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Abstract

Objective
To examine the clinical value of neurofilament light chain (NfL) and the phospho-tau/total tau ratio (p/t-tau) across the entire frontotemporal dementia (FTD) spectrum in a large, well-defined cohort.

Methods
CSF NfL and p/t-tau levels were studied in 361 patients with FTD: 179 behavioral variant FTD, 17 FTD with motor neuron disease (FTD-MND), 36 semantic variant primary progressive aphasia (PPA), 19 nonfluent variant PPA, 4 logopenic variant PPA (lvPPA), 42 corticobasal syndrome, and 64 progressive supranuclear palsy. Forty-five cognitively healthy controls were also included. Definite pathology was known in 68 patients (49 frontotemporal lobar degeneration [FTLD]-TDP, 18 FTLD-tau, 1 FTLD-FUS).

Results
NfL was higher in all diagnoses, except lvPPA (n = 4), than in controls, equally elevated in behavioral variant FTD, semantic variant PPA, nonfluent variant PPA, and corticobasal syndrome, and highest in FTD-MND. The p/t-tau was lower in all clinical groups, except lvPPA, than in controls and lowest in FTD-MND. NfL did not discriminate between TDP and tau pathology, while the p/t-tau ratio had a good specificity (76%) and moderate sensitivity (67%). Both high NfL and low p/t-tau were associated with poor survival (hazard ratio on tertiles 1.7 for NfL, 0.7 for p/t-tau).

Conclusion
NfL and p/t-tau similarly discriminated FTD from controls, but not between clinical subtypes, apart from FTD-MND. Both markers predicted survival and are promising monitoring biomarkers for clinical trials. Of note, p/t-tau, but not NfL, was specific to discriminate TDP from tau pathology in vivo.

Classification of evidence
This study provides Class III evidence that for patients with cognitive issues, CSF NfL and p/t-tau levels discriminate between those with and without FTD spectrum disorders.
Frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is a heterogeneous disease encompassing behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD) and the primary progressive aphasias (PPAs): the semantic variant (svPPA), the nonfluent variant (nfvPPA), and the logopenic variant (lvPPA).1,2 Motor neuron disease (MND), progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and corticobasal syndrome (CBS) are considered as part of the FTD spectrum.3 The underlying pathology, frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), is heterogeneous with tau (FTLD-tau), TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (FTLD-TDP), or fused in sarcoma inclusions (FTLD-FUS).4 Underlying pathology can only be predicted in genetic FTD: microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT) mutations cause FTLD-tau, and progranulin (GRN) and chromosome 9 open reading frame 72 (C9orf72) mutations cause FTLD-TDP.5 The svPPA and FTD-MND are often associated with FTLD-TDP, and PSP with FTLD-tau, whereas underlying pathology can poorly be predicted in bvFTD.6

Disease-modifying therapies against FTD are currently under development, thus robust markers to track disease progression are essential. Neurofilament light chain (NfL), reflecting neuroaxonal damage, is a promising monitoring biomarker for FTD and other neurodegenerative diseases.7-12 For pathology-specific therapies, biomarkers predicting pathology are paramount, and lower phospho-tau181 to total tau (p/t-tau) ratios were found in small series of patients with FTLD-TDP compared to FTLD-tau.10,13,14 However, both biomarkers have scarcely been validated and directly compared in large series across the entire FTD spectrum, which is needed before implementation in practice. In the current study, we compared the clinical value of NfL vs the p/t-tau ratio across all clinical and pathologic subtypes of the FTD spectrum.

