Submicron Patterns-on-a-Chip: Fabrication of a Microfluidic Device Incorporating 3D Printed Surface Ornaments
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ABSTRACT: Manufacturing high throughput in vitro models resembling the tissue microenvironment is highly demanded for studying bone regeneration. Tissues such as bone have complex multiscale architectures inside which cells reside. To this end, engineering a microfluidic platform incorporated with three-dimensional (3D) microscaffolds and submicron/nanoscale topographies can provide a promising model for 3D cell cultures. There are, however, certain challenges associated with this goal, such as the need to decorate large surfaces area with high-fidelity 3D submicron structures. Here, we succeeded in fabricating a microfluidic platform embedded with a large area (mm range) of reproducible submicron pillar-based topographies. Using the two-photon polymerization (2PP) as a 3D printing technique based on direct laser writing, uniform submicron patterns were created through optimization of the process parameters and writing strategy. To demonstrate the multiscale fabrication capabilities of this approach, submicron pillars of various heights were integrated onto the surfaces of a 3D microscaffold in a single-step 2PP process. The created submicron topography was also found to improve the hydrophilicity of the surface while being able to withstand flow rates of up to 8 mL/min. The material (IP-Dip resin) used for patterning did not have cytotoxic effects against human mesenchymal stromal cells after 3 days of dynamic culture in the microfluidic device. This proof-of-principle study, therefore, marks a significant step forward in manufacturing submicron structure-on-a-chip models for bone regeneration studies.

KEYWORDS: submicron pillars, two-photon polymerization, microfluidics, bone regeneration

1. INTRODUCTION

Bone regeneration is a complex biological process that supports skeletal health throughout our lifetime. In this process, osteoprogenitor cells migrate, proliferate, and differentiate to restore and repair bone injuries.1,2 However, the bone regeneration capacity of the human body is either inadequate or is compromised in the case of large bony defects, infections, arthritis, and tumors.3–6 The innate bone regeneration capacity should therefore be augmented through biomaterials that promote osteogenesis, thereby enhancing the performance of the bone regeneration process.6–8 The vast majority of such biomaterials introduced to date work on the basis of locally delivering biomolecules such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) to promote the osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stromal cells (hMSCs).9,10 There are, however, concerns regarding the dose-dependent toxicity effects of some of these biomolecules10–12 particularly in larger animals such as humans. In addition, such biomolecules are often expensive and may have undesired side effects.12,13

An alternative approach tries to exploit the effects of (submicron/nanoscale) topographies on cellular processes including migration, adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation to enhance the bone tissue regeneration performance of biomaterials.14,15 In particular, several studies have shown that very specific shapes and dimensions of nanopatterns could stimulate the osteogenic differentiation of stem cells.16–18 Moreover, the same nanoscale patterns could be used to kill bacteria so as to minimize the risk of implant-associated infections.19–21 However, it is still unclear how different design parameters of the surface nanopatterns affect the various cellular processes both for the host cells and bacteria.22,23 One
therefore has to perform many experiments to systematically study the effects of a large number of design parameters on the different types of cellular responses. This challenge is further compounded by the fact that the experiments have to be repeated for different cell types including stem cells, immune cells, and different types of bacteria (e.g., Gram-positive and Gram-negative). Therefore, high-throughput experimental setups that allow for such large systematic studies are required.

Lab-on-a-chip devices that incorporate various submicron to nanoscale patterns inside a microfluidic chip are very attractive solutions for such an application. Such devices also allow improving the mass transfer of nutrients, metabolites, gases, and metabolic byproducts while controlling the temperature and pH. Moreover, these in vitro models may provide an alternative for the in vivo models that involve animal testing. However, fabrication of such devices would require a multiscale manufacturing approach to create the patterned surfaces and to integrate them into the chip.

