly calculated by inverse variance–weighted meta-analysis; however, instead of raw QT/JT as the dependent variable in the linear regression, an inverse rank normal transformation is performed (details in the Data Supplement). These 2 models are used in tandem to avoid P value inflation from the analysis of the rare variants on the ExomeChip while maintaining the easy interpretation of effect sizes in milliseconds. The main analysis included all ethnic groups meta-analyzed together. SNVs with minor allele count <10 were excluded from the meta-analysis. SNVs were considered statistically significant if they exceeded the Bonferroni correction threshold of $P<2\times10^{-7}$.

Use of Functional Variants to Implicate Individual Genes Using Genome-Wide Significance

Genome-wide significance (GwiS) uses a greedy forward selection algorithm to identify independent genetic effects within a given gene/locus.[7] A locus was defined by the SNV with the most significant association±1 megabase. GWiS was run on European-only summary statistics from 22 cohorts (QT, n=83 884; JT, n=80 330), with linkage disequilibrium (LD) estimated from the merged ExomeChip and HapMap-imputed Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) European ancestry data set (n=9537; Data Supplement). An attempt to replace GWiS identified noncoding variants with equivalent coding variants ($r^2>0.8$) did not yield any substitutions.

RESULTS
QT Interval ExomeChip Analysis Identifies 6 Novel Loci

Meta-analysis identified SNVs in 25 loci associated with QT interval at ExomeChip-wide significance ($P<2\times10^{-7}$; Figure I in the Data Supplement). Of these, 19 loci were previously associated with QT interval, and 6 loci were novel (Table 1). At 4 of these novel loci (*PM20D1*, *SLC4A3*, *CASR*, and *NRAP*), the top hit is a nonsynonymous variant. For the 2 novel loci where the index SNV is a noncoding variant, no genes in these loci harbored coding SNVs associated with QT interval. Analyses stratified by ethnicity found similar effect sizes between European ancestry individuals and blacks and same general direction of effects in the much smaller Hispanics (n=1382) and Chinese (n=750) cohorts (Table II and Figure II in the Data Supplement).

Nineteen of the 25 loci associated with QT interval at ExomeChip-wide significance in our study had been associated with QT interval in prior European ancestry GWAS studies (Table 2, *P value). Table 2 detail the 35 known QT loci identified from prior GWAS of European ancestry individuals. Of the 14 previously identified loci for which the most significant SNV in our current study is a coding variant (Table 2, A), 3 loci reached ExomeChip-wide significance in our study (*P value). Of the 21 previously identified loci for which the most significant SNV in our study is a noncoding variant not in LD ($r^2>0.8$) with a nearby coding variant, 16 loci exceeded the significance threshold in our study (Table 2, B, *P value). For 5 of these 16 loci where the top signal was a noncoding SNV, they nonetheless harbored coding variants in ≥1 nearby genes that also reached ExomeChip-wide significance (Table II in the Data Supplement).

Table 1. Six Novel Loci Associated With QT Interval

Nearby Gene	SNV	Chr	Coded/ Noncoded Allele	CAF	Effect in ms (SE)	P Value	Function	Gene(s) With Independent Coding Variation	DEPICT Implicated Gene(s)	eQTL
PM20D1	rs1361754	1	G/A	0.511	0.47 (0.08)	1E-09	Nonsynonymous	*PM20D1*		*PM20D1*,* *NUCKS1*, *RAB7L1*,* *SLC41A1*
SLC4A3	rs55910611	2	A/G	0.006	−3.06 (0.61)	2E-07	Nonsynonymous	*SLC4A3*		
CASR	rs1801725	3	T/G	0.126	−0.58 (0.12)	4E-08	Nonsynonymous	*CASR*		*CSTA*
ZNF37A	rs4934956	10	T/C	0.497	0.58 (0.10)	2E-10	Intergenic			
NRAP	rs3189030	10	A/G	0.299	−0.48 (0.09)	4E-08	Nonsynonymous	*NRAP*	*NRAP*	*CASP7**
GOSR2	rs17608766	17	C/T	0.123	0.72 (0.12)	3E-09	UTR3			*RPRML*

Significance was determined from analysis of inverse rank normal transformed residuals to avoid P value inflation from the analysis of rare variants. Effect size estimates in milliseconds (ms) are reported from untransformed analyses. n=95 626 number of samples. DEPICT[9] genes pass FDR <5% cutoff. Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) genes are pulled from the Genotype-Tissue Expression portal[10,11] using the representative SNV and GWiS independent SNVs. CAF indicates coded allele frequency; DEPICT, Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits; FDR, false discovery rate; GwiS, genome-wide significance; SNV, single-nucleotide variants; and UTR3, three prime untranslated region.

*Gene if the eQTL is in the left ventricle.

