Multinational companies need to manage their relationships with multinational customers in a globally integrated approach. This booklet provides a systematic framework for developing and implementing such global customer management programmes. It is based on Chapter 1 of George S. Yip and Audrey Bink, Managing Global Customers: An Integrated Approach, Oxford University Press, 2007. The authors’ research takes more than just sales approach; it takes a strategic and total business approach to managing global customers from the customer perspective as well as that of the supplier.
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**Summary**

Multinational companies need to manage their relationships with multinational customers in a globally integrated approach. This paper provides a systematic framework for developing and implementing such global customer management programmes. The paper is based on Chapter 1 of George S. Yip and Audrey Bink, *Managing Global Customers: An Integrated Approach*, Oxford University Press, 2007.

The book takes a strategic, total business, and not just sales approach to managing global customers. It also takes a customer as well as a supplier perspective. The book provides guidance on both strategy and implementation. Lastly, the book takes a systematic and logic driven approach, yet provides many creative insights and practical advice.

The primary supporting research is a study on global customer-supplier management with primary research conducted at 22 multinational companies, both global suppliers and global customers. This research involved personal interviews with over 60 senior executives, as well as a detailed multi-level survey completed by 27 executives. The authors obtained information on the way global customer-supplier relationship programmes can be implemented, the barriers that can be encountered and best practices that can lead to extra advantage.

---

**Samenvatting**


Dit boek heeft een strategische, geheel zakelijke en niet alleen op de verkoop gerichte aanpak voor het wereldwijd beheren van zakelijke relaties, niet alleen vanuit het perspectief van klant maar ook vanuit het oogpunt van de leverancier. Het boek biedt een systematische en logische aanpak maar is tevens voorzien van creatieve inzichten en praktische adviezen.

Het primair ondersteunend onderzoek is wereldwijd gehouden bij 22 multinationale ondernemingen, zowel leveranciers als klanten. Voor dit onderzoek zijn 60 hoge kaderleden persoonlijk geïnterviewd en hebben 27 kaderledeneen gedetailleerde enquête ingevuld. Wij hebben informatie verkregen over de wijze waarop klant / leverancier programma’s het beste uitgevoerd kunnen worden, welke obstakels men tegen kan komen en welke “best practices” tot een extra voordeel kunnen leiden.
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Managing Global Customers

“I am sorry but we are dropping your company as a supplier because you are unable to serve us on a globally integrated basis.”

“I am pleased to tell you that we have designated your company as a worldwide supplier because you have demonstrated your ability to serve us on a globally integrated basis.”

Multinational suppliers are increasingly hearing one or the other of the above two messages from their multinational customers. What is happening is a sea change in the way in which multinational customers and multinational suppliers work with each other. The old operating mode was that the national subsidiaries of multinational companies (MNCs) were free to make their own decisions about suppliers. This often meant that different national subsidiaries of one MNC customer might be buying from the national subsidiaries of an MNC supplier, but the relationships would be between national subsidiaries and not at the company level. Figure 1 illustrates this mode of relationship, which we term “multilocal buying.” Of course, there can be other variations, such as a customer in Country A buying from the same or different suppliers in several countries. But the central aspect of this mode is that subsidiaries make their own choices.

Figure 1 – Multilocal Buying
The Globalisation Context for Managing Global Customers

The need to manage customers on a global basis arises in the context of the ongoing globalisation of countries, industries, and companies. In terms of countries, every major economy and most minor ones participate in the larger global economy, albeit with varying degrees of openness. Many countries have joined trading and other economic blocs, such as the European Union, ASEAN, or NAFTA, in which trade, investment and other business cross-border barriers have been drastically reduced. The net effect is that most companies now have very wide geographic choices in terms of where they sell and where they source. For example, Wal-Mart alone accounts for more than 10 per cent of all of the United States’ annual imports from China, and more than either Russia’s or Britain’s imports. Also, as multinational companies expand the geographic scope of their activities, they expect their suppliers to follow them. In turn this spread raises the bar in terms of the scope in managing customer relationships on a global basis.

