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Based on data from the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics, the impact of influenza on mortality in The Netherlands was estimated for a 22.5-year period (1967–1989) in four age groups and three entities of disease, using Poisson regression techniques. Our analysis suggests that, on average, more than 2000 people died from influenza in The Netherlands each year, but in only a fraction of these deaths was influenza recognized as the cause of death. For each case of death registered as caused by influenza (registered influenza mortality), 2.6 additional cases of death registered as due to causes other than influenza, nevertheless, were influenza-related (non-registered influenza mortality). Therefore, the overall impact of influenza on mortality is estimated to be greater than registered influenza mortality by a factor of 3.6. Those under 60 years of age accounted for 5% of all non-registered influenza deaths, whereas people aged 60–69, 70–79 years and >80 years accounted for 12%, 29% and 54% of such deaths, respectively. When extrapolating the figures for the Dutch population of 1989, we could attribute, on average per season-year, 82 deaths per 100 000 people >60 years, 143 in people >70 years, and 280 in people >80 years. Of all non-registered influenza cases of death, 47% were estimated to occur in people with heart disease as a primarily reported cause of death, 23% in those with lung disease, and 30% in those with other diseases. This study stresses the serious effects of influenza, mainly in the elderly (95% of non-registered influenza mortality). Prophylactic measures (vaccination) should not only be stimulated among populations with defined underlying diseases, but generally among all people >60 years. Future research should address the pathological mechanisms which lead to influenza-related excess mortality.

The founder of modern concepts of medical surveillance, William Farr, first introduced the notion of 'excess mortality' in 1847. Since then studies from several countries have shown that influenza is one of the most important causes of excess mortality and that influenza mortality in non-pandemic seasons mainly occurs in the elderly. Infection by influenza A and B viruses and its complications can now be prevented effectively by vaccination. However, it is well-known that this simple preventive measure is not used optimally. To increase influenza prevention, and to develop an effective vaccination policy, it is important to define high-risk subpopulations and to demonstrate the quantitative impact of influenza mortality on the national level. In a previous paper, describing data from The Netherlands in the period 1967–1982, we showed that, on average, in 50 cases per 100 000 subjects >70 years, the cause of death was registered on the death certificates as being 'influenza' (registered influenza mortality). In another 140 cases, the cause of death was registered as not 'influenza' but was nevertheless related to influenza (non-registered influenza mortality). This study analyses the non-registered influenza mortality and establishes its distribution among subpopulations defined by age and underlying medical conditions, using a comprehensive regression model to relevant data obtained from the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics for an extended period (1967–1989). This particular period was chosen to counterbalance seasons with unusual high and unusual low influenza activity. The emergence of influenza subtype A-H3N2 in 1968 and subtype A-H1N1 in 1977 lie within the study period.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sources

Relevant data were obtained from the Dutch Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS, Minder gezondheid) for the
period January 1967 to June 1989 (22.5 years). Of the data from death certificates, only the 'primary cause of death' was used. Deaths were entered by date of death, not by date of report.

**Definition of Epidemiological Measures**

**Influenza activity.** As a surrogate marker for the influenza activity in the total population, the monthly mortality based on 'primary cause of death: influenza', i.e., code 487 of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) 9th revision, was used (registered influenza mortality). In contrast to other studies, deaths registered as due to 'pneumonia' were not included. As many cases of pneumonia are not caused by influenza viruses, it was expected that including pneumonia would have produced more unwanted residual variance in the statistical model. In this study data on morbidity (occurrence of influenza-like illnesses) or virological criteria (influenza virus isolates) were not used to define influenza activity; nevertheless in an earlier study, we have found a good correlation between influenza mortality and reported cases of influenza-like illness.10

**Mortality in (sub)populations.** The measure of mortality was the observed monthly number of deaths for the total population or each subpopulation. Subpopulations were distinguished according to age or disease entity.

Four age groups were defined as 0–59, 60–69, 70–79, and ≥ 80 years. Thus, the analysis focused on the elderly in whom excess mortality was mainly expected to occur. We recognized that young children (< 5 years) might also be of interest, but because of the lack of virological data on other respiratory pathogens, in particular respiratory syncytial virus, that subpopulation was not analysed.

Three entities of disease as reported to be the primary cause of death other than influenza were distinguished: heart disease, lung disease, and all other diseases. Table 1 shows the corresponding ICD numbers during the period 1967–1989. This study does not address possible differences in mortality within disease entities.

**Statistical Model**

The statistical model (multivariate regression) addressed the relationship between mortality in the total population or in defined subpopulations (dependent variable), and influenza activity in the total population, calendar month, calendar year and (sub)population size (independent variables). The relevant model parameters were estimated by means of a Poisson regression analysis using the GLIM system,11-13 with the relevant (sub)population group sizes as multipliers. Details of the statistical model are given in the Appendix.