Table 2. Thirty-Five Loci Previously Associated With QT Interval

Nearby Gene	SNV	Chr	Coded/ Noncoded Allele	CAF	Effect in ms (SE)	*P* Value	Function	QT-IGC Implicated Gene(s)	Gene(s) With Independent Coding Variation	DEPICT Implicated Gene(s)	eQTL
A, Known QT loci with coding variant as top SNV											
RNF207	rs709209	1	G/A	0.379	1.23 (0.09)	1E-48*	Nonsynonymous	RNF207(c)	RNF207	RNF207	GPR153
SP3	rs1047640	2	C/T	0.120	0.60 (0.12)	3E-06	Nonsynonymous		SP3		
TTN-CCDC141	rs72648998	2	T/C	0.054	1.00 (0.18)	3E-09*	Nonsynonymous	CCDC141(i), TTN(i)	TTN		FKBP7, PRKRA
SPATS2L	rs192861441	2	A/G	0.004	−2.22 (0.67)	3E-04	Nonsynonymous	SPATS2L(t), SGOL2(p)			
C3ORF75	rs2276853	3	G/A	0.411	−0.36 (0.08)	2E-05	Nonsynonymous	KLHL18(t), PTPN23(t), SCAP(t), SETD2(t), MYL3(i)		NBEAL2	NBEAL2, PTPN23, SCAP
SMARCAD1	rs7439869	4	T/C	0.378	0.41 (0.08)	8E-07	Nonsynonymous		SMARCAD1		
GMPR	rs1042391	6	T/A	0.551	−0.42 (0.09)	3E-06	Nonsynonymous	GMPR(c), ATXN1(tp)	GMPR		GMPR
KCNH2	rs1805123	7	G/T	0.214	−1.47 (0.10)	7E-51*	Nonsynonymous	KCNH2(p)	KCNH2†		KCNH2
LAPTM4B	rs17831160	8	A/G	0.030	−0.64 (0.24)	3E-03	Nonsynonymous				
AZIN1	rs143025416	8	A/G	0.001	4.90 (1.55)	2E-03	Nonsynonymous				
GBF1	rs143226354	10	T/C	8.89E-05	14.18 (4.66)	4E-03	Splicing/ nonsynonymous	ACTR1A(i)			
ATP2A2	rs11068997	12	A/G	0.040	−0.94 (0.21)	4E-07	Nonsynonymous	VPS29(t), GPN3(t), ARPC3(t), C12ORF24(t), ATP2A2(pi)	GIT2, TCTN1	ATP2A2, PPTC7	
USP50-TRPM7	rs8042919	15	A/G	0.097	−0.57 (0.14)	4E-05	Nonsynonymous				SPPL2A, AP4E1, USP50
CREBBP	rs143903106	16	T/G	0.001	4.10 (1.46)	5E-03	Nonsynonymous	TRAP1(i)			
B, Known QT loci with noncoding variant as top SNV											
TCEA3	rs1077514	1	G/A	0.179	−0.58 (0.11)	4E-08*	Intronic	TCEA3(t)			TCEA3,‡ ASAP3
NOS1AP	rs12143842	1	T/C	0.240	3.18 (0.10)	3E-255*	Intergenic				
ATP1B1	rs10919071	1	G/A	0.115	−1.37 (0.13)	3E-30*	Intronic	ATP1B1(ti), NME7(t)			NME7
SLC8A1	rs2540226	2	T/G	0.482	0.24 (0.08)	2E-03	Intergenic	SLC8A1(p)			THUMPD2
SCN5A-SCN10A	rs12053903	3	C/T	0.379	−0.88 (0.09)	1E-26*	Intronic	SCN5A(p)	SCN10A	SCN5A	SNORA6, SCN5A§
SLC4A4	rs7689609	4	C/T	0.212	0.64 (0.12)	4E-08*	Intronic				
GFRA3	rs4835768	5	G/A	0.485	0.34 (0.08)	7E-05	Intergenic	FAM13B(t), ETF1(p)		MYOT, FAM13B	
SLC35F1-PLN	rs11153730	6	C/T	0.467	1.41 (0.08)	5E-74*	Intergenic	PLN(i)		PLN	SSXP10
CAV1	rs3807989	7	A/G	0.429	0.54 (0.08)	4E-12*	Intronic	CAV1(pi), CAV2(pi)			AC002066.1
NCOA2	rs2926707	8	G/T	0.348	0.31 (0.09)	3E-04	Intronic				
KCNQ1	rs2074238	11	T/C	0.074	−3.58 (0.16)	8E-130*	Intronic	C11ORF21(t), PHEMX(t), TSPAN32(t), KCNQ1(p)	KCNQ1	KCNQ1	
FEN1-FADS2	rs1535	11	G/A	0.325	−0.48 (0.09)	8E-10*	Intronic	FADS1(t), FADS2(t), FADS3(t)			FAD2,‡ FADS1, TMEM258
KLF12	rs1886512	13	A/T	0.381	0.57 (0.09)	2E-10*	Intronic	KLF12(t)			KLF12‡
ANKRD9	rs11704	14	C/G	0.291	0.35 (0.09)	7E-05	UTR3	ANKRD9(t)		ANKRD9	ZNF839

