

# Safe laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a systematic review of bile duct injury prevention

Floyd W. van de Graaf MD<sup>1</sup>

Ina Zaïmi MD<sup>1</sup>

Laurents P.S. Stassen MD PhD<sup>2</sup>

Johan F. Lange MD PhD<sup>1</sup>

*International Journal of Surgery (2018) 60, 164-172*

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Surgery, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, the Netherlands*

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Background**

Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), a substantial increase in bile duct injury (BDI) incidence was noted. Multiple methods to prevent this complication have been developed and investigated. The most suitable method however is subject to debate. In this systematic review, the different modalities to aid in the safe performance of LC and prevent BDI are delineated.

### **Materials and methods**

A systematic search for articles describing methods for the prevention of BDI in LC was conducted using EMBASE, Medline, Web of science, Cochrane CENTRAL and Google scholar databases from inception to 11 June 2018.

### **Results**

90 studies were included in this systematic review. Overall, BDI preventive techniques can be categorized as dedicated surgical approaches (Critical View of Safety (CVS), fundus first, partial laparoscopic cholecystectomy), supporting imaging techniques (intraoperative radiologic cholangiography, intraoperative ultrasonography, fluorescence imaging) and others. Dedicated surgical approaches demonstrate promising results, yet limited research is provided. Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography and ultrasonography demonstrate beneficial effects in BDI prevention, however the available evidence is low. Fluorescence imaging is in its infancy, yet this technique is demonstrated to be feasible and larger trials are in preparation.

### **Conclusion**

Given the low sample sizes and suboptimal study designs of the studies available, it is not possible to recommend a preferred method to prevent BDI. Surgeons should primarily focus on proper dissection techniques, of which CVS is most suitable. Additionally, recognition of hazardous circumstances and knowledge of alternative techniques is critical to complete surgery with minimal risk of injury to the patient.

## INTRODUCTION

With a number of 150–200 procedures per 100,000 inhabitants in Europe and the United States each year, cholecystectomy is one of the most common abdominal surgical procedures today, of which over 80% is performed laparoscopically.<sup>1,2</sup> Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) its superiority compared to open cholecystectomy (OC), e.g. decreased postoperative pain and shorter length of stay, was cause of its rapid and widespread implementation. Accompanying this however, was an upsurge in the occurrence of bile duct injury (BDI), a potentially life threatening complication. Compared to an average of 0.2% in OC,<sup>3,4</sup> the incidence of BDI encountered a drastic increase after the introduction of LC, with reported rates of up to 1.5%.<sup>5–11</sup> At first, this aggravation was attributed to the learning curve surgeons had to deal with.<sup>10</sup> Yet, higher patient numbers and operator experience did not significantly decrease the incidence of BDI.<sup>12</sup> Since then, considerable effort has been made to improve safety in LC with a variety of methods described in literature, reducing the incidence of BDI to around 0.23% and 0.30%.<sup>13,14</sup> To date however, it is unclear what contribution the different methods make in the prevention of BDI, therefore rendering it difficult to identify the most suitable method.

In this systematic review, the different modalities that might aid in the realization of safe LC are outlined with emphasis on the available evidence with regard to the prevention of BDI.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Search strategy

EMBASE, Medline, Web of science, Cochrane CENTRAL and Google scholar databases were systematically searched from inception up to 11 June 2018 for articles describing possible methods to avoid BDI in LC. With the assistance of an information specialist, the search was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines and limited to manuscripts written in the English language. The complete search strategy can be found in the *Appendices*.

The work has been reported in line with AMSTAR (Assessing the methodological quality of systematic reviews) Guidelines.

### Article selection and data extraction

Potentially eligible articles were reviewed by two investigators independently (IZ and FvdG). Exclusion criteria included: no description of role in BDI prevention, technical reports without study population, no full text available, non-original articles, surveys, case reports, animal or cadaveric studies, guidelines or protocols, no distinction between OC and LC, and other

hepatopancreaticobiliary surgery. Discrepancies between the two investigators were resolved through consensus.

The following data were extracted from the included articles:

- Study period
- Study type
- Described method of BDI prevention
- Number of included cases
- BDI rate (number of BDIs per total number of cases)
- Bile leak rate (number of bile leaks per total number of cases)
- Success rate (successful execution of the described method per total number of cases)
- Conversion rate (the number cases converted to open resection per total number of cases)
- Authors' conclusion
- Authors' viewpoint regarding the described method

The level of evidence (according to the Oxford Centre of Evidence-Based Medicine<sup>15</sup>) was appraised for each inclusion. Articles were categorized according to the following BDI prevention methods:

1. Dedicated surgical approaches
  - o *Critical view of safety (CVS)*; the technique proposed by Strasberg et al. in 1995 to conclusively identify the cystic duct and the cystic artery and minimize misidentification.<sup>16</sup>
  - o *Fundus first laparoscopic cholecystectomy (FFLC)*; The act of clamping the gallbladder at the fundus to facilitate traction during dissection alongside the liver bed towards the liver hilum. Through the natural course of dissection, the cystic duct emerges from the infundibulum and is thereby identified.
  - o *Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy (LSC)*; Partial resection of the gallbladder, most often by transection proximal to the cystic duct. This technique makes it possible to avoid dissection in Calot's hepatobiliary triangle in case of precarious conditions.
2. Supporting imaging techniques
  - o *Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography (IOC)*; The practice in which a radiographic image of the biliary tree is acquired during surgical intervention by cannulating a bile duct and subsequently administering a radiographic contrast agent. In general, three different policies towards the use of IOC can be distinguished: *routine* use, *selective* use or *total omission*. Routine IOC implies that all patients planned for LC are expected to have IOC performed during the procedure. In selective use, IOC is only performed in certain circumstances, according to protocol or upon surgeons' request.

- o *Intraoperative ultrasonography (IOUS)*; The visualization of transverse and longitudinal planes of not only biliary structures, but also other critical structures, such as the portal and caval veins, and the hepatic arteries by introducing a linear ultrasonography probe.
  - o *Fluorescence cholangiography*; The method of using a fluorescence agent to illuminate the biliary system. The best known fluorescence agent currently used is Indocyanine green (ICG), which becomes fluorescent once excited with specific wavelength light in the near infra-red (NIR) spectrum (approximately 800-825 nm).<sup>17</sup> Once injected into the blood stream, ICG is excreted via the liver into bile almost exclusively.<sup>18</sup>
3. Other BDI prevention methods

### Data analysis

Due to the presence of conceptual heterogeneity among the included studies a quantitative synthesis is not realized. Therefore, a narrative synthesis is performed. Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) was used for the analysis of data. Values are represented as median and interquartile range (IQR) or mean and range

## RESULTS

The initial database search resulted in 2,309 articles. After removal of duplicate studies, 1,429 potentially relevant articles were screened based on title and abstract, resulting in 318 records eligible for full-text review. After thorough assessment, an additional 228 articles were excluded, resulting in 90 studies to be included in this systematic review. The PRISMA flowchart presented in Figure 1. depicts the detailed selection of studies.

