Testing Bidirectional Associations Between Childhood Aggression and BMI: Results from Three Cohorts
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Objective: This study examined the prospective, potentially bidirectional association of aggressive behavior with BMI and body composition across childhood in three population-based cohorts.

Methods: Repeated measures of aggression and BMI were available from the Generation R Study between ages 6 and 10 years (N = 3,974), the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) between ages 7 and 10 years (N = 10,328), and the Swedish Twin Study of Child and Adolescent Development (TCHAD) between ages 9 and 14 years (N = 1,462). In all samples, aggression was assessed with the Child Behavior Checklist. Fat mass and fat-free mass were available in the Generation R Study. Associations were examined with cross-lagged modeling.

Results: Aggressive behavior at baseline was associated with higher BMI at follow-up in the Generation R Study (β = 0.02, 95% CI: 0.00 to 0.04), in NTR (β = 0.04, 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.06), and in TCHAD (β = 0.03, 95% CI: −0.02 to 0.07). Aggressive behavior was prospectively associated with higher fat mass (β = 0.03, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.05) but not fat-free mass. There was no evidence that BMI or body composition preceded aggressive behavior.

Conclusions: More aggressive behavior was prospectively associated with higher BMI and fat mass. This suggests that aggression contributes to the obesity problem, and future research should study whether these behavioral pathways to childhood obesity are modifiable.

Introduction

Childhood obesity is a worldwide public health problem, with an increasing prevalence expected to reach 9% of children in 2020 (1). Although accumulating evidence suggests that children with overweight show more externalizing behavioral problems (e.g., aggressive, oppositional behaviors) than children with normal weight (2,3), the directionality of the association between high BMI and aggressive behavioral problems in childhood remains unclear.

Four longitudinal population-based studies have previously examined the potential bidirectional association between externalizing behavior...
The aim of the current study was to examine the prospective, potentially bidirectional, associations between aggressive behavior and BMI across childhood. Insights obtained from this study will contribute to a better understanding of the behavioral—and potentially modifiable—pathways to obesity risk in childhood. Here, we assessed the direction of association between aggressive behavior and BMI in three large population-based cohorts from early childhood (ages 6-10 years) to early adolescence (ages 9-14 years). Furthermore, in one cohort, we also assessed the directionality of associations between externalizing behavior and BMI in childhood. Aggressive behaviors were shown to be the most common reasons for referral to child and adolescent mental health services, and they are substantially predictive of poorer long-term functioning and high societal costs (10). And although ADHD is often comorbid with aggression, no study has yet examined the independent prospective associations with BMI, which could provide further insight into the specific behavioral mechanisms of the development of obesity in childhood. Furthermore, previous studies have focused on BMI only, although adequately distinguishing fat mass from fat-free mass may benefit determining specificity of associations (11).

Independent replication of the relationship between BMI and aggressive behavior was performed in the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR; N = 10,328, assessed at ages 7 and 10 years) (15,16) and the Swedish Twin Study of Child and Adolescent Development (TCHAD; N = 1,462, assessed at ages 9 and 14 years) (17). Both twin cohorts are designed to investigate the genetic and environmental effects on children’s cognitive functioning, health, and emotional and behavioral problems during development. For the current analyses, both twins from each twin pair were included in the analyses, and these analyses were adjusted for family relatedness. Written informed consent and assent were obtained for all participants from all cohorts. Previous research in both twin cohorts has shown higher twin correlations for aggressive behavior in monozygotic (range, r = 0.48 to 0.84) versus dizygotic (range, r = 0.35 to 0.78) twins (18,19), indicating moderate to high twin heritability. Similarly, the twin heritability for BMI was estimated to be moderately high in childhood (20).

