

References

A

- Abram, S. A. (2000). Planning the public: some comments on empirical problems for planning theory. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 19(4), 351-357.
- Abu-Orf, H. (2005). Collaborative planning in practice: The Nicosia master plan. *Planning Practice & Research*, 20(1), 41-58.
- Adams, D. (1994). *Urban planning and the development process*. Oxon: Routledge
- Agger, A., & Löfgren, K. (2008). Democratic assessment of collaborative planning processes. *Planning Theory*, 7(2), 145-164.
- Agranoff, R. (2006). Inside collaborative networks: Ten lessons for public managers. *Public administration review*, 66(Special Issue), 56-65.
- Alexander, E. R. (2001). The planner-prince: Interdependence, rationalities and post-communicative practice. *Planning theory & practice*, 2(3), 311-324.
- Allmendinger, P., & Tewdwr-Jones, M. (2005). *Planning futures: new directions for planning theory*. London: Routledge.
- Alvesson, M. (2003). Beyond neopositivists, romantics, and localists: A reflexive approach to interviews in organizational research. *Academy of management review*, 28(1), 13-33.
- Alvesson, M., & Sköldbberg, K. (2000). *Reflexive methodology: New vistas for qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 18(4), 543-571.
- Atkinson, P. (2005). Qualitative research—Unity and diversity. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 6(3), Art. 26.

B

- Bagnoli, A. (2009). Beyond the standard interview: The use of graphic elicitation and arts-based methods. *Qualitative research*, 9(5), 547-570.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1981) *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays* (ed Holquist M; trans. C Emerson and M Holquist). Austin, TX: University of Texas Press.
- Bakhtin, M. M. (1990). *Art and answerability: Early philosophical essays*. (M. Holquist & V. Liapunov, edited by). Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Bartels, K. P. R. (2012). The actionable researcher: Cultivating a process-oriented methodology for studying administrative practice. *Administrative theory & praxis*, 34(3), 433-455.
- Bartels, K. P. R. (2013). Public encounters: the history and future of face-to-face contact between public professionals and citizens. *Public Administration*, 91(2), 469-483.
- Bartels, K. P. R. (2015). *Communicative capacity: Public encounters in participatory theory and practice*. UK: Policy Press.
- Bartels, K. P. R. (2018). Collaborative dynamics in street level work: Working in and with communities to improve relationships and reduce deprivation. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*, 36(7), 1319-1337.
- Bartels, K. P. R., & Turnbull, N. (2019). Relational public administration: a synthesis and heuristic classification of relational approaches. *Public Management Review*, 1-23.
- Bartels, K. P. R., & Wittmayer, J. M. (2014). Symposium introduction: usable knowledge in practice. What action research has to offer to critical policy studies. *Critical policy studies*, 8(4), 397-406.

- Baumgartner, F. R., & Jones, B. D. (1993). *Agendas and instability in American politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Baxter, L. A. (2004a). A tale of two voices: Relational dialectics theory. *Journal of Family Communication*, 4(3-4), 181-192.
- Baxter, L. A. (2004b). Relationships as dialogues. *Personal Relationships*, 11(1), 1-22.
- Baxter, L. A. (2011). *Voicing relationships: A dialogic perspective*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Baxter, L. A., & Braithwaite, D. O. (2010). Relational dialectics theory, applied. In S.W. Smith, & S.R. Wilson (Eds.), *New directions in interpersonal communication research* (pp. 48-66). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Baxter, L. A., & Erbert, L. A. (1999). Perceptions of dialectical contradictions in turning points of development in heterosexual romantic relationships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 16(5), 547-569.
- Baxter, L. A., & Montgomery, B. M. (1996). *Relating: Dialogues and dialectics*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Baxter, L. A., & Scharp., V. L. (2016). Dialectical tensions in relationships. In C.R. Berger, & M.E. Roloff (Eds.), *The international encyclopedia of interpersonal communication*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Baxter, L. A., & Simon, E. P. (1993). Relationship maintenance strategies and dialectical contradictions in personal relationships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 10(2), 225-242.
- Benson, J. K. (1977). Organizations: A dialectical view. *Administrative science quarterly*, 22(1), 1-21.
- Berger, R. (2015). Now I see it, now I don't: Researcher's position and reflexivity in qualitative research. *Qualitative research*, 15(2), 219-234.
- Berglund, H. (2007). Researching entrepreneurship as lived experience. In H. Neergaard, & J.P. Ullhøi (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research methods in entrepreneurship* (pp.75-93). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Bergson, H. (1946). *The Creative Mind*. New York: Carol Publishing.
- Berry, K. (2006). Research as bricolage: Embracing relationality, multiplicity and complexity. In K. Tobin, & J. Kincheloe (Eds.), *Doing educational research: A handbook* (pp.87-116). Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Bevir, M. (2006). How narratives explain. In D. Yanow, & P. Schwartz-Shea (Eds.), *Interpretation and method: Empirical research methods and the interpretive turn* (pp.281-290). New York, NY: M.E. Sharpe.
- Bingham, L. B. (2011). Collaborative governance. In M. Bevir (Ed.), *The SAGE Handbook of governance* (pp. 386-401). London: Sage publications.
- Bingham, L. B., & O'Leary, R. (2006). Conclusion: Parallel play, not collaboration: Missing questions, missing connections. *Public administration review*, 66, 161-167.
- Bingham, L. B., Nabatchi, T., & O'Leary, R. (2005). The new governance: Practices and processes for stakeholder and citizen participation in the work of government. *Public administration review*, 65(5), 547-558.
- Birch, M., & Miller, T. (2000). Inviting intimacy: The interview as therapeutic opportunity. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 3(3), 189-202.
- Bizzi, L., & Langley, A. (2012). Studying processes in and around networks. *Industrial marketing management*, 41(2), 224-234.