Among the 90 studies, 20 covered dedicated surgical approaches (CVS in 7 studies,<sup>19-25</sup> FFLC in 6,<sup>26-31</sup> LSC in 7<sup>32-38</sup>). Supporting imaging techniques were investigated in 69 (IOC in 45 studies,<sup>39-83</sup> IOUS in 8 studies,<sup>76-78,84-88</sup> fluorescence imaging in 16 studies<sup>79,89-103</sup>). Other methods were described in 5 studies.<sup>104-108</sup> Detailed characteristics of these studies are represented in the *Appendices*. Overall, the results of 203,368 patients in total were presented in the included articles (with an average per article of 2,285 patients (range 12 – 51,041). 68 studies reported BDI rates, a total of 1,104 incidents. The mean reported BDI rate was 0.23% (range 0% – 3.1%).

Figure 2 depicts the studies included in this systematic review in order of publication date and the time periods of inclusion. Note that the primary method investigated before the turn of the century was IOC. Thereafter, other modalities of BDI prevention were starting to be explored.




Figure 1. PRISMA Flow-chart

### Dedicated surgical approaches

A summary of findings of the articles covering dedicated surgical approaches is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Dedicated surgical approaches – summary of findings

BDI prevention technique	N Studies	N Cases		Median Success rate
		Total	Average per study	
Critical View of Safety	7	5,728	818 (54 - 3,042)	95.8% (95.4 - 100)
Fundus First Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	6	3,094	516 (16 - 53)	89% (84.5 – 93.5)
Subtotal Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy	7	6,196	885 (23 - 60)	90.2% (85.3- 95.1)

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies



### Critical view of safety

Seven studies were included in this systematic review covering the use of CVS.<sup>19-25</sup> Within these articles, encompassing over 5,000 cases in total (average of 818 patients per study; range 54 – 3,042), one BDI was reported by Yegiyants et al. among 3,042 cases (incidence 0.03%).<sup>25</sup> Overall, the median reported success rate of CVS was 95.8% (IQR 95.4%–100%). The median reported conversion rate was 0.95% (IQR 0–2.4%)

### Fundus first laparoscopic cholecystectomy

Six studies described FFLC.<sup>26-31</sup> A median of 32 FFLC procedures were performed (IQR 22 – 46). The conversion rate in these series was lower than the general conversion rate in LC, with a median of 0.3% (IQR 0 – 1.2%). Mahmud et al. described a conversion rate of 1.2% among 710 LCs, of which 35 by way of FFLC.<sup>27</sup> The authors also reported that, without the use of FFLC in this study, conversion would have been necessary in 28 cases having received FFLC, what would have resulted in a potential conversion rate of 5.2%. Tuveri et al. conducted a large retrospective study (1,965 LCs; 29 FFLCs), in which two BDIs occurred (none in the FFLCS group).<sup>31</sup> It was also noted that a significantly larger amount of complications occurred in the FFLC group than in the conventional LC group (20% vs. 1.4% respectively). Both the studies by Mahmud et al. and Tuveri et al. respectively reported dense adhesions (40% and 51%), followed by impacted stones in Hartmann's pouch (29% and 17%) and a short dilated CD (17% and 14%) as most common indications of resorting to FFLC.

### Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy

7 articles covered LSC.<sup>32-38</sup> A median of 39 LSC procedures have been performed (IQR 26 – 47), accounting for a median of 9.1% of LC cases in these articles (IQR 6.3% – 10.3%). The median reported number of bile leaks was 6.3% (IQR 0.85% – 12.5%). Beldi et al. compared their institution's experience in LSC with a national database, reporting a significantly lower conversion rate in cases with acute cholecystitis (9.7% to 23.2% respectively).<sup>32</sup> LSC was attempted in 46 of 345 cases (13.3%) with subsequent conversion deemed necessary in approximately one fifth of the patients. The posterior wall was left in situ in all LSC patients and the infundibulum in 26.1% of the cases. No bile duct injury was reported. Nakajima et al. compared two periods: before and after the introduction of LSC in their institution.<sup>35</sup> Before introduction, the BDI incidence was reported to be 1.6% with a conversion rate of 2.5%. after introduction LSC was performed in 10.3% of the LC cases with both BDI incidence and conversion rate significantly dropping to 0.3% each.

### Supporting imaging techniques

#### *Intraoperative radiologic cholangiography*

The key findings of articles covering IOC are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Intraoperative Radiologic Cholangiography and Intraoperative Ultrasonography – summary of findings

BDI prevention technique	N Studies	N Cases		Median success rate	Median BDI \ Incidence	
		Total	Average per study			
Intraoperative Radiologic Cholangiography	<i>Overall</i>	45	155,105	4,432 (50 - 51,041)	89% (78.5 – 94)	0.18% (0 - 0.38)
	<i>Routine</i>	15	76,894	5,126 (100 - 51,041)	94% (88.5– 96.3)	0.025% (0 - 0.29)
	<i>Selective</i>	4	33,455	8,364 (75 - 31,838)	76.3% (75.7 – 85.2)	0.15% (0 - 0.3)
	<i>Omission</i>	10	9,935	994 (82 - 2,038)	X	0.28% (0.02 - 0.53)
Intraoperative Ultrasonography	8	3,360	420 (43 - 1,381)	88.8% (78.5 - 94)	0% (0 – 0.6)	

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies

One RCT was included in this systematic review.<sup>41</sup> The authors of this study randomized 404 patients to either conventional LC or LC combined with routine IOC. No significant differences were reported between the two groups in regards to BDI rate, conversion rate or bile leaks. Therefore the authors concluded that IOC as an adjunctive to LC had no significant effect on the reported success rates of LC or BDIs. In a retrospective study performed by Flum et al. covering 30,630 LCs, a significant reduction in BDI rate was observed with concurrent IOC use, about 40% less (2.0 vs. 3.3 per 1,000 cases).<sup>43</sup> It was thereby noted that the majority of BDIs occurred in earlier parts of the learning curve. Fletcher et al. reviewed cases of BDI to assess possible risk factors.<sup>42</sup> The authors concluded that approximately one third of BDI cases could be prevented by performing IOC.

### *Routine and selective use of IOC*

Fifteen articles primarily addressed the *routine* use of IOC.<sup>44-58</sup> Among an average of 5,126 patients per study (range 100 - 51,041), the median reported BDI incidence was 0.025% (IQR 0 – 0.285%).

