

World Database of Happiness



Correlational Findings on Happiness and WORK d: ATTITUDES

Subject Code: W5

© on data collection: [Ruut Veenhoven](#), [Erasmus University Rotterdam](#)

Classification of Findings

<i>Subject Code</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Nr of Studies on this Subject</i>
W5	WORK d: ATTITUDES	0
W5.1	Work-attitude career	1
W5.1.1	Earlier work-attitudes	1
W5.1.1.1	. earlier motivation to work	6
W5.1.1.2	. earlier satisfaction with work	8
W5.1.2	Change in work-attitudes	0
W5.1.2.1	. change in motivation to work	0
W5.1.2.2	. change in satisfaction with work	4
W5.1.4	Later work-attitudes	1
W5.1.4.1	. later motivation to work	4
W5.1.4.2	. later satisfaction with work	3
W5.2	Current concern about work	13
W5.3	Current motivation to work	5
W5.3.1	Reasons for working	7
W5.3.2	Preferred work-conditions	4
W5.4	Current satisfaction with work	12
W5.4.1	Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole	125
W5.4.2	Satisfaction with aspects of work	21
W5.4.2.1	. satisfaction with pay	6
W5.4.2.2	. satisfaction with promotion-chances	5
W5.4.2.3	. satisfaction with colleagues	20
W5.4.2.4	. satisfaction with work itself	11
W5.4.2.5	. satisfaction with work conditions	7
W5.4.2.7	. Satisfaction with company	1
W5.4.2.6	. satisfaction with management	15
W5.4.3	Satisfaction with specific jobs	0
W5.4.3.1	. satisfaction with home-making	12
W5.4.4	Satisfaction with own job-performance	1
W5.5	Worries about work	3
W5.6	Pride in work	42
W5.7	Perceived conflict between work and home life	9

Appendix 1	Happiness Items used
Appendix 2	Statistics used
Appendix 3	About the World Database of Happiness
Appendix 4	Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness
Appendix 5	Related Subjects

Cite as	Veenhoven, R.: Findings on WORK d: ATTITUDES World Database of Happiness, Correlational Findings Internet: worlddatabaseofhappiness.eur.nl Erasmus University Rotterdam, 2009, Netherlands
---------	--

Study

BACHM 1970

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; Kahn, R.L.; Mednick, M.; Davidson, T.N.
 Youth in Transition. Vol.II: The Impact of Family Background on Intelligence in 10th-Grade Boy.
 Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report: 242

Population: Public highschool boys followed 3 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-69

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% incomplete information in 1966

N: 1799

Measured Correlate

Class: Work-attitude career, Code W5.1

Measurement: 25-item test containing questions on income, status, working hours, require- ments, etc. of different occupations (Job Information Test).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a	r=-.01 ns	

Study**BRAY 1980**

Reported in: Bray, D.W.; Howard, A.
 Career Success and Life-Satisfactions of Middle Aged Managers.
 Bond, L.A.; Rosen, J.C.; Eds.: "Competence and Coping during Adulthood", University Press of New
 England, 1980, London, UK, 258 - 287 ISBN: 0 8745 11 593
 Page in Report: 278

Population: 40+ aged, male managers, Bell Telephone Company, 1978

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response: panel loss at T5: 37%

N: 422

Measured Correlate

Class: Earlier work-attitudes, Code W5.1.1

Measurement: Bell-System designed measure "Expectations Inventory".

This inventory reflects on favorability of expectations about one's career.

At T1 Ss were asked to indicate what they thought would be true for them five years hence. The questionnaire referred to salary, promotions, supervision, colleagues, type of work and working and living conditions. High score means favorable expectations.

Assessed at T1 (20 years before T5).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

M-PL/c/rc/v/5/a

r=+.27
p<.05

T1 career expectations by T5 happiness.

Study

MAXWE 1985

Reported in: Maxwell, N.L.
 The Retirement Experience: Psychological and Financial Linkages to the Labor Market.
 Social Science Quarterly, 1985, Vol. 66, 22 - 33. ISSN 0038 4941
 Page in Report: 31

Population: 45+ aged males, USA, followed 12 years 1966-1978

Sample: Probability stratified sample

Non-Response:

N: 1173

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement: Selfreport on single question
 0 Financial reasons
 1 Would work without financial necessity

Assessed at T1

Remarks: T1: 1966 when still working; T2: 1978 retired

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/f

Beta=-.05
ns

T1 work motivation by T2 happiness (post retirement)

Beta controlled for:

- Reasons for retirement
 - health
 - compulsory plan
 - unemployment
- T1 work situation
 - job satisfaction
 - occupational status (of longest job)
- T2 life situation
 - health problems
 - marital status
 - dependents in household
 - number of years retired
 - income (logarithm)
- Race (Black vs white)

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/f

Beta=-.04
ns

Beta when income increase/decrease is included
instead of absolute income

Same for blacks and whites.

Study**BACHM 1978/2**

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement:

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+

Preference for 'a job that pays off'

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	.22	.15	.11 (01)	.10 (01)	.09 (05)
T2	.15	.20	.12	.11 (01)	.11 (01)
T3	.10 (01)	.16	.17	.10 (01)	.08 (05)
T4	.11 (01)	.13	.11 (01)	.15	.07 (ns) All

tau's positive with (001) unless indicated otherwise
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions indicating preference for:
no one to boss me, don't have to work too hard, clean job,
not a lot of responsibility, lot of free time, high
prestige, not learning a lot of new things.
Scale: 1.00 = low to 4.00 = high importance for a job that
doesn't bug me. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=-

Preference for 'a job that doesn't bug me'

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
--	----	----	----	----	----

Happiness

T1	-.08	-.10	-.08	-.04 ns	-.05 ns
T2	-.08	-.15	-.13	-.13	-.09 ns
T3	-.08	-.12	-.18	-.14	-.12
T4	-.06 ns	-.10	-.15	-.18	-.15

All tau's significant, unless indicated otherwise
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement: Summary index of amount of job ambition, derived by subtracting the 'job that doesn't bug' score from the 'job that pays off' score and adding a constant (see above).
Scale: 1.00 = low to 7.00 = high. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+ p<.s

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	+.20	+.18	+.13	+.09 (05)	+.10 (01)
T2	+.17	+.25	+.18	+.17	+.14
T3	+.13	+.20	+.25	+.19	+.15
T4	+.12 (01)	+.17	+.20	+.26	+.17
All tau's significant with (001) unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study**BACHM 1978/2**

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement: The Duncan status rating of the job the respondent thinks he might do for a living. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	+.07	+.06	+.06	+.08(05)	+.01
T2	+.00	+.05	+.01	+.06	+.01
T3	-.03	+.03	+.01	+.04	-.02
T4	-.02	-.01	+.00	+.02	-.04
All tau's not significant, unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study**BELL 1975B**

Reported in: Bell, B.D.
 The Limitations of Crisis Theory as an Explanatory Mechanism in Social Gerontology.
 International Journal of Aging and Human Development, 1975, Vol. 6, 153 - 168 ISSN:0091 4150
 Page in Report: 162

Population: Males shortly before and after retirement, USA 1973-74

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 21%

N: 111

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier motivation to work, Code W5.1.1.1

Measurement: Single question:
 With regard to your occupation: how important is your work
 to you?
 1 not very important
 .
 5 the most important thing in my life

 Assessed at t1

Remarks: T1: pre-retirement, T2: Post-retirement. Difference 1 year

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/e](#)

[r=-.02](#)

[p<.375](#)

T1 work commitment by T2 happiness

Study**VEROF 1962**

Reported in: Veroff, J.,;Feld, S; Gurin, G.
 Dimensions of Subjective Adjustment.
 Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1962, Vol. 64, 192 - 205
 Page in Report: 196

Population: 21+ aged, married, with children, USA, 1957

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 797

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Direct question: never had problems vs mentions problems.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/a

tb=-.03 ns

Computed for males only.

Study

MAXWE 1985

Reported in: Maxwell, N.L.
 The Retirement Experience: Psychological and Financial Linkages to the Labor Market.
 Social Science Quarterly, 1985, Vol. 66, 22 - 33. ISSN 0038 4941
 Page in Report: 31

Population: 45+ aged males, USA, followed 12 years 1966-1978

Sample: Probability stratified sample

Non-Response:

N: 1173

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Selfreport on single question
 0 dissatisfied
 1 satisfied

Assessed at T1

Remarks: T1: 1966 when still working; T2: 1978 retired

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/f

Beta=-.06
p<.05

T1 worksatisfaction by T2 happiness (post retirement)

Beta controlled for:

- Reasons for retirement
 - health
 - compulsory plan
 - unemployment
- T1 work situation
 - work motivation
 - occupational status (of longest job)
- T2 life situation
 - health problems
 - marital status
 - dependents in household
 - number of years retired
 - income (logarithm)
- Race (Black vs white)

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/f

Beta=-.06

Beta when income increase/decrease is included in
stead of absolute income

Same for blacks and whites.

Study

SEARS 1977A

Reported in: Sears, P.S.; Barbee, A.H.
 Career and Life Satisfaction among Terman Gifted Women.
 Stanley, J.C.; George, W.C.; Eds.: "The Gifted and the Creative", J. Hopkins University Press, 1977,
 Baltimore, USA, 28 - 72
 Page in Report:

Population: "Gifted women" (IQ >135), followed 50 years, California, USA, 1921-72

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: Attrition in 1972: 25%

N: 671

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: a. Good feelings about vocation in 1950

b. Good feelings about work in 1972

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV=+</u> <u>p<.01</u>	a. Earlier feelings (1950) by present happiness (1972).
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²=+</u> <u>p<.05</u>	a. Earlier feelings (1950) by present happiness (1972).
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²= ns</u>	b. Present feelings (1972) by present happiness (1972).
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV= ns</u>	b. Present feelings (1972) by present happiness (1972).

Study**SEARS 1977A**

Reported in: Sears, P.S.; Barbee, A.H.
 Career and Life Satisfaction among Terman Gifted Women.
 Stanley, J.C.; George, W.C.; Eds.: "The Gifted and the Creative", J. Hopkins University Press, 1977,
 Baltimore, USA, 28 - 72
 Page in Report: 40-62/4

Population: "Gifted women" (IQ >135), followed 50 years, California, USA, 1921-72

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: Attrition in 1972: 25%

N: 671

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Direct questions:
 a. Satisfaction with present job.
 b. Presentwork choosen (or drifted
 in to).

Both assessed in 1940

Remarks: 1940 jobattitude by 1972 happiness.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²= ns</u>	a.
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV= ns</u>	a.
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²= ns</u>	b.
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV= ns</u>	b.

Study**SEARS 1977A**

Reported in: Sears, P.S.; Barbee, A.H.
 Career and Life Satisfaction among Terman Gifted Women.
 Stanley, J.C.; George, W.C.; Eds.: "The Gifted and the Creative", J. Hopkins University Press, 1977,
 Baltimore, USA, 28 - 72
 Page in Report: 40-62/4

Population: "Gifted women" (IQ >135), followed 50 years, California, USA, 1921-72

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: Attrition in 1972: 25%

N: 671

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Single direct questions:
 a. Satisfaction with present job.
 b. Presentwork choosen (or drifted in to).

Both assessed in 1940

Remarks: 1940 jobattitude by 1972 happiness.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²= ns</u>	a.
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV= ns</u>	a.
<u>M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>Chi²= ns</u>	b.
<u>C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>AoV= ns</u>	b.

Study

MOLLE 1988

Reported in: Moller, V.
 Quality of Life in Retirement: A Case Study of Zulu Return Migrants.
 Social Indicators Research, 1988, Vol. 20, 621 - 658. ISSN 0303 8300
 Page in Report: 630

Population: Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural KwaZulu, South Africa, 1983

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 253

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Looking back at the time when you were
 working in a job in town, how satisfied would you say you
 were in general in your usual job?
 0: unsatisfied
 1: satisfied

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.01</u>	All
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.01</u>	All β controlled for: 1 Satisfied with health 2 Voluntary retirement 3 More active person 4 No pension income 5 Has a confidant 6 Higher standard house 7 Community elder 8 Enjoys aspects of retirement 9 Retired suddenly 10 Is happy as grandparents were 11 Agrees:planning is key to success 12 Preference for entrepreneurship in retirement 13 Higher modernity score

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d

Beta=+.22

p<.01

65+ years old

β controlled for:

1 Satisfied with health

2 Grows cash crop

3 Voluntary retirement

4 Need to purchase maize

5 Owns cattle

6 Keeps chicken

7 Feels relatively young

8 Retired suddenly

9 Agrees:planning is key to success

Study

HEADE 1989

Reported in: Headey, B ;Veenhoven, R.
Does Happiness Induce a Rosy Outlook?
Veenhoven, R.;Ed.: "How Harmful is Happiness?", Universitaire Pers Rotterdam, 1989, Rotterdam,
Netherlands, 106 - 127. ISBN 90 237 228 09
Page in Report: 115

Population: 18-65 aged, general public, followed 6 years, Melbourne, Australia, 1981-87

Sample:

Non-Response: Attrition T1-T4: 31%

N: 649

Measured Correlate

Class: . change in satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.2.2

Measurement: Index of two identical questions asked at the beginning and the end of the interview (20 minute interval).
Rated on a 9-point scale ranging from terrible (1) to delightfull (9). Assessed at T1 (1981), T2 (1983), T3 (1985) and T4 (1987).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-DT/u/sqrt/v/10/a

$\beta L = -.07$ ns

Estimated same-time causal EFFECT ON HAPPINESS of job-satisfaction (bottum-up).

O-DT/u/sqrt/v/10/a

$\beta L = +.19$
 $p < .05$

Estimated same-time causal EFFECT OF HAPPINESS on job-satisfaction (top-down).

Effect assessed at T1, T2, T3 and T4.
Effects assumed to be identical at all times.
 βL is the path-coefficient in a four wave LISREL model. T1 sex, age, SES, extraversion and neuroticism are controlled.

Study

HEADE 1984

Reported in: Headey, B., Holmström, E.;Wearing, A.
 The Impact of Life Events and Changes in Domain Satisfactions on Well-Being.
 Social Indicators Research, 1984, Vol. 15, 203 - 227. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00668671
 Page in Report: 211

Population: 18-65 aged, general public, followed 3 years, Melbourne, Australia, 1979-80

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 184

Measured Correlate

Class: . change in satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.2.2

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 9- point scale (delighted ... terrible) Assessed at T2.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a

Beta=+.23
ns

Happiness T2 by satisfaction T2, controlling for happiness T1 and satisfaction T1.

ß can be interpreted as regression between CHANGE OF HAPPINESS and CHANGE OF SATISFACTION in time.

Study

BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report: 206

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:

Non-Response: $\pm 20\%$, Attrition $\pm 30\%$

N: 2787

Measured Correlate

Class: . change in satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.2.2

Measurement: 3-item index of direct questions:

1. How satisfied are you with your earning.
2. How satisfied are you with the work you do.
3. Taking all things together, how do you feel about your job or business as a whole.

Assessed at
T1: January 1963
T3: Oktober 1963

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a

DMr= ns

CHANGE in work satisfaction is hardly related to CHANGE in positive and negative affect between T1 and T3. (Relation to change in summed ABS not computed).

Change in affect expressed in change (•) in average ridits (RT) which are comparable to means. Ridit analysis compares distribution in category with distribution in total sample. •RT above .50 means relative increase in happiness. •RT below .50 relative decrease.

Study**HEADE 1982/2**

Reported in: Headey, B.; Holström, E.; Wearing, A.J.
 Australians' Priorities Satisfactions and Well-being: Methodological Issues.
 Monograph in Public Policy Studies, nr.8, University of Melbourne, 1982, Australia
 Page in Report: 53

Population: Adults, general public, Melbourne, Australia, followed 25 months 1978-81

Sample: Probability sample (unspecified)

Non-Response: 0

N: 184

Measured Correlate

Class: . change in satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.2.2

Measurement: Index of 5 single questions on satisfaction with:
 a: your job
 b: the amount of say you have in how your job should be done
 c: where you work: the surroundings and conditions
 d: the hours you work and the amount of work you are asked to do
 e: what you have (equipment, information, supervision, etc.) for doing your job
 All scored on a 1-9 rating scale, summation by average.

Remarks: Both Happiness (ABS) and satisfaction with work were assessed at T1 and T2 (25 month interval)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a

Beta=+.23
ns

T1-T2 CHANGE in happiness by T1-T2 CHANGE in satisfaction with work

Study**WRIGH 1997**

Reported in: Wright, T. A.; Bonett, D.G.
The Role of Pleasantness and Activation-Based Well-Being in Performance Prediction.
Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 1997, Vol. 2, 212 - 219. ISSN 1076 8998
Page in Report: 216

Population: Criminal justice staff personnel; USA; followed 2 years

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 18

N: 76

Measured Correlate

Class: Later work-attitudes, Code W5.1.4

Measurement: Maslach's nine-item Emotional Exhaustion scale measures how often (from never to everyday) one feels emotionally overextended and exhausted by one's work by one's work. (i.e I feel emotionally drained from my work, I feel fatigued when I get up in the morning and have to face another day on my job, I feel I'm working too hard on my job).
Assessed at T3.

Measured Values: N= 49, M= 3,0 SD = 1,3

Error Estimates: Cronbach alpha = .90

Remarks: Also used as squared score to indicate curvilinearity of relationship

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=-.61</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T1 happiness by T3 Activation well-being(2 years lag)
<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=-.71</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T2 happiness by T3 activation well-being (1 year lag)
<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=-.62</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T1+T2 happiness by T3 activation well-being
<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=+.65</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T1 happiness by T3 squared activation well-being (2 years lag)

<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=+.68</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T2 happiness by T3 squared activation well-being (1 year lag)
<u>A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e</u>	<u>r=+.64</u> <u>p<.0001</u>	T1 + T2 happiness by T3 squared activation well-being

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . later motivation to work, Code W5.1.4.1

Measurement:

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+

Preference for 'a job that pays off'

Happiness

T1 .22 .15 .11 (01) .10 (01) .09 (05)

T2 .15 .20 .12 .11 (01) .11 (01)

T3 .10 (01) .16 .17 .10 (01) .08 (05)

T4 .11 (01) .13 .11 (01) .15 .07 (ns) All

tau's positive with (001) unless indicated
otherwise

T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . later motivation to work, Code W5.1.4.1

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions indicating preference for:
no one to boss me, don't have to work too hard, clean job,
not a lot of responsibility, lot of free time, high
prestige, not learning a lot of new things.
Scale: 1.00 = low to 4.00 = high importance for a job that
doesn't bug me. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=-

Preference for 'a job that doesn't bug me'

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	-.08	-.10	-.08	-.04 ns	-.05 ns
T2	-.08	-.15	-.13	-.13	-.09 ns
T3	-.08	-.12	-.18	-.14	-.12
T4	-.06 ns	-.10	-.15	-.18	-.15
All tau's significant, unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . later motivation to work, Code W5.1.4.1

Measurement: Summary index of amount of job ambition, derived by subtracting the 'job that doesn't bug' score from the 'job that pays off' score and adding a constant (see above).
Scale: 1.00 = low to 7.00 = high. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+ p<.s

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	+.20	+.18	+.13	+.09 (05)	+.10 (01)
T2	+.17	+.25	+.18	+.17	+.14
T3	+.13	+.20	+.25	+.19	+.15
T4	+.12 (01)	+.17	+.20	+.26	+.17
All tau's significant with (001) unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study

BACHM 1978/2

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; O'Malley, P.M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 2213

Measured Correlate

Class: . later motivation to work, Code W5.1.4.1

Measurement: The Duncan status rating of the job the respondent thinks he might do for a living. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
happiness					
T1	+.07	+.06	+.06	+.08(05)	+.01
T2	+.00	+.05	+.01	+.06	+.01
T3	-.03	+.03	+.01	+.04	-.02
T4	-.02	-.01	+.00	+.02	-.04
All tau's not significant, unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study

BACHM 1977

Reported in: Bachman, J. G.; O'Malley, P. M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 1628

Measured Correlate

Class: . later satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.4.2

Measurement: Job satisfaction at T5: 'All things considered, how satisfied are you with your work experience on your present (or most recent) job?' 1 = not at all; 2 = not very; 3 = somewhat; 4 = quite; 5 = very satisfied

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+ p<.5

T1 happiness: tau = +.10 (01)
T2 happiness: tau = +.14 (001)
T3 happiness: tau = +.14 (001)
T4 happiness: tau = +.17 (001)
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: 6 item index of questions about work in general. Typical items:
-I would hate to be on the dole
-The most important things that happen to me involve work
Answers scored on a seven point scale, ranging from
"strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab</u>	<u>r=+.13</u> <u>p<.01</u>	controlled for age rpc= +.13 controlled for skill rpc= +.13
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.08 ns</u>	controlled for age rpc=+.08 controlled for skill rpc=+.08

Study

WESSM 1956

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
Page in Report: 213

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2377

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Open-ended question on most important worry:
0 Other worries
1 Worry mentioned

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c

G=+.05 ns

Computed for those having worries only (N = 2040)

Study

BRADB 1965/1

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.; Caplovitz D.
 Reports on Happiness. A Pilot Study of Behavior Related to Mental Health.
 Aldine Publishing Company, 1965, Chicago, USA
 Page in Report: 54

Population: Adult, general public, 4 towns, varying in economic prosperity, Illinois, USA, 1962

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2006

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Closed question: not at all / sometimes / often, during last week

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa](#)

G= -

High S.E.S. : G' = -.08 (ns)

Low S.E.S. : G' = -.11 (.05)

Study

AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single closed question: 'I am very much involved in my work'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a

r=+.30

p<.001

Study

AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single closed question. The respondents were asked whether they agreed with the following statement: 'The major satisfaction in my life comes from my job'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a	r=+.14 p<.001	

Study

AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single closed question: 'I do what my job description requires: nobody has the right to expect more'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a	r=+.05 ns	

Study**ZAUTR 1977**

Reported in: Zautra, A.;Beier, E.;Cappel, L.
 The Dimension of Life Quality in a Community.
 American Journal of Community Psychology, 1977, Vol. 5, 85 - 97. ISSN 0091 0562 DOI:10.1007/
 BF00884787
 Page in Report: 88-92

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Salt Lake County, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 15%

N: 454

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Factor loading on:
 -Work responsibilities:
 respondent score depended on weather
 or not he mentioned "work" on the
 open-ended question "What are some of the
 responsibilities you have at home, at work, and elsewhere".
 -Opportunity difficulties:
 respondent score depended on weather
 or not he mentioned "opportunities" on
 the open-ended question "What are some of the things you
 are unhappy about
 these days?".
 -Leisure time:
 measured by the subjective estimates
 of the percentage of time the respon-
 dent felt he could spent as he wished.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sqrt(v/7/a</u>	<u>r= ns</u>	

Study

CHASS 1980

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: The relative strength of an individual's identification with and involvement in a particular organization by asking the respondent's agreement or disagreement of fifteen items, rated 0 to 7-point scale.

Measured Values: Range ? M=76.66 SD=16,82

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u>	
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>rpc=+.10</u>	Controlled for role stress
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>rpc=+.09</u>	Controlled for total job satisfaction

Study

ZUMA 1989

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Question: 'How important is your job for you?'
Rated on a 7 point scale, ranging from 1 to 7.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba

SNR=

eta

G

r

β

1978

1980

1984

+ .16

+ .21

+ .14

+ .18

1988

+ .21

+ .23

+ .20

+ .22

β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class
and marital status.

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b

SNR=

eta

G

r

β

1978

1980

1984

- .05

+ .02

- .01

+ .07

1988

+ .11

+ .15

+ .07

+ .15

β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class
and marital status.

Study**HEDLE 1981**

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-400-40

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single question
 I often express excitement to my family about a particularly difficult work problem I have solved....
 1: disagree
 2: disagree slightly
 3: agree slightly
 4: agree

Measured Values: 1: 33%, 2: 12%, 3: 26%, 4: 29%,

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.05</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=-.09</u>	

Study**HEDLE 1981**

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399,400,

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single question:
 The kinds of things that interest me at work are different
 from my interests at home.....
 1: disagree
 2: disagree slightly
 3: agree slightly
 4: agree

Measured Values: 1: 8%, 2: 8%, 3: 14%, 4: 70%,

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.10</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=+.07</u>	

Study**HEDLE 1981**

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-402

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: Single question:
 I like to talk to my fellow workers about my activities
 away from work...
 1: disagree
 2: disagree slightly
 3: agree slightly
 4: agree

Measured Values: 1: 11%, 2: 8%, 3: 24%, 4: 57%,

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=-.04</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=-.04</u>	

Study

KOLOT 2002

Reported in: Kolotlin, R; Crosby, R.
 Psychometric Evaluation of the Impact of Weight on Quality of Life-Lite Questionnaire (IWQOL-Lite) in a Community Sample.
 Quality of Life Research, 2002, Vol. 11, 157 - 171. ISSN 0962 9343. DOI:10.1023/A:1015081805439
 Page in Report: 166

Population: 18+aged, overweight, USA, 199?.

Sample: Non-probability accidental sample

Non-Response: 0

N: 494

Measured Correlate

Class: Current concern about work, Code W5.2

Measurement: IWQOL-Lite (Kolotlin & Crosby, 2001)
 Summary of four items on work, rated with respect to the last week on a five point scale from 'always true' to 'never true'. Higher scores indicate poorer quality of life. A typical item is: 'Because of my weight I have trouble getting things accomplished or meeting my responsibilities'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-QLS/u/sq/v/5/a](#)

[r=+.47](#)

[p<.001](#)

Study**BACHM 1970**

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; Kahn, R.L.; Mednick, M.; Davidson, T.N.
 Youth in Transition. Vol.II: The Impact of Family Background on Intelligence in 10th-Grade Boy.
 Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report: 243

Population: Public highschool boys followed 3 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-69

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% incomplete information in 1966

N: 1799

Measured Correlate

Class: Current motivation to work, Code W5.3

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions indicating preference for: no one to boss me, don't have to work too hard, clean job, not a lot of responsibility, lot of free time, high prestige, and not learning a lot of new things.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=-.05 ns</u>	

Study**BACHM 1970**

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; Kahn, R.L.; Mednick, M.; Davidson, T.N.
 Youth in Transition. Vol.II: The Impact of Family Background on Intelligence in 10th-Grade Boy.
 Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report: 243

Population: Public highschool boys followed 3 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-69

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% incomplete information in 1966

N: 1799

Measured Correlate

Class: Current motivation to work, Code W5.3

Measurement: 6-item index of closed questions indicating preference for: steady job, learning new things, good chances for getting ahead, good pay, using one's skills, nice friendly people.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.21 p<.001</u>	

Study**BACHM 1970**

Reported in: Bachman, J.G.; Kahn, R.L.; Mednick, M.; Davidson, T.N.
 Youth in Transition. Vol.II: The Impact of Family Background on Intelligence in 10th-Grade Boy.
 Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report: 242

Population: Public highschool boys followed 3 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-69

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% incomplete information in 1966

N: 1799

Measured Correlate

Class: Current motivation to work, Code W5.3

Measurement: 13-item index of closed questions indicating preference for: "a job that doesn't bug me" and preference for "a job that pays off".

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

r=+.16 p<.001

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: 6 item index of questions about job motivation. Typical items:
-I take pride in doing my job as well as I can
-I try to think of ways of doing my job effectively
Answers scored on a seven point scale, ranging from
"strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

$r=+.17$

controlled for age $rpc=+.17$

$p<.01$

controlled for skill $rpc=+.18$

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: 6 item index of questions about job motivation. Typical items:
-I take pride in doing my job as well as I can
-I try to think of ways of doing my job effectively
Answers scored on a seven point scale, ranging from
"strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.13

controlled for age rpc=+.14

p<.05

controlled for skill rpc=+.15

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: 6 item index of questions about need strength. Typical important items when you think about jobs:
-Achieving something that you personally value
-Extending your range of abilities Answers scored a seven point scale ranging from "not at all important"(1) to "extremely important"(7)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab

r=+.11

controlled for age rpc=+.11

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.12

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: 6 item index of questions about need strength. Typical important items when you think about jobs:
-Achieving something that you personally value
-Extending your range of abilities Answers scored a seven point scale ranging from "not at all important"(1) to "extremely important"(7)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.03 ns

controlled for age rpc=+.03
controlled for skill rpc=+.05

Study

HAAVI 1971

Reported in: Haavio-Mannila, E.
Satisfaction with Family, Work, Leisure and Life among Men and Women.
Human Relations, 1971, Vol. 24, 585 - 601. ISSN 0018 7267
Page in Report: 599

Population: 15-64 aged, general public, Finland, 1966

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 948

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: 0 Reason not mentioned 1 Reason mentioned.

- a. utilize the education attained
- b. satisfaction with work
- c. not enough to do at home
- d. getting independence
- e. lacking interest in housework
- f. meeting people
- g. retaining connection with the worklife
- h. raising the standard of living
- i. earning necessary income for family
- j. economic reasons

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=-.05 ns</u>	a.
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.11 ns</u>	b.
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.08 ns</u>	c.
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.10 ns</u>	d.
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.14 ns</u>	e.
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.07 ns</u>	f.

<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=+.04 ns</u>	g .
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=-.11 ns</u>	h .
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=-.01 ns</u>	i .
<u>O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b</u>	<u>r=-.28</u> <u>p<.05</u>	j .

Study

BACHM 1977

Reported in: Bachman, J. G.; O'Malley, P. M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 1628

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: Summary index of amount of job ambition, derived by subtracting the 'job that doesn't bug' score from the 'job that pays off' score and adding a constant (see above).
Scale: 1.00 = low to 7.00 = high. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+ p<.5

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	+.20	+.18	+.13	+.09 (05)	+.10 (01)
T2	+.17	+.25	+.18	+.17	+.14
T3	+.13	+.20	+.25	+.19	+.15
T4	+.12 (01)	+.17	+.20	+.26	+.17
All tau's significant with (001) unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study**BACHM 1977**

Reported in: Bachman, J. G.; O'Malley, P. M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 1628

Measured Correlate

Class: Reasons for working, Code W5.3.1

Measurement: The Duncan status rating of the job the respondent thinks he might do for a living. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=

Ambitious job attitude

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	+.07	+.06	+.06	+.08(05)	+.01
T2	+.00	+.05	+.01	+.06	+.01
T3	-.03	+.03	+.01	+.04	-.02
T4	-.02	-.01	+.00	+.02	-.04
All tau's not significant, unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study

BACHM 1977

Reported in: Bachman, J. G.; O'Malley, P. M.; Johnston, J.
Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 1628

Measured Correlate

Class: Preferred work-conditions, Code W5.3.2

Measurement: 6-item index of closed questions indicating preference for: steady job, learning new things, good chances for getting ahead, good pay, using one's skills, nice friendly colleagues.
Scale: 1.00 = low to 4.00 = high. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=+

Preference for 'a job that pays off'

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
Happiness					
T1	.22	.15	.11 (01)	.10 (01)	.09 (05)
T2	.15	.20	.12	.11 (01)	.11 (01)
T3	.10 (01)	.16	.17	.10 (01)	.08 (05)
T4	.11 (01)	.13	.11 (01)	.15	.07 (ns) All
tau's positive with (001) unless indicated otherwise					
T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974					

Study**BACHM 1977**

Reported in: Bachman, J. G.; O'Malley, P. M.; Johnston, J.
 Youth in Transition, Vol. VI: Adolescence to Adulthood, Change and Stability in the Lives of Young Men.
 Institute for Social Research, 1970, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report:

Population: Public highschool boys followed 8 years from grade 10, USA, 1966-74

Sample:

Non-Response: 2.8% at T1, 17.2% at T2, 21.0% at T3, 28.9% at T4, 28.5% at T5

N: 1628

Measured Correlate

Class: Preferred work-conditions, Code W5.3.2

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions indicating preference for:
 no one to boss me, don't have to work too hard, clean job,
 not a lot of responsibility, lot of free time, high
 prestige, not learning a lot of new things.
 Scale: 1.00 = low to 4.00 = high importance for a job that
 doesn't bug me. Assessed at T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

tau=-

Preference for 'a job that doesn't bug me'

	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
--	----	----	----	----	----

Happiness

T1	-.08	-.10	-.08	-.04 ns	-.05 ns
T2	-.08	-.15	-.13	-.13	-.09 ns
T3	-.08	-.12	-.18	-.14	-.12
T4	-.06 ns	-.10	-.15	-.18	-.15

All tau's significant, unless indicated otherwise
 T1:1966, T2:1968, T3:1969, T4:1970, T5:1974

Study

IRIS 1972

Reported in: Iris, B.;Barrett, G.V.
Some Relations between Job and Life Satisfaction and Job Importance.
Journal of Applied Psychology, 1972, Vol. 56, 301 - 304
Page in Report: 302

Population: Male supervisors, chemical plant, USA, 197?