(Continued)

Table 2. Continued

Nearby Gene	SNV	Chr	Coded/ Noncoded Allele	CAF	Effect in ms (SE)	P Value	Function	QT-IGC Implicated Gene(s)	Gene(s) With Independent Coding Variation	DEPICT Implicated Gene(s)	eQTL
LITAF	rs8049607	16	T/C	0.503	1.05 (0.08)	8E-44*	Intergenic	LITAF(t)			LITAF‡
MKL2	rs30208	16	T/C	0.501	0.45 (0.08)	2E-09*	Intergenic				
CNOT1	rs7188697	16	G/A	0.247	−1.57 (0.10)	4E-63*	Intronic	NDRG4(t), CNOT1(t), GOT2(i)			SETD6, NDRG4
LIG3	rs2074518	17	A/G	0.428	−0.79 (0.08)	2E-21*	Intronic	LIG3(t), CCT6B(t), UNC45B(i)			LIG3,‡ CCT6B, RFFL,‡ RP5-837J1.2
PRKCA	rs9912468	17	G/C	0.417	−0.68 (0.08)	2E-15*	Intronic	PRKCA(t)			PRKCA‡
KCNJ2	rs17779747	17	T/G	0.304	−1.08 (0.09)	3E-37*	Intergenic				
KCNE1	rs727957	21	T/G	0.168	0.48 (0.11)	3E-05	Intronic	KCNE1(cp)			

A section lists the 14 (of 35) previously identified loci (QT-IGC study of European ancestry individuals[5]) for which the most significant SNV in our current study is a coding variant. Because of the design of the Exome Chip with a focus on coding variants, only select intronic or intergenic SNVs were interrogated, and therefore not all QT-IGC SNVs were examined. B section lists the 21 previously identified loci for which the most significant SNV in our study is a noncoding variant not in LD (r^2>0.8) with a nearby coding variant. Significance was determined from analysis of inverse rank normal transformed residuals to avoid P value inflation from the analysis of rare variants. Effect size estimates in milliseconds (ms) are reported from untransformed analyses. n=95 626 number of samples. Within the QT-IGC Implicated Gene(s) column, evidence for the gene is c, coding variant; t, eQTL transcript; p, in silico protein-protein interactor; i, immunoprecipitation interactor. DEPICT[9] genes pass FDR<5% cutoff. Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) genes are pulled from the Genotype-Tissue Expression portal[10,11] using the representative SNV and GWiS independent SNVs. CAF indicates coded allele frequency; DEPICT, Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits; FDR, false discovery rate; GWiS, genome-wide significance; QT-IGC, QT Interval International GWAS Consortium; and SNV, single nucleotide variants.

*P value if significantly associated after Bonferroni correction, P<2×10⁻⁷.

‡Gene if the eQTL is in the left ventricle.

§GWiS independent SNV rs9851724 used to identify eQTL.

†Conditional analyses in ARIC contradict this result, see text for details.

JT Interval Association Identifies 4 Novel Loci

Although ventricular depolarization and repolarization are often coregulated, this is not universally true. Therefore, to more specifically examine ventricular repolarization, we also investigated genetic associations with JT interval, defined mathematically by subtracting the QRS interval (ventricular depolarization and conduction) from the QT interval, which primarily reflects ventricular repolarization.[8] Among the 15 590 ARIC participants, the correlations (r^2) among the intervals were 0.84 for QT and JT; 0.02 for QRS and JT; and 0.08 for QT and QRS. We analyzed JT interval as described above for QT interval while adding QRS interval as an additional covariate to further remove the effect of ventricular depolarization on the analysis. Thirty coding variants in 14 loci were associated with JT interval (Table III and Figure III in the Data Supplement). Four of these 14 loci were not identified as QT interval loci (Table 3). Three of these 4 novel repolarization loci had index SNVs that were coding variants: SENP2, SLC12A7, and NACA. The SNV rs9470361 (near CDKN1A) has previously been associated with QRS interval with an effect size estimate in the opposite direction (Table 3). Indeed, for 3 of these loci (SENP2, CDKN1A, and NACA), where an association was found with JT but not with QT interval, the index SNVs were significantly associated with QRS duration but with effect estimates in the opposite direc-

tion (Table 3). Hence, at these loci, variants that prolong the QRS interval (depolarization) shorten the JT interval (repolarization). Analyses run stratified by ethnicity found similar effect sizes between European ancestry individuals and blacks (Table III in the Data Supplement).