Tornqvist et al. obtained the data of 51,041 patients operated between 2005 and 2010 from the national Swedish Registry for Gallstone Surgery (GallRiks).<sup>56</sup> In this retrospective cohort study, 747 BDIs – graded according to the Hannover criteria<sup>109</sup> – were identified (incidence 1.5%). In patients suffering from concurrent cholecystitis, a significant protective effect was demonstrated by applying IOC. Alvarez et al. retrospectively evaluated the routine use of IOC (successfully performing cholangiography in over 95% of the cases) in 11,423 consecutive LCs.<sup>44</sup> Twenty patients suffered from BDI (0.17%) of which 18 were diagnosed and managed peroperatively. The sensitivity and specificity for the detection of BDI in this study was 79% and 100% respectively.

Four articles addressed the *selective* use of IOC.<sup>59-62</sup> With an average of 8,364 patients per study, the median reported BDI incidence was 0.15% (IQR 0 – 0.3%). IOC was attempted in a median of 29% of the cases (IQR 11.8% – 34.6%). Giger et al. performed a retrospective

analysis of 31,838 LC cases entered in a prospectively maintained nationwide database.<sup>59</sup> 101 BDIs (0.3%) were observed among these cases. IOC was performed in 36.6% of LCs, among which 39.6% of BDIs were observed. IOC did not seem to reduce the amount of BDIs in this study, as no significant differences were found between the groups. The amount of BDIs that were missed during surgery also did not show a significant difference (10% and 8% - IOC and no IOC respectively).

Three other studies directly compared a routine IOC policy with selective use of IOC.<sup>63-65</sup> One of these studies (n=835) concluded that routine IOC was superior in comparison with a selective approach,<sup>64</sup> while two studies (n=334 and 319 respectively) found no superiority in a routine policy considering BDI rates.<sup>63,65</sup>

### ***Omission of IOC***

Ten studies were included in this systematic review that evaluated the safety of LC *without* the use of IOC.<sup>66-75</sup> In these studies any specific surgical techniques as described above were not mentioned. The median reported BDI incidence without the use of IOC was 0.28% (IQR 0.02 – 0.53%). Comparing the reported rates of conversion among the included studies in this review, the median conversion rate of the articles without IOC was 3.1% (IQR 2.5% - 5.1%), versus a median of 3.5% (IQR 1.8% to 5.8%) reported by studies employing either *routine* or *selective* IOC. The prospective study by Mir et al. demonstrated that, in rural hospitals in a developing country, where a minimalistic setting applies and costly interventions like IOC are undesirable or even unavailable, safe LC was also possible.<sup>70</sup> Despite their restrictions, the authors report a BDI incidence of 0.08% and conversion rates of 1.8%, all having been acquired through application of safe dissection techniques. In 1993, Barkun et al. reported a BDI incidence of 0.38% while employing a very low IOC rate (4.2%), achieving a rate similar as has been reported elsewhere in literature.<sup>66</sup> Taylor et al. reported the outcomes of 2,038 LC cases without the use of IOC after retrospective review.<sup>73</sup> BDI was reported in 1.1%, of which 18.2% required additional surgery postoperatively. Zacharakis et al. reported a BDI rate of 0.37% in 1,851 patients who underwent LC without an IOC, which were found to be comparable with the reported rates after an LC with routine use of an IOC.<sup>75</sup>

### ***Other applications of IOC***

Three articles reported results of performing IOC through the gallbladder (cholecystocholangiography).<sup>80-82</sup> All three studies agreed that cholecystocholangiography is a simpler method of employing IOC compared to the CD method. Noji et al. and Liyanage et al. addressed a method of preoperative endoscopic placement of an endo-nasal biliary drainage tube (ENBD), through which cholangiography could be performed, avoiding the chance of BDI as a consequence of the cannulation process.<sup>52,83</sup> Both recommended the use of ENBD, particularly in cases in which the patient is to undergo preoperative ERCP.

### *Intraoperative ultrasonography*

A summary of findings regarding IOUS are delineated in Table 2.

The largest study was performed by Machi et al., investigating 1,381 LC cases with routine IOUS.<sup>86</sup> In this article, successful imaging using IOUS was reported in 98% of the cases. Overall, the reported accuracy among the studies was similarly high, with a median reported overall identification rate of biliary structures of 97% (IQR 95.9% - 97%).<sup>76-78,85-88</sup> Five studies reported BDI incidence.<sup>76,84-87</sup> Of these five, the studies of Biffi et al. and Hakamada et al. had occurrences of BDI (0.6% and 1.1% respectively).<sup>84,85</sup> The other three reported none. When explored in more detail, the reported BDIs in these two articles all occurred in the group in which IOUS was not used.

### *Fluorescence imaging*

The main findings of fluorescence imaging are summarized in Table 3.

The primary focus of the studies covering fluorescence cholangiography is the evaluation of feasibility and the biliary detection rates. No BDIs were reported in any of these studies. For the extrahepatic biliary system, the studies investigating ICG-NIR reported the following median detection rates of biliary structures: 75.7% for the CHD (IQR 62.4% - 93.8%); 87.8% for the CD-CHD confluence (IQR 77.8% - 97.8%); 100% for the CD (range 97.8% - 100%); 87.3% for the CBD (83% - 98.5%). While the majority of the articles utilize ICG-NIR to map the biliary tree, Mohsen et al. achieved fluorescence imaging of biliary structures through administration of a fluorescein solution and subsequent exposure to UV-A, visualizing the bile ducts in 82.5% of the time whilst demonstrating true negative results for other tissue in all cases.<sup>98</sup>

**Table 3.** Fluorescence Cholangiography - summary of findings

N Studies on Fluorescence Cholangiography	N Cases		Median identification rate			
	Total	Average per study	CHD	CD-CHD Confluence	CD	CBD
16	863	54 (12 - 184)	75.7% (62.4 - 93.8)	87.8% (77.8 - 97.8)	100% (97.8 - 100)	87.3% (83 - 98.5)

Values represent total, average (range) or median (interquartile range) of reported outcomes among included studies

### *Comparison of techniques and other preventive measures*

#### *Comparison of techniques*

Three articles compared IOC with the use of IOUS.<sup>76-78</sup> IOUS was favored over IOC in all, on account of technical availability, success rate in the examination of the biliary tree and the absence of radiation and contrast solution. In the study by Osayi et al. both ICG-NIR and routine IOC were performed during the same procedure, comparing their measurements.<sup>79</sup> The main study focus was safety and the role of ICG-NIR in the identification of biliary anatomy. CD

detection rates were superior compared to IOC (95.1% vs. 72.0% respectively). Furthermore, IOC was unobtainable in 24.4% of the IOC cases compared to an inability to visualize biliary structures in 4.9% using the ICG-NIR technique.