Sample: Probability cluster sample

Non-Response:

N: 69

Measured Correlate

Class: Preferred work-conditions, Code W5.3.2

Measurement: Closed questions rated on 7-point scales ranging from 'unimportant' to 'important', using items from the Job Descriptive Index (see Smith et al., 1969).
a. Actual work done.
b. Pay.
c. Promotional opportunities.
d. Supervision.
e. Co-workers.

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b

r=

a. Problem department : r = -.52 (01)
Non-problem department: r = +.59 (01)

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b

r=

b. Problem department : r = -.11 (ns)
Non-problem department: r = +.17 (ns)

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b

r=

c. Problem department : r = -.53 (01)
Non-problem department: r = +.18 (ns)

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/br=

d. Problem department : $r = -.39$ (.05)
Non-problem department: $r = +.22$ (ns)

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/br=

e. Problem department : $r = -.24$ (ns)
Non-problem department: $r = +.03$ (ns)

Ss in problem department characterized by: lower age, lower education, lower income, shorter tenure as well as less satisfaction with life, leisure and job.

Study**ZUMA 1989**

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: Preferred work-conditions, Code W5.3.2

Measurement: There are several aspects upon which you can judge your job. Please tell me which of these aspects are for you:

- 1 unimportant
- 2 not very important
- 3 important
- 4 very important

Aspects mentioned:

- possibility of earning money;
- labourconditions;
- variety in labour;
- relation with colleagues;
- possibilities of career;
- jobsecurity;
- labour-time-arrangement;
- jobstatus;
- possibilities of organising one's labour independently

Coding reversed by us. Signs of correlations reversed accordingly.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/baSNR=

eta

G

r

 β

Possibilities of earning money:

1978

1980	-.07	-.07	-.04	+.07
1984	+.03	-.01	+.01	+.04
1988	+.10	+.10	+.09	+.11

Labourconditions:

1978

1980	-.03	-.03	-.03	+.04
1984	+.03	-.01	+.02	+.07
1988	-.12	-.16	-.09	+.14

Variety in labour:

1978

1980	-.02	+.01	-.00	+.03
1984	-.08	-.12	-.07	+.08
1988	-.05	-.07	-.05	+.07

Relation with colleagues:

1978

1980	-.14	-.19	-.12	+.14
1984	-.09	-.08	-.04	+.07
1988	-.10	-.11	-.08	+.11

Possibilities of career:

1978

1980	+.05	+.01	+.02	+.05
1984	-.05	-.02	-.00	+.07
1988	+.05	+.03	+.03	+.06

Jobsecurity:

1978

1980	-.05	-.04	-.04	+.04
1984	+.03	+.00	+.03	+.05
1988	-.03	-.04	-.02	+.04

Labour-time-arrangement:

1978

1980	-.06	-.08	-.05	+.07
1984	-.04	-.05	-.02	+.04
1988	+.11	+.07	+.05	+.11

Jobstatus:

1978

1980	-.14	-.14	-.11	+.13
1984	-.08	-.06	-.05	+.07
1988	+.05	+.00	+.03	+.07

Possibilities of organising one's labour independently:

1978

1980	-.06	-.03	-.02	+.07
1984	-.06	-.08	-.04	+.08
1988	-.09	-.08	-.07	+.08

β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/bSNR=

eta

G

r

ß

Possibilities of earning money:

1978

1980	-.12	-.21	-.10	+.11
1984	+.07	+.01	+.02	+.06
1988	+.08	+.09	+.05	+.09

Labourconditions:

1978

1980	-.06	-.05	-.02	+.05
1984	-.06	-.07	-.03	+.06
1988	-.06	-.12	-.05	+.08

Variety in labour:

1978

1980	+.02	-.03	+.00	+.04
1984	-.09	-.16	-.08	+.09
1988	-.06	-.09	-.03	+.09

Relation with colleagues:

1978

1980	-.08	-.12	-.05	+.07
1984	-.09	-.10	-.05	+.08
1988	-.04	-.08	-.04	+.05

Possibilities of career:

1978

1980	-.05	-.05	-.03	+.04
1984	-.06	-.06	-.03	+.10
1988	-.02	-.04	-.02	+.03

Jobsecurity:

1978

1980	-.06	-.14	-.06	+.07
1984	+.09	+.06	+.06	+.08
1988	-.05	-.07	-.04	+.06

Labour-time-arrangement:

1978

1980	-.07	-.07	-.03	+.06
1984	-.07	-.04	-.01	+.07
1988	-.11	-.09	-.04	+.11

Jobstatus:

1978

1980	-.12	-.15	-.08	+.10
1984	+.03	+.02	+.01	+.07
1988	-.05	-.09	-.04	+.05

Possibilities of organising one's labour independently:

1978

1980	-.07	-.12	-.06	+.06
1984	-.09	-.15	-.07	+.10
1988	-.05	-.08	-.03	+.04

ß's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

Study**ABRAM 1972**

Reported in: Abrams, M.; Hall, J.
 The Condition of the British People: Report on a Pilot Survey using Self-Rating Scales.
 Unpublished paper, Social Science Research Council, 1972, London, UK.
 Page in Report: 21

Population: 15+ aged, general public, Britain, 1971

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 213

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Closed question rated on an 11-point
 self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril
 (1965)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/b	r=+.24	

Study**NEAR 1978**

Reported in: Near, J.P.,;Rice, R.W.; Hunt, R.G.
 Work and Extra-Work Correlates of Life and Job Satisfaction.
 Academy of Management Journal, 1978, Vol. 21, 248 - 264
 Page in Report: 251

Population: Adult, general public, Western New York State, USA, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 384

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: 'Now I'd like you to tell me how you feel about (your present job/ your most recent job/ the work you do as a housewife). On the whole, how satisfied (are/were) you with the work you (do/did on your last job)? would you say you (are/were) very satisfied/ moderately satisfied/ a little dissatisfied/ very dissatisfied'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/5/d</u>	<u>r=+30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study

RICE 1979

Reported in: Rice, R.W.; Near, J.P.; Hunt, R.G.
 Unique Variance in Job and Life Satisfaction associated with Work-Related and Extra-Workplace Variables.
 Human Relations, 1979, Vol. 32, 605 - 623. ISSN 0018 7267
 Page in Report: 316

Population: Adult, general public, Western New York State, USA, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1041

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Indicate your job satisfaction, is it
 very satisfied, moderately satisfied, a little dissatisfied
 or very dissatisfied?

Housewives were to indicate their satisfaction with their
 jobs as housewives. Currently unemployed respondents were
 asked to indicate theirsatisfaction ith their most recent
 jobs.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/5/a

R²= .01
p< .01

When entered after "satisfaction other than life
 satisfaction", "demographic variables" and
 "geographic variables" the increment in R²= .03

Study	GEHMA 1987
<i>Reported in:</i>	Gehmacher, E. Gebaude Gemeinschaft. (Builted Community). SWS Rundschau, 1987, Vol. 27, 147 - 156. http://www.sws-rundschau.at/ Page in Report: 150
<i>Population:</i>	Residents housing estates, Vienna, Austria, 1987
<i>Sample:</i>	
<i>Non-Response:</i>	?
<i>N:</i>	218

Measured Correlate

<i>Class:</i>	Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4
<i>Measurement:</i>	Single direct question rated on a 1-5 step scale.
<i>Remarks:</i>	β controled for satisfaction with housing, socail contacts and leisure.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-FH/cm/sq/v/5/a	r=+.35	
O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/b	r=+.57	
M-FH/cm/sq/v/5/a	Beta=+.20 p<.01	- high β =+.26 - low β =+.13
O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/b	Beta=+.37 p<.01	Contact-facilities in housing estate: - high β =+.34 - low β =+.42

Study

MIELK 1997

Reported in: Mielke, C.
 Wohlbefinden und Fitness. Eine empirische Analyse zur Lebens-, Gesundheits- und Freizeit Zufriedenheit älterer Menschen. (Welfare and Fitness of Elderly).
 Dissertation, University of Cologne, Medical Faculty, 1997, Germany
 Page in Report: 34

Population: 16+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, Germany, 1990-91

Sample: Probability systematic sample

Non-Response: onknown

N: 6000

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: single question:
 How satisfied you are with your job?
 0 totally dissatisfied
 .
 .
 .
 .
 10 totally satisfied

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLP/c/sq/l/11/a](#)

[D%=+35](#)

%satisfied with work:
 satisfied with life:69
 dissatisfied with life:34

Study

HEADE 1981

Reported in: Headey, B.
 The Quality of Life in Australia
 Social Indicators Research, 1981, Vol. 9, 155 - 18. ISSN p 0303 8300;ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00286195
 Page in Report: 166

Population: Adults, general public, Australia 1978

Sample: Probability sample (unspecified)

Non-Response: not reported

N: 679

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Index of 5 single questions on satisfaction with:
 a: your job
 b: the amount of say you have in how your job should be done
 c: where you work: the surroundings and conditions
 d: the hours you work and the amount of work you are asked to do
 e: what you have (equipment, information, supervision, etc.) for doing your job
 All scored on a 1-9 rating scale, summation by average.

Measured Values: M = 6.6 SD = 1.3

Remarks: All items scored on the same rating scale as the question on happiness.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</u>	<u>r=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study**LANCE 1989**

Reported in: Lance C.E.;Lautenschlager J.; Sloan C.E.; Varca P.E.
 A Comparison Between Bottom-Up, Top-Down, and Bidirectional Models of Relationships Between
 Global and Life Facet Satisfaction.
 Journal of Personality,1989 , Vol. 57, 601 - 624. ISSN 0022 3506
 Page in Report: 608, 610

Population: Married academics, university of Georgia, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability accidental sample

Non-Response: 62%

N: 134

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Selfreport on 20-item short form of the Minnesota
 Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ). Total score.

Measured Values: Mean 84,14 SD 12,48

Error Estimates: Coefficient alfa=,88

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a	r=+.58 p<.01	
O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a	B=+.26	Bottom up effect

Set Image size:




Figure 1
Bottom-Up Model

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (*ds*) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$.

O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a

B=+.35

Top down effect

B's estimated in a Lisrel model together with
 -age
 -satisfaction with social activities
 -marital satisfaction

Set Image size:




Figure 2
 Top-Down Model

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (*ds*) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$.

[O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a](#)

Set Image size:




Figure 3
Bidirectional Model

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (*ds*) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$.

Study REITZ 2004

Reported in: Reitz, A.;Tobe, V.; Knapp, P.A; Schurch, B.
Impact of Spinal Cord Injury on Sexual Health and Quality of Life.
International Journal of Impotence Research, 2004, Vol. 16, 167 - 174. ISSN 0955 9930
Page in Report: 170

Population: Spinal cord injured, Switzerland, 1999.

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 0

N: 63

Measured Correlate


Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Single question 'How satisfied are you currently with your job situation?'

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks
O-SQL/c/sq/v/5/b	AoV= p<.NS	

Set Image size:



Study

BERNO 1985

Reported in: Bernow, R
 Livskvaliteten i Sverige. (Lifequality in Sweden)
 Stockholm Läns Landstring, 1985, Stockholm, Sweden.
 Page in Report: 39

Population: 18-70 aged, general public, Sweden., 1982

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1558

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
-------------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.50</u>	
--------------------------	---------------	--

Study

BHARA 1977

Reported in: Bharadwaj, L.; Wilkening, E.A.

The Prediction of Perceived Well-Being.

Social Indicators Research, 1977, Vol. 4, 421 - 439 ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00353143

Page in Report: 430

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Wisconsin, USA, 197?*Sample:**Non-Response:* 12%*N:* 1423

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* direct closed question rated on a 7-point scale, ranging from "completely satisfied" to "completely dissatisfied".

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/u/sqrt/v/7/ar=+.45O-SLW/u/sqrt/v/7/aBeta=+.15All β 's controlled for satisfaction with family life, standard of living, health, spare time activities, income, community, housing, food, spiritual matters, education, organizational involvement, natural environment, national government.

AGE

INCOME

under 30 β :+.14 under \$4000 β :+.1630-49 β :+.12 \$4000- 7999 β :+.1350-64 β :+.21 \$8000-15999 β :+.17over 65 β :+.11 over \$16000 β :+.09

GENDER

males β :+.13females β :+.17

Study

HALL 1976

Reported in: Hall, J.
 Subjective Measures of Quality of Life in Britain, 1971 to 1975: Some Developments and Trends.
 Social Trends, 1976, Vol. 7, 47 - 60
 Page in Report: 50

Population: Adults, general public, Great Britain, 1971-75

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 593

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Direct closed question rated on a 11-point scale, answered by all working respondents including part-time.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/a	r=+.34	1971
O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/a	r=+.46	1973
O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/a	r=+.42	1975

Study

CAMPB 1981

Reported in: Campbell, A.
The Sense of Well-Being in America.
McGraw-Hill, 1981, New York, USA ISBN 0 07 0096 83X
Page in Report: 139

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized USA, 1978

Sample:

Non-Response: about 20 %

N: 3692

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single closed question on amount of satisfaction with work, rated on a 7-point scale ranging from completely dissatisfied to completely satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.37</u>	

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 15 item index of closed questions on job satisfaction. Typical aspects:

- The amount of responsibility you are given.
- The way your firm is managed

Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied" (1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

[r=+.49](#)

controlled for age rpc=+.49

[p<.01](#)

controlled for skill rpc=+.49

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 15 item index of closed questions on job satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The amount of responsibility you are given.
- The way your firm is managed
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied" (1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.45

controlled for age rpc=+.45

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.46

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
"Taking everything into consideration
how do you feel about your job as a whole?"
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely
satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

[r=+.39](#)

controlled for age rpc=+.39

[p<.01](#)

controlled for skill rpc=+.39

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
"Taking everything into consideration
how do you feel about your job as a whole?"
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely
satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.47

controlled for age rpc=+.48

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.48

Study

BAMUN 1980

Reported in: Bamundo, P.; Kopelman, R.E.
 The Moderating Effects of Occupation, Age, and Urbanization on the Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Life Satisfaction.
 Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1980, Vol. 17, 106 - 123 ISSN:0001 8791
 Page in Report: 112

Population: Heads of households, USA, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response: 59 %:overrepresentation of high income, high aducated metro-politans

N: 911

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question: "All in all, how satisfied would you say that you are with your job? Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied?"

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLL/g/sq/v/3/a

r=+.35 p<.001

Higher at higher educational level

- grammar school only r = +.07
- high school only r = +.32
- college degree only r = +.44
- graduate degree r = +.58

Higher at higher income level

- < 4.000 r = +.09
- 4.000 to 7.999 r = +.29
- 8.000 to 11.999 r = +.34
- 12.000 to 14.999 r = +.46
- 15.000 to 19.999 r = +.41
- 20.000 to 24.999 r = +.31
- 25.000 > r = +.55

Higher among self-employed

- self-employed r = +.46
- not self-employed r = +.34

Higher among middle aged

- 20 - 29 r = +.38
- 30 - 39 r = +.28
- 40 - 49 r = +.45
- 50 - 59 r = +.30
- 60 - 69 r = +.43

Higher at medium job longevity

- < 1	year	r = +.22
- 1 - 5	years	r = +.30
- 6 - 10	years	r = +.49
- 11 - 15	years	r = +.36
- 16 - >	years	r = +.36

Uneffected by residential city size

- < 50.000		r = +.23
- 50.000 to 250.000		r = +.47
- 250.000 to 500.000		r = +.40
- 500.000 to 1.000.000		r = +.47
- 1.000.000 to 2.000.000		r = +.45
- 2.000.000 >		r = +.37

Higher at higher occupational level

- professional/technical	r = +.39
- managerial/administrative	r = +.40
- sales	r = +.45
- service	r = +.37
- operative	r = +.28
- clerical	r = +.20

Study

VEROF 1962

Reported in: Veroff, J.,;Feld, S; Gurin, G.
Dimensions of Subjective Adjustment.
Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1962, Vol. 64, 192 - 205
Page in Report: 196

Population: 21+ aged, married, with children, USA, 1957

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 797

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question: dissatisfied / ambivalent / satisfied / very satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/a

tb=+.13
p<.01

Computed for males only.

Study

WESSM 1956

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
Page in Report: 194

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2377

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question: "Very little / fair amount / a lot of pleasure and satisfaction."

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c

G=+.44
p<.01

Stronger among those who are not getting along well with their family and/or are not satisfied with their friends.

Study

GORMA 1971

Reported in: Gorman, B.S.
 A Multivariate Study of the Relationship of Cognitive Control and Cognitive Style Principles to Reported Daily Mood Experiences.
 Unpublished doctoral dissertation, 1971, City University of New York, USA
 Page in Report: 216/222

Population: Undergraduate students, Nassau Community College, USA, 1970

Sample:

Non-Response: 4%, 3% refusal, 1% incomplete information

N: 67

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Wessman & Ricks Present Work Scale, scored each night for lowest, average and highest mood experienced that day during one month.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-ARE/md/sqr/v/10/b

r= + p<. 01

The means of the lowest, average and highest daily scores were correlated with the mean average score on the Elation-Depression Scale.

Daily highest: r = +.41 (01)

Daily average: r = +.47 (01)

Daily lowest : r = +.48 (01)

C-BW/c/sq/l/11/b

r= + p<. 01

Analysis on the basis of the mean lowest, average and highest daily scores.

Daily highest: r = +.36 (01)

Daily average: r = +.32 (01)

Daily lowest : r = +.24 (05)

Study**WESSM 1966/2**

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.;Ricks, D.F.
Mood and Personality.
Holt, Rinehart and Wilson, 1966, New York, USA. ISBN 03 0541 151 8
Page in Report: 66/282

Population: Male college students, followed 3 years, Harvard University, USA, 1957-60

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 37%: 9 dropouts, incomplete; about the same happiness distribution.

N: 17

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: See WESSM 1966/1

Observed Relation with Happiness*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*

A-ARE/md/sqr/v/10/a

r= + p<. 05

The means of the lowest, average and highest daily scores were correlated with the mean daily average score on the Elation-Depression Scale (AFF 3.1) during 6 weeks.

Daily highest : r = +.85 (05)

Daily average : r = +.85 (05)

Daily lowest : r = +.57 (05)

Study

WESSM 1966/1

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.; Ricks, D.F.
Mood and Personality.
Holt, Rinehart and Wilson, 1966, New York, USA. ISBN 03 0541 151 8
Page in Report: 64/276

Population: Female college students, followed 6 weeks, Radcliffe, USA, 1957

Sample:

Non-Response: 16%

N: 21

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Repeated closed question on 'how satisfied or dissatisfied you were with your work', rated on a 10-point scale:

10. Tremendous, intense delight in my work. Proud of my purpose, skill, and accomplishment.
9. Great pleasure and enjoyment in my work. Much fulfillment through work
8. Considerable satisfaction with my work. Eager to continue.
7. Satisfied with my work. Encouraged to go on with it.
6. More or less satisfied with my work. Keep plugging along.
5. Somewhat dissatisfied with my work. Not much enjoyment doing it.
4. Dissatisfied with my work. Can't see much good in it. Moderately frustrated.
3. Greatly dissatisfied with my work. Not doing a good job. Markedly frustrated.
2. Tremendously dissatisfied and frustrated in my work. Befuddled. Disorganized.
1. Completely dissatisfied and frustrated in my work. Hopeless, useless chaos.

Scale scored each night for the highest, lowest and average experience of the day.
(Wessman & Ricks Present Work Scale)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-ARE/md/sqr/v/10/br= + p<. 05

The means of the lowest, average and highest daily scores were correlated with the mean daily average score on the Elation-Depression Scale (AFF 3.1) during 6 weeks.

Daily highest : r = +.40 (ns)

Daily average : r = +.53 (05)

Daily lowest : r = +.54 (05)

Study

ROSE 1955

Reported in: Rose, A.M.
 Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons.
 Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19
 Page in Report: 17

Population: Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

Sample:

Non-Response: 50 %

N: 416

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Believe that one is in the right job

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/a

D%= + p<.05

Stronger among females

Study

GILLO 1973

Reported in: Gillo, M.W.
 Studies on the Nature of the Relationships between Job and Life Satisfaction: Towards a Comprehensive Model.
 Unpublished Dissertation, University of Kansas, 1973, USA
 Page in Report: 124

Population: Workers, union members, Kansas City, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 73%, no return of mailed questionnaire. Unaffected by age, sex, Local's members

N: 213

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question rated on an 11-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/l/11/a](#)

[r=+.37](#)

Study

CONST 1965

Reported in: Constantinople, A.P.
Some Correlates of Happiness and Unhappiness in College Students.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, 1965, University of Rochester, USA.
Page in Report: 59

Population: College students, University of Rochester, USA, 1965

Sample:

Non-Response: 30% (take home questionnaire).

N: 952

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Wessman & Ricks Present Work Scale, scored once for the current academic year.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[A-AOL/cy/sq/v/10/a](#)

$r=+$ $p<.05$

Males : $r= +.42$ (.05)

Females: $r= +.43$ (.05)

Study**HAAVI 1971**

Reported in: Haavio-Mannila, E.
Satisfaction with Family, Work, Leisure and Life among Men and Women.
Human Relations, 1971, Vol. 24, 585 - 601. ISSN 0018 7267
Page in Report: 590

Population: 15-64 aged, general public, Finland, 1966

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 948

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b](#)

$r=+$

unmarried employed males	: $r = +.30$ (05)
unmarried employed females	: $r = +.14$ (ns)
married employed males	: $r = +.29$ (05)
married employed females	: $r = +.21$ (ns)

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
 Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
 Polish Sociological Bulletin, 1962, Vol. 1, 105 - 116
 Page in Report: 110

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: 'Do you like your job?'
 no / indifferent / yes / yes,very much.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>T=.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Non-farmers and housewives.
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>tau=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Farm owners and family.

Study

BRADB 1965/1

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.; Caplovitz D.
 Reports on Happiness. A Pilot Study of Behavior Related to Mental Health.
 Aldine Publishing Company, 1965, Chicago, USA
 Page in Report: 37

Population: Adult, general public, 4 towns, varying in economic prosperity, Illinois, USA, 1962

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2006

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 3-item index of closed questions on satisfaction with over-all job, kind of work and wages.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/e

G= +

Index of Positive Affects: G' = +.10 (ns)
 Index of Negative Affects: G' = -.28 (01)

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa

G=+.56
p<. 01

Study

JOL 1985

Reported in: Jol, C.
 Liever Samen dan Alleen? Veranderingen in Levensomstandigheden én Welbevinden van Alleenstaanden, 1974-1983. (Better together than Alone? Changes in Life Situations and Well-Being of Singles, 1974-1983).
 C.B.S. Select, Nr. 3, Central Bureau of Statistics, 1985, The Hague, Netherlands, 171 - 184
 Page in Report: 177

Population: 18+ aged, general public, The Netherlands, 1974-83

Sample:

Non-Response: 1974: 28%, 1983: 43%

N: 4000

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Five-item-scale (Bakker 1984).
 Typical items are:

- "I have the opportunity to unfurl in my work"
- "The work closes well to my education and/or experience"
- "My job is quite safe"
- "The chances of promotion are quite well"
- "The payment is quite well"

Answers rated on six point scale:
 "Don't agree" (1) to agree (6)".

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/d

Beta=+.10
p<.05

Singles 1983 only (N = 484)
 Non-working respondents excluded.
 ß controlled for sex and age.

Study

ALSTO 1974

Reported in: Alston, J.P.; Lowe, G.D.; Wrigley, A.
 SocioEconomic Correlates for Four Dimensions of Self-Perceived Satisfaction.
 Human Organization, 1974, Vol. 33, 99 - 102
 Page in Report: 101

Population: Adult, general public, non-institutionalized, USA 1972

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1602

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/a

G=+

Computed for employed Ss only.
 Gamma based on proportions 'very happy' answers.

Whites : G =+.46 (05)

Blacks : G =+.39 (05)

Study**ALEXA 1968**

Reported in: Alexander, W.E.
Some Sociological Aspects of Psychological Well-Being in a Schizophrenic Population: Social Class, Participation and Work.
Unpublished doctoral dissertation, 1968, Syracuse University, USA
Page in Report: 177/190

Population: Schizophrenic males non-hospitalized, Monroe County, New York, USA, 1964-65

Sample:

Non-Response: 28%, most of them patients'or family member refusal

N: 178

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question: "Somewhat or very unsatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied."

Observed Relation with Happiness*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa

$\tau = +.23$
 $p < .001$

No differences when happiness is used as dependent or independent variable: dxy and dyx are approximately equal.

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/f

$\tau = + p < .$
S

Computed for employed Ss only:

Index of Positive Affects: $_ = +.14$ (01)
high S.E.S. : $_ = +.33$ (01)
medium S.E.S. : $_ = +.16$ (05)
low S.E.S. : $_ = +.01$ (ns)
Index of Negative Affects: $_ = -.26$ (001)
high S.E.S. : $_ = -.07$ (ns)
medium S.E.S. : $_ = -.35$ (001)
low S.E.S. : $_ = -.30$ (001)
Affect Balance
high S.E.S. : $_ = +.27$ (01)
medium S.E.S. : $_ = +.32$ (001)
low S.E.S. : $_ = +.31$ (01)

Study**AUSTR 1984/2**

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single closed question: 'I enjoy my work almost all the time'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a	r=+.34 p<.001	

Study**AUSTR 1984/2**

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single closed question. The respondents were asked how satisfied they were with their job as a whole. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely dissatisfied to completely satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study**ANDRE 1976/5**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/7

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 222

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 3-item index of questions "How do you feel about....?"

1. your job
2. what it is like where you work
 (physical surroundings, the hours, the amount of work)
3. what you have available for doing the job (equipment, information, supervision, and so on)

Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>C-BW/cy/sq/l/9/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u>	
<u>M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u>	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/b</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.21</u>	
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.33</u>	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.26</u>	

Study

BENNE 1970

Reported in: Bennett, F.A.
 Avowed Happiness in Communities of Religious Women.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Utah, 1970, USA
 Page in Report: 41

Population: Nuns, Catholic congregations, USA, 1969

Sample:

Non-Response: 11,5%

N: 963

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question: "How would you describe your present work?", with the possibilities. Gives me a great deal of satisfaction, is good but nothing spectacular and I find it somewhat disturbing.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f

r=+.31

p<.001

Study**ANDRE 1976/3**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:

Non-Response: 38%

N: 1072

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your job?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>E²=+.37</u>	

Study**ANDRE 1976/3**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:

Non-Response: 38%

N: 1072

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your job?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.32</u>	
<u>O-SLV/u/sq/t/101/a</u>	<u>r=+.30</u>	

Study**HALL 1973**

Reported in: Hall, J.
 Measuring the Quality of Life Using Sample Surveys.
 Stöber, J.; et al; Eds.: "Technology Assessment and the Quality of Life", Elsevier, 1973, Amsterdam,
 Netherlands, 93 - 102. ISBN 0 444 41137 2
 Page in Report: 100

Population: Adults, general public, Britain, 1971

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 593

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on
 Cantril (1965)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLW/c/sq/l/7/a	r=+.33	

Study**BAKER 1976**

Reported in: Baker, E.K.
 Relationship of Retirement and Satisfaction with Life Events to Locus of Control.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Wisconsin, 1976, Madison, USA
 Page in Report: 63

Population: 55+ aged males, USA, 1971

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 200

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question: If still working, how satisfied are you with your main job?
 Rated on 7 point scale ranging from 'completely dissatisfied' to 'completely satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u>	

Study

DEJON 1969

Reported in: DeJong-Gierveld, J.
De Ongehuwden. (The Unmarried).
Samson, 1969, Alphen a/d Rijn, Netherlands.
Page in Report: 195

Population: 30-55 aged, general public, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1965

Sample:

Non-Response: 31%;

N: 600

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question: no / partly yes / partly no / yes.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SL?/?/sq/v/5/a](#)

r=+

Never married males : r = +.26 (025)
Married males : r = +.13 (ns)
Never married females: r = +.41 (005)
Married females : r = +.29 (005)

Study

FORRE 1980

Reported in: Forrester, N.G.

Unpublished PhD Dissertation, U.S. International University, 1972, San Diego, California, USA

Unpublished PhD Dissertation, 1980, Arizona State University, USA

Page in Report: 77

Population: 21-71 aged divorced women, San Diego, California, USA, 1980*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 70

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Direct question: "How satisfying is your job to you?" Rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1=not at all to 7=completely satisfying

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*[O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a](#)[r=+.36 p<.003](#)

Study

FORRE 1980

Reported in: Forrester, N.G.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, U.S. International University, 1972, San Diego, California, USA
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, 1980, Arizona State University, USA
 Page in Report: 77

Population: 21-71 aged divorced women, San Diego, California, USA, 1980

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 70

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Direct question: "How do you feel about your job?" Rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1=terrible to 7=delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a	r=+.31 p<.011	

Study

FORRE 1980

Reported in: Forrester, N.G.

Unpublished PhD Dissertation, U.S. International University, 1972, San Diego, California, USA

Unpublished PhD Dissertation, 1980, Arizona State University, USA

Page in Report: 77

Population: 21-71 aged divorced women, San Diego, California, USA, 1980*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 70

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Direct question: "How satisfying is your job to you?" Rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1=not at all to 7=completely satisfying

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a $r=+.36$ $p<.$
003

Study

FORRE 1980

Reported in: Forrester, N.G.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, U.S. International University, 1972, San Diego, California, USA
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, 1980, Arizona State University, USA
 Page in Report: 77

Population: 21-71 aged divorced women, San Diego, California, USA, 1980

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 70

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Direct question:"How do you feel about your job?#" rated on a 7-point scale ranging from 1=terrible to 7=delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.34 p<.005</u>	

Study

MERED 1984

Reported in: Meredith, W.H.

Level and Correlates of Perceived Quality of Life for Lao Hmong Refugees in Nebraska.