Methods

Participants

From our previously described cohorts from the Erasmus Medical Center and the VU University Medical Center,15,16 we selected 361 patients based on the following criteria: (1) clinical diagnosis of bvFTD, nfvPPA, svPPA, FTD-MND, PSP, CBS, or lvPPA with a probable underlying FTLD1,2,17,18; and (2) CSF available for research. Patients with CSF results suggesting Alzheimer disease (AD) (low CSF β-amyloid 1–42 [Aβ42] and high p- or t-tau level, applying local laboratory standards) were not included, unless a definite FTD diagnosis was established (n = 3).1,19 As control group (n = 45), we used controls and participants with subjective memory complaints with normal CSF Aβ42 levels (>550 pg/mL) from previous studies.9,10 A definite diagnosis was ascertained in 68 patients based on known FTD-causing mutations (genotyping was performed when family history was positive) or autopsy-confirmation: underlying FTLD-TDP pathology in 49 patients (12 GRN, 26 C9orf72 [of whom 7 underwent autopsy], 1 optineurin, 10 FTLD-TDP), FTLD-tau pathology in 18 patients (11 MAPT [of whom 1 underwent autopsy], 5 FTLD-tau, 1 CBD, and 1 PSP), and 1 patient with autopsy-confirmed FTLD-FUS. For subanalyses on suspected pathology, patients with svPPA and FTD-MND were added to the FTLD-TDP group and patients with PSP to the FTLD-tau group. Concomitant AD pathology in autopsied patients was scored by an experienced neuropathologist (A.J.R.) as low (n = 21, ABC score “not” or “low”) or high (n = 4, ABC score “intermediate” or “high”).20 For 1 of 26 autopsied patients, insufficient information was available for this scoring.

Disease onset was defined as the time of first symptoms (e.g., first personality change or language difficulties) noted by a caregiver. Age at death was acquired by web-based consultation of the Dutch municipal personal records database (not available for one patient). The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) was used to examine global cognition; the Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB) for executive function; and the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale, including Sum of Boxes (CDR-SB) if available, for disease severity.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

All patients (or legal representatives) provided written informed consent, and this study was approved by the local ethics committees.

CSF analyses

CSF was collected and stored at −80°C until analyses according to international consensus protocols.21 All measurements were performed blinded to clinical information and in one single center. CSF NfL was determined with ELISA of UmanDiagnostics (Umeå, Sweden) in duplicate, according to
the manufacturer’s instructions over 5 different batches. Mean intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) was 1.2% (±1.2% SD), and interassay CV ranged from 6.1% to 16.7%. Samples with too low volume for a duplicate (n = 9) were included in the analysis as overall intrasubject CV was low. CSF phospho-
tau181 (p-tau) and total tau (t-tau) were measured by commercial ELISAs (Innotest; Fujirebio, Ghent, Belgium) and remeasured when CV was >15%.

For controls, all 3 biomarkers were measured, but for some patients, insufficient CSF volume was available; NfL levels were available in 335 patients, the p/t-tau ratio in 352 patients, and both in 324 patients.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 21.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) applying a significance level of \( p < 0.05 \), and graphs were drafted with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Demographic data were compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests with post hoc Dunn tests (Bonferroni-corrected), or \( \chi^2 \) tests. Both CSF NfL and p/t-tau ratio were nonnormally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test) and normalized after log transformation. Biomarker levels across (clinical or pathologic) groups were compared on log-transformed data with correction for age by analyses of covariance with post hoc Sidák tests. In case of missing data, patients were removed from respective subanalyses. Diagnostic performance was assessed by areas under the curve (AUCs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) obtained by receiver operating characteristic analyses, with optimal cutoff levels at the highest Youden index.\(^{22}\) Diagnostic performance combining the 2 markers was analyzed by receiver operating characteristic analyses on probabilities obtained by binary logistic regression when comparing 2 groups (patients vs controls, TDP vs tau pathology) or multinomial logistic regression for different clinical diagnoses. Diagnostic performance was compared between the markers by the Hanley & McNeil method in MedCalc (Ostend, Belgium).\(^{23}\) Biomarkers were correlated to clinical variables using Spearman correlation coefficient \( (r_s) \). Survival was examined by Kaplan-Meier curves on NfL tertiles with living patients as censored data, and Cox regressions on tertiles or continuous biomarker levels, adjusted for age, sex, disease duration (time between onset and CSF collection), and presence of MND. Five-year survival rates were extracted from the Kaplan-Meier curves. Multivariate regression examined the following influencing factors in the association between logNfL levels (dependent variable) and log-transformed p/t-tau ratio: age, disease duration, CSF Aβ42 as proxy for AD copathology, and MND (covariates entered in second block).

Classification of evidence
This case-control study provides Class III evidence that patients across the entire FTD spectrum can be discriminated from healthy controls by high CSF NfL levels (sensitivity 79%, specificity 89%, \( p < 0.001 \)) or by low p/t-tau levels (sensitivity 73%, specificity 93%, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Results

Patient characteristics
Demographic characteristics of the 361 patients and 45 controls are displayed in table. Patients with CBS or PSP were older than controls, and patients with PSP were older than patients with bvFTD. Sex did not differ among the diagnostic groups. Age at onset, disease duration at CSF collection, and survival per clinical diagnosis are displayed in table. In total, 195 patients had deceased and their mean survival after CSF collection was 3.7 (±2.6) years. Survival did not differ between patients with definite TDP vs tau pathology (\( p = 0.44 \), log-rank test).