### *Other preventive measures*

Apart from the main techniques mentioned above, several other methods have been investigated. Cai et al. reported over a decade's experience with hydrodissection combined with blunt dissection using the suction tube to expose Calot's hepatobiliary triangle, having applied this technique in 21,497 patients.<sup>104</sup> In this series BDI incidence was reported to be 0.09%, with a conversion rate of 1.1%. Li et al. introduced a 4-point grading system to evaluate intraoperative unfavorable factors (IUF) as a decision aid for the use of IOC and/or conversion to OC.<sup>105</sup> The purpose of this study was to validate this tool by comparing safety of LC before (n=384) and after introduction (n=396). After implementation, a significant increase in conversion rate was observed (1.6% to 5.4%), while the BDI rate dropped from 1.3% to zero. No significant differences in postoperative morbidity and mortality were observed.

Three articles reported alternative methods to directly visualize the biliary system, either by way of methylene blue dye injection or light cholangiography.<sup>106-108</sup> Xu et al. compared methylene blue cholangiography with light cholangiography, favoring the latter, demonstrating clear images of the biliary tree using an optic fiber introduced via duodenoscopy.<sup>108</sup>

## **DISCUSSION**

As a much dreaded complication of LC, BDI has been widely researched. In this systematic review, the largest to date within this topic, we have provided a critical analysis of the different modalities currently employed for its prevention. We have noted however that research yielding a high level of evidence is difficult to perform and consequently scarce. In a recent perspective written by Strasberg and Brunt it was emphasized that, despite the numerous major BDIs that still occur, the amount of injuries per number of LCs is relatively low.<sup>110</sup> This makes it incredibly difficult to organize a proper RCT. Therefore, low sample size is a recurrent problem in the search for valid literature. A different problem we encountered is the inconsistency of BDI reporting. For instance, different BDI classification systems are currently in use.<sup>111</sup> Some studies report BDI according to one of these classification systems, for instance Tornqvist et al.<sup>56</sup> employing the Hannover classification,<sup>109</sup> whilst others report terms like 'major BDI' or 'common bile duct injury'. The nature of these unclassified injuries are frequently unclear, making the the true incidence of BDI caused by iatrogenic damage difficult to estimate.

Despite the aforementioned problem involving low power among studies, the articles describing CVS report just one BDI in over 5,000 cases, the lowest reported incidence of BDI in literature with regard to a specific technique for prevention of BDI. This might suggest that CVS would be a capable method to conduct safe LC. However, CVS requires a thorough knowledge of biliary surgical anatomy with special reference that Calot's hepatobiliary triangle has to be unfolded completely and overseen correctly after mobilization of the gallbladder neck from the liver. A recent survey has demonstrated that, despite the fact that CVS was well-known overall, many respondents, senior surgeons in particular, were not able to adequately discern the essential steps of this technique.<sup>112</sup> In an effort to create awareness among the practicing surgeons, the Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES) has implemented CVS in its SAGES Safe Cholecystectomy Program, as part of their general culture of safety program.<sup>113</sup>

CVS is more likely to function well in uncomplicated LCs, while in case of acute inflammation, fibrosis, or adhesions, a safe dissection within Calot's hepatobiliary triangle is often impeded. In these cases a different approach should be considered. Conversion to open cholecystectomy might come to mind in these situations. Conversion does not necessarily facilitate easier operation however, as conditions do not change and visibility might be equally poor. FFLC or LSC are techniques that could be employed in these circumstances instead of conversion.

Bile leak rates were higher among LSC cases in this review. The median reported number of bile leaks was 6.3%, compared to the 0.35% reported in standard LC.<sup>114</sup> This could probably be attributed to the incomplete resection of the gallbladder and incomplete closure of the residual infundibulum. The morbidity associated with these bile leaks however is moderate: only 1.4% – 15% of bile leaks require an (endoscopic) intervention.<sup>32,115</sup>

IOC has been a comprehensively investigated, yet highly debated method in both conventional and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ever since the moment it was first described by Mirizzi in 1931.<sup>116</sup> IOC has demonstrated to be a helpful tool in both prevention and intraoperative recognition of BDI. However, definitive recommendation to employ this technique routinely, selectively or not at all cannot be given because of the low evidence available studies are coping with. Due to the same reason, a review by Ford et al. made a similar conclusion: no robust evidence currently exists to either support or abandon the use of IOC in the prevention of BDI.<sup>117</sup> Also, IOC use is highly variable across the world. For example, IOC use is customary in the UK and the USA; two surveys among surgeons demonstrated mass use of IOC in these countries, with 93% to 99% of surgeons reported to use IOC – among which 24% to 27% used the technique routinely.<sup>118,119</sup> In contrast, IOC is rarely used outside these parts of the world.<sup>120,121</sup> Moreover, since the wide availability of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), the necessity to perform IOC has been diminished greatly.<sup>122</sup> This development has already led to surgical trainees lacking exposure to IOC, which is unfavorable if this technique

is to be incorporated in surgical practice.<sup>112</sup> Furthermore, IOC is prone to failure. The median reported success rate was 89% among the included studies and none established a perfect record. Even if a successful IOC is achieved, i.e. successful cannulation and mapping of the biliary tree on a radiological image, this does not equal correct interpretation. Advocates for omission of IOC also state that proper dissection techniques in favorable circumstances do not necessarily call for IOC and this technique might even be harmful to the patients due to the additional operative time and the risk of iatrogenic major BDI.<sup>50,61,66</sup>

IOUS is another method to identify biliary structures, yet less invasive than IOC. It has the potential to achieve high accuracy, with reports of completely visualizing the biliary tract in 92% to 100% of cases, with a failure rate that is lower than IOC.<sup>123</sup> Furthermore, in theory IOUS could be repeated an infinite amount of times with negligible harm to the patient on account of its non-invasive nature, without the need of radiologic contrast solutions or cannulation of a ductal structure. An apparent disadvantage is the learning curve in the performance and interpretation of the ultrasonogram, which has previously been described as ten or even up to thirty cases.<sup>124,125</sup> Despite these advantages, the evidence in support of IOUS as a preventive measure of BDI is scarce and therefore decisive recommendation cannot be given.

