Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV) are deadly zoonotic viruses for which no vaccines or therapeutics are licensed for human use. Henipavirus infection causes severe respiratory illness and encephalitis. Although the exact route of transmission in human is unknown, epidemiological studies and in vivo studies suggest that the respiratory tract is important for virus replication. However, the target cells in the respiratory tract are unknown, as are the mechanisms by which henipaviruses can cause disease. In this study, we characterized henipavirus pathogenesis using primary cells derived from the human respiratory tract. The growth kinetics of NiV-Malaysia, NiV-Bangladesh, and HeV were determined in bronchial/tracheal epithelial cells (NHBE) and small airway epithelial cells (SAEC). In addition, host responses to infection were assessed by gene expression analysis and immunoassays. Viruses replicated efficiently in both cell types and induced large syncytia. The host response to henipavirus infection in NHBE and SAEC highlighted a difference in the inflammatory response between HeV and NiV strains as well as intrinsic differences in the ability to mount an inflammatory response between NHBE and SAEC. These responses were highest during HeV infection in SAEC, as characterized by the levels of key cytokines (interleukin 6 [IL-6], IL-8, IL-1β, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 [MCP-1], and colony-stimulating factors) responsible for immune cell recruitment. Finally, we identified virus strain-dependent variability in type I interferon antagonism in NHBE and SAEC: NiV-Malaysia counteracted this pathway more efficiently than NiV-Bangladesh and HeV. These results provide crucial new information in the understanding of henipavirus pathogenesis in the human respiratory tract at an early stage of infection.

Nipah (NiV) and Hendra virus (HeV) are emerging zoonotic pathogens of the family Paramyxoviridae and are classified in the genus Henipavirus (1). NiV and HeV both cause severe and often fatal respiratory disease and/or encephalitis in animals and humans. HeV was first isolated during an outbreak of respiratory and neurologic disease in horses and humans in Australia in 1994 (2–5). To date, a total of 5 outbreaks of HeV involving human cases have been reported in Australia, and 7 human cases with a case fatality rate of 57% have been identified (6). The first human cases of NiV infection were identified during an outbreak of severe febrile encephalitis in Malaysia and Singapore in 1998–1999 (7, 8). Several other outbreaks have occurred thereafter in Bangladesh and India almost yearly since 2001 (6, 9, 10), with the last outbreak reported in Bangladesh in 2012 (11). NiV strains from Malaysia (M) and Bangladesh (B) are genetically distinct based on phylogenetic analyses using amino acid sequences (6, 12). To date, NiV has been responsible for more than 500 human cases, with mortality rates ranging from 40% (in Malaysia) (13) to 100% (in Bangladesh and India) (6, 11, 14). The natural hosts of henipaviruses are fruit bats (Pteropus species) (15–17), and transmission of these viruses from bats to humans may be direct or via an intermediate host like horses or pigs for HeV and NiV transmission, respectively (2, 15, 18–23). Interestingly, respiratory symptoms such as cough and difficulty breathing were reported for about 70% of NiV-B-infected and less than 30% of NiV-M-infected patients (24). In addition, in recent outbreaks, a high incidence of person-to-person transmission has been reported in Bangladesh and India (9, 25, 26) as opposed to the outbreaks of NiV in Malaysia and HeV in Australia, suggesting differences in host-virus interactions between genetically distinct henipaviruses.

The main target cells during the late stage of henipavirus infection in humans are endothelial cells of blood vessels, resulting in vasculitis, vasculitis-induced thrombosis, and vascular microinfarction in the central nervous system (CNS) but also in other organs such as the lungs, spleen, and kidneys (27–29). The infection also reaches the CNS parenchymal cells, which all together play an important role in the pathogenicity of henipaviruses (27, 30).