- Blumer, H. (1954). What is wrong with social theory?. *American sociological review*, 19(1), 3-10.
- Boelens, L. (2010). Theorizing practice and practising theory: Outlines for an actor-relational-approach in planning. *Planning theory*, 9(1), 28-62.
- Bolton, C. D. (1961). Mate selection as the development of a relationship. *Marriage and Family Living*, 23(3), 234-240.
- Booher, D. E. (2004). Collaborative governance practices and democracy. *National Civic Review*, 93(4), 32-46.
- Boszormenyi-Nagy, I. (2000.) *Grondbeginselen Van De Contextuele Therapie*. Nederland: Toorts B.V.
- Bouwen, R. (2001). Developing relational practices for knowledge intensive organizational contexts. *Career Development International*, 6(7), 361-369.
- Bouwen, R., & Taillieu, T. (2004). Multi-party collaboration as social learning for interdependence: Developing relational knowing for sustainable natural resource management. *Journal of community & applied social psychology*, 14(3), 137-153.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative research journal*, 9(2), 27-40.
- Bradford, N. (2016). Ideas and collaborative governance: A discursive localism approach. *Urban Affairs Review*, 52(5), 659-684.
- Brisbois, M. C., & de Loë, R. C. (2016). Power in collaborative approaches to governance for water: A systematic review. *Society & Natural Resources*, 29(7), 775-790.
- Bruner, E. M. (1986). Experience and its expressions. In V.W. Turner, & E.M. Bruner (Eds.), *The anthropology of experience* (pp.3-30). Champaign, IL: University of Illinois Press.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2006). The design and implementation of cross-sector collaborations: propositions from the literature. *Public Administration Review*, 66(1), 44-55.
- Byrne, D., & Callaghan, G. (2014). *Complexity Theory: The State of the Art*. London and New York: Routledge.

C

- Campbell Rawlings, K., & Catlaw, T. (2011). Democracy as a way of life: Rethinking the places and practices of public administration. In C.S. King (Ed.), *Government is us 2.0* (pp. 45-72). London: Routledge.
- Carter, K. (1993). The place of story in the study of teaching and teacher education. *Educational researcher*, 22(1), 5-18.
- Catlaw, T. J. (2009). From liquid life to a politics of the subject; or public administration for a fragile planet. *International Journal of Organization Theory & Behavior*, 12(2), 310-324.
- Catlaw, T. J., & Jordan, G. M. (2009). Public Administration and "The Lives of Others" Toward an Ethics of Collaboration. *Administration & Society*, 41(3), 290-312.
- Chia, R. (1999). A 'rhizomic' model of organizational change and transformation: Perspective from a metaphysics of change. *British journal of management*, 10(3), 209-227.
- Clandinin, D. J., & Connelly, F. M. (1994). Personal experience methods. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp.413-427). London: Sage.
- Clandinin, D. J., & Rosiek, J. (2007). Mapping a landscape of narrative inquiry: Borderland spaces and tensions. In D.J. Clandinin (Ed.), *Handbook of narrative inquiry: Mapping a methodology* (pp.35-76). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Colville, I., Pye, A., & Carter, M. (2013). Organizing to counter terrorism: Sensemaking amidst dynamic complexity. *Human Relations*, 66(9), 1201-1223.
- Conforth, M. (1971). *Materialism and the Dialectical Method*. New York: International Publishers.
- Connelly, D. R., Zhang, J., & Faerman, S. (2014). The paradoxical nature of collaboration. In L. Bingham (Ed.), *Big ideas in collaborative public management* (pp. 27-45). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Connelly, F. M., & Clandinin, D. J. (1990). Stories of experience and narrative inquiry. *Educational Researcher*, 19(5), 2-14.
- Connolly, W. E. (2011). *A World of Becoming*. Durham and London: Duke University Press.
- Conville, R. L. (1988). Relational transitions: An inquiry into their structure and function. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 5(4), 423-437.
- Cook, S. N., & Brown, J. S. (1999). Bridging epistemologies: The generative dance between organizational knowledge and organizational knowing. *Organization science*, 10(4), 381-400.
- Cook, S. N., & Wagenaar, H. (2012). Navigating the eternally unfolding present: Toward an epistemology of practice. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 42(1), 3-38.
- Cools, C. A. (2006). Relational communication in intercultural couples. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 6(3-4), 262-274.
- Cools, C. A. (2011). Relational dialectics in intercultural couples' relationships (doctoral dissertation). Finland: University of Jyväskylä.
- Cornwall, C., & Coelho, V. S. P. (2007). Spaces for change? The politics of participation in new democratic arenas. In A. Cornwall, & V.S. Coelho (Eds.), *Spaces for change? The politics of citizen participation in new democratic arenas* (pp. 1-29). London: Zed Books.
- Craps, M., Van Rossen, E., Prins, S., Taillieu, T., Bouwen, R., & Dewulf, A. (2005). Relational Practices to make Social Learning Happen: A Case Study in Water and Nature Management. In D. Wildemeersch, V. Stroobants, & M. Bron Jr. (Eds.), *Active Citizenship and Multiple Identities in Europe. A Learning Outlook* (pp. 227-244). Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang GmbH.
- Crilly, N., Blackwell, A. F., & Clarkson, P. J. (2006). Graphic elicitation: using research diagrams as interview stimuli. *Qualitative research*, 6(3), 341-366.
- Crossley, N. (2010). The social world of the network. Combining qualitative and quantitative elements in social network analysis. *Sociologica*, 1, 1-34.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1997). *Finding flow: The psychology of engagement with everyday life*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Cummings, L. L., & Bromiley, P. (1996). The organizational trust inventory (OTI). Development and validation. In R. M. Kramer, & T. R. Tyler (Eds.), *Trust in organizations. Frontiers of theory and research* (pp. 302-330). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Czarniawska, B. (2007). *Shadowing, and Other Techniques for Doing Fieldwork in Modern Societies*. Sweden: Liber AB.

D

- Daher, M., Olivares, H., Carré, D., Jaramillo, A., & Tomicic, A. (2017). Experience and meaning in qualitative research: A conceptual review and a methodological device proposal. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research* 18(3), Art. 9.