Upcoming modalities such as fluorescence imaging could function as a minimally invasive and easy to perform extension to conventional LC. ICG-NIR allows for repeatable and real time exploration of the biliary system, something that is not possible with radiological IOC due to safety limits in radiation exposure and iodine contrast administration and that is difficult to achieve with IOUS. ICG-NIR provides good detection rates of biliary structures, with specifically high detection rates of the cystic duct. Furthermore, new methods within the field of fluorescence cholangiography are currently being developed, of which in particular direct intragallbladder injection of ICG is promising, providing higher contrast due to the reduced ICG accumulation in the liver as seen after systemic administration.<sup>126</sup>

A limitation to the articles evaluating fluorescence cholangiography is that the moments when biliary structures are detected are quite inconsistent; some measurements are made before dissection of Calot's hepatobiliary triangle, whilst some are made thereafter. A recent review evaluating the utility of ICG-NIR cholangiography reported similar results in terms of for the intraoperative visualization of the biliary system.<sup>127</sup> Important deficiencies of the technique however were also noted. Mainly, the limited tissue penetration of light prohibited the deeper intrahepatic ducts, as well as extrahepatic ducts obscured by (inflamed) tissue to be adequately visualized.

In the current systematic review, the primary aim of the studies investigating fluorescence imaging was to obtain information regarding the feasibility and safety. From the results provided it can be concluded that the technique of ICG-NIR imaging is indeed feasible and safe. The following step is to properly study the benefits of fluorescence imaging on a larger

scale. Hence a multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing LC assisted by ICG-NIR cholangiography with conventional LC is initiated in the Netherlands.<sup>128</sup>

## **Conclusion**

Many methods used in the prevention of BDI have demonstrated promising results, yet lack sufficient power. To execute a high volume multicenter study providing the high level of evidence necessary however is very challenging. Furthermore, there is great need for consensus regarding a systematic reporting system of BDI to adequately determine the true incidence of BDI and, not in the least, discern between the severity of injuries. For the time being, it is advisable to focus on proper dissection techniques while following the basic principles of biliary surgery, of which CVS seems arguably the preferred method. Moreover, when conventional dissection proves to be too hazardous, a sufficient attention to alternative techniques should be apprehended.

## **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Mr. W.M. Bramer for his assistance in formulating and executing the search strategy.

## REFERENCES

1. Eurostat. Surgical operations and procedures statistics. October 2016; [http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Surgical\\_operations\\_and\\_procedures\\_statistics](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Surgical_operations_and_procedures_statistics). Accessed 22 February 2017.
2. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). *Surgeries in Hospital-Based Ambulatory Surgery and Hospital Inpatient Settings*. Rockville, MD, USA: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality;2014.
3. Bernard HR, Hartman TW. Complications after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 1993;165(4):533-535.
4. Girard RM, Morin M. Open cholecystectomy: its morbidity and mortality as a reference standard. *Can J Surg*. 1993;36(1):75-80.
5. A prospective analysis of 1518 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. The Southern Surgeons Club. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1991;324(16):1073-1078.
6. Caputo L, Aitken DR, Mackett MC, Robles AE. Iatrogenic bile duct injuries. The real incidence and contributing factors--implications for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *The American surgeon*. 1992;58(12):766-771.
7. Fletcher DR, Hobbs MS, Tan P, et al. Complications of cholecystectomy: risks of the laparoscopic approach and protective effects of operative cholangiography: a population-based study. *Ann Surg*. 1999;229(4):449-457.
8. Tornqvist B, Stromberg C, Persson G, Nilsson M. Effect of intended intraoperative cholangiography and early detection of bile duct injury on survival after cholecystectomy: population based cohort study. *Bmj*. 2012;345:e6457.
9. Huang X, Feng Y, Huang Z. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in China: an analysis of 39,238 cases. *Chinese medical journal*. 1997;110(9):704-706.
10. Morgenstern L, McGrath MF, Carroll BJ, Paz-Partlow M, Berci G. Continuing hazards of the learning curve in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *The American surgeon*. 1995;61(10):914-918.
11. Waage A, Nilsson M. Iatrogenic bile duct injury: A population-based study of 152 776 cholecystectomies in the swedish inpatient registry. *Archives of Surgery*. 2006;141(12):1207-1213.
12. Archer SB, Brown DW, Smith CD, Branum GD, Hunter JG. Bile Duct Injury During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: Results of a National Survey. *Annals of surgery*. 2001;234(4):549-559.
13. Barrett M, Asbun HJ, Chien H-L, Brunt LM, Telem DA. Bile duct injury and morbidity following cholecystectomy: a need for improvement. *Surgical Endoscopy*. 2017.
14. Rystedt J, Lindell G, Montgomery A. Bile Duct Injuries Associated With 55,134 Cholecystectomies: Treatment and Outcome from a National Perspective. *World Journal of Surgery*. 2016;40(1):73-80.
15. OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group. The Oxford Levels of Evidence 2. Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, University of Oxford; 2011.
16. Strasberg SM, Hertl M, Soper NJ. An analysis of the problem of biliary injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Am Coll Surg*. 1995;180(1):101-125.
17. Landsman ML, Kwant G, Mook GA, Zijlstra WG. Light-absorbing properties, stability, and spectral stabilization of indocyanine green. *J Appl Physiol*. 1976;40(4):575-583.
18. Alander JT, Kaartinen I, Laakso A, et al. A review of indocyanine green fluorescent imaging in surgery. *Int J Biomed Imaging*. 2012;2012:940585.