**Measurements**

**Aggressive behavior.** The aggressive behavior subscale of the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) was employed in all three studies. The CBCL was completed by the mothers and rated on a 3-point Likert scale (0 = not true, 1 = somewhat true, sometimes true, 2 = very true, often true). The CBCL is a reliable, valid measurement of emotional and behavior problems (21), including affective, anxiety, attention, and aggression problems, and is generalizable across societies worldwide (22). Moreover, the CBCL has been shown to be a valid screening instrument for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th Edition) externalizing disorders (23). In the Generation R Study, the CBCL/1.5-5 was used to measure aggressive behavior at a mean age of 6 years (24). This version of the CBCL was chosen because it was expected that most children would be younger than 6 years at assessment. Indeed, 57.4% of the children were 5 years old at the assessment wave, the remainder were 6 years (37.7%) or 7 years or older (4.9%), and we used the CBCL/1.5-5 version for all children during this assessment wave to enhance comparability across all children, as recommended in the Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment manual (24). The items of the aggressive behavior problems scale largely overlap with the CBCL/6-18 (e.g., “physically attacks” and “stubborn, sullen or irritable”). Earlier work from the Generation R Study demonstrated that the internal consistency (Cronbach α) was similar for all syndrome scales for children aged 5 years versus children older than 5 years (25), indicating that the CBCL/1.5-5 assesses aggressive behavior problems similarly in 5-year-old children and 6- to 7-year-old children. At the age of 10 years, aggressive behavior was measured with the CBCL/6-18, which was also used in the NTR cohort at both waves. In the TCHAD sample, the CBCL/4-18, which is an earlier version of the CBCL but with identical items that assess aggressive behavior problems, was used to assess aggressive behavior.

**Child BMI and body composition.** At the ages of 6 and 10 years, child weight and height were measured at the Generation R research center. The obtained BMIs (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) were standardized into BMI standard deviation (SD) scores by correcting for sex and age, using the Dutch national reference in the Growth Analyser program (http://www.growthanalyser.org). In the NTR and TCHAD cohorts, height (meters) and weight (kilograms) data were based on mother reports, which were used to calculate BMI.

In the Generation R Study, body composition at both ages was measured with a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry scanner (iDXA, 2008; GE Lunar, Madison, Wisconsin). Fat mass index (FMI) and fat-free mass index (FFMI) were converted into sex- and age-adjusted standardized scores.

**Methods**

**Study design and population**

The three population-based cohort samples included in the current study also collaborate under the European Union Seventh Framework Program—Aggression in Children: Unravelling Gene-Environment Interplay to Inform Treatment and Intervention Strategies (ACTION) consortium (13). First, primary analyses were conducted in the Generation R Study, a prospective cohort from fetal life onward in Rotterdam, the Netherlands. The study is designed to investigate early environmental and genetic pathways leading to normal and abnormal growth, development, and health (14). The study was approved by the medical ethical committee of the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam. For the current study, children with data on BMI, body composition, and aggressive behavior at ages both 6 and 10 years were included, resulting in a study sample of 3,974 children.
Covariates. Based on prior studies (2,3), the following sociodemographic covariates were included in the analyses. With respect to Generation R, sex and birth weight were determined using data from medical records. Maternal age, maternal education, and ethnicity of the child were assessed using questionnaires. Ethnicity of the child was categorized as Western or non-Western national origin. Highest attained maternal educational level was categorized into low, medium, and high educational level. Maternal psychopathology symptoms were determined through a self-reported questionnaire using the reliable and validated Brief Symptom Inventory (26), which includes 53 items encompassing a spectrum of psychiatric symptoms, comprising all subscales such as depression, anxiety, and hostility. Maternal BMI was measured at the research center when children were 6 years old.

In the NTR and TCHAD samples, similar covariates were available for adjustment of the models, namely gender, age at baseline, ethnicity of the child, birth weight, maternal educational level, maternal BMI (NTR only), and gestational age (NTR only). These data were derived from parent-reported questionnaires.

