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Abstract

Background: Vitamin $B_{12}$ deficiency is a common disorder. In circulation, vitamin $B_{12}$ is bound to transcobalamin (holotranscobalamin), which is considered the active form of cobalamin. The objective of this study was to evaluate the analytical performance of the Roche Elecsys Active $B_{12}$ immunoassay.

Methods: Limit of quantification and linearity were assessed according to CLSI EP17-A2 and EP-6A guidelines. Precision and bias of Roche Active $B_{12}$ test against Architect ci8200 (Abbott) were performed according to CLSI EP-5 A3 guideline at three European laboratories. Precision requirements were set at $< 4\%$ for within-run precision and $< 15\%$ for intermediate precision. Requirements for method comparison were set at a slope of $1.00 \pm 20\%$. In addition, 95% reference interval was conducted in European adults according to CLSI-EP28 guideline.

Results: The assay was shown to be linear and precise and met the requirements. Lot-to-lot variation and lab-to-lab variation both were $< 4\%$. Method comparison with Abbott Architect showed a significant bias of 9 pmol/L, which increases to up to 23 pmol/L around the current medical decision point. The 95% reference interval of the Roche Elecsys Active $B_{12}$ test was determined at 37–188 pmol/L.

Conclusion: Although Roche Elecsys Active $B_{12}$ immunoassay met the requirements, this test has a positive bias of 9 pmol/L, which led to an increase in lower limit of reference interval compared with Abbott test. This test first needs to be clinically validated before it can be applied as a first-line screening test in the diagnosis of vitamin $B_{12}$ deficiency.
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Introduction

Vitamin $B_{12}$ deficiency is common and occurs particularly among the elderly. The diagnosis of vitamin $B_{12}$ deficiency is hampered by the availability of good biomarkers. Clinical vitamin $B_{12}$ deficiency with classical haematological and neurological symptoms also known as pernicious anaemia is relatively uncommon and is estimated to affect 2–3% of individuals of...
65 years and older.1–3 More often, subclinical vitamin B12 deficiency occurs which, depending on the chosen cut-off value of vitamin B12, ranges from 3% to 26% in the general population.4

Vitamin B12 is mainly derived from animal sources, and after ingestion, it is bound to haptocorrin in the stomach. In the duodenum, vitamin B12 is released from haptocorrin and binds to intrinsic factor after which it is taken up in the enterocytes of the distal ileum. In circulation, about 20% of vitamin B12 is bound to transcobalamin (holotranscobalamin, holoTC), which is the active form of vitamin B12 available to cells, while the remaining 80% is bound to haptocorrin.

The diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency is hampered by the availability of biomarkers with good diagnostic performance. Most frequently, total vitamin B12 is measured in serum or plasma as first-line test to estimate an individual’s vitamin B12 status. Several years ago, the active B12 assay became available, which enabled the measurement of holoTC on the Abbott routine platform. As this holoTC assay is not hampered by fluctuations in haptocorrin, it was expected to result in better diagnostic performance. Several studies have assessed the diagnostic performance of holoTC (or active B12) and have shown a marginally better performance of the active B12 test compared with total vitamin B12.5–7 In addition, homocysteine and methylmalonic acid (MMA) are frequently used as functional markers of vitamin B12.8,9 Most laboratories use total vitamin B12 or active B12 as first-line test, which is followed by MMA or homocysteine as second-line test.8 The medical decision point is based at either an active B12 <20 pmol/L or in case active B12 is between 20 and 35 pmol/L by a follow-up test like MMA or homocysteine. For the diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency, it is of utmost importance to have access to biomarkers for vitamin B12 deficiency such as total vitamin B12 and active B12. At this moment, active B12 is available at Abbott Architect and was recently introduced by Siemens ADVIA centaur and Roche Diagnostics, which facilitates the availability of active B12 in clinical chemistry laboratories.

In this study, we performed a multicentre analytical evaluation of the Roche Elecsys Active B12 immunoassay and assessed linearity, precision and bias by method comparison between cobas e 411 (Roche Diagnostics) and Architect ci8200 (Abbott) and determined the 95% reference interval in European individuals.

Material and methods

This study was executed between October 2016 and May 2017. Analytical performance of the Active B12 assay was evaluated on a cobas e 411 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). Precision and method comparison were evaluated in three laboratories; Labor Limbach in Heidelberg, Germany, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands and Synlab, Munich, Germany. Precision was evaluated in all three laboratories, and method comparison was evaluated in two laboratories that used WHO 03/178 standardized Abbott reagents, i.e. Heidelberg and Rotterdam.

Analytical performance

Analytical performance of the Roche Active B12 immunoassay was assessed at cobas e 411 using reagent lot 245198 99 (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany).