In terms of industries, competition increasingly occurs across as well as within national borders, although the extent of globalisation varies by industry, depending largely on industry globalisation drivers. These drivers include the salience of national culture, economics of scale, and regulation. The industries with the strongest globalisation drivers require competitors in them to respond with globally integrated strategies.

In terms of companies, these globally integrated strategies include global market participation. C. Michael Armstrong, CEO of AT&T, was referring to this aspect of global strategy when he said: “In the future there will be two kinds of corporations; those that go global and those that go bankrupt.”

Other strategies include global products and services, global location and coordination of activities, global marketing, global competitive moves, and global organisation and management.

---

The Globalisation of Buying and Selling

So in response to globalisation, both multinational customers and suppliers are adopting various aspects of global strategy. Customers who use global purchasing can be viewed as pursuing the strategy of global coordination of activities. Suppliers who manage customers globally can be viewed as pursuing the strategy of global marketing.

Changes in Multinational Buyer Behaviour

In the global era, multinational customers increasingly seek to deal on a globally coordinated basis with their suppliers, demanding such services as global contracts, global pricing, product standardisation and a global account relationship. For example, in their drives to reduce costs, major automobile manufacturers such as General Motors and Ford increasingly seek global contracts from their suppliers, such as Bosch and Goodyear. Nestlé and its competitors increasingly pressure their suppliers, such as International Paper, to provide global prices and other terms. Even retailers, such as Wal-Mart and Carrefour, which have long taken national approaches, are seeking global or regional supply contracts as they expand globally.

Historically, most multinational companies have allowed their national subsidiaries extensive independence in their purchasing behaviour, but the problems found with this approach (e.g., incompatibility of equipment and standards, and diseconomies in purchasing) have increasingly led them to buy on a more centralised or coordinated basis. Also, as multinational companies themselves develop more globally integrated strategies, they expect the same from their suppliers.

The electronics giant and Dutch MNC, Philips illustrates this change in global purchasing behaviour. Until 2003, each Philips business unit was responsible for the procurement of its own supplies. As purchases equate to approximately two-thirds of Philips’ revenues, the company launched a new supply management strategy that should make procurement cheaper and more efficient.

---

1 For a full discussion of industry globalisation drivers, see Chapter 2 in George S. Yip, Total Global Strategy II: Updated for the Internet and Service Era, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall, 2003.
As far back as 1997, a survey of 191 senior executives in 165 major multinational companies found that their multinational customers were increasingly demanding global consistency in service quality and performance, global contracts, uniform terms of trade, global pricing and the like. In turn, these multinational suppliers were responding with more use of global customer management programmes.6

Changes in Multinational Seller Behaviour

Most multinational suppliers find it very difficult to respond to the demand for global service. Their own organisation structures and management processes have long been geared toward providing national, rather than global customer management. But the savvier suppliers, such as AT&T, Bank of America, British Telecom, Citibank, IBM, Hewlett-Packard, and Xerox are learning to play the new game of global customer management and reaping the corresponding rewards. This book is about how suppliers can play this game more successfully, and secondarily about how customers can play their part. Managing customers and suppliers on a globally integrated basis can be viewed as a form of global customer-supplier relationship. As illustrated in Figure 2, such relationships typically involve coordination by global units on both customer and supplier sides. On the customer side such a global unit may be the global purchasing function. On the supplier side, such a global unit may be a global account management function. This book concerns how to manage these global customer-supplier relationships, especially from the viewpoint of the supplier.

Advertising agencies provide a strong example of an industry effect. Many advertising agencies have seen a real trend of supplier rationalisation amongst their largest customers. Instead of working with up to a hundred different agencies worldwide, clients increasingly want to work with as much as possible with as few as possible suppliers. They do so for two reasons: to achieve greater global consistency in their communications messages and to achieve greater global coordination of their purchasing.