19. Avgerinos C, Kelgiorgi D, Touloumis Z, Baltatzi L, Dervenis C. One thousand laparoscopic cholecystectomies in a single surgical unit using the "critical view of safety" technique. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2009;13(3):498-503.
20. Kaya B, Fersahoglu MM, Kilic F, Onur E, Memisoglu K. Importance of critical view of safety in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a survey of 120 serial patients, with no incidence of complications. *Ann. Hepato Biliary pancreat. surg.* 2017;21(1):17-20.
21. Rawlings A, Hodgett SE, Matthews BD, Strasberg SM, Quasebarth M, Brunt LM. Single-Incision Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: Initial Experience with Critical View of Safety Dissection and Routine Intraoperative Cholangiography. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2010;211(1):1-7.
22. Tsalis K, Antoniou N, Koukouritaki Z, Patridas D, Christoforidis E, Lazaridis C. Open-access technique and "critical view of safety" as the safest way to perform laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Laparoscopy Endosc Percutaneous Tech.* 2015;25(2):119-124.
23. Vettoretto N, Saronni C, Harbi A, Balestra L, Taglietti L, Giovanetti M. Critical view of safety during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Soc Laparoendoscopic Surg.* 2011;15(3):322-325.
24. Sanjay P, Fulke JL, Exon DJ. 'Critical view of safety' as an alternative to routine intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute biliary pathology. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2010;14(8):1280-1284.
25. Yegiyants S, Collins JC. Operative Strategy Can Reduce the Incidence of Major Bile Duct Injury in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *The American Surgeon.* 2008;74(10):985-987.
26. Cui H, Kelly JJ, Litwin DEM. Single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy using a modified dome-down approach with conventional laparoscopic instruments. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2012;26(4):1153-1159.
27. Mahmud S, Masaud M, Canna K, Nassar AHM. Fundus-first laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A safe means of reducing the conversion rate. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2002;16(4):581-584.
28. Martin IG, Dexter SP, Marton J, et al. Fundus-first laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc.* 1995;9(2):203-206.
29. Raj PK, Castillo G, Urban L. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Fundus-down approach. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Techn Part A.* 2001;11(2):95-100.
30. Rosenberg J, Leinskold T. Dome down laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Scand J Surg.* 2004;93(1):48-51.
31. Tuveri M, Calo PG, Medas F, Tuveri A, Nicolosi A. Limits and advantages of fundus-first laparoscopic cholecystectomy: lessons learned. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2008;18(1):69-75.
32. Beldi G, Glättli A. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy for severe cholecystitis: A follow-up study. *Surg Endosc.* 2003;17(9):1437-1439.
33. Hubert C, Annet L, Van Beers BE, Gigot JF. The "inside approach of the gallbladder" is an alternative to the classic Calot's triangle dissection for a safe operation in severe cholecystitis. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2010;24(10):2626-2632.
34. Kuwabara J, Watanabe Y, Kameoka K, et al. Usefulness of laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy with operative cholangiography for severe cholecystitis. *Surg Today.* 2014;44(3):462-465.
35. Nakajima J, Sasaki A, Obuchi T, Baba S, Nitta H, Wakabayashi G. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy for severe cholecystitis. *Surg Today.* 2009;39(10):870-875.
36. Philips JAE, Lawes DA, Cook AJ, et al. The use of laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy for complicated cholelithiasis. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2008;22(7):1697-1700.
37. Tian Y, Wu SD, Su Y, Kong J, Yu H, Fan Y. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy as an alternative procedure designed to prevent bile duct injury: Experience of a hospital in Northern China. *Surg Today.* 2009;39(6):510-513.

38. Yoon PD, Pang T, Siriwardhane M, et al. Laparoscopic partial cholecystectomy: A way of getting out of trouble. *International Journal of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Diseases*. 2016;6:68-75.
39. Akolekar D, Nixon SJ, Parks RW. Intraoperative cholangiography in modern surgical practice. *Dig Surg*. 2009;26(2):130-134.
40. Caratozzolo E, Massani M, Recordare A, et al. Usefulness of both operative cholangiography and conversion to decrease major bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surg*. 2004;11(3):171-175.
41. Ding GQ, Cai W, Qin MF. Is intraoperative cholangiography necessary during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for cholelithiasis? *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21(7):2147-2151.
42. Fletcher DR, Hobbs MST, Tan P, et al. Complications of cholecystectomy: Risks of the laparoscopic approach and protective effects of operative cholangiography: A population-based study. *Ann Surg*. 1999;229(4):449-457.
43. Flum DR, Koepsell T, Heagerty P, Sinanan M, Dellinger EP. Common bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy and the use of intraoperative cholangiography: Adverse outcome or preventable error? *Arch Surg*. 2001;136(11):1287-1292.
44. Alvarez FA, De Santibañes M, Palavecino M, et al. Impact of routine intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy on bile duct injury. *Br J Surg*. 2014;101(6):677-684.
45. Carroll BJ, Friedman RL, Liberman MA, Phillips EH. Routine cholangiography reduces sequelae of common bile duct injuries. *Surg Endosc*. 1996;10(12):1194-1197.
46. Cox MR, Wilson TG, Jeans PL, Padbury RTA, Toouli J, Jakimowicz JJ. Minimizing the risk of bile duct injury at laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *WORLD J SURG*. 1994;18(3):422-427.
47. Ido K, Isoda N, Kawamoto C, et al. Confirmation of a "safety zone" by intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc*. 1996;10(8):798-800.
48. Jones DB, Dunnegan DL, Soper NJ, et al. Results of a change to routine fluorocholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *SURGERY*. 1995;118(4):693-702.
49. Kullman E, Borch K, Lindström E, Svanvik J, Anderberg B. Value of routine intraoperative cholangiography in detecting aberrant bile ducts and bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *BR J SURG*. 1996;83(2):171-175.
50. Kumar A, Kumar U, Munghate A, Bawa A. Role of routine intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech*. 2015;29(9):2837-2840.
51. Nassar AHM, Mirza A, Qandeel H, Ahmed Z, Zino S. Fluorocholangiography: reincarnation in the laparoscopic era—evaluation of intra-operative cholangiography in 3635 laparoscopic cholecystectomies. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech*. 2016;30(5):1804-1811.
52. Noji T, Nakamura F, Nakamura T, et al. ENBD tube placement prior to laparoscopic cholecystectomy may reduce the rate of complications in cases with predictably complicating biliary anomalies. *J Gastroenterol*. 2011;46(1):73-77.
53. Pantan ONM, Nagy AG, Scudamore CH, Pantan RJ. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A continuing plea for routine cholangiography. *SURG LAPAROSCOPY ENDOSC*. 1995;5(1):43-49.
54. Photi ES, El-Hadi A, Brown S, et al. The Routine Use of Cholangiography for Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in the Modern Era. *JSLs : Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons*. 2017;21(3).
55. Stuart SA, Simpson TIG, Alvord LA, Williams MD. Routine intraoperative laparoscopic cholangiography. *Am J Surg*. 1998;176(6):632-637.
56. Tornqvist B, Stromberg C, Akre O, Enochsson L, Nilsson M. Selective intraoperative cholangiography and risk of bile duct injury during cholecystectomy. *British Journal of Surgery*. 2015;102(8):952-958.