Limit of Blanc, limit of detection, limit of quantitation. Limit of Blanc (LoB) and limit of detection (LoD) were determined by measuring 10 times an analyte-free sample in six runs. LoB and LoD were calculated according to CLSI EP17-A2. Limit of quantitation (LoQ) was calculated according to CLSI EP17-A2; eight samples with low concentrations (between 3.2 and 8.7 pmol/L, respectively) were measured in five-fold on five days, and the coefficient of variation (CV%) was calculated per sample. LoQ is defined at the lowest concentration at which CV <10%.

Linearity. Linearity of the Active B12 assay was assessed by diluting three high analyte serum samples with diluent. Fifteen concentrations from above the upper limit throughout the measuring range and below the lower end of the specified measuring range were prepared (measuring range; between 3 and 150 pmol/L). The dilutions were measured in three-fold within a single run using one lot. Evaluation was performed according to CLSI EP6A guideline using a validated software tool provided by Roche Diagnostics Penzberg Biometry department. All the measured data of each dilution series were analysed in a respective regression analysis. Data were analysed using linear, quadratic and cubic order least square regression analysis according to CLSI guidelines. Specifications were predefined at ≤0.8 pmol/L at a concentration below 8 pmol/L and <10% for concentrations between 8 and 150 pmol/L.

Interference. Interference of the Active B12 assay for bilirubin, haemoglobin, intralipids and biotin was assessed by diluting a sample without interfering substance with a sample with interfering substance. For bilirubin interference, this was assessed at three concentrations 32.9, 74.2 and 145 pmol/L of active B12 using a serum sample with 66 mg/dL (1129 μmol/L) bilirubin. Haemoglobin interference was assessed at 29.7, 71.5
and 137 pmol/L of active B12 using a serum sample with 1000 mg/dL (0.621 mmol/L) haemoglobin. Intralipid interference was assessed at 27.3, 65.6 and 125 pmol/L using a serum sample with 2000 mg/dL intralipids. Biotin interference was assessed at 34.1, 70.4 and 120 pmol/L active B12 using a serum sample with 100 ng/mL biotin. Recovery was calculated, and for analyte concentrations of 3–8 pmol/L, the maximum allowed deviation was specified at 0.8 pmol/L; for concentrations between >8–100 pmol/L, the deviation was set at ≤10%, and for concentrations >100 pmol/L, the deviation is set at ≤15%.

Sample stability. Sample stability at –20°C was determined by calculating the recovery of four human serum samples with different active B12 concentrations (i.e. 13, 44, 76 and 141 pmol/L) in triplicate for six months at –20 ± 5°C. Specification for recovery was set at ±10% for analyte concentrations >8 pmol/L.

Multicentre validation

Precision. Precision of the Elecsys® Active B12 immunoassay was assessed at each laboratory on a cobas e 411 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany) by measuring the same set of samples; two levels of controls (PreciControl Active B12, provided by Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany) and five concentrations of pooled human serum samples (provided by Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany) with two reagent lots and one Calset calibrator set consisting of two levels of calibrators at the cobas e 411 analyzers according to CLSI-EP5 A3 protocol. At each laboratory, five replicates of each sample (PreciControl and human serum pools) were measured for five days in one run per day for each reagent lot (1980 Lot and 1990 lot, provided by Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). All samples used for precision were shipped on dry ice and were stored at –20°C before testing. Intermediate precision of Abbott Architect Active B12 was reported <6% (Abbott Architect Active-B12, package insert October 2015). Within-precision and intermediate precision were calculated for each laboratory using Excel (version 2.30). Lot-to-lot variation was calculated by calculating the mean of each lot per laboratory after which the mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of the two lots were calculated. Lab-to-lab variation was calculated by calculating individual means of a single lot (1980) for each laboratory after which the mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation were calculated.

Bias. At each laboratory, a minimal amount of 120 anonymized left-over human serum patient samples, in which active B12 was routinely measured by the Architect Active B12 assay (Abbott, Architect, Chicago, USA), were collected and stored at –20°C until measurement. Samples were collected at each laboratory according to predefined percentages covering the measuring range: ≥10% <25 pmol/L; ≥30% with active B12 between 25 and 50 pmol/L; ≥20% with active B12 between 50 and 100 pmol/L and ≥10% of active B12 >100 pmol/L. One reagents lot of Roche Elecsys immunoassay (MP lot, Roche Diagnostics, standardized against WHO 03/178), one level of Roche CalSet Active B12 calibrators consisting of two levels of calibrators and two levels of Roche PreciControls were used for method comparison). Two lots of Abbott active B12 reagents (both traceable against the WHO 03/178 [lot 10556UP00] [Erasmus MC] and lot 10557UP00 [Heidelberg]), four levels of controls (two commercial controls and two in-house serum pools) and two calibrator sets consisting each of six levels of calibrators were used in this study. Samples were thawed at room temperature and were homogenized gently by inverting the tubes and were centrifuged at 4000 g for approximately 4 min. The supernatant then was transferred into a new qualified tube immediately and was used for testing. Each sample was measured at the same day on both cobas e 411 as Architect ci8200 analyzer at ≥1 run per day and ≥1 days. Weighted Deming regression was applied by method comparison function in Analyse-it for Excel (version 2.30). Bland-Altman bias plots were obtained by Analyse-it for Excel plotting the absolute difference between cobas e 411 (Roche Diagnostics) and Architect ci8200 (Architect) in pmol/L against mean active B12 concentrations in pmol/L. Normality of residuals was assessed by visual inspection of the histogram.