- Davies, B., & Davies, C. (2007). Having, and being had By, "experience" or, "experience" in the social sciences after the discursive/poststructuralist turn. *Qualitative inquiry*, 13(8), 1139-1159.
- Dawson, P. (1997). In at the deep end: conducting processual research on organisational change. *Scandinavian journal of management*, 13(4), 389-405.
- De Roo, G., & Porter, G. (2007). *Fuzzy Planning: The Role of Actors in a Fuzzy Governance Environment*. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing.
- Deetz, S. (2001). Conceptual Foundations. In F. M. Jablin & L. L. Putnam (Eds.), *The New Handbook of Organizational Communication* (pp. 3-46). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dekker, K., & Van Kempen, R. (2004). Urban governance within the Big Cities Policy: ideals and practice in Den Haag, the Netherlands. *Cities*, 21(2), 109-117.
- Demir, R., & Lychnell, L. O. (2015). Mangling the process: a meta-theoretical account of process theorizing. *Qualitative Research*, 15(1), 85-104.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y.S. (1994). Introduction: Entering the field of qualitative research. In N.K. Denzin, & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp.1- 17). London: Sage.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2011). Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed., pp.1-20). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dewulf, A., & Bouwen, R. (2012). Issue framing in conversations for change: Discursive interaction strategies for "doing differences". *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 48(2), 168-193.
- Dewulf, A., Craps, M., & Dercon, G. (2004). How issues get framed and reframed when different communities meet: a multi-level analysis of a collaborative soil conservation initiative in the Ecuadorian Andes. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 14(3), 177-192.
- Dewulf, A., Craps, M., Bouwen, R., Taillieu, T., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2005). Integrated management of natural resources: dealing with ambiguous issues, multiple actors and diverging frames. *Water science and technology*, 52(6), 115-124.
- Dewulf, A., Craps, M., Bouwen, R., Taillieu, T., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2005). Integrated management of natural resources: dealing with ambiguous issues, multiple actors and diverging frames. *Water science and technology*, 52(6), 115-124.
- Dewulf, A., François, G., Pahl-Wostl, C., & Taillieu, T. (2007). A framing approach to cross-disciplinary research collaboration: experiences from a large-scale research project on adaptive water management. *Ecology and Society*, 12(2), Art-14.
- Dewulf, A., Gray, B., Putnam, L., Lewicki, R., Aarts, N., Bouwen, R., & Van Woerkum, C. (2009). Disentangling approaches to framing in conflict and negotiation research: A meta-paradigmatic perspective. *Human relations*, 62(2), 155-193.
- Dickson-Swift, V., James, E. L., Kippen, S., & Liamputtong, P. (2007). Doing sensitive research: what challenges do qualitative researchers face?. *Qualitative research*, 7(3), 327-353.
- Doehler, M. (2002). How to communicate as a planner. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 3(3), 364-365.
- Donohue, W. A. (2003). The promise of an interaction-based approach to negotiation. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 14(3/4), 167-176.
- Donohue, W. A. (2000). *Resolving Relational Paradox: The Language of Conflict in Relation-*

- ships. In W. Eadie, & P. Nelson (Eds.), *The Language of Conflict and Resolution* (pp. 21-46). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Donohue, W. A., & Hoobler, G. D. (2002). Relational frames and their ethical implications in international negotiation: An analysis based on the Oslo II negotiations. *International Negotiation*, 7(2), 143-167.
- Drake, L. E., & Donohue, W. A. (1996). Communicative framing theory in conflict resolution. *Communication research*, 23(3), 297-322.
- Druckman, D. (2009). Intuition or counterintuition? The science behind the art of negotiation. *Negotiation Journal*, 25(4), 431-448.
- Duck, S. (1990). Relationships as unfinished business: Out of the frying pan and into the 1990s. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 7(1), 5-28.
- Duck, S. (1994). *Meaningful Relationships: Talking, Sense, and Relating (Sage Series on Close Relationships)*. Thousand Oaks, USA: Sage Publications.
-
- E**
- Eastmond, M. (2007). Stories as lived experience: Narratives in forced migration research. *Journal of refugee studies*, 20(2), 248-264.
- Eddy, P. L. (2010). Leaders as linchpins for framing meaning. *Community College Review*, 37(4), 313-332.
- Edelenbos, J. (2005). Institutional implications of interactive governance: Insights from Dutch practice. *Governance*, 18(1), 111-134.
- Edelenbos, J., & Klijn, E. H. (2005). Managing stakeholder involvement in decision making: A comparative analysis of six interactive processes in the Netherlands. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 16(3), 417-446.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of management review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Ellingson, L. L. (2012). Interview as embodied communication. In J.F. Gubrium, J.A. Holstein, A.B. Marvasti, & K.D. McKinney (Eds.), *Handbook of interview research* (2nd ed., pp.525-539). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Emerson, K., & Nabatchi, T. (2015). Stepping in: The context for collaborative governance. In K. Emerson, & T. Nabatchi (Eds.), *Collaborative governance regimes* (pp. 3-13). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Emerson, K., Nabatchi, T., & Balogh, S. (2012). An integrative framework for collaborative governance. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 22(1), 1-29.
- Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I. & Shaw, L. L. (2011). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Emirbayer, M. (1997). Manifesto for a relational sociology. *American journal of sociology*, 103(2), 281-317.
- England, K. V. (1994). Getting personal: Reflexivity, positionality, and feminist research. *The professional geographer*, 46(1), 80-89.
- Erbert, L. A., Mearns, G. M., & Dena, S. (2005). Perceptions of turning points and dialectical interpretations in organizational team development. *Small Group Research*, 36(1), 21-58.
- Etherington, K. (2004). *Becoming a Reflexive Researcher. Using Our Selves in Research*. London and Philadelphia: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
-
- F**
- Fainstein, S. S. (2000). New directions in planning theory. *Urban affairs review*, 35(4), 451-478.

- Feldman, M. S., & Khademian, A. M. (2007). The role of the public manager in inclusion: Creating communities of participation. *Governance*, 20(2), 305-324.
- Feldman, M. S., Bell, J., & Berger, M. T. (2003). *Gaining access: A practical and theoretical guide for qualitative researchers*. USA: Altamira Press.
- Fenno, R. F. (1986). Observation, context, and sequence in the study of politics. *American Political Science Review*, 80(1), 3-15.
- Feynman, R. P. (1998). *The meaning of it all: Thoughts of a citizen-scientist*. New York, NY: Basic Books.
- Finlay, L. (2002). Negotiating the swamp: the opportunity and challenge of reflexivity in research practice. *Qualitative research*, 2(2), 209-230.
- Fischer, F. (2006). Participatory governance as deliberative empowerment: The cultural politics of discursive space. *The American review of public administration*, 36(1), 19-40.
- Fishbane, M. (1998). I, Thou, and We: A Dialogical Approach to Couples Therapy. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 24(1), 41-58.
- Fishbane, M. (2001). Relational Narratives of the Self. *Family Process*, 40(3), 273-291.
- Follet, M. P. (1977). The Psychology of Control. In E. M. Fox & L. F. Urwick (Eds.), *Dynamic administration: The collected paper of Mary Parker Follett*. New York, NY: Hippocrene Books.
- Follett, M. P. (2003). Power. In H. C. Metcalf & L. Urwick (Eds.), *Dynamic administration: The collected papers of Mary Parker Follett* (pp. 95-116). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Forester, J. (1999). *The deliberative practitioner: Encouraging participatory planning processes*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Foster-Fishman, P. G., Berkowitz, S. L., Lounsbury, D. W., Jacobson, S., & Allen, N. A. (2001). Building collaborative capacity in community coalitions: A review and integrative framework. *American journal of community psychology*, 29(2), 241-261.
- Fuhse, J. A. (2009). The meaning structure of social networks. *Sociological theory*, 27(1), 51-73.
- Fuhse, J. A., & Mützel, S. (2011). Tackling connections, structure, and meaning in networks: quantitative and qualitative methods in sociological network research. *Quality & quantity*, 45(5), 1067-1089.
- Fung, A. (2015). Putting the public back into governance: The challenges of citizen participation and its future. *Public Administration Review*, 75(4), 513-522.