Although the exact route of infection in humans is unknown, previous studies in several laboratory animal models reported an efficient infection through intranasal challenge (31–35), suggesting that the respiratory tract is one of the first targets of virus replication. In addition, both NiV and HeV have been isolated from oropharyngeal and respiratory secretions from humans and animals (36–38), which emphasizes the importance of the respiratory tract in virus replication and potential transmission. In hamsters, NiV infection of the respiratory tract is initiated in the trachea and progresses down the respiratory tract, infecting the bronchial epithelium and finally causing severe hemorrhagic pneumonia, including the characteristic syncytium formation in the pulmonary endothelium. In contrast, HeV infection is initiated primarily in the small airways of the lungs and not in the trachea or bronchi (34). In humans, henipavirus infection is characterized by influenza-like illness, which can progress to pneumonia (8, 13, 23, 39, 40) or acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) as characterized in some cases of NiV-B infection (10).
Interestingly, while limited data are available on the histopathology of the lungs of henipavirus cases, changes in the respiratory tract in NIV-M-infected patients include hemorrhage, necrosis, and inflammation in the epithelium of the small airways but not in the bronchi (29), similar to observations in hamsters (34) and nonhuman primates (33). Finally, lesions and inflammation were reported to occur in the small airways of HeV-infected patients (33). Nonhuman primates (33) were used to analyze and interpret data. The analyses of the most significant biological functional groups and canonical pathways affected per condition were performed using an uncorrected P value calculation method [right-tailed Fisher exact method, P < 0.05 or −log(P value) > 1.3]. A ratio (r) accounting for the number of overlapping genes from a particular pathway was also introduced to help in the analyses of filtered data.

Gene expression analysis. Total cellular RNA from NHBE and SAEC was extracted at 24 h postinfection (p.i.) in triplicate using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) and RNA integrity assessed by visualization of 18S and 28S RNA bands using an Agilent BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Total RNA extracted from the samples was processed using the RNA labeling protocol described by Ambion (MessageAmp aRNA kit instruction manual) and hybridized to Affymetrix Gene Chips (HGU133 Plus 2.0 arrays, total genome). Data quality was assessed by applying the quality matrix generated by the Affymetrix GeneChip Command Console (AGCC) software. The resulting data were analyzed with Partek Genomics Suite (Partek, St. Louis, MO).

Materials and methods. NiV-Malaysia (NiV-M), NiV-Bangladesh (NiV-B), and HeV were kindly provided by the Special Pathogens Branch of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA). The viruses were propagated on Vero cells (CCL-81; ATCC) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 400 mM l-glutamine, 4,500 mg/liter of glucose, and sodium pyruvate (Thermo Scientific, Logan, UT) and supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml of penicillin, and 0.1 mg of streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 37°C and 5% CO2. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates for virus titration.

NHBE derived from the bronchial tube and normal SAEC derived from the distal airspace were obtained from the Clonetics Bronchial/Tracheal Epithelial Cell System and the Clonetics Normal Human Small Airway Epithelial Cell System (Clonetics, San Diego, CA), respectively. Monolayers of undifferentiated NHBE and SAEC were cultured in 150-cm2 flasks for 8 days (37°C and 5% CO2) with a bronchial epithelial cell basal medium supplied with growth factors in the BEGM BulletKit and with a SAEC basal medium supplied with growth factors in the SAGM BulletKit, respectively. All NHBE and SAEC infections were performed at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 for 1 h to ensure synchronous infection. Cells were then rinsed three times with 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and appropriate medium was added. NHBE and SAEC were seeded in 6-well plates at 1 × 105 cells/well (each condition performed in triplicate) the day prior to infection for virus replication, gene expression, and cytokine and chemokine quantification. NHBE and SAEC were seeded in 12-well plates at 3.5 × 104 cells/well (each condition performed in triplicate) the day prior to infection for the quantification of Stat1 and phospho-Stat1 (p-Stat1) by Western blotting.

Viruses were titrated on Vero cells in 96-well plates using 10-fold virus dilutions in triplicate. Virus titer was expressed as median 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID50)/ml using the method of Reed and Muench (41). All work with live viruses was performed in Galveston National Laboratory Level 4 (biosafety level 4 [BSL4]) at the University of Texas Medical Branch.

