Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy and DNA Methylation in Newborns

Findings From the Pregnancy and Childhood Epigenetics Consortium


Abstract—Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) are associated with low birth weight, shorter gestational age, and increased risk of maternal and offspring cardiovascular diseases later in life. The mechanisms involved are poorly understood, but epigenetic regulation of gene expression may play a part. We performed meta-analyses in the Pregnancy and Childhood Epigenetics Consortium to test the association between either maternal HDP (10 cohorts; n=5242 [cases=476]) or preeclampsia (3 cohorts; n=2219 [cases=135]) and epigenome-wide DNA methylation in cord blood using the Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. In models adjusted for confounders, and with Bonferroni correction, HDP and preeclampsia were associated with DNA methylation at 43 and 26 CpG sites, respectively. HDP was associated with higher methylation at 27 (63%) of the 43 sites, and across all 43 sites, the mean absolute difference in methylation was between 0.6% and 2.6%. Epigenome-wide associations of HDP with offspring DNA methylation were modestly consistent with the equivalent epigenome-wide associations of preeclampsia with offspring DNA methylation (R²=0.26). In longitudinal analyses conducted in 1 study (n=108 HDP cases; 550 controls), there were similar changes in DNA methylation in offspring of those with and without HDP up to adolescence. Pathway analysis suggested that genes located at/near HDP-associated sites may be involved in developmental, embryogenesis, or neurological pathways. HDP...
Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP), including gestational hypertension (GH) and preeclampsia, are among the most common complications of pregnancy. Previous studies have found associations between maternal HDP and the hematological profile of newborns, preterm birth, low birth weight, and slower growth patterns in early infancy. Associations of HDP with subsequent higher blood pressure (BP) and cardiovascular disease risk in offspring have also been reported. The mechanisms underlying these associations are not fully understood. It has been suggested that environmentally responsive, mitotically stable epigenetic phenomena, such as DNA methylation, may mediate some of the impact of intrauterine exposures, such as to maternal HDP, on subsequent health outcomes in offspring.

Several small studies have found preeclampsia-associated differences in DNA methylation, other epigenetic markers, and gene expression in placental tissue. Several gestational blood cells, and maternal omental fat biopsies collected during gestation. Two previous studies have explored the association of HDP with DNA methylation in offspring cord blood. Previous studies included small number of preeclampsia cases, reported few findings that reached genome-wide level of confidence, and findings were not replicated. Larger studies would enable replication of these findings to be tested and would likely identify novel loci.

We hypothesized that HDP may influence DNA methylation patterns in cord blood in genes that are important for fetal development. To address this, we examined the association of HDP (preeclampsia and GH jointly), with epigenome-wide DNA methylation in cord blood by meta-analyzing results from 10 birth cohorts from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics consortium. Our main analyses focused on HDP, and in addition to EW AS (epigenome-wide association study) analyses that remove one of the studies at a time are consistent with each other, this shows replication across the different studies included in our meta-analysis. We considered the fully adjusted models (adjusted for confounders, cell proportions, and technical covariates [batch effects]) for each cohort adjusted for technical covariates using methods suitable for that cohort [online-only Data Supplement]). We reported the results of our primary analyses but also reported the results of an unadjusted model. The sample size was the same for adjusted and unadjusted models: HDP (n=5242, cases=476) and preeclampsia (n=2219, cases=105). We highlighted results from the meta-analysis that reached statistical significance based on a false discovery rate of 5% and also with a more stringent Bonferroni-corrected P threshold (P<1.05×10^-7; correcting for 475089 tests for HDP analysis and 473862 tests for preeclampsia analysis). The CpGs passing the more stringent Bonferroni-corrected P were considered our primary findings.

### Methods

#### Data Availability

Data supporting the results reported in this article can be found in the online-only Data Supplement. We are unable to make individual-level data available because of concerns about compromising individual privacy; however, data used in these analyses are available from the individual cohorts on request. Full meta-analysis results datasets generated in this study are available from the corresponding author (nabila.kazmi@bristol.ac.uk) on request.