G

- Gemignani, M. (2014). Memory, remembering, and oblivion in active narrative interviewing. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 20(2), 127-135.
- Gergen K. J. (2009) *Relational Being: Beyond Self and Community*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gergen, K. J. & Gergen, M. M. (1986). Narrative form and the construction of psychological science. In T. R. Sarbin (Ed.), *Narrative psychology: The storied nature of human conduct* (pp.22-44). Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Gergen, K. J. (1994). *Realities and Relationships: Soundings in Social Construction*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gergen, K. J., & Gergen, M. M. (1983). Narratives of the self. In T. R. Sarbin, & K. E. Scheibe (Eds.), *Studies in social identity* (pp. 254-273). New York: Praeger.
- Gergen, M. M., & Gergen, K. J. (2000). Qualitative Inquiry: Tensions and Transformations. In N.K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.),

- Handbook of Qualitative Research* (2nd ed., pp. 1025–1046). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Gerrits, L., Rauws, W., & De Roo, G. (2012). Dutch spatial planning policies in transition. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 13(2), 336–341.
- Gobo, G. (2011). Ethnography. In D. Silverman (Ed.), *Qualitative research* (pp. 15–34). London: Sage.
- Graham, E. E. (1997). Turning points and commitment in post-divorce relationships. *Communications Monographs*, 64(4), 350–368.
- Gray, B. (1989). *Collaborating: Finding Common Ground for Multiparty Problems*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers.
- Gray, B. (2004). Strong opposition: frame-based resistance to collaboration. *Journal of Community & Applied Social Psychology*, 14(3), 166–176.
- Gray, B., & Wood, D. J. (1991). Collaborative alliances: Moving from practice to theory. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 27(1), 3–22.
- Gray, B., Purdy, J. M., & Ansari, S. (2015). From interactions to institutions: Microprocesses of framing and mechanisms for the structuring of institutional fields. *Academy of Management Review*, 40(1), 115–143.
- Greene, S., & Hill, M. (2005). Researching children's experience: Methods and methodological issues. In S. Greene, & D. Hogan (Eds.), *Researching children's experience* (pp. 1–21). London: Sage.
- Grunebaum, J. (1987). Multidirected partiality and the "parental imperative." *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 24(3S), 646.
- Gualini, E. (2001). *Planning and the Intelligence of Institutions: Interactive Approaches to Territorial Policy-Making between Institutional Design and Institution-Building*. Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing.
- Gualini, E., & Majoor, S. (2007). Innovative practices in large urban development projects: Conflicting frames in the quest for "new urbanity". *Planning Theory & Practice*, 8(3), 297–318.
- Gunder, M. (2003). Passionate planning for the others' desire: an agonistic response to the dark side of planning. *Progress in planning*, 60(3), 235–319.
- Gustafson, P., & Hertting, N. (2017). Understanding participatory governance: An analysis of participants' motives for participation. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(5), 538–549.

H

- Hajer, M. (1989). Discours-coalities in politiek en beleid: De interpretatie van bestuurlijke heroriënteringen in de Amsterdamse gemeentepolitiek. *Beleidswetenschap*, 3(3), 242–263.
- Hajer, M. (2003). A frame in the fields: Policymaking and the reinvention of politics. In M.A. Hajer, & H. Wagenaar (Eds.), *Deliberative Policy Analysis: Understanding Governance in the Network Society*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 88–110.
- Halinen, A., Salmi, A., & Havila, V. (1999). From dyadic change to changing business networks: an analytical framework. *Journal of Management Studies*, 36(6), 779–794.
- Hammersley, M., & Atkinson, P. (2007). *Ethnography: Principles in practice* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Hargrave, T. D., & Anderson, W.T. (1997). Finishing Well: A Contextual Family Therapy Approach to the Aging Family. In T. D.

- Hargrave, & S. Midori Hanna (Eds.), *The Aging Family: New Visions in Theory, Practice, and Reality* (pp. 61–80). New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Harris, N. (2002). Collaborative planning: From theoretical foundations to practice forms. In P. Allmendinger, & M. Tewdwr-Jones M. (Eds.), *Planning Futures: New Directions for Planning* (pp. 21–43). London: Routledge.
- Harvey, D. (1996). *Justice, Nature and the Geography of Difference*. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Haverland, M., & Yanow, D. (2012). A hitchhiker's guide to the public administration research universe: surviving conversations on methodologies and methods. *Public Administration Review*, 72(3), 401–408.
- Hay, C. (2011). Interpreting interpretivism interpreting interpretations: The new hermeneutics of public administration. *Public administration*, 89(1), 167–182.
- Healey, P. (1996). The communicative turn in planning theory and its implications for spatial strategy formation. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and design*, 23(2), 217–234.
- Healey, P. (1997). *Collaborative Planning: Shaping Places in Fragmented Societies*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Healey, P. (1998). Collaborative planning in a stakeholder society. *Town planning review*, 69(1), 1–21.
- Healey, P. (2003). Collaborative planning in perspective. *Planning theory*, 2(2), 101–123.
- Healey, P., De Magalhaes, C., Madanipour, A., & Pendlebury, J. (2003). Place, identity and local politics: Analysing initiatives in deliberative governance. In M. A. Hajer, & H. Wagenaar (Eds.), *Deliberative policy analysis: Understanding governance in the network society* (pp. 60–87). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Heikkilä, T., & Gerlak, A. K. (2016). Investigating collaborative processes over time: A 10-year study of the South Florida ecosystem restoration task force. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(2), 180–200.
- Hillier, J. (2000). Going round the back? Complex networks and informal action in local planning processes. *Environment and planning A*, 32(1), 33–54.
- Hillier, J. (2003). Agonizing over consensus: Why habermasian ideals cannot be Real'. *Planning Theory*, 2(1), 37–59.
- Hillier, J., & Gunder, M. (2005). Not over your dead bodies! A Lacanian interpretation of urban planning discourse and practice. *Environment and Planning A*, 37(6), 1049–1066.
- Holman, N. (2008). Community participation: using social network analysis to improve developmental benefits. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 26(3), 525–543.
- Hosking, D.M. (2006). Discourses of relations and relational processes. In O. Kyriakidou, & M.F. Özbilgin (Eds.), *Relational Perspectives in Organizational Studies: A Research Companion* (pp. 265–277). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Howlett, M. (2009). Process sequencing policy dynamics: Beyond homeostasis and path dependency. *Journal of public policy*, 29(3), 241–262.
- Howlett, M., & Cashore, B. (2009). The dependent variable problem in the study of policy change: Understanding policy change as a methodological problem. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*, 11(1), 33–46.
- Huxham, C. (2000). The challenge of collaborative governance. *Public Management: an International Journal of Research and Theory*, 2(3), 337–358.

