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Abstract

Study Design: Systematic review.

Objectives: There is paucity of consensus on whether (1) the artery of Adamkiewicz (AoA) and (2) the number of contiguous segmental spinal arteries (SSAs) that can be safely ligated without causing spinal cord ischemia. The objective of this review is to determine the risk of motor neurological deficits from iatrogenic sacrifice of the (1) AoA and (2) its vicinity’s SSAs.

Methods: Systematic review of the spine and vascular surgery was carried out in accordance to PRISMA guidelines. Outcomes in terms of risk of postoperative motor neurological deficit with occlusion of the AoA, bilateral contiguous SSAs, or unilateral contiguous SSAs were analyzed.

Results: Ten articles, all retrospective case series, were included. Three studies (total N = 50) demonstrated a postoperative neurological deficit risk of 4.0% when the AoA is occluded. When 1 to 6 pairs of SSAs (without knowledge of AoA location) were ligated, the postoperative neurological deficit risk was 0.6%, as compared with 5.4% when more than 6 bilateral pairs of SSAs were ligated (relative risk [RR] = 0.105, 95% CI 0.013-0.841, \( P = .0337 \)). For unilateral ligation of SSAs of two to nine levels, the risk of postoperative neurological deficit does not exceed 1.3%.

Conclusion: The current best evidence indicates that (1) occlusion of the AoA and (2) occlusion of up to 6 pairs of SSAs is associated with a low risk of postoperative neurological deficit. This limited number of low quality studies restrict the ability to draw definitive conclusions. Ligation of AoA and SSAs should only be undertaken when absolutely required to mitigate the small but devastating risk of paralysis.
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Introduction
The spinal cord is classically described to be supplied by a single anterior spinal artery and paired posterior spinal arteries.1 The arterial supply of the spinal cord is heavily augmented by segmental blood supply in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar region by branches of the respective segmental spinal arteries (SSAs), and are known variously as medullary arteries, or radiculomedullary arteries.2 The contingent contributor, and main radiculomedullary artery supplying the anterior spinal artery is the artery of Adamkiewicz (AoA), first described by Albert Wojciech Adamkiewicz in 1882.3 This artery arises
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from the thoracolumbar region, frequently from T9-L2 on the left side, either from an intercostal artery or lumbar artery. Segmental spinal cord arterial supply (which branch from the SSA), have a highly variable distribution from individual to individual.

In clinical practice, certain surgical pathologies require iatrogenic sacrifice of the segmental spinal artery at the involved vertebral level. These pathologies include primary bone tumors, secondary bony metastases, spinal deformity, and spinal trauma. Procedures such as total en bloc spondylectomies, vertebrectomies, and anterior releases for deformity frequently cause compromise to the spinal cord arterial supply.

There has been a paucity of current and high-level evidence in humans with regard to the sacrifice of the AoA or its vicinity’s segmental arteries, its potential neurological detriment and preventative or restorative measures taken to treat spinal cord ischemia or infarction. As there are significant ethical issues with performing such studies, animal studies have been performed to elucidate these findings. Animal studies have demonstrated the safety of permanent ligation of up to 3 bilateral SSAs, and the risk of functional neurological injury in ligation of 4 or more bilateral SSAs, including the AoA, or in the ligation of 5 or more bilateral SSAs not including the AoA.

By systematically reviewing the spine and vascular surgery literature, this study aims to clarify (1) if the AoA (with or without concomitant SSA occlusion) can be occluded safely without postoperative neurological deficit and (2) the number/range of SSAs (without knowledge of the location of the AoA) that can be safely occluded without postoperative neurological deficit. AoA and SSA ligation in spine and vascular surgeries have obvious pathophysiological differences in terms of resultant spinal cord perfusion and ability to recruit collateral circulation. However, expansion of the search strategy to include vascular studies has the relative advantage of increasing the dispersion of results and thus capturing the expected range of true effects. The authors also provide a brief updated review on spinal cord vascular physiology.

Methods
This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines.

Article Search Strategy
A systematic electronic search using Medline, PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, and Google Scholar was performed from their date of inception to April 30, 2018. A deliberately open search strategy was used to achieve the maximum number of articles for subsequent title and abstract review. For the PubMed search, combinations of the following MeSH (medical subject headings) terms were used to query the database: “Spinal Cord,” “Spinal Cord Ischemia,” “Blood Supply,” “Treatment Outcome,” and “Postoperative Complications.” For all databases, combinations of the following terms were used as key words for the search: “Adamkiewicz,” “Radiculomedullary Artery,” “Medullary Artery,” “Segmental Artery,” “Ligation,” “Occlusion,” “Spinal Cord,” “Spinal Cord Ischemia,” “Neurological Function,” and “Postoperative Complication.” All published English-language articles from the spine and vascular literature were included. The titles and abstracts of retrieved articles were screened by 2 authors (TT and JT) and selected articles by either author progressed to full-text review for final inclusion. The bibliography and citation list for all included articles were further screened to identify additional eligible articles.