Micro- and nanoscale patterns can be fabricated by removing material from a bulk material using top-down nanopatterning techniques such as lithography and etching. These structures can be also manufactured by deposition of atoms, molecules, or nanoparticles through bottom-up nanopatterning (e.g., focused electron beam induced deposition (FEBID), chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and molecular self-assembly). Although some of these techniques are capable of producing features with ultrafine resolutions (<100 nm), they are often restricted in terms of surface quality, upscaling, and combination and alignment of multiple elements. To overcome these restrictions, here, we used three-dimensional (3D) direct laser writing (DLW). DLW works on the basis of two (or

![Figure 1. (a) Schematic illustration of the 2PP process to prototype a large area of submicron patterns. (b) Oxygen plasma bonding process for cell study. (c and e) SolidWorks and real view models of the mechanical clamp setup used to align the channel over the topography in the submicron pattern delamination test. (d) Aligned submicron patterns across the channel width. Microdroplets can be seen after the delamination experiment. Scale bar is 200 μm.](image-url)
multiple) photons polymerization (2PP) and allows for printing 3D objects at the nanoscale and larger (up to mm) feature sizes. Barata et al. developed a microfluidic chip integrated with microstructures through a combination of photolithography, 2PP, and hot embossing. They studied the interaction of human osteosarcoma cells with the biomaterial under a low flow rate of continuous medium perfusion. In another study, Yong et al. developed a microfluidic device incorporated with nanogratings through a stitching and microtransfer assembly technique rooted in polymer thin film technologies. Despite the availability of these few studies, a versatile technique to fabricate microfluidic devices endowed with submicron/nanoscale features is still lacking.24

Manufacturing a large area of submicron/nanopatterns for cell culture and preserving the geometrical accuracy after assembly are the two main challenges in the integration of nanopatterns within microfluidic devices.24 In this study, we fabricated large patterned areas with submicron pillars by using the 2PP process and embedded them in a microfluidic chip (Figure 1a–d). The uniformity of the submicron pillars was boosted by proper selection of the materials and writing strategies, as well as by correcting for the substrate tilt. The morphologies of the pillars were first characterized and then upscaled to a larger area (mm range). We then fabricated a microscaffold covered with submicron pillars of various heights. In addition to measuring the adhesion force and wettability of the submicron pillars, we performed dynamic culture of hMSCs to evaluate the cyocompatibility of the patterned surfaces.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Submicron Patterning Using 2PP. We used the 2PP process for additive manufacturing of the surface submicron patterns using a Photonic Professional GT (Nanoscribe, Germany) machine. This machine works on the basis of a pulsed femtosecond laser (center wavelength = 780 nm, pulse duration = 100 fs, repetition rate = 80 MHz) and is equipped with a 63× objective lens (NA 1.4, numerical aperture). The Piezo writing strategy and dip-in laser lithography (DiLL) configuration were selected for writing the submicron-scale features. The submicron pillars were created using an acrylate-based resin (IP-Dip, Nanoscribe, Germany) atop an indium–tin oxide (ITO) coated fused silica glass (ITO glass) (Nanoscribe, Germany). Before printing, the substrates were cleaned with acetone and isopropyl alcohol (IPA; both Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). After writing, the samples were developed in propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) for 25 min, followed by 5 min of development and rinsing in IPA.

2.2. Upscaling of Submicron Patterns and Fabrication of a Multiscale 3D Scaffold. The writing parameters of the 2PP process were first optimized for printing reproducible submicron pillars of known dimensions in an area of 100 μm × 100 μm. Larger areas of this nanotopography (up to 2 mm × 1.7 mm) were then achieved by repeat-printing the previously optimized area. The procedure was then extended to submicron pillars with various heights on a 3D woodpile structure, which was fabricated using the machine’s Galvo strategy which has a larger throughput. Each rod of the woodpile had a cross section of 5 μm × 5 μm and a length of 55 μm, and the rods were separated by 10 μm. Two layers were printed and the overall footprint of the woodpile was 55 μm × 55 μm.