Huxham, C. (2003). Theorizing collaboration practice. *Public management review*, 5(3), 401-423.

Huxham, C., & Beech, N. (2003). Contrary prescriptions: Recognizing good practice tensions in management. *Organization Studies*, 24(1), 69-93.

Huxham, C., & Vangen, S. (2000). Ambiguity, complexity and dynamics in the membership of collaboration. *Human relations*, 53(6), 771-806.

Huxham, C., & Vangen, S. (2004). Realizing the advantage or succumbing to inertia?. *Organizational Dynamics*, 33(2), 190-201.

I

Innes, J. E. (1995). Planning theory's emerging paradigm: Communicative action and interactive practice. *Journal of planning education and research*, 14(3), 183-189.

Innes, J. E., & Booher D. E. (2000). *Collaborative Dialogue as a Policy Making Strategy* (IURD Working Paper Series). Berkeley, CA: Institute of Urban and Regional Development.

Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (1999). Consensus building and complex adaptive systems: A framework for evaluating collaborative planning. *Journal of the American planning association*, 65(4), 412-423.

Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (2004). Reframing public participation: strategies for the 21st century. *Planning theory & practice*, 5(4), 419-436.

Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (2015). A turning point for planning theory? Overcoming dividing discourses. *Planning Theory*, 14(2), 195-213.

Innes, J. E., & Booher, D.E. (2003). Collaborative policymaking: Governance through dialogue. In M.A. Hajer, & H. Wagenaar (Eds.),

Deliberative Policy Analysis: Understanding Governance in Network Society (pp. 33-59). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

J

Johnson, A. J., Wittenberg, E., Villagran, M. M., Mazur, M., & Villagran, P. (2003). Relational progression as a dialectic: Examining turning points in communication among friends. *Communication Monographs*, 70(3), 230-249.

Johnson, S.D., & Long L. M. (2002). 'Being a part and being apart': Dialectics and group communication. In L. R. Frey (Ed.), *New Directions in Group Communication* (pp. 25-41). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Josselson, R. (2004). The hermeneutics of faith and the hermeneutics of suspicion. *Narrative inquiry*, 14(1), 1-28.

Josselson, R. (2007). The Ethical Attitude in Narrative Research: Principles and Practicalities. In D. J. Clandinin (Ed.), *Handbook of Narrative Inquiry: Mapping a Methodology* (pp. 537-566). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Josselson, R. (2010). Narrative research. In N. J. Salkind (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of research design* (pp.869-874). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

K

Kincheloe, J. L. (2001). Describing the bricolage: Conceptualizing a new rigor in qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 7(6), 679-692.

Kincheloe, J. L. (2004). Introduction: The power of the bricolage: Expanding research methods. In J. L. Kincheloe, & K. Berry (Eds.), *Rigour & complexity in educational research* (pp.1-22). Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Kincheloe, J. L., McLaren, P., & Steinberg S. R. (2011). Critical pedagogy and qualitative research: Moving to the bricolage. In N.

- K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.) *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (4th ed., pp.163-178). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kingdon, J.W. (1984). *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*. Boston: Little, Brown & Co.
- Kleinman, A., & Kleinman, J. (1991). Suffering and its professional transformation: Toward an ethnography of interpersonal experience. *Culture, medicine and psychiatry*, 15(3), 275-275.
- Kohler Riessman, C. (2006). Narrative interviewing. In V. Jupp (Ed.), *The Sage dictionary of social research methods* (pp.189-191). London: Sage.
- Kohler Riessman, C. (2008). *Narrative methods for the human sciences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kokx, A. (2011). Partnerships in urban restructuring: Building long-term relationships or a pragmatic managerial tool? The Dutch experience. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 35(5), 1026-1047.
- Kolar, K., Ahmad, F., Chan, L., & Erickson, P. G. (2015). Timeline mapping in qualitative interviews: A study of resilience with marginalized groups. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 14(3), 13-32.
- Koski, C., Siddiki, S., Sadiq, A. A., & Carboni, J. (2018). Representation in collaborative governance: A case study of a food policy council. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 48(4), 359-373.
- Kramer, M., E. & Adams, Tony E. (2017). Ethnography. In M. Allen (Ed.). *The Sage encyclopedia of communication research methods* (pp.457-461). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Kramer, R.M., & Carnevale, P.J. (2001). Trust and intergroup negotiation. In R.J. Brown, & S.L. Gaertner (Eds.). *Blackwell handbook of social psychology: Intergroup processes* (pp. 431-450). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Kuitenbrouwer, M. (2018). Getting unstuck. The reconstruction clinic as pragmatic intervention in controversial policy disputes. In K. P. R. Bartels & J. M. Wittmayer (Eds.), *Action Research in Policy Analysis: Critical and Relational Approaches to Sustainability Transitions*. New York, NY: Routledge.
-
- ## L
-
- Langley, A. N. N. (1999). Strategies for theorizing from process data. *The Academy of Management Review*, 24(4), 691-710.
- Langley, A. N. N., & Tsoukas, H. (2010). Introducing perspectives on process organization studies. In T. Hernes, & S. Maitlis (Eds.), *Process, sensemaking, and organizing* (pp. 1-26). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Langley, A. N. N., & Tsoukas, H. (2017). Introduction: Process Thinking, Process Theorizing and Process Researching. In A. Langley, & H. Tsoukas (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Process Organization Studies* (pp. 1-26). London: Sage Publications.
- Langley, A. N. N., Smallman, C., Tsoukas, H., & Van de Ven, A. H. (2013). Process studies of change in organization and management: Unveiling temporality, activity, and flow. *Academy of management journal*, 56(1), 1-13.
- Lather, P. (1993). Fertile obsession: Validity after poststructuralism. *The sociological quarterly*, 34(4), 673-693.
- Laurian, L. (2009). Trust in planning: Theoretical and practical considerations for participatory and deliberative planning. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 10(3), 369-391.
- Leach, W. D., Pelkey, N. W., & Sabatier, P. A. (2002). Stakeholder partnerships as collaborative policymaking: Evaluation criteria applied