Statistical analyses
Cross-lagged structural equation modeling in Mplus 7.0 (Muthén & Muthén, Los Angeles, California) was used to examine the bidirectional relation between aggressive behavior and BMI/body composition over time. The cross-lagged model consisted of stability paths across two consecutive time points for each variable, cross-sectional paths between aggressive behavior and BMI, and cross-lagged paths between behavior and BMI over two time points. The cross-lagged paths indicated the extent to which aggressive behavior or BMI/body composition at time point 1 predicted scores on the other measure at time point 2, while accounting for stability and cross-sectional paths. In all three cohorts, separate sensitivity analyses were conducted with additional adjustment for attention problems, internalizing problems, and both problems at baseline. Social problems are not assessed with the CBCL/1.5-5, and therefore sensitivity analyses with additional adjustment for social problems at baseline were performed only in the NTR and TCHAD cohorts. Furthermore, we repeated our analyses in the Generation R Study after excluding all twin participants (n = 114) to ensure there was no overlap between this sample and the NTR. Finally, to increase the interpretability of results, the cross-lagged model of aggression with BMI in the Generation R Study was repeated using weight status categories (underweight/normal weight vs. overweight/obesity) instead of BMI continuously at ages 6 and 10 years. For the twin cohorts, the “complex option” of Mplus (clustering corrected robust maximum likelihood estimation) was used to take family dependency of the observations into account. To determine the model fit, root mean square error of approximation (≤0.08), comparative fit index (≥0.95), and Tucker Lewis Index (≥0.95) were used as indices to determine good model fit (27). In all samples, full information maximum likelihood was used to account for missing data. Standardized estimates are presented throughout.

Results
Study sample demographics
The baseline characteristics of the three samples were comparable (Table 1). Of note, the Generation R Study included more children with

| TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics of the included study populations |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                             | Generation R (N = 3,974)    | NTR (N = 10,328)            | TCHAD (N = 1,462)           |
|                             | 6 years                    | 10 years                   | 7 years                    |
|                             |                             |                             | 10 years                   |
| Child characteristics       |                             |                             | 9 years                    |
| Sex, % female               | 50.4                       | 51.2                       | 51.6                       |
| Age, y, mean (SD)           | 6.08 (0.40)                 | 9.75 (0.28)                | 7.42 (0.40)                |
| Ethnicity, %                |                             |                             | 10.05 (0.37)               |
| Dutch/Swedish               | 67.0                       | 94.3                       | 92.2                       |
| Other Western               | 8.8                        | 2.9                        | 5.9                        |
| Other Non-Western           | 24.2                       | 2.9                        | 1.9                        |
| Birth weight, g, mean (SD)  | 3,425.83 (567.20)          | 2,508.97 (536.97)          | 2,615.75 (526.39)          |
| Gestational age, mean (SD)  | 39.80 (1.85)               | 36.73 (2.47)               | N/A                        |
| BMI, kg/m², mean (SD)       | 15.99 (1.62)               | 17.34 (2.52)               | 15.35 (1.73)               |
| Age, y, mean (SD)           | 8.9                        | 2.6                        | 10.6                       |
| Medium                      | 29.2                       | 51.2                       | 56.1                       |
| Maternal characteristics    |                             |                             | 61.6                       |
| Mother’s age at baseline, mean (SD) | 0.10 (0.19) | N/A                        | N/A                        |
| Mother’s BMI, kg/m², mean (SD) | 25.27 (4.67) | 23.59 (3.66)               | N/A                        |
| Maternal psychopathology symptoms, median (IQR) | 0.10 (0.19) | N/A                        | N/A                        |
| Maternal educational level, % |                             |                             |                             |
| Low                         | 8.9                        | 2.6                        | 10.6                       |
| Medium                      | 29.2                       | 51.2                       | 56.1                       |
| High                        | 61.6                       | 46.2                       | 33.3                       |

Values based on original, unimputed data. Missingness was highest for maternal psychopathology symptoms in Generation R (24.3%), for maternal BMI in NTR (22.6%), and for ethnicity in TCHAD (7.5%). FFMI, fat-free mass index; FMI, fat mass index; IQR, interquartile range; N/A, not applicable; NTR, Netherlands Twin Register; TCHAD, Swedish Twin Study of Child and Adolescent Development.
a non-Western ethnic background than the replication samples, reflecting the urban population base of the former. As expected, averages for gestational age and birth weight were lower for the twin cohorts than in the Generation R Study.