#### Cohort Participants

Our a priori primary analysis was of the association of HDP with epigenome-wide DNA methylation in cord blood. This provided maximal statistical power by enabling us to include all studies, including those that did not have sufficient numbers of preeclampsia for meaningful analyses or had not collected data that could differentiate GH from preeclampsia. In secondary analyses, we examined associations of preeclampsia only with DNA methylation in cord blood.

All pregnancy and childhood epigenetics consortium studies were invited to participate, women had to have experienced a singleton pregnancy, and only those studies with >10 cases of HDP were included in the main analyses. Similarly, for the secondary analyses of preeclampsia, only studies with >10 cases of preeclampsia occurring in singleton pregnancies were included.

Ten cohorts were included in the meta-analysis of HDP and cord blood methylation. These cohorts listed in alphabetic order were the following: the ALSPAC (Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children), the GenR (Generation R) study, the GOYA (Genetics of Overweight Young Adults) study (a genome-wide population-association study nested in the Danish National Birth Cohort), the healthy start (Hispanic and non-Hispanic), the Isle of Wight cohort, 2 independent datasets from the MoBa (Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study; MoBa1 and MoBa2), the PREDO (Prediction and Prevention of Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction), and Project Viva.

MoBa (MoBa1 and MoBa2) and PREDO also had sufficient number of cases (n>10) to contribute to the meta-analysis of the specific association of preeclampsia with cord blood DNA methylation.

#### Differentially Methylated Region Analysis

In addition to EW AS (epigenome-wide association study) analyses, differentially methylated region (DMR) analyses in relation to HDP and preeclampsia were conducted separately using the R package DMRcate. In the DMR analysis, the results of fully adjusted
meta-analyzed models were used. DMRCate groups associated probes into separate DMRs if the gap between nucleotides is ≥1000 base pairs and the Bonferroni-corrected \( P \) of associations <0.05.

**Longitudinal Analysis of HDP and Offspring Methylation**

Longitudinal analyses were performed to examine whether the associations of HDP on offspring differential DNA methylation at CpGs at birth were observed at the same CpGs in blood cells at age ≈7 years (mean age, 7.5 years; SD, 0.1) and at age ≈17 years (mean age, 17.1 years; SD, 1.0). These analyses were conducted in 1 cohort only (ALSPAC) and were restricted to the Bonferroni significant CpGs from the main HDP EWAS. Multilevel models were used, level 1 being the repeat assessments (at birth, 7 years, or 17 years) and within participants (level 2).\(^{6,40}\) The CpGs were first linked to the gene symbols using an Illumina mapping file and if unsuccessful were annotated to the nearest gene within 10 Mb of each CpG. A multilevel model including a random intercept (to allow for between individual variability in methylation) and a linear spline term (to allow for nonlinear change in methylation from birth to 17 years) was fitted to each of these CpGs. This model is described in more detail in the online-only Data Supplement. These longitudinal analyses included 658 participants in whom 108 had been exposed to HDP.

**Additional Information**

Additional information (definition of HDP, covariates, methylation measurements and quality control, estimation of cell-type proportions, CpG annotation, statistical analysis methods, and some results) is provided in online-only Data Supplement.

**Results**

**Study Characteristics**

A total of 10 independent cohorts participated in our meta-analysis of HDP and DNA methylation of cord blood. The total number of newborns was 5242, including 476 whose mothers met our criteria for HDP (cases of GH+preclampsia). Of those 10 cohorts, 3 contributed to the preeclampsia analyses (n=135 cases; Table 1). Each cohort is described in detail in Table S1.