Discrimination of clinical diagnosis
All clinical diagnoses, except for lvPPA (\( n = 4 \)), had higher CSF NfL levels than controls (figure 1A; \( p \) values and median differences are displayed in table e-1, links.lww.com/WNL/A319), with the strongest elevation in patients with FTD-MND. CSF NfL was lower in patients with PSP than in those with bvFTD. No differences were found among the other clinical subgroups.

The p/t-tau ratio mirrored the results of NfL, as it was lower in all clinical diagnoses compared to controls, except for lvPPA (\( n = 4 \)), and patients with FTD-MND had the lowest values (figure 1C; table e-2, links.lww.com/WNL/A319). In addition, patients with bvFTD had lower levels than patients with PSP. The decreased p/t-tau ratio in clinical patients compared to controls was driven by elevated t-tau levels in patients (\( p < 0.001 \); for p-tau; \( p = 0.52 \)).

Diagnostic performance: Clinical diagnosis
To discriminate patients from controls, CSF NfL achieved an AUC of 0.87 (95% CI 0.81–0.92, \( p < 0.001 \)), with a sensitivity of 79% and specificity of 89% (cutoff ≥1,613 pg/mL, table e-3 [links.lww.com/WNL/A319]), including negative and positive predictive values. A low p/t-tau ratio showed a similar performance (AUC 0.86 [0.83–0.90], \( p < 0.001 \), sensitivity 73%, specificity 93% at ratio ≤0.153; compared to AUC NfL: \( p = 0.74 \)). Combining these 2 markers by logistic regression yielded a higher AUC of 0.91 (0.88–0.95, \( p < 0.001 \), Nagelkerke \( R^2 = 0.45 \), sensitivity 80%, specificity 93%; compared to AUC NfL: \( p = 0.03 \); compared to AUC ratio: \( p < 0.01 \)).

In classifying the different clinical diagnoses, both NfL and p/t-tau ratio were able to discriminate FTD-MND and PSP from bvFTD (all \( p < 0.001 \)), and combining the markers did not improve this classification.