Selection Criteria
Studies from both the spine and vascular literature were included for consideration. To determine if the AoA (with or without concomitant SSA occlusion) can be safely occluded, studies were included only if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) human studies; (2) angiographically confirmed (digital subtraction, computed tomography, magnetic resonance) AoA; (3) occlusion of AoA explicitly stated in article; and (4) postoperative neurological status recorded. Concomitant ligation of SSAs was allowed. The number/range of SSAs occluded in addition to the AoA had to be explicitly stated or verified from the results, tables, or figures from the respective articles. To determine the number of SSAs (without knowledge of the location of the AoA) that can be safely ligated, the following inclusion criteria were used: (1) human studies; (2) number, or range of SSAs ligated explicitly stated; and (3) postoperative neurological status recorded. In this review, articles were excluded if they (1) included less than 5 subjects, (2) are case reports, or (3) are editorials, conference presentations, commentary, or expert opinions.

Study Quality and Risk of Bias Assessment
Levels of evidence, in accordance to the hierarchical quality rating system proposed by Wright et al was assigned to each individual study. Internal validity and quality of the included studies were appraised using a modified version of the assessment tool developed by Cowley et al for nonrandomized studies. The studies were independently assessed by 2 reviewers (TT and JT) and any discrepancies resolved by consensus.

Data Extraction and Analyses
From the included studies, number of subjects, literature type (spine or vascular), type of study, method of AoA identification (where applicable), level and laterality of AoA occluded, number/range of SSAs occluded, laterality, level of SSAs occluded and length of follow-up were recorded. Data was collated on an electronic spreadsheet (Microsoft Excel) created prior to the literature search. Postoperative neurological deficit was recorded in terms of motor impairment only, as assessed by
any method from bedside examination to formal grading/functional scales.

Because of the heterogeneity of study data, quantitative meta-analysis was not performed. Outcome variables are simply reported as proportions and percentages. Relative risk with 95% confidence intervals were reported where appropriate. Arbitrary cutoffs of the range of SSAs occluded was used for ease of data interpretation. The primary outcome variable is the crude risk of postoperative motor neurological deficit, defined as immediate, or delayed, postoperative paraplegia, or paraparesis. Secondary outcome variables include the risk of immediate paraplegia, risk of immediate paraparesis, risk of delayed neurological deficit, and the proportion of patients with neurological recovery after postoperative neurological deficit. All data was extracted from article full texts, tables, and figures.

Results
The search algorithm returned a total of 1699 unique articles. After exclusion of articles based on title and abstract screening, 88 articles remained for full text assessment (Figure 1). Most studies were excluded at this stage due to the articles: (1) not specifying occlusion of the AoA, (2) not specifying occlusion of the number/range of SSAs, or (3) being animal studies. After full text review, 9 articles were selected for inclusion. One additional article\textsuperscript{13} was included after screening the bibliography and citations of the included articles. As such, a total of 10 articles are included in this review (Table 1). The included studies were all retrospective case series, with 7 published in the spine literature\textsuperscript{14-20} and 3 in the vascular literature.\textsuperscript{13,21,22} Included studies had a range of 5 to 346 patients (median, N = 31.5). Four studies reported a length of follow-up ranging from 16 days to 51 months. Six articles did not state the length of follow-up (Table 1).

Studies With Occlusion of Artery of Adamkiewicz
There were 3 studies with data on outcomes after occlusion of the AoA. Murakami et al\textsuperscript{14} published a retrospective case series (n = 15) to determine the neurological outcomes after ligation of the AoA in total en bloc spondylectomy. Patients had primary bony tumors or metastatic tumors coincidentally at the
level of the AoA thus requiring surgical ligation and sacrifice. The AoA was confirmed via digital subtraction angiography and was defined as “a spinal branch of the segmental artery that supplied the anterior spinal artery extending to lumber enlargement.” The segmental arteries, including the AoA, were bilaterally dissected at the pathological vertebral level and divided at the level of the nerve roots. Postoperative neuroanatomical embolization function was assessed using Frankel grade. In this study, 8 patients had 1 vertebra excised (1 pair of segmental arteries, including AoA), 3 had 2 vertebrae excised (2 pairs of segmental arteries, including AoA), and 4 had 3 vertebrae excised (3 pairs of segmental arteries, including AoA). There were zero cases of postoperative neurologic deterioration according to Frankel grade.