Reference interval

Serum samples were collected from apparently healthy adults at one site operated by the German Blood Transfusion Service in Bavaria, Germany. Two hundred and fifty-two samples with approximately 50%–50% male–female distribution and with age from 20 to 79 had been selected. Homocysteine concentrations were determined in all samples using cobas Homocysteine assay (Roche Diagnostics). Only samples with homocysteine concentration <15 µmol/L were selected for Active B12 measurements. In total, 214 of 252 samples qualified, and these were randomized and sent to all three laboratories. Two reagent lots have been used, with MP Lot at Erasmus MC and Heidelberg and PoQ Lot at Munich. For all samples with measurement results above measuring range, a 1:2 dilution was made and the samples were measured again. As only 1:2 dilution is allowed by the package insert, all samples that are still above measuring limit of...
150 pmol/L after 1:2 dilution are considered ‘>300 pmol/L’ in the analysis. In total, one sample had an active B12 concentration >300 pmol/L. 95% reference ranges were calculated using non-parametric methods in accordance with CLSI EP28-A3.

**Results**

**Analytical performance**

In this experiment, the LoB was calculated to be 0.66 pmol/L and LoD was 0.78 pmol/L. The LoQ of this experiment demonstrated a CV of 2.9% at the lowest tested active B12 concentration of 3.2 pmol/L. From these results, the lower limits of measurements for the Elecsys Active B12 assay were decided to be set at: LoB = 2.0 pmol/L, LoD = 3.0 pmol/L and LoQ = 5.0 pmol/L. Samples were shown to be linear between 3.0 and 150 pmol/L active B12 with an absolute deviation of ≤0.8 pmol/L in the lower range (3–8 pmol/L) and a relative deviation of ≤10% in the range between 8 and 150 pmol/L. Interference for bilirubin was not detected up to a concentration of 66 mg/dL (1129 μmol/L). For haemoglobin, intralipids and biotin interference could not be detected up to concentrations of 1000 mg/dL (0.621 mmol/L) haemoglobin, 2000 mg/dL intralipids and 40 ng/mL biotin (164 nmol/L). Samples were stable at −20°C for six months.

**Precision**

Two data points from the human serum pool (HSP05 were excluded from the analysis (Munich site, HSP05, 1 from MP Lot and 1 from PoQ lot), as they were above the measuring range. All other data points were used for precision analysis. Within-run precision of Roche Elecsys® Active B12 immunoassay was <4% at each centre, and intermediate precision was <6% at each centre (Table 1). Lot-to-lot variation was <4% and lab-to-lab variation was <3.1%.

**Bias**

One hundred and forty-seven samples were used for method comparison at the Heidelberg site and 142 different samples were measured at the Erasmus MC site. Method comparison of cobas e 411 compared with Architect ci8200 resulted at the Heidelberg site in a constant bias of 11 pmol/L and a proportional bias of 10% (0.90 [95%CI 0.83–0.98] x + 11.28 [95%CI 8.28–14.27], R = 0.94, P < 0.0001, n = 147). At the Erasmus MC site, this resulted in a significant constant bias of 8 pmol/L and no significant proportional bias (0.99 [95%CI 0.88–1.09] x + 7.52 [95% 95% CI 3.20–11.84], R = 0.93, P< 0.0001, n = 142). Combined results from Heidelberg and Rotterdam resulted in a small