Discrimination of pathologic diagnosis
When NfL levels were analyzed based on definite pathology, no difference between FTLD-tau and FTLD-TDP was found (\( p = 0.96 \), figure 1B). However, when suspected pathologies were added in the analysis (i.e., PSP in tau group; svPPA and FTD-MND in TDP group), higher levels were observed in patients with suspected TDP than in patients with
## Table 1 Participant characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>bvFTD</th>
<th>FTD-MND</th>
<th>svPPA</th>
<th>nfvPPA</th>
<th>lvPPA</th>
<th>CBS</th>
<th>PSP</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at CSF collection, y</strong></td>
<td>60 (53–65)</td>
<td>61 (55–67)</td>
<td>63 (56–69)</td>
<td>62 (58–65)</td>
<td>62 (52–66)</td>
<td>64 (51–69)</td>
<td>65 (60–73)*</td>
<td>66 (62–70)*</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, male (% within clinical group)</strong></td>
<td>26 (58)</td>
<td>101 (56)</td>
<td>9 (53)</td>
<td>19 (53)</td>
<td>9 (47)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
<td>28 (67)</td>
<td>28 (44)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at onset, y</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58 (51–64)†</td>
<td>61 (55–67)</td>
<td>57 (55–62)</td>
<td>60 (50–65)</td>
<td>62 (50–67)</td>
<td>62 (56–71)</td>
<td>63 (57–67)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time between onset and CSF collection, y</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.5 (1.5–4.6)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7–2.1)‡</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9–5.0)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.2–3.2)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.6–2.9)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.2–3.3)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5–3.7)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survival after CSF collection in deceased patients, y</strong></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.9 (2.0–5.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5–1.2)</td>
<td>5.3 (4.5–8.9)</td>
<td>6.2 (1.9–8.2)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2–3.4)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.9–4.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSF NFL available</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p/t-tau ratio available</strong></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSF NFL, pg/mL</strong></td>
<td>974 (616–1,357)</td>
<td>3,168 (1,752–4,818)</td>
<td>19,232 (10,094–27,016)</td>
<td>3,151 (1,906–4,802)</td>
<td>2,345 (1,956–2,957)</td>
<td>1,731 (1,181–2,472)</td>
<td>2,664 (1,715–4,158)</td>
<td>1,907 (1,474–2,755)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSF phospho-tau 181, pg/mL</strong></td>
<td>44 (37–56)</td>
<td>42 (34–54)†</td>
<td>43 (33–50)</td>
<td>40 (36–53)</td>
<td>51 (31–66)</td>
<td>54 (33–73)</td>
<td>47 (38–57)†</td>
<td>37 (28–44)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSF total tau, pg/mL</strong></td>
<td>243 (197–308)</td>
<td>342 (271–467)§</td>
<td>488 (322–623)§</td>
<td>333 (265–454)§</td>
<td>361 (205–442)</td>
<td>427 (246–583)</td>
<td>336 (246–446)§</td>
<td>237 (176–315)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CSF β-amyloid 1–42, pg/mL</strong></td>
<td>987 (874–1,142)</td>
<td>893 (726–1,072)</td>
<td>985 (732–1,162)</td>
<td>877 (728–1,048)</td>
<td>969 (840–1,219)</td>
<td>861 (486–1,033)</td>
<td>810 (607–999)§</td>
<td>826 (665–1,003)§</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p/t-tau ratio</strong></td>
<td>0.18 (0.17–0.20)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.10–0.15)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.07–0.10)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.11–0.15)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.12–0.17)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.12–0.14)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.11–0.16)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.13–0.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; CBS = corticobasal syndrome; FTD-MND = frontotemporal dementia with concomitant motor neuron disease; lvPPA = logopenic variant primary progressive aphasia; nfvPPA = nonfluent variant primary progressive aphasia; svPPA = semantic variant primary progressive aphasia; NfL = neurofilament light chain; p/t-tau = phospho-tau/total tau; svPPA = semantic variant primary progressive aphasia.

Values are displayed as median (interquartile range); continuous variables are compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests.

* Older than controls.
† Older than controls and bvFTD.
‡ Younger than CBS and PSP.
§ Shorter than svPPA and bvFTD.
* Zero controls, 88 bvFTD, 13 FTD-MND, 18 svPPA, 6 nfvPPA, 1 lvPPA, 24 CBS, and 46 PSP patients were known to be deceased; CSF NFL was available in 178 and the p/t-tau ratio in 189 of them.
* Higher than in patients with PSP.
* Lower than in controls.

Values are displayed as median (interquartile range); continuous variables are compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests.

* Older than controls.
† Older than controls and bvFTD.
‡ Younger than CBS and PSP.
§ Shorter than svPPA and bvFTD.
suspected tau ($p < 0.001$, figure e-1A, links.lww.com/WNL/A318). NfL levels were similar in autopsied patients with low vs high concomitant AD pathology ($p = 0.83$, Mann-Whitney test).

The p/t-tau ratio was lower in definite or suspected TDP pathology than in those with definite or suspected tau pathology ($p = 0.005$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively; figure 1D and figure e-1B, links.lww.com/WNL/A318). The decreased...
ratio in FTLD-TDP was driven by lower p-tau levels (median 38 pg/mL [interquartile range 29–44]) than in FTLD-tau (54 [42–67] pg/mL), while t-tau levels were similar (335 [252–448] pg/mL and 408 [310–522] pg/mL, respectively). The ratio did not differ between low and high concomitant AD pathology (p = 0.78, Mann-Whitney test).

**Diagnostic performance: Pathologic diagnosis**

NfL did not differentiate between underlying TDP and tau pathology (p = 0.26), while the p/t-tau ratio did (AUC 0.73 [0.60–0.87], p = 0.005, sensitivity 67%, specificity 76% at ratio ≤0.121; figure 1D and table e-3, links.lww.com/WNL/A319). Combining NfL and p/t-tau ratio did not improve the differentiation on underlying pathology (AUC 0.75 [0.62–0.88], p = 0.004; p = 0.94 vs AUC of ratio alone).