Real-time SYBR green qPCR assay design. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays were designed from the coding sequence (CDS) of the gene of interest (NCBI) and exon-exon junctions mapped via BLAT (42). Whenever possible, at least one of the two PCR primers was designed to transcode an exon-intron junction in order to reduce the impact of potential genomic DNA amplification in the surveyed RNA samples. Primers were designed with Primer Express 2.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using default settings (primer melting temperature Tm = 58°C to 60°C, GC content = 30 to 80%, and amplicon length = 90 to 150 nucleotides). Primers were synthesized (IDT, San Jose, CA) and reconstituted to a final concentration of 100 μM (master stock) prior to dilution to a working stock of 6 μM. Primer sequences used for quantifying the gene expression levels were as follows: Stat1 forward (for), GAAA GTATTACTCCAGGCGGAAAAG; Stat1 reverse (rev), TCATGACGATGTC ATCCCTCTGTGTTCA; CCL5 for, CCL5TCACTTGCCACAGCTGCTGTC; CCL5 rev, CGCCAGGCCTTCTCTTTCTCG; CXCL10 for, TGGAATTACAGGGTGTAGTACTAATGGTCGCT; CXCL10 rev, GCCGTACGTCTGGAAGCTTG; ISG15 rev, GACACCTGGAATTCGTTGCC; MX-1 for, GGA GCATGCTTCTCGCC; IFIT1 rev, TTAAGGGGAGACGCTGACC; IFNB1 for, GGAGTTCGAAAGGGAGGAGGACG; IFNB1 rev, TCCAGCAGCTGCTAATGGTACCT; IL-8 for, CAGCCTTCCTGCTTTACCTGAC; IL-8 rev, AGG TTTGGTAGATGTCTTTATAGACTG; ISG15 for, ATCTTGTGGCAGAAGAGAGACG; ISG15 rev, GCACACCTGGAATTCGTTGCC; MX-1 for, GGAGGAGATCTTCTCTGACACT; MX-1 rev, GCGGATCTGCTCGA GGTG; ephrin-B2 for, TCCAGGACTTGACGAGAGATCT; ephrin-B2 rev, GAAGTTCCGGCGCGTGGTAC; ephrin-B3 for, GTCTGAAAT GCCCATGAAAGA; and ephrin-B3 rev, GAGGTTGACTGCTGG TGG. Reverse transcription was performed on 1 μg of total RNA (previously assayed via Affymetrix GeneChip) with random primers, utilizing TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems) as previously assayed by the manufacturer. Although the mass of input RNA should not be utilized for normalization purposes, amounts of input RNA to be assayed should be equivalent. The reverse transcription reaction was used as the template for the subsequent PCR, consisting of FastStart Universal SYBR green PCR master mix (ROX) (Roche, Indianapolis, IN), 1 μl of template cDNA, and assay primers (300 nM final concentration) in a total reaction volume of 25 μl. Thermal cycling was carried out with an ABI
RESULTS

Primary human epithelial cells derived from the bronchi and the small airway are highly susceptible to henipavirus infection.

Epithelial cells derived from the bronchi (NHBE) and the small airways (SAEC) of the human respiratory tract were investigated as potential initial sites of henipavirus replication. Both cell types expressed the henipavirus receptors ephrin-B2 and -B3, suggesting that they are permissive for infection. Gene expression of ephrin-B2 in SAEC was 2-fold higher than in NHBE. In contrast, ephrin-B3 gene expression in NHBE was 5-fold higher than in SAEC. Upon infection, both NHBE and SAEC were highly permissive to NiV-M, NiV-B, and HeV replication. Using an MOI of 5 to synchronize infection, the first cytopathic effect (CPE), characterized by syncytium formation, in infected NHBE and SAEC was observed as early as 12 to 18 h postinfection (p.i.), independent of the virus strain. NiV-infected cells showed extensive syncytium formation compared to that of HeV-infected cells at 24 h p.i. (Fig. 1A and B). NHBE and SAEC cultures infected with any of the henipavirus strains showed complete CPE by 48 h p.i. HeV and NiV replication was able to reach a high titer (greater than 10^{6.5} TCID_{50}/ml) in both NHBE and SAEC at 32 h p.i. No significant differences between replication of the two NiV strains and HeV were observed in NHBE at any time point up to 48 h p.i. (Fig. 1C).

In contrast, in SAEC, HeV replicated significantly faster up to 18 h p.i. (10^{5.2} TCID_{50}/ml) than did NiV-M and NiV-B (10^{1.9} and 10^{1.8} TCID_{50}/ml, respectively; P < 0.05).

Study of gene expression in henipavirus-infected NHBE and SAEC.

Characterization of the global henipavirus-host interactions in SAEC and NHBE was performed by microarray analysis at 24 h p.i., a time point at which virus titers were identical for all three viruses (Fig. 1C and D) and differential expression of host immune response-related genes, such as those for IL-6, MX-1, and CXCL10, was highest at a time point at which virus titers were identical for all three viruses (Fig. 1C and D). The data were analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) and technical annotation tools. Importantly, IPA identified biological functions that were common to all 3 virus strains (Fig. 2B).