2.3. Characterization of the Submicron Patterns. Submicron topographical surfaces were characterized using a scanning electron microscope (Jeol InTouchScope JSM-6010LA, Japan). All specimens were sputter-coated with a ~10 nm thick gold–palladium layer before imaging. Furthermore, an optical microscope (Keyence Digital Microscope VHX-6000, U.S.A.) was used to acquire pixel intensity maps and to evaluate the reproducibility and uniformity of the submicron pillar dimensions. The wettability of the nonpatterned, patterned, and flat polymer surfaces was assessed using a drop shape analyzer (KRUSS DSA100, Germany). First, the samples were cleaned with IPA (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Then, droplets of deionized water (0.1–0.3 μL) were laid on the nonpatterned and nonpatterned surfaces. The contact angles were recorded after 5 s (through measurements per sample).

2.4. Image Processing and Quantification. The acquired SEM images were analyzed using ImageJ (open source image analysis software, http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html) to measure the height, diameter, and uniformity of the patterns over a large area. To do so, the height and diameter of the submicron pillars were first quantified through high-magnification SEM images at 13 000x from a few sample regions within the patterned area that were then used to calibrate the mesoscale image of the submicron patterns obtained from low-magnification images (taken at 850X via SEM and stitched together). Furthermore, optical images of the same area were taken to analyze their pixel intensity map. Finally, the pixel intensity map of the optical images was correlated with the data obtained from high-magnification SEM images using a custom-made MATLAB code (2017b) to identify the numbers, dimensions (mean ± SD), and uniformity of the printed submicron pillars (Table 2).

2.5. Fabrication of the Microfluidic Device. A single microchannel of 200 μm height, 1 mm width, and 7.85 mm length was fabricated via the mold replication technique. First, the mold was 3D printed using the stereolithography technique (Envisiontec Micro Plus HD3, Germany). Then, a mixture of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and the curing agent (10:1 w/w) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was poured into the 3D printed molds (PDMS) and desiccated for 1 h to remove any trapped air bubbles. After 3 h curing at 70 °C, the PDMS part was peeled off the molds. The PDMS layer was then placed over the substrate while aligning the channel with the patterns (Figure 1b, d). The method of bonding PDMS to the substrate depended on the type of the experiment performed later. For the delamination test, PDMS was pressed onto the substrate through a mechanically designed clamp, while oxygen plasma was used in the case of the specimens prepared for cell culture.

2.6. Delamination Test. The delamination of the submicron pillars was tested with respect to the various flow rates of deionized water. Patterned strips of 1 mm × 0.1 mm were integrated into the microfluidic chip and were held leak-tight with the help of the clamp. The computer-aided design (CAD) model and the actual 3D printed mechanical clamp (made with an Original Prusa I3MK2S printer, Czech Republic) holding the chip are presented in Figure 1c,e, respectively. The different flow rates of deionized water (1, 3, 5, and 8 mL/min) were applied in the chip through a peristaltic pump (Ismatec ISM915, Germany). The submicron pillars were observed before and after the experiments using optical microscope and SEM to check whether they were delaminated.

2.7. Dynamic Cell Culture. Two 1 mm × 0.3 mm areas of patterned and nonpatterned sheets (with a height of 3 μm) were printed in parallel and aligned along the channel edge. Both the patterned surface and the channel side of the PDMS were exposed to air plasma (Low Cost System Atto, Diener Electronic, Germany) for 2 min (power level = 40 W, chamber pressure = 4 mbar) after which they were brought into contact. hMSCs (passage 7; Lonza, Switzerland) were cultured in alpha minimal essential medium (αMEM: 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS), pH 7.5, phenol-red free; Life Technologies, U.S.A.) as previously described in detail by us. A cell seeding concentration of approximately 1.1 × 10^5 cells/mL was used for the dynamic cell culture. The microfluidic device was flushed 3 times with αMEM medium followed by seeding into the microchannel. The cells were then incubated (at 37 °C, 5% CO2) for 6 h before starting the flow of culture medium at 10 μL/h, using a NE-1200 syringe pump (KF Technology, Italy). After 3 days, the cells were rinsed 2 times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Life Technologies, U.S.A.) and incubated 10 min in a fixative solution (4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and 1% glutaraldehyde (GA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) in PBS) at room temperature. The fixed samples were washed 2 times with...
distilled water for 5 min and then immersed in a series of graded alcohol-PBS solution (50%, 70%, and 96%) for 15, 20, and 20 min, respectively. The samples were dried overnight at room temperature. At last, the specimens were gold-sputtered before obtaining SEM images.