Whereas some companies decide to centralise all procurement in one central function in order to cut costs, Philips decided to combine economies of scale with rapid decision-making tied closely to the needs of its different businesses. In the new model, each of the five business groups has a central purchasing officer with responsibility for group-wide procurement of specific commodities. For example, the lighting division is responsible for procuring all the group’s packaging while the consumer electronics division buys all its plastics. To keep the link with the other businesses, each central purchasing officer has a team dispersed around the different businesses.

Since 2003 Philips has cut the total number of its suppliers from 50,000 to 33,000. The percentage of combined (i.e., multi-unit) purchases, either for specific businesses or for the group as a whole, has risen from 6 per cent to 55 per cent. In 2005 the target for procurement savings was 16 per cent, and Philips was well on its way to reaching that target. Another part of the new procurement programme is forging closer relationships with a number of key suppliers, which Philips describes as “partners for growth”. These key suppliers are increasingly involved in innovation at an early stage. In 2005 they provided 26 per cent of the group’s purchases. Barbara Kux, Philips’ first chief procurement officer said: “It is a two-way process. We ask each other: what can we do better together? There are always great ideas from our suppliers”. One example is the PhilipsShave Coolskin shaver, for which Philips worked with Beiensdorf to make a soothing skin balm under the Nivea brand name.

Even the supermarket sector, one of the least globalisable of industries because of its strong local nature, is moving toward regional and global buying. A 1999 study by a leading consulting firm found that MNC suppliers to supermarkets faced increasing pressures as retailing giants such as Carrefour, Tesco, and Wal-Mart both expanded into more and more countries and also accelerated their extent of regional or global buying.5 As a global customer of Philips’, Wal-Mart’s annual purchases of over $1 billion are larger than many of Philips’ own businesses, such as shaving products.

Even a stellar creative reputation cannot save an advertising agency from the demands of global account management. In late 1993, Chiat Day, a U.S.-based creative superstar among agencies, had the Apple Macintosh “1984” commercial and other gems to its credit, but little in the way of an international network. It was dropped by Reebok International, the manufacturer of athletic shoes. Instead, Reebok consolidated its $140 million worldwide advertising account at Leo Burnett, a top 10 international network. A key reason cited by Reebok’s VP-marketing services worldwide was that Chiat Day did not have the global resources the company needed. Reebok had “wired together a global network using Chiat as the lead agency and Burnett and Euro RSCG (Paris-based) overseas, but there was no partnership between the three.”

Soon after the loss of this account, Chiat Day agreed to be acquired by Omnicom Group in early 1995, and to be folded into the latter’s TBWA network.

The trend toward global account management in the advertising business has perhaps been the major factor behind the top 10 international agency networks steadily increasing their share of global advertising spending. Most dramatic of all, in 1994 IBM’s new CEO, Louis V. Gerstner, Jr. initiated the firing of over 40 different agencies around the world, and consolidated the company’s entire $400 to $500 million account at one top 10 global agency, Ogilvy & Mather Worldwide (part of WPP, one of the largest agency groups).

Many MNCs are using the need to serve customers globally as the basis for reorienting themselves from product- and geography-led organisations to becoming customer-led. For example, Reuters, the business information company initiated just such a move in the early 2000s in order to better serve its top 22 global customers. In 2001 Reuters listed these top global customers, in Europe: ABN Amro, Allianz, BNP Paribas, Commerzbank, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, HSBC, Ing, Société Générale and UBS; USA: Bank of America, Citigroup, Fidelity, Goldman Sachs, Instinet, JP Morgan Chase, Lehman Brothers, Merrill Lynch, and Morgan Stanley Dean Witter; and Japan: Mitsubishi Tokyo Financial, Mizuho Holdings, and Putnam.