Social Indicators Research, 1984, Vol. 14, 83 - 97. ISSN 0303 8300 DOI:10.1007/BF00315723

Page in Report: 91

Population: 16+ aged, recent refugees from Laos, USA, 1982*Sample:**Non-Response:* 0%*N:* 145

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* One of the following single closed questions, rated on a 7-point Delighted-Terrible scale: How do you feel about:

- your job (if working)?
- being a housewife (if one)?
- not working (if not currently employed)?

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study

HARRY 1976

Reported in: Harry, J.
 Evolving sources of happiness for men over the life cycle: A structural analysis
 Journal of Marriage and the Family, Vol 38, 1976, 289-296
 Page in Report: 292

Population: 18+ aged males, living with family, USA, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 374

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single item self-rating on how much satisfaction one receives from his job

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.08 ns</u>	Single
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.07 ns</u>	Married, no children
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.31</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Married, pre-school children
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.10 ns</u>	Married, schoolage children
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.24 ns</u>	Married, teenage children
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.36</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Married, children grown up

Study**LEVY 1975/2***Reported in:* Levy, S.; Guttman, L.

On the Multivariate Structure of Well-Being.

Social Indicators Research, 1975, Vol. 2, 361 - 388. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00293253

Page in Report: 373

Population: 18+ aged, general public, urban areas, Israel, 1973*Sample:**Non-Response:* -*N:* 1830

Measured Correlate*Class:* Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Direct closed question

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
A-AOL/c/sq/v/4/b	mc=+.48	
O-HL/c/sq/?/6/a	mc=+.49	

Study

MICHA 1983

Reported in: Michalos, A.C.

Satisfaction and Happiness in a Rural Northern Resource Community.

Social Indicators Research, 1983, Vol. 13, 225 - 252. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00318099

Page in Report: 233

Population: 18+ aged, rural community, N.Ontario, Canada, 1982*Sample:**Non-Response:* 8%*N:* 598

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Closed question on satisfaction with paid employment, rated on a 7-point scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/7/aBeta=+.11All β 's controlled for satisfaction with health, financial security, family relations, friendships, housing, area you live in, recreation activity, religion, self esteem, transportation, government services; and for sex, age, formal education, language, work status, marital status, time in area.Males : N: 173 β : +.12Females: N: 154 β : +.07O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aaBeta=+.03All β 's controlled for satisfaction with health, financial security, family relations, friendships, housing, area you live in, recreation activity, religion, self esteem, transportation, government services, and for the demographic factors: sex, age, formal education, language, work status, marital status, time in area.Males : N: 173 β : -.01Females: N: 154 β : +.04

Study

MICHA 1985

Reported in: Michalos, A.C.
Multiple Discrepancies Theory.
Social Indicators Research, 1985, Vol. 16, 347 - 413. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00333288
Page in Report: 365

Population: Students undergraduates University of Guelph, Canada, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response: 18%

N: 700

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question on satisfaction with paid employment, rated on a 7-point scale. 1: terrible...7:delightful.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a

Beta=+.18
p<.01

Males N:140 β =+.23 (01)
N:149 β =+.14 (05)

Females

β 's controlled for satisfaction with:
health, family relations, friendships, self-esteem
and education

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa

Beta=+.09
p<.05

N: 296

β controlled for satisfaction with:
health, financial security, family relations,
friendships, housing, recreation, religion,
self-esteem and education

Study	NIPO 1949
<i>Reported in:</i>	NIPO (Dutch Institute Public Opinion) Wat de Mensen Gelukkig Maakt. (The Things that Make People Happy). De Publieke Opinie, 1949, Vol. 3, 3 - 4 Page in Report: 3
<i>Population:</i>	Adults, general public, The Netherlands, 1948
<i>Sample:</i>	
<i>Non-Response:</i>	7%
<i>N:</i>	500

Measured Correlate

<i>Class:</i>	Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1
<i>Measurement:</i>	Closed question on enjoying work: do not enjoy / reasonably / enjoy.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-HP/u/sq/v/3/a	G=+.74 p<.01	

Study

ANDRE 1976/1

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976. New York, USA.. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 1297

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Index of questions: "How do you feel about....?"
 1. your job
 2. co-workers
 3. work
 4. work situation
 5. availabilities for doing the job.
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a

E²=+.23

Males: h²=+.36
 Females: h²=+.15

O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a

Beta=+.02

ß controlled for 11 domain satisfactions
 (efficacy, family, money, amount of fun,
 house/apartment, spare time activities, national
 government, things do with family, consumer, time
 to do things, health).

O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a

Beta=+.03

ß controlled for sociodemographic variables
 (family life-cycle, age, family income, education,
 race, sex) and 11 domain satisfactions.

Study**ANDRE 1976/6**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972-73

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2727

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Index of closed questions, asked in different samples: "How do you feel about...

1. your job (asked in November 1972 and July 1973)
2. what it is like where you work - the physical surroundings, the hours, and the amount of work you are asked to do (asked in July 1973)
3. what you have available for doing your job - I mean equipment, information, good supervision, and so on (asked in July 1973)?"

Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a	r=+.35	

Study

HOLLA 1985

Reported in: Holland-Benin, M.;Cable-Nienstedt, B.
Happiness in Single- and Dual-Earner Families: The Effects of Marital Happiness, Job Satisfaction, and Life Cycle.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1985, Vol. 47, 975 - 984. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 978-981

Population: Married persons, non-institutionalized, USA, 1978-83

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 3050

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question: 'On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do?' (Asked housewives as well)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/3/h

OR= p<.s

Linked to 'unhappy' responses stronger than to 'happy' ones.

Largely unaffected by marital satisfaction.
Yet interaction with marital satisfaction in relation to 'happy' responses among working wives and their husbands and in relation to 'unhappy' responses among housewives.

Study

NOELL1977/14

Reported in: Noelle-Neumann, E.
Politik und Glück. (Politics and Happiness).
Baier, H.;Ed.: "Freiheit und Sachzwang", West Deutscher Verlag, 1977, Opladen, Germany, 208 - 262.
ISBN 3 531 11 44 68
Page in Report:

Population: Working people, West-Germany, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 704

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single closed question: 'Would you say that you are now in your actually job, completely satisfied, partly satisfied or not satisfied at all?'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-CA/mh/ri/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.51</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>A-CA/mh/ri/v/2/a</u>	<u>tb=+.16</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Among people with more positive than negative aspects there are more completely satisfied with their jobs than among the other group

Study

HEADE 1985

Reported in: Headey, B.
Victorian Panel Data, 1985.
Unpublished Report, University of Melbourne, 1985, Australia
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Melbourne, Australia, 1985

Sample:

Non-Response: 55%

N: 550

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question rated on a 9-point
delighted-terrible scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b

Beta=+.12
p<.05

β controlled for satisfaction with: marriage and
sex, friendships and leisure, standard of living,
and health

Study

SHICH 1984

Reported in: Shichman, S.; Cooper, E.
Life Satisfaction and Sex-Role Concept.
Sex Roles, 1984, Vol. 11, 227 - 240. ISSN 0360 0025 DOI:10.1007/BF00287516
Page in Report: 234

Population: Adults, students and churchmembers, USA, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5,9 %

N: 217

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct questions on both satisfaction and dissatisfaction with occupation.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.33</u> <u>p<.00</u>	satisfaction
<u>O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=-.28</u> <u>p<.00</u>	dissatisfaction

Study

ZEHNE 1977

Reported in: Zehner, R.B.
 Indicators of the Quality of Life in New Communities.
 Ballinger, 1977, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ISBN 0 88410 461 3
 Page in Report: 112

Population: Residents communities,planned and unplanned, USA, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 3894

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single closed direct question rated on a 7-point scale.
 For respondent self and for his/her spouse.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a

r=+.35

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a

Beta=+.16

β controlled for:
 - Socio-demographic variables: age, sex,
 education, marital status, family income,
 dwelling unit value, tenure, lenght of
 residence, number of children in the household.
 - Satisfaction with: standard of living,family
 life, use of leisure time, marriage, health,
 dwelling unit, housework, neighborhood,
 community.

Unplanned communities are left out.

Study**KENNE 1985**

Reported in: Kennedy, L.W.; Mehra, A.N.
Effects of Social Change on Well-Being: Boom and Bust in a Western Canadian City.
Social Indicators Research, 1985, Vol. 17, 101 - 113. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00302416
Page in Report: 108

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Edmonton, Canada, 1977-84

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response:

N: 3440

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question on satisfaction with job.
Rated:
1.very dissatisfied
.
.
7.very satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/b

Beta=+.15

Stepwise regression by age group.
age group 17-25

Beta controlled for:

- standard of living satisfaction
- friendship satisfaction
- family satisfaction
- neighbourhood satisfaction
- financial better/worse than year ago
- health satisfaction
- year of significant social change
- education
- things wanted to do satisfaction

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/b

Beta=+.18

age-group 26-45

Beta controlled for:

- standard of living satisfaction
- friendship satisfaction
- family satisfaction
- health satisfaction
- non work activities satisfaction
- neighbourhood satisfaction
- finanacial better/worse than year ago
- sex
- year of significant social change
- things wanted to do satisfaction

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/b

Beta=+.10

age-group 45+

Beta controlled for:

- standard of living satisfaction
- health satisfaction
- non work activities satisfaction
- financial better/worse than year ago
- frienship satisfaction
- family satisfaction
- things wanted to do satisfaction
- sex
- year of significant social change

Study

GLENN 1981C

Reported in: Glenn, N.D.; Weaver, C.N.
 The Contribution of Marital Happiness to Global Happiness.
 Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1981, Vol. 43, 161-168. ISSN 0022 2445
 Page in Report: 164

Population: Marrieds, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 9000

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Direct question: "On the whole, how satisfied are you with the work you do; would you say you are very satisfied, moderately satisfied, a little dissatisfied or very dissatisfied?"

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+ p<.05</u>	Married persons working full-time: - white men: r=+.14 β =+.07 - black men: r=+.29 β =+.19 - white women: r=+.16 β =+.09 - black women: r=-.13 β =-.16
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+ p<.05</u>	Married persons working full-time - white men: r=+.14 β =+.07 - black men: r=+.29 β =+.19 - white women: r=+.16 β =+.09 - black women: r=-.13 β =-.16
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+</u>	β controled for marital happiness and satisfaction with: financial situation, community, nonworking activities, family life, friendships and health

Study

CHASS 1980

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Job Descriptive Index (Smith e.a. 1969).
 This index involves satisfaction with
 payment/promotional opportunities/the
 work itself/coworkers/supervision

Measured Values: Range ? M=136,11 SD=39,83

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.05</u>	

Study

CHASS 1980

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question rated on Kunin's
 (1955) face scale.
 Ss were asked to consider all aspects of their job and to
 circle me, face on the scale that best expressed how they
 felt about their job in general

Measured Values: Range; 0-10 M=5,27 SD=1,07

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.43</u>	
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>rpc=+.40</u>	Controlled for role stress
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>rpc=+.41</u>	Controlled for organizational commitment

Study

MICHA 1980

Reported in: Michalos, A.C.
Satisfaction and Happiness.
Social Indicators Research, 1980, Vol. 8, 385 - 422. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00461152
Page in Report: 399

Population: University staff members, Guelph Canada, 1979

Sample:

Non-Response: 59%

N: 357

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 7-point scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa

r=+.34
p<.01

Controlled for 1 rpc= +.13 (01)
Controlled for 1+2 rpc= +.13 (01)
Controlled for 1+3 rpc= +.16 (01)
Controlled for 1+2+3 rpc= +.16 (01)
Controlled for 1 ß = +.10
Controlled for 1+3+4 ß = +.11

1= Satisfaction with health, financial security,
family life, friendships, housing, free time
activity, education, self-esteem, area you
live in, ability to get around, security from
crime.

2= Global happiness(indicator 2, HAPP 1.1)

3= Demographic factors (sex, age, marital
status, education, family income)

4= Workstatus.

O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a

r=+.24
p<.01

Controlled for 1 rpc= +.03 (ns)
Controlled for 1 ß = +.03
Controlled for 1+2 ß = +.03

1= Satisfaction with health , financial security,
family life, friendships,housing, free time
activity, education, self-esteem, area
you live in, ability to get around, security
from crime.

2= Demographic factors (sex, age, marital
status, education, family income)

Study

GAVIN 1978

Reported in: Gavin, J.F.;Kelley, R.E.
The Psychological Climate and Reported Well-Being of Underground Miners: An Exploratory Study.
Human Relations, 1978, Vol. 31, 567 - 581. ISSN 0018 7267
Page in Report: 573

Population: Miners, non-management, company, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 469

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 7-item index assessing the degree to which the worker experiences satisfaction with and involvement in his job and company.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/?/0/a	r=+.04 ns	

Study

GAVIN 1978

Reported in: Gavin, J.F.;Kelley, R.E.
The Psychological Climate and Reported Well-Being of Underground Miners: An Exploratory Study.
Human Relations, 1978, Vol. 31, 567 - 581. ISSN 0018 7267
Page in Report: 573

Population: Miners, non-management, company, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 469

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 4-item index assessing the extent of negative emotional effects (e.g. frustration, anger, anxiety) felt by the employee as a result of his job.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/?/0/a	r=+.11 p<.01	

Study**ADLE 1981**

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Total score 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a	r=+.34 p<.05	

Study**ADLE 1981**

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question on overall work satisfaction rated on a 7 point faces scale (Kunin 1955).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a](#)

[r=+.38](#)

[p<.05](#)

Study

SONDE 1975

Reported in: Sondermeijer, B.
Gezondheid en Geluk. (Health Correlates of Happiness).
Unpublished report, 1975, Rotterdam, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 40-60 aged male employees, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 13

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single direct question:
0 No
1 Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.80</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.80</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	

Study**PEIL 1984***Reported in:* Peil, M.

African Urban Life: Components of Satisfaction in Sierra Leone.

Social Indicators Research, 1984, Vol. 14, 363 - 384. ISSN p 0303 8300;ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00692990

Page in Report: 372

Population: Adult, general public, urban areas (Freetown, Bo and Kenema), Sierra Leone, 1981*Sample:**Non-Response:* 5% (on some items up to 60%)*N:* 640

Measured Correlate*Class:* Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Direct question: "How do you feel about your job?"

Observed Relation with Happiness*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*[O-SLW/u/sq/l/7/a](#) $r=+$ Males : $r = +.44$ Females: $r = +.47$

Study

SCHUL 1985B

Reported in: Schulz, W.; Költringer, R.; Norden, G.; Tüchler, H.
 Lebensqualität in Österreich. (Quality-of-Life in Austria).
 Research paper nr 10/1, Institut für Soziologie, University of Vienna, 1985, Austria
 Page in Report: 27,49,T53

Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1776

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Two item index of direct positive questions on satisfaction with work during the last 14 days.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-AO/c/mq*/0/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.05</u>	All
<u>M-AO/c/mq*/0/a</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	ß controlled for: satisfaction with physical health, material satisfaction, satisfaction with partner, satisfaction with selfrealisation, satisfaction with social involvement, satisfaction with work (negative), and satisfaction with leisure time.
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	ß controlled for satisfaction with six other domains of life (physical health, income, partner, selfrealization, social involvement, leisure).
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.10</u>	- with partner, with work
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- with partner, without work
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u>	- no partner, with work

O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a Beta=* - no partner, without work

Study

SCHUL 1985B

Reported in: Schulz, W.; Költringer, R.; Norden, G.; Tüchler, H.
 Lebensqualität in Österreich. (Quality-of-Life in Austria).
 Research paper nr 10/1, Institut für Soziologie, University of Vienna, 1985, Austria
 Page in Report: 27,49,T53

Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1776

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Two item index of direct negative questions on satisfaction with work during the last 14 days.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.05</u>	All
<u>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for: satisfaction with physical health, material satisfaction, satisfaction with partner, satisfaction with selfrealisatiuon, satisfaction with social involvement, satisfaction with work (positive), and satisfaction with leisure time.
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.07</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- Age < 25
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- Age 26-40
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u>	- Age 41-59

<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	- Age > 60
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- < 6.000 income in Austrian shill./month
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u>	- 6.000-10.000
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u>	- 10.000-16.000
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- > 16.000
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- monotonous work
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u>	- some selfcontrol
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>Beta=*</u>	- much selfcontrol

All β 's controlled for satisfaction with six other domains of life (physical health, income, partner, selfrealization, social involvement, leisure).

*=N too small.

Study

BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report: 203

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:

Non-Response: $\pm 20\%$, Attrition $\pm 30\%$

N: 2787

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 3-item index of direct questions:

1. How satisfied are you with your earning.
2. How satisfied are you with the work you do.
3. Taking all things together, how do you feel about your job or business as a whole.

Assessed at
T1: January 1963
T3: Oktober 1963

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa

G=+

Data T1. Results at T3 similar.
Computed for chief wage earners only.

	Males	Females
All	G= +.43	G= +.28
White collar		G= +.28
- high	G= +.38	
- middle + low	G= +.41	
Blue collar		G= +.38
- high + middle	G= +.49	
- low	G= +.46	

Study**HERZO 1982/2**

Reported in: Herzog, A.R.;Rodgers, W.L.;Woodworth, J.
 Subjective Well-Being among Different Age Groups.
 Research Report I.S.R., University of Michigan, 1982, Ann Arbor, USA
 Page in Report: 68- 72

Population: 25-97 aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA,1971-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 6918

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: DATASET 1
 "Your job?": Rated on a 7-point scale with high scores indicating high satisfaction.
 DATASET 6 and 7
 "All things considered, how satisfied are you with your job?"; Rated on a 7-point scale with high scores indicating high satisfaction.

Remarks: DATASETS:
 1: 1972, N=1955, SI Study, See ANDRE 1976
 6: 1971, N=1822, QOL Survey, See CAMPB 1975
 7: 1978, N=3141, QOL Survey, See CAMPB 1981

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=.00</u> <u>ns</u>	DATASET 1: β higher among 25-44 than among 45-64/65-97 aged ($p<.05$). β controlled for Economic, Residence, Health, Marriage and Interpersonal/Leisure satisfaction.
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=-.17</u> <u>p<.05</u>	DATASET 6: β higher among 25-44 than among 45-64/65-97 aged ($p<.05$). β controlled for Economic, Residence, Health, Marriage and Interpersonal/Leisure satisfaction.
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.05</u>	DATASET 7: β identical across age (25-44/45-64/65-97). β controlled for Economic, Job, Health, Marriage and Interpersonal/Leisure satisfaction.

Study

GEHMA 1986

Reported in: Gehmacher, E.
 Glück als Mathematische Gleichung. (Happiness as a Mathematical Equation).
 Start und Aufstieg: die Zeitschrift des Berufsförderungsinstituts, Austria, 1986, 8 - 9
 Page in Report: 9

Population: Adult, general public, Austria, 1985

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1814

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement:

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/6/a

r=+.59

O-SLW/c/sq/n/6/a

Beta=+.44

ß controled for satisfaction with health, housing,
leisure and politics

Study

GEHMA 1990A

Reported in: Gehmacher, E.
 Stressbewältigung und Selbstbestimmtheit. (Coping with Stress and Locus of Control).
 Start uns Aufstieg, 1990, Vol. 3, 13 - 14 (Data also reported in IFES paper E76, Vienna, Austria 1988)
 Page in Report: (24)

Population: Railway employees, Austria, 1988

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 68

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: 9 item index

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/d</u>	<u>r=+.31</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study

ZUMA 1989

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question : Which of the following answers is correct , if we say : " You are not satisfied with your job nowadays" ?
1) completely correct
2) more or less correct
3) not completely correct
4) not at all correct

Range reversed by us. Signs of correlations reversed accordingly.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba

SNR=

eta

G

r

β

1978	+.27	+.30	+.25	+.25
1980	+.21	+.25	+.19	+.18
1984	+.26	+.32	+.24	+.26
1988	+.25	+.30	+.24	+.23

β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b

SNR=

eta

G

r

β

1978	-.18	-.29	-.17	+.15
1980	-.20	-.35	-.18	+.17
1984	-.22	-.38	-.20	+.21
1988	-.17	-.31	-.15	+.14

β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

Study

ZUMA 1989

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Question: 'Are you -all in all- with your present-day job satisfied or not satisfied?'
Rated on an 11 point scale ranging from 0 to 10.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>			
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba</u>	<u>SNR=</u>	eta	G	r	β
		1978			
		1980	+.45	+.40	+.40
		1984	+.35	+.41	+.32
		1988	+.41	+.45	+.38
		β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.			
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>SNR=</u>	eta	G	r	β
		1978			
		1980	+.24	+.27	+.19
		1984	+.19	+.25	+.17
		1988	+.23	+.33	+.21
		β 's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.			

Study

TIMME 1997/5

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, The Netherlands, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1017

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	Less than 10 Ss
3	Less than 10 Ss
4	Less than 10 Ss
5	M= 6.69 Mt= 6.3
6	M= 7.61 Mt= 7.3
7	M= 7.61 Mt= 7.3
8	M= 7.95 Mt= 7.7
9	M= 8.30 Mt= 8.1
10	M= 8.66 Mt= 8.5

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	Less than 10 Ss
3	Less than 10 Ss
4	Less than 10 Ss
5	M=1.18 Mt=6.2
6	M=1.44 Mt=6.4
7	M=1.66 Mt=6.7
8	M=2.42 Mt=7.4
9	M=2.76 Mt=7.8
10	M=2.27 Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	Less than 10 Ss
		4	Less than 10 Ss
		5	M=3.48 Mt=8.3
		6	M=3.36 Mt=7.9
		7	M=3.30 Mt=7.7
		8	M=3.48 Mt=8.3
		9	M=3.67 Mt=8.9
		10	M=3.58 Mt=8.6

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.18 Working Ss only
p<.01

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.20 Working Ss only
p<.01

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.16 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.36 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.22 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.01

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.23 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.01

Study

TIMME 1997/6

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Denmark, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1030

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=+

1	M= .00	Mt=5.0
2	M=3.00	Mt=8.0
3	M=2.00	Mt=7.0
4	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
5	M=1.41	Mt=6.4
6	M=1.45	Mt=6.5
7	M=1.59	Mt=6.6
8	M=2.31	Mt=7.3
9	M=2.35	Mt=7.3
10	M=2.48	Mt=7.5

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=3.40	Mt= 8.0
2	M=3.33	Mt= 7.8
3	M=4.00	Mt=10.0
4	M=3.46	Mt= 8.2
5	M=3.32	Mt= 7.7
6	M=3.26	Mt= 7.5
7	M=3.30	Mt= 7.7
8	M=3.40	Mt= 8.0
9	M=3.45	Mt= 8.2
10	M=3.53	Mt= 8.4

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.40	Mt=6.0
		2	M=5.00	Mt=4.4
		3	M=7.00	Mt=6.7
		4	M=8.08	Mt=7.9
		5	M=7.23	Mt=6.9
		6	M=7.94	Mt=7.7
		7	M=7.94	Mt=7.7
		8	M=8.59	Mt=8.4
		9	M=8.57	Mt=8.4
		10	M=8.86	Mt=8.7

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.10 Working Ss only
p<.01

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.20 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.12 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.25 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.26 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.21 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.13 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.01

Study

TIMME 1997/2

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Britain, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1484

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.34 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.19 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.19 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.34 Working Ss only
p<.001

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/3

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West Germany, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2201

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		2	M=4.79	Mt=4.2
		3	M=6.81	Mt=6.5
		4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.93	Mt=8.8
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.17	Mt=4.8
		2	M=-1.58	Mt=3.4
		3	M= 1.17	Mt=6.2
		4	M= .66	Mt=5.7
		5	M= 1.02	Mt=6.0
		6	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
		7	M= 1.71	Mt=6.7
		8	M= 2.05	Mt=7.1
		9	M= 2.31	Mt=7.3
		10	M= 2.62	Mt=7.6

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		2	M=4.79	Mt=4.2
		3	M=6.81	Mt=6.5
		4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.93	Mt=8.8

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		2	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.41	Mt=8.0

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.17	Mt=4.8
		2	M=-1.58	Mt=3.4
		3	M= 1.17	Mt=6.2
		4	M= .66	Mt=5.7
		5	M= 1.02	Mt=6.0
		6	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
		7	M= 1.71	Mt=6.7
		8	M= 2.05	Mt=7.1
		9	M= 2.31	Mt=7.3
		10	M= 2.62	Mt=7.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.41	Mt=8.0

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/4

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Italy, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 2010

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M= .63	Mt=5.6
2	M=-.32	Mt=4.7
3	M= .51	Mt=5.5
4	M= .97	Mt=6.0
5	M= .63	Mt=5.6
6	M=1.27	Mt=6.3
7	M=1.58	Mt=6.6
8	M=1.35	Mt=6.3
9	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
10	M=2.07	Mt=7.1

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
2	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
3	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
4	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
5	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
6	M=2.94	Mt=6.5
7	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
8	M=3.01	Mt=6.7
9	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
10	M=3.14	Mt=7.1

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.46	Mt=6.1
		2	M=4.44	Mt=3.8
		3	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		4	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		5	M=6.23	Mt=5.8
		6	M=6.86	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.02	Mt=6.7
		8	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.59	Mt=8.4

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.42 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.23 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.18 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.37 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.15 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.17 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.45 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.21 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/15

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, South Africa, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2736

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .01	Mt=5.0
		2	M= .34	Mt=5.3
		3	M=-.06	Mt=4.9
		4	M= .49	Mt=5.5
		5	M= .41	Mt=5.4
		6	M=1.46	Mt=6.5
		7	M=1.63	Mt=6.6
		8	M=2.19	Mt=7.2
		9	M=2.87	Mt=7.9
		10	M=2.65	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.46	Mt=3.8
		2	M=4.19	Mt=3.5
		3	M=4.89	Mt=4.3
		4	M=5.06	Mt=4.5
		5	M=4.81	Mt=4.2
		6	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		7	M=6.68	Mt=6.3
		8	M=7.24	Mt=6.9
		9	M=7.88	Mt=7.6
		10	M=8.10	Mt=7.9

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.29	Mt=4.3
		2	M=2.45	Mt=4.8
		3	M=2.31	Mt=4.4
		4	M=2.47	Mt=4.9
		5	M=2.53	Mt=5.1
		6	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		7	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		8	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		9	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.49</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.33</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.43</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/16

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Hungary, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 999

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	DM=+	1	M=1.82	Mt=2.7
		2	M=2.23	Mt=4.1
		3	M=2.47	Mt=4.9
		4	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
		5	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
		6	M=2.72	Mt=5.7
		7	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
		8	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		9	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		10	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa	DM=+	1	M=3.14	Mt=2.4
		2	M=3.46	Mt=2.7
		3	M=4.89	Mt=4.3
		4	M=5.50	Mt=5.0
		5	M=5.26	Mt=4.7
		6	M=5.53	Mt=5.0
		7	M=6.16	Mt=5.7
		8	M=6.45	Mt=6.1
		9	M=7.31	Mt=7.0
		10	M=6.81	Mt=6.5

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.77	Mt=4.2
		2	M=-.85	Mt=4.2
		3	M=.17	Mt=5.2
		4	M=.68	Mt=5.7
		5	M=.64	Mt=5.6
		6	M=.30	Mt=5.3
		7	M=1.03	Mt=6.0
		8	M=1.42	Mt=6.4
		9	M=1.31	Mt=6.3
		10	M=1.36	Mt=6.4

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.29 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.24 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.37 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.16 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.29 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.19 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.28 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.36 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.23 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/10

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, N. Ireland, 1990

Sample: Non-probability accidental sample

Non-Response:

N: 304

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Empty category	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Less than 10 Ss	
		4	Less than 10 Ss	
		5	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		6	M=3.25	Mt=7.5
		7	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
		8	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		9	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.59	Mt=8.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		2	M=4.79	Mt=4.2
		3	M=6.81	Mt=6.5
		4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.93	Mt=8.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=- .17	Mt=4.8
		2	M=-1.58	Mt=3.4
		3	M= 1.17	Mt=6.2
		4	M= .66	Mt=5.7
		5	M= 1.02	Mt=6.0
		6	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
		7	M= 1.71	Mt=6.7
		8	M= 2.05	Mt=7.1
		9	M= 2.31	Mt=7.3
		10	M= 2.62	Mt=7.6

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Empty category	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Less than 10 Ss	
		4	Less than 10 Ss	
		5	M= .90	Mt=5.9
		6	M=1.00	Mt=6.0
		7	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		8	M=1.97	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.17	Mt=7.2
		10	M=2.62	Mt=7.6

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Empty category	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Less than 10 Ss	
		4	Less than 10 Ss	
		5	M=7.60	Mt=7.3
		6	M=7.06	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.00	Mt=6.7
		8	M=7.67	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.47	Mt=8.3
		10	M=8.97	Mt=8.9

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		2	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.41	Mt=8.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.05</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.05</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/11

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, USA, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1839

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=5.18 Mt=4.6
		3	M=6.92 Mt=6.6
		4	M=6.37 Mt=6.0
		5	M=6.58 Mt=6.2
		6	M=6.89 Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.44 Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.63 Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.23 Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.71 Mt=8.6
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=2.73 Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.92 Mt=6.4
		4	M=3.00 Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.14 Mt=7.1
		6	M=3.11 Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.19 Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.26 Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.36 Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.47 Mt=8.2

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .67	Mt=5.7
		2	M=1.00	Mt=6.0
		3	M= .77	Mt=5.8
		4	M= .97	Mt=6.0
		5	M=1.70	Mt=6.7
		6	M=1.80	Mt=6.8
		7	M=2.19	Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.60	Mt=7.6
		9	M=2.75	Mt=7.7
		10	M=3.00	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.78	Mt=6.4
		2	M=5.18	Mt=4.6
		3	M=6.92	Mt=6.6
		4	M=6.37	Mt=6.0
		5	M=6.58	Mt=6.2
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.44	Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.63	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.23	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.71	Mt=8.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		2	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		4	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		6	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.36	Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.47	Mt=8.2

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M= .55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=1.00	Mt=6.0
		3	M= .77	Mt=5.8
		4	M= .97	Mt=6.0
		5	M=1.70	Mt=6.7
		6	M=1.80	Mt=6.8
		7	M=2.19	Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.60	Mt=7.6
		9	M=2.75	Mt=7.7
		10	M=3.00	Mt=8.0

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M= .55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/7

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Belgium, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2792

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	DM=+	1	M=2.89	Mt=6.3
		2	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		3	M=2.83	Mt=6.1
		4	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		5	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		6	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		8	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		9	M=3.50	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.57	Mt=8.6
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a	DM=	1	M= .00	Mt=5.0
		2	M= .43	Mt=5.4
		3	M=-.72	Mt=4.3
		4	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		5	M= .95	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.13	Mt=6.1
		7	M=1.43	Mt=6.4
		8	M=1.64	Mt=6.6
		9	M=2.17	Mt=7.2
		10	M=2.45	Mt=7.4

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=4.14	Mt=3.5
		3	M=5.06	Mt=4.5
		4	M=6.59	Mt=6.2
		5	M=7.00	Mt=6.7
		6	M=6.51	Mt=6.1
		7	M=7.30	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.72	Mt=7.5
		9	M=8.18	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.74	Mt=8.6

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=-.72	Mt=4.3
		4	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		5	M= .95	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.13	Mt=6.1
		7	M=1.43	Mt=6.4
		8	M=1.64	Mt=6.6
		9	M=2.17	Mt=7.2
		10	M=2.45	Mt=7.4