2.8. Cell Viability. A live/dead cell assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, U.S.A.) was performed to study the viability of the cultured cells. After 3 days of dynamic cell culture, hMSCs were washed 3 times with PBS and incubated in a mixture of 5 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Nuclei Dye, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and 10 mg/mL propidium iodide (Dead Dye, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) for 15 min at 37 °C. In this fluorescence-based assay, Nuclei Dye stains the nucleus of both live and dead cells in blue while Dead Dye penetrates the membrane of the dead cells (red). After staining, the cells were washed with PBS and viewed with a confocal microscope (Axiovert 200MOT; Zeiss, Germany).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Fabrication of Reproducible Uniform Submicron Patterns. Selection of an appropriate print configuration and writing parameters for the 2PP process is necessary to produce uniform and reproducible submicron patterns. To further enhance the uniformity of the patterns, a tilt correction strategy for substrate was adopted and the effects of different configurations were evaluated. Lastly, a parametric study was conducted to assess the effects of 2PP writing parameters on the uniformity of the submicron patterns and the minimum feature size that could be reliably achieved.

3.1.1. Effects of Print Configurations. Different configurations and respective materials (resins and substrates) for the 2PP process were evaluated (Table 1). In comparison with the other types of substrates, a significant improvement (up to 86%) in the uniformity of the submicron patterns was achieved by printing over the ITO-coated fused silica glass when scaled up to a 2 mm × 1.7 mm area in the DiLL configuration (Figure 2a). The ITO-coated fused silica substrate in combination with IP-Dip resin yielded a higher interface signal amplitude (a value directly proportional to the refractive index mismatch at the interface of the resin and the substrate) in comparison with an uncoated fused silica substrate (Figure S1, Supporting Information). When using the conventional configuration (IP-L 780, borosilicate glass), the signal amplitude decreased even further (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Enhancing the interface signal amplitude decreased the noise and helped in more accurate detection of the substrate surface. This noise was decreased by increasing the refractive index difference between the two media (Figures S1 and S2, Supporting Information).

3.1.2. Effects of Tilt Correction. The Piezo writing strategy was selected among the available writing strategies in the 2PP process to produce each submicron pillar as a single voxel. At the submicron scale, a large variation in the pillar dimensions along the direction of writing was observed due to the angular motion of the scanner during the writing process (Figure S3a, Supporting Information). We used a tilt compensation method to reduce such effects where a correction accounting for the tilt of the substrate (accuracy in the range of 0.01°) was made to obtain a more uniform pattern (Figure S3c, Supporting Information). This procedure required measuring the interface position (in the z-direction) followed by fitting a linear plane to the measured position data points. The tilt angle of this fitted plane in the x- and y-direction were then input into the 2PP machine program to add the appropriate offsets in the z-coordinate while traversing in the x- and y-directions. The uniformity of the fabricated submicron pillars increased after applying the tilt correction to the ITO-coated sample (Figure 2b). The uniformity of the patterns written on manually tilt-corrected, auto-tilt-corrected, and uncorrected substrates (ITO fused silica, DiLL configuration) are compared in the supplementary document (Figure S3).