- to watershed management in California and Washington. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management: The Journal of the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management*, 21(4), 645-670.
- LeGreco, M., & Tracy, S. J. (2009). Discourse tracing as qualitative practice. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 15(9), 1516-1543.
- Lewis, J. (2007). Analysing qualitative longitudinal research in evaluations. *Social Policy and Society*, 6(4), 545-556.
- Lewis, M. W. (2000). Exploring paradox: Toward a more comprehensive guide. *Academy of Management review*, 25(4), 760-776.
- Lewis, S. J., & Russell, A. J. (2011). Being embedded: A way forward for ethnographic research. *Ethnography*, 12(3), 398-416.
- Liu, M., Chen, X., Rubin, K. H., Zheng, S., Cui, L., Li, D., ... Wang, L. (2005). Autonomy- vs. connectedness-oriented parenting behaviours in Chinese and Canadian mothers. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 29(6), 489-495.
- Loorbach, D. (2010). Transition management for sustainable development: a prescriptive, complexity-based governance framework. *Governance*, 23(1), 161-183.
- Lüscher, L.S., & Lewis, M.W. (2008). Organizational change and managerial sensemaking: Working through paradox. *Academy of management Journal*, 51(2), 221-240.
- Lüscher, L.S., Lewis, M., & Ingram, A. (2006). The social construction of organizational change paradoxes. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 19(4), 491-502.
- M
- Manderano, L. A. (2009). Social network analysis of social capital in collaborative planning. *Society and natural resources*, 22(3), 245-260.
- Margerum, R. D. (2002). Collaborative planning: Building consensus and building a distinct model for practice. *Journal of planning education and research*, 21(3), 237-253.
- Mason, J. (2002). *Qualitative researching* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.
- Mason, J. (2006). Mixing methods in a qualitatively driven way. *Qualitative Research*, 6(1), 9- 25.
- McLeod, J. (2000). Metaphors of the self: Searching for young people's identities in interviews. In J. McLeod, & K. Malone (Eds.), *Researching youth* (pp.45-58). Hobart: Australian Clearinghouse for Youth Studies.
- McMurray, R. (2010). Tracing experiences of NHS change in England: a process philosophy perspective. *Public Administration*, 88(3), 724-740.
- Mesman, J. (2007). Disturbing observations as a basis for collaborative research. *Science as Culture*, 16(3), 281-295.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, M. A. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis. An expanded source book* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Miller, T. (2015). Going back: "Stalking", talking and researcher responsibilities in qualitative longitudinal research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 18(3), 293-305.
- Mishler, E. (1990). Validation in inquiry-guided research: The role of exemplars in narrative studies. *Harvard Educational Review*, 60(4), 415-443.
- Montgomery, B. M. (1993). Relationship maintenance versus relationship change: A dialectical dilemma. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 10(2), 205-223.
- Mumby, D. K. (2005). Theorizing resistance in organization studies: A dialectical approach. *Management communication quarterly*, 19(1), 19-44.

N

- Neale, B., & Flowerdew, J. (2003). Time, texture and childhood: The contours of longitudinal qualitative research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 6(3), 189-199.
- Nienhuis, I.M. (2014). Vrijheid, gelijkwaardigheid en bevoogding. *Over bewonersparticipatie in het stimuleren van sociale weerbaarheid in wijken [Liberty, equality and patronizing. About citizen participation in stimulating social resilience in neighbourhood]* (doctoral dissertation). Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Nowell, B. (2009a). Out of sync and unaware? Exploring the effects of problem frame alignment and discordance in community collaboratives. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(1), 91-116.
- Nowell, B. (2009b). Profiling capacity for coordination and systems change: The relative contribution of stakeholder relationships in interorganizational collaboratives. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 44(3-4), 196-212.

O

- O'Leary, R., & Vij, N. (2012). Collaborative public management: Where have we been and where are we going?. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 42(5), 507-522.
- Oh, Y., & Bush, C. B. (2016). Exploring the role of dynamic social capital in collaborative governance. *Administration & Society*, 48(2), 216-236.
- Ojha, H. (2013). Counteracting hegemonic powers in the policy process: critical action research on Nepal's forest governance. *Critical Policy Studies*, 7(3), 242-262.

- Ospina, S. M., & Saz-Carranza, A. (2010). Paradox and collaboration in network management. *Administration & society*, 42(4), 404-440.
- Ostrom, E. (2011). Background on the institutional analysis and development framework. *Policy Studies Journal*, 39(1), 7-27.

P

- Patai, D. (1994). When method becomes power (Response). In A. Gitlin (Ed.), *Power and method: political activism and education* (pp.61-73). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Patterson, M. L., Markey, M. A., & Somers, J. M. (2012). Multiple paths to just ends: Using narrative interviews and timelines to explore health equity and homelessness. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 11(2), 132-151.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Pauwelussen, A. P. (2017). Amphibious Anthropology. Engaging with Maritime Worlds in Indonesia (doctoral dissertation). Wageningen: Wageningen University and Research.
- Pederson, S. N. (2013). To be welcome: A call for narrative interviewing methods in illness contexts. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 19(6), 411-418.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1990). Longitudinal field research on change: Theory and practice. *Organization science*, 1(3), 267-292.
- Pettigrew, A. M. (1992). The character and significance of strategy process research. *Strategic management journal*, 13(S2), 5-16.
- Pettigrew, A. M., Woodman, R. W., & Cameron, K. S. (2001). Studying organizational change and development: Challenges for future research. *Academy of management journal*, 44(4), 697-713.