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=5.06	Mt=4.5
		4	M=6.59	Mt=6.2
		5	M=7.00	Mt=6.7
		6	M=6.51	Mt=6.1
		7	M=7.30	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.72	Mt=7.5
		9	M=8.18	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.74	Mt=8.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=2.83	Mt=6.1
		4	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		5	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		6	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		8	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		9	M=3.50	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.57	Mt=8.6

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/8

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Spain, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 4147

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-.07	Mt=4.9
2	M=1.06	Mt=6.1
3	M=-.44	Mt=4.6
4	M=.12	Mt=5.1
5	M=.77	Mt=5.8
6	M=.82	Mt=5.8
7	M=1.03	Mt=6.0
8	M=1.44	Mt=6.4
9	M=1.32	Mt=6.3
10	M=1.32	Mt=6.3

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
2	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
3	M=2.61	Mt=5.4
4	M=2.89	Mt=6.3
5	M=2.99	Mt=6.6
6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
7	M=3.08	Mt=6.9
8	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
9	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
10	M=3.34	Mt=7.8

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.94	Mt=5.5
		2	M=6.59	Mt=6.2
		3	M=5.68	Mt=5.2
		4	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		5	M=6.70	Mt=6.3
		6	M=6.98	Mt=6.6
		7	M=7.17	Mt=6.9
		8	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		9	M=8.06	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.51	Mt=8.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.94	Mt=5.5
		2	M=6.59	Mt=6.2
		3	M=5.68	Mt=5.2
		4	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		5	M=6.70	Mt=6.3
		6	M=6.98	Mt=6.6
		7	M=7.17	Mt=6.9
		8	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		9	M=8.06	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.51	Mt=8.3

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.07	Mt=4.9
		2	M=1.06	Mt=6.1
		3	M=-.44	Mt=4.6
		4	M= .12	Mt=5.1
		5	M= .77	Mt=5.8
		6	M= .82	Mt=5.8
		7	M=1.03	Mt=6.0
		8	M=1.44	Mt=6.4
		9	M=1.32	Mt=6.3
		10	M=1.32	Mt=6.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		2	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
		3	M=2.61	Mt=5.4
		4	M=2.89	Mt=6.3
		5	M=2.99	Mt=6.6
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.08	Mt=6.9
		8	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		9	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		10	M=3.34	Mt=7.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/9

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Ireland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=2.00	Mt=7.0
		2	M=-.67	Mt=4.3
		3	M=1.80	Mt=6.8
		4	M=.92	Mt=5.9
		5	M=1.73	Mt=6.7
		6	M=2.42	Mt=7.4
		7	M=2.15	Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.84	Mt=7.8
		9	M=2.90	Mt=7.9
		10	M=2.73	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.67	Mt=5.2
		2	M=6.00	Mt=5.6
		3	M=5.90	Mt=5.4
		4	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
		5	M=6.53	Mt=6.1
		6	M=7.48	Mt=7.2
		7	M=7.60	Mt=7.3
		8	M=7.96	Mt=7.7
		9	M=8.63	Mt=8.5
		10	M=8.99	Mt=8.9

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.33	Mt=4.4
		2	M=2.67	Mt=5.6
		3	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		5	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		7	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		8	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		9	M=3.56	Mt=8.5
		10	M=3.55	Mt=8.5

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M= .55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		5	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		7	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		8	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		9	M=3.56	Mt=8.5
		10	M=3.55	Mt=8.5

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=5.90	Mt=5.4
		4	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
		5	M=6.53	Mt=6.1
		6	M=7.48	Mt=7.2
		7	M=7.60	Mt=7.3
		8	M=7.96	Mt=7.7
		9	M=8.63	Mt=8.5
		10	M=8.99	Mt=8.9

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=1.80	Mt=6.8
		4	M= .92	Mt=5.9
		5	M=1.73	Mt=6.7
		6	M=2.42	Mt=7.4
		7	M=2.15	Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.84	Mt=7.8
		9	M=2.90	Mt=7.9
		10	M=2.73	Mt=7.7

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M= .55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.46</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.46</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.46</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.46</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/12

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Canada, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1730

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=2.72 Mt=5.7
		4	M=2.64 Mt=5.5
		5	M=2.95 Mt=6.5
		6	M=2.95 Mt=6.5
		7	M=3.04 Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.99 Mt=6.6
		9	M=3.16 Mt=7.2
		10	M=3.32 Mt=7.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=1.27 Mt=6.3
		4	M= .49 Mt=5.5
		5	M=1.59 Mt=6.6
		6	M=1.94 Mt=6.9
		7	M=2.13 Mt=7.1
		8	M=2.46 Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.07 Mt=8.1
		10	M=2.75 Mt=7.8

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=6.10 Mt=5.7
		4	M=5.83 Mt=5.4
		5	M=7.29 Mt=7.0
		6	M=7.38 Mt=7.1
		7	M=7.62 Mt=7.4
		8	M=7.98 Mt=7.8
		9	M=8.43 Mt=8.3
		10	M=8.58 Mt=8.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	

Study

TIMME 1997/13

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Japan, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1011

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .63	Mt=5.6
		2	M=-.32	Mt=4.7
		3	M= .51	Mt=5.5
		4	M= .97	Mt=6.0
		5	M= .63	Mt=5.6
		6	M=1.27	Mt=6.3
		7	M=1.58	Mt=6.6
		8	M=1.35	Mt=6.3
		9	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		10	M=2.07	Mt=7.1
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		2	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
		3	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
		4	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
		5	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
		6	M=2.94	Mt=6.5
		7	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.01	Mt=6.7
		9	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		10	M=3.14	Mt=7.1

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.46	Mt=6.1
		2	M=4.44	Mt=3.8
		3	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		4	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		5	M=6.23	Mt=5.8
		6	M=6.86	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.02	Mt=6.7
		8	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.59	Mt=8.4

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.60	Mt=5.3
		2	M=2.17	Mt=3.9
		3	M=2.77	Mt=5.9
		4	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		5	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		6	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		7	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		8	M=3.06	Mt=6.9
		9	M=3.20	Mt=7.3
		10	M=3.07	Mt=6.9

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.22	Mt=2.5
		2	M=4.00	Mt=3.3
		3	M=4.68	Mt=4.1
		4	M=5.69	Mt=5.2
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.22	Mt=5.8
		7	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		8	M=7.11	Mt=6.8
		9	M=7.90	Mt=7.7
		10	M=6.75	Mt=6.4

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.78	Mt=4.2
		2	M=-.71	Mt=4.3
		3	M=-.05	Mt=4.9
		4	M=-.15	Mt=4.8
		5	M=.10	Mt=5.1
		6	M=.40	Mt=5.4
		7	M=.51	Mt=5.5
		8	M=.90	Mt=5.9
		9	M=.92	Mt=5.9
		10	M=.38	Mt=5.4

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.11 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.42 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.18 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.23 Working Ss only
p<.001

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.05</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.45</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/14

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Mexico, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1531

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.35	Mt=3.7
		2	M=3.86	Mt=3.2
		3	M=3.75	Mt=3.1
		4	M=5.10	Mt=4.6
		5	M=6.48	Mt=6.1
		6	M=6.38	Mt=6.0
		7	M=6.95	Mt=6.6
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.25	Mt=8.1
		10	M=8.73	Mt=8.6
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.71	Mt=5.7
		2	M=2.58	Mt=5.3
		3	M=2.45	Mt=4.8
		4	M=2.32	Mt=4.4
		5	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		6	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		7	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
		8	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		9	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
		10	M=3.19	Mt=7.3

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .41	Mt=5.4
		2	M=-1.00	Mt=4.0
		3	M= .10	Mt=5.1
		4	M=-.22	Mt=4.8
		5	M= .68	Mt=5.7
		6	M= .68	Mt=5.7
		7	M= 1.51	Mt=6.5
		8	M= 1.61	Mt=6.6
		9	M= 2.10	Mt=7.1
		10	M= 2.09	Mt=7.1

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.33 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.25 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.55 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.24 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.46 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.21 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.56 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.25 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.31 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/19

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Sweden, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1047

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=- .17	Mt=4.8
		2	M=-1.58	Mt=3.4
		3	M= 1.17	Mt=6.2
		4	M= .66	Mt=5.7
		5	M= 1.02	Mt=6.0
		6	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
		7	M= 1.71	Mt=6.7
		8	M= 2.05	Mt=7.1
		9	M= 2.31	Mt=7.3
		10	M= 2.62	Mt=7.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		2	M=4.79	Mt=4.2
		3	M=6.81	Mt=6.5
		4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.93	Mt=8.8

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=5.91	Mt=5.5
		3	M=8.29	Mt=8.1
		4	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
		5	M=7.10	Mt=6.8
		6	M=7.33	Mt=7.0
		7	M=7.68	Mt=7.4
		8	M=7.93	Mt=7.7
		9	M=8.05	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.85	Mt=8.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		2	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.41	Mt=8.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		3	M=3.43	Mt=8.1
		4	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		6	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		7	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
		8	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		9	M=3.37	Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.48	Mt=8.3

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M= .36	Mt=5.4
		3	M=2.29	Mt=7.3
		4	M=1.79	Mt=6.8
		5	M=2.40	Mt=7.4
		6	M=2.36	Mt=7.4
		7	M=2.94	Mt=7.9
		8	M=3.07	Mt=8.1
		9	M=2.83	Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.50	Mt=8.5

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/18

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Norway, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1239

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		5	M=3.25	Mt=7.5
		6	M=3.21	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		9	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		10	M=3.36	Mt=7.9
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=5.27	Mt=4.7
		4	M=6.73	Mt=6.4
		5	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		6	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		7	M=7.48	Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.85	Mt=7.6
		9	M=8.22	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.45	Mt=8.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M= .45	Mt=5.5
		4	M=1.36	Mt=6.4
		5	M=1.93	Mt=6.9
		6	M=2.20	Mt=7.2
		7	M=2.39	Mt=7.4
		8	M=2.60	Mt=7.6
		9	M=2.84	Mt=7.8
		10	M=2.76	Mt=7.8

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>
-------------------------	-----------------------------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/23

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Finland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 588

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=-.44	Mt=4.6
		4	M=-.33	Mt=4.7
		5	M=.43	Mt=5.4
		6	M=.58	Mt=5.6
		7	M=.84	Mt=5.8
		8	M=1.13	Mt=6.1
		9	M=1.56	Mt=6.6
		10	M=2.22	Mt=7.2

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
		4	M=2.91	Mt=6.4
		5	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		6	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		7	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		8	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		9	M=3.20	Mt=7.3
		10	M=3.25	Mt=7.5

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=5.87	Mt=5.4
		4	M=6.50	Mt=6.1
		5	M=6.00	Mt=5.6
		6	M=6.65	Mt=6.3
		7	M=7.47	Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.72	Mt=7.5
		9	M=8.26	Mt=8.1
		10	M=8.61	Mt=8.5

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.44</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/21

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Iceland 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 702

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=5.92 Mt=5.5
		4	M=7.80 Mt=7.6
		5	M=7.65 Mt=7.4
		6	M=7.13 Mt=6.8
		7	M=7.54 Mt=7.3
		8	M=8.11 Mt=7.9
		9	M=8.28 Mt=8.1
		10	M=8.66 Mt=8.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=3.00 Mt=6.7
		4	M=3.00 Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.21 Mt=7.4
		6	M=3.21 Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.39 Mt=8.0
		8	M=3.40 Mt=8.0
		9	M=3.40 Mt=8.0
		10	M=3.51 Mt=8.4

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M= .50 Mt=5.5
		4	M=2.50 Mt=7.5
		5	M=2.00 Mt=7.0
		6	M=2.22 Mt=7.2
		7	M=2.24 Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.90 Mt=7.9
		9	M=2.99 Mt=8.0
		10	M=2.70 Mt=7.7

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.29 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.18 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.22 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.25 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.12 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.17 ß controlled for sex and age
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.29 ß controlled for sex and age
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.23 ß controlled for sex and age
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/22

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Argentina, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1002

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M= .80 Mt=5.8
		3	M= .33 Mt=5.3
		4	M= .94 Mt=5.9
		5	M= .80 Mt=5.8
		6	M=1.58 Mt=6.6
		7	M=1.43 Mt=6.4
		8	M=1.72 Mt=6.7
		9	M=1.48 Mt=6.5
		10	M=1.92 Mt=6.9
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=4.90 Mt=4.3
		3	M=5.92 Mt=5.5
		4	M=6.22 Mt=5.8
		5	M=6.27 Mt=5.9
		6	M=7.08 Mt=6.8
		7	M=6.92 Mt=6.6
		8	M=7.48 Mt=7.2
		9	M=7.85 Mt=7.6
		10	M=8.09 Mt=7.9

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=2.70 Mt=5.7
		3	M=2.91 Mt=6.4
		4	M=2.89 Mt=6.3
		5	M=2.91 Mt=6.4
		6	M=3.22 Mt=7.4
		7	M=2.99 Mt=6.6
		8	M=3.08 Mt=6.9
		9	M=3.09 Mt=7.0
		10	M=3.30 Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income	

Study

TIMME 1997/24

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, South Korea, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1251

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.92	Mt=3.2
		2	M=4.33	Mt=3.7
		3	M=4.78	Mt=4.2
		4	M=5.26	Mt=4.7
		5	M=5.55	Mt=5.1
		6	M=6.73	Mt=6.4
		7	M=6.78	Mt=6.4
		8	M=7.62	Mt=7.4
		9	M=7.93	Mt=7.7
		10	M=8.45	Mt=8.3
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.47	Mt=4.9
		2	M=2.21	Mt=4.0
		3	M=2.37	Mt=4.6
		4	M=2.51	Mt=5.0
		5	M=2.68	Mt=5.6
		6	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		7	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		8	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		9	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		10	M=3.15	Mt=7.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.55</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.47</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.52</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/28

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Brazil 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1782

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	DM=+	1	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		2	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.86	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		7	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		10	M=3.41	Mt=8.0
O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa	DM=+	1	M=4.93	Mt=4.4
		2	M=4.79	Mt=4.2
		3	M=6.81	Mt=6.5
		4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		5	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.55	Mt=7.3
		9	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.93	Mt=8.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=- .17	Mt=4.8
		2	M=-1.58	Mt=3.4
		3	M= 1.17	Mt=6.2
		4	M= .66	Mt=5.7
		5	M= 1.02	Mt=6.0
		6	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
		7	M= 1.71	Mt=6.7
		8	M= 2.05	Mt=7.1
		9	M= 2.31	Mt=7.3
		10	M= 2.62	Mt=7.6

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=5.34	Mt=4.8
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=4.97	Mt=4.4
		4	M=5.53	Mt=5.0
		5	M=6.38	Mt=6.0
		6	M=6.98	Mt=6.6
		7	M=7.16	Mt=6.8
		8	M=7.61	Mt=7.3
		9	M=7.78	Mt=7.5
		10	M=8.33	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=2.39	Mt=4.6
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=2.84	Mt=6.1
		4	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		5	M=2.83	Mt=6.1
		6	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		7	M=2.91	Mt=6.4
		8	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		9	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		10	M=3.08	Mt=6.9

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .33	Mt=5.3
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M= .19	Mt=5.2
		4	M= .06	Mt=5.1
		5	M= .98	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.28	Mt=6.3
		7	M=1.36	Mt=6.4
		8	M=1.43	Mt=6.4
		9	M=1.81	Mt=6.8
		10	M=1.75	Mt=6.8

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u>	Working Ss only
	<u>p<.001</u>	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/32

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged general public, India, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2500

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=2.73 Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.92 Mt=6.4
		4	M=3.00 Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.14 Mt=7.1
		6	M=3.11 Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.19 Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.26 Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.36 Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.47 Mt=8.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=1.00 Mt=6.0
		3	M= .77 Mt=5.8
		4	M= .97 Mt=6.0
		5	M=1.70 Mt=6.7
		6	M=1.80 Mt=6.8
		7	M=2.19 Mt=7.2
		8	M=2.60 Mt=7.6
		9	M=2.75 Mt=7.7
		10	M=3.00 Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=5.18	Mt=4.6
		3	M=6.92	Mt=6.6
		4	M=6.37	Mt=6.0
		5	M=6.58	Mt=6.2
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.44	Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.63	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.23	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.71	Mt=8.6

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
		2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
		3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
		4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
		8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
		10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		2	M=2.20	Mt=1.3
		3	M=4.36	Mt=3.7
		4	M=4.55	Mt=3.9
		5	M=5.45	Mt=4.9
		6	M=5.65	Mt=5.2
		7	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		8	M=6.59	Mt=6.2
		9	M=8.19	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.09	Mt=7.9

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-1.41	Mt=3.6
		2	M=.53	Mt=5.5
		3	M=-.45	Mt=4.6
		4	M=.11	Mt=5.1
		5	M=.21	Mt=5.2
		6	M=.45	Mt=5.5
		7	M=.27	Mt=5.3
		8	M=.61	Mt=5.6
		9	M=1.04	Mt=6.1
		10	M=.61	Mt=5.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.43	Mt=4.8
		2	M=2.29	Mt=4.3
		3	M=2.66	Mt=5.5
		4	M=2.31	Mt=4.4
		5	M=2.58	Mt=5.3
		6	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		7	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		8	M=3.07	Mt=6.9
		9	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		10	M=3.15	Mt=7.2

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.56</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.47</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.53</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/26

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Switzerland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1400

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		4	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		5	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		6	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.36	Mt=7.9
		10	M=3.47	Mt=8.2

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
		4	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		5	M=3.12	Mt=7.1
		6	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		8	M=3.25	Mt=7.5
		9	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
		10	M=3.47	Mt=8.2

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=5.18	Mt=4.6
		3	M=6.92	Mt=6.6
		4	M=6.37	Mt=6.0
		5	M=6.58	Mt=6.2
		6	M=6.89	Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.44	Mt=7.2
		8	M=7.63	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.23	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.71	Mt=8.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
		2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
		9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
		10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M= .80 M=5.8
		4	M= .69 M=5.7
		5	M= .49 M=5.5
		6	M= .41 M=5.4
		7	M= .77 M=5.8
		8	M= .89 M=5.9
		9	M=1.18 M=6.2
		10	M=1.18 M=6.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=7.21 Mt=6.9
		4	M=6.58 Mt=6.2
		5	M=7.20 Mt=6.9
		6	M=7.41 Mt=7.1
		7	M=7.67 Mt=7.4
		8	M=8.01 Mt=7.8
		9	M=8.60 Mt=8.4
		10	M=9.07 Mt=9.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/25

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Poland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 938

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=5.52 Mt=5.0
		4	M=6.00 Mt=5.6
		5	M=5.72 Mt=5.2
		6	M=6.83 Mt=6.5
		7	M=6.64 Mt=6.3
		8	M=6.66 Mt=6.3
		9	M=7.36 Mt=7.1
		10	M=6.85 Mt=6.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=2.70 Mt=5.7
		4	M=2.91 Mt=6.4
		5	M=3.00 Mt=6.7
		6	M=2.94 Mt=6.5
		7	M=2.95 Mt=6.5
		8	M=2.97 Mt=6.6
		9	M=3.06 Mt=6.9
		10	M=2.97 Mt=6.6

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M= .93 Mt=5.9
		4	M=1.06 Mt=6.1
		5	M= .96 Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.82 Mt=6.8
		7	M=1.26 Mt=6.3
		8	M=1.37 Mt=6.4
		9	M=1.69 Mt=6.7
		10	M=1.65 Mt=6.6

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.01

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.04 ns Working Ss only

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.02 ns Working Ss only

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.08 Working Ss only
p<.01

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.12 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.05

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.07 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.05

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.19 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/30

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Chile1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1500

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .07	Mt=5.1
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=-.36	Mt=4.6
		4	M= .46	Mt=5.5
		5	M= .54	Mt=5.5
		6	M= .81	Mt=5.8
		7	M=1.12	Mt=6.1
		8	M=1.35	Mt=6.3
		9	M=1.37	Mt=6.4
		10	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=6.60	Mt=6.2
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=6.04	Mt=5.6
		4	M=6.38	Mt=6.0
		5	M=6.86	Mt=6.5
		6	M=6.78	Mt=6.4
		7	M=7.39	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.58	Mt=7.3
		9	M=7.85	Mt=7.6
		10	M=8.55	Mt=8.4

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=2.77	Mt=5.9
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=2.67	Mt=5.6
		4	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		5	M=2.85	Mt=6.2
		6	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		7	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		8	M=3.08	Mt=6.9
		9	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		10	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.33</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/31

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Belarus, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1015

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured
Happiness

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.05	Mt=3.5
2	M=2.21	Mt=4.0
3	M=2.19	Mt=4.0
4	M=2.30	Mt=4.3
5	M=2.44	Mt=4.8
6	M=2.61	Mt=5.4
7	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
8	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
9	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
10	M=2.55	Mt=5.2

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-.43	Mt=4.6
2	M=-.28	Mt=4.7
3	M=.06	Mt=5.1
4	M=.00	Mt=5.0
5	M=.64	Mt=5.6
6	M=1.33	Mt=6.3
7	M=1.21	Mt=6.2
8	M=.98	Mt=6.0
9	M=1.68	Mt=6.7
10	M=1.41	Mt=6.4

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.67	Mt=3.0
		2	M=3.75	Mt=3.1
		3	M=4.30	Mt=3.7
		4	M=4.78	Mt=4.2
		5	M=5.02	Mt=4.5
		6	M=5.89	Mt=5.4
		7	M=6.00	Mt=5.6
		8	M=6.25	Mt=5.8
		9	M=6.80	Mt=6.4
		10	M=6.432	Mt=6.0

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.18 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.37 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.30 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.19 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.15 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.18 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.38 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.27 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/34

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, East Germany, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1336

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.35	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.14	Mt=5.1
		3	M=.56	Mt=5.6
		4	M=.91	Mt=5.9
		5	M=.92	Mt=5.9
		6	M=1.10	Mt=6.1
		7	M=1.79	Mt=6.8
		8	M=1.69	Mt=6.7
		9	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		10	M=1.80	Mt=6.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.50	Mt=5.0
		2	M=6.21	Mt=5.8
		3	M=5.48	Mt=5.0
		4	M=5.91	Mt=5.5
		5	M=5.90	Mt=5.4
		6	M=6.53	Mt=6.1
		7	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		8	M=7.14	Mt=6.8
		9	M=7.65	Mt=7.4
		10	M=7.67	Mt=7.4

<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
		2	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		3	M=2.80	Mt=6.0
		4	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		5	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		6	M=3.02	Mt=6.7
		7	M=3.01	Mt=6.7
		8	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		9	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		10	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/35

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Slovenia, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1035

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.90	Mt=2.1
		2	M=3.55	Mt=2.8
		3	M=3.61	Mt=2.9
		4	M=4.57	Mt=4.0
		5	M=4.40	Mt=3.8
		6	M=5.01	Mt=4.6
		7	M=5.38	Mt=4.9
		8	M=5.79	Mt=5.3
		9	M=6.41	Mt=6.0
		10	M=6.22	Mt=5.8
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.76	Mt=2.5
		2	M=2.05	Mt=3.5
		3	M=2.14	Mt=3.8
		4	M=2.09	Mt=3.6
		5	M=2.22	Mt=4.1
		6	M=2.28	Mt=4.3
		7	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		8	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		9	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		10	M=2.66	Mt=5.5

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .82	Mt=5.8
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M= .17	Mt=5.2
		4	M= .60	Mt=5.6
		5	M=1.20	Mt=6.2
		6	M=1.68	Mt=6.7
		7	M=1.78	Mt=6.8
		8	M=1.90	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.59	Mt=7.6
		10	M=2.31	Mt=7.3

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.37 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.41 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.22 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.32 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.24 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.22 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.35 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.36 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/29

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged general public, Nigeria, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1001

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	Less than 10 Ss
3	M=2.03 Mt=3.4
4	M=2.21 Mt=4.0
5	M=2.38 Mt=4.6
6	M=2.43 Mt=4.8
7	M=2.75 Mt=5.8
8	M=3.25 Mt=7.5
9	M=3.18 Mt=7.3
10	M=3.31 Mt=7.7

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	Less than 10 Ss
3	M=1.13 Mt=6.1
4	M= .90 Mt=5.9
5	M=1.20 Mt=6.2
6	M=1.17 Mt=6.2
7	M=1.85 Mt=6.9
8	M=2.15 Mt=7.1
9	M=2.44 Mt=7.4
10	M=1.68 Mt=6.7

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=4.37 Mt=3.7
		4	M=4.75 Mt=4.2
		5	M=4.81 Mt=4.2
		6	M=5.16 Mt=4.6
		7	M=5.69 Mt=5.2
		8	M=7.44 Mt=7.2
		9	M=7.29 Mt=7.0
		10	M=7.67 Mt=7.4

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.14 Working Ss only
p<.05

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.46 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.40 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.36 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.09 Working Ss only
p<.05

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.39 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.40 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.04 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
ns

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.48 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/33

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Czechoslovakia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1396

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-1.04	Mt=4.0
2	M= .54	Mt=5.5
3	M= .09	Mt=5.1
4	M= .19	Mt=5.2
5	M= .34	Mt=5.3
6	M= .77	Mt=5.8
7	M= .86	Mt=5.9
8	M= 1.04	Mt=6.0
9	M= .98	Mt=6.0
10	M= 1.30	Mt=6.3

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

1	M=4.67	Mt=4.1
2	M=5.00	Mt=4.4
3	M=5.18	Mt=4.6
4	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
5	M=5.61	Mt=5.1
6	M=6.28	Mt=5.9
7	M=6.35	Mt=5.9
8	M=6.60	Mt=6.2
9	M=6.67	Mt=6.3
10	M=7.03	Mt=6.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.42	Mt=4.7
		2	M=2.46	Mt=4.9
		3	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
		4	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		5	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		6	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
		7	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		8	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		9	M=2.76	Mt=5.9
		10	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/36

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Bulgaria, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1034

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.91	Mt=2.1
		2	M=3.55	Mt=2.8
		3	M=3.61	Mt=2.9
		4	M=4.57	Mt=4.0
		5	M=4.40	Mt=3.8
		6	M=5.01	Mt=4.5
		7	M=5.38	Mt=4.9
		8	M=5.79	Mt=5.3
		9	M=6.41	Mt=6.0
		10	M=6.22	Mt=5.8
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.76	Mt=2.5
		2	M=2.05	Mt=3.5
		3	M=2.14	Mt=3.8
		4	M=2.09	Mt=3.6
		5	M=2.22	Mt=4.1
		6	M=2.28	Mt=4.3
		7	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		8	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		9	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		10	M=2.66	Mt=5.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/37

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Romania, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1103

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=

1	M=2.27	Mt=4.2
2	Less than 10 Ss	
3	M=2.53	Mt=5.1
4	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
5	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
6	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
7	M=2.68	Mt=5.6
8	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
9	M=2.85	Mt=6.2
10	M=2.85	Mt=6.2

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-.106	Mt=3.9
2	Less than 10 Ss	
3	M= .00	Mt=5.0
4	M= .41	Mt=5.4
5	M= .39	Mt=5.4
6	M= .29	Mt=5.3
7	M= 1.05	Mt=6.1
8	M= 1.33	Mt=6.3
9	M= 1.35	Mt=6.3
10	M= 1.87	Mt=6.9

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=4.20	Mt=3.6
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=4.78	Mt=4.2
		4	M=5.47	Mt=5.0
		5	M=5.05	Mt=4.5
		6	M=5.32	Mt=4.8
		7	M=6.32	Mt=5.9
		8	M=6.71	Mt=6.3
		9	M=6.76	Mt=6.4
		10	M=7.45	Mt=7.2

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.34 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.38 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.24 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.33 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.13 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.01

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.32 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.28 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/39

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+aged, general public, China, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.08	Mt=4.9
		2	M=.69	Mt=5.7
		3	M=.52	Mt=5.5
		4	M=.66	Mt=5.7
		5	M=1.04	Mt=6.0
		6	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
		7	M=1.45	Mt=6.4
		8	M=2.20	Mt=7.2
		9	M=2.11	Mt=7.1
		10	M=2.01	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.24	Mt=4.7
		2	M=6.19	Mt=5.8
		3	M=6.37	Mt=6.0
		4	M=5.55	Mt=5.1
		5	M=6.48	Mt=6.1
		6	M=7.10	Mt=6.8
		7	M=7.34	Mt=7.0
		8	M=7.80	Mt=7.6
		9	M=8.21	Mt=8.0
		10	M=8.46	Mt=8.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.48	Mt=4.9
		2	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.64	Mt=5.5
		4	M=2.15	Mt=3.8
		5	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		6	M=2.91	Mt=6.4
		7	M=2.93	Mt=6.4
		8	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.13	Mt=7.1
		10	M=3.27	Mt=7.6
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>r=+.43</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>Beta=+.43</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/17

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Portugal, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1185

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	Less than 10 Ss
		4	M=1.54 Mt=6.5
		5	M=1.46 Mt=6.5
		6	M=1.38 Mt=6.4
		7	M=1.89 Mt=6.9
		8	M=1.80 Mt=6.8
		9	M=1.54 Mt=6.5
		10	M=1.82 Mt=6.8
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	Less than 10 Ss
		4	M=2.80 Mt=6.0
		5	M=2.84 Mt=6.1
		6	M=2.83 Mt=6.1
		7	M=2.93 Mt=6.4
		8	M=2.95 Mt=6.5
		9	M=2.93 Mt=6.4
		10	M=3.06 Mt=6.9

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	Less than 10 Ss
		4	M=6.74 Mt=6.4
		5	M=6.42 Mt=6.0
		6	M=6.84 Mt=6.5
		7	M=7.11 Mt=6.8
		8	M=7.47 Mt=7.2
		9	M=7.41 Mt=7.1
		10	M=8.42 Mt=8.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.14</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.40</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	

Study

TIMME 1997/42

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Austria, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1460

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	DM=	1	M=3.00	Mt=8.1
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Empty category	
		4	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		5	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		6	M=3.02	Mt=6.7
		7	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
		8	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
		9	M=3.20	Mt=7.3
		10	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a	DM=	1	M=2.39	Mt=7.4
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Empty category	
		4	M= .67	Mt=5.7
		5	M= .42	Mt=5.4
		6	M=1.24	Mt=6.2
		7	M=1.73	Mt=6.7
		8	M=1.96	Mt=7.0
		9	M=2.38	Mt=7.4
		10	M=2.33	Mt=7.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=4.74	Mt=4.2
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	Empty category	
		4	M=6.25	Mt=5.8
		5	M=6.79	Mt=6.4
		6	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		7	M=6.91	Mt=6.6
		8	M=7.76	Mt=7.5
		9	M=8.00	Mt=7.8
		10	M=7.17	Mt=6.9

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.35 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=-.10 Working Ss only
p<.01

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.01 ns Working Ss only

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.24 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.04 ns Working Ss only

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=-.04 ns Working Ss only

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.35 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=-.11 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.01

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.01 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
ns

Study

TIMME 1997/44

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged general public, Turkey, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1030

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*[O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a](#)[DM=+](#)

1	M=3.40	Mt=8.0
2	M=2.74	Mt=5.8
3	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
5	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
6	M=3.23	Mt=7.4
7	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
8	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
9	M=3.48	Mt=8.3
10	M=3.39	Mt=8.0

[A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a](#)[DM=](#)