3.1.3. Effects of 2PP Writing Parameters. Achieving submicron/nano patterns of specific dimensions involves optimizing a number of parameters such as the laser power, time of exposure, interface distance, and other Piezo writing-based parameters. Submicron pillars as small as 160 nm in diameter and 300 nm in height could be fabricated using the Piezo writing strategy (Figures S4, Supporting Information). The height and diameter of the submicron pillars changed together with the laser parameters. It is therefore challenging to fix one of these dimensions, while varying the other. The higher values of the laser power and exposure time caused the diameters of the submicron pillars to increase (Figures S4, Supporting Information), while the height and diameters of the submicron pillars decreased with the interface distance (Figures S5, Supporting Information). The adhesion of the submicron pillars to the substrate was also decreased by the interface distance (Figures S6, Supporting Information). Increasing the interface distance, however, caused the printing process to start deeper into the substrate and reduced the uniformity and reproducibility of the submicron pillars (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The uniformity of the submicron pillars improved with the laser power and exposure time (Figure S7, Supporting Information). After optimization of all these 2PP parameters, a laser power of 27.2 mW, an exposure time of 2400 μs, and an interface distance of 0.3 μm were selected to achieve reproducible and uniform patterns (Figure 2c). The ellipsoidal shape of the submicron pillars (Figure 2c) were similar to that of the focal point of a focused laser beam. The focal point shape originates from the nature of the diffraction and cannot be improved by lens design or through adjustment of the optical system.36 However, it is possible to adjust the shape of voxel using such methods as shaded ring filters, equivalent phase and amplitude masks, or even approaches inspired by the stimulated emission depletion (STED) technique.37–39

3.2. Characterization of Submicron Patterns.

3.2.1. Measuring Uniformity over a Large Area. An area of 1 mm × 0.1 mm was patterned using the above-mentioned optimal laser parameters (Figure 2d, e). The uniformity of this printed topography can be quantified through the pixel threshold intensity values. The pixel threshold intensity can be detected by correlating the histogram describing the distribution of the submicron pillar diameters (Figure 2f) with the data regarding the distribution of the pixel intensity (Figure 2g). Here, the uniformity of the patterns is defined as the percentage of the area in which the diameter of the submicron pillars is larger than the cutoff value (i.e., submicron...
Figure 2. (a and b) Effects of the print configuration and tilt compensation on the uniformity of the fabricated submicron pattern \((n = 4)\). Both cases (a) and (b) were compensated for tilts. (c) Morphological characterization of uniform submicron pillars \((\text{the scale bar is } 1 \, \mu\text{m while the tilt angle is } 20^\circ)\). (d) Optical image of the area depicting the different regions covered by submicron patterns \((\text{the scale bar is } 100 \, \mu\text{m})\). (e) Stitching low-resolution SEM images to create an area of \(1 \, \text{mm} \times 100 \, \mu\text{m}\) \((\text{the scale bar is } 100 \, \mu\text{m})\). (f) The frequency distribution of the diameters of the submicron pillars determined using SEM image (e). (g) The frequency distribution of the pixel intensities obtained from the optical image (d). (h) The patterned surface was extended to a \(2 \, \text{mm} \times 1.7 \, \text{mm}\) area \((\text{the scale bar is } 500 \, \mu\text{m})\). (i) Morphological characterization of the submicron pillars from the damaged area \((\text{region 3 in d})\). The scale bar is \(5 \, \mu\text{m}\).
pillar diameter $\geq$ (mean diameter $- 50$ nm)). An upper limit of about 500 nm was also applied on the diameter to avoid counting delaminated submicron pillars stuck to the substrate in this analysis. Through this method, about 73% of the area (Figure 2d) has pillar diameters higher than 284 nm (uniformity is calculated using the data presented in Table 2). These submicron patterns upscaled to an area of 2 mm $\times$ 1.7 mm (Figure 2h) with a printing time of about 87 h and 50 min. This process is therefore not an ideal option for mass production of submicron patterned areas but rather for the rapid submicron patterning of large areas. The uniformity of this area was measured to be about 86% ($n = 10$).