**Main Meta-Analyses Results**

In the EWAS meta-analysis evaluating the association between HDP and differential DNA methylation in cord blood, after adjustment for confounders, estimated cell counts, and technical covariates, we found 26 differentially methylated sites passed the Bonferroni-corrected \( P (P<1.05\times10^{-7}) \) threshold (Figure S2; Table S4). Preeclampsia was associated with higher methylation levels at 23 (88%) of the CpGs, and across all CpGs, associations were somewhat stronger than HDP associations, with the range of mean difference in methylation being 1.1% to 4.4%. In unadjusted meta-analysis of the association of preeclampsia with cord blood DNA methylation, 117 CpGs reached the Bonferroni \( P \) (Table S5), including 12 of the 24 identified in the adjusted analyses. When we directly compared the direction and size of association in the 2 EWAS of preeclampsia (unadjusted EWAS versus fully adjusted EWAS), we found moderately strong consistency (\( R^2=0.41, \text{slope}=0.918±0.002; \) Figure S3). When we used a 5% false discovery rate method to account for multiple testing (rather than Bonferroni correction), a much larger number of CpGs (n=542) were differentially methylated in relation to preeclampsia in the fully adjusted model (Figure S2; Table S4).

The genomic inflation factor, \( \lambda \), for all HDP and preeclampsia models is provided in (Tables S6 and S7, respectively). \( \lambda \)-Values for preeclampsia were higher than the meta-analysis results for HDP, likely because of smaller number of preeclampsia cases. Five of the differentially methylated sites for preeclampsia were also identified in the Bonferroni-corrected analyses for HDP. When we directly compared the association direction and sizes of the 2 EWAS (EWAS of HDP and EWAS of preeclampsia), we found modest consistency between the two (\( R^2=0.26, \text{slope}=1.17±0.003; \) Figure S4).

There was some statistical evidence of between study heterogeneity for one of the 43 HDP-related CpGs at a stringent Bonferroni-corrected Cochran \( Q \) \( P \leq 0.001 \) threshold (Table S2). For the preeclampsia EWAS, there was no evidence of interstudy heterogeneity for all 26 CpGs at Bonferroni-corrected Cochran \( Q \) \( P \) threshold of 0.002 (Table S4). The results of leave-one-out analysis illustrated that no single cohort had a disproportionally large influence on the meta-analysis results, and most cohorts agreed on the direction of effect at the CpGs that surpassed the Bonferroni significance (Figures S5 and S6). We compared our EWAS results of HDP and preeclampsia to previously published EWAS of early onset of preeclampsia (EOPE) in cord blood of offspring.\(^{17}\) Ten of the 43 and 7 of the 26 CpGs that were found in the meta-analysis results of HDP and preeclampsia, respectively, were previously reported in relation to EOPE (Tables S2 and S4). All these CpGs showed the same direction of association in both studies.

Epidemiological studies have suggested that the offspring of mothers with HDP/preeclampsia have a higher risk of long-term hypertension.\(^{6,42}\) An EWAS of systolic BP and diastolic BP was conducted among individuals of European, black, and Hispanic/Latino ancestry (n=17 101).\(^{19}\) We compared our EWAS results of HDP and preeclampsia to this previously reported EWAS but did not find any overlap. We also compared our EWAS results to those previously reported in cord blood EWAS of birth weight\(^{44}\) and gestational age.\(^{6,43}\) Three CpGs related to HDP in our analysis have been previously shown to be differentially methylated in cord blood in relation to birth weight, with opposite directions of association compared with the direction we found.
for HDP (ie, where HDP associates with lower methylation levels and higher birth weight associates with higher methylation levels; Table S8; Figure S7A). These findings suggest that the known association of HDP with lower birth weight may be mediated through DNA methylation at these CpGs. Additionally, 19 of the 43 CpGs we identified in our HDP EWAS have been previously shown to be differentially methylated in cord blood in association with gestational age45 (Table S9; Figure S7B). Again, the direction of association estimated for HDP was opposite to that estimated for gestational age, suggesting that the association between HDP and lower gestational age might be mediated through DNA methylation.

Pathway analyses were performed using top CpGs identified in the meta-analyses results for enrichment of certain gene ontology terms or biologic pathways. The HDP pathway analysis identified several categories of biologic processes including organ and system development, regulation of cell communication, and cell differentiation. The details are provided in online-only Data Supplement.