To gain more insight into the immune response against henipavirus infection in NHBE and SAEC, the most significant functional annotations were determined by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis using all differentially expressed genes per condition (i.e., NiV-M or NiV-B or HeV in NHBE or SAEC) (Fig. 3A and B). In NHBE, the data showed that NiV-M was the only virus that did not affect the expression of genes related to the antimicrobial response, the cell-mediated immune response, and immune cell trafficking. Also, NiV-M infection in NHBE affected very few genes from the inflammatory response compared to NiV-B or HeV (Fig. 3A) but triggered twice more differentially expressed genes in the infectious disease group than did NiV-B infection. In SAEC, NiV-M and NiV-B infection triggered similar numbers of differentially expressed genes related to the inflammatory response, the infectious disease, the cell-mediated immune response, and immune cell trafficking but did not modify the expression of genes related to the antimicrobial response (Fig. 3B).

Finally, HeV infection triggered at least three times more differentially expressed genes related to the inflammatory response than NiV-M or NiV-B infection.
The most significant immune response-related canonical pathways were then determined using all differentially expressed genes. In NHBE, the IFN signaling pathway and the pattern recognition receptors (PRR) pathway, which included Toll-like receptor signaling and RIG-I-like receptor signaling, were in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by HeV (Fig. 3C), as with the IL-6 and IFN signaling pathways in SAEC (Fig. 3D). None of these pathways in NHBE or SAEC were reported in such a high rank or could even be considered \( P < 0.05 \) using NiV-M or NiV-B (Fig. 3C and D). Surprisingly, no immune response-related canonical pathways were observed in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by NiV infection in NHBE and SAEC, with the exception of B cell receptor signaling in NiV-B-infected NHBE. In addition, the \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling during NiV-B infection in NHBE were more significant than in HeV or NiV-M infection (Fig. 3C). The \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling were significant in NiV-M infection but not during NiV-B infection in SAEC (Fig. 3D). Finally, an analysis of the differentially expressed genes that were unique to NiV-M or NiV-B infection (Fig. 2B) did not show any immune response-related canonical pathway in either NHBE or SAEC \( (P > 0.05) \). However, using HeV, the roles of PRR, IFN signaling, and RIG-I-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity pathway had significant \( P \) values in NHBE, as did IFN signaling, IL-6, GM-CSF, PRR in recognition of viruses, and the IL-17 pathway in SAEC.

Overall, the fold changes for the most upregulated immune response-related canonical pathways were then determined using all differentially expressed genes. In NHBE, the IFN signaling pathway and the pattern recognition receptors (PRR) pathway, which included Toll-like receptor signaling and RIG-I-like receptor signaling, were in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by HeV (Fig. 3C), as with the IL-6 and IFN signaling pathways in SAEC (Fig. 3D). None of these pathways in NHBE or SAEC were reported in such a high rank or could even be considered \( P < 0.05 \) using NiV-M or NiV-B (Fig. 3C and D). Surprisingly, no immune response-related canonical pathways were observed in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by NiV infection in NHBE and SAEC, with the exception of B cell receptor signaling in NiV-B-infected NHBE. In addition, the \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling during NiV-B infection in NHBE were more significant than in HeV or NiV-M infection (Fig. 3C). The \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling were significant in NiV-M infection but not during NiV-B infection in SAEC (Fig. 3D). Finally, an analysis of the differentially expressed genes that were unique to NiV-M or NiV-B infection (Fig. 2B) did not show any immune response-related canonical pathway in either NHBE or SAEC \( (P > 0.05) \). However, using HeV, the roles of PRR, IFN signaling, and RIG-I-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity pathway had significant \( P \) values in NHBE, as did IFN signaling, IL-6, GM-CSF, PRR in recognition of viruses, and the IL-17 pathway in SAEC.