Several regions could be seen after printing over larger areas (Figure 2d). Region 4 showed a uniform distribution of submicron pillars, while the color intensity decreased in region 5, indicating a decrease in the pillar diameter. A further drop in the pillar diameter created areas similar to the region 2. There were also areas where there are no submicron pillars (region 1) or areas where submicron pillars were collapsed (Figure 2i and region 3 in Figure 2d) during the development process in PGMEA and IPA. One reason could be the weak adhesion of the submicron pillars to the substrate. This usually happens when the laser beam focuses far above the substrate surface or when the edge of the voxel that is going to be printed is too close to the surface of the substrate. Collapse of submicron pillars could also happen due to the capillary forces during sample drying. Nano/micro pillars with high aspect ratios and dense arrays are more prone to deformation by capillary forces. A postprocessing step such as CO$_2$ critical point drying can help in enhancing the uniformity of the submicron pillars. Although printing over large areas could not give us 100% uniform submicron patterns in this study, the uniformity significantly enhanced after optimization of 2PP parameters in the Piezo writing strategy, testing various print configurations, and applying a manual tilt correction.

3.2.2. Wettability. Water contact angles on the non-patterned glass (ITO-glass), patterned glass, and flat polymeric surface were 81.84 $\pm$ 3.15°, 41.7 $\pm$ 3.9°, 73 $\pm$ 3.5° ($n = 9$), respectively (Figure 3a). Previous studies reported a water contact angle of 60° - 80° for the ITO glass. This range indicates that the angle depends on the precleaning procedure and may change due to the different solvent cleaning processes and plasma treatments. In this study, exposure to chemicals such as acetone, IPA, and PGMEA had been considered due to the involved sample development process. The Wenzel’s model, describing a homogeneous wetting regime, was used to estimate the contact angle of uniform patterns wetted by droplets.

$$\cos \theta_w = R \cos \theta_y$$

Here, the apparent contact angle of the droplet on a patterned and a smooth nonpatterned surface is given by $\theta_w$ and $\theta_y$, respectively. On a rough surface, the roughness factor is determined by $R$ which describes the ratio of the actual surface area to the apparent surface area of a rough surface. In this

Table 2. Specifications of the Submicron Pillars (Obtained from Image Analysis)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>expected number of submicron pillars</td>
<td>156250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actual number of submicron pillars</td>
<td>118966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interspace (center to center)</td>
<td>800 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean diameter ($d$) $\pm$ SD</td>
<td>334 $\pm$ 30 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean height ($h$) $\pm$ SD</td>
<td>1541 $\pm$ 60 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. (a) Water contact angle measurements of the flat and patterned areas. (b and c) The optical and SEM images of the submicron pillars after performing the microfluidic delamination tests at a flow rate of 8 mL/min. No signs of delamination were observed. The scale bar is 100 $\mu$m in (b) and 5 $\mu$m in (c). The tilt angle is 20° in (c).
study, by assuming cylindrical submicron pillars of height \( h \), diameter \( d \), and pitch \( p \), the roughness factor can be calculated using eq 2 as \(^{47}\)

\[
R = 1 + \frac{\pi dh}{p^2}
\]  

(2)

By substitution of the dimensions (Table 2) in this equation, the roughness factor is calculated to be \( R \approx 3.49 \). Replacement of this value into eq 1 yields \( \theta_w \approx 60.3^\circ \), which is higher than the experimental value of the contact angle \( (47.1^\circ) \). The deviation seen in the experimental value of the contact angle from the one predicted by the Wenzel’s model could be attributed to the small nonhomogeneity in patterns (due to process limitation, there are few regions with imperfect submicron pillars) and assuming submicron pillars as cylindrical rather than using their actual truncated ellipsoid shape. This model, however, does correctly predict the increase in the hydrophilicity in such a case.