### DMR Analysis

DMR analyses were performed to identify associations of HDP and preeclampsia with regions of DNA methylation in cord blood. DMR analysis identified HDP associating with 248 regions (annotated to 203 genes) and preeclampsia associating with 185 regions (annotated to 164 genes) with adjustment for confounders and white cell counts at Bonferroni-corrected $P$ (Tables S10 and S11).

In a comparison to EWAS analyses, 30 of the 39 unique genes annotated to HDP-associated CpGs, overlapped with genes identified in DMR analysis of HDP (Table S12). Similarly, 24 genes of the 25 unique genes annotated to preeclampsia-associated CpGs overlapped with the results of DMR analysis of preeclampsia (Table S13).

### Longitudinal Analysis of HDP and Offspring Methylation

Longitudinal mixed-model analyses were undertaken in a subset of the ALSPAC cohort (n=108 HDP cases and 550 controls). As an illustration of the general pattern of observed...

---

**Table 1. Summary of Cohorts Participating in the Meta-Analysis of HDP and DNA Methylation at Birth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>No. of HDP Cases/No. of Controls</th>
<th>No. of PE Cases/No. of Controls</th>
<th>Maternal Age, y; Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Smoking During Pregnancy, n (%)</th>
<th>Preexisting or Gestational Diabetes Mellitus, n (%)</th>
<th>Maternal BMI, Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Female Infant, n (%)</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Gestational Age, wk; Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Birth Weight, g; Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALS PAC</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>108/550</td>
<td>10/550*</td>
<td>29.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>360 (55%)</td>
<td>210 (25%)</td>
<td>22.7 (3.4)</td>
<td>344 (52%)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.0 (2)</td>
<td>3500 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GenR</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>54/784</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>31.5 (4.2)</td>
<td>342 (39%)</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>24.3 (4.0)</td>
<td>400 (48%)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.3 (1.7)</td>
<td>3556 (500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOYA</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>17/523</td>
<td>10/523*</td>
<td>29.1 (4)</td>
<td>504 (93%)</td>
<td>215 (40%)</td>
<td>29.2 (3.9)</td>
<td>272 (50%)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>3677.5 (646)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS (Hispanic)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>11/124</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>24.86 (5.9)</td>
<td>64 (47%)</td>
<td>8 (6%)</td>
<td>28.09 (7.7)</td>
<td>66 (49%)</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>39.4 (1.6)</td>
<td>3309 (405)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS (non- Hispanic)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>28/273</td>
<td>10/273*</td>
<td>30.03 (5.2)</td>
<td>125 (41%)</td>
<td>14 (5%)</td>
<td>24.70 (5.3)</td>
<td>142 (47%)</td>
<td>Non-Hispanic white European</td>
<td>39.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>3330 (439)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOW</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>11/113</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>23.24 (2.5)</td>
<td>50 (40%)</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>27.28 (6.2)</td>
<td>64 (52%)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.0 (2.0)</td>
<td>3427.4 (519.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoBa1</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>52/968</td>
<td>32/968</td>
<td>29.9 (4.3)</td>
<td>600 (59%)</td>
<td>287 (28%)</td>
<td>16 (1.6%)</td>
<td>24.0 (4.2)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.1 (1.9)</td>
<td>3644.0 (545.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoBa2</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>37/607</td>
<td>29/607</td>
<td>30.0 (4.5)</td>
<td>384 (60%)</td>
<td>162 (25%)</td>
<td>7 (1%)</td>
<td>24.2 (4.5)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>3661 (537)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREDO</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>124/539</td>
<td>44/539</td>
<td>33.06 (5.9)</td>
<td>472 (71%)</td>
<td>28 (4%)</td>
<td>149 (22%)</td>
<td>26.94 (6.2)</td>
<td>European</td>
<td>40.0 (1.86)</td>
<td>3580 (546)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project viva</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>34/285</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>33.2 (4.4)</td>
<td>168 (53%)</td>
<td>28 (9%)</td>
<td>15 (5%)</td>
<td>24.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>Non-Hispanic white European</td>
<td>39.8 (1.5)</td>
<td>3609 (488)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>5242</td>
<td>2219 for PE</td>
<td>476/4766</td>
<td>135/3460</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALSPAC indicates Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children; BMI, body mass index; EWAS, epigenome-wide association study; GenR, Generation R; GOYA, Genetics of Overweight Young Adults; HDP, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; HS, healthy start; IOW, Isle of Wight; IQR, interquartile range; MoBa, Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study; NA, not assessed separately from HDP; PE, preeclampsia; and PREDO, Prediction and Prevention of Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction.