Overall, the fold changes for the most upregulated immune response-related canonical pathways were then determined using all differentially expressed genes. In NHBE, the IFN signaling pathway and the pattern recognition receptors (PRR) pathway, which included Toll-like receptor signaling and RIG-I-like receptor signaling, were in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by HeV (Fig. 3C), as with the IL-6 and IFN signaling pathways in SAEC (Fig. 3D). None of these pathways in NHBE or SAEC were reported in such a high rank or could even be considered \( P < 0.05 \) using NiV-M or NiV-B (Fig. 3C and D). Surprisingly, no immune response-related canonical pathways were observed in the top 10 list of the total canonical pathways affected by NiV infection in NHBE and SAEC, with the exception of B cell receptor signaling in NiV-B-infected NHBE. In addition, the \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling during NiV-B infection in NHBE were more significant than in HeV or NiV-M infection (Fig. 3C). The \( P \) values associated with IL-6 and -8 signaling were significant in NiV-M infection but not during NiV-B infection in SAEC (Fig. 3D). Finally, an analysis of the differentially expressed genes that were unique to NiV-M or NiV-B infection (Fig. 2B) did not show any immune response-related canonical pathway in either NHBE or SAEC \( (P > 0.05) \). However, using HeV, the roles of PRR, IFN signaling, and RIG-I-like receptors in antiviral innate immunity pathway had significant \( P \) values in NHBE, as did IFN signaling, IL-6, GM-CSF, PRR in recognition of viruses, and the IL-17 pathway in SAEC.
response-related genes were higher in SAEC than in NHBE using the same virus and higher in HeV-infected than in NiV-infected cells (Table 1). These included chemokines (CCL5, CXCL10, and CXCL11), interleukins (IL-8 and IL-24A), interferon-induced proteins (IFIT1, IFIT3, MX-1, IFI6, IFI27, and IFI44L), and IFN-β1. The relative quantifications of a subset of these upregulated genes in infected NHBE and SAEC (the genes for CCL5, CXCL10, CXCL11, IFIT1, IL-8, ISG15, MX-1, Stat1, and IFN-β1) were confirmed by real-time qPCR assays (Fig. 4), which confirmed the fold change observed with the microarray data (Table 1).

Cytokine and chemokine production by henipavirus-infected NHBE and SAEC. The amount of a subset of cytokines and chemokines produced by henipavirus-infected NHBE and SAEC was determined to confirm the gene expression results (Fig. 5). Among a panel of 28 human cytokines and chemokines tested, only 6 cytokines (G-CSF, GM-CSF, IL-1α, IL-6, IL-8, and VEGF) plus 3 chemokines (CXCL10, MCP-1, and eotaxin) were detected in the supernatant of NHBE or SAEC at 24 h.p.i. Surprisingly, genes for G-CSF and MCP-1 were not significantly expressed in the microarray analysis, while their protein expression levels in the supernatants of infected NHBE or SAEC were elevated at least 2-fold compared to those in uninfected NHBE or SAEC. This was also true for GM-CSF in NHBE and for IL-1α in SAEC. Finally, the quantities of IL-6, IL-8, VEGF, CXCL10, and eotaxin detected in the supernatant of infected cells were concomitant with their respective gene expression fold changes.

With exception of VEGF, all cytokines and chemokines were secreted at consistently higher concentrations in the supernatants of SAEC than in the supernatants of NHBE when the same virus was used. Surprisingly, no significant difference could be noticed between NiV-M and NiV-B infection in the supernatant of infected NHBE or SAEC as to the amount of cytokines and chemokines, with the exception of G-CSF and GM-CSF in NHBE (P < 0.05). IL-1α and CXCL10 were significantly higher in the supernatant of HeV-infected SAEC than in NiV-M- or NiV-B-infected SAEC (P < 0.001). This difference was also noticed in the supernatant of NHBE with CXCL10 (P < 0.001). Conversely, MCP-1 was the only selected chemokine that was at a lower concentration in the supernatant of HeV-infected SAEC than in the supernatant of NiV-infected SAEC.