**Associations between biomarkers and clinical parameters**

NfL correlated moderately with t-tau (r = 0.51, p < 0.001), weakly with p-tau (r = 0.13, p = 0.02), and moderately with the p/t-tau ratio (r = −0.62, p < 0.001; figure 2). This association between the p/t-tau ratio and NfL was influenced by MND and disease duration (ΔR² = 0.11, MND: β = 0.29, p < 0.001; disease duration: β = −0.09, p = 0.04), but not by age or CSF Aβ42. In definite patients, this association was not influenced by type of pathology (TDP vs tau, p = 0.25).

NfL associated weakly with disease duration, MMSE, and FAB, moderately with CDR-SB, but not with age, sex, or global CDR; the p/t-tau ratio associated weakly with age and disease duration, moderately with CDR-SB, but not with sex, MMSE, FAB, or global CDR (table e-4, links.lww.com/WNL/A319). Patients with GRN mutation had higher NfL levels and lower p/t-tau ratios than those with C9orf72, MAPT, or no known mutations (table e-4).

**Prediction of survival**

Five-year survival was 73% in patients with low CSF NfL levels, 55% in moderate levels, and 36% in high levels (figure 3A; estimated hazard ratio 1.7 [95% CI 1.3–2.1], p < 0.001). After stratification on diagnosis, this association between high NfL levels and poor survival was confirmed in bvFTD (p < 0.001), CBS (p = 0.001), and PSP (p < 0.001; Cox regression on continuous NfL levels).

For the p/t-tau ratio, 5-year survival was 37% in patients with a low ratio, 56% in moderate ratios, and 63% in high ratios (figure 3B; estimated hazard ratio 0.70 [95% CI 0.56–0.86], p = 0.001). Subanalyses by clinical diagnosis showed associations of lower p/t-tau ratios with a poorer survival in bvFTD and PSP (p < 0.001 and p = 0.04, respectively, Cox regression on continuous p/t-tau ratios).

**Discussion**

This study compared the clinical value of CSF biomarkers NfL and p/t-tau ratio in a large cohort of patients with FTD. We showed that both biomarkers (1) discriminate patients...
with FTD from controls, (2) are altered in FTD-MND vs other clinical FTD subtypes, and in PSP vs bvFTD, but not between the other clinical FTD subtypes, and (3) predict survival, and that (4) p/t-tau ratio differentiates underlying TDP from tau pathology.

In clinical practice, there is a need for diagnostic markers in FTD. Prior research on NfL in CSF9,24–26 and in blood9,24,27 demonstrated a good discrimination between FTD and controls or nonneurodegenerative diseases including primary psychiatric disorders, while diagnostic performance analyses on p/t-tau ratio to discriminate patients with FTD from controls are rare. Our results show that both biomarkers, and their combination, have a good specificity to discriminate patients with clinical FTD from controls, at the drawback of a poorer sensitivity. Few false-positive results were found, but a considerable number of false negatives were found, and thus these markers support—but cannot exclude—underlying neuronal damage. Both markers are indeed involved in neuronal loss: NfL is increased and overlaps in various neurodegenerative syndromes (e.g., AD, PSP, and vascular dementia), but increases are most pronounced in FTD and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis2,7,8,28–30; p/t-tau is decreased in various diseases characterized by marked neuronal loss, including Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, AD, and FTLD-TDP.10,13,14,31–33 Overlap in underlying pathophysiology is supported by our results showing correlation between the 2 markers.

Since both NfL and the p/t-tau ratio are aspecific neurodegeneration markers, we anticipated a limited role in subtyping different phenotypes. Indeed, NfL levels were comparable across bvFTD, mvPPA, svPPA, and CBS, in concordance with prior results in other series.7,27,30,34 This study demonstrates a similar pattern for the p/t-tau ratio for the first time. Meanwhile, both CSF markers discriminated FTD-MND from other subtypes as supported by previous research10,24; in clinical practice, the added value of these markers may seem limited because MND is ascertained by clinical and EMG examination, but they could warn for subclinical MND. NfL and the p/t-tau ratio also differed between patients with PSP and bvFTD; nevertheless, levels considerably overlapped, yielding a diagnostic performance that is insufficient to implement in clinical practice. The lack of higher NfL and lower p/t-tau ratio in lvPPA compared with controls is likely explained by the small subgroup (n = 4) and not by underlying AD pathology because they had a definite FTD diagnosis (one C9orf72 repeat expansion, one optineurin mutation) and/or normal AD CSF biomarkers (n = 2).