*These studies did not contribute to the analyses of associations of PE with cord blood DNA methylation because they had ≤10 cases (or it was not possible to identify PE cases); therefore, the total numbers in the PE analyses are 105 cases and 2114 controls. GenR and IOW ran EWAS without including preexisting or gestational diabetes mellitus. GenR found convergence issues because of low number of cases, and the information about preexisting gestational diabetes mellitus is not available for IOW.
results, Figure S8 shows longitudinal changes in methylation for the top 4 CpGs reached Bonferroni-corrected \( P \) threshold in the main adjusted HDP cord blood EWAS meta-analysis (all results are provided in Table S14). There were similar increases in methylation levels between birth and adolescence for most of the 43 CpGs in offspring of mothers who experienced HDP and those who did not. For a small number of CpGs, this age-related change was weaker and less consistent between 7 and 17 than between birth and 7 years. For all but 1 of the 43 CpGs, there was no strong statistical evidence that age-related change differed between offspring of cases and controls, suggesting that differences persisted but that this was because of general age-related change rather than any further long-term effect of exposure to HDP in utero. For the CpG cg08274637 (near DLEU7 gene), there was evidence that offspring of HDP mothers (compared with those whose mothers did not experience HDP) had a slightly faster increase in methylation between birth and age 7 (0.27\% increased methylation change per year; 95\% CI, 0.13\%–0.41\% methylation change per year; \( P=0.0002 \)).