Particularly in the 1980s, many major advertising agencies faced some globalisation drivers that spurred them to adopt global account management. First, some of their major clients, such as Procter & Gamble and Unilever, were themselves embracing globally integrated strategy and starting to act as global customers. This change in behaviour included increasing use of globally standardised advertising, e.g., Coca-Cola’s sports hero/little boy campaign of the 1970s, British Airways’ repositioning in the early 1980s, and Toyota’s global campaign introducing its new Camry model in the early 1990s, (all partly legitimised by Theodore Levitt’s 1983 proclamation of the globalisation of markets). Second, one agency, Saatchi & Saatchi in its prime aggressively positioned itself as the global agency, spurring its rivals to respond.
In the early 2000s, both IBM and Hewlett-Packard went so far as to break out their top 100 or so global accounts from the rest of the organisation as separate businesses with their own profit and loss statements. Indeed, one of the few positives during Hewlett Packard’s troubles of the early 2000s came from the success of its global accounts programme.

Global Account Management

We now examine the key response by suppliers to the globalisation of buying and selling—global account management. 12

From National Account Management to Global Account Management

In response to customers’ demands for global coordination, MNC suppliers are increasingly adopting global account management (GAM). Companies around the world have long used national account management to handle their most important accounts. Such national account management approaches include having one executive or team take overall responsibility for all aspects of a customer’s business, whether directly or coordinating the activities of others. 13 Typical applications include the use of national account managers for retail chains, for business equipment and service customers. National account management approaches have also been used interchangeably with relationship marketing and management. 14 The Global Account Management concept extends national account management across countries, not necessarily to all countries, but to the most important ones for the most important customers and for the most important activities. Global account management can also be viewed as the new frontier in “relationship marketing.” 15


Different companies use different terms such as "global account management," "parent account management," "international account management," or "worldwide account management." Although we will use the first term, the most common one in this book, all these terms define an organisational form and process in multinational companies by which the worldwide activities serving one or more multinational customers are coordinated centrally by one person or team within the supplier company.

Global account management can be expensive to implement and carries its own risks such as standardising global prices at a low common level so managers need to be able to diagnose the extent to which their customers will want such services, what sort of services to provide, and how to implement the programmes.

Correspondingly, multinational suppliers are creating global account management programmes with such features as global account managers, double counting of revenues to incentivise both global and national sales people, and global measurement of customer revenues and profits. Common objectives for these global programmes are increased account revenues, joint innovation and customer lock-in.


Should A Company Adopt Global Account Management?

GAM sounds promising, and for some companies it seems inevitable to keep up with the competition, but it is a costly exercise and therefore it is necessary for the GAM programme to bring some visible extra revenue to the company. GAM has the potential to be a very valuable asset to the company if it is handled in the right way. The benefits of GAM also depend greatly on the product or service involved. The comments of a purchasing manager at the giant engineering company Asea Brown Boveri (ABB) illustrate this:

"It is a combination of volume, how big is the spend, how big is the potential leverage and the globalisation of the supplier. For example, electrical energy is high in volume but a domestic service. It is not a global commodity, whereas credit cards, for example, are a globally available product. So we will implement credit cards globally and energy locally. It is the product that decides if it makes sense to have a global process for procurement."

GAM programmes are not cheap. They involve adding personnel, processes and direct expenses such as travel and collection of information. They also carry risks. The dominant fear is that a globally coordinated approach to a multinational customer merely allows that customer to extract greater concessions. Such concessions may swamp the various possible benefits. Often, companies are reluctant to implement a GAM programme because of the risks involved, especially a potentially unbalanced relationship and the seemingly inevitable price squeeze.


Arnold et al., 2001a and 2001b

From the perspective of organisational innovation, GAM can be seen as particularly affecting two capabilities: information processing and bargaining power. First, GAM increases the information processing capacity of the organisation in response to increased information processing demands. Throughout this book, we will discuss how GAM programmes need to use information effectively. Second, GAM programmes affect the relative bargaining power of suppliers and customers and the way in which they depend on each other. As a supplier moves from country-country relationships with a customer, the dependence of each partner on the other will change. On one hand, the supplier becomes more dependent on the customer as all or most of its sales now go through one point of customer contact. This greater dependence is the biggest fear of suppliers considering the adoption of GAM. On the other hand, the customer typically cuts down the number of suppliers it uses, which in turn increases its dependence on the supplier. So the implementation of GAM creates a shift in bargaining power between supplier and customer. Which gets favoured depends on the situation and largely on which one is better coordinated on a global basis.