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab-to-lab Meana within CV (%)</th>
<th>Lab-to-lotb CV (%)</th>
<th>Meanb within CV (%)</th>
<th>Lab-to-lot CV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam</td>
<td>Hephaestus</td>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>Heidelberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP1 26.7 1.4 1.9 2.6 2.8</td>
<td>28.2 1.2 1.5 2.5 3.1</td>
<td>28.2 1.2 1.5 2.5 3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP2 46.9 1.3 1.8 2.3 2.7</td>
<td>50.6 1.5 1.8 2.3 2.7</td>
<td>50.6 1.5 1.8 2.3 2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP3 71.6 1.4 1.9 2.9 2.3</td>
<td>75.5 1.2 1.5 2.3 2.3</td>
<td>75.5 1.2 1.5 2.3 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP4 106.1 1.7 2.5 3.0 2.8</td>
<td>114.6 1.2 1.7 3.0 2.8</td>
<td>114.6 1.2 1.7 3.0 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSP5 131.9 0.9 1.5 2.4 2.1</td>
<td>139.2 1.3 1.5 2.4 2.1</td>
<td>139.2 1.3 1.5 2.4 2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON1 34.2 1.8 2.5 3.0 2.8</td>
<td>35.9 2.0 2.5 3.0 2.8</td>
<td>35.9 2.0 2.5 3.0 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON2 55.2 1.3 1.6 2.0 1.8</td>
<td>56.0 2.0 1.6 2.0 1.8</td>
<td>56.0 2.0 1.6 2.0 1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean of five samples measured for five days with lot 1980.

aLot-to-lot variation of lot 1980 versus lot 1990.

bHSP: human serum pool; CON: PreciControl Active B12; IM: intermediate precision.
significant constant bias of 9 pmol/L and no significant proportional bias compared with Abbott Architect ($y = 0.96 \ [95\% \text{CI} \ 0.88–1.02]x + 8.6 \ [95\% \text{CI} \ 5.8–11.4]$, $R = 0.94$, $P < 0.001$, $n = 289$, Figure 1(a)). The difference plot of combined results of Rotterdam and Heidelberg shows a significant constant bias of 6.6 pmol/L (95% CI 5.7 pmol/L till 7.5 pmol/L) compared with Abbott Architect (Figure 1(b)) with an agreement range of –8.9–22.2 pmol/L. This bias shows a negative trend proportional to the magnitude of measurement showing a higher bias when the active B12 concentration becomes lower.

**Reference interval of active B12**

Median active B12 concentration in serum of 214 samples was 77 pmol/L. The 95% reference interval of Roche Active B12 assay was calculated at 37–188 pmol/L.

**Discussion**

Active B12 is commonly used as biomarker in the diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency. In this study, we evaluated the new Roche® Elecsys Active B12 assay at the cobas e 411 instrument at three European sites and showed that the assay is linear and precise but shows a bias around the current medical decision range (20–35 pmol/L) based on Abbott Architect.

The Roche® Elecsys Active B12 assay was shown to be precise with an intermediate precision lower than 6%, which is comparable to Abbott Architect intermediate precision of <6% (Abbott Architect Active-B12, package insert October 2015). Lab-to-lab variation and lot-to-lot variation both were small, enabling harmonization of test results and facilitating the use of general cut-off values in clinical algorithms. Method comparison showed a small constant bias of 9 pmol/L. Visual inspection of the bias plot shows that the observed bias is not constant over the measuring range. Roche Active B12 results within the lower range have a larger bias, which is expected to result in a higher active B12 test result (up to 22 pmol/L) around the current clinical decision point of 20–35 pmol/L.

Current diagnosis of vitamin B12 using active B12 is in most laboratories a two-step process, in which active B12 is applied as first-line test. If active B12 is <21 pmol/L, then the diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency is confirmed if clinical signs are present. However, if the test result is between 21 and 35 pmol/L, a follow-up test is performed by measuring MMA to confirm diagnosis because specificity of the active B12 test is too low within this range. Patients with active B12 > 35 pmol/L are unlikely to be diagnosed with vitamin B12 deficiency. However, these cut-off values are mostly based on the Abbott Active B12 test. In this study, however, we have shown that the reference interval of Roche Active B12 is considerably higher (37–188 pmol/L) making the current cut-off values not suitable. Novel cut-off values of Roche Active B12 should be established by calculating sensitivity and specificity of active B12 concentrations against MMA concentrations before this test can be applied as first-line screening test in the diagnosis of vitamin B12 deficiency.

In future, clinical algorithms would benefit from standardization against an active B12 reference method using commutable standards in the assay.
This multicentre evaluation of the Roche Elecsys Active B₁₂ assay demonstrates excellent precision. A bias compared with Abbott Architect Active B₁₂ assay was observed, which needs to be accounted by establishment of laboratory-specific reference intervals. Before this assay can be implemented on Roche routine platforms, a clinical validation of the Roche active B₁₂ test is needed to establish reliable cut-off values to define clinical- and subclinical vitamin B₁₂ deficiency.
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Note

a. Values in this article may slightly differ from the Roche® Active B₁₂ immunoassay package insert due to the use of different software packages.
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