3.2.3. Adhesion Force of the Submicron Pillars. The shear stress on the microchannels walls of 7.85 mm length (shape. This model, however, does correctly predict the increase in the hydrophilicity in such a case. (Figure 4b,c) showed the effects of micropatterns on the surface of microscaffolds. Cha et al.\(^{56}\) studied the effects of micropatterns added on microscaffolds on the cellular behavior of preosteoblast cells. They investigated two types of patterns (i.e., micropillars and microridges). The minimum feature size of the patterns was 2 \( \mu \)m. By comparison, the incorporation of submicron/nanoscale patterns on the surface of microscaffolds through the application of the 2PP technique is much more difficult while highly effective in inducing unique cellular responses.\(^{17}\) In this study, we showed the effects of different 2PP parameters on the characteristics of the submicron patterns. Based on these findings, we succeeded to print submicron pillars with diameters down to 200 nm with great precision not only on large areas of flat surfaces but also on the surface of 3D microstructures (Figure 4a). In addition, we reproduced submicron pillars with height gradient on the surface of our microscaffolds (Figure 4b and c). The potential of shifting between the Galvo and Piezo writing strategies in a single process allowed for this novelty. The Galvo writing strategy was used to fabricate the 3D woodpile structure, while the Piezo writing strategy was used to incorporate the submicron patterns on the woodpile layers. It is also worth mentioning that increasing the distance from the woodpiles surface leads to a gradient in the height and diameter of the submicron pillars created on the surface of various woodpiles. This approach could be used to create 3D cellular microenvironments that resemble more closely the native extracellular matrix or can provide novel surface physical cues to control cellular functions.

3.3. Fabrication of Multiscale Structures. The possibility of printing 3D micro architectures such as porous scaffolds with controlled porosities and nanopatterning using the 2PP process enables new approaches to the design of biomaterials. Cha et al.\(^{56}\) studied the effects of micropatterns added on microscaffolds on the cellular behavior of preosteoblast cells. They investigated two types of patterns (i.e., micropillars and microridges). The minimum feature size of the patterns was 2 \( \mu \)m. By comparison, the incorporation of submicron/nanoscale patterns on the surface of microscaffolds through the application of the 2PP technique is much more difficult while highly effective in inducing unique cellular responses.\(^{17}\) In this study, we showed the effects of different 2PP parameters on the characteristics of the submicron patterns. Based on these findings, we succeeded to print submicron pillars with diameters down to 200 nm with great precision not only on large areas of flat surfaces but also on the surface of 3D microstructures (Figure 4a). In addition, we reproduced submicron pillars with height gradient on the surface of our microscaffolds (Figure 4b and c). The potential of shifting between the Galvo and Piezo writing strategies in a single process allowed for this novelty. The Galvo writing strategy was used to fabricate the 3D woodpile structure, while the Piezo writing strategy was used to incorporate the submicron patterns on the woodpile layers. It is also worth mentioning that increasing the distance from the woodpiles surface leads to a gradient in the height and diameter of the submicron pillars created on the surface of various woodpiles. This approach could be used to create 3D cellular microenvironments that resemble more closely the native extracellular matrix or can provide novel surface physical cues to control cellular functions.

3.4. Cyto compatibility. The live/dead fluorescence microscopy images taken after 3 days of dynamic culture on the polymeric flat surface (Figure 5a) and patterned surfaces (Figure 5b,c) indicated no visible signs of hMSCs death. In addition, cells could colonize and spread on the patterned area quite uniformly (Figure 5c). These preliminary findings suggest that the IP-Dip resin is not cytotoxic for these cells. Other studies have coated surfaces made from similar resin

\[
\tau = 6\mu Q/wT^2
\]  

(3)

Table 3. Microfluidic Testing Conditions Used to Test the Resistance of the Submicron Pillars against Delamination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>flow rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>shear stress (Pa)</th>
<th>comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>submicron pillars unaffected, no leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>submicron pillars unaffected, no leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>submicron pillars unaffected, occasional leakage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>submicron pillars unaffected, leakage via inlet/outlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leakage was occasionally observed for a flow rate of 5 mL/min. Above this flow rate, keeping the chip leak tight was impossible (especially at the inlet and outlet connection points). The submicron pillars, on the other hand, remained unaffected by flow rates of up to 8 mL/min. Figure 3b,c show pictures of pillars that had been exposed to such flow rates. It can therefore be concluded that the wall shear stress should be more than a few tens of Pascal to delaminate the submicron pillars.