Global Account Management as an Organisational Response

GAM can be seen as an organisational response to a changed business environment. A study in 2006 even identified GAM as one of the 100 most significant management innovations in business history, and one of the 11 most interesting recent ones (from 1987 to 2000). The study cited Hewlett-Packard as being the first company to develop a formal GAM programme that was implemented by its first director of global accounts Alan Nonnenberg, although Citibank had a form of GAM programme as early as 1975.

companies such as Asea Brown Boveri, Adidas-Salomon, Hewlett-Packard, and the advertising agency Young & Rubicam.

GAM programmes are also very demanding of the implementing company’s capabilities for global integration and coordination. A company has to be truly global before a programme for global relations has any chance of success. This may sound trivial, but often companies have a higher perception of their own global capabilities than they actually have. A global account manager from Unisys, the U.S. computer company, remarked: “If a company says global account selling is easy, they probably haven’t actually been doing it.” Therefore, an assessment of the company’s own global situation and capabilities is a good place to start.


This dependence can be seen in the light of resource dependency theory. See R.M. Emerson, “Power Dependence Relations,” American Sociological Review, Vol. 27, pp. 31-40.


---

21 Yip and Madsen, 1996.
What Sort of GAM Programme?

The most effective form of GAM programme differs from company to company, and even from customer to customer within the same company. Many aspects need to be taken into account before designing and implementing such a programme. Key questions include: how should it be organised, how should we implement it, and for which customers? Even if it is possible to isolate the top 30 or so customers, it would be an enormous task to have a separate customised GAM programme for each customer. How do you find the balance between customising GAM programmes and minimising the resources to implement them? A typical error is to start a GAM programme just because the customer asks for it and doing the absolute minimum required by the customer. The other end of the spectrum is implementing a full-on GAM programme without any potential added value in the current customer circumstances. It is important to find the right balance of GAM implementation for the company’s situation.

Implementation of effective GAM programmes should achieve the right balance between local autonomy and global integration. Achieving both is difficult but necessary in global management. The balance between the two depends particularly on the industry of the supplier. For example, a computer supplier probably needs higher levels of global integration than local autonomy, while a supplier of banking services probably needs the balance the other way around, and a capital goods supplier may be somewhere in between. This difference is illustrated in Figure 3. The best performing bank (A1) in terms of GAM is at one position on the “GAM Performance Frontier”, while the best performing capital goods company (B1) and the best performing computer company (C1) are at different positions. Figure 3 also illustrates that other companies can be beneath the GAM performance frontier, that is, they under-perform in their GAM programmes in terms of both local autonomy and global integration. Such companies would be another bank (A2), another capital goods company (B2), and another computer company (C2).