57. Van Campenhout I, Prosmagne O, Gagner M, Pomp A, Deslandres E, Levesque HP. Routine operative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: feasibility and value in 107 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1993;160(6):1209-1211.
58. Vezakis A, Davides D, Ammori BJ, Martin IG, Larvin M, McMahon MJ. Intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc.* 2000;14(12):1118-1122.
59. Giger U, Ouaiissi M, Schmitz SF, Krahenbuhl S, Krahenbuhl L. Bile duct injury and use of cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg.* 2011;98(3):391-396.
60. Hawasli A. Does routine cystic duct cholangiogram during laparoscopic cholecystectomy prevent common bile duct injury? *SURG LAPAROSCOPY ENDOSC.* 1993;3(4):290-295.
61. Robinson BL, Donohue JH, Gunes S, et al. Selective operative cholangiography: Appropriate management for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *ARCH SURG.* 1995;130(6):625-631.
62. Verma S, Wichmann MW, Gunning T, Beukes E, Maddern G. Intraoperative cholangiogram during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A clinical trial in rural setting. *Aust J Rural Health.* 2016;24(6):415-421.
63. Amott D, Webb A, Tulloh B. Prospective comparison of routine and selective operative cholangiography. *ANZ J Surg.* 2005;75(6):378-382.
64. Buddingh KT, Weersma RK, Savenije RA, van Dam GM, Nieuwenhuijs VB. Lower rate of major bile duct injury and increased intraoperative management of common bile duct stones after implementation of routine intraoperative cholangiography. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2011;213(2):267-274.
65. Carlson MA, Ludwig KA, Frantzides CT, et al. Routine or selective intraoperative cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J LAPAROENDOSC SURG.* 1993;3(1):27-33.
66. Barkun JS, Fried GM, Barkun AN, et al. Cholecystectomy without operative cholangiography: Implications for common bile duct injury and retained common bile duct stones. *ANN SURG.* 1993;218(3):371-379.
67. Lepner U, Grünthal V. Intraoperative cholangiography can be safely omitted during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A prospective study of 413 consecutive patients. *Scand J Surg.* 2005;94(3):197-200.
68. Lorimer JW, Fairfull-Smith RJ. Intraoperative cholangiography is not essential to avoid duct injuries laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *AM J SURG.* 1995;169(3):344-347.
69. McFarlane MEC, Thomas CAL, McCartney T, et al. Selective operative cholangiography in the performance of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2005;59(11):1301-1303.
70. Mir IS, Mohsin M, Kirmani O, et al. Is intra-operative cholangiography necessary during laparoscopic cholecystectomy? A multicentre rural experience from a developing world country. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2007;13(33):4493-4497.
71. Morris JB, Margolis R, Rosato EF. Safe laparoscopic cholecystectomy without intraoperative cholangiography. *SURG LAPAROSCOPY ENDOSC.* 1993;3(1):17-20.
72. Pesce A, Portale TR, Minutolo V, Scilletta R. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy without intraoperative cholangiography: a retrospective study on 1,100 selected patients. *Digestive surgery.* 2012.
73. Taylor OM, Sedman PC, Mancey Jones B, Royston CMS, Arulampalam T, Wellwood J. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy without operative cholangiogram: 2038 cases over a 5-year period in two district general hospitals. *ANN R COLL SURG ENGL.* 1997;79(5):376-380.
74. Wright KD, Wellwood JM. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy without operative cholangiography. *Br J Surg.* 1998;85(2):191-194.

75. Zacharakis E, Angelopoulos S, Kanellos D, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy without intraoperative cholangiography. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Techn.* 2007;17(5):620-625.
76. Ohtani T, Kawai C, Shirai Y, Kawakami K, Yoshida K, Hatakeyama K. Intraoperative ultrasonography versus cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A prospective comparative study. *J AM COLL SURG.* 1997;185(3):274-282.
77. Rijna H, Eijsbouts QAJ, Barkhof F, De Brauw LM, Cuesta MA. Assessment of the biliary tract by ultrasonography and cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A prospective study. *Eur J Ultrasound.* 1999;9(2):127-133.
78. Tranter SE, Thompson MH. A prospective single-blinded controlled study comparing laparoscopic ultrasound of the common bile duct with operative cholangiography. *Surg Endosc.* 2003;17(2):216-219.
79. Osayi SN, Wendling MR, Drosdeck JM, et al. Near-infrared fluorescent cholangiography facilitates identification of biliary anatomy during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2015;29(2):368-375.
80. Duff WM. Avoiding Misidentification Injuries in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: Use of Cystic Duct Marking Technique in Intraoperative Cholangiography. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2006;203(2):257-261.
81. Fox AD, Baigrie RJ, Cobb RA, Dowling BL. Peroperative cholangiography through the gallbladder (cholecystocholangiography) during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *SURG LAPAROSCOPY ENDOSC.* 1996;6(1):22-25.
82. Kuster GGR, Gilroy SBC. Intraoperative trans-gallbladder cholangiography intended to delineate bile duct anatomy. *J LAPAROENDOSC SURG.* 1995;5(6):377-384.
83. Liyanage CAH, Sadakari Y, Kitada H, et al. Prevention of iatrogenic bile duct injuries in difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomies: Is the naso-biliary drain the answer? *J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Surg.* 2009;16(4):458-462.
84. Biffi WL, Moore EE, Offner PJ, Franciose RJ, Burch JM. Routine intraoperative laparoscopic ultrasonography with selective cholangiography reduces bile duct complications during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2001;193(3):272-280.
85. Hakamada K, Narumi S, Toyoki Y, et al. Intraoperative ultrasound as an educational guide for laparoscopic biliary surgery. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2008;14(15):2370-2376.
86. MacHi J, Johnson JO, Deziel DJ, et al. The routine use of laparoscopic ultrasound decreases bile duct injury: A multicenter study. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2009;23(2):384-388.
87. Machi J, Oishi AJ, Tajiri T, Murayama KM, Furumoto NL, Oishi RH. Routine laparoscopic ultrasound can significantly reduce the need for selective intraoperative cholangiography during cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2007;21(2):270-274.
88. Tomonaga T, Filipi CJ, Lowham A, Martinez T. Laparoscopic intracorporeal ultrasound cystic duct length measurement: A new technique to prevent common bile duct injuries. *Surg Endosc.* 1999;13(2):183-185.
89. Ankersmit M, van Dam DA, van Rijswijk AS, van den Heuvel B, Tuynman JB, Meijerink WJ. Fluorescent Imaging With Indocyanine Green During Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Patients at Increased Risk of Bile Duct Injury. *Surg Innov.* 2017;1553350617690309.
90. Boni L, David G, Mangano A, et al. Clinical applications of indocyanine green (ICG) enhanced fluorescence in laparoscopic surgery. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech.* 2015;29(7):2046-2055.
91. Daskalaki D, Fernandes E, Wang X, et al. Indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescent cholangiography during robotic cholecystectomy: Results of 184 consecutive cases in a single institution. *Surg Innov.* 2014;21(6):615-621.