- Pinnegar, S., & Daynes, J. G. (2007). Locating narrative inquiry historically. Thematics in the turn to narrative. In D. J. Clandinin (Ed.), *Handbook of narrative inquiry: Mapping a methodology* (pp.3-34). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pinsky, D. (2015). The sustained snapshot: Incidental ethnographic encounters in qualitative interview studies. *Qualitative Research*, 15(3), 281-295.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (1988). *Narrative Knowing and the Human Sciences*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Polkinghorne, D. E. (2007). Validity issues in narrative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 13(4), 471-486.
- Prosser, J., & Loxley, A. (2008). Introducing visual methods. ESRC National Centre for Research Methods Review Paper, <http://eprints.ncrm.ac.uk/420/1/MethodsReviewPaperNCRM-010.pdf> [Accessed: December 18, 2018].
- Putnam, L. L., & Holmer, M. (1992). Framing, reframing and issue development. In L. L. Putnam, & M. E. Roloff (Eds.), *Communication and Negotiation* (pp. 128-155). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon & Schuster (Paperbacks).
- R**
- Rein, M., & Schön, D. (1993). Reframing policy discourse. In F. Fischer, & F. Forester (Eds.), *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning* (pp. 145-166). London: UCL Press.
- Rescher, N. (1996). *Process Metaphysics: An Introduction to Process Philosophy*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Riach, K. (2009). Exploring participant-centred reflexivity in the research interview. *Sociology*, 43(2), 356-370.
- Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2009) (Eds.). *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques*. London: Sage Publications.
- Robertson, P. J., & Choi, T. (2012). Deliberation, consensus, and stakeholder satisfaction: A simulation of collaborative governance. *Public Management Review*, 14(1), 83-103.
- Rogers, M. (2012). Contextualizing theories and practices of bricolage research. *The qualitative report*, 17(48), 1-17.
- Russell, G. M., & Kelly, N. H. (2002). Research as interacting dialogic processes: Implications for reflexivity. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/ Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 3(3), Art.18.
- Rydin, Y., & Pennington, M. (2000). Public participation and local environmental planning: the collective action problem and the potential of social capital. *Local environment*, 5(2), 153-169.
- S**
- Sabatier, P. A., & Jenkins-Smith, H. (1993). *Policy Change and Learning: an Advocacy Coalition Framework*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- Sandercock, L. (2003). Out of the closet: The importance of stories and storytelling in planning practice. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 4(1), 11-28.
- Schad, J., Lewis, M.W., Raisch, S., & Smith, W.K. (2016). Paradox research in management science: Looking back to move forward. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 10(1), 5-64.
- Scheinkman, M., & Fishbane, M. (2004). The vulnerability cycle: Working with impasses in couple therapy. *Family Process*, 43(3), 279-299.
- Schön, D. (1993). Generative metaphor: A perspective on problem-setting in social policy.

- In A. Ortony (Ed.), *Metaphor and Thought* (pp. 137-163). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schwartz-Shea, P., & Yanow, D. (2012). *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Methods*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Seo, M. G., & Creed, W. D. (2002). Institutional contradictions, praxis, and institutional change: A dialectical perspective. *Academy of management review*, 27(2), 222-247.
- Sheridan, J., Chamberlain, K., & Dupuis, A. (2011). Timelining: visualizing experience. *Qualitative Research*, 11(5), 552-569.
- Siddiki, S., & Goel, S. (2017). Assessing collaborative policymaking outcomes: an analysis of US Marine Aquaculture Partnerships. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(2), 253-271.
- Smeyers, P., & Levering, B. (Eds.) (1999). *Grondslagen van de wetenschappelijke pedagogiek: Modern en postmodern*. Amsterdam: Boom Publishers.
- Smith, J. K., & Deemer, D. K. (2000). The Problem of Criteria in the Age of Relativism. In N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (2nd ed., pp. 1025-1046). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Smith, J. M. (2012). Reflections on using life history to investigate women teachers' aspirations and career decisions. *Qualitative Research*, 12(4), 486-503.
- Snow, D. A., Rochford Jr, E. B., Worden, S. K., & Benford, R. D. (1986). Frame alignment processes, micromobilization, and movement participation. *American sociological review*, 464-481.
- Sørensen, E., & Torfing, J. (2012). Introduction: Collaborative innovation in the public sector. *The Innovation Journal*, 17(1), 1-14.
- Spekkink, W. (2016). *Industrial Symbiosis as a Social Process* (doctoral dissertation). Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Staniševski, D. (2011). The inheritance of a promise of democracy-to-come: Of resistance and tolerance. *Critical Policy Studies*, 5(3), 299-309.
- Stoker, G. (1998). Governance as theory: five propositions. *International social science journal*, 50(155), 17-28.
- Stout, M. (2012). Competing ontologies: A primer for public administration. *Public Administration Review*, 72(3), 388-398.
- Stout, M., & Love, J. M. (2015). Relational process ontology: A grounding for global governance. *Administration & society*, 47(4), 447-481.
- Stout, M., & Love, J. M. (2017). Integrative governance: A method for fruitful public encounters. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 47(1), 130-147.
- Stout, M., Bartels, K. P. R., & Love, J. M. (2018). Clarifying collaborative dynamics in governance networks. In M. Stout (Ed.), *From austerity to abundance? Creative approaches to coordinating the common good* (pp. 91-115). Bingley: Emerald.
- Stout, M., & Staton, C. M. (2011). The ontology of process philosophy in Follett's administrative theory. *Administrative Theory & Praxis*, 33(2), 268-292.
- Susskind, L., & Cruikshank, J. (1987). *Breaking the impasse: consensual approaches to resolving public disputes*. New York: Basic Books.

T

- Taylor, J. (2011). The intimate insider: Negotiating the ethics of friendship when doing insider research. *Qualitative Research*, 11(1), 3-22.
- Termeer, C. J. A. M. (1993). *Dynamiek en inertie rondom mestbeleid. Een studie naar veranderingsprocessen in het varkenshouderijnetwerk* (doctoral dissertation). Rotterdam: Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam.
- Termeer, C. J. A. M. (2009). Barriers to new modes of horizontal governance: A sense-making perspective. *Public management review*, 11(3), 299-316.
- Tewdwr-Jones, M., & Allmendinger, P. (1998). Deconstructing communicative rationality: A critique of Habermasian collaborative planning. *Environment and Planning A*, 30(11), 1975-1989.
- Thomson, A. M., & Perry, J. L. (2006). Collaboration processes: Inside the black box. *Public administration review*, 66(Special Issue), 20-32.
- Thomson, A. M., Perry, J. L., & Miller, T. K. (2007). Conceptualizing and measuring collaboration. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(1), 23-56.
- Thomson, R., & Holland, J. (2003). Hindsight, foresight and insight: the challenges of longitudinal qualitative research. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 6(3), 233-244.
- Throgmorton, J. A. (2003). Planning as persuasive storytelling in a global-scale web of relationships. *Planning Theory*, 2(2), 125-151.
- Throop, C. J. (2003). Articulating experience. *Anthropological theory*, 3(2), 219-241.
- Tsoukas, H., & Chia, R. (2002). On organizational becoming: Rethinking organizational change. *Organization Science*, 13(5), 567-582.

U

- Uprichard, E., & Byrne, D. (2006). Representing complex places: a narrative approach. *Environment and Planning A*, 38(4), 665-676.

V

- van Buuren, A. (2009). Knowledge for governance, governance of knowledge: Inclusive knowledge management in collaborative governance processes. *international public management journal*, 12(2), 208-235.
- Van de Ven, A. H. (1992). Suggestions for studying strategy process: A research note. *Strategic management Journal*, 13(S1), 169-188.
- Van de Ven, A. H., & Poole, M. S. (2005). Alternative approaches for studying organizational change. *Organization studies*, 26(9), 1377-1404.
- van Eeten, M. M. J. (2007). Narrative Policy Analysis. In F. Fischer, G. J. Miller, & M. S. Sidney (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods* (pp. 251-272). Boca Raton, US: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- van Eeten, M., & Roe, E. (2000). When fiction conveys truth and authority: The Netherlands Green Heart planning controversy. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 66(1), 58-67.
- van Hulst, M., & Yanow, D. (2016). From policy "frames" to "framing" theorizing a more dynamic, political approach. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 46(1), 92-112.
- van Maanen, J. (2011). *Tales of the Field. On Writing Ethnography*. 2nd ed. Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press.
- van Manen, M. (1990). *Researching lived experience: Human science for an action sensitive pedagogy*. London: The Althouse Press.

- van Manen, M. (2004). Lived experience. In M. S. Lewis-Beck, A. Bryman, & T. Futing Liao (Eds.), *The Sage encyclopedia of social science research methods* (pp.580-581). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- van Oortmerssen, L. A., van Woerkum, C. M., & Aarts, N. (2014). The visibility of trust: Exploring the connection between trust and interaction in a Dutch collaborative governance boardroom. *Public Management Review*, 16(5), 666-685.
- Vandenbussche, L. (2018). Mapping stakeholders' relating pathways in collaborative planning processes: A longitudinal case study of an urban regeneration partnership. *Planning Theory & Practice*, 19(4), 534-557.
- Vandenbussche, L., Edelenbos, J., & Eshuis, J. (2017). Pathways of stakeholders' relations and frames in collaborative planning practices: A framework to analyse relating and framing dynamics. *Planning Theory*, 16(3), 233-254.
- Vandenbussche, L., Edelenbos, J., & Eshuis, J. (2018). Plunging into the process: methodological reflections on a process-oriented study of stakeholders' relating dynamics. *Critical Policy Studies*, 1-20.
- Vangen, S. (2017a). Developing Practice-Oriented Theory on Collaboration: A Paradox Lens. *Public Administration Review*, 77(2): 263-272.
- Vangen, S. (2017b). Culturally diverse collaborations: a focus on communication and shared understanding. *Public Management Review*, 19(3), 305-325.
- Vangen, S., & Huxham, C. (2003). Nurturing collaborative relations: Building trust in interorganizational collaboration. *The Journal of applied behavioral science*, 39(1), 5-31.
- Vangen, S., & Winchester, N. (2014). Managing cultural diversity in collaborations: A focus on management tensions. *Public Management Review*, 16(5), 686-707.
- Vijay, D., & Kulkarni, M. (2012). Frame changes in social movements: a case study. *Public Management Review*, 14(6), 747-770.
- Vink, M. J., Boezeman, D., Dewulf, A., & Termeer, C. J. (2013). Changing climate, changing frames: Dutch water policy frame developments in the context of a rise and fall of attention to climate change. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 30, 90-101.
- Voogd, H., & Woltjer, J. (1999). The communicative ideology in spatial planning: some critical reflections based on the Dutch experience. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and design*, 26(6), 835-854.
- Voss, J., & Kemp, R. (2005). *Reflexive Governance for Sustainable Development – Incorporating Feedback in Social Problem Solving*. Paper presented at the 6th Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics (ESEE), Lisbon, June 14–17.

W

- Wagenaar, H. (2007). Governance, complexity, and democratic participation: How citizens and public officials harness the complexities of neighborhood decline. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 37(1), 17-50.
- Wagenaar, H. (2011). *Meaning in Action: Interpretation and Dialogue in Policy Analysis*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Wagenaar, H., & Specht, M. (2010). *Geëngageerd bewonerschap: Bewonersparticipatie in drie Europese steden*. Den Haag: Nicis Institute.
- Walker, P. A., & Hurley, P. T. (2004). Collaboration derailed: The politics of "community-based" resource management in Nevada County. *Society and Natural Resources*, 17(8), 735-751.
- Warin, J., Solomon, Y., & Lewis, C. (2007). Swapping stories: Comparing plots: Triangu-

- lating individual narratives within families. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 10(2), 121-134.
- Watzlawick, P., & Beavin, J. (1967). Some formal aspects of communication. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 10(8), 4-8.
- Weber, E. P., & Khademian, A. M. (2008). Wicked problems, knowledge challenges, and collaborative capacity builders in network settings. *Public administration review*, 68(2), 334-349.
- Weick, K.E. (1995). *Sensemaking in Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Westling, E. L., Sharp, L., Rychlewski, M., & Carrozza, C. (2014). Developing adaptive capacity through reflexivity: lessons from collaborative research with a UK water utility. *Critical policy studies*, 8(4), 427-446.
- White, H., Fuhse, J., Thiemann, M., & Buchholz, L. (2007). Networks and meaning: Styles and switchings. *Soziale Systeme*, 13(1-2), 543-555.
- Wibberley, C. (2012). Getting to grips with bricolage: A personal account. *Qualitative Report*, 17(25), 50.
- Wood, D. J., & Gray, B. (1991). Toward a comprehensive theory of collaboration. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 27(2), 139-162.
- Wood, J. T. (1982). Communication and relational culture: Bases for the study of human relationships. *Communication Quarterly*, 30(2), 75-84.
- Worth, S. E. (2008). Storytelling and narrative knowing: An examination of the epistemic benefits of well-told stories. *Journal of Aesthetic Education*, 42(3), 42-56.
- ## Y
- Yanow, D. (2007). Qualitative-Interpretive Methods in Policy Research. In F. Fischer, G. J. Miller, & M. S. Sidney (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods* (pp. 405-415). Boca Raton, US: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Yanow, D. (2009). Ways of knowing: passionate humility and reflective practice in research and management. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 39(6), 579-601.
- Yiftachel, O., & Huxley, M. (2000). Debating dominance and relevance: Notes on the 'communicative turn' in planning theory. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 24(4), 907-913