Type I interferon pretreatment of epithelial cells derived from the bronchi and the small airway can limit henipavirus replication. Since NiV and HeV have been shown to counteract the innate immune response and particularly the type I interferon (IFN) response, the effect of IFN treatment on virus replication in NHBE and SAEC was assessed (Fig. 6). Cells were treated with 1,000 IU/ml of IFN-β for 24 h prior to infection. IFN treatment of NHBE cells resulted in complete inhibition of NiV-M and NiV-B replication, while low levels of HeV replication were observed at 32 h.p.i. (10³.7, 10³.5, and 10³.8 TCID₅₀/ml for NiV-M, NiV-B, and HeV, respectively; P > 0.05). The mean virus titer of HeV, NiV-M, and NiV-B at 32 h.p.i. was decreased by 2 to 3 log units (P > 0.05) in NHBE pretreated with IFN. IFN treatment of SAEC delayed both NiV-M and HeV replication up to 32 h, while NiV-B replication was observed as early as 24 h.p.i. (10³.4, 10³.7, and 10³.6 TCID₅₀/ml for NiV-M, NiV-B, and HeV, respectively; P < 0.001). The mean virus titer of NiV-M, NiV-B, or HeV at 32 h.p.i. was decreased 1 to 2 log units (P < 0.05) in IFN-treated SAEC.
Characterization of the interferon immune response in henipavirus-infected NHBE and SAEC. Based on the microarray results, the interferon signaling pathway was one of the most significant canonical pathways affected by HeV but not by NiV infection in both NHBE and SAEC at 24 h p.i. The ability of HeV and NiV strains to antagonize the interferon signaling was thus assessed in time by quantifying the amount of phosphorylated Stat1. The interferon signaling pathway was one of the most significant pathways affected by HeV infection in human respiratory epithelium using undifferentiated primary epithelial cell monolayers derived from bronchi and small airways to study the role of the host responses in pathogenesis.

The epithelial cells derived from the bronchi (NHBE) and the small airways (SAEC) of the human respiratory tract were highly susceptible to NiV and HeV infection, as characterized by high peak titers and characteristic syncytium formation as early as 12 h p.i. Although HeV initially replicated faster than NiV-M and NiV-B in the small airways, all virus strains reached similar peaks of replication as early as 32 h p.i. in both cell types, making them likely targets for virus infection during natural infection. The efficient replication of HeV in bronchus-derived epithelial cells was surprising, as we previously observed that HeV antigen could not be detected in the epithelium of trachea and bronchi of infected humans. Despite intensive studies, little is known about the early stages of henipavirus infection in the human respiratory tract. Here we report the establishment and characterization of NiV and HeV infection in human respiratory epithelium using undifferentiated primary epithelial cell monolayers derived from bronchi and small airways to study the role of the host responses in pathogenesis.

### TABLE 1 Top upregulated genes in the immune response and interferon signaling of infected cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Gene identity for humans</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fold change NHBE</th>
<th>Fold change SAEC</th>
<th>Fold change HeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CXCL11</td>
<td>6373</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 11</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>147.6</td>
<td>70.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTH</td>
<td>1491</td>
<td>Cystathionase (cystathionine gamma-lase)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL13RA2</td>
<td>3598</td>
<td>Interleukin 13 receptor, alpha 2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSLP</td>
<td>85480</td>
<td>Thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>9636</td>
<td>ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifer</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF3</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>Activating transcription factor 3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL2</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>3627</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRC3</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>Baculoviral IAP repeat containing 3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCL5</td>
<td>6352</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL28A</td>
<td>282616</td>
<td>Interleukin 28A (interferon, lambda 2)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI6</td>
<td>2537</td>
<td>Alpha interferon-inducible protein 6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIH4L</td>
<td>10964</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein 44-like</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>3576</td>
<td>Interleukin 8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA52</td>
<td>4939</td>
<td>2',5'-Oligoadenylate synthetase 2, 69/71 kDa</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-24</td>
<td>11009</td>
<td>Interleukin 24</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI27</td>
<td>3429</td>
<td>Interferon-inducible protein 27</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG20</td>
<td>3669</td>
<td>Interferon-stimulated exonuclease gene, 20 kDa</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL3</td>
<td>2921</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT3</td>
<td>3437</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stat1</td>
<td>6772</td>
<td>Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS1</td>
<td>4938</td>
<td>2',5'-Oligoadenylate synthetase 1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1</td>
<td>4599</td>
<td>Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1, interferon-inducible protein p78 (mouse)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β</td>
<td>3456</td>
<td>Beta 1 interferon</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI35</td>
<td>3430</td>
<td>Interferon-induced protein 35</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFITM1</td>
<td>8519</td>
<td>Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(p-Stat1/Stat1 ratio of 0), while the ratios in uninfected NHBE or SAEC were equal to 1.2 and 1.0, respectively (P < 0.001).