92. Dip F, Nguyen D, Montorfano L, et al. Accuracy of Near Infrared-Guided Surgery in Morbidly Obese Subjects Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *Obes Surg*. 2016;26(3):525-530.
93. Dip F, Roy M, Menzo EL, Simpfendorfer C, Szomstein S, Rosenthal RJ. Routine use of fluorescent incisionless cholangiography as a new imaging modality during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech*. 2015;29(6):1621-1626.
94. Dip FD, Nahmod M, Alle L, Sarotto L, Anzorena FS, Ferraina P. Fluorescence cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy experience in Argentina. *Front Gastrointest Res*. Vol 312013:80-85.
95. Igami T, Nojiri M, Shinohara K, et al. Clinical value and pitfalls of fluorescent cholangiography during single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Today*. 2016;46(12):1443-1450.
96. Ishizawa T, Bandai Y, Ijichi M, Kaneko J, Hasegawa K, Kokudo N. Fluorescent cholangiography illuminating the biliary tree during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg*. 2010;97(9):1369-1377.
97. Kono Y, Ishizawa T, Tani K, et al. Techniques of fluorescence cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for better delineation of the bile duct anatomy. *Medicine*. 2015;94(25):e1005.
98. Mohsen A, Elbasiouny MS, El-Shazli M, Azmy O, Amr A. Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Fluorescent Visualization of Bile Ducts Using Fluorescein and Ultraviolet A at Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *Surg Innov*. 2015;23(3):261-265.
99. Prevot F, Rebibo L, Cosse C, Browet F, Sabbagh C, Regimbeau JM. Effectiveness of intraoperative cholangiography using indocyanine green (versus contrast fluid) for the correct assessment of extrahepatic bile ducts during day-case laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2014;18(8):1462-1468.
100. Schols RM, Bouvy ND, Masclee AAM, Van Dam RM, Dejong CHC, Stassen LPS. Fluorescence cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A feasibility study on early biliary tract delineation. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech*. 2013;27(5):1530-1536.
101. Schols RM, Bouvy ND, Van Dam RM, Masclee AAM, Dejong CHC, Stassen LPS. Combined vascular and biliary fluorescence imaging in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc Interv Tech*. 2013;27(12):4511-4517.
102. Spinoglio G, Priora F, Bianchi PP, et al. Real-time near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent cholangiography in single-site robotic cholecystectomy (SSRC): a single-institutional prospective study. *Surg Endosc*. 2013;27(6):2156-2162.
103. Zroback C, Chow G, Meneghetti A, et al. Fluorescent cholangiography in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: The initial Canadian experience. *Am J Surg*. 2016;211(5):933-937.
104. Cai XJ, Ying HN, Yu H, et al. Blunt dissection: A solution to prevent bile duct injury in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Chin Med J*. 2015;128(23):3153-3157.
105. Li X, Ai KX, Bai YQ, Zhang P, Huang XY, Li YY. Strategies to decrease bile duct injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Techn*. 2014;24(11):770-776.
106. Sari YS, Tunali V, Tomaoglu K, Karagoz B, Guneyi A, Karagoz I. Can bile duct injuries be prevented? "A new technique in laparoscopic cholecystectomy". *BMC surg*. 2005;5:14.
107. Wang ZY, Xu F, Liu YD, Xu CG, Wu JL. Prevention of Biliary Duct Injury in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Using Optical Fiber Illumination in Common Bile Duct. *Gastroenterol. res*. 2010;3(5):207-212.
108. Xu F, Xu CG, Xu DZ. A new method of preventing bile duct injury in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2004;10(19):2916-2918.

109. Bektas H, Schrem H, Winny M, Klempnauer J. Surgical treatment and outcome of iatrogenic bile duct lesions after cholecystectomy and the impact of different clinical classification systems. *Br J Surg.* 2007;94(9):1119-1127.
110. Strasberg SM, Brunt LM. The Critical View of Safety: Why It Is Not the Only Method of Ductal Identification Within the Standard of Care in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *Ann Surg.* 2017;265(3):464-465.
111. Mercado MA, Domínguez I. Classification and management of bile duct injuries. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery.* 2011;3(4):43-48.
112. van de Graaf FW, van den Bos J, Stassen LPS, Lange JF. Lacunar implementation of the critical view of safety technique for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Results of a nationwide survey. *Surgery.* 2018.
113. Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SAGES). The SAGES Safe Cholecystectomy Program. Strategies for Minimizing Bile Duct Injuries: Adopting a Universal Culture of Safety in Cholecystectomy. <https://www.sages.org/safe-cholecystectomy-program/>.
114. Vecchio R, MacFadyen BV, Latteri S. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: an analysis on 114,005 cases of United States series. *Int Surg.* 1998;83(3):215-219.
115. Palanivelu C, Rajan PS, Jani K, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in cirrhotic patients: the role of subtotal cholecystectomy and its variants. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons.* 2006;203(2):145-151.
116. Mirizzi PL. [Calculi of the common bile duct (520 cases under the control of surgical cholangiography)] La lithiase de la voie biliaire principale (a propos de 520 cas sous le controle de la cholangiographie operatoire). *Mem Acad Chir (Paris).* 1955;81(27-28):834-841; discussion, 841-832.
117. Ford JA, Soop M, Du J, Loveday BPT, Rodgers M. Systematic review of intraoperative cholangiography in cholecystectomy. *British Journal of Surgery.* 2012;99(2):160-167.
118. Massarweh NN, Devlin A, Elrod JA, Symons RG, Flum DR. Surgeon knowledge, behavior, and opinions regarding intraoperative cholangiography. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons.* 2008;207(6):821-830.
119. Sanjay P, Kulli C, Polignano FM, Tait IS. Optimal surgical technique, use of intra-operative cholangiography (IOC), and management of acute gallbladder disease: the results of a nation-wide survey in the UK and Ireland. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2010;92(4):302-306.
120. Buddingh KT, Hofker HS, ten Cate Hoedemaker HO, van Dam GM, Ploeg RJ, Nieuwenhuijs VB. Safety measures during cholecystectomy: results of a nationwide survey. *World J Surg.* 2011;35(6):1235-1241; discussion 1242-1233.
121. Hibi T, Iwashita Y, Ohyama T, et al. The "right" way is not always popular: comparison of surgeons' perceptions during laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis among experts from Japan, Korea and Taiwan. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci.* 2017;24(1):24-32.
122. Gurusamy KS, Giljaca V, Takwoingi Y, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography versus intraoperative cholangiography for diagnosis of common bile duct stones. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015(2):CD010339.
123. Dili A, Bertrand C. Laparoscopic ultrasonography as an alternative to intraoperative cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2017;23(29):5438-5450.
124. Falcone Jr RA, Fegelman EJ, Nussbaum MS, et al. A prospective comparison of laparoscopic ultrasound vs intraoperative cholangiogram during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc.* 1999;13(8):784-788.

125. Soper NJ. Intraoperative detection: intraoperative cholangiography vs. intraoperative ultrasonography. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 2000;4(4):334-335.
126. Liu YY, Liao CH, Diana M, et al. Near-infrared cholecystocholangiography with direct intragallbladder indocyanine green injection: preliminary clinical results. *Surg Endosc*. 2018;32(3):1506-1514.
127. Pesce A, Piccolo G, La Greca G, Puleo S. Utility of fluorescent cholangiography during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A systematic review. *World Journal of Gastroenterology : WJG*. 2015;21(25):7877-7883.
128. van den Bos J, Schols RM, Luyer MD, et al. Near-infrared fluorescence cholangiography assisted laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy (FALCON trial): study protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(8).