From Global Account Management to Global Customer Management

When pursuing a global relationship with a customer, or potentially even a partnership, it is important to regard this customer as a whole company, not just as a purchasing department that happens to buy your products. Here, we can introduce the concept of Global Customer Management (GCM). It is not the same as GAM, nor is it something a company should do instead of GAM. GAM is the formal global account management programme, focused on coordinating the global business with the account. GCM is mainly a sales oriented programme where the most important focus is good coordination of the different sales contacts throughout the world. When, however, a supplier wants to leverage the global relationship with a customer into more than just a global sales contract, it is crucial to involve other areas of both companies in the global relationship. Furthermore, it is important that the GAM programme is not an operation that is separate from the rest of the company, but is integrated into the complete corporate organisation. This is where GCM comes in. GCM is the informal foundation for a total integrated approach to GAM. This means that the GAM programme will be fused with the company’s strategy and organisation.
With GCM, the entire corporation accommodates the GAM programme, which interacts with the rest of the organisation and is not a separate entity (see Figure 4). GAM will need to be a part of the total organisation aligned with all other company departments. Therefore, GCM will overlay the total company, and will incorporate the management processes needed to keep this integration effective. There should be a global representative for every global customer in the GAM programme, usually a global account manager (gam). The gam is responsible for the total global relationship with the account. He or she is organisationally situated within the GAM programme, but in the integrated approach he or she will need to have a thorough network throughout the whole company. By having the gam work beyond the GAM organisation and into the rest of the company, it is possible to lift the programme beyond a transactional strategy to true global relationship management. One of the best methods to give the gam outreach to the rest of the company is the installation of a multi-disciplinary global account team (gat). This team will be a combination of people working in the GAM organisation and employees outside the global sales organisation. The gat needs to have members from different departments (such as R&D, logistics and finance) to ensure a broader relationship network with the customer and within his or her own company. Therefore, the gat should not consist solely of sales personnel. In most cases the gam will be part of the gat, either as a member or as team leader. In the Dutch ingredients manufacturer DMV International, the composition of the gat is based on the customers’ requirements. Next to the global key account manager, the core team will have members from particularly relevant departments for this customer, whereas the other departments are represented via a surround team that is less formalised. For example, if the customer is very active in new product introductions, the DMV R&D and marketing departments will be well represented in the core team. If the customer particularly values projects for cost reduction then the focus will be on logistical and manufacturing representatives. As the core team members are encouraged to build relationships with their counterparts at the customer, this will give more connections and opportunities for cooperation with the customer.

**Siemens’ Global Customer Management Approach**

German electronics company Siemens’ Corporate Accounts programme works with the idea that the partnership, not the product, provides the differentiation. The president of its Information & Communications Corporate Accounts said: “Customers do not want a single point of contact, but rather a collaborative multifunctional team aligned to their organisation.” For this collaborative, cross-functional team, customer focus means having deep knowledge of the customer and its industry sector, focussing on the customer’s business processes, having knowledge of and selling all of Siemens’ assets. Next to the cross-functional team, sector boards provide a total portfolio to meet the customer needs. These boards provide the best in class in technology and innovation, integrated systems and services and a sector-focused business solution from all groups. With this structure it is the whole corporation, not just the global account manager that interacts with the global customer.
The Integrated Approach

The two most important aspects of the integrated approach are the alignment and integration of the GAM programme with the rest of the organisation, and the management of the global relationship, which should go beyond sales to become an integrated approach towards all aspects of the customer’s organisation. With this integrated approach, it will be easier to build a global relationship with the customer to deliver the added value enclosed in the opportunities of global business. Admittedly, taking the integrated approach for global customer management will be a more complex change than just adding a global coordination department to the organisation, but the rewards of truly global customer management will not be easy to reap without it. It is highly unlikely that a company with a very basic implementation of global account management will create a global partnership with its customers, as there are no methods to coordinate the relationship outside the sales department, and even if there are, it can be difficult to get company support behind certain global initiatives.

One of the important aspects of the integrated approach is the ‘diamond’ or ‘web’ structure in the relationships between the customer and the supplier. In a traditional ‘sales-purchasing’ situation, the structure of the relationship between the two companies resembles a ‘bow-tie’ with all the activity within one company leading to one person who has contact with the other company (account manager at the supplier, and purchasing officer at the customer). The integrated approach advocates a more integrated relationship structure that resembles a web or diamond shape in which employees from many different departments and functions have a direct relationship with each other and relevant people within the other company, as illustrated in Figure 5. This diamond structure enables the relationship to lift beyond being purely sales-related towards a partnership. The Dutch food ingredients company DMV International has actively worked on implementing such a diamond structure, encouraging employees from departments such as marketing and R&D to create active relationships with their counterparts, and other relevant people at the customer. This led to better understanding of the customers in the cross-functional account teams that were described earlier, and therefore account management that is customised to a greater degree.
The foundation of the integrated approach is the research and preparation that needs to be done before implementing the complete programme. This starts with determining the potential for starting a GCM programme, for which there can be many objectives. However, it is important that a company about to embark on launching GCM thoroughly determines the potential for its own situation. After the potential benefits and rewards have been determined, along with the necessary programme elements to tap into that potential, it is important to take care in exploring the company’s strategy and organisation with respect to the impending GCM programme.

The type of products or services that a supplier offers its global customers will determine the need for global customer management. Typically, a supplier of commodities will have less need for complex coordination systems than a supplier of value added or complex products. This and other industry specifics will determine the elements needed within the GCM programme which should be analysed before the organisation is set up. Furthermore, the alignment of the company’s current strategy and organisation must be analysed with respect to a potential GCM programme. A company must have a global mindset for it to work smoothly within the total organisation. This means the global strategy of the company must be compatible with a potential GCM programme. The current structure of the organisation is also an important item for analysis, as the company needs to have the capabilities to act as a global supplier before showcasing this towards customers.

After the current situation has been thoroughly analysed, and if necessary, changed, the organisation of the GCM programme itself can be determined. There is not one best way, but it is important that sufficient care is taken to choose the best possible structure for the company’s situation, as the organisation of the GCM is the bedrock on which sits the total GCM programme.

Key Choices

After the company situation has been thoroughly examined and a suitable GCM organisation has been designed for the company’s situation, it is important to take some care in providing the right content for the programme. The selection of the right global accounts is a crucial part of the content that will largely determine the success of
the programme, and can be a daunting activity. Most customers will want to take part, but it is important to actually include only those customers that can be of added value to the programme otherwise GCM will end up as a costly operation of price (and probably profit) reduction.

The global account manager (gam) is another important part of the content of a GCM programme. As the GCM programme should lift GAM beyond a general sales approach, the gam should be more than a salesperson. It takes specific skills and seniority to be a gam that can juggle all the complexity of the global situation while still being able to build on the extra potential. Therefore, selecting the right person for the position of gam can be the key to lifting the programme beyond global account coordination towards global customer management. Next to the global account manager, the global account team (gat) can have some influence in the affectivity with which the global customer is being managed. As the total relationship is a lot more complex than in a country-based relationship with a customer, the gam cannot handle the account completely on his or her own. Most companies have a formal or informal team that assists the gam in his or her activities. There are many different ways of setting up a global account team, but in the integrated approach it is important to include different capabilities in the team. This will help the programme to be more aligned with the rest of the organisation and will give it more recognition within the company. Chapter 7 discusses different forms of global account teams, like virtual networks, and cross-functional teams.

Tools

Once the GCM programme is designed and running, it will need to be supported by some tools to help it to run smoothly with the rest of the organisation, and to create the integrated approach. Customer information constitutes an important tool to reach this level of integration. Especially in a globally complex situation it can be hard to keep track of every piece of information involving the account. The position of the gam can sometimes resemble that of an account-specific “information manager.” Many systems are available to help manage this information, but most companies agree it is hard to actually use this tool to its full potential and in an effective way to help the integrated approach. Chapter 8 discusses the use of information management systems, and the use of customer relationship management (CRM) systems and other knowledge management tools in GCM situations.

As employee perception can have a major effect on the programme, it is important to use tools that help motivate staff and give them reason to work hard for the success of the GCM programme. Incentives and compensation can be an important tool in its development. Many companies have to resort to some sort of ‘double counting’ to keep things fair for all involved parties.

Process

Once the GCM programme has been implemented, the company will want it to run as smoothly as possible. Companies have to realise GCM is a process, not a project, and manage it accordingly. The programme needs to be developed over time because improvements can be made and situations can change. Many processes are needed to run the programme to its full potential, to help develop it and make it fit in with the rest of the organisation and the current times.
Supporting Research

Our work incorporates research on GCM by myself and various co-researchers from 1996 onwards, as well as learnings from interactions with executives in numerous executive programmes and conferences. In addition, this book builds on 20 years of research on the broader subjects of global strategy and organisation.