To calculate non-registered influenza mortality, overall mortality in a (sub)population was fitted to influenza activity in the total population, adjusting for seasonal and long-term fluctuations by including month and year effects in the model. The effect of influenza was then eliminated by setting the influenza activity in the model at zero keeping the effects of year and month the same. The difference between the predicted mortality in the situation of observed influenza activity and the predicted mortality in the absence of influenza activity was defined as the non-registered influenza mortality.

**RESULTS**

**Non-Registered Influenza Mortality in the Entire Population**

Figure 1 shows the overall mortality based on all registered causes of death with the exception of 'influenza', either predicted from the regression equation (dotted line), or observed (unbroken line), per calendar month. The overall trend is upwards because of population increase during the reported period. In 1967 the total population was about 12.5 million people, in 1989 14.8 million.

Figure 2 presents the predicted mortality in Figure 1 (dotted line), compared to the simulated situation of absent influenza activity (unbroken line). The difference between these two lines represents the non-registered influenza mortality. It is often said that people suffering from heart or lung diseases who die during influenza epidemics, would otherwise have died...
FIGURE 1  Observed and predicted total monthly mortality (excluding influenza) in the period 1967–1989 in The Netherlands. Unbroken line: observed mortality; dotted line: predicted mortality from the regression equation.

FIGURE 2  Predicted total mortality (excluding influenza) assuming presence (dotted line) or absence (unbroken line) of influenza activity, in the period 1967–1989 in The Netherlands per month.
a few weeks later. If this were so there would be a decrease in mortality immediately following an influenza epidemic. We did not observe such a time-lag in our monthly data. Whether a time-lag would be detectable when analysing weekly data, is a matter for conjecture.

Figure 3 shows the sum of registered influenza mortality and non-registered influenza mortality per season-year (July until June), per million people >60 years. In almost every year influenza mortality is present. The proportion of registered and non-registered influenza mortality of the predicted total mortality based on all causes of death is calculated. For February 1970, it was 24%; i.e. 24% of the total mortality in February 1970 was associated with influenza.

The absolute number of registered influenza deaths equals 9710 in The Netherlands for the period studied. The number of excess deaths associated with influenza activity (non-registered influenza deaths) was estimated to be 25101. The global ratio of registered/non-registered influenza mortality was 2.6; i.e. for every influenza death officially registered, there were, on average, 2.6 additional non-registered influenza deaths. Hence, the overall impact of influenza on mortality is estimated to be 3.6 times greater than registered influenza mortality.

Non-Registered Influenza Mortality According to Age

Table 2 displays the registered and non-registered influenza mortality by age group per year. On average, only 5% of non-registered influenza deaths occurred in people <60 years, whereas 12% occurred in those of 60–69, 29% in those of 70–79, and 54% in those aged ≥80 years. However, these proportions also depend on the relative sizes of these age groups in the population. The absolute number of both registered and non-registered influenza mortality in people >60 was estimated to be 2100 per season-year. If the figures are extrapolated to the whole population of 1989, 82 deaths per 100,000 per season-year in people >60 years can be attributed to influenza, 143 in people >70 years and 280 in people >80 years.

Non-Registered Influenza Mortality According to Disease Entity

Of non-registered influenza deaths 47% were estimated to have occurred in people with heart disease, and 23% in those with lung disease. The remaining 30% of non-
registered influenza deaths were classified as 'other mortality'.

Figure 4 shows the total (registered and non-registered) influenza mortality by disease entity per age group. For each entity, the majority of total influenza deaths occurred in people > 60 years. Those < 60 years accounted for only 5% of these deaths. Among all three older groups, there were no appreciable differences in mortality from the four diagnostic categories.

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis suggests that, on average, more than 2000 people died from influenza in The Netherlands each year of the study, but in only a fraction of these deaths, was it recognized as the cause of death. For every registered influenza death, there were 2.6 additional non-registered influenza deaths. This non-registered mortality predominated amongst the elderly. Almost half of these cases were registered as death from heart disease, approximately 25% from lung disease, and approximately 30% from other diseases. It is likely that our estimate of the impact of influenza epidemics was conservative because some elderly and other high-risk people received influenza vaccine prior to each of the epidemics included in the study.

It is appreciated that the statistical model and mathematical simulation cannot provide proof of a causal relationship between influenza infection and non-registered influenza mortality. However, the coincidence in time, and the strong statistical correlation, of influenza activity and non-registered influenza mortality in our study are striking.

Optimally, also virological data such as annual number and taxonomy of isolates, should be included in the model. This would require a continuous surveillance system with constant measures and a constant denominator during the entire study period. These
data were not available in Europe for the period reported. To improve the model by including virological data, a new surveillance system will be established in The Netherlands in 1992–1993 season.

Excess mortality in The Netherlands was found to be lower during the period 1967–1978 compared with 1979–1989. It is tempting to relate this fact to the re-occurrence of the influenza A-H1N1 subtype in 1977 and its concurrence with the A-H3N2 subtype since then. The A-H1N1 subtype has caused mortality predominantly in subpopulations born after 1957 when A-H1N1-strains had ceased circulating; therefore, its contribution to excess mortality in the elderly may have been low or absent, and total excess mortality decreased. However, in the US, excess mortality was higher during the 1985–1986 season than in any other single season since 1968–1969. We are unable to explain this geographical discrepancy.