Fukui et al.13 reported on a series of 32 patients with thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms who were treated with thoracic endovascular aortic repair. All patients had their AoA visualized by computed tomography angiography prior to endovascular treatment and had their AoA occluded as a consequence of deployment of the stent graft across the segmental artery supplying the AoA. The total number of pairs of segmental arteries (including the AoA) occluded ranged from 3 to 12 pairs. Postprocedure paraplegia/paresis occurred in 2 (6.3%) of 32 patients, who had 8 and 10 pairs of segmental arteries occluded from the AoA occluded by the stent graft, respectively. Of these 2 patients, 1 (with 10 segments occluded) remained paraplegic, while the other subsequently regained ambulatory status.

Salame et al.20 published a small case series investigating the safety of endovascular embolization for vertebral tumors using endovascular coils or onyx. Intraprocedural neurophysiological monitoring was used as an adjunct. Of the 5 patients in the study, 3 patients had their index pathology at the level of their AoA, resulting in AoA occlusion in these 3 patients. These 3 patients each had 3 pairs of segmental arteries inclusive of the AoA occluded. There were no electrophysiological changes (somatosensory-evoked potentials, transcranial-electric motor-evoked potentials) during temporary occlusion of the AoA. There were zero cases of postoperative neurological motor deterioration according to clinical examination.

From these 3 studies, the crude cumulative risk of postoperative neurological motor deficit, be it immediate or delayed, is 4.0% (2/50) when the AoA is occluded. This figure is influenced solely by the 2 patients with postprocedure neurological deficit in the article by Fukui et al.13 The current small body of level IV evidence in the literature will suggest a low risk of postoperative spinal cord injury after occlusion of the AoA together with its vertebra’s SSA. Furthermore, occlusion of up to 7 pairs of SSAs, inclusive of the AoA, has not been found to cause spinal cord injury in the current literature.

Studies With Occlusion of Spinal Segmental Arteries (Without Knowledge of the Location of the AoA)

Eight articles met the inclusion criteria, 6 articles15-17,19,20,23 from the spinal surgical literature, and 2 articles21,22 from the vascular surgical literature. Only 2 studies19,21 had information on the exact vertebral levels where the SSAs were occluded. The remaining 5 studies had information on the range of SSAs occluded only.

Bilateral Spinal Segmental Artery Occlusion

Five articles15,17,21,23 had information on clinical outcomes after bilateral occlusion of contiguous SSAs. Table 2 summarizes the results from these 5 articles.

From the spinal literature, Murakami et al.15 reviewed a series of 79 patients who underwent total en bloc spondylectomy for vertebral tumors. Patients had 1 to 3 bilateral segmental arteries ligated as part of their operation, and there was no postoperative neurological deficit as assessed by Frankel grade in all patients. Soubyranda et al.17 published a case series including a subset of 22 patients who had up to 5 levels of SSA ligation as part of total vertebrectomy surgery for various primary and secondary osseous pathology. One of these 22 patients, who had 5 bilateral SSAs ligated, suffered a postoperative motor deficit. Zhao et al.23 reported no postoperative neurological motor deficits in a series of 11 patients operated on for kyphoscoliosis. The patients in this study had between 1 and 3 pairs of SSAs ligated between the T6 to L1 vertebral level.

Table 1. Overview of Included Studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Field of Study</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>No. of Subjects</th>
<th>Average Age (Range), Years</th>
<th>Spinal Levels Involved</th>
<th>Information on AoA Occlusion</th>
<th>Information on SSA Occlusion</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etz/2006</td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67 (27-86)</td>
<td>T3-L5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16.0 ± 15.2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griepp/1996</td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>65 (NR)</td>
<td>Thoracolumbar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami/2010</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsrirkos/2008</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>12.3 (3-18)</td>
<td>Thoracolumbar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami/2010</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54.7 (13-67)</td>
<td>T4-L2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>51 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soubeyrand/2011</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>49 (7-76)</td>
<td>C7 to L3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao/2017</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.7 (11-26)</td>
<td>T6-L1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salame/2016</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42.2 (36-75)</td>
<td>T6-T11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu/2006</td>
<td>Spinal</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15.7 (13-23)</td>
<td>T5-T11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukui/2016</td>
<td>Vascular</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>73.5 (29-89)</td>
<td>T1-L4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AoA, artery of Adamkiewicz; SSA, segmental spinal artery; NR, not reported.
From the vascular literature, Griepp et al.\(^{22}\) investigated the relationship between the number of SSAs sacrificed to postoperative paraplegia in thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms. There was a positive relationship between the number of bilateral SSAs sacrificed and the incidence of postoperative paraplegia/paresis. This study found an odds ratio of 29 of developing postoperative paraplegia when 10 or more pairs of SSAs was sacrificed, when compared with less than 10 pairs.