The following equation gives the shear force applied to the pillars during the microfluidic flow studies:

\[
dF_{\text{drag}} = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D 2r \int_0^h v(z) dz
\]

\[
F_{\text{drag tot}} = \rho C_D \int_0^h v(z) dz
\]

\[
= \rho C_D \int_0^h v_{\text{max}} \left( 1 - \frac{(R_h - z)^2}{R_h^2} \right)^2 dz
\]  

(4)

where \( v(z) \) is the flow velocity inside the channel, \( r \) is the pillar radius, \( R_h \) is the hydraulic radius of the microchannel, and \( h \) is the height of the pillars. As the flow regime inside the channel is laminar (at the maximum flow rate: 8 mL/min, Reynolds number = 284), the drag coefficient leads to 1 at this Reynolds number.\(^{52}\) By substituting \( h = 1.5 \mu \text{m} \) and \( r = 167 \text{ nm} \) in the eq 4, \( F_{\text{drag tot}} \) is estimated to be of the order \( 10^{-15} \text{ N} \) and could, therefore, be neglected (section S4, Supporting Information).

Submicron pillars were also experiencing a traction force by hMSCs cultured on them, which could lead to bending and delaminating the pillars (Figure 5e). The bending force on the pillars can be estimated using the following equation:\(^{53}\)

\[
\delta = 4Fh^3/3\pi Er^4
\]  

(5)

where \( \delta \) is the linear horizontal displacement of the pillar tips, \( F \) is the horizontal bending force, \( h \) is the height of the pillar, \( r \) is the radius of the pillar, and \( E \) is the Young’s modulus of the polymeric material. \( \delta \) can be estimated to be in the range of a few hundred nanometers from the SEM images (Figure 5e), \( E \) to be in the range of a few GPa,\(^{54}\) \( r \approx 167 \text{ nm} \), and \( h \approx 1.54 \mu \text{m} \). These estimations resulted in calculated forces in the order of hundreds of nN to a few \( \mu \text{N} \). This estimated range of forces is in agreement with the values reported by Fu et al.\(^{55}\)

The forces applied by the cells to the submicron pillars are much higher than the drag forces. One could therefore conclude that the cell traction forces delaminated the submicron pillars during the dynamic cell culture experiments.
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with biocompatible layers (e.g., TiO\textsubscript{2}, collagen, and fibronectin)\textsuperscript{57−59} before cell culture.

A closer look at the surface-cell interface by SEM showed a well spread morphology of the hMSCs on the flat polymeric surface (Figure 5d) and visible extensions interacting with the cells from the surrounding glass substrate. The cells on the patterns (Figure 5e) exerted contractile forces on the submicron pillars, which caused them to bend and delaminate. As calculated before, the estimated cell traction force is in the order of hundreds of nN to a few μN. It is therefore necessary to improve the pillar adhesion for cell studies. This could be achieved by increasing the diameter of the submicron pillars, reducing their height, exploring other resins, and increasing the interface distance (which starts the printing process deeper into the substrate).

4. CONCLUSIONS

We developed a method to create microfluidic chips decorated with surface submicron patterns as well as to fabricate 3D porous structures with patterned surface. Both microfluidic chips and 3D porous structures can be used in bone regeneration studies. The direct laser writing (i.e., 2PP) process has the potential of creating bone scaffolds with optimal feature sizes and a bespoke topological design. Varying the processing parameters of 2PP resulted in direct changes in the feature sizes at the submicron-scale. Furthermore, the created submicron topographies enhanced the surface hydrophilicity and, could withstand flow rates of up to a few mL/min. In addition, the results of hMSCs culture indicated no evident cytotoxicity of the material (IP-Dip resin). Although we succeeded in creating a large area of submicron patterns in

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** (a) SEM image of submicron-scale topographies incorporated into a woodpile-based microscaffold. (b and c) The submicron pillars with different heights.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Live/dead staining of the hMSCs after 3 days of culture on (a) the control surface (i.e., a printed flat surface with the same material used for the submicron pillars) and (b) submicron patterned surfaces. (c) Bright-field microscopy images of the cells shown in (b). (d and e) Cell-surface interface by SEM: (d) cells residing on the flat surface and (e) cells on submicron patterned area.
a single step, the long printing time remains a major limitation in this approach.
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