In Farr's original study, almost 150 years ago, the total excess mortality from all causes was ascribed to the occurrence of an influenza epidemic. He proposed a method that was based on the calculated expectancy of 'mortality for the season' and estimated that approximately 45% of the total mortality during the influenza epidemic period was related to influenza. In our study we estimated the maximum effect of influenza on total mortality to be 24% (1969–1970). For each influenza death registered according to Farr, a further 3.5 deaths were associated with influenza, in contrast to our estimate of 2.6 additional deaths. The difference between our findings and Farr's may be due to characteristics of the epidemics, improved sanitary conditions, the availability of antibiotics and influenza vaccine, and refinements in our model, which take into account both long-term and seasonal effects over a period of 22.5 years.

During the last 25 years, a number of studies, exclusively from the US and Great Britain have dealt with excess influenza mortality. Although describing study periods which differ in length and epidemiological characteristics, using various surrogate measures for influenza, and applying diverse statistical methods, they all agree in establishing the huge impact of influenza activity on excess mortality. Interestingly, some quantitative differences can be found. For example, the proportion of the elderly (≥65 years) among total excess mortality was reported to be 65% by Clifford et al. 88% by Tillett et al. 73% by Alling et al. and 80–90% by Lui and Kendall. In our study, this proportion (in those aged ≥60 years) is higher (95%). Choi and Thacker used the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model of Box and Jenkins to estimate excess mortality. This method uses the dynamics in the weekly figures of an observed time series in order to make forecasts. In our monthly figures, however, only small (<0.25) serial correlations were found in the residuals from the estimated model. We also covered the longest study period (22.5 years) which may have counter-balanced seasons with atypical epidemiology, and described influenza mortality in a continental European country where influenza epidemiology might be slightly different from Northern America or Great Britain.

In The Netherlands, health authorities only consider people with established underlying chronic disease to be at high risk of dying from influenza. However, our results, in agreement with studies from other countries, suggest that for all those aged ≥60 years in general, vaccination should be recommended, to reduce influenza mortality. This will become even more important in the future, when the proportion of the elderly in the population, and consequently the cost of medical care increases.

Investigations of excess influenza mortality should be continued in future. Of special interest could be the exact pathological mechanisms underlying the excess mortality in the subpopulation with heart disease.
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The monthly observed number of deaths from a particular cause (influenza excluded) in a certain subpopulation of size $N_i$ is assumed to be a Poisson-distributed random variable with mean and variance equal to a parameter $\lambda_i$ specified as:

$$\lambda_i = N_i \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{K} \alpha_i M_{ij} + \sum_{k=2}^{P} \beta_k J_k + \gamma F_i \right)$$

where

- $i = 1, \ldots, I$ monthly figures
- $N_i$ = size of the subpopulation considered in month $i$
- $M_{ij} = 1$ for calendar month $j$
- $= 0$ elsewhere
- $J_k = 1$ for the $k$-th calendar year considered
- $= 0$ elsewhere
  - (basis is first calendar year considered)
- $k = 2, \ldots, K$
- $F_i$ = influenza activity indicator in month $i$
- The coefficients $\alpha_i$, $\beta_k$, and $\gamma$ have to be estimated.

The coefficient $\gamma$ represents the effects of the influenza activity in the total population on mortality from a certain cause other than influenza in a certain subpopulation. The quantity $1 - \exp(-\gamma F_i)$ represents the excess mortality in month $i$ as proportion of $\lambda_i$. As a first approach to measure influenza activity, $F_i$ is defined as the influenza mortality rate per month during the period January 1967 to June 1989 in the total population.

The above model is only specified for one death cause category and one subpopulation considered. In order to indicate the various death cause categories and subpopulations (age groups) considered two more indices are necessary: $d$ for death cause category ($d = 1, \ldots, D$) and $p$ for subpopulation ($p = 1, \ldots, P$). In the model the coefficients $\alpha_d$, $\beta_p$, and $\gamma_d$ as well as the expected number $\lambda_d$ should get additional indices $d$ and $p$:

$$\lambda_{dp} = N_{ip} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{K} \alpha_{dp} M_{ij} + \sum_{k=2}^{P} \beta_{kp} J_k + \gamma_{dp} F_i \right)$$

$N$ the size of the subpopulation considered should only get an additional index $p$:

Hence, the model becomes:

$$12 \begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{dp} = N_{ip} \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{K} \alpha_{dp} M_{ij} + \sum_{k=2}^{P} \beta_{kp} J_k + \gamma_{dp} F_i \right) \\
\end{array}$$

for the $d$-th death cause category ($d = 1, \ldots, D$) and the $p$-th subpopulation ($p = 1, \ldots, P$).