Etz et al.\(^{21}\), in their case series of thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms, studied the impact of a policy of non-reimplantation of SSAs in open aortic aneurysm repairs. In this study, 2 of 100 patients developed postoperative paraplegia, one due to known intraoperative dissection and 6 hours of lower body ischemia, and the other due to a postoperative respiratory arrest requiring resuscitation. In this study, no patients with less than 9 bilateral SSAs ligated had paraplegia.

Results from the above 5 studies were combined for a total of 305 patients (Table 3). The crude risk of neurological deficit in patients with 1 to 6 pairs of SSAs ligated was 0.6\% (n = 1), as compared with 5.4\% (n = 7) when more than 6 pairs of SSAs were ligated (relative risk [RR] = 0.105, 95\% CI 0.013-0.841, \(P = .0337\)). In terms of neurological recovery, there was a 42.9\% rate of neurological recovery in patients with more than 6 pairs of SSAs ligated and who suffered from a postoperative neurological motor deficit.

**Unilateral Spinal Segmental Artery Occlusion**

Three articles\(^{16,17,19}\) had information on clinical outcomes after unilateral occlusion of contiguous SSAs. All 3 are from the spinal literature.

Soubeyrand et al.\(^{17}\) published a case series including a subset of 75 patients who had 2 to 6 levels of hemivertebrectomy for various primary and secondary osseous pathology. One of these patients, who had 6 levels of unilateral SSA ligation, suffered postoperative paraplegia. Wu et al.\(^{19}\) in their case series of 31 patients with thoracic scoliosis, reported no cases of postoperative neurological deficits after anterior corrective surgery. All patients had 7 unilateral contiguous levels of SSAs (from T5 to T11) ligated 2 cm from the intervertebral foramen. Tsirikos et al.\(^{16}\) reported on 346 patients who underwent anterior deformity correction. All patients had 6 to 9 unilateral contiguous SSAs ligated. There was 1 case of postoperative hemiparesis.

The data in the above 3 tables were unsuitable to be jointly analyzed. Table 4 shows the respective rates of neurological deficits for each study according to the range or number of unilateral SSAs ligated. For unilateral SSA ligation of 2 to 9 levels, the crude risk of postoperative neurological deficit does not exceed 1.3\%.

**Summary of Patients With Postoperative Neurological Deficit**

From the 10 included studies, there was a total of 12 patients with postoperative motor neurological deficits when SSAs (with or without AoA) was occluded (Table 5). Three patients (25.0\%) were from the spine literature, and the remaining 9 (75.0\%) from the vascular literature. Four percent (9 of 225 patients) of all vascular patients and 0.5\% (3 of 584 patients) of all spine patients had a motor neurological deficit after occlusion of SSAs with or without AoA occlusion.
Study Quality and Risk of Bias Assessment

All included studies were retrospective case series, and thus were all of level IV evidence in accordance to Wright et al.\textsuperscript{11} The overall body of evidence surrounding this topic of interest is thus of a low quality. Using the assessment tool by Cowley et al.,\textsuperscript{12} 2 articles\textsuperscript{14,15} were graded A (high quality), 1 study\textsuperscript{13} graded B (moderate quality) and the remaining 7 studies graded C (poor quality). Cowley grade C studies most frequently had no systematic, defined criteria for measuring postoperative neurological outcomes. Tables 6 and 7 demonstrate the score and breakdown of the Cowley assessment tool with regard to the 10 included articles.

Discussion

The essence of this study lies in the arterial supply of the spinal cord, presence/degree of collateral and compensatory arterial supply, and susceptibility of the spinal cord to ischemic injury. Much is known regarding the traditional arterial supply of the spinal cord,\textsuperscript{24} the lone anterior spinal artery, and paired posterior spinal arteries, run longitudinally around the spinal cord and supplies it via (1) a ring of vessels (vasa coronae) that surrounds the spinal cord and (2) penetrating arteries, for example, the anterior central sulcal artery and artery of the posterior median septum.\textsuperscript{2} The anterior and posterior spinal arteries are augmented by multiple medullary arteries throughout the length of the spinal cord. The largest, and thus presumably most important, is the AoA. The AoA is described as a large medullary artery, that meets the anterior spinal artery via a hairpin turn and is usually found arising on the left side (in 80% of subjects)\textsuperscript{25} from the levels of T9-L2 (in 85% of subjects).\textsuperscript{4} The AoA originates from the medial trunk of its parent spinal segmental artery, which in itself arises from its respective intercostal artery or lumbar artery directly from the descending aorta. Microanatomical cadaveric studies have found that the medial trunk (AoA) courses toward the intervertebral foramen and is found at the rostral and ventral aspect of the dorsal root ganglion–ventral root complex before it makes a rostral turn to pierce the nerve root sleeve to continue its course intradurally.\textsuperscript{26} Most surgeons, where possible, prefer to ligate the SSA at a distance of 1 to 2 cm distal to the intervertebral foramen, so that any collateral supply to the medial trunk of the SSA will theoretically not be compromised. Studies have also found that there is no correlation between the diameter of AoA and the diameter of its parent SSA.\textsuperscript{27}

In human cadaveric studies, it has been found that ligation of SSAs result in a lower quantity and density of intramedullary blood vessels, with effects of bilateral ligation worse than unilateral ligation.\textsuperscript{28} Physiologically, there exist anastomotic connections between the anterior spinal artery and posterior spinal artery, and also within the vasa coronae surrounding the spinal cord. More recently, the concept of a collateral network of spinal cord perfusion has emerged.\textsuperscript{29} Etz et al\textsuperscript{30} demonstrated, in Yorkshire pigs, the presence of multiple connections between the anterior spinal artery and epidural vessels. There was also extensive collateral supply of the intraspinal arterial network by the paraspinous muscular network supplied by the SSAs. In a separate study,\textsuperscript{31} ligation of all SSAs in Yorkshire pigs resulted in significant dynamic changes of this collateral perfusion network, including increased dimensions of the anterior spinal artery, epidural arterial collaterals, and paraspinal muscular collaterals within 1 to 5 days postligation. The vascular literature has advocated a 2-stage repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms\textsuperscript{32} to reduce the incidence of postoperative spinal cord injury, in part due to the theoretical recruitment of collateral circulation of the spinal cord between operative stages. Yuyama et al,\textsuperscript{33} in a series of 3 patients whose AoA were ligated postthoracotomy for tumor, found no postoperative neurological deficits and the development of a “new” AoA within 2 months of surgery on digital subtraction angiography. In this regard, the article by Fukui et al\textsuperscript{13} (included in this review) is instructive. A total of 32 patients with thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms were treated with endovascular stent grafting, with coverage of the AoA by the stent graft. Computed tomography angiography of the spinal cord blood supply was performed before and at least 2 weeks after endovascular treatment. In 71.8% of these patients, the same AoA (ie, at the same spinal level) remained patent, with the AoA now fed by collateralization from a SSA distal to the site of the stent graft, or by the left subclavian artery or left external iliac artery. As such, focal occlusion (in this case at the origin of the SSA supplying the AoA) of the AoA or its parent SSA does not necessarily result in cessation of blood flow through that AoA. The authors are unaware of any study that has investigated the exact point of connection between the AoA and its collateral supply, but ligation of the AoA (or its parent SSA) at the proximal-most point, closest to its aortic origin, will theoretically allow any collateralization present to maintain flow into the AoA. However, the relevance, presence, and extent of the spinal cord collateral perfusion network with

Table 4. Unilateral Spinal Segmental Artery Occlusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Number/Range of Unilateral SSAs Occluded</th>
<th>Crude Rate: Neurologic Deficit, %</th>
<th>Rate: Immediate Paraplegia, %</th>
<th>Rate: Immediate Paraparesis, %</th>
<th>Rate: Delayed Neurological Deficit, %</th>
<th>Rate: Delayed Neurologic recovery, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soubeyrand/2011</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>1.3 (n = 1)</td>
<td>1.3 (n = 1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu/2006</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsirikos/2008</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>6-9</td>
<td>0.3 (n = 1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3 (n = 1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SSA, segmental spinal artery.
regard to spinal surgery requires further elucidation. Patients with degenerative vascular diseases (e.g., aortic aneurysms) have multiple segments of intercostal and lumbar arteries chronically stenosed or occluded, and thus depend on recruitment of a rich collateral network for spinal cord blood supply.34

The presence, extent, and rate of recruitment of a collateral perfusion network in the general population, or in the spinal surgical patient, requires further investigation. The theoretical risk of spinal cord injury paradoxically exists for the nonvasculopathic patient without a reliable collateral spinal cord supply requiring iatrogenic occlusion of their AoA or SSA.

The arterial supply of the spinal cord, as evidenced above, is robust and dynamic. Ligation of the AoA per se without its vicinity’s SSAs, probably has a low risk of causing clinical

Table 5. Summary of Patients With Neurological Deficits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)/ Gender</th>
<th>Patient Type of Occlusion</th>
<th>Literature</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Point of Occlusion</th>
<th>Location of AoA</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Number/Laterality of SSA Occluded</th>
<th>Degree of Deficit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Etz 1</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>T6-L2</td>
<td>9 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Etz 2</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>T3-L3</td>
<td>13 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65/male Fukui 1</td>
<td>Endovascular stent graft repair</td>
<td>Vascular, endovascular</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>At aortic origin (stent graft)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T3-T10</td>
<td>8 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89/male Fukui 2</td>
<td>Endovascular stent graft repair</td>
<td>Vascular, endovascular</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>At aortic origin (stent graft)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>T3-T12</td>
<td>10 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, temporary, partial recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Griepp 1</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>14 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Griepp 2</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>14 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Griepp 3</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Between 8 and 14 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraparesis, temporary, full recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Griepp 4</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Between 8 and 14 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraparesis, temporary, full recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown Griepp 5</td>
<td>Surgical, open aortic repair</td>
<td>Vascular, open</td>
<td>Thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>Not stated; presumed juxta-aortic</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Between 8 and 14 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraparesis, temporary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72/female Soubeyrand 1</td>
<td>Surgical, hemi-vertebrectomy</td>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6, unilateral</td>
<td>Monoplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44/female Soubeyrand 2</td>
<td>Surgical, total vertebrectomy</td>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>Chondrosarcoma</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>T4-T8</td>
<td>5 pairs, bilateral</td>
<td>Paraplegia, temporary, full recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/female Tsirikos 1</td>
<td>Surgical, scoliosis correction, anterior approach</td>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>Congenital thoracic scoliosis</td>
<td>Mid-portion of vertebral body</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>7, unilateral</td>
<td>Monoplegia, permanent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AoA, artery of Adamkiewicz; SSA, segmental spinal artery; NR, not recorded.

The presence, extent, and rate of recruitment of a collateral perfusion network in the general population, or in the spinal surgical patient, requires further investigation. The theoretical risk of spinal cord injury paradoxically exists for the nonvasculopathic patient without a reliable collateral spinal cord supply requiring iatrogenic occlusion of their AoA or SSA.

The arterial supply of the spinal cord, as evidenced above, is robust and dynamic. Ligation of the AoA per se without its vicinity’s SSAs, probably has a low risk of causing clinical
postoperative spinal cord injury. Similarly, ligation of a limited number of SSAs can be safe as long as dynamic changes to spinal cord arterial perfusion keeps the spinal cord above the threshold for ischemic injury. Intraoperative neuromonitoring can aid in gauging this ischemic threshold, but its specificity in predicting postoperative clinical deficits is low and there is no firm consensus on its usefulness in terms of detecting spinal cord injury from a vascular aetiology.36,37

Nonetheless, multiple individual case reports have reported development of postoperative weakness after ligation or occlusion of SSAs. Doita et al38 reported a case of a patient who underwent T10 to T12 total spondylectomy for giant cell tumor. A preoperative angiogram was performed, but the AoA was unable to be detected. The T10 to T12 bilateral SSAs, and the left T8, T9, L1, L2 SSAs were ligated as part of a 2-stage thoracolumbar decompression and fusion procedure. The patient developed postoperative (after stage 2) lower limb paraplegia and incontinence theorized to be due to spinal cord ischemia and resultant anterior spinal artery syndrome. Kennedy et al39 reported 2 cases of spinal cord injury with bilateral lower limb paralysis after particulate corticosteroid lumbar transforaminal injection. Magnetic resonance imaging for these patients were consistent with spinal cord infarction, with the presumed mechanism being inadvertent intra-arterial medullary artery (postulated to be the AoA) puncture and embolization with particulate corticosteroids. These cases more likely point to the low, but not negligible risk of spinal cord injury after perturbation of critical spinal cord arterial vasculature.

There was only 1 study14 from the spine literature that addressed the question of the effects of ligation of the AoA on the occurrence of postoperative neurological deficits. There were zero cases of neurological deterioration after surgery as assessed by Frankel grade. Despite the small number of patients in this series (n = 15), this study provides low level (level IV) but definitive proof-of-concept of the possibility to safely ligate 1 to 3 bilateral SSAs inclusive of the AoA.

Three studies13,14,20 had information on the effects of AoA occlusion with a crude postoperative motor neurological deficit rate of 4.0% (2 of 50 total patients). The number of bilateral SSAs occluded (including the AoA) in these 3 articles ranged from 1 to 12 pairs. The 2 patients who had a postoperative deficit were both from the endovascular surgical article of Fukui et al15 and had 8 and 10 pairs of SSAs occluded respectively. It will be unusual for this high number of bilateral SSAs to be required to be occluded in spine surgery. The current evidence available will suggest that occlusion of the AoA and limiting the number of its vicinity’s SSAs that is concomitantly occluded, results in a low risk of postoperative motor neurological deficit. It should be noted that there are no studies or evidence available pertaining to outcomes when only the AoA per se (without contiguous SSAs) is occluded.

The crude risk of postoperative neurological motor deficit after bilateral SSA occlusion is 0.6% when 6 or less pairs of SSAs are occluded, and 5.4% when more than 6 pairs of SSAs are occluded. This is a statistically significant (RR = 0.105, $P = .0337$) result but should be interpreted with caution given the small and heterogenous number of studies included. Ideally, knowledge of the location of the AoA and segmental medullary arteries preoperatively may help refine the risk of postoperative spinal cord injury. However, in absence of this information, the authors recommend that ligation of SSAs be performed only when absolutely necessary. Ligation of up to 6 pairs of SSA carries a low but nonnegligible risk of devastating lower limb paralysis or paresis.

The proportion of vascular patients who had a neurological deficit is higher than that of spinal patients (4.0% vs 0.5%, respectively) when SSAs, with or without AoA is occluded. This is likely secondary to the different pathophysiology between vascular and spinal patients, the different surgical techniques employed, and the differences in the number of SSAs that has to be occluded as part of the procedure. Furthermore, it should be noted that the point of vascular occlusion in
### Table 7. “Other Criteria” Components of Cowley Score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Valid Statistical Analyses</th>
<th>Data Given for Deceased Patients</th>
<th>Age Range and Mean Age Reported</th>
<th>Number of Men and Women Given</th>
<th>Weight Range and Mean Weight Given</th>
<th>Preoperative Diagnoses With % Of Patients Given</th>
<th>Clinical Evaluation Independent of Operating Surgeon</th>
<th>Radiologic Evaluation Independent and Blinded to Clinical Results</th>
<th>Results Given for Specific Models</th>
<th>Quantification of Outcomes</th>
<th>Follow-up Data Compared With Preoperative Data (Mean and Range)</th>
<th>Independence of Investigators (No Vested Interest) Stated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etz/2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griep/1996</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami/2010</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsirikos/2008</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murakami/2010</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soubeyrand/2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao/2017</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salame/2016</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu/2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fukui/2016</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.
spinal and vascular surgery is different. In spine surgery, SSA occlusion is distal and generally 1 to 2 cm from the intervertebral foramen, while that in vascular surgery (endovascular or open) is proximal and at the aortic origin. The mechanism of spinal cord injury in both vascular and spinal patient also requires further consideration. The pathophysiology of spinal cord ischemia as a result of hypoperfusion is different from that of thromboembolism. In the latter, recruitment of collateral pathways can have minimal effect on spinal cord perfusion due to selective occlusion of end arteries.

In vascular surgery, the approach to reimplantation of SSA in the treatment of thoracic/thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms is a well-researched field. Many of these articles were not included in this review as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Nonetheless, they provide indirect evidence of the sacrificibility of the AoA and SSAs in spine surgery. Multiple studies have explored the impact of reimplantation of SSAs, or selective reimplantation of SSAs supplying the spinal cord (ie, AoA, medullary arteries) versus no reimplantation of SSAs in the mid thoracic to mid lumbar region (where the AoA normally is located). The preponderance of evidence demonstrates no significant benefit in selective, or complete reimplantation of SSAs in preventing postoperative spinal cord injury in thoracic/thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. This provides indirect evidence of the potential ability to occlude long segments of bilateral SSAs (up to 15 pairs) including the AoA without precipitating spinal cord injury. Nonetheless, the analogy to spine surgery can only be taken so far, as patients with aortic aneurysms are a different pathology altogether with the point of ligation of SSA in these patients (near the aortic origin) being vastly different from that in spine surgery (approximately 1-2 cm from the intervertebral foramen).

Neuroprotective strategies employed in vascular surgery and traumatic spinal cord injury deserves special mention, as they use techniques to augment spinal cord perfusion that can be similarly employed in spine surgery. Intraoperative hypothermia has been consistently found to decrease the risk of spinal cord injury in both vascular and spinal patient and also requires further consideration. The pathophysiology of spinal cord ischemia as a result of hypoperfusion is different from that of thromboembolism. In the latter, recruitment of collateral pathways can have minimal effect on spinal cord perfusion due to selective occlusion of end arteries.

In vascular surgery, the approach to reimplantation of SSA in the treatment of thoracic/thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms is a well-researched field. Many of these articles were not included in this review as they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Nonetheless, they provide indirect evidence of the sacrificibility of the AoA and SSAs in spine surgery. Multiple studies have explored the impact of reimplantation of SSAs, or selective reimplantation of SSAs supplying the spinal cord (ie, AoA, medullary arteries) versus no reimplantation of SSAs in the mid thoracic to mid lumbar region (where the AoA is normally located). The preponderance of evidence demonstrates no significant benefit in selective, or complete reimplantation of SSAs in preventing postoperative spinal cord injury in thoracic/thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. This provides indirect evidence of the potential ability to occlude long segments of bilateral SSAs (up to 15 pairs) including the AoA without precipitating spinal cord injury. Nonetheless, the analogy to spine surgery can only be taken so far, as patients with aortic aneurysms are a different pathology altogether with the point of ligation of SSA in these patients (near the aortic origin) being vastly different from that in spine surgery (approximately 1-2 cm from the intervertebral foramen).

Neuroprotective strategies employed in vascular surgery and traumatic spinal cord injury deserves special mention, as they use techniques to augment spinal cord perfusion that can be similarly employed in spine surgery. Intraoperative hypothermia has been consistently found to decrease the risk of spinal cord injury in both vascular and spinal patient and also requires further consideration. The pathophysiology of spinal cord ischemia as a result of hypoperfusion is different from that of thromboembolism. In the latter, recruitment of collateral pathways can have minimal effect on spinal cord perfusion due to selective occlusion of end arteries.

The assessment tool by Cowley et al is recommended to assess the internal validity of noncomparative studies. Risk of bias of the selected articles in this review is generally moderate to high as assessed by the Cowley grade. Grade C studies were mostly downgraded due to a lack of information on the period of follow-up and/or a lack of clearly defined criteria for measuring postoperative neurological function. Only the studies by Murakami et al formally graded postoperative function using the Frankel grade. All other studies included in this review implicitly relied on general bedside clinical examination for postoperative assessment. Validated measures of postoperative neurological function will aid in more nuanced interpretation of the effects of AoA and SSA occlusion. However, the information, as presented in the included articles, nonetheless enable an all-or-nothing (ie, presence vs absence of deficit) assessment and analyses of the effects of AoA and SSA ligation on incidence of postoperative deficit. The poor reporting of follow-up duration in the included studies is mitigated by the fact that neurological deficit is expected to occur during the perioperative period, thus partially obviating the need for long-term follow-up for the purposes of this review.

Besides the risk of bias of the included studies, other limitations of this review include the low level of evidence (level IV) of the individual studies. The search strategy was deliberately wide and to the authors’ knowledge, there exists no randomized controlled trials, or even prospective comparative studies on this topic. While randomized controlled trials will be extremely difficult to conduct in this area, the recommendations in this review nonetheless serve as a useful starting point for future high-quality prospective comparative studies in this field of spinal surgery.

Conclusion

The current best evidence (low level) indicate that occlusion of the AoA, and occlusion of up to 6 pairs of its vicinity’s SSA (without knowledge of the location of the AoA), is associated with a low risk of postoperative neurological deficits. The limited number of included studies and moderate- to poor-quality evidence restricts the ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the impact of sacrificing the AoA and SSAs. Ligation
of AoA and SSAs should only be undertaken when absolutely required to mitigate the small but devastating risk of paralysis. Further studies are required to understand the vascular tolerance of the spinal cord as new surgical techniques enable more extensive surgical procedures.
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