NfL did not discriminate underlying TDP from tau pathology, or improve the performance of the ratio, which contrasts to smaller studies showing high NfL levels in FTLD-TDP.10,30 In our sample, NfL levels strongly varied in patients with C9orf72 repeat expansions, including a considerable number of patients with low levels. Including patients with suspected pathology did yield higher NfL levels in FTLD-TDP, but this seems to result from very high levels in patients with FTD-MND. These findings underline the heterogeneity in pathophysiology in FTLD.

In concordance with earlier reports10,13,14 we show that the p/t-tau ratio is specific to differentiate TDP from tau pathology.
pathology, which can enable the application of disease-modifying agents that target a specific underlying pathology. Compared with previous studies,13,35 we report different absolute tau levels and ratios because of different measurement platforms; this impedes direct comparison of the studies and illustrates the need for local cutoffs or multicenter evaluation.

The pathophysiologic background of a low p/t-tau ratio is not entirely clear; the p/t-tau ratio differed between patients and controls because of elevated t-tau levels—representing neuronal loss—in concordance with prior studies.10,33,36 In contrast, the observed differences between underlying TDP and tau pathology were mainly driven by low p-tau levels in FTLD-TDP. Prior studies have reported conflicting results: p-tau was decreased in TDP pathology,13,32,35 t-tau was increased,14 or no differences were found.10,33 These differences may be explained by variation in cohort compositions, especially regarding the proportion of genetic patients, of concomitant MND, and of AD copathology. We found similar p/t-tau ratios in patients with low vs high AD copathology, but it is not possible to draw firm conclusions since the group with copathology was too small and mostly excluded because of the study design. A recent study observed an association of antemortem CSF p-tau, and not t-tau, with postmortem cerebral tau pathology, suggesting that low p-tau levels reflect the low tau burden in FTLD-TDP.35

In the day-to-day practice, prognostic markers are important to inform patients and caregivers and to customize treatment plans. The present study corroborates the association of NfL and the p/t-tau ratio with survival,9,10,37 and shows 5-year survival rates that can be applied to clinical patients, if replicated. In clinical trials, this can aid in sample size estimations that will facilitate trial efficiency.

Lastly, these biomarkers—especially NfL—could serve as surrogate endpoints in therapeutic trials, supported by the association with disease severity we show, as in earlier reports.7,9,27 For example, in multiple sclerosis, a dynamical decrease of CSF NfL was observed after treatment intervention.38 A similar application in dementia is endorsed by an amyloidosis mouse model, in which BACE1-inhibitor treatment reduced the Aβ deposits along with CSF NfL levels.39 Furthermore, CSF NfL strongly correlates with serum NfL in FTD,9,24 implicating that NfL can be determined in a less invasive way, enabling repeated sampling. In this clinical study, we have investigated CSF, because its collection (and not serum) is an integral part of our diagnostic process to exclude AD, and has the advantage of allowing measurement of NfL simultaneously with markers unmeasurable in blood (i.e., p/t-tau ratio). In future trial settings, one could envision a CSF measurement of the ratio and NfL at baseline, to stratify on suspected underlying pathology and disease progression, and subsequent serum NfL measurements for monitoring.

Strengths of this study include a large, well-characterized cohort with a large number of definite FTD diagnoses (n = 68). This study was an important head-to-head comparison of NfL vs the p/t-tau ratio across the entire FTD spectrum, including PSP and CBS, which is representative of a memory clinic population. We also show the differences in p/t-tau ratio across clinical diagnoses. Our information can aid in moving these biomarkers from benchside to clinical practice. The exclusion of patients with a low CSF Aβ42 and high tau may have excluded some patients with FTLD3; however, it ensures that no concomitant AD pathology is causing alterations in the studied biomarkers and therefore enabled a pure study cohort. A limitation is the retrospective design, which resulted in missing data and the lack of FTD-specific scales (e.g., Frontotemporal Dementia Rating Scale or FTD-CDR-SB),40 and the fact that we did not include longitudinal samples, which are necessary to determine the usability in trial settings.

Both NfL and the p/t-tau ratio thus show similar patterns in discriminating clinical FTD groups and predicting survival. This implies that the markers are interchangeable for these applications, and NfL has the advantage of being measurable in blood (although the performance in blood remains to be proven). However, to stratify underlying TDP from tau pathology, the p/t-tau ratio should be used since it outperforms NfL.
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