1	M=1.47	Mt=6.5
2	M=-.24	Mt=4.8
3	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
4	M=.55	Mt=5.6
5	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
6	M=1.83	Mt=6.8
7	M=1.82	Mt=6.8
8	M=2.02	Mt=7.0
9	M=2.48	Mt=7.5
10	M=2.27	Mt=7.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		2	M=4.47	Mt=3.9
		3	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
		4	M=6.64	Mt=6.3
		5	M=6.63	Mt=6.3
		6	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
		7	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		8	M=7.69	Mt=7.4
		9	M=8.03	Mt=7.8
		10	M=8.27	Mt=8.1

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.90	Mt=5.4
		2	M=5.60	Mt=5.1
		3	M=4.81	Mt=4.2
		4	M=5.97	Mt=5.5
		5	M=5.78	Mt=5.3
		6	M=7.05	Mt=6.7
		7	M=6.74	Mt=6.4
		8	M=6.87	Mt=6.5
		9	M=7.45	Mt=7.2
		10	M=8.13	Mt=7.9

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.04	Mt=5.0
		2	M=.80	Mt=5.8
		3	M=.27	Mt=5.3
		4	M=.26	Mt=5.3
		5	M=.64	Mt=5.6
		6	M=.95	Mt=6.0
		7	M=1.09	Mt=6.1
		8	M=1.17	Mt=6.2
		9	M=1.27	Mt=6.3
		10	M=1.38	Mt=6.4

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
		2	M=2.90	Mt=6.3
		3	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.71	Mt=5.7
		5	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		6	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		7	M=2.94	Mt=6.5
		8	M=3.10	Mt=7.0
		9	M=3.18	Mt=7.3
		10	M=3.38	Mt=7.9

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.25 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.34 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.19 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.33 Working Ss only
p<.001

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/46

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Lithuania, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.06	Mt=4.9
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=-.14	Mt=4.9
		4	M= .55	Mt=5.6
		5	M= .50	Mt=5.5
		6	M= .23	Mt=5.2
		7	M= .68	Mt=5.7
		8	M= .95	Mt=6.0
		9	M=1.10	Mt=6.1
		10	M= .82	Mt=5.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=4.44	Mt=3.8
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=4.83	Mt=4.3
		4	M=5.50	Mt=5.0
		5	M=5.91	Mt=5.5
		6	M=5.58	Mt=5.1
		7	M=6.10	Mt=5.6
		8	M=6.33	Mt=5.9
		9	M=6.35	Mt=5.9
		10	M=6.46	Mt=6.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=2.39	Mt=4.6
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=2.46	Mt=4.9
		4	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		5	M=2.53	Mt=5.1
		6	M=2.41	Mt=4.7
		7	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		8	M=2.60	Mt=5.3
		9	M=2.60	Mt=5.3
		10	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.05 ns</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.04 ns</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/47

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Latvia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 903

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.47	Mt=4.9
2	M=2.53	Mt=5.1
3	M=2.32	Mt=4.4
4	M=2.24	Mt=4.1
5	M=2.51	Mt=5.0
6	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
7	M=2.48	Mt=4.9
8	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
9	M=2.68	Mt=5.6
10	M=2.61	Mt=5.4

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

1	M=3.75	Mt=3.1
2	M=3.67	Mt=3.0
3	M=4.40	Mt=3.8
4	M=4.84	Mt=4.3
5	M=5.11	Mt=4.6
6	M=5.79	Mt=5.3
7	M=5.87	Mt=5.4
8	M=6.30	Mt=5.9
9	M=6.92	Mt=6.6
10	M=6.48	Mt=6.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .19	Mt=5.2
		2	M= .33	Mt=5.3
		3	M= .28	Mt=5.3
		4	M=-.21	Mt=4.8
		5	M= .54	Mt=5.5
		6	M=1.10	Mt=6.1
		7	M=1.15	Mt=6.2
		8	M=1.29	Mt=6.3
		9	M=1.53	Mt=6.5
		10	M=1.37	Mt=6.4

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.26 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.35 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.01

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.10 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.20 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.25 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.34 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.14 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/48

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Estonia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1008

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M= .04	Mt=5.0
2	M=-.23	Mt=4.8
3	M=-.02	Mt=5.0
4	M= .35	Mt=5.3
5	M= .48	Mt=5.5
6	M= .74	Mt=5.7
7	M= .99	Mt=6.0
8	M=1.01	Mt=6.0
9	M=1.25	Mt=6.3
10	M=1.22	Mt=6.2

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.38	Mt=4.6
2	M=2.37	Mt=4.6
3	M=2.18	Mt=3.9
4	M=2.49	Mt=5.0
5	M=2.61	Mt=5.4
6	M=2.70	Mt=5.7
7	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
8	M=2.61	Mt=5.4
9	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
10	M=2.59	Mt=5.3

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.42	Mt=3.8
		2	M=4.82	Mt=4.2
		3	M=4.96	Mt=4.4
		4	M=5.19	Mt=4.7
		5	M=5.34	Mt=4.8
		6	M=6.32	Mt=5.9
		7	M=5.95	Mt=5.5
		8	M=6.23	Mt=5.8
		9	M=7.15	Mt=6.8
		10	M=6.75	Mt=6.4

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.23 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.32 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.13 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.09 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.15 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.25 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.14 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.23 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.31 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/50

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, Russia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1961

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-.21	Mt=4.8
2	M=-.58	Mt=4.4
3	M=-.44	Mt=4.6
4	M=.02	Mt=5.0
5	M=.27	Mt=5.3
6	M=.50	Mt=5.5
7	M=.47	Mt=5.5
8	M=.70	Mt=5.7
9	M=1.05	Mt=6.1
10	M=1.07	Mt=6.1

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=2.30	Mt=4.3
2	M=2.20	Mt=4.0
3	M=2.34	Mt=4.5
4	M=2.35	Mt=4.5
5	M=2.49	Mt=5.0
6	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
7	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
8	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
9	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
10	M=2.63	Mt=5.4

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.22	Mt=3.6
		2	M=3.52	Mt=2.8
		3	M=3.82	Mt=3.1
		4	M=4.60	Mt=4.0
		5	M=5.13	Mt=4.6
		6	M=5.14	Mt=4.6
		7	M=5.65	Mt=5.2
		8	M=5.93	Mt=5.5
		9	M=6.43	Mt=6.0
		10	M=6.55	Mt=6.2

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa r=+.35 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a r=+.21 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a r=+.23 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa tb=+.28 Working Ss only
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a tc=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a tc=+.17 Working Ss only
p<.001

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a Beta=+.24 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa Beta=+.36 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a Beta=+.22 ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
p<.001

Study

TIMME 1997/1

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, France, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1002

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question: "Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?" rated on a 10-point numerical scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

1	M=5.56	Mt=5.1
2	M=4.80	Mt=4.2
3	M=5.25	Mt=4.7
4	M=6.00	Mt=5.6
5	M=5.71	Mt=5.2
6	M=6.65	Mt=6.3
7	M=6.93	Mt=6.6
8	M=7.07	Mt=6.7
9	M=7.56	Mt=7.3
10	M=7.95	Mt=7.7

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=1.11	Mt=6.1
2	M=-.40	Mt=4.6
3	M=1.67	Mt=6.7
4	M=.95	Mt=6.0
5	M=1.07	Mt=6.1
6	M=1.08	Mt=6.1
7	M=1.65	Mt=6.7
8	M=1.87	Mt=6.9
9	M=2.44	Mt=7.4
10	M=2.03	Mt=7.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		2	M=2.80	Mt=6.0
		3	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.95	Mt=6.5
		5	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		6	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		7	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		8	M=3.15	Mt=7.2
		9	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
		10	M=3.47	Mt=8.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tb=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

HEDLE 1981

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-402

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
 Taking into consideration all things about your job, how
 satisfied or dissatisfied are you with it...
 1: very dissatisfied
 2: dissatisfied
 3: indifferent
 4: satisfied
 5: very satisfied

Measured Values: 1: 4%, 2: 8%, 3: 14%, 4: 55%, 5: 19%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.80</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=+.53</u>	

Study

HEDLE 1981

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-402

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
 ''Knowing what you know now, if you had to decide all over again wether to take the job you have now, what would you decide''....
 1: I would decide definitely not to take the same job
 2: I would have some second thoughts about taking the same job again
 3: I would decide without any hasitation to take the same job

Measured Values: 1: 10%, 2: 35%, 3: 55 %,

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.71</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=+.45</u>	

Study**HEDLE 1981**

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-402

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
 Have you tried to change from this job to a new job with
 another employer within the last six months...
 1: yes, and I will move at the first opportunity
 2: yes, but not too seriously
 3: no, there are not many jobs available now
 4: no, I would loose to much in job-change now
 5: no, I am satisfied with my job

Measured Values: 1: 7%, 2: 7%, 3: 13%, 4: 14%, 5: 59%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.72</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=+.45</u>	

Study**BALAT 1993**

Reported in: Balatsky, G.; Diener, E.
 Subjective Well-Being among Russian Students.
 Social Indicators Research, 1993, Vol. 28, 225 - 243 ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF01079019
 Page in Report: 235

Population: Students, Moscow and Glazov (Ural), Russia, 1990

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 116

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question: "How do you feel about your paid employment right now?".
 Rated on 7-point scale from:
 1.Terrible.....to
 .
 .
 7.Delighted.

Measured Values: M= 4.09; SD=1.22

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.01</u>	p two-tailed
<u>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.01</u>	p two tailed

Study

BRAY 1983

Reported in: Bray, D.W.; Howard, A.
 The AT & T Longitudinal Studies of Managers.
 Schaie, K.W.; Ed.: "Longitudinal Studies of Adult Psychological Development", Guilford Press, 1983,
 New York, USA, 266 - 312 ISBN 0 898 621 313
 Page in Report: 302

Population: 40+ aged male managers, Bell Telephone Company, 1978

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response: Panel loss at T5 37%

N: 422

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Rating by 4 experts on the basis of multi-method
 assessments during stays in assessment-center.

Rater instruction "To what extent does this person have
 positive feelings about the current assignment?"

Assessed at T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[M-PL/c/rc/v/5/a](#)

r=

T5 job satisfaction by T5 happiness.

Analysis showed a significantly positive
 correlation (value indicated as in the 50.).

Study

VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
 Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
 Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN
 8790190017 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp>
 Page in Report: 134

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 1494

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Single question:
 "How satisfied are you at present with your job ?"
 1: very dissatisfied
 2: dissatisfied
 3: neither/nor
 4: satisfied
 5: very satisfied

Measured Values: N: 1:23, 2:46, 3:134, 4:455, 5:232

Remarks: employed Ss only

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.35</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.98 2: Mt=6.65 3: Mt=7.09 4: Mt=8.03 5: Mt=8.75 All Mt=7.94
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.40</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.98 2: Mt=5.65 3: Mt=6.48 4: Mt=7.71 5: Mt=8.51 All Mt=7.59

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h

r=+.32
p<.00

- 1: Mt=6.09
- 2: Mt=5.54
- 3: Mt=6.29
- 4: Mt=7.14
- 5: Mt=7.85
- All Mt=7.08

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.

Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-årige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).

Forskningscenter for Livskvalitet, Forskningscentrets Forlag, København 1996, ISBN 8790190068 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp>

Page in Report: 142

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen*Sample:* Non-probability chunk sample*Non-Response:* 39%*N:* 4500

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Single question:

How satisfied are you at present with your job ?

1: very dissatisfied

2: dissatisfied

3: neither/nor

4: satisfied

5: very satisfied

Measured Values: N All:3605; %:1:2,7; 2:7,4; 3:14,8; 4:50,7; 5:24,4

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.33</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.89 2: Mt=6.75 3: Mt=7.20 4: Mt=8.04 5: Mt=8.70
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.36</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.30 2: Mt=6.29 3: Mt=6.76 4: Mt=7.74 5: Mt=8.51
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.64 2: Mt=6.29 3: Mt=6.50 4: Mt=7.19 5: Mt=7.91

Study

HEADE 1991

Reported in: Headey, B.; Veenhoven, R.; Wearing, A.
 Top-down versus Bottom-up Theories of Subjective Well-Being.
 Social Indicators Research, 1991, Vol. 24, 81 - 100. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00292652
 Page in Report: 94

Population: 18-65 aged, general public, Victoria, Australia, followed from 1981 to 1987 in two 24 months periods

Sample: Probability stratified sample

Non-Response:

N: 942

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Index of 5 single questions on satisfaction with:
 a: your job
 b: the amount of say you have in how your job should be done
 c: where you work: the surroundings and conditions
 d: the hours you work and the amount of work you are asked to do
 e: what you have (equipment, information, supervision, etc.) for doing your job
 All scored on a 1-9 rating scale, summation by average.

Remarks: Panel analysis over four waves (1981, 1983, 1985, 1987) which estimates following effects
 - bottom up: effect of job satisfaction on life satisfaction
 - topdown: effect of life satisfaction on job satisfaction
 - contemporaneous: within wave correlation
 - lagged: over time (waves) correlation

Observed Relation with Happiness

Measured Happiness	Statistics	Elaboration/Remarks
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/9/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.07</u> <u>ns</u>	Contemporaneous bottom up: having a good job increases life satisfaction.
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/9/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Contemporaneous top down: happy people have good jobs.
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/9/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.03</u> <u>ns</u>	Lagged bottom up: having a good job increases life satisfaction.

O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a

Beta=+.19
p<.05

Lagged top down: happy people are satisfied with their jobs.

Beta's controlled for satisfaction with

- marriage
- standard of living
- leisure
- friendship
- health

Study**FINE 2004**

Reported in: Fine-Davis, M.;Fagnani, J.;Giovannini, D.;Hojgaard, L.;et al.
 Fathers and Mothers: Dilemmas of the Work-Life Balance: A Comparative Study in Four European Countries.
 Kluwer, 2004, Social Indicators Research Series, Volume 21, Dordrecht, Netherlands. ISBN 1 4020 1807 X
 Page in Report: 216-218, 320

Population: Dual career parents in four European cities, 200?

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response:

N: 400

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Self report on single question:
 How satisfied are you, all in all, with your present work?
 1= very dissatisfied, 6= very satisfied

Measured Values: Mean = 4.6

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</u>	<u>r=+.54</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Females
<u>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Males
<u>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</u>	<u>r=+.48</u> <u>p<.001</u>	All

Study**WRIGH 2002**

Reported in: Wright, T.A.; Cropanzano R.; Denney, Ph.J.; Moline, G.L.
 When a Happy Worker is a Productive Worker: A Preliminary Examination of Three Models.
 Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science, 2002, Vol. 34, 146 - 150. ISSN 0008 400X
 Page in Report: 148

Population: Public-sector managers, USA, 2002, followed 2 years

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 17

N: 59

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Selfreport of satisfaction with:
 - work itself
 - co-workers
 - supervision

Rated on a 5 point scale ranging from (1) very unsatisfied to (5) very satisfied. These 3 items were summed to form a composite measure of job satisfaction.
 Assessed at T1.

Measured Values: M= 3,2 SD = 0,8

Error Estimates: Cronbach alpha: .68

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e	<u>r=+.03 ns</u>	T1 happiness by T1 composite job satisfaction

Study**BERNO 1985**

Reported in: Bernow, R
 Livskvaliteten i Sverige. (Lifequality in Sweden)
 Stockholm Läns Landstring, 1985, Stockholm, Sweden.
 Page in Report: 198

Population: 18-70 aged, general public, Sweden., 1982

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1558

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Single direct questions about:
 (rated on a 7 point scale)

- a Challenge in worktask
- b Use of knowledge
- c Machanic routine work
- d Self control
- e Opportunity for promotion
- f Say in nomination of superiors
- g Pace of work
- h Work with others
- i Risk of lay off
- j Clean and quiet work environment
- k Opportunities to leave

All describing statements ()

All evaluating statements ()

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.29</u>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.04</u>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.17</u>	

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=-.08

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=+.23

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=-.13

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=+.07

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=+.21

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=+.09

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a r=-.04

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a R²=20%

O-SLW/c/sqrt/v/7/a R²=23%

Study	BETZ 1984
<i>Reported in:</i>	Betz, E.L. Two Tests of Maslow's Theory of Need Fulfillment. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1984, Vol. 24, 204 - 220 ISSN:0001 8791 Page in Report: 208, 215
<i>Population:</i>	College graduated women, USA, 1982
<i>Sample:</i>	
<i>Non-Response:</i>	33%
<i>N:</i>	474

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Porters Need Satisfaction Questionnaire was expanded by an item on 'physical safety'. The questionnaire provided 16 sets of items reflecting five of Maslow's human needs (security, safety, social, autonomy, esteem and self-actualization). Each of the items was scored on three dimensions:

- *How much of the characteristic is there now connected with your job?
- *How much of the characteristic do you think should be connected with your job?
- *How important is this job-characteristic for you?

For the present study, five need deficiency scores were calculated by subtracting the individual's response to the second part from the response to the first part. The individual score for each need deficiency was then calculated by averaging the responses across those items comprising each need scale (Item responses were based on a 7-point rating scale).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
---------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions on intrinsic job satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The recognition you get for good work - The amount of variety in your work
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

$r=+.42$

$p<.01$

controlle4d for age $rpc=+.42$

controlled for skill $rpc=+.43$

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 7-item index of closed questions on intrinsic job satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The recognition you get for good work - The amount of variety in your work
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.43

controlled for age rpc=+.44

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.45

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 8-item index of closed questions on
extrinsic job satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The physical working conditions
- Your hours of work
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely
satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

$r=+.50$

controlled for age $rpc=+.50$

$p<.01$

controlled for skill $rpc=+.50$

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 8-item index of closed questions on
extrinsic job satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The physical working conditions
- Your hours of work
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely
satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.39

controlled for age rpc=+.39

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.39

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 4-item index of closed questions on
job itself intrinsic satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The freedom to choose your own method of working
- The amount of variety in your job Answers scored on a
seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1)
to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

[r=+.36](#)

controlled for age rpc=+.36

[p<.01](#)

controlled for skill rpc=+.37

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 4-item index of closed questions on
job itself intrinsic satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- The freedom to choose your own method of working
- The amount of variety in your job Answers scored on a
seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1)
to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.37
p<.01

controlled for age rpc=+.36
controlled for skill rpc=+.39

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 5-item index of closed questions on working conditions satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- Your fellow workers
- Your job security
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab](#)

r=+.44

controlled for age rpc=+.44

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.44

Study**WARR 1979**

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 5-item index of closed questions on working conditions satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- Your fellow workers
- Your job security
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.32

controlled for age rpc=+.32

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.33

Study

HAAVI 1971

Reported in: Haavio-Mannila, E.
Satisfaction with Family, Work, Leisure and Life among Men and Women.
Human Relations, 1971, Vol. 24, 585 - 601. ISSN 0018 7267
Page in Report: 590

Population: 15-64 aged, general public, Finland, 1966

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 948

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b

r=+ p<.05

unmarried employed males	: r = +.26 (05)
unmarried employed females	: r = +.30 (05)
married employed males	: r = +.25 (05)
married employed females	: r = +.28 (05)

Study	BETZ 1984
<i>Reported in:</i>	Betz, E.L. Two Tests of Maslow's Theory of Need Fulfillment. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 1984, Vol. 24, 204 - 220 ISSN:0001 8791 Page in Report: 208, 215
<i>Population:</i>	College graduated women, USA, 1982
<i>Sample:</i>	
<i>Non-Response:</i>	33%
<i>N:</i>	474

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Porters Need Satisfaction Questionnaire was expanded by an item on 'physical safety'. The questionnaire provided 16 sets of items reflecting five of Maslow's human needs (security, safety, social, autonomy, esteem and self-actualization). Each of the items was scored on three dimensions:

- 1.How much of the characteristic is there now connected with your job?
- 2.How much of the characteristic do you think should be connected with your job?
- 3.How important is this job-characteristic for you?

For the present study, five need deficiency scores were calculated by subtracting the individual's response to the second part from the response to the first part. The individual score for each need deficiency was then calculated by averaging the responses across those items comprising each need scale (Item responses were based on a 7-point rating scale).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.05</u> <u>ns</u>	Security-safety deficiency
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.04</u> <u>ns</u>	*profesional-managerial
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.09</u> <u>ns</u>	*clerical-sales
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.05</u>	*Homemakers

<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.01</u> <u>ns</u>	Social deficiency
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.02</u> <u>ns</u>	*profesional-mamagerial
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.11</u> <u>ns</u>	*clerical-sales
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>ns</u>	*Homemakers
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.08</u> <u>ns</u>	Autonomy deficiency
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.05</u> <u>ns</u>	*profesional-managerial
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>ns</u>	*clerical-sales
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.22</u> <u>p<.05</u>	*Homemakers
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.02</u> <u>ns</u>	Esteem deficiency
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.12</u> <u>ns</u>	*profesional-managerial
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>ns</u>	*clerical-sales
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>ns</u>	*Homemakers
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.42</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Self-actualization deficiency
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.46</u> <u>p<.01</u>	*profesional-managerial
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.01</u> <u>ns</u>	*clerical-sales
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.57</u> <u>ns</u>	*Homemakers
		β's controlled for defienicies in other needs
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Total needfullfillment
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.01</u>	*profesional-managerial

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a r=+.40 ns *clerical-sales

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a r=+.57 *Homemakers
p<.01

Study

HULIN 1969

Reported in: Hulin, C.L.
 Source of Variation in Job and Life Satisfaction: The Role of Community and Job-Related Variables.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1969, Vol. 53, 279 -291
 Page in Report: 285

Population: White collar workers, living in company towns, Columbia Canada, 196?

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 470

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Cumulative point adjective check-list
 (Job descriptive Index; see Quinn & Kahn, 1967).
 1. Actual work done.
 2. Pay.
 3. Promotional opportunities.
 4. Supervision.
 5. Co-workers.

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+</u>	Males : r = +.27 (01) Females: r = +.14 (ns)
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Males only.
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Males only.
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.13</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Males only.
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+ p<.05</u>	Males : r = +.20 (01) Females: r = +.23 (05)

Study

IRIS 1972

Reported in: Iris, B.;Barrett, G.V.
Some Relations between Job and Life Satisfaction and Job Importance.
Journal of Applied Psychology, 1972, Vol. 56, 301 - 304
Page in Report: 302

Population: Male supervisors, chemical plant, USA, 197?

Sample: Probability cluster sample

Non-Response:

N: 69

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Job Descriptive Index (See Smith et al., 1969)(see also under HULIN 1969)
a. Work.
b. Pay.
c. Promotions.
d. Supervision.
e. Co-workers.

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>r=+</u>	Problem department : r = +.01 (ns) Non-problem department: r = +.31 (ns)
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>r=+</u>	Problem department : r = +.46 (01) Non-problem department: r = +.07 (ns)
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b</u>	<u>r=+</u>	Problem department : r = +.23 (ns) Non-problem department: r = +.36 (05)

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/br=+Problem department : $r = +.40$ (05)Non-problem department: $r = +.30$ (ns)O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/br=+Problem department : $r = +.04$ (ns)Non-problem department: $r = +.26$ (ns)

Ss in problem department characterized by: lower age, lower education, lower income, shorter tenure as well as less satisfaction with life, leisure and job.

Study**KAMMA 1979/2**

Reported in: Kammann, R.
Sourcebook for Affectometer 1.
Why Not? Foundation, 1979?, Dunedin, New Zealand
Page in Report: 10

Population: Working adults, Dunedin New Zealand, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 88

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement:

- 1 role ambiguity
- 2 role conflict
- 3 work overload
- 4 work underload
- 5 boredom
- 6 lack of autonomy
- 7 lack of job participation
- 8 lack of participation effectiveness
- 9 social comparison injustice
- 10 overall dissatisfaction

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.73</u>	Correlations of subscales with the Happiness Criterion Item: Mean Positive Sentences: r: +.63 Mean Positive Adjectives: r: +.67 Mean Negative Sentences: r: -.65 Mean Negative Adjectives: r: -.67
<u>A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>mr=-.18</u>	1 males r=-.33 (05) females r=-.06 (ns)
<u>A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>mr=-.17</u>	2 males r=-.31 (05) females r=-.06 (ns)
<u>A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>mr=-.20</u>	3 males r=-.26 (ns) females r=-.15 (ns)

A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.43	4	males r=-.75 (01)	females r=-.19 (ns)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.57	5	males r=-.79 (01)	females r=-.41 (01)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.36	6	males r=-.55 (01)	females r=-.22 (ns)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.09	7	males r=-.18 (ns)	females r=-.02 (ns)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.08	8	males r=-.23 (ns)	females r=+.04 (ns)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.28	9	males r=-.48 (ns)	females r=-.12 (ns)
A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a	mr=-.58	10	males r=-.76 (01)	females r=-.45 (01)
		Males	N=38.	Females N=50

Study**ANDRE 1976/6**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972-73

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2727

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Index of closed questions, asked in different samples: "How do you feel about...

1. your own health and physical condition (asked in November 1972 and July 1973)
2. the amount of physical work and exercise in your life (asked in April 1973 and July 1972)?" Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a	r=+.41	

Study

GAVIN 1978

Reported in: Gavin, J.F.;Kelley, R.E.
 The Psychological Climate and Reported Well-Being of Underground Miners: An Exploratory Study.
 Human Relations, 1978, Vol. 31, 567 - 581. ISSN 0018 7267
 Page in Report: 573

Population: Miners, non-management, company, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 469

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: 2-item index assessing the degree to which the worker reports physical discomforts as a result of his job.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/?/0/a	r=+.04 ns	

Study**ZUMA 1989**

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Please think about your present-day job. How satisfied are
with the
following aspects of your job?
Are you with these:
1 unsatisfied
2 not too satisfied
3 satisfied
4 very satisfied

Aspects mentioned:

- possibility of earning money;
- labourconditions;
- variety in labour;
- relation with colleagues;
- possibilities of career;
- jobsecurity;
- labour-time-arrangement;
- jobstatus;
- possibilities of organising one's
labour independently

Coding reversed by us. Signs of correlations reversed
accordingly.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/baSNR=

eta

G

r

ß

Possibilities of earning money:

1978

1980 -.19 -.21 -.17 +.19

1984

1988 -.24 -.30 -.22 +.27

Labourconditions:

1978

1980 -.25 -.30 -.25 +.24

1984

1988 -.23 -.28 -.19 +.24

Variety in labour:

1978

1980 -.21 -.24 -.20 +.19

1984

1988 -.19 -.22 -.16 +.21

Relation with colleagues:

1978

1980 -.23 -.30 -.23 +.21

1984

1988 -.13 -.18 -.12 +.14

Possibilities of career:

1978

1980 -.17 -.18 -.17 +.17

1984

1988 -.20 -.23 -.20 +.18

Jobsecurity:

1978

1980 -.13 -.12 -.11 +.14

1984

1988 -.16 -.17 -.16 +.14

Labour-time-arrangement:

1978

1980 -.19 -.22 -.19 +.18

1984

1988 -.17 -.23 -.14 +.16

Jobstatus:

1978

1980 -.16 -.20 -.17 +.17

1984

1988 -.11 -.16 -.10 +.11

Possibilities of organising one's labour independently:

1978

1980 -.20 -.21 -.20

1984

1988 -.19 -.22 -.16

ß's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/bSNR=

eta

G

r

ß

Possibility of earning money:

1978

1980 -.06 -.11 -.06 +.07

1984

1988 -.15 -.25 -.12 +.16

Labourconditions:

1978

1980 -.13 -.20 -.12 +.14

1984

1988 -.16 -.32 -.15 +.15

Variety in labour:

1978

1980 -.12 -.18 -.09 +.12

1984

1988 -.15 -.29 -.13 +.15

Relation with colleagues:

1978

1980 -.16 -.27 -.15 +.15

1984

1988 -.11 -.22 -.09 +.12

Possibilities of career:

1978

1980 -.09 -.13 -.07 +.08

1984

1988 -.18 -.24 -.13 +.17

Jobsecurity:

1978

1980 -.08 -.12 -.06 +.08

1984

1988 -.11 -.19 -.10 +.10

Labour-time-arrangement:

1978

1980 -.06 -.10 -.05 +.07

1984

1988 -.15 -.28 -.15 +.15

Jobstatus:

1978

1980 -.09 -.15 -.07 +.09

1984

1988 -.11 -.19 -.07 +.13

Possibilities of organising one's labour
independently:

1978

1980 +.20 +.21 +.20

1984

1988 +.19 +.22 +.16

ß's controlled for age, gender, perceived class
and marital status.

Study

VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
 Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
 Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN
 8790190017 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp>
 Page in Report: 132,160

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 1494

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Single question:
 "Do you feel your work is meaningful ?"
 1: no
 2: not sure
 3: yes

Measured Values: N: 1:53, 2:109, 3:690

Remarks: employed Ss only

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.12</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.98 2: Mt=7.86 3: Mt=8.01 All Mt=7.94
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.23 2: Mt=6.81 3: Mt=7.79 All Mt=7.56
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.04 2: Mt=6.70 3: Mt=7.21 All Mt=7.08

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.

Livskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-årige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).

Forskningscenter for Livskvalitet, Forskningscentrets Forlag, København 1996, ISBN 8790190068 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp>

Page in Report: 140

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen*Sample:* Non-probability chunk sample*Non-Response:* 39%*N:* 4500

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2*Measurement:* Single question:

Do you feel your work is meaningful?

1 no

2 not sure

3 yes

Measured Values: N All:3545; %:1:5,4; 2:12,6; 3:82

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=-.18</u> <u>p<..00</u>	1: Mt=7.03 2: Mt=7.19 3: Mt=8.11
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=-.20</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.48 2: Mt=6.79 3: Mt=7.83
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=-.16</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.31 2: Mt=6.50 3: Mt=7.31

Study

PAYNE 1974

Reported in: Payne, R.L.
N.M. Bradburn's Measures of Psychological Well-Being: An Attempt at Replication.
M.C.R. Social and Applied Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology University of Sheffield, 1974, UK
Page in Report:

Population: Employed males, supervising jobs, England, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 4% incomplete.

N: 192

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'inadequate - struggle to buy just basics, and quite often have to go into debt to do that' to 'completely adequate - meet all bills, buy things I want, have holidays I want and can save if choose to'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
A-BB/cm/mq/v/5/a	<u>G=</u>	Index of Positive Affects: G = -.03 Index of Negative Affects: G = -.12

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1

Measurement: 9-item index of direct questions on payment:

- bad
 - fair
 - I'm satisfied with it
 - inappropriate
 - unfair
 - in accordance with my performance
 - in accordance with my responsibility
- Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.05</u>	

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1

Measurement: 9-item index of direct questions on payment:

- bad
- fair
- I'm satisfied with it
- inappropriate
- unfair
- in accordance with my performance
- in accordance with my responsibility

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.05</u>	

Study**CHASS 1980**

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1

Measurement: Sub-scale Job Descriptive Index (Smith
 e.a. 1969)

Measured Values: Range=? M=14,24 SD=7,71

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a	r=+.20 p<.05	

Study**ADLE 1981**

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1

Measurement: Subscale of 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a	r=+.19 p<.05	

Study**PEIL 1984***Reported in:* Peil, M.

African Urban Life: Components of Satisfaction in Sierra Leone.