Use of Coding Variants to Implicate Specific Genes

Leveraging information from nominally significant coding SNVs, we sought to implicate causative genes in each locus by demonstrating that putatively functional coding variants are associated with ventricular repolarization independently of noncoding SNVs. We have previously[5] shown that several QT loci contain multiple independent genetic effects, including some loci harboring multiple significant coding variants (Tables II and III in the Data Supplement). Thus, even if not the top hit at a locus, putative functional SNVs can still implicate a specific gene at a locus. We used the GWiS[7] algorithm to determine the number of independent effects in all 45 ventricular repolarization associated loci from Tables 1 through 3 and to identify the SNV that best represents each independent effect in European ancestry individuals (n=83 884; Table IV in the Data Supplement). The SCN5A-SCN10A locus is a particularly illustrative example of the use of this approach. Although coding variants in DLEC1, SCN5A, and SCN10A are each ExomeChip-wide significant, after using GWiS, the

Table 3. Four Novel Loci Associated With JT Interval

Nearby Gene	SNV	Chr	Coded/ Noncoded Allele	CAF	JT Effect in ms (SE)	JT P Value	QRS Effect in ms (SE)	QRS P Value	Function	Gene(s) With Independent Coding Variation	DEPICT Implicated Gene(s)	eQTL
SENP2	rs6762208	3	A/C	0.358	0.44 (0.08)	2E-07*	−0.31 (0.05)	3.45E-12*	Nonsynonymous	SENP2		
SLC12A7	rs737154	5	C/T	0.500	−0.40 (0.08)	2E-07*	0.07 (0.04)	8.84E-02	Splicing/ synonymous	SLC12A7		NKD2
CDKN1A	rs9470361	6	A/G	0.249	−0.76 (0.09)	2E-15*	0.84 (0.05)	1.21E-63*	Intergenic			
NACA	rs2926743	12	A/G	0.252	0.53 (0.09)	6E-08*	−0.32 (0.05)	9.40E-11*	Nonsynonymous	NACA	RBMS2	

Significance was determined from analysis of inverse rank normal transformed residuals to avoid P value inflation from the analysis of rare variants. Effect size estimates in milliseconds (ms) are reported from untransformed analyses. QRS interval association summary data for these 4 variants were contributed by our coauthors Drs Prins, Jamshidi, and Arking from ExomeChip analyses they are running as a part of the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Consortium Electrocardiogram (EKG) working group. n=95 626 samples for JT interval association and n=85 593 samples for QRS interval association. DEPICT[9] genes pass FDR<5% cutoff. Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) genes are pulled from the Genotype-Tissue Expression portal[10,11] using the representative SNV and GWiS independent SNVs. CAF indicates coded allele frequency; DEPICT, Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits; FDR, false discovery rate; GWiS, genome-wide significance; and SNV, single nucleotide variants.

*P value if significantly associated after Bonferroni correction, $P<2\times10^{-7}$.

†Gene if the eQTL is in the left ventricle.

signal coming from the coding variants in *DLEC1* and *SCN5A* is explained by noncoding variants, and only the *SCN10A* coding variant signal remains (Table V in the Data Supplement). In the Gene(s) with independent coding variation column in Tables 1 through 3, we list the 17 genes in 16 loci that have an independent effect represented by a coding variant.

For the loci listed in Table 2 B, such as the *SCN5A-SCN10A* locus, where intronic and intergenic variants were included in the analyses, the independent associations in coding SNVs identified by GWiS are independent of the noncoding variants in the region. This analysis implicates 2 genes for involvement in cardiac repolarization among those of European descent: *SCN10A* and *KCNQ1*. For the novel loci in Table 1 where a coding SNV is the most significant association in our study, it is unlikely that noncoding variants of importance are present in those loci because the loci were not found during the QT-IGC efforts, a study of similar sample size.

In contrast, for the 14 previously identified QT loci where the top SNV in our study was a coding variant (Table 2, A), the GWiS findings are less conclusive because intronic and intergenic SNVs were largely not examined in these regions. Therefore, to determine whether the associated coding variants are independently associated with QT interval and hence implicate a causal gene, or alternatively, are associated simply because of LD with a more strongly associated noncoding variant not genotyped with the ExomeChip, we performed additional analyses in a subset of the data set, ARIC, that includes both the QT-IGC top SNV, as well as the top SNV, from the current study. We performed conditional analyses at the 7 loci in Table 2, A where significant associations were identified by GWiS (the remaining 7 loci did not have any SNVs identified as significant by GWiS after accounting for multiple testing), by including both the QT-IGC and ExomeChip variants in the same regression model in the