Global Customer-Supplier Management Study

The primary supporting research for this book is a study of global customer-supplier management that we conducted in 2003 and 2004, along with Professor Tomas Hult of Michigan State University. This involved first hand research at 31 MNCs, both global suppliers and global customers, listed in Table 1. This research involved personal interviews with over 60 senior executives, as well as a detailed multi-level survey completed by 27 executives. Information was obtained on the way global customer-supplier relationship programmes can be implemented, the barriers that can be encountered, and best practices that can lead to extra advantage. In this study we coined the term, “Global Relationship Management (GRM)” to refer to either global customer management or global supplier management.31

---


---

Table 1 – Global Customer Supplier Relationships Researched

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Global Suppliers Researched</th>
<th>Their Global Customers Researched</th>
<th>Global Customers Researched</th>
<th>Their Global Suppliers Researched</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xerox (U.S.A.)</td>
<td>ABB (Switzerland)</td>
<td>HSBC (UK)</td>
<td>IBM (U.S.A.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siemens (Germany)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NCR (U.S.A.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volkswagen (Germany)</td>
<td></td>
<td>British Airways (U.K.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSBC (U.K.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lowe (U.S.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV International (Netherlands)</td>
<td>Unilever (U.K./Neths.)</td>
<td>BG Group (U.K.)</td>
<td>Schlumberger (France)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Novartis (Switzerland)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bechtel (U.S.A.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numico (Neths.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilever (U.K./Neths.)</td>
<td>Wal-Mart (U.S.A.)</td>
<td>Siemens (Germany)</td>
<td>2 major U.S. suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carrefour (France)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kellogg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Dutch/Shell (Neths/U.K.)</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Royal Dutch/Shell (Neths./U.K.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Royal Dutch/Shell (Neths/U.K.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bosch (Germany)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daimler-Chrysler (Germany)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever (U.K./Neths.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wartsila (Finland)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the primary set up of the study, eight global companies were selected as prime case studies. In half of these companies, the global customer management programme was studied, and in the other half, the global supplier management programme. For each company, the researchers identified two to four global customers (for the global customer management companies) or global suppliers (for the global supplier management companies). The study of each prime company was split into two levels and two sides, as shown in Figure 8. The researchers interviewed executives at the corporate/programme level and the account level in order to understand the general, companywide nature of the GRM programme, and the way in which the management of specific customers and suppliers might have been customised. At the corporate level, researchers interviewed the executive responsible for the whole global programme. At the account level, executives on both the managing side (e.g., a supplier) and the managed side (e.g., a customer) were interviewed in order to understand both sides of the relationship. This also allowed evaluation of the performance of the GRM
Our sample came from four different sources: one mail survey and three convenience samples from senior-level executive education programmes conducted at Stanford and UCLA business schools, all during 1997. In all four samples, respondents were nearly all at the level of vice president or higher.

Statistical tests showed the four samples provided very similar results and could be pooled into one sample for analysis. The respondent multinational companies came from a very wide mix of industries and from 33 different countries spread across all regions of the world: North, South and Central America; Western and Eastern Europe; East Asia; Africa; and Oceania. On average, the companies had operations in four of these regions. U.S. companies made up 70 per cent (133 out of 191) of the sample. Median company revenues were $1,956 million (just below the U.S. Fortune 500 cutoff of 1997).

**GAM Forum**

Additional supporting research comes from six sessions of a global account management forum based in London that we conducted during 2001. During these sessions, senior executives from 13 multinational companies discussed issues about GAM. These companies included: BP Amoco, Castrol International, Herbert Smith (a leading law firm), IBM, Hill & Knowlton, Merrill Lynch, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Regus (a leading provider of office space around the world), Siebel Systems (a leading provider of CRM and other systems), Spirent (a leading telecommunications technology company), Standard Chartered Bank, Wunderman (a marketing services company in the WPP group), and Xerox.

**Case Studies**

We wrote detailed case studies about Hewlett-Packard’s global account management programme and how the Young & Rubicam advertising agency managed the Star Alliance (of airlines) as a global customer.33
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We conducted supplemental interviews with senior executives involved in global customer management at BT, Citigroup, Dun & Bradstreet, Ford Motor Company, and Reuters. I advised a research study conducted by McKinsey & Company on how multinational suppliers to retailers perceived the effects of the internationalisation of retailers on their business.
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