Bidirectional association of aggressive behavior with BMI

Figure 1 shows the results of the cross-lagged model of aggressive behavior and BMI in the three cohorts. In the Generation R Study, BMI was highly stable over time ($\beta = 0.80$, 95% CI: 0.79 to 0.81), whereas aggressive behavior was moderately stable across the two time points ($\beta = 0.56$, 95% CI: 0.54 to 0.59). No cross-sectional associations were observed between aggressive behavior and BMI. With regard to the longitudinal relationships, aggressive behavior at age 6 years was associated with higher BMI at age 10 years ($\beta = 0.02$, 95% CI: 0.00 to 0.04). No such association was observed in the opposite direction (i.e., BMI at age 6 years was not predictive of subsequent increases or decreases in aggressive behavior problems at age 10 years).

In the NTR sample, aggressive behavior was associated with BMI at both time points in cross-sectional analysis ($\beta = 0.03$, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.06 and $\beta = 0.03$, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.05, respectively). Even following...
adjustment for this cross-sectional relationship, aggressive behavior at age 7 years was prospectively associated with subsequent higher BMI at age 10 years ($\beta = 0.04$, 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.06), but, conversely, BMI at age 7 years was not associated with subsequent more aggressive behavior at age 10 years. With respect to the TCHAD sample, no cross-sectional association between aggressive behavior and BMI was observed at age 9 years; this association was observed only at age 14 years ($\beta = 0.06$, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.11). Prospectively, aggressive behavior at age 9 years was not significantly associated with subsequent higher BMI at age 14 years, although the effect estimate had a magnitude ($\beta = 0.03$, 95% CI: −0.02 to 0.07) similar to those in the other two cohorts. An association in the reverse direction was not observed. Fit statistics of the cross-lagged models indicated good model fit in all three samples.

**Bidirectional association of aggressive behavior with fat mass and fat-free mass**
In the Generation R Study, aggressive behavior at age 6 years was associated with subsequent higher FMI at age 10 years (Figure 2, $\beta = 0.03$, 95% CI: 0.01 to 0.05). Again, this association was not seen in the opposite direction. No associations were observed between aggressive behavior and FFMI in either direction. Fit indices for the cross-lagged models pertaining to body composition both indicated good model fit.

**Sensitivity analyses**
Further analyses with additional adjustment for co-occurring attention problems, internalizing problems, or both yielded results similar to the main findings (Supporting Information Figures S1-S6).

---

**Figure 2** Cross-lagged association model for the association of aggressive behavior with fat mass and fat-free mass in the Generation R sample ($N = 3,974$). Estimates denote standardized $\beta$ coefficients. All models were adjusted for sample-specific covariates. Fit indices: fat mass, root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) = 0.07, comparative fit index (CFI) = 0.94, and Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) = 0.86; fat-free mass, RMSEA = 0.05, CFI = 0.96, and TLI = 0.92. *Significant at $P < 0.05$; numbers in between brackets denote 95% CIs. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
This was the case for the analyses pertaining to BMI and FMI, as well as FFMI. Similarly, analyses in NTR and TCHAD with additional adjustment for social problems resulted in similar associations (Supporting Information Figures S7-S8). Analyses excluding twin participants from the Generation R Study yielded results comparable to the main findings (data not shown). Finally, in analyses using categories of normal weight versus overweight/obesity, a 1-unit increase in aggressive behavior scores was associated with greater odds of overweight/obesity (odds ratio = 1.03, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.05, data not shown).