Social Indicators Research, 1984, Vol. 14, 363 - 384. ISSN p 0303 8300;ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00692990

Page in Report: 372

Population: Adult, general public, urban areas (Freetown, Bo and Kenema), Sierra Leone, 1981*Sample:**Non-Response:* 5% (on some items up to 60%)*N:* 640

Measured Correlate*Class:* . satisfaction with pay, Code W5.4.2.1*Measurement:* Direct question: "How do you feel about your entitlement?"

Observed Relation with Happiness*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/u/sq/l/7/ar=+

Males : r = +.48

Females: r = +.49

Study

HULIN 1969

Reported in: Hulin, C.L.
 Source of Variation in Job and Life Satisfaction: The Role of Community and Job-Related Variables.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1969, Vol. 53, 279 -291
 Page in Report: 285

Population: White collar workers, living in company towns, Columbia Canada, 196?

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 470

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with promotion-chances, Code W5.4.2.2

Measurement: Question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a

r=+ ns

Males : r = +.09 (ns)
 Females: r = +.21 (ns)

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with promotion-chances, Code W5.4.2.2

Measurement: 9-item index of direct questions on development:

- good
 - certain
 - reasonable
 - appropriate
 - not much
 - irregular
 - hardly any possibilities
 - gives me ambitious feelings
- Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a	r= ns	

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with promotion-chances, Code W5.4.2.2

Measurement: 9-item index of direct questions on development:

- good
 - certain
 - reasonable
 - appropriate
 - not much
 - irregular
 - hardly any possibilities
 - disappointing
 - gives me ambitious feelings
- Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'
-

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
---------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------

O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a	r= ns	
----------------------------------	-----------------------	--

Study**CHASS 1980**

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with promotion-chances, Code W5.4.2.2

Measurement: Sub-scale Job Descriptive Index (Smith e.a. 1969)

Measured Values: Range ? M=10.26 SD=8,42

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.13 ns</u>	

Study**ADLE 1981**

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with promotion-chances, Code W5.4.2.2

Measurement: Subscale of 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a	r=+.21 p<.05	

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: 6-item index of closed questions on
employee relations satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- Industrial relations between management and workers in
your firm
- The attention paid to suggestions you make
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied" (1) to "extremely
satisfied" (7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab

r=+.48
p<.01

controlled for age rpc=+.48
controlled for skill rpc=+.48

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: 6-item index of closed questions on
employee relations satisfaction. Typical aspects:
- Industrial relations between manement and workers in
your firm
- The attention paid to suggestions you make
Answers scored on a seven point scale ranging
from "extremely dissatisfied"(1) to "extremely
satisfied"(7).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.40

controlled for age rpc=+.40

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.40

Study**LEVY 1975/1**

Reported in: Levy, S.;Guttman, L.
On the Multivariate Structure of Well-Being.
Social Indicators Research, 1975, Vol. 2, 361 - 388. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00293253
Page in Report: 372

Population: 18+ aged, general public, urban areas, Israel, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 1940

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Closed question on work relations between employees and employers at one's (or spouses) place of work ranging from 'not at all good' to 'very good'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-HL/c/sq/?/6/a	mc=+.23	
A-AOL/c/sq/v/4/b	mc=+.26	

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
 Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
 Polish Sociological Bulletin, 1962, Vol. 1, 105 - 116
 Page in Report: 109

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Single question: how do you get on with your work-fellows ?
 very badly / rather badly / average / fairly well / very well.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a

T=.11
p<.001

Computed for non-farmers only.

Study

PAYNE 1974

Reported in: Payne, R.L.
N.M. Bradburn's Measures of Psychological Well-Being: An Attempt at Replication.
M.C.R. Social and Applied Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology University of Sheffield, 1974, UK
Page in Report: 17

Population: Employed males, supervising jobs, England, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 4% incomplete.

N: 192

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'not satisfied' to 'completely satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/5/a

G=

Index of Positive Affects: G = -.07
Index of Negative Affects: G = -.03

Study**DEJON 1969**

Reported in: DeJong-Gierveld, J.
De Ongehuwden. (The Unmarried).
Samson, 1969, Alphen a/d Rijn, Netherlands.
Page in Report: 191

Population: 30-55 aged, general public, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1965

Sample:

Non-Response: 31%;

N: 600

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Question rated on a 3-point scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SL?/?/sq/v/5/a](#)

r=+

Never married males : r = +.16 (ns)
Married males : r = +.08 (ns)
Never married females: r = +.10 (025)
Married females : r = +.16 (ns)

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: 8-item index of direct questions on colleagues:

- stiff
- helpful
- conflictive
- sympathetic
- not able
- good team
- lazyn
- pleasant

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a](#)

[r= ns](#)

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: 8-item index of direct questions on colleagues:

- stiff
- helpful
- conflictive
- sympathetic
- not able
- good team
- lazy
- pleasant

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a

r=+.24

p<.01

Study**CHASS 1980**

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Sub-scale Job Descriptive Index (Smith
 e.a. 1969)

Measured Values: Range? M=38.17 SD=14.91

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a	r=+.16 p<.05	

Study

ADLE 1981

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Subscale of 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a](#)

[r=+.38](#)

[p<.05](#)

Study

SCHUL 1985B

Reported in: Schulz, W.; Költringer, R.; Norden, G.; Tüchler, H.
 Lebensqualität in Österreich. (Quality-of-Life in Austria).
 Research paper nr 10/1, Institut für Soziologie, University of Vienna, 1985, Austria
 Page in Report: 91,93

Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1776

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Two item index of direct questions on cooperation with
 colleagues. Answer categories yes/no.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.12</u>	
<u>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</u>	<u>r=+.14</u>	

Study**GEHMA 1990A**

Reported in: Gehmacher, E.
 Stressbewältigung und Selbstbestimmtheit. (Coping with Stress and Locus of Control).
 Start uns Aufstieg, 1990, Vol. 3, 13 - 14 (Data also reported in IFES paper E76, Vienna, Austria 1988)
 Page in Report: (24)

Population: Railway employees, Austria, 1988

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 68

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: 2 item index of questions on satisfaction with superiors and colleagues
 1. Dissatisfied with both
 .
 .
 5. High satisfaction with both

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/d</u>	<u>r=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study

VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
 Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
 Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN
 8790190017 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp>
 Page in Report: 140

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 1494

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Single question:
 "How is your social work environment ?"
 1: very bad
 2: bad
 3: neither/nor
 4: good
 5: very good

Measured Values: N: 1:10, 2:41 3:142, 4:406, 5:241

Remarks: employed Ss only

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=7.00 2: Mt=6.76 3: Mt=7.29 4: Mt=8.01 5: Mt=8.45 All Mt=7.94
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.75 2: Mt=5.98 3: Mt=6.94 4: Mt=7.64 5: Mt=8.14 All Mt=7.58

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h

r=+.23
p<.00

- 1: Mt=6.75
- 2: Mt=5.85
- 3: Mt=6.46
- 4: Mt=7.15
- 5: Mt=7.56
- All Mt=7.09

Study

VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
 Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
 Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN
 8790190017 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp>
 Page in Report: 142

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 1494

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Single question:
 "How are your relations with your fellow workers at present
 ?"
 1: very bad
 2: bad
 3: neither/nor
 4: good
 5: very good

Measured Values: N: 1:3 2:10, 3:149, 4:476, 5:186

Remarks: employed Ss only

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.00 2: Mt=5.25 3: Mt=7.44 4: Mt=7.94 5: Mt=8.56 All Mt=7.94
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.00 2: Mt=5.00 3: Mt=6.91 4: Mt=7.58 5: Mt=8.29 All Mt=7.58

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h

r=+.23
p<.00

- 1: Mt=5.84
- 2: Mt=5.25
- 3: Mt=6.56
- 4: Mt=7.05
- 5: Mt=7.74
- All Mt=7.10

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.

Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-årige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).

Forskningscenter for Livskvalitet, Forskningscentrets Forlag, København 1996, ISBN 8790190068 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp>

Page in Report: 148

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen*Sample:* Non-probability chunk sample*Non-Response:* 39%*N:* 4500

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3*Measurement:* Single question:

"How is your social work environment "

1: very bad

2: bad

3: neither/nor

4: good

5: very good

Measured Values: N: All:3530; %:1:1,5; 2:5,7; 3:17,1; 4:46,6; 5:29,1

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.29 2: Mt=6.00 3: Mt=6.74 4: Mt=7.19 5: Mt=7.68
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.85 2: Mt=6.64 3: Mt=7.45 4: Mt=7.95 5: Mt=8.56
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.14 2: Mt=6.20 3: Mt=7.09 4: Mt=7.63 5: Mt=8.31

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.

Livskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-årige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).

Forskningscenter for Livskvalitet, Forskningscentrets Forlag, København 1996, ISBN 8790190068 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp>

Page in Report: 150

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen*Sample:* Non-probability chunk sample*Non-Response:* 39%*N:* 4500

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3*Measurement:* Single question:

"How are your relations with your fellow workers at present?"

1: very bad

2: bad

3: neither/nor

4: good

5: very good

Measured Values: N All:3517; %:1:0,4; 2:2,9; 3:20,9; 4:55,1; 5:20,6

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.84 2: Mt=6.55 3: Mt=7.39 4: Mt=7.98 5: Mt=8.55
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.50 2: Mt=6.14 3: Mt=7.00 4: Mt=7.70 5: Mt=8.28
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=5.50 2: Mt=6.03 3: Mt=6.63 4: Mt=7.13 5: Mt=7.81

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: 10-item index of questions about job characteristics. Typical important items:
-Your opportunity to use your abilities
-The recognition you get for good work
Answers scored on a five point scale, ranging from "there is none of that in my job"(1) to "there is a great deal of that in my job"(5).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab

r=+.36

controlled for age rpc=+.36

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.39

Study

WARR 1979

Reported in: Warr, P.;Cook, J.;Wall, T.
Scales for the Measurement of Social Work Attitudes and Aspects of Psychological.
Journal of Occupational Psychology, 1979, Vol. 52, 129 - 148. ISSN 0305 8107
Page in Report: 140

Population: Factory workers, blue collar, UK, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 590

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: 10-item index of questions about job characteristics. Typical important items:
-Your opportunity to use your abilities
-The recognition you get for good work
Answers scored on a five point scale, ranging from "there is none of that in my job"(1) to "there is a great deal of that in my job"(5).

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

r=+.28

controlled for age rpc=+.28

p<.01

controlled for skill rpc=+.33

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
Polish Sociological Bulletin, 1962, Vol. 1, 105 - 116
Page in Report: 110

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Single question: does your present job give you the
opportunity o use your skills ?
not at all / insufficiently / only partly / yes, completely

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a

T=.15
p<.001

Computed for non-farmers only.

Study**PAYNE 1974**

Reported in: Payne, R.L.
N.M. Bradburn's Measures of Psychological Well-Being: An Attempt at Replication.
M.C.R. Social and Applied Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology University of Sheffield, 1974, UK
Page in Report: 17

Population: Employed males, supervising jobs, England, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 4% incomplete.

N: 192

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'I dislike the work very much and would dearly like to do something else' to 'I like it very much and wouldn't want to do any other work'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/5/a

G=+

Index of Positive Affects: G = +.06
Index of Negative Affects: G = -.09

Study**AUSTR 1984/2**

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Single closed question. The respondents were asked whether they they agreed with the following statement: 'I consider my job to be very interesting'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a</u>	<u>r=+.32</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study**NEUBE 1978/8**

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: 12-item index of direct questions on activities:

- I like it
- boring
- routine
- dependent
- useless
- important
- disappointing
- no challenge
- I see results
- I can use my abilities
- I can realize my ideas
- responsible

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.05</u>	

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: 12-item index of direct questions on activities:

- I like it
- boring
- routine
- dependent
- useless
- important
- disappointing
- no challenge
- I see results
- I can use my abilities
- I can realize my ideas
- responsible

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.35</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study**CHASS 1980**

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Sub-scale Job Descriptive Index (Smith
 e.a. 1969)

Measured Values: Range ? M=32.83 SD=11.91

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a	r=+.13 ns	

Study

ADLE 1981

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Subscale of 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a	r=+.26 p<.05	

Study

SONDE 1975

Reported in: Sondermeijer, B.
Gezondheid en Geluk. (Health Correlates of Happiness).
Unpublished report, 1975, Rotterdam, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 40-60 aged male employees, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 13

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Single direct question:
0 No
1 Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.75</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.75</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	

Study

HULIN 1969

Reported in: Hulin, C.L.
 Source of Variation in Job and Life Satisfaction: The Role of Community and Job-Related Variables.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1969, Vol. 53, 279 -291
 Page in Report: 285

Population: White collar workers, living in company towns, Columbia Canada, 196?

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 470

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: Question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied
 / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor
 dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a](#)

r=+

Males : r = +.16 (01)
 Females: r = +.12 (ns)

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: 10-item index of direct question on conditions:

- easy
- bad
- irreproachable
- pleasant
- strenuous
- unquiet
- noisy
- I have much room
- my health is threatened
- nice temperature
- weary

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a	r= ns	

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: 10-item index of direct questions on conditions:

- easy
- bad
- irreproachable
- pleasant
- strenuous
- unquiet
- noisy
- I have much room
- my health is threatened
- nice temperature
- weary

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study

SONDE 1975

Reported in: Sondermeijer, B.
Gezondheid en Geluk. (Health Correlates of Happiness).
Unpublished report, 1975, Rotterdam, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 40-60 aged male employees, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 13

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: Single direct question:
0 No
1 Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.34</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	
<u>M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a</u>	<u>G=+.34</u> <u>p<. 000</u>	

Study

VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
 Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
 Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN
 8790190017 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp>
 Page in Report: 138

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 1494

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: Single question:
 "How is your physical work environment ?"
 1: very bad
 2: bad
 3: neither/nor
 4: good
 5: very good

Measured Values: N: 1:19 2:53, 3:188, 4:351, 5:200

Remarks: employed Ss only

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.58 2: Mt=7.13 3: Mt=7.46 4: Mt=8.05 5: Mt=8.44 All Mt=7.93
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.19 2: Mt=6.84 3: Mt=7.08 4: Mt=7.69 5: Mt=8.14 All Mt=7.56

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h

r=+.17
p<.00

- 1: Mt=6.19
- 2: Mt=6.60
- 3: Mt=6.73
- 4: Mt=7.15
- 5: Mt=7.50
- All Mt=7.08

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.

Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-årige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).

Forskningscenter for Livskvalitet, Forskningscentrets Forlag, København 1996, ISBN 8790190068 <http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp>

Page in Report: 146

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen*Sample:* Non-probability chunk sample*Non-Response:* 39%*N:* 4500

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5*Measurement:* Single question:

"How is your physical work environment?"

1: very bad

2: bad

3: neither/nor

4: good

5: very good

Measured Values: N: All: 3446; %:1:2,2; 2:9,8; 3:22,8; 4:43,5; 5:21,7

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.55 2: Mt=7.29 3: Mt=7.56 4: Mt=8.06 5: Mt=8.50
<u>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.11 2: Mt=6.93 3: Mt=7.20 4: Mt=7.73 5: Mt=8.25
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.00</u>	1: Mt=6.09 2: Mt=6.66 3: Mt=6.73 4: Mt=7.30 5: Mt=7.65

Study

WESTO 2003

Reported in: Weston, R.; Gray, M.; Qu, L.; Stanton, D.
The Impact of Long Working Hours on Employed Fathers and Their Families.
Paper, Australian Institute of Family Studies, 2003, Melbourne, Australia
Page in Report: 17-32

Population: Employed fathers, Australia, 2001

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 1479

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work conditions, Code W5.4.2.5

Measurement: Selfreport on single question, rated on 0-10 scale

1: low (0-5)
2: middle (6-7)
3: high (8-10)

Measured Values: 1: 413 (28%), 2: 416 (28%), 3: 650 (44%)

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/e

DMa=+

low Ma=7,2 SD=0.43 (comparison group)
middle Ma=7,9 SD=0,44 (05)
high Ma=8,4 SD=0.45 (001)

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/e

DMa=+

Men working more than 49 hours:
Self-employed Employees

low: Ma=7.1 7.7 (comparison)
middle Ma=7.8 (01) 8.2 (01)

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/eDMa=+

		hours work per week			
		35-40	41-48	49-59	<60
low	Ma= 7,4	7,6	7,6	7,2(comparison)	
	SD= 0.25	0.42	0.24	0.43	
mid	Ma= 7,9(ns)	7,5(ns)	8,0(ns)	7,9(01)	
	SD= 0.23	0.4	0.24	0.44	
high	Ma= 8,2(001)	8,2(01)	8,2(01)	8,4(001)	
	SD= 0.21	0.39	0.24	0.45	

Ma adjusted for

- partner's work hours
- education level
- English use and proficiency
- age of youngest child
- number of children under 15
- occupation
- income
- employment classification.

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
Polish Sociological Bulletin, 1962, Vol. 1, 105 - 116
Page in Report: 109

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Single question: how do you get on with your superiors at work ?
very badly / rather
badly / average / fairly well /very
well.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a

T=.18

p<.001

Computed for non-farmers only.

Study**PAYNE 1974**

Reported in: Payne, R.L.
 N.M. Bradburn's Measures of Psychological Well-Being: An Attempt at Replication.
 M.C.R. Social and Applied Psychology Unit, Department of Psychology University of Sheffield, 1974, UK
 Page in Report: 17

Population: Employed males, supervising jobs, England, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 4% incomplete.

N: 192

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'not satisfied' to 'completely satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

A-BB/cm/mq/v/5/a

G=+

Index of Positive Affects: G = +.01
 Index of Negative Affects: G = -.08

Study

AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
 The Consequences of Being Single.
 Peter Lang Publisher, 1984, New York, USA ISBN 0 8204 0095 5
 Page in Report: 227

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 45%

N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Single closed question. The respondents were asked whether they agreed with the following statement: 'I like working for my present employer'. Rated on a 11-point scale ranging from completely disagree to completely agree.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	

Study

BENNE 1970

Reported in: Bennett, F.A.
 Avowed Happiness in Communities of Religious Women.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Utah, 1970, USA
 Page in Report: 43

Population: Nuns, Catholic congregations, USA, 1969

Sample:

Non-Response: 11,5%

N: 963

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: "How do you evaluate the job of leadership being done by your local superior?". Excellent, good, fair, poor and very poor.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f

r=+.32

p<.001

Study

BENNE 1970

Reported in: Bennett, F.A.
 Avowed Happiness in Communities of Religious Women.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Utah, 1970, USA
 Page in Report: 43

Population: Nuns, Catholic congregations, USA, 1969

Sample:

Non-Response: 11,5%

N: 963

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: "Do you feel that your local superior recognizes your work and gives you the encouragement you need?". Definitely, partially and definitely not.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f

r=+.34

p<.001

Study

BENNE 1970

Reported in: Bennett, F.A.
 Avowed Happiness in Communities of Religious Women.
 Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Utah, 1970, USA
 Page in Report: 43

Population: Nuns, Catholic congregations, USA, 1969

Sample:

Non-Response: 11,5%

N: 963

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: "Do you feel that your local superior trusts you sufficiently?". Yes or no.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f

r=+.30

p<.001

Study**HULIN 1969**

Reported in: Hulin, C.L.
 Source of Variation in Job and Life Satisfaction: The Role of Community and Job-Related Variables.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1969, Vol. 53, 279 -291
 Page in Report: 285

Population: White collar workers, living in company towns, Columbia Canada, 196?

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 470

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Question rated on a graphic rating scale very dissatisfied
 / somewhat dissatisfied / neither satisfied nor
 dissatisfied / somewhat satisfied / very satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

[O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a](#)

$r=+$

Males : $r = +.22$ (01)
 Females: $r = +.06$ (ns)

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: 11-item index of direct questions on superior:

- not fair
- active
- thoughtful
- impolite
- knows his job
- stands for his people
- fair
- unpopular
- I trust him
- bad way of informing
- encourages participation
- grumbler

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to
'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a	r= ns	

Study

NEUBE 1978/8

Reported in: Neuberger, O. ;Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Employees, synthetic-processing industry, West-Germany, 1975

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 90

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: 12-item index of direct questions on organisation and management:
 - weak
 - listens to opinions of employees
 - insufficient information
 - progressive
 - cumbrous
 - bad industrial climate
 - confusion
 - I'm proud of them
 - I feel comfortable
 - we have a say in the matter
 - bad planning
 - they don't do much for us
 - productive
 Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a	r= ns	

Study**NEUBE 1978/9**

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: 11-item index of direct questions on superior:

- not fair
- active
- thoughtful
- impolite
- knows his job
- stands for his people
- fair
- unpopular
- I trust him
- bad way of informing
- encourages participation
- grumbler

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a

r=+.28

p<.01

Study

NEUBE 1978/9

Reported in: Neuberger, O.; Allerbeck, M.
Messung und Analyse von Arbeitszufriedenheit. (Measurement and Analysis of Satisfaction with Work).
Verlag Hans Huber, 1978, Bern, Switzerland
Page in Report: 159

Population: Young female employees, cure-clinic, West Germany, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 150

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: 12-item index of direct questions on organisation and management:

- weak
- listens to opinions of employees
- insufficient information
- progressive
- cumbrous
- bad industrial climate
- confusion
- I'm proud of them
- I feel comfortable
- we have a say in the matter
- bad planning
- they don't do much for us
- productive

Items rated on four step scale, ranging from 'applies' to 'does not apply'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study**CHASS 1980**

Reported in: Chassie, M.B.; Bhagat, R.S.
 Role Stress in Working Women: Differential Effect on Selected Organizational Outcomes.
 Group & Organizational Studies, 1980, Vol. 5, 224 - 233
 Page in Report: 228

Population: Working women, USA, 197?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 115

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Sub-scale Job Descriptive Index (Smith
 e.a. 1969)

Measured Values: Range ? M=40.60 SD=13.10

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a	r=+.09 ns	

Study

ADLE 1981

Reported in: Adler S.; Golan J.
 Lateness as a Withdrawal Behaviour.
 Journal of Applied Psychology, 1981, Vol. 66, 544 - 554
 Page in Report: 551

Population: Female telephone operators, working in metropolitan offices, Israel, 1977-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 131

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Subscale of 70 item Job Descriptive
 Index (Smith, Kendall & Hulin 1969).

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a	r=+.26 p<.05	

Study

CAMPB 1981

Reported in: Campbell, A.
The Sense of Well-Being in America.
McGraw-Hill, 1981, New York, USA ISBN 0 07 0096 83X
Page in Report: 132

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized USA, 1978

Sample:

Non-Response: about 20 %

N: 3692

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Single closed question on amount of satisfaction with housework rated on a 7-point scale ranging from completely dissatisfied to completely satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.33</u>	

Study

WESSM 1956

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.
 A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
 Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
 Page in Report: 198

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2377

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "Very little / a fair amount / a lot of
 pleasure and satisfaction"

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
 Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c

G=+.38
p<. 01

Computed for unemployed women only

Study

WESSM 1956

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
Page in Report: 198

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2377

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "Very little / a fair amount / a lot of
pleasure and satisfaction."

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c

G=+.38
p<. 01

Computed for unemployed housewives only

Study

PHILI 1966

Reported in: Philips Nederland N.V.
De Nederlandse Huisvrouw. (The Dutch Housewife.)
Research Report NIPO assigned by Philips Nederland, 1966, Eindhoven, Netherlands
Page in Report: 66

Population: Housewives, The Netherlands, 1964

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 450

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: a little / much / very much.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/u/sq/v/5/a

r=+.34

Study**ANDRE 1976/5**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 113

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/7

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 222

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your housework -
 the work you need to do around your home?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.32</u>	

Study**ANDRE 1976/5**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 113

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/7

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 222

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your housework -
 the work you need to do around your home?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.32</u>	

Study**ANDRE 1976/3**

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976, New York, USA. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 113

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:

Non-Response: 38%

N: 1072

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your housework -
 the work you need to do around your home?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly
 dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a	r=+.32	

Study

ANDRE 1976/1

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976. New York, USA.. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 113

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 1297

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your housework- the work you need to do around your home?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a</u>	<u>E²=+.36</u>	Unaffected by sex

Study

ANDRE 1976/1

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
 Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
 Plenum Press, 1976. New York, USA.. ISBN 0 306 30935 1
 Page in Report: 113

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 1297

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your housework- the work you need to do around your home?"
 Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a</u>	<u>E²=+.36</u>	Unaffected by sex

Study**SHICH 1984**

Reported in: Shichman, S.; Cooper, E.
 Life Satisfaction and Sex-Role Concept.
 Sex Roles, 1984, Vol. 11, 227 - 240. ISSN 0360 0025 DOI:10.1007/BF00287516
 Page in Report: 234

Population: Adults, students and churchmembers, USA, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5,9 %

N: 217

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Single direct questions on both satisfaction and dissatisfaction with homemaking.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a	r=+.18 p<.03	satisfaction

Study

ZEHNE 1977

Reported in: Zehner, R.B.
 Indicators of the Quality of Life in New Communities.
 Ballinger, 1977, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ISBN 0 88410 461 3
 Page in Report: 112

Population: Residents communities,planned and unplanned, USA, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 3894

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Single closed direct question rated on a 4-point scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a

r=+.14

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a

Beta=+.04

ß controlled for:
 - Socio-demographic variables: age, sex,
 education, marital status, family income,
 dwelling unit value, tenure, lenght of
 residence, number of children in the household.
 - Satisfaction with: standard of living, family
 life, use of leisure time, marriage, health,
 dwelling unit, job, neighborhood, community.

Unplanned communities are left out.

Study

ZUMA 1989

Reported in: Zentrum für Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Wohlfahrtsurveys 1978,1980,1984,1988.
Unpublished data-set. Especially prepared for the World Database of Happiness.
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with home-making, Code W5.4.3.1

Measurement: Question : ' How satisfied are you with
division of the work in your household
over the separate members ?'
0 completely unsatisfied
10 completely satisfied

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>			
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba</u>	<u>SNR=</u>	eta	G	r	β
		1978			
		1980	+.32	+.31	+.31
		1984	+.34	+.33	+.32
		1988	+.29	+.27	+.27
		β's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.			

Study**HEDLE 1981**

Reported in: Hedley, R.A
 Work, Life and the Pursuit of Happiness: A Study of Australian Industrial Workers.
 The Journal of Industrial Relations, September, 1981, 397 - 405
 Page in Report: 399-400-40

Population: Shop-floor workers in four metropolitan areas, south-eastern Australia, 1977

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 42%

N: 1486

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with own job-performance, Code W5.4.4

Measurement: Single question:
 I often express excitement to my family about a
 particularly difficult work problem I have solved...
 1: disagree
 2: disagree slightly
 3: agree slightly
 4: agree

Measured Values: 1: 33%, 2: 12%, 3: 26%, 4: 29%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</u>	<u>G=+.05</u>	
<u>O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>G=-.09</u>	

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
Polish Sociological Bulletin, 1962, Vol. 1, 105 - 116
Page in Report: 112

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: Worries about work, Code W5.5

Measurement: Single question: are you anxious about the future of your farm ?
not anxious at all /rather not anxious / little anxious
/very anxious.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a

T=-.16
p<.001

Farm owners and family only.