ARIC Europeans data set (n=9537; Table VI in the Data Supplement). Conditional analyses demonstrate that the coding variant in *SP3* is independent of the top noncoding SNV at this locus discovered from QT-IGC, implicating this gene in QT interval modulation. For *GMPR*, the coding variant is in almost perfect linkage disequilibrium with the noncoding QT-IGC variant (r^2=0.99 in ARIC), suggesting that the coding variant may be the causal variant explaining the QT-IGC signal. For a third locus, *RNF207,* although conditional analysis suggested that the QT-IGC SNV accounts for the association at this locus, both the top QT-IGC SNV as well as the top SNV from this study are coding variants in high LD, thus implicating the *RNF207* gene in myocardial repolarization. For the remaining 4 loci, 1 coding variant is associated because of the stronger noncoding QT-IGC signal (*KCNH2*); 2 were not properly tested because of no effect in ARIC of the ExomeChip variant (*ATP2A2*) or the QT-IGC variant (*TTN*), although there was low LD (r^2<0.04) between the coding and noncoding variants, suggesting independence; and 1 was unclear (*SMARCAD1*), as putting both SNVs in the model significantly altered the β estimates for both SNVs.

In Silico Analyses to Implicate Causal Genes

To further decode the role these loci might play in regulating ventricular repolarization, Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits[9] was used to investigate whether identified loci contain genes from functional annotated gene sets/pathways. Included in Tables 1 through 3 in the DEPICT Implicated Gene(s) column is a list of genes with a false discovery rate <5%. Furthermore, we looked up each of the Tables 1 through 3 SNVs in the Genotype-Tissue Expression Portal to identify single-tissue expression quantitative trait loci[10,11] (left ventricle expression quantitative trait loci, represented by footnote symbols in tables). Findings for

Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits and expression quantitative trait loci analyses are largely consistent with those genes identified because of harboring significant coding variants and help clarify the causative gene.

DISCUSSION

Our approach of focusing on coding variants and both QT and JT intervals has identified 10 novel loci associated with ventricular repolarization and has implicated 17 specific genes, 7 of which are in novel loci. Previous studies have implicated roles for potassium ion regulation, sodium ion regulation, calcium ion regulation, and autonomic control of QT interval,[12] and our results provide support for each of these pathways. *SLC12A7* (*KCC4*), which is highly expressed in the left ventricle,[10,11] is a potassium chloride cotransporter involved in potassium efflux.[13] *CASR* is a G protein–coupled receptor that maintains circulating calcium ion homeostasis via parathyroid hormone secretion in the parathyroid and kidney tubule ion handling.[14]

In addition to previously implicated pathways, our analyses highlight a role for genes involved in generating the physical force of contraction inside of cardiomyocytes and for conducting electric signal between cardiomyocytes across the heart. Pathway enrichment analyses using Data-driven Expression-Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits (detailed methods in the Data Supplement) identified the GO category GO:0005916, which comprised the genes that code for fascia adherens, the structure that links myofibrils between cardiomyocytes, and contains N-cadherin. *NRAP*, found to have a significant independent coding variant, likely anchors terminal actin filaments of myofibrils to other protein complexes beneath the sarcolemma[15,16] and is expressed exclusively in skeletal muscle and heart.[10,11] skNAC (skeletal *NACA*) knockout mice, a muscle-specific isoform of *NACA*, which was found to have a significant independent coding variant, die between embryonic days 10.5 and 12.5 because of cardiac defects, showing interventricular septal defects and a thin myocardial wall.[17] With these 3 points of evidence combined with the previously known locus and GWiS-implicated gene, *TTN*, a clear class of genes emerge that influence ventricular repolarization through their effect on myocyte structure.

It is important to note that the intercalated disc, which is the interface between cardiomyocytes, contains fascia adherens, desmosomes, and gap junctions, the last of which is known to play a role in ion-mediated relaying of action potentials between cardiomyocytes and, in combination with the gene *NOS1AP*, has been implicated as regulating QT interval.[18] In contrast, we implicate a nonion-dependent structural/mechanical interconnect between cardiomyocytes mediated by the fascia adherens.

By looking specifically at ventricular repolarization (JT interval) without the influence of depolarization (QRS interval), we detected additional loci related to ventricular repolarization while teasing apart the differential regulation of the various phases of ventricular conduction. Our current results are consistent with our prior findings that variation in some loci influence ventricular depolarization and repolarization in a concordant fashion, others influence depolarization and repolarization in a discordant fashion, and still other loci are associated with one phenotype and not the other.[5,6] Although ventricular depolarization and repolarization are often coregulated, the difference in genetic effect indicates this is not universally true. Several limitations should be noted. First, we did not have an additional sample to perform replication studies although results were consistent across the diverse cohorts included in our study (Figures IV–XIII in the Data Supplement). Second, correlation of effect sizes was weak between the European ancestry and Hispanic and Asian populations, limiting extrapolation of findings to these populations.

In summary, we have identified 10 loci newly associated with ventricular repolarization. This brings the total number of ventricular repolarization–associated loci to 45. In addition, we have directly implicated 17 specific genes contained in these loci as likely affecting ventricular repolarization and outlined a class of genes that mechanically control QT interval. These new discoveries will likely allow for the development of novel vectors for the prevention of lethal ventricular arrhythmias and SCA.

AUTHORS

Jennifer A. Brody, BA; Albert Vernon Smith, PhD; Helen R. Warren, PhD; Honghuang Lin, PhD; Aaron Isaacs, PhD; Ching-Ti Liu, PhD; Jonathan Marten, BS; Farid Radmanesh, MD, MPH; Leanne M. Hall, MS; Niels Grarup, PhD; Hao Mei, PhD; Martina Müller-Nurasyid, PhD; Jennifer E. Huffman, MSc; Niek Verweij, PhD; Xiuqing Guo, PhD; Jie Yao, MS; Ruifang Li-Gao, MSc; Marten van den Berg, MD, MSc; Stefan Weiss, PhD; Bram P. Prins, PhD, MSc; Jessica van Setten, PhD; Jeffrey Haessler, MS; Leo-Pekka Lyytikäinen, MD; Man Li, PhD, MS; Alvaro Alonso, MD, PhD; Elsayed Z. Soliman, MD, MSc, MS, FAHA, FACC; Joshua C. Bis, PhD; Tom Austin, MPH; Yii-Der Ida Chen, PhD; Bruce M. Psaty, MD, PhD; Tamara B. Harrris, MD, MS; Lenore J. Launer, PhD; Sandosh Padmanabhan, MBBS, MD, PhD; Anna Dominiczak, DBE, FRCP, FRSE, FAHA; Paul L. Huang, MD, PhD; Zhijun Xie, BS; Patrick T. Ellinor, MD, PhD; Jan A. Kors, PhD, MSc; Archie Campbell, MA; Alison D. Murray, MBChB (Hons), MRCP, FRCR, FRCP, PhD; Christopher P. Nelson, PhD; Martin D. Tobin, MFPHM; Jette Bork-Jensen, PhD; Torben Hansen, MD, PhD; Oluf Pedersen, MD, DMSc; Allan Linneberg, PhD; Moritz F. Sinner, MD, MPH; Annette Peters, PhD; Melanie Waldenberger, PhD; Thomas Meitinger, MD; Siegfried Perz, MSc; Ivana Kolcic, MD, PhD; Igor Rudan, MD, PhD; Rudolf A. de Boer, MD, PhD; Peter van der Meer, MD, PhD; Henry J. Lin, MD; Kent D. Taylor, PhD; Renée de

Mutsert, PhD; Stella Trompet, PhD; J. Wouter Jukema, MD, PhD; Arie C. Maan, PhD; Bruno H.C. Stricker, MD, PhD; Fernando Rivadeneira, MD, PhD; André Uitterlinden, PhD; Uwe Völker, PhD; Georg Homuth, PhD; Henry Völzke, MD; Stephan B. Felix, MD; Massimo Mangino, PhD; Timothy D. Spector, MBBS, MD, MSc; Michiel L. Bots, MD, PhD; Marco Perez, MD; Olli T. Raitakari, MD, PhD; Mika Kähönen, MD, PhD; Nina Mononen, PhD; Vilmundur Gudnason, MD, PhD; Patricia B. Munroe, PhD; Steven A. Lubitz, MD, MPH; Cornelia M. van Duijn, PhD; Christopher H. Newton-Cheh, MD, MPH; Caroline Hayward, PhD; Jonathan Rosand, MD, MSc; Nilesh J. Samani, MD; Jørgen K. Kanters, MD; James G. Wilson, MD; Stefan Kääb, MD, PhD; Ozren Polasek, MD, PhD; Pim van der Harst, MD, PhD; Susan R. Heckbert, MD, MPH, PhD; Jerome I. Rotter, MD; Dennis O. Mook-Kanamori, MD, PhD; Mark Eijgelsheim, MD, PhD; Marcus Dörr, MD; Yalda Jamshidi, PhD; Folkert W. Asselbergs, MD, PhD; Charles Kooperberg, PhD; Terho Lehtimäki, MD, PhD; Dan E. Arking, PhD; Nona Sotoodehnia, MD, MPH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SOURCES OF FUNDING

DISCLOSURES

AFFILIATIONS

From the Predoctoral Training Program in Human Genetics (N.A.B.) and McKusick-Nathans Institute of Genetic Medicine (N.A.B., D.E.A.), Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Medicine (J.A.B., J.C.B., T.A., N.S.), Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Departments of Medicine, Epidemiology, and Health Services (B.M.P.), and Cardiovascular Health Research Unit, Department of Epidemiology (S.R.H.), University of Washington, Seattle; Icelandic Heart Association, Kopavogur (A.V.S., V.G.); Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykavik (A.V.S., V.G.); Clinical Pharmacology Department, William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and London School of Medicine and Dentistry (H.R.W., P.B.M.) and NIHR Barts Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit (H.R.W., P.B.M.), Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom; Section of Computational Biomedicine, Department of Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, MA (H.L., Z.X.); School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht Center for Systems Biology and Department of Biochemistry, Maastricht University, The Netherlands (A.I.); Genetic Epidemiology Unit, Department of Epidemiology (A.I., C.M.v.D.) and Department of Medical Informatics (J.A.K.), Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; Biostatistics Department, Boston University School of Public Health, MA (C.-T.L.); Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine (J.M., C.H.), Medical Genetics Section, Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine (A.C.), and Usher Institute for Population Health Sciences and Informatics (I.R.), University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom; Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA (F.R., P.T.E., S.A.L., J.R.); Center for Human Genetic Research (F.R., J.R.), Cardiovascular Research Center (P.L.H., P.T.E., S.A.L.), and Center for Human Genetic Research and Cardiovascular Research Center (C.H.N.-C.), Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston; Department of Cardiovascular Sciences (L.M.H., C.P.N., N.J.S.) and Genetic Epidemiology Group, Department of Health Sciences (M.D.T.), University of Leicester, United Kingdom; NIHR Leicester Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit (L.M.H., C.P.N.) and NIHR Leicester Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit (M.D.T.), Glenfield Hospital, United Kingdom; Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of

Health and Medical Sciences (N.G., J.B.-J., T.H., O.P.), Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences (A.L.), and Laboratory of Experimental Cardiology (J.K.K.), University of Copenhagen, Denmark; Department of Data Science, School of Population Health (H.M.) and Physiology and Biophysics (J.G.W.), University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson; Institute of Genetic Epidemiology (M.M.-N.), Institute of Epidemiology II (A.P., M.W., S.P.), Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology (M.W.), and Institute of Human Genetics (T.M.), Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg; Department of Medicine I, University Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians University, Germany (M.M.-N., M.F.S., S.K.); DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Munich Heart Alliance (M.M.-N., M.F.S., A.P., T.M., S.K.); MRC Human Genetics Unit, MRC IGMM, University of Edinburgh, Scotland (J.E.H.); Department of Cardiology (N.V., R.A.d.B., P.v.d.M., P.v.d.H.) and Department of Internal Medicine (M.E.), University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands; Institute for Translational Genomics and Population Sciences and Department of Pediatrics, Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance (X.G., J.Y., Y.-D.I.C.); Department of Clinical Epidemiology (R.L.-G., R.d.M.) and University of Split School of Medicine (I.K., O.P.), University of Split, Croatia; Departments of Cardiology (S.T., J.W.J., A.C.M.), Gerontology and Geriatrics (S.T.), and Public Health and Primary Care (D.O.M.-K.), Leiden University Medical Center, The Netherlands; Departments of Medical Informatics (M.v.d.B.), Epidemiology (B.H.C.S.), and Epidemiology (M.E.), Erasmus MC – University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands; Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University Medicine and Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany (S.W., U.V., G.H.); DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), partner site Greifswald (S.W., U.V., H.V., S.B.F., M.D.); Cardiogenetics Lab, Genetics and Molecular Cell Sciences Research Centre, Cardiovascular and Cell Sciences Institute, St George's, University of London, United Kingdom (B.P.P., Y.J.); Division Heart and Lungs, Department of Cardiology, (J.v.S., F.W.A.) and Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care (M.L.B.), University Medical Center Utrecht, The Netherlands; Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA (J.H., C.K.); Department of Clinical Chemistry, Fimlab Laboratories, Tampere, Finland (L.-P.L., N.M., T.L.); Department of Clinical Physiology, Tampere University Hospital, University of Tampere School of Medicine, Finland (M.K.); Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City (M.L.); Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA (A.A.); Epidemiological Cardiology Research Center (EPICARE), Wake Forest School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC (E.Z.S.); Laboratory of Epidemiology, Demography, and Biometry, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, MD (T.B.H., L.J.L.); Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom (S.P., A.D.); Institute of Medical Sciences, Aberdeen Biomedical Imaging Centre, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom (A.D.M.); Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Capital Region of Denmark, Copenhagen (A.L.); Department of Clinical Experimental Research, Rigshospitalet, Glostrup, Denmark (A.L.); German Center for Diabetes Research, Neuherberg (A.P.); Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Germany (T.M.); Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (J.W.J.); Interuniversity Cardiology Institute of Netherlands, Utrecht (J.W.J.); Inspectorate of Health Care, Utrecht, The Netherlands (B.H.C.S.); Human Genomics Facility (F.R.) and Human Genotyping Facility (A.U.), Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands; Institute for Community Medicine (H.V.) and Department of Internal Medicine B (S.B.F., M.D.), University Medicine Greifswald, Germany; Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, United Kingdom (M.M., T.D.S.); Stanford School of Medicine, CA (M.P.); Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Turku University Hospital and Research Centre of Applied and Preventive Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Turku, Finland (O.T.R.); Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge (C.H.N.-C.); NIHR Leicester Biomedical Research Unit in Cardiovascular Disease, United Kingdom (N.J.S.); Durrer Center for Cardiogenetic Research, ICIN-Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht (F.W.A.); and Institute of Cardiovascular Science, Faculty of Population Health Sciences, University College London, United Kingdom (F.W.A.).

FOOTNOTES

REFERENCES

1. Deo R, Albert CM. Epidemiology and genetics of sudden cardiac death. *Circulation*. 2012;125:620–637. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.023838.

2. Chugh SS, Reinier K, Teodorescu C, Evanado A, Kehr E, Al Samara M, et al. Epidemiology of sudden cardiac death: clinical and research implications. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2008;51:213–228. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2008.06.003.

3. Newton-Cheh C, Larson MG, Corey DC, Benjamin EJ, Herbert AG, Levy D, et al. QT interval is a heritable quantitative trait with evidence of linkage to chromosome 3 in a genome-wide linkage analysis: the Framingham Heart Study. *Heart Rhythm*. 2005;2:277–284. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2004.11.009.

4. Schwartz PJ, Crotti L, Insolia R. Long-QT syndrome: from genetics to management. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2012;5:868–877. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.111.962019.

5. Arking DE, Pulit SL, Crotti L, van der Harst P, Munroe PB, Koopmann TT, et al; CARe Consortium; COGENT Consortium; DCCT/EDIC; eMERGE Consortium; HRGEN Consortium. Genetic association study of QT interval highlights role for calcium signaling pathways in myocardial repolarization. *Nat Genet*. 2014;46:826–836. doi: 10.1038/ng.3014.

6. Sotoodehnia N, Isaacs A, de Bakker PI, Dörr M, Newton-Cheh C, Nolte IM, et al. Common variants in 22 loci are associated with QRS duration and cardiac ventricular conduction. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42:1068–1076. doi: 10.1038/ng.716.

7. Huang H, Chanda P, Alonso A, Bader JS, Arking DE. Gene-based tests of association. *PLoS Genet*. 2011;7:e1002177. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002177.

8. Crow RS, Hannan PJ, Folsom AR. Prognostic significance of corrected QT and corrected JT interval for incident coronary heart disease in a general population sample stratified by presence or absence of wide QRS complex: the ARIC Study with 13 years of follow-up. *Circulation*. 2003;108:1985–1989. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000095027.28753.9D.

9. Pers TH, Karjalainen JM, Chan Y, Westra HJ, Wood AR, Yang J, et al; Genetic Investigation of ANthropometric Traits (GIANT) Consortium. Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat Commun*. 2015;6:5890. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6890.

10. Lonsdale J, Thomas J, Salvatore M, Phillips R, Lo E, Shad S, et al. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45:580–585.

11. Consortium TGte. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. *Science*. 2015;348:648–660.

12. Porta A, Girardengo G, Bari V, George AL Jr, Brink PA, Goosen A, et al. Autonomic control of heart rate and QT interval variability influences arrhythmic risk in long QT syndrome type 1. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015;65:367–374. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2014.11.015.

13. Mount DB, Mercado A, Song L, Xu J, George AL Jr, Delpire E, et al. Cloning and characterization of KCC3 and KCC4, new members of the cation-chloride cotransporter gene family. *J Biol Chem*. 1999;274:16355–16362.

14. Hendy GN, D'Souza-Li L, Yang B, Canaff L, Cole DE. Mutations of the calcium-sensing receptor (CASR) in familial hypocalciuric hypercalcemia, neonatal severe hyperparathyroidism, and autosomal dominant hypocalcemia. *Hum Mutat*. 2000;16:281–296. doi: 10.1002/1098-1004(200010)16:4<281::AID-HUMU1>3.0.CO;2-A.

15. Luo G, Zhang JQ, Nguyen TP, Herrera AH, Paterson B, Horowits R. Complete cDNA sequence and tissue localization of N-RAP, a novel nebulin-related protein of striated muscle. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton*. 1997;38:75–90. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(1997)38:1<75::AID-CM7>3.0.CO;2-G.

16. Luo G, Leroy E, Kozak CA, Polymeropoulos MH, Horowits R. Mapping of the gene (NRAP) encoding N-RAP in the mouse and human genomes. *Genomics*. 1997;45:229–232. doi: 10.1006/geno.1997.4917.

17. Park CY, Pierce SA, von Drehle M, Ivey KN, Morgan JA, Blau HM, et al. skNAC, a Smyd1-interacting transcription factor, is involved in cardiac development and skeletal muscle growth and regeneration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:20750–20755. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1013493107.

18. Kapoor A, Sekar RB, Hansen NF, Fox-Talbot K, Morley M, Pihur V, et al; QT Interval-International GWAS Consortium. An enhancer polymorphism at the cardiomyocyte intercalated disc protein NOS1AP locus is a major regulator of the QT interval. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2014;94:854–869. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.05.001.