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that HDP (including GH and preeclampsia) and preeclampsia alone are associated with multiple epigenetic methylation marks in cord blood. In our meta-analyses, HDP and preeclampsia were associated with both increased and reduced methylation with generally small mean differences. There were only modest levels of consistency in the magnitude and direction of associations between the preeclampsia and HDP EWAS results, suggesting that overall DNA methylation patterns in offspring cord blood may differ between preeclampsia and GH. However, we acknowledge that for preeclampsia analyses, only 3 independent studies contributed and the overall sample size was small. A previous study examined the association of EOPE with DNA methylation in offspring cord blood using 12 cases of EOPE compared with 8 controls. There was a small overlap between the results of EWAS of our study and previously reported EWAS of EOPE. As EOPE is a different outcome to our outcomes and this previous study is smaller than ours, we might not anticipate substantial overlap. A previous study reported the association of systolic BP and diastolic BP with DNA methylation in blood. There was no overlap seen between the results of cord blood EWAS of HDP/preeclampsia exposure from our study and the previous adult blood EWAS and cross-sectional association with BP. Hypertension is a multifactorial disease involving multiple genetic and environmental factors and is mediated by alterations in multiple biologic pathways. The lack of overlap between studies may be related to that prior findings of methylation levels in adult blood may be consequences of elevated BP or lifestyle leading to both change in methylation and high BP, while our findings may reflect developmental impact of prenatal exposure to maternal hypertensive conditions.
Table 2. Associations Between HDP and Cord Blood DNA Methylation Levels at CpGs That Surpassed the Bonferroni Significance Threshold (Adjusted for Confounders, Cell-Type Proportions, and Technical Covariates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>CpG</th>
<th>Mapped Gene</th>
<th>Nearest Gene</th>
<th>Gene Group</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Cochrane Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>54100163</td>
<td>cg09007354</td>
<td>GLIS1</td>
<td>GLIS1</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>-0.0097</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>3.11×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55353706</td>
<td>cg17901584</td>
<td>DHCR24</td>
<td>HP08784</td>
<td>TSS1500</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>7.27×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.1477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>147789973</td>
<td>cg10537450</td>
<td>TRNA_Pseudo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0106</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>3.19×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>183516266</td>
<td>cg13492133</td>
<td>SMG7</td>
<td>SMG7</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0159</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8.06×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.2139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21264562</td>
<td>cg21319310*</td>
<td>APOB</td>
<td>APOB</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>1.51×10^{-4}</td>
<td>+?+</td>
<td>0.0403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12858887</td>
<td>cg23482989</td>
<td>CAND2</td>
<td>CAND2</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>1.32×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.2888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12658926</td>
<td>cg1182607</td>
<td>CAN2</td>
<td>CAN2</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.49×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12996362</td>
<td>cg10537450</td>
<td>TRNA_Pseudo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0106</td>
<td>0.0019</td>
<td>3.19×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>183749227</td>
<td>cg14483391</td>
<td>HTR3D</td>
<td>HTR3D</td>
<td>TSS1500; TSS200</td>
<td>-0.0125</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>4.24×10^{-8}</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0.009811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>166251189</td>
<td>cg05119988</td>
<td>SC4MOL</td>
<td>MSMO1</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.26×10^{-8}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6766613</td>
<td>cg12970171</td>
<td>PAPD7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0109</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>6.13×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.07992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>131409289</td>
<td>cg02325250</td>
<td>CSF2</td>
<td>CSF2</td>
<td>TSS200</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>6.72×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>151051214</td>
<td>cg10505630</td>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>SPARC</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>5.64×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>149775853</td>
<td>cg04494800</td>
<td>ZC3H12D</td>
<td>ZC3H12D</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>6.83×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.2698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>124584474</td>
<td>cg13622265*</td>
<td>TTLL11</td>
<td>TTLL11</td>
<td>3'UTR</td>
<td>-0.0173</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>1.82×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4117248</td>
<td>cg05119988</td>
<td>SC4MOL</td>
<td>MSMO1</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>6.26×10^{-8}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>63753550</td>
<td>cg25953130</td>
<td>ARID5B</td>
<td>JA660620</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>7.21×10^{-8}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.1759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36422615</td>
<td>cg08943494*</td>
<td>PRR5L</td>
<td>PRR5L</td>
<td>5'UTR; first exon; body</td>
<td>0.0279</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>4.35×10^{-8}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>46709238</td>
<td>cg27652459</td>
<td>ARHGAP1</td>
<td>ARHGAP1</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>7.11×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.5665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16116191</td>
<td>cg07573872</td>
<td>NCOR2</td>
<td>NCOR2</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>3.40×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>51417929</td>
<td>cg13846270*</td>
<td>DLEU7</td>
<td>DLEU7</td>
<td>TSS200</td>
<td>-0.0106</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>9.04×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.1799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>125780810</td>
<td>cg24186506</td>
<td>CHST15</td>
<td>CHST15</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0174</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>3.61×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.7025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>99218287</td>
<td>cg01185345</td>
<td>STK24</td>
<td>STK24</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>4.23×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.2207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>114297307</td>
<td>cg03546806</td>
<td>RBM19</td>
<td>RBM19</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0141</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td>4.73×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.11×10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>124985889</td>
<td>cg20494738</td>
<td>NCO2</td>
<td>NCO2</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>3.40×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>47077165</td>
<td>cg07738730*</td>
<td>RARA</td>
<td>RARA</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>1.75×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>47076904</td>
<td>cg18183624*</td>
<td>IGF2BP1</td>
<td>IGF2BP1</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0209</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>3.70×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2087392</td>
<td>cg09476997</td>
<td>SLC9A3R2</td>
<td>SLC9A3R2</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0261</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>1.86×10^{-12}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>37331531</td>
<td>cg26128121</td>
<td>CACNB1</td>
<td>CACNB1</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0114</td>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>1.40×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.001224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>38470900</td>
<td>cg25362050*</td>
<td>RARA</td>
<td>RARA</td>
<td>5'UTR</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>1.75×10^{-4}</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>0.1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1126342</td>
<td>cg07573872</td>
<td>SBN02</td>
<td>SBN02</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>1.60×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.00259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1614570</td>
<td>cg07562120</td>
<td>TCF3</td>
<td>TCF3</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>-0.0086</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>6.75×10^{-4}</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.3149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The DMR analyses of HDP and preeclampsia identified a region that was annotated to AVP gene. Arginine vasopressin is essential for both osmotic and cardiovascular homeostasis and exerts important physiological regulation through 3 receptors, V1a, V1b, and V2.46 Results of animal study showed that the V1a receptor has an important role in normal resting arterial BP regulation mainly by regulating circulating blood volume and through baroreflex sensitivity.46 Arginine vasopressin has also been previously associated with congestive heart failure.57