Study**WARR 1978**

Reported in: Warr, P.
 A Study of Psychological Well-Being.
 The British Journal of Psychology, 1978, Vol. 6, 111 - 121
 Page in Report: 116

Population: Steel workers, six months after closure of their plant, Manchester, U.K., 1976

Sample:

Non-Response: 9 % (4 % ill, 5 % refused), 13 % not contacted

N: 1655

Measured Correlate

Class: Worries about work, Code W5.5

Measurement: Single closed question concerning anxiety about Ss job situation in the past few weeks rated on an 11-point scale from 'not at all' to 'a great deal'

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-HL/c/sq/n/7/a	r=-.26	

Study

TIMME 1997/5

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, The Netherlands, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1017

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=1.92 Mt=6.9
		3	M=2.00 Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.37 Mt=7.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=7.63 Mt=7.4
		3	M=7.90 Mt=7.7
		4	M=7.90 Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=3.39 Mt=8.0
		3	M=3.42 Mt=8.1
		4	M=3.52 Mt=8.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.10 ns</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.07 ns</u>	Working Ss only	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.13 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.05 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.08 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.10</u> <u>ns</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/6

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Denmark, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1030

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.00	Mt=6.0
		2	M= .44	Mt=5.4
		3	M=1.77	Mt=6.8
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.00	Mt=10.0
		2	M=3.40	Mt= 8.0
		3	M=3.31	Mt= 7.7
		4	M=3.45	Mt= 8.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=8.50	Mt=8.3
		2	M=7.40	Mt=7.1
		3	M=8.11	Mt=7.9
		4	M=8.56	Mt=8.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.07 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.09</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.09</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/2

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Britain, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1484

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

1	Less than 10 Ss	
2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=3.04 Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16 Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30 Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	M=6.15 Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96 Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64 Mt=7.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income	

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/3

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West Germany, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 2201

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .94	Mt=5.9
		2	M=1.26	Mt=6.3
		3	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		2	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .94	Mt=5.9
		2	M=1.26	Mt=6.3
		3	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		2	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/4

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Italy, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2010

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .14	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .98	Mt=6.0
		3	M=1.41	Mt=6.4
		4	M=2.97	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.71	Mt=5.7
		2	M=2.83	Mt=6.1
		3	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		4	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.30	Mt=4.8
		2	M=6.60	Mt=6.2
		3	M=7.42	Mt=7.1
		4	M=8.10	Mt=7.9
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.41</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/15

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, South Africa, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 2736

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.04	Mt=2.3
		2	M=4.31	Mt=3.7
		3	M=5.91	Mt=5.5
		4	M=7.37	Mt=7.1
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.00	Mt=3.3
		2	M=2.18	Mt=3.9
		3	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
		4	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/15

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, South Africa, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2736

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1 M=-.33 Mt=4.7
		2 M=-.46 Mt=4.5
		3 M=.92 Mt=5.9
		4 M=2.37 Mt=7.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/16

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Hungary, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 999

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.53	Mt=5.1
		2	M=2.62	Mt=5.4
		3	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
		4	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.54	Mt=5.1
		2	M=5.47	Mt=5.0
		3	M=6.21	Mt=5.8
		4	M=6.73	Mt=6.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .33	Mt=5.3
		2	M= .40	Mt=5.4
		3	M=1.10	Mt=6.1
		4	M=1.52	Mt=6.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/10

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, N. Ireland, 1990

Sample: Non-probability accidental sample

Non-Response:

N: 304

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		4	M=3.34	Mt=7.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .94	Mt=5.9
		2	M=1.26	Mt=6.3
		3	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=1.04	Mt=6.0
		4	M=2.24	Mt=7.2

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	Less than 10 Ss	
		3	M=7.08	Mt=6.8
		4	M=8.17	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		2	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.12 ns</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.09 ns</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/11

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, USA, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1839

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M=5.00 Mt=4.4
- 3 M=6.88 Mt=6.5
- 4 M=7.89 Mt=7.7

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M=2.54 Mt=5.1
- 3 M=3.07 Mt=6.9
- 4 M=3.31 Mt=7.7

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M= .15 Mt=5.2
- 3 M=1.36 Mt=6.4
- 4 M=2.59 Mt=7.6

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M=5.00 Mt=4.4
- 3 M=6.88 Mt=6.5
- 4 M=7.89 Mt=7.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Empty category	
		2	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
		3	M=3.07	Mt=6.9
		4	M=3.31	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Empty category	
		2	M=.15	Mt=5.2
		3	M=1.36	Mt=6.4
		4	M=2.59	Mt=7.6
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/7

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Belgium, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 2792

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.93	Mt=6.4
		2	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		3	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		4	M=3.53	Mt=8.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .40	Mt=5.4
		2	M= .92	Mt=5.9
		3	M=1.63	Mt=6.6
		4	M=2.15	Mt=7.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.49	Mt=6.1
		2	M=6.94	Mt=6.6
		3	M=7.61	Mt=7.3
		4	M=8.18	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .40	Mt=5.4
		2	M= .92	Mt=5.9
		3	M=1.63	Mt=6.6
		4	M=2.15	Mt=7.2

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.49	Mt=6.1
		2	M=6.94	Mt=6.6
		3	M=7.61	Mt=7.3
		4	M=8.18	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.93	Mt=6.4
		2	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		3	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
		4	M=3.53	Mt=8.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	Beta=+.25 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa	Beta=+.22 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a	Beta=+.24 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/8

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Spain, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 4147

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.21	Mt=4.8
		2	M=.15	Mt=5.1
		3	M=.85	Mt=5.8
		4	M=1.42	Mt=6.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.90	Mt=6.3
		2	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		3	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		4	M=3.20	Mt=7.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.31	Mt=5.9
		2	M=6.29	Mt=5.9
		3	M=7.03	Mt=6.7
		4	M=7.79	Mt=7.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.31	Mt=5.9
		2	M=6.29	Mt=5.9
		3	M=7.03	Mt=6.7
		4	M=7.79	Mt=7.5

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.21	Mt=4.8
		2	M=.15	Mt=5.1
		3	M=.85	Mt=5.8
		4	M=1.42	Mt=6.4

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.90	Mt=6.3
		2	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		3	M=3.03	Mt=6.8
		4	M=3.20	Mt=7.3

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/9

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Ireland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.67	Mt=6.7
		2	M=1.17	Mt=6.2
		3	M=1.92	Mt=6.9
		4	M=2.70	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.25	Mt=4.7
		2	M=6.77	Mt=6.4
		3	M=7.57	Mt=7.3
		4	M=8.21	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		2	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		3	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		4	M=3.41	Mt=8.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M= .05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=3.17	Mt=7.2
		3	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		4	M=3.41	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=1.17	Mt=6.2
		3	M=1.92	Mt=6.9
		4	M=2.70	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=6.77	Mt=6.4
		3	M=7.57	Mt=7.3
		4	M=8.21	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M= .05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/12

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Canada, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1730

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	M=3.24 Mt=7.5
3	M=3.12 Mt=7.1
4	M=3.05 Mt=6.8

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=+

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	M=1.54 Mt=6.5
3	M=2.11 Mt=7.1
4	M=2.58 Mt=7.6

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

1	Less than 10 Ss
2	M=6.63 Mt=6.3
3	M=7.75 Mt=7.5
4	M=8.05 Mt=7.8

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/ar=-.04 ns

Working Ss only

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a
r=+.15
p<.001

Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=-.04 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=-.07</u> <u>p<.05</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/13

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Japan, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1011

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .14	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .98	Mt=6.0
		3	M=1.41	Mt=6.4
		4	M=2.97	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.30	Mt=4.8
		2	M=6.60	Mt=6.2
		3	M=7.42	Mt=7.1
		4	M=8.10	Mt=7.9
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.71	Mt=5.7
		2	M=2.83	Mt=6.1
		3	M=3.05	Mt=6.8
		4	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.50	Mt=5.0
		2	M=2.67	Mt=5.6
		3	M=2.95	Mt=6.5
		4	M=3.13	Mt=7.1

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.50	Mt=3.9
		2	M=5.53	Mt=5.0
		3	M=6.35	Mt=5.9
		4	M=7.04	Mt=6.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-1.43	Mt=3.6
		2	M=-.34	Mt=4.7
		3	M=.41	Mt=5.4
		4	M=.96	Mt=6.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income		

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	Beta=+.17 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a	Beta=+.27 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa	Beta=+.41 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/14

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Mexico, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1531

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.76	Mt=5.3
		2	M=6.00	Mt=5.6
		3	M=7.16	Mt=6.8
		4	M=7.96	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		2	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		3	M=2.90	Mt=6.3
		4	M=3.13	Mt=7.1
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .10	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .08	Mt=5.1
		3	M=1.30	Mt=6.3
		4	M=2.03	Mt=7.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/19

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Sweden, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1047

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .94	Mt=5.9
		2	M=1.26	Mt=6.3
		3	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.50	Mt=6.1
		2	M=8.26	Mt=8.1
		3	M=7.66	Mt=7.4
		4	M=8.16	Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.12	Mt=7.1
		2	M=3.31	Mt=7.7
		3	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
		4	M=3.42	Mt=8.1

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		2	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.22	Mt=6.2
		2	M=2.17	Mt=7.2
		3	M=2.61	Mt=7.6
		4	M=3.24	Mt=8.2

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.07</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.09</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/18

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Norway, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1239

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.20	Mt=7.3
		2	M=3.11	Mt=7.0
		3	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		4	M=3.29	Mt=7.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.27	Mt=7.0
		2	M=7.16	Mt=6.8
		3	M=7.63	Mt=7.4
		4	M=7.99	Mt=7.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.53	Mt=6.5
		2	M=1.66	Mt=6.7
		3	M=2.24	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.71	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.07</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>			
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.07</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>			
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>			
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.04</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income		

Study

TIMME 1997/23

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Finland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 588

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=.50	Mt=5.5
		3	M=.77	Mt=5.8
		4	M=1.42	Mt=6.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=2.78	Mt=5.9
		3	M=2.95	Mt=6.5
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss	
		2	M=6.78	Mt=6.4
		3	M=7.28	Mt=7.0
		4	M=7.93	Mt=7.7

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/21

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Iceland 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 702

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=7.33 Mt=7.0
		4	M=8.21 Mt=8.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=3.19 Mt=7.3
		4	M=3.44 Mt=8.1
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=2.11 Mt=7.1
		4	M=2.76 Mt=7.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only	

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex and age
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex and age
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex and age

Study

TIMME 1997/22

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Argentina, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1002

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .88	Mt=5.9
		2	M= .49	Mt=5.5
		3	M=1.44	Mt=6.4
		4	M=1.72	Mt=6.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.35	Mt=5.9
		2	M=6.60	Mt=6.2
		3	M=7.03	Mt=6.7
		4	M=7.56	Mt=7.3
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.88	Mt=6.3
		2	M=2.79	Mt=6.0
		3	M=3.02	Mt=6.7
		4	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/24

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, South Korea, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1251

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.66	Mt=3.0
		2	M=5.42	Mt=4.9
		3	M=6.83	Mt=6.5
		4	M=7.63	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.20	Mt=4.0
		2	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		3	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		4	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.31</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/28

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Brazil 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1782

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		2	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		3	M=3.09	Mt=7.0
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.82	Mt=6.5
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.20	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=.94	Mt=5.9
		2	M=1.26	Mt=6.3
		3	M=1.99	Mt=7.0
		4	M=2.32	Mt=7.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.45	Mt=4.9
		2	M=6.68	Mt=6.3
		3	M=7.47	Mt=7.2
		4	M=7.85	Mt=7.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		2	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		3	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		4	M=3.05	Mt=6.8

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .57	Mt=5.6
		2	M= .83	Mt=5.8
		3	M=1.67	Mt=6.7
		4	M=1.58	Mt=6.6

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	Beta=+.19 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa	Beta=+.29 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a	Beta=+.16 p<.001	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/32

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged general public, India, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 2500

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M=2.54 Mt=5.1
- 3 M=3.07 Mt=6.9
- 4 M=3.31 Mt=7.7

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M= .15 Mt=5.2
- 3 M=1.36 Mt=6.4
- 4 M=2.59 Mt=7.6

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

- 1 Empty category
- 2 M=5.00 Mt=4.4
- 3 M=6.88 Mt=6.5
- 4 M=7.89 Mt=7.7

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=+

- 1 M=6.02 Mt=5.6
- 2 M=6.15 Mt=5.7
- 3 M=6.96 Mt=6.6
- 4 M=7.64 Mt=7.4

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.36	Mt=6.0
		2	M=4.30	Mt=3.7
		3	M=5.87	Mt=5.4
		4	M=7.31	Mt=7.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-1.32	Mt=3.7
		2	M=.14	Mt=5.1
		3	M=.35	Mt=5.4
		4	M=.62	Mt=5.6
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.92	Mt=6.4
		2	M=2.24	Mt=4.1
		3	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
		4	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.39</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.37</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.35</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.36</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/25

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Poland, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 938

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.59	Mt=5.1
		2	M=5.82	Mt=5.4
		3	M=6.66	Mt=6.3
		4	M=7.15	Mt=6.8
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.00	Mt=6.7
		2	M=2.90	Mt=6.3
		3	M=2.96	Mt=6.5
		4	M=3.06	Mt=6.9
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.36	Mt=6.4
		2	M=.60	Mt=5.6
		3	M=1.35	Mt=6.3
		4	M=1.89	Mt=6.9
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.10</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/30

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Chile1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1500

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.54	Mt=4.5
		2	M=.25	Mt=5.2
		3	M=.89	Mt=5.9
		4	M=1.34	Mt=6.3
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		2	M=2.49	Mt=5.0
		3	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		4	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
		2	M=6.45	Mt=6.1
		3	M=7.21	Mt=6.9
		4	M=7.96	Mt=7.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/31

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Belarus, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1015

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.25	Mt=4.2
		2	M=2.34	Mt=4.5
		3	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		4	M=2.56	Mt=5.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .06	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .24	Mt=5.2
		3	M=1.04	Mt=6.0
		4	M=1.55	Mt=6.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.67	Mt=4.1
		2	M=4.94	Mt=4.4
		3	M=5.77	Mt=5.3
		4	M=6.27	Mt=5.9
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/34

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, East Germany, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1336

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .62	Mt=5.6
		2	M= .92	Mt=5.9
		3	M=1.68	Mt=6.7
		4	M=1.71	Mt=6.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.20	Mt=5.8
		2	M=6.24	Mt=5.8
		3	M=6.88	Mt=6.5
		4	M=7.25	Mt=6.9
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.81	Mt=6.0
		2	M=2.87	Mt=6.2
		3	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		4	M=3.14	Mt=7.1
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/35

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Slovenia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1035

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.59	Mt=4.0
		2	M=4.34	Mt=3.7
		3	M=5.10	Mt=4.6
		4	M=5.96	Mt=5.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.11	Mt=3.7
		2	M=2.15	Mt=3.8
		3	M=2.38	Mt=4.6
		4	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .81	Mt=5.8
		2	M=1.07	Mt=6.1
		3	M=1.89	Mt=6.9
		4	M=2.31	Mt=7.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/29

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:*Population:* 18+ aged general public, Nigeria, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1001

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness**Statistics**Elaboration/Remarks*O-HL/u/sq/v/4/aDM=

- | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------|
| 1 | Less than 10 Ss | |
| 2 | M=2.28 | Mt=4.3 |
| 3 | M=2.83 | Mt=6.1 |
| 4 | M=3.06 | Mt=6.9 |

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/aDM=

- | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------|
| 1 | Less than 10 Ss | |
| 2 | M= .86 | Mt=5.9 |
| 3 | M=1.56 | Mt=6.6 |
| 4 | M=2.05 | Mt=7.1 |

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aaDM=

- | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------|
| 1 | Less than 10 Ss | |
| 2 | M=4.87 | Mt=4.3 |
| 3 | M=6.11 | Mt=5.7 |
| 4 | M=6.86 | Mt=6.5 |

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aar=+.27
p<.001

Working Ss only

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/ar=+.27
p<.001

Working Ss only

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/33

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Czechoslovakia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 1396

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .10	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .32	Mt=5.3
		3	M= .99	Mt=6.0
		4	M=1.17	Mt=6.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.38	Mt=4.9
		2	M=5.88	Mt=5.4
		3	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		4	M=6.45	Mt=6.1
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.55	Mt=5.2
		2	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		3	M=2.75	Mt=5.8
		4	M=2.73	Mt=5.8
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.11</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/36

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Bulgaria, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1034

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.59	Mt=4.0
		2	M=4.34	Mt=3.7
		3	M=5.10	Mt=4.6
		4	M=5.96	Mt=5.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.11	Mt=3.7
		2	M=2.15	Mt=3.8
		3	M=2.38	Mt=4.6
		4	M=2.65	Mt=5.5
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/37

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Romania, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1103

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
		2	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		3	M=2.68	Mt=5.6
		4	M=2.82	Mt=6.1
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M= .07	Mt=5.1
		2	M=-.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M= .99	Mt=5.9
		4	M=1.37	Mt=6.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.36	Mt=3.7
		2	M=5.08	Mt=4.5
		3	M=6.16	Mt=5.7
		4	M=6.72	Mt=6.4
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/39

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+aged, general public, China, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.68	Mt=6.3
		2	M=6.56	Mt=6.2
		3	M=7.49	Mt=7.2
		4	M=8.24	Mt=8.0
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .71	Mt=5.7
		2	M=1.04	Mt=6.0
		3	M=1.62	Mt=6.6
		4	M=2.24	Mt=7.2
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.50	Mt=5.0
		2	M=2.69	Mt=5.6
		3	M=2.97	Mt=6.6
		4	M=3.26	Mt=7.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.28</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>r=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.27</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/17

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Portugal, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1185

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=1.53 Mt=6.5
		4	M=1.70 Mt=6.7
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=6.70 Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.49 Mt=7.2
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	Less than 10 Ss
		2	Less than 10 Ss
		3	M=2.86 Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.94 Mt=6.5
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.06 ns</u>	Working Ss only	
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only	

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.05</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.07 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.04 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.11</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.09</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/42

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Austria, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1460

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

1	None
2	Little
3	Some
4	A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.24	Mt=7.5
		2	M=3.13	Mt=7.1
		3	M=3.22	Mt=7.4
		4	M=3.36	Mt=7.9
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.68	Mt=6.7
		2	M=1.73	Mt=6.7
		3	M=2.18	Mt=7.2
		4	M=2.42	Mt=7.4
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=7.16	Mt=6.8
		2	M=6.78	Mt=6.4
		3	M=7.06	Mt=6.7
		4	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=-.04 ns</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.02 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=-.05</u> <u>ns</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/44

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged general public, Turkey, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1030

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		2	M=6.15	Mt=5.7
		3	M=6.96	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.64	Mt=7.4
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.32	Mt=7.7
		2	M=3.04	Mt=6.8
		3	M=3.16	Mt=7.2
		4	M=3.30	Mt=7.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.26	Mt=4.7
		2	M=.05	Mt=5.0
		3	M=1.19	Mt=6.2
		4	M=2.05	Mt=7.0
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.14	Mt=4.6
		2	M=5.32	Mt=4.8
		3	M=6.20	Mt=5.8
		4	M=7.06	Mt=6.7

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.22	Mt=4.8
		2	M=.52	Mt=5.5
		3	M=.78	Mt=5.8
		4	M=1.03	Mt=6.0

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		2	M=2.85	Mt=6.2
		3	M=2.99	Mt=6.6
		4	M=3.12	Mt=7.1

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.08</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.12</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.09</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
---------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
-------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.10</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------

<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
-------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.24</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/46

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Lithuania, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .14	Mt=5.1
		2	M= .40	Mt=5.4
		3	M= .72	Mt=5.7
		4	M=1.22	Mt=6.2
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.38	Mt=4.9
		2	M=5.75	Mt=5.3
		3	M=6.08	Mt=5.6
		4	M=6.62	Mt=6.2
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.44	Mt=4.8
		2	M=2.58	Mt=5.3
		3	M=2.52	Mt=5.1
		4	M=2.60	Mt=5.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.06 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.05 ns</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.05</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/47

Reported in: Timmermans, D.

Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.

Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Latvia, 1990*Sample:**Non-Response:**N:* 903

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6*Measurement:* Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.39	Mt=4.6
		2	M=2.54	Mt=5.1
		3	M=2.59	Mt=5.3
		4	M=2.58	Mt=5.3
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .53	Mt=5.5
		2	M= .85	Mt=5.9
		3	M=1.22	Mt=6.2
		4	M=1.35	Mt=6.3
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.08	Mt=4.5
		2	M=5.90	Mt=5.4
		3	M=6.02	Mt=5.6
		4	M=6.16	Mt=5.7
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.13</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.11</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.13</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.13</u> <u>p<.01</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.15</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/48

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Estonia, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1008

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M= .22	Mt=5.2
		2	M= .62	Mt=5.6
		3	M= .94	Mt=5.9
		4	M=1.46	Mt=6.5
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.31	Mt=4.4
		2	M=2.57	Mt=5.2
		3	M=2.64	Mt=5.5
		4	M=2.78	Mt=5.9
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=5.37	Mt=4.9
		2	M=5.74	Mt=5.3
		3	M=6.24	Mt=5.8
		4	M=6.54	Mt=6.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.18</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.21</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/50

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, Russia, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1961

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=</u>	1	M=-.13	Mt=4.9
		2	M=.15	Mt=5.2
		3	M=.64	Mt=5.6
		4	M=.87	Mt=5.9
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=2.33	Mt=4.4
		2	M=2.38	Mt=4.6
		3	M=2.63	Mt=5.4
		4	M=2.68	Mt=5.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=4.44	Mt=3.8
		2	M=5.14	Mt=4.6
		3	M=5.54	Mt=5.0
		4	M=6.06	Mt=5.6
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.22</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.20</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	β controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

TIMME 1997/1

Reported in: Timmermans, D.
Correlates of Happiness in 42 Nations: Analysis of the World Values Study 1990 - 1991.
Working paper, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Department of Sociology, February 1997, Netherlands
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, France, 1990

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1002

Measured Correlate

Class: Pride in work, Code W5.6

Measurement: Single question: "How much pride, if any, do you take in the work that you do?"

- 1 None
- 2 Little
- 3 Some
- 4 A great deal

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=6.16	Mt=5.7
		2	M=5.95	Mt=5.5
		3	M=6.93	Mt=6.6
		4	M=7.46	Mt=7.2
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=1.22	Mt=6.2
		2	M=.88	Mt=5.9
		3	M=1.78	Mt=6.8
		4	M=2.03	Mt=7.0
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1	M=3.12	Mt=7.1
		2	M=3.02	Mt=6.7
		3	M=3.19	Mt=7.3
		4	M=3.33	Mt=7.8
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>r=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only		

<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>r=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>tc=+.23</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>tc=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>tb=+.16</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Working Ss only
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.26</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.17</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income
<u>O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a</u>	<u>Beta=+.14</u> <u>p<.001</u>	ß controlled for sex, age, and household income

Study

BBC 2006

Reported in: BBCThe Happiness Formula: Opinion Poll. http://news.bbc.co.uk/nol/shared/bsp/hi/pdfs/29_03_06_happiness_gfcpoll.pdfGfK-NOP poll 421059, commissioned by BBC, 2006, London, UK http://news.bbc.co.uk/nol/shared/bsp/hi/pdfs/29_03_06_happiness_gfcpoll.pdf

Page in Report: 59

Population: 15+ aged, UK, 2005*Sample:* Probability sample (unspecified)*Non-Response:* ??*N:* 1001

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1*Measurement:* Self-report on single question:

On the whole, would you say that you get satisfaction out of your work, or not?

0= No

1= Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>	
<u>O-HL/g/sq/v/4/g</u>	<u>DM=+ ns</u>	0: M=3,11	CI95=2,97-3,25
		1: M=3,30	CI95=3,24-3,36
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/ab</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	0: M=6,75	CI95=6,37-7,13
	<u>p<.05</u>	1: M=7,47	CI95=7,33-7,61

Study**UGLAN 2006**

Reported in: Uglanova, E.
 Analysis of Happiness Variable in Monitoring of Social and Economic Changes in Russia 1993 - 2.
 Data Independent Institute for Social Policy, 2006, Russia <http://sofist.socpol.ru>
 Page in Report: question 14

Population: 16+ aged, Russia, 1993

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 2018

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: How satisfied are you with your job?
 1 completely dissatisfied
 2 rather dissatisfied
 3 rather satisfied
 4 quite satisfied
 - DK/NA

Measured Values: 1: 6,1% 2: 17,8% 3: 30,1% 4: 16,3% DK/NA: 29,6%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1:M = 1,96 2:M = 2,29 3:M = 2,57 4:M = 3,05
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</u>	<u>rs=+.39</u> <u>p<.000</u>	

Study

UGLAN 2006

Reported in: Uglanova, E.
 Analysis of Happiness Variable in Monitoring of Social and Economic Changes in Russia 1993 - 2.
 Data Independent Institute for Social Policy, 2006, Russia <http://sofist.socpol.ru>
 Page in Report: question 15

Population: 16+ aged, Russia, 1993

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 2018

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: How satisfied are you with relations with your colleagues?
 1 completely dissatisfied
 2 rather dissatisfied
 3 rather satisfied
 4 quite satisfied
 - DK/NA

Measured Values: 1: 2,8% 2: 10,5% 3 : 37,2% 4: 20% DK/NA: 29,5%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	1: M = 2,0 2: M = 2,18 3: M = 2,51 4: M = 2,92
<u>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</u>	<u>rs=+.33</u> <u>p<.000</u>	

Study**HOOPE 1989**

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Journal of Community Psychology, 1989, Vol 17, 129 -140
Page in Report: 132,133.134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?,

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Measured Correlate

Class: . later satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.4.2

Measurement: Self report of satisfaction with amount of work done during vacation

Measured on a 1-5 scale:

Measured Values: N=117 M=2,20 SD=,82

Error Estimates: alpha = .60

Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.20</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction (before vacation) by T2 satisfaction with work during vacation (during vacation)
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.06 ns</u>	T2 satisfaction with work during vacation by T3 life satisfaction (after vacation)

Study**DEEN 2005A**

Reported in: Deen, N.; Wilcke, E.; Verheggen, P.P.
 Het Geluk van Werkend Nederland 2005. (The Happiness of Working People in the Netherlands in 2005).
 Projectpaper I0164 Motivaction i.o.o.Randstad (Temp Agency), 2005, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
 Page in Report: 13,20,38, 39

Population: 16-64 aged workers, The Netherlands, 2005

Sample: Probability systematic sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 3202

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Selfreport of satisfaction with current work situation.
 Rated 1 very dissatisfied to 5 very satisfied.

Measured Values: (very) satisfied: 61%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	dissatisfied: M=6,3 moderately satisfied: M=6,9 satisfied: M=7,7
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=+.41</u>	

Study

DEEN 2005A

Reported in: Deen, N.; Wilcke, E.; Verheggen, P.P.
 Het Geluk van Werkend Nederland 2005. (The Happiness of Working People in the Netherlands in 2005).
 Projectpaper I0164 Motivaction i.o.o.Randstad (Temp Agency), 2005, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
 Page in Report: 30, 39

Population: 16-64 aged workers, The Netherlands, 2005

Sample: Probability systematic sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 3202

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Selfreport of satisfaction of contact with roommates at work:
 Rated 1 very dissatisfied tot 5 very satisfied

Measured Values: (very) dissatisfied:3%; neutral 15%; (very) satisfied: 81%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>DM=+</u>	dissatisfied: M=6,4 satisfied: M=7,0
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=+.25</u>	

Study

DEEN 2005A

Reported in: Deen, N.; Wilcke, E.; Verheggen, P.P.
 Het Geluk van Werkend Nederland 2005. (The Happiness of Working People in the Netherlands in 2005).
 Projectpaper I0164 Motivaction i.o.o.Randstad (Temp Agency), 2005, Amsterdam, Netherlands.
 Page in Report: 30,39

Population: 16-64 aged workers, The Netherlands, 2005

Sample: Probability systematic sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 3202

Measured Correlate

Class: Worries about work, Code W5.5

Measurement: Selfreport of frequency of worrying about work at night:
 Rated 1 very dissatisfied (regularly) to 5 very satisfied
 (never)

Measured Values: (very) dissatisfied: 24%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>DM=-</u>	dissatisfied: M=7,3 satisfied: M=6,8
<u>O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=+.18</u>	

Study**HOOPE 1989**

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Journal of Community Psychology, 1989, Vol 17, 129 -140
Page in Report: 132,134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?,

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Measured Correlate

Class: . later satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.4.2

Measurement: Self report on satisfaction with:

- the people you work with/your co-workers
- the work you do on your job - the work itself
- the supervision you receive on your job
- the opportunities for promotion and advancement on your job
- what you are accomplishing in life
- how interesting your day to day life is
- your job as a whole

Assessed on a 1-7 scale:1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=121 M=3,16 SD=,85

Error Estimates: alpha=.84

Remarks: Assessed at:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.54</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction by T1 satisfaction with work
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.63</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T3 life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with work
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.48</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 satisfaction with work by T3 life satisfaction
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.46</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with work

Study**HOOPE 1989**

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Journal of Community Psychology, 1989, Vol 17, 129 -140
Page in Report: 132,134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?,

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Self report on satisfaction with:

- the people you work with/your co-workers
- the work you do on your job - the work itself
- the supervision you receive on your job
- the opportunities for promotion and advancement on your job
- what you are accomplishing in life
- how interesting your day to day life is
- your job as a whole

Assessed on a 1-7 scale:1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=121 M=3,16 SD=,85

Error Estimates: alpha=.84

Remarks: Assessed at:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.54</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction by T1 satisfaction with work
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.63</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T3 life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with work
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.48</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 satisfaction with work by T3 life satisfaction
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.46</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with work

Study**HOOPE 1989**

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
 An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
 Journal of Community Psychology, 1989, Vol 17, 129 -140
 Page in Report: 132,133.134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?,

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Measured Correlate

Class: . earlier satisfaction with work, Code W5.1.1.2

Measurement: Self report of satisfaction with amount of work done during vacation

Measured on a 1-5 scale:

Measured Values: N=117 M=2,20 SD=,82

Error Estimates: alpha = .60

Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
 T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
 T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
 T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.20</u> <u>p<.05</u>	T1 life satisfaction (before vacation) by T2 satisfaction with work during vacation (during vacation)
<u>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</u>	<u>r=-.06 ns</u>	T2 satisfaction with work during vacation by T3 life satisfaction (after vacation)

Study

LEHMA 1988

Reported in: Lehman, A.F.
 A Quality of Life Interview for the Chronically Mentally Ill.
 Evaluation and Program Planning, 1988, Vol. 11, 51 -52. ISSN 0149 7189
 Page in Report: 57

Population: Chronic mental patients, USA,1981

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response:

N: 469

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: question not reported

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a

r=+.16 ns

Los Angeles: mentally ill residents of 30 large
board-and-care homes(N=61)

O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a

r=+.48
p<.05

Rochester: chronically mentally ill inpatients at
the Rochester(N.Y.) Psychiatric Center(N=26)

O-DT/u/sqrt(v/7/a

r=+.28 ns

Rochester:chronically mentaly ill residents of
various supervised community residences(N=25)

Study**VLIER 2002A**

Reported in: VandeVliert, E.; Janssen, O.
Competitive Societies are Less Competitive if the Women are Less Competitive than the Men.
Cross-Cultural Research, 2002, Vol. 36, 321 - 337 ISSN 1069 3971. DOI:10.1177/106939702237305
DOI: 10.1177/106939702237305
Page in Report: 328

Population: General public and students, in 42 nations, 1986

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response:

N: 42

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Self report on single question:
Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your job?
Assessed on a 10 point scale from 1=dissatisfied to 10=satisfied

Remarks: Data: International Survey Research
The original dataset covered 33 nations of which 28 overlapped this sample. 8 missing values were removed by assigning the average score of the other countries in its geographical or linguistic so that data were available for 36 nations, but not for the 5 arab countries and israel.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum*/sq/nt/11/a

r=+.68
p<.001

Average happiness by average job-satisfaction in 36 nations

Study**VLIER 2002A**

Reported in: VandeVliert, E.; Janssen, O.
Competitive Societies are Less Competitive if the Women are Less Competitive than the Men.
Cross-Cultural Research, 2002, Vol. 36, 321 - 337 ISSN 1069 3971. DOI:10.1177/106939702237305
DOI: 10.1177/106939702237305
Page in Report: 328

Population: General public and students, in 42 nations, 1986

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response:

N: 42

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with aspects of work, Code W5.4.2

Measurement: Five item company satisfaction index, illustrative items:
- I would recommend my company as a good place to work
- Taking everything into account, how satisfied are you with your company as an employer?

Assessed on a 4 point scale from 1 = disagree to 4 = agree

Remarks: Data: International Survey Research
The original dataset covered 33 nations of which 28 overlapped this sample. 8 missing values were removed by assigning the average score of the other countries in its geographical or linguistic so that data were available for 36 nations, but not for the 5 arab countries and israel.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum/√sq/nt/11/a

r=+.52
p<.001

Average happiness by average company-satisfaction
in 36 nations

Study**VLIER 2002B**

Reported in: VandeVliert, E.; Janssen, O.
 "Better Than" Performance Motives as Roots of Satisfaction Across More and Less Developed Countries.
 Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 2002, Vol. 33, 380 - 397. ISSN 0022 0221
 doi:10.1177/00222102033004002 doi:10.1177/00222102033004002
 Page in Report:

Population: Students, 42 countries, 2002

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response:

N: 14000

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with work itself, Code W5.4.2.4

Measurement: Self-reports on two questions:
 1. I am doing something I consider really worthwhile in my job?
 2. My job is frequently dull and monotonous?

Remarks: Average job satisfaction in 42 nations.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum*/sq/vt/11/a

r=+.68
p<.05

Average happiness by average jobsatisfaction in nations

Study

VLIER 2002B

Reported in: VandeVliert, E.; Janssen, O.
 "Better Than" Performance Motives as Roots of Satisfaction Across More and Less Developed Countries.
 Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology, 2002, Vol. 33, 380 - 397. ISSN 0022 0221
 doi:10.1177/00222102033004002 doi:10.1177/00222102033004002
 Page in Report:

Population: Students, 42 countries, 2002

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response:

N: 14000

Measured Correlate

Class: . Satisfaction with company, Code W5.4.2.7

Measurement: Self-reports on two questions:
 1. I would recommend my company as a good place to work?
 2. Taking everything into account, how satisfied are you
 with your company as an employer?

Remarks: Average company satisfaction in 42 nations.