**Discussion**

We observed that early aggressive behavior problems were associated with subsequent higher BMI later in childhood in three independent population-based cohorts. We also demonstrated that aggressive behavior was specifically associated with subsequent higher fat mass but was not associated with higher fat-free mass. No associations were observed in the opposite direction (i.e., higher BMI or fat mass at baseline did not predict more aggressive behavior problems at follow-up). These observations were robust to additional adjustment for comorbid attention, social, and internalizing problems.

Although several studies have examined ADHD symptoms or the broader concept of externalizing problems in relation to BMI, to our knowledge, this is the first study focusing on the prospective relation between aggressive behavior and children’s BMI. The estimates of the association between aggressive behavior—a component of externalizing problems—and increase in BMI were all relatively small in magnitude but were consistent. Small effect estimates were expected, given the relationship studied and the use of cross-lagged model analyses, which are adjusted for cross-sectional and longitudinal stability paths as well as covariates. Nonetheless, estimates were small to modest, indicating that higher levels of aggressive behavior are only marginally predictive of higher BMI at follow-up, which is not surprising for composite complex phenotypes with many risk determinants such as BMI (28). Our findings in the Generation R sample of aggressive behavior predicting a higher BMI were replicated in the NTR sample of similar ages. Effect estimates in the older and smaller TCHAD sample were comparable in size to those observed in the other cohorts, although these associations were nonsignificant. Our findings extend previous studies showing associations between externalizing behavior and subsequent increases in BMI in children of younger and older ages (5-8), but these previous studies, similar to our study, did not find an association in the direction from BMI to subsequent higher externalizing problems. Our present study extends these investigations by providing a specific focus on aggressive behavior, which is important given that aggressive behaviors were shown to predict substantial societal costs in terms of health and social service use (10). The results from the aforementioned studies, which examined externalizing problems more generally, could be clouded by the well-established association between ADHD symptoms and obesity (9). Importantly, our findings remained in sensitivity analyses with additional adjustment for baseline attention problems, further suggesting a specific prospective link between aggressive behavior and higher BMI.

Thus, our findings suggest that aggressive behavior problems constitute one of the many contributing components of obesity in childhood. Although small in magnitude, the relatively modest effects obtained in the current study are of interest, as these associations might be indicative of one of the many likely pathways to increased weight and obesity later in life. Of note, the association between aggressive behavior and subsequently higher BMI was not statistically significant in the TCHAD sample of young adolescents. This lack of replication might potentially be due to the smaller sample size of the TCHAD cohort, which is not impossible considering the small estimates observed in the Generation R and NTR samples. These studies were larger in sample size, with corresponding lower standard errors and, hence, narrower CIs around regression estimates. Future examinations from childhood and adolescence into adulthood are required in order to determine specific developmentally sensitive periods, which are needed considering the stability of weight status across the life course (1).

We observed an association of aggressive behavior with subsequent higher fat mass but not with fat-free mass, indicating that aggressive behavior is specifically associated with weight-related physical health. This more in-depth finding of body composition adds to the existing literature, which typically has focused on BMI more generally (5-8). No associations were observed between baseline fat mass or lean mass and future changes in aggressive behavior problems, which further supported our main findings with regard to BMI. These findings lend support to the observation that aggressive behavior might also be considered in the multidisciplinary assessment of childhood obesity. Future research should focus on other behavioral indices of cardiometabolic health and the potential modifiability of these purported risk indicators.

A potential mechanism underlying the observed association might be that children who exhibit more aggressive behavior could also have more problems with behavioral self-regulation and inhibitory control (29). Deficits in self-regulation, specifically emotion regulation and behavioral inhibition, arise from executive functioning deficits (30). Children with deficits in self-regulation potentially do not have the ability to respond adequately to their internal feelings of hunger or satiety cues, which in turn leads to overeating (12), resulting in weight gain and potentially obesity in the long term. Indeed, studies have indicated that the neural pathways controlling appetite and behavioral inhibition are interrelated (31). In addition, temperament, behavior traits, taste preferences, and appetite are regulated by the dopaminergic system (32-34). Moreover, evidence suggested that traits such as eating behavior (35,36) and aggressive behavior (37) are both regulated via the same neurotransmitter pathways. Furthermore, aggressive behavior and BMI might share genetic vulnerabilities, which possibly explains the phenotypic associations identified in this study. Recent work has demonstrated common pathophysiological mechanisms for depressive symptoms and obesity (38), and this could potentially also apply to other psychiatric problems such as aggressive behavior, which are (genetically) related to depressed mood (39,40).

Another potential mechanism that could explain the association between aggressive behavior and increased BMI and fat mass comprises inadequate coping mechanisms of parents in response to the challenging aggressive behavior of their child. Parents may allow their child to consume more sweets or unhealthy food, might accept more easily their child’s refusal of healthy food, and may allow their children to exhibit sedentary activities such as watching television to avoid difficult behavior of their children (41). These actions may eventually, if performed regularly, result in a relatively high weight gain in children (42) and could thus mediate the relationship between aggressiveness and subsequent high BMI.

Strengths of the present study include the prospective study design of all three pediatric community cohorts with an identical instrument of
aggressive behavior. Moreover, we were able to analyze body composition in addition to BMI. However, several limitations should be noted. First, our analyses relied solely on mother reports of the CBCL, which could be subject to reporter bias. Repeated multiple informant assessments of aggressive behavior would be preferred, but this was not achievable in the current population-based design. Moreover, the CBCL is a valid and reliable measurement for aggressive behavior (22) and has good diagnostic accuracy for clinical disruptive behavior disorders (23). In addition, our analyses in the Generation R Study were adjusted for maternal BMI. Second, as in all population-based studies, the included cohorts experienced attrition. However, although affecting prevalence, selective loss to dropout often does not influence the strength of association (43). Third, it is well-established that not only obesity is important for determining physical health. Other factors, such as physical activity, also pose a significant risk for poorer physical health. In this study, we were not able to examine the directionality and possible mediation mechanisms among aggressive behavior, physical activity, and obesity, and further research is required to address these potentially modifiable pathways of risk. Fourth, measures of maternal psychopathology were unavailable in the NTR and TCHAD cohorts. However, adjustment for psychiatric symptoms of the mother only marginally affected our estimates in the Generation R Study. Fifth, the CBCL/1.5-5 was also used for children aged 6 to 7 years in the Generation R Study, which might not be appropriate for this age. However, internal consistency of the aggressive behavior scale was similar for 5-year-olds versus 6- to 7-year-olds (25), and the multidimensional factor structure of the aggression behavior scale is also comparable to the CBCL/6-18 (44). Finally, we suggested a causal association from aggressive behavior to subsequent higher BMI and fat mass instead of vice versa. However, actual causal inference of composite phenotypes such as obesity is complex (28) and is restricted in this observational study.

Conclusion
The present study showed a small association between aggressive behavior and subsequent increased BMI and fat mass in childhood. This association indicates that aggressive behavior problems observed by mothers are part of one of the many composite risks for obesity in childhood, which also include other behavioral mechanisms such as coping strategies, self-control, and impulsivity, as well as lifestyle-related behaviors such as snacking, sedentary behaviors, and sports participation. Hence, it might be helpful to carefully screen for aggressive and other behavioral problems in children with increased risk of obesity. Moreover, our findings signal a need for conducting trials (45) assessing the extent to which treating behavior problems in children improves their physical health and well-being. In general, professionals as well as parents and other people involved in the care of children with weight difficulties should be aware of the possible behavioral mechanisms associated with higher BMI and fat mass. Mass. Further, future research should examine the extent to which these behavioral pathways to childhood obesity are modifiable, although they should bear in mind that effects might be small, as observed in the current study.
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