HDP and a higher maternal BP across pregnancy are associated with small for gestational age, low birth weight, and a shorter gestation.48-50 We did not adjust our main analyses for gestational age or birth weight as these could not plausibly influence HDP (and so could not confound the association) but may confound the association levels increased with age across most of the HDP-related CpGs but that this was similar in offspring of mothers with and without HDP. These findings suggest that the association of HDP with differential methylation in cord blood remains, but there is no further effect (beyond what is seen at birth) of HDP on differential DNA methylation at these CpGs. These longitudinal analyses were conducted in just 1 study, with no possibility to explore replication, and had limited power. We cannot exclude the possibility that we had larger numbers of studies and participants and more repeat measures of epigenome-wide data, we might have identified an effect of HDP on age-related DNA methylation change. Difference in DNA methylation observed at birth may still influence health at older ages through a transient influence on gene expression. To assess the underlying biology involved in the associated genomic regions, we performed pathway analyses. These results implicated developmental, embryogenesis, and neurological pathways. These results could provide insight into the pathogenesis of offspring health outcomes related to maternal HDP. The exploration of biologic pathways of the observed differential methylation must also consider the effect sizes detected in this study. Although statistically robust, the changes observed were in the range of 0.6% to 2.6%. The impact of this modest magnitude of differential DNA methylation at a tissue or organ level and hence their influence on health outcomes is currently unknown. Further research on the functional relevance of methylation changes at specific sites is required to further elucidate this.

There are several strengths to our study, including a large sample size, control for variation of DNA methylation levels between blood cells, and the ability to explore change in any cord blood differentially methylated sites as offspring age in 1 study. We were able to control for several potential confounders but as in any epidemiologic study, we cannot be certain that residual confounding does not influence our results and this might be one reason for inflation in our EWAS results. The association between HDP and differential DNA methylation in cord blood may be because of any treatments used for HDP (rather than HDP per se). With moderate or severe hypertension, treatment may include β-blockers, and women with HDP may have their infant delivered early. We did not have information on medications to explore their potential role. The time between birth and processing of cord blood may impact DNA methylation, and it is possible that this may differ between those with and without HDP (eg, cesarean section may be more common in

### Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHR Position</th>
<th>Mapped Gene</th>
<th>Nearest Gene</th>
<th>Gene Group</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Cochrane Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 30655599 cg04632887 AVP AVP TSS2000 0.013 0.0022 2.05×10^-4 ++++-----+++ 0.001052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 9141615 cg09143713* PLCB4 PLCB4 5’UTR 0.0141 0.0026 5.32×10^-4 +++?++++++ 0.3546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Confounders adjusted for are the following: maternal age (year), parity (nulliparous vs multiparous), maternal smoking status (no smoking in pregnancy, stopped smoking in early pregnancy, smoking throughout pregnancy), diabetes mellitus (no preexisting or gestational diabetes mellitus vs pregestancy or gestational diabetes mellitus), maternal prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²), and child sex. The model was also adjusted for estimated cell counts and technical covariates. Bonferroni significant CpGs sorted based on CHR and position. ALSPAC indicates Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children; BMI, body mass index; CHR, chromosome; CpG, CpG site; GenR, Generation R; GOYA, Genetics of Overweight Young Adults; HDP, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy; HS, healthy start; IOW, Isle of Wight; MoBa, Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study; PREDO, Prevention of Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction; and UTR, untranslated region.