### DISCUSSION

Hendra and Nipah viruses are newly emerging zoonotic viruses that can cause severe respiratory illness and encephalitis in humans. Despite intensive studies, little is known about the early stages of henipavirus infection in the human respiratory tract. Here we report the establishment and characterization of NiV and HeV infection in human respiratory epithelium using undifferentiated primary epithelial cell monolayers derived from bronchi and small airways to study the role of the host responses in pathogenesis.
hamsters, suggesting limited replication in these cells (34). One explanation is that this observation is species specific. Another key difference is the fact that we used undifferentiated epithelial cells in the current study. The conditions present in tissue culture result in a dedifferentiation and subsequent loss of beating cilia, which may affect virus entry and replication by an unidentified mechanism. Studies of the role of epithelial differentiation in virus susceptibility and host responses are ongoing. Both NHBE and SAEC types have previously been used as in vitro models to study a variety of respiratory viruses, including other members of the Paramyxoviridae family such as measles virus and respiratory syncytial virus (44, 45). Overall, these data suggest that human epithelial cells derived from the bronchi and the small airways are highly relevant to study henipavirus replication in the respiratory epithelium.

The global analysis of host gene expression revealed two major characteristics. First, henipavirus infection of SAEC resulted in higher fold changes in host gene expression than obtained with NHBE, suggesting that epithelial cells derived from different areas of the human respiratory tract respond differentially to henipavirus infection. This result is in line with the observations that NIV infection in humans cases, as well as in hamsters, resulted in inflammation of the small airways but not the bronchi (29, 34). Second, infection of NHBE and SAEC with NIV-M, NIV-B, and HeV resulted in differences in induction of host immune response between these genetically distinct henipavirus strains. Overall, host responses were higher in HeV-infected cells than in cells infected with either NIV strain. Specifically, NIV-M infection in NHBE resulted in differential expression of very few genes related to the inflammatory response compared to results obtained with NIV-B or HeV. This is in agreement with the observation that no inflammation occurred in the epithelium of bronchi in NIV-M-infected patients (29) and suggests that inflammation may occur in the bronchi of NIV-B- or HeV-infected patients even though no histopathological changes have been reported so far in this area. Our data clearly showed that key inflammatory mediators, including IL-6, IL-8, CXCL10, MCP-1, and CCL5, were highly upregulated in SAEC but not in NHBE infected with henipavirus. Interestingly, HeV induced higher levels of these cytokines and chemokines than did both NIV strains, with the exception of MCP-1. IL-6, which is released by epithelial cells and macrophages in the respiratory tract, promotes pulmonary inflammation, and high levels in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and in serum are correlated with severity and mortality of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (46, 47) and with severe disease, including respiratory insufficiency in pandemic H1N1 influenza virus-infected patients (48, 49), respectively. In addition, inhibition of IL-6 reduces lung injury in mice with acute kidney injury by reducing the levels of IL-8 and neutrophil influx in the lung (50). In line with the previous statement, IL-8 has also been shown to control neutrophil-mediated inflammation during rhinovirus infection (51) and to contribute in the pathogenesis of ARDS (52–55), and it was recently reported at elevated levels in serum of H5N1-infected patients, especially in those who succumbed to the
infection due to respiratory insufficiency (56). Therefore, our data suggest that IL-6 and IL-8 play important roles in inflammation of the small airways due to an increased neutrophil influx in the small airways, as observed in NiV-M-infected dogs (57) and in HeV-infected swine (58), compared to the bronchi. Chemokines such as CXCL10 and MCP-1 are macrophage chemoattractants and, along with CCL5, recruit circulating leukocytes to the inflamed tissue. Overexpression of CXCL10 has previously been reported to occur in the lung of NiV-M- and HeV-infected hamsters and correlated with the influx of inflammatory cells (34, 59). Its gene expression level in the lungs of HeV-infected hamsters was also higher than in NiV-infected hamsters (34), corroborating our observations in infected NHBE and SAEC. Even though it has been shown that MCP-1 can be released from bronchial epithelium and contributes to the inflammatory pathology of bronchial asthma (60), in the current study, MCP-1 was expressed in in-

FIG 5 Quantification of the principal cytokines and chemokines secreted in the supernatants of NHBE and SAEC at 24 h p.i. Cytokines and chemokines were quantified in infected or uninfected cells as described in Materials and Methods. Results are expressed as the averages of 3 repetitions, and bars represent standard deviations. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001 (ANOVA; Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test.

FIG 6 Type I interferon pretreatment of epithelial cells from the bronchi and the small airways can limit henipavirus replication. Shown are the kinetics of virus replication in NHBE (A) and SAEC (B) left untreated (solid lines) or pretreated with 1,000 IU/ml of IFN-β1 for 24 h prior to infection (dashed lines). Results are expressed as the averages of 3 repetitions, with standard deviations. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to the detection limit. The symbols *, #, and \ correspond to significant differences of virus replication levels between untreated and IFN-treated cells using the same strain, using both NIV strains and HeV, respectively (P < 0.05; paired t test).
fected SAEC only. Similarly, CCL5 gene expression was also mainly observed in infected SAEC, especially during HeV infection, which suggests altogether an important role of macrophage and circulating leukocyte recruitment in the small airways, leading to a larger inflammatory response than in the bronchi. These results are in agreement with histopathological changes observed in the lungs of 2 HeV-infected patients showing either an acute pulmonary syndrome with intra-alveolar macrophages and other inflammatory cells in the small airways (28) or focal necrotizing alveolitis with an influx of macrophages as well (40). This is also in line with the high levels of the inflammatory cytokine IL-1α and granulocyte-stimulating factor (G-CSF and GM-CSF) secreted in the supernatant of infected SAEC and, again, mostly during HeV infection.

In addition to uncovering the role of several key regulators of inflammation, microarray data also revealed differential gene expression in the signaling pathway of pattern recognition receptor (PRR) activation in NiV-B- and HeV-infected cells but not with NiV-M. The RIG-I-like receptor pathway was activated during HeV infection, suggesting that type I interferon was expressed in infected cells. This was confirmed by the increased IFN-β gene expression in all infected cells, mainly in SAEC and especially during HeV infection, which was in line with the activation of the IFN-α/β signaling pathway in HeV-infected cells. Consequently, higher levels of interferon-induced gene expression such as for IFIT1, IFIT3, MX-1, ISG-15, and IFIT35 were observed in HeV-infected cells than in NiV-infected cells. Surprisingly, the high expression of CXCL10 in HeV-infected cells was independent of gamma interferon induction and could be the result of a direct viral activation of steps in the interferon pathway (61–63). Interestingly, while NiV and HeV infection resulted in complete inhibition of Stat1 activation at 24 h p.i., NiV-M was more efficient at blocking Stat1 activation at earlier time points than were NiV-B and HeV. This result is in line with the differences of NiV-M replication levels in untreated cellular models and with pretreatment with IFN type I, which were higher than the levels of HeV, suggesting a more important role of IFN response blocking to satisfy NiV-M replication. Since growth kinetics for all three viruses were similar, it is likely that the viral proteins P, V, and W, which can each inhibit phosphorylation of Stat1 (64–69), differentially affect the IFN pathway dependent on the virus strain.

In conclusion, our data show that henipaviruses are able to efficiently replicate in epithelial cells derived from the bronchi and the small airways of the human respiratory tract. Infection of these cells results in a higher inflammatory response in SAEC than in NHBE, especially during HeV infection, including key inflammatory mediators: IL-6, IL-8, IL-1α, MCP-1, G-CSF, GM-CSF, and

FIG 7 Expression and activation of Stat1 during henipavirus infection in human primary epithelial cells from the respiratory tract. Prior to protein harvesting, all cells were treated with 1,000 IU/ml of IFN-β1 for 1 h (see Materials and Methods). The experiment was performed in triplicate. The Stat1 and phosphorylated Stat1 were detected by Western blotting, and the intensities were corrected using the beta-actin loading control. The p-Stat1/Stat1 ratios in uninfected and infected cells are plotted in panels A and B for NHBE and SAEC, respectively. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 (ANOVA; Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test).
CXCL10. Finally, we demonstrate a virus strain-dependent variability in type I IFN signaling. This study demonstrates that primary human epithelial cells derived from the bronchi and the small airways serve as an important site of HEV and NiV replication, suggesting that both viruses have the potential for human-to-human transmission through aerosols. We believe that these models allow for more detailed studies of the pathogenesis of respiratory disease caused by HEV and NiV infection in humans.

These data provide several target genes and pathways that potentially play roles in henipavirus pathogenesis which will be valuable as candidates for future studies of the mechanism of henipavirus pathogenesis and as potential targets for treatment.
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