In a letter to the editor, Hulme and colleagues present infection prevalence data from a 6-year BAL surveillance program (SHIELD CF [The Study of Host Immunity and Early Lung Disease in Cystic Fibrosis]) in preschool-aged children with cystic fibrosis (CF) conducted at three specialist CF centers in Ireland. Differences in the prevalence of lower respiratory infections between their cohort and our Australian cohort, as well as possible explanations for these differences, are discussed in the letter. The Irish data show a much higher prevalence of lower respiratory S. aureus and H. influenzae infections and a much lower prevalence of Aspergillus species infections. These differences are striking, especially in the younger age group (0–2 yr).

Differences in the prevalence of bacterial infections between CF centers are not surprising. Even within the AREST CF cohort, significant differences between the two participating centers were reported (2). There could be numerous reasons for such differences, including antibiotic stewardship, practices involving antibiotic prophylaxis, varying protocols for the treatment of pulmonary exacerbations and environmental factors (as discussed by Hulme and colleagues), and patient adherence to treatment, infection control, and airway clearance routines.

The decrease in the prevalence of S. aureus and H. influenzae infections over the 18 years of the AREST CF study coincided with an overall more aggressive treatment approach. Specifically, use of chronic antibiotics increased considerably. Between 2004 and 2018, the percentage of preschool patients treated with long-term azithromycin and any use of inhaled tobramycin increased from 0% to 30% and 4.7% to 44%, respectively, possibly influencing the prevalence of bacterial infections. Interestingly, prophylactic treatment with amoxicillin–clavulanate did not change over the study period. In their letter, Hulme and colleagues do not provide specific information regarding antibiotic use in their patients, which makes it difficult to compare treatment effects on bacterial infection prevalence between the cohorts.

In a different letter, Turnbull and colleagues raise concern that infection prevalence in our study does not represent the full picture of CF airway microbiology in preschool children owing to a lack of report on samples obtained during pulmonary exacerbations, such as oropharyngeal swabs and induced sputum. We agree that it is possible that samples obtained during exacerbations might have increased the incidence of positive bacterial cultures. However, we aimed to report lower airway infection prevalence. Including upper airway samples, which have been shown to have a low positive predictive value for detecting lower airway infection during both exacerbations and clinical stability (3–5) (regardless of the test’s sensitivity), would lead to an overestimation of the prevalence of lower airway infection. Furthermore, including samples obtained during exacerbations would introduce a selection bias, which would also cause an overestimation of infection. Thus, although we do agree that it is important to understand exacerbation microbiology, it’s questionable whether such data should be included in an epidemiological study describing lower airway infection prevalence trends in relatively well preschool children with CF. In addition, and most importantly, exacerbation microbiology would not change the significant prevalence of lower respiratory Aspergillus species infections reported in our study.

From the Authors:

In a recent issue of the Journal, we reported a change in infection prevalence observed over the 18 years of the AREST CF (Australian Respiratory Early Surveillance Team for Cystic Fibrosis) prospective study, specifically, a reduction in the prevalence of bacterial infections (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, and Haemophilus influenzae), which resulted in Aspergillus species becoming the most prevalent lower respiratory infection cultured in recent years (1).
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The U.S. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation recently recognized that "new and/or validated ways to better classify and distinguish Aspergillus lung phenotypes" are an unmet need in CF care, limiting diagnosis and treatment of Aspergillus infections. As also presented in the letter by Hulme and colleagues, the prevalence rates of Aspergillus infections in patients with CF vary widely among studies, mainly because of differences in the culturing methods and sample-processing techniques used, but also because of the different routines used for nebulized antibacterial therapies (6). Furthermore, bacterial infections may inhibit culture growth of Aspergillus species, which would also influence the reported prevalence (6). Our cohort showed an overall 40% incidence of Aspergillus species infection in the first 6 years of life, which is similar to what has been reported in other studies (7–9).

Hulme and colleagues pose the question, "Which is the greater evil, a higher prevalence of bacteria or a higher prevalence of Aspergillus?" There is little doubt that lower respiratory infections with bacteria cause lung damage. However, despite current aggressive antibiotic treatment regimens, preschool-aged children are still showing significant structural lung disease by 6 years of age (10). Thus, it is essential to understand the implications of Aspergillus infections, as they are not routinely treated. The use of molecular techniques to better identify fungal infections in patients with CF is critical for assessing the true prevalence of Aspergillus species infections (6), and programs like AREST CF and SHIELD CF provide opportunities to further elucidate the clinical consequences of Aspergillus infections in early CF lung disease.
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