*CpGs: these CpGs were found to be Bonferroni significant in adjusted analyses only (ie, not in the unadjusted analyses); mapped gene: CpGs were annotated using Illumina genome coordinate; nearest gene: nearest genes were annotated within 10 Mb of each CpG as described41; gene group: University of California, Santa Cruz gene region feature category; Coef: difference in mean methylation at the CpG comparing HDP to no HDP in β-units β-units are the proportion of cells at that CpG that are methylated; negative values indicate lower methylation in offspring exposed to HDP and positive higher methylation in those exposed; SE: SE of the difference in mean regression coefficient; Value: exact P value from statistical model; direction: direction of association for each cohort included in the meta-analysis; methylation: higher (+), lower (−), or the CpG was missing (?) in those whose mothers had HDP compared with those who did not. For direction of association, the order of cohorts is ALSPAC, GenR, Viva, IOW, HS–non-Hispanic, MoBa1, MoBa2, GOYA, PREDO, and HS-Hispanic. Cochran Q=–Cochran Q statistic to test heterogeneity.
women with HDP and may influence the time from delivery to sample processing); we do not have information on this time interval to adjust for it.

In conclusion, we identified associations between both maternal HDP and preeclampsia and DNA methylation at several loci across the genome in cord blood. Pathway analysis suggested that several of these CpGs are involved in developmental embryogenesis or neurological pathways. We also found overlap between HDP-related differentially methylated CpGs from our study and those found in EWAS of birth weight and gestational age, in directions that are consistent with associations of HDP with these outcomes (eg, higher methylation at CpGs related to HDP being related to lower birth weight and shorter gestational age). However, the biologic and health relevance of the relatively small mean differences in DNA methylation found in our EWAS is unclear, and further research, including the use of methods to distinguish causation from association, is needed to fully understand our findings.

Perspectives
HDP including GH and preeclampsia is associated with adverse offspring outcomes. Epidemiologic studies have found the associations between HDP and offspring health outcomes including a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. The mechanisms underlying these associations are partly unclear but environmentally responsive; DNA methylation—a type of epigenetic modification—may mediate the relationship between the genetic sequence, environmental factors, and offspring health outcomes. This study identified differences in cord blood methylation pattern with HDP. These findings begin to help us to understand the biologic processes that HDP may influence and possibly mechanisms by which HDP may affect offspring health outcomes.
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Novelty and Significance

What Is New?

- Epigenome-wide association studies were conducted to identify associations of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP; including gestational hypertension and preeclampsia) and preeclampsia with DNA methylation at CpG sites and regions in cord blood.
- The study meta-analyzed participant samples (n=5242; 476 with HDP) from 10 independent birth cohorts from the pregnancy and childhood epigenetics consortium. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest epigenome-wide association study of HDP and preeclampsia.
- We performed novel longitudinal analysis to investigate the change in differentially methylated CpG sites identified in relation to HDP in cord blood from birth to 17 years of age using data from one of the cohorts (n=658; 108 with HDP).

What Is Relevant?

- This study could identify novel epigenetic mechanisms that mediate effects of HDP on birth weight, and future offspring cardiovascular outcomes.

Summary

HDP including gestational hypertension and preeclampsia has been associated with shorter gestational age, lower birth weight, and subsequent cardiovascular diseases in women and their offspring. DNA methylation could be a potential mediator of these associations. This study found associations of HDP with differentially methylated CpG sites and regions across the genome in cord blood. It also found some evidence that these CpG sites are related to development, embryogenesis, and neurological outcomes. These findings may be relevant to associations of HDP adverse perinatal and later outcomes.