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum*/sq/vt/11/a

r=+.52
p<.05

Average happiness by average company satisfaction
 in nations

Study	LINN 1986
<i>Reported in:</i>	Linn, L.S.;Yager, J.; Dennis, W.;Cope, W.; Leake, B. Factors Associated With Life Satisfaction Among Practicing Internist. Medical Care, 1986, Vol. 24, 830 - 837. ISSN p 0125 7079; ISSN e 1537 1948 Page in Report: 833
<i>Population:</i>	Physicians, California, USA, 1984
<i>Sample:</i>	Probability simple random sample
<i>Non-Response:</i>	
<i>N:</i>	211

Measured Correlate

<i>Class:</i>	Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1
<i>Measurement:</i>	Self-report on single question

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a	r=+.42 p<.0001	

Study	LINN 1986
<i>Reported in:</i>	Linn, L.S.;Yager, J.; Dennis, W.;Cope, W.; Leake, B. Factors Associated With Life Satisfaction Among Practicing Internist. Medical Care, 1986, Vol. 24, 830 - 837. ISSN p 0125 7079; ISSN e 1537 1948 Page in Report: 833
<i>Population:</i>	Physicians, California, USA, 1984
<i>Sample:</i>	Probability simple random sample
<i>Non-Response:</i>	
<i>N:</i>	211

Measured Correlate

<i>Class:</i>	Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7
<i>Measurement:</i>	Self-report of conflict between work and home

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a	r=-.44 p<.0001	

Study

DEMER 2005

Reported in: Demerouti, E.; Bakker, A.B.; Schaufeli, W.B.
Spillover and Crossover of Exhaustion and Life Satisfaction among Dual-Earning Parents.
Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2005, Vol. 67, 266 - 289. (Also Paper, Utrecht University, Department of Social & Organizational Psychology, 2004, Utrecht, Netherlands), ISSN 0001 8791.
Page in Report: 274,275

Population: Dual-earning parents of young children, the Netherlands, 2000

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 59 %

N: 382

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: Self report o 5 questions :
- I feel used up at the end of the workday
- I feel burned out from work
Rated 0 (never) to 6 (always)

Measured Values: Men M=1,48 SD =.78 Women M= 1,76 SD =1.01

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.32</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Men
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.27</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Women

Study

DEMER 2005

Reported in: Demerouti, E.; Bakker, A.B.; Schaufeli, W.B.
Spillover and Crossover of Exhaustion and Life Satisfaction among Dual-Earning Parents.
Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2005, Vol. 67, 266 - 289. (Also Paper, Utrecht University, Department of Social & Organizational Psychology, 2004, Utrecht, Netherlands), ISSN 0001 8791.
Page in Report: 274,275,278

Population: Dual-earning parents of young children, the Netherlands, 2000

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 59 %

N: 382

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Employee was asked to indicate the extent to his/her work is negatively influencing the home situation on 3 items:
- How often does it happen that your work schedule makes it difficult for for you to fulfill your domestic obligations?

Partners were asked to indicate the extent to which their partners work negatively influences family life on 3 items:
- How often does it happen that the work schedule of your partner makes it difficul for him/her to fulfill domestic obligations.
Rated: 1(never) to 5 (always)

Measured Values: Self rated: Men: M=1,98 SD=.67, Women:M=1,76 SD=.61 Spouse rated: Men: M=2,06 SD=.73, Women: M=1,76 SD=.70

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.23 p<.01</u>	Self rated - Men
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.24 p<.01</u>	- Women
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.28 p<.01</u>	Spouse rated - Men
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=+.12 ns</u>	- Women

Set Image size:




Fig. 3. Standardized solution (maximum likelihood estimates) of the spillover and crossover model for self-reported WFI. $N = 191$ couples. Note. $\chi^2 = 39.15$; $df = 31$; $p = .149$; GFI = .96; RMSEA = .04; TLI = .97; and CFI = .98.

Study

NAPIE 2008-2

Reported in: Napier, J.L; Jost, J.T,
Why are Conservatives happier than Liberals?
Psychological Science, 2008, Vol. 19, 565-572. ISSN 0956 7976
Page in Report: 569

Population: Voters, USA, 1995

Sample: Probability simple random sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 1176

Measured Correlate

Class: Current motivation to work, Code W5.3

Measurement: Self-report on single question, rated on a 10-point numerical scale from:
1: Hard work doesn't generally bring success - it's more a matter of luck
.
.
10 In the long run, hard work usually brings a better life

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum/*mq/*10/a

B=+.07
p<.001

B's controlled for:
- Right-wing orientation
- Socio-demographic variables

O-Sum/*mq/*10/a

B mean point happiness (1-10) more or less per point (1-10) meritocratic beliefs

Study

DEMER 2005

Reported in: Demerouti, E.; Bakker, A.B.; Schaufeli, W.B.
Spillover and Crossover of Exhaustion and Life Satisfaction among Dual-Earning Parents.
Journal of Vocational Behavior, 2005, Vol. 67, 266 - 289. (Also Paper, Utrecht University, Department of Social & Organizational Psychology, 2004, Utrecht, Netherlands), ISSN 0001 8791.
Page in Report: 274,275,278

Population: Dual-earning parents of young children, the Netherlands, 2000

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 59 %

N: 382

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Employee was asked to indicate the extent to his/her work is negatively influencing the home situation on 3 questions e.g.:
- How often does it happen that your work schedule makes it difficult for you to fulfill your domestic obligations?

Assessed on a 1(never) to 5 (always) scale

Partners were asked to indicate the extent to which their partners work negatively influences family life on 3 questions e.g.:
- How often does it happen that the work schedule of your partner makes it difficult for him/her to fulfill domestic obligations.

Assessed on a 1(never) to 5 (always) scale

Measured Values: Self rated: Men: M=1,98 SD=.67, Women:M=1,76 SD=.61 Spouse rated: Men: M=2,06 SD=.73, Women: M=1,76 SD=.70

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=+.34 p<.01</u>	Wives' happiness by wives'rating of husbands WFI
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>r=-.17 p<.05</u>	Men's WFI (self rating) by Women's happiness
<u>O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a</u>	<u>rpc=</u>	

Set Image size:




Fig. 2. Standardized solution (maximum likelihood estimates) of the spillover and crossover model for partners' ratings of WFI, $N = 191$ couples. Note. $\chi^2 = 49.49$; $df = 29$; $p = .010$; GFI = .95; RMSEA = .06; TLI = .90; and CFI = .93.

Study**BACH 1993**

Reported in: Bach J.R., Tilton M.C
 Life Satisfaction and Well-Being Measures in Ventilator Assisted Individuals With traumatic Tetraplegia
 Arch Phys Rehabil Vol 75, June 1994, 626-632.
 Page in Report: 627-629

Population: Tetraplegia patients and controls, USA, 1985

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 40%

N: 87

Measured Correlate

Class: Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole, Code W5.4.1

Measurement: Self report on single question:
 1 completely dissatisfied
 .
 .
 7 completely satisfied

Measured Values: Patients ventilator assisted M = 5.17 SD = 2.17 Patients autonomic breathing M = 3.54 SD = 2.03 Healthy controls M = 5.21 SD = 1.39

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.35 ns</u>	Patients ventilator assisted
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.30 ns</u>	Patient autonomic breathing
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/n/7/a</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Healthy controls

Study

ARORA 2003

Reported in: Arora, R.
Happiness and the Jobless Recovery.
Gallup Poll News Service, 17 June 2003, The Gallup Organization, Washington DC, USA
Page in Report: 2

Population: 18+aged, employed, USA, 2000-2003

Sample: Probability simple random sample

Non-Response:

N: 1000

Measured Correlate

Class: Current motivation to work, Code W5.3

Measurement: 1. Engaged
0. Actively disengaged

Remarks: Measurement not reported

Observed Relation with Happiness

*Measured
Happiness*

Statistics

Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLP/g/sq/v/2/b

D%=+

% satisfied

p<.05

-Engaged

65%

-Actively disengaged

24%

Study

WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 32,86,121,137,140143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: "Here are some things people may say about combining work and family life. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your life as a working parent?"

- a. My work schedule fits well with my family needs*.
- b. There's no room in my schedule for anything out of the ordinary (e.g., a transportation delay.)
- c. My child care arrangements are good for my children*.
- d. I have enough time to spend with my family*.
- e. I have to impose on others to help with child care.
- f. I have enough free time for myself*.
- g. I have more to do than I can handle comfortably.
- h. I have rush to get to work on time.
- i. I have time to relax with my family after work*.
- j. My child care arrangements create a burden for others.
- k. I have to rush home from work in time to meet my family responsibilities.
- l. I have enough time for household tasks*.

Rated: 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, 5 = Strongly agree.
* Items reverse-coded

Error Estimates: Alpha = .87

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.29</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.25</u> <u>p<.001</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.34</u> <u>p<.001</u>	Married Mothers

<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.60</u> <u>p<.001</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.40</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.42</u> <u>p<.001</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=+.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta=+.10</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=-.06</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta=-.08</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta=-.07</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta=+.12</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Beta's controlled for age: - education - income - organization (place of employment) - stress - support from friends/neighbors/relatives - support from co-workers - support from supervisors

Study

WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,67,74,133,138

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your friends, neighbors and relatives helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did friends, neighbors and relatives do each of the following?

Verbal support:

- a. Shared ideas or advice.
- b. Listened to my problems.
- c. Was understanding or sympathetic.
- d. Helped me to figure out how to solve a problem.

Instrumental support:

- a. Handled child's sickness or other emergency.
- b. Took care of some of my responsibilities when I was especially tired or busy.
- c. Took care of children before or after school.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = not applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,9, SD=.69, Married Mothers M = 2,4 SD = .76, Single Mothers M=2,6 SD=.82

Error Estimates: alpha=.83 Verbal support: alpha=.89, Instrumental support alpha = .71

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.13 ns</u>	Married fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.33 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.03 ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.03 ns</u>	

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	r=+.07 ns	Single Mothers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	r=+.03 ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	Beta= ns	Married Fathers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	Beta= ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	Beta= ns	Married Mothers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	Beta= ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	Beta= ns	Single Mothers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	Beta= ns	Beta's controlled for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - age - education - income - organization (place of employment) - stress - support from spouse - support from co-workers - support from supervisors
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	B= ns	Married fathers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	B= ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	B= ns	Married Fathers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	B= ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	B= ns	Married Mothers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	B= ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	B= ns	Single Mothers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	B= ns	B's additionally controlled for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - resentment of spouse, - resentment of friends/neighbors/relatives - resentment of co-workers and supervisor

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,67,74,134,138,141

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your co-workers helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your co-workers do each of the following?

Verbal support:

- a. Shared ideas or advice.
- b. Listened to my problems.
- c. Was understanding or sympathetic.
- d. Helped me to figure out how to solve a problem.

Instrumental support:

- a. shared duties or traded off tasks to accomodate my family responsibilities.
- b. 'Pinch hit ' for me if I needed time for family responsibilities
- c. Switched schedule (hours, overtime hours, vacation) to accomodate my family responsibilities.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = Not applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,9, SD=.66, Married Mothers M = 2,2 SD = .70, Single Mothers M = 2,2 SD = .69

Remarks: alpha = .83. verbal support: alpha = .89, instrumental support: alpha = .74

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	r=-.09 ns	Married fathers
O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i	r=+.07 ns	
O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa	r=-.09 ns	Married Mothers

<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.07 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.05 ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.06 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Married fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Beta's controlled for: - age - education - income - organization (place of employment) - stress - support from spouse - support from friends/neighbors/relatives - support from supervisors
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	B's additionally controlled for: - resentment of spouse, - resentment of friends/neighbors/relatives - resentment of co-workers and supervisor

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,67,74,135,138,141

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your supervisor helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your supervisor do each of the following?:

Verbal support:

a. Shared ideas or advice.

b. Helped me to figure out how to solve a problem

c. Listened to my problems.

d. Was understanding or sympathetic. Instrumental support:

a. Juggled tasks or duties to accommodate my family responsibilities

b. Switched schedule (hours, overtime, vacation) to accommodate my family responsibilities.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = not applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,9, SD=.78, Married Mothers M = 1,9 SD = .79, Single Mothers M=1,9 SD = .80

Remarks: alpha = .88, verbal support alpha = .88, instrumental support alpha = .69

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.03 ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.10 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=.01 ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.00 ns</u>	

<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.02 ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.10</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>		Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>Beta= ns</u>	Beta's controlled for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - age - education - income - organization (place of employment) - stress - support from spouse - support from friends/neighbors/relatives - support from co-workers
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Married fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>B= ns</u>	B's additionally controlled for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - resentment of spouse, - resentment of friends/neighbors/relatives - resentment of co-workers and supervisor

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,132,138,141

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: 'Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have you spouse helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your spouse do each of the following?
1. Held my job responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,8, SD=.70, Married Mothers M = 1,9 SD = .83, Single Mothers = NA

Error Estimates: alpha = .73

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.32</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.25</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.27</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.31</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Study

WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,133,138,141,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your friends, neighbors and relatives helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did friends, neighbors and relatives do each of the following?
1. Held my job responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very Often, 8 = Not Applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,2, SD=.45, Married Mothers M = 1,4 SD = .62, Single Mothers M=1,6 SD = .81

Error Estimates: alpha = .78

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.26</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.08 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.10</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.02 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.13 ns</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.10 ns</u>	

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,134,138,141,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with colleagues, Code W5.4.2.3

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your co-workers helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your co-workers do each of the following?
1. Held my family responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = Not Applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,2, SD=.43, Married Mothers M = 1,3 SD = .53, Single Mothers M=1,3 SD = .56

Error Estimates: alpha = .76

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.05 ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.13 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.10 ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.13</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.24</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.11 ns</u>	

Study

WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,134,138,141,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your co-workers helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your co-workers do each of the following?
1. Held my family responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = Not Applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,2, SD=.43, Married Mothers M = 1,3 SD = .53, Single Mothers M=1,3 SD = .56

Error Estimates: alpha = .76

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.05 ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.13 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.10 ns</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.13</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.24</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.11 ns</u>	

Study

WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,135,134,141,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: . satisfaction with management, Code W5.4.2.6

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your supervisor helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your supervisor do each of the following?:
1. Held my family responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = Not Applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,2, SD=.45, Married Mothers M = 1,4 SD = .62, Single Mothers M=1,6 SD = .81

Error Estimates: alpha = .79

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.07 ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.02 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.16</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.15</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.27</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.11 ns</u>	

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 50,135,134,141,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived conflict between work and home life, Code W5.7

Measurement: Think back to your experiences as a working parent over the last two months. How have your supervisor helped you to manage? How often in the past two months did your supervisor do each of the following?:
1. Held my family responsibilities against me. 2. Showed resentment of my needs as a working parent. 3. Was critical of my efforts to combine work and family.

Rated: 1= Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often, 8 = Not Applicable

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=1,2, SD=.45, Married Mothers M = 1,4 SD = .62, Single Mothers M=1,6 SD = .81

Error Estimates: alpha = .79

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.07 ns</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.02 ns</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.16</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.15</u> <u>p<.05</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=-.27</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=-.11 ns</u>	

Study**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 51,126137,140,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Measured Correlate

Class: Current satisfaction with work, Code W5.4

Measurement: A.All in all is this a good company/agency to work for? Rated: 1 = Extremely good, 2 = Very good, 3 = average, 4 = somewhat poor, 5 = very poor
B. Knowing what you know now, if you had to decide all over again whether to take the job you now have, what would you say? Rated: 1 = Decide without hesitation to take the same type of job, 2= have some second thoughts, 3 = Decide definitely not to take this type of job.
C.If a friend of yours told you he or she was interested in working in a job like yours, for your employer, what would you say? Rated: 1 = strongly recommend it, 2 = recommend it, 3 = Have doubts about recommending it, 4 = advise friend against it.
D. All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with your job.

Rated: 1 = not at all satisfied, 2 = Not too satisfied, 3 = Very satisfied, 4 = Extremely satisfied
item 1-3 are reverse coded in the analysis.

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=2,9, SD=.73, Married Mothers M = 2,8 SD = .64, Single Mothers M=2,7 SD = .67

Error Estimates: alpha = .84

Observed Relation with Happiness

<i>Measured Happiness</i>	<i>Statistics</i>	<i>Elaboration/Remarks</i>
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.34</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Fathers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.32</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.30</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Married Mothers

<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.19</u> <u>p<.01</u>	
<u>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</u>	<u>r=+.32</u> <u>p<.01</u>	Single Mothers
<u>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</u>	<u>r=+.38</u> <u>p<.01</u>	

Appendix 1: Happiness Items used

<i>Happiness Item Code</i>	<i>Full Text</i>
A-AOL/c/sq/v/4/b	<p>Selfreport on single closed question:</p> <p>"How is your mood these days....?"</p> <p>4 very good all the time</p> <p>3</p> <p>2</p> <p>1 not good almost all the time</p>
A-AOL/cy/sq/v/10/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>"In thinking over the past year, indicate how elated or depressed, happy or unhappy you have felt....?"</p> <p>10 Complete elation, rapturous joy and soaring ecstasy</p> <p>9 Very elated and in very high spirits. Tremendous delight and buoyancy.</p> <p>8 Elated and in high spirits.</p> <p>7 Feeling very good and cheerful.</p> <p>6 Feeling pretty good , "OK".</p> <p>5 Feeling a little bit low. Just so-so.</p> <p>4 Spirits low and somewhat "blue".</p> <p>3 Depressed and feeling very low. Definitely "blue".</p> <p>2 Tremendously depressed.</p> <p>Feeling terrible, really miserable, "just awful".</p> <p>1 Utter depression and gloom. Completely down.</p> <p>All is black and leaden. Wish it were all over.</p>
A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a	<p>Single direct question:</p> <p>How are you feeling now....?</p> <p>5 very good</p> <p>4 good</p> <p>3 neither good nor poor</p> <p>2 poor</p> <p>1 very poor</p>
A-ARE/md/sqr/v/10/a	<p>Selfreport on single question, repeated every evening before retiring during 3 weeks (experience sampling).</p> <p>"On the average, how happy or unhappy did you feel today....?"</p> <p>1 Extremely unhappy. Utterly depressed. Completely down.</p> <p>2 Very unhappy. Depressed. Spirits very low.</p> <p>3 Pretty unhappy. Somewhat 'blue'. Spirits down.</p> <p>4 Mildly unhappy. Just a little low.</p> <p>5 Barely unhappy. Just this side of neutral.</p> <p>6 Barely happy. Just this side of neutral.</p> <p>7 Mildly happy. Feeling fairly good and somewhat cheerful.</p> <p>8 Pretty happy. Spirits high. Feeling good.</p> <p>9 Very happy. Feeling really good. Elated.</p> <p>10 Extremely happy. Feeling ecstatic, joyous, fantastic.</p> <p>Name: Wessman & Ricks' `Elation - Depression Scale" (adapted version)</p>

A-ARE/md/sqr/v/10/b

Selfreport on single question, answered every evening before retiring during six weeks (experience sampling)

"On average; how elated or depressed, happy or unhappy you felt today....?"

10 Complete elation, rapturous joy and soaring ecstasy

9 Very elated and in very high spirits. Tremendous delight and buoyancy

8 Elated and in high spirits

7 Feeling very good and cheerful

6 Feeling pretty good , "OK"

5 Feeling a little bit low. Just so-so

4 Spirits low and somewhat 'blue'

3 Depressed and feeling very low.

Definitely 'blue'

2 Tremendously depressed.

Feeling terrible, really miserable, "just awful"

1 Utter depression and gloom. Completely down.

All is black and leaden. Wish it were all over.

Name: Wessman & Ricks' 'Elation - depression scale'

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a

Selfreport on 10 questions:

During the past few weeks, did you ever feel? (yes/no)

A Particularly excited or interested in something?

B So restless that you couldn't sit long in a chair?

C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done?

D Very lonely or remote from other people?

E Pleased about having accomplished something?

F Bored?

G On top of the world?

H Depressed or very unhappy?

I That things were going your way?

J Upset because someone criticized you?

Answer options and scoring:

yes = 1

no = 0

Summation:

-Positive Affect Score (PAS): A+C+E+G+I

-Negative Affect Score (NAS): B+D+F+H+J

-Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: -5 to +5

Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance Scale' (standard version)

A-BB/cm/mq/v/5/a

Selfreport on 13 questions:

"During the past four weeks have you ever felt....?" (yes/no)

A Pleased about having accomplished something.

B Things going my way.

C Proud because someone complimented me on something I had done.

D Particularly excited or interested in something I had done.

E On top of the world.

F A deep sense of joy.

G Pleased because my life feels orderly and secure.

H Bored.

I Very lonely and remote from other people.

J Jealous of somebody.

K Angry with someone.
L Disappointed in myself..
M Unhappy about the small number of times I have pleasant feelings and experiences.

Answer options:

0 no

yes

If yes: How often did you feel so?

5 every day

4 several times a week

3 once a week

2 2 or 3 times a month

1 once a month

Summation:

- Positive Affect Score (PAS): Average A to G

- Negative Affect Score (NAS): Average H to M

- Affect Balance Score (AB): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: +42 tot -30

Name : Bradburn's 'Affected Balance Scale' (modified version)

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/e

Selfreport on 9 questions:

We are interested in the way people are feeling these days. The following list describes some of the ways people feel at different times. Please indicate how often you felt each way during the last week.

How often last week did you feel?

A On the top of the world

B Very lonely or remote from other people

C Particularly excited or interested in something

D Depressed or very unhappy

E Pleased about having accomplished something

F Bored

G Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done

H So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair

I Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why

Answer options:

0 not at all

1 once

2 several times

3 often

Summation:

Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on A, C, E, G

Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on B, D, F, H, I

Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: -15 tot +12

Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance Scale' (modified version)

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/f

Selfreport on 10 questions:

We are interested in how people are feeling these days. The following items describe some of the ways people feel at different times. Please indicate how often you felt each way during the last week

A Pleased about having accomplished something.

B That things were going my way.

C Proud because someone complimented me on something I had done.

D Particularly excited or interested in something I had done.

E On top of the world.

F Upset because someone criticized you

G So restless that you could not sit long in a chair

H Bored

I Very lonely or remote from other people

J Depressed or very unhappy

Answer options:

0 not at all

1 once

2 several times

3 often

Summation:

- Positive Affect Score (PAS): Average A to E

- Negative Affect Score (NAS): Average F to J

- Affect Balance Score (AB): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: -25 to +25

Name : Bradburn's "Affected Balance Scale" (modified version)

A-BB/u/mq/v/3/e

Selfreport on 8 questions:

Here is a list that describes some of the ways people feel at different times. How often do you feel each of these ways?

A On top of the world

B Very lonely or remote from other people

C Particularly excited or interested in something

D Pleased about having accomplished something

E Bored

F Depressed or very unhappy

G So restless that you could not sit long in a chair

H Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why

Reponse options:

0 never

1 sometimes

3 often

Scoring:

PA = A+C+D (range 0-9)

NA = B+E+F+G+H (range 0-15)

ABS = PA-NA (range 1-7)

Name: Index of Psychological Wellbeing

A-BK/u/mq/v/5/a

Selfreport on 96 questions:

SENTENCES

Each of the sentences below describe a FEELING. Mark HOW OFTEN you had that feeling;
(Timeframe chosen by the subject: mostly past weeks.)

- A Nothing goes right with me
- B I feel close to people around me
- C I feel as though the best years of my life are over
- D I feel my life is on the right track
- E I feel loved and trusted
- F My work gives me a lot of pleasure
- G I don't like myself
- H I feel very tense
- I I feel life isn't with living
- J I am content with myself
- K My past life is filled with failure
- L Everything I do seems worthwhile
- M I can't be bothered doing anything
- N I feel I can do whatever I want to
- O I have lost interest in other people and don't care about them
- P Everything is going right for me
- Q I can express my feelings and emotions towards other people
- R I'm easy going
- S I seem to be left alone when I don't want to be
- T I wish I could change some parts of my life
- U I seem to have no real drive to do anything
- V The future looks good
- W I become very lonely
- X I can concentrate well on what I'm doing
- Y I feel out of place
- Z I think clearly and creatively
- AA I feel alone
- AB I feel free and easy
- AC I smile and laugh a lot
- AD I feel things are going my way
- AE The world seems a cold and impersonal place
- AF I feel I've made a mess of things again
- AG I feel like hiding away
- AH I feel confident about decisions I make
- AI I feel depressed for no apparent reason
- AJ I feel other people like me
- AK I feel like a failure
- AL I feel I'm a complete person
- AM I'm not sure I'm doing the right thing
- AN My future looks good
- AO I'm making the most out of my life
- AP Everything is going right for me
- AQ I feel unimportant
- AR I feel as though there must be something wrong with me
- AS I don't feel like making the effort to do anything
- AT I feel confident in my dealings with the opposite sex
- AU I want to hurt those who have hurt me
- AV The results I have obtained make my efforts worthwhile

ADJECTIVES

Each of the objectives below describe a FEELING. Mark HOW OFTEN you had that feeling;

- A Confident
- B Hopeless
- C Pleasant

D Insignificant
E Discontented
F Healthy
G Dejected
H Annoyed
I Down
J Glad
K Glowing
L , Relaxed
M Comfortable
N Moody
O Understood
P Blue
Q Miserable
R Joyful
S Tense
T Insecure
U Shaky
V Satisfied
W Safe
X Successful
Y Free
Z Sad
AA Good-natured
AB Impatient
AC Rejected
AD Lively
AE Frustrated
AF Fearful
AG Lonely
AH Warm
AI Contented
AJ Good
AK Secure
AL Disappointed
AM Understanding
AN Depressed
AO Low
AP Calm
AQ Unhappy
AR Upset
AS Empty
AT Enthusiastic
AU Happy
AV Vibrant

Answer options:

0 not at all
1 occasionally
2 some of the time
3 often
4 all of the time

Summation:

- Positive Affect Score (PAS): mean positive items
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): mean negative items
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range - 4 to +4

A-CA/mh/ri/v/2/a

Interviewer-rating of cheerful appearance on 8 aspects

- A The look is
 - 2) open
 - 1) evasive
 - impossible to say
- B The corners of the mouth turn
 - 2) up
 - 1) down
 - impossible to say
- C The posture is
 - 2) relaxed, at ease
 - 1) rather tense
 - impossible to say
- D The movements are
 - 2) relaxed, at ease
 - 1) limited, withdrawn
 - impossible to say
- E The eyes are
 - 2) big, open
 - 1) small, screw up
 - impossible to say
- F The elbows are kept
 - 2) not close to the body
 - 1) close to the body
 - impossible to say
- G The lips are more
 - 2) broad, full
 - 1) thin, pent up
 - impossible to say
- H All in all the subject looks
 - 2) quite cheerful
 - 1) not very cheerful
 - difficult to say

Scoring: sum of aspect ratings

Name: 'Allensbacher Ausdruckstest'

C-ASG/h/mq/v/5/a

Selfreport on 2 questions:

- A. "How important was each of the following goals in life in the plans you made for yourself in early adulthood?"
 - occupational success
 - family life
 - friendships
 - richness of cultural life
 - total service to society.
 - 1 less important to me than to most people
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5 of prime importance to me
- B. "How successful have you been in the pursuit of these goals?"
 - 1 little satisfaction in this area
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 5 had excellent fortune in this respect

Computation: General Satisfaction 5 is the quotient obtained by multiplying the planned goal (early

adulthood) by the reported success in attaining that goal, adding the five of these multiplied areas and dividing them by the sum of the planned goals for each of the areas.

$$\text{Pa.Sa} + \text{Pb.Sb} + \text{Pc.Sc} + \text{Pd.Sd} + \text{Pe.Se}$$

$$\text{Pa} + \text{Pb} + \text{Pc} + \text{Pd} + \text{Pe}$$

Pa = planned goal a (1-5)

Sa = success goal a (1-5)

C-BW/c/sq/l/11/b

Selfreport on single question:

"Above you see a numbered ladder. Consider the top of the ladder as the best possible life and the bottom of the ladder as the worst possible life.

A Please place an X where you feel you are now.

B Place an Y at the point where you were five years ago.

C Please place a Z at the point that you feel you will be at five years from now.

[10] best possible life

[9]

[8]

[7]

[6]

[5]

[4]

[3]

[2]

[1]

[0] worst possible life

Question A is the case question.

Name: Cantril's self anchoring ladder rating of life (modified version)

C-BW/cy/sq/l/9/a

Selfreport on single question:

"Here is a picture of a ladder. At the bottom of the ladder is the worst life you might reasonably expect to have. At the top is the best life you might expect to have. Of course, life from week to week falls somewhere in between. Where was your life most of the time during the past year?"

[9] best life you might expect to have

[8]

[7]

[6]

[5]

[4]

[3]

[2]

[1] worst life you might expect to have

Name: Cantril's self anchoring ladder rating (modified version)

M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a

Selfreport on 4 questions:

A For the past five years, how much have you accomplished of what you planned in your life?

1 completely failed

2

3

4

5

6

7 completely accomplished

B How much are you satisfied with your life as a whole these days?

1 completely dissatisfied

2

3

4

5

6

7 completely satisfied

C How much do you enjoy your life these days?

3 a great deal

2 a fair amount

1 not very much

D How happy have you been for the past five years?

1 completely unhappy

2

3

4

5

6

7 completely happy

Summation: % positive answers

Response options A, D and C not reported in full detail

M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

Which (of the faces) best described how you feel about your life as a whole?

7 completely happy

6

5

4 neither happy nor unhappy

3

2

1 completely unhappy

M-FH/cm/sq/v/5/a

Selfreport on single question:

How would you rate the last month of your life. Was it a happy or an unhappy time for you.....?

5 very happy time

4 fairly happy time

3 happy nor unhappy

2 rather unhappy time

1 very unhappy time

M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a

Selfreport on single question:

"Are you generally satisfied? "

2 yes

1 no

M-PL/c/rc/v/5/a

Clinical rating by 4 experts on the basis of multi-method assessments during periodical stays in assessment center.

Rater instruction:

"To what extent does this person find pleasure in life at the present time.....?"

1 low

2

3 average

4

5 high

M-PL/h/sq/v/5/b

Selfreport on single question: following enumeration of lifegoals in six areas, the last of which was 'joy in living'

" How successful have you been in pursuit of that goal.....?"

(joy in living)

5 had excellent fortune in this respect

4

3

2

1 found little satisfaction in this area

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole right now.....?

7 delightfull

6 very satisfying

5 satisfying

4 mixed

3 dissatisfying

2 very dissatisfying

1 terrible

No opinion

Name: Andrews & Withey's 'Delighted-Terrible Scale' (modified version)

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole right now.....?

1 terrible

2 unhappy

3 mostly dissatisfied

4 mixed (about equally satisfied and dissatisfied)

5 mostly satisfied

6 pleased

7 delighted

- neutral (neither satisfied nor dissatisfied)

- I never thought about it

- does not apply to me

Name: Andrews & Withey's 'Delighted-Terrible Scale' (modified version by Michalos)

O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole.....?

7 delighted

6 pleased

5 mostly satisfied

4 mixed

3 mostly dissatisfied

2 unhappy

1 terrible

Name: Andrews & Withey's 'Delighted-Terrible Scale' (original version)

O-DT/u/sqt/v/10/a

Selfreport on single question asked twice in interview,

"How do you feel about your life as a whole.....?"

10 delightful

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1 terrible

Summation: mean

Name: Andrews & Withey's 'Delightful-Terrible Scale' (modified version)

O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question, asked twice in interview:

How do you feel about your life as a whole.....?

7 delighted

6 pleased

5 mostly satisfied

4 mixed

3 mostly dissatisfied

2 unhappy

1 terrible

Summation: arithmetic mean

Name: Andrews & Withey's "Delighted-Terrible Scale" (original version)

Also known as Lehman's 'Global lifesatisfaction'

O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a

Selfreport on single question asked twice

'How do you feel about your life as a whole?'

1 terrible

2 very unhappy

3 unhappy

4 mostly dissatisfied

5 mixed feelings

6 mostly satisfied

7 pleased

8 very pleased

9 delighted

O-HL/c/sq/?/6/a

Selfreport on single question:

"Generally speaking, are you happy these days.....?"

6 very happy

5

4

3

2

1 very unhappy

(Response options not fully reported)

O-HL/c/sq/l/11/a

Selfreport on single question:

Consider the ladder. It has 11 steps, from 0 to 10. Think of this ladder as representing different levels of happiness. Step 0 would stand for the least happy you could ever be. Step 10 would stand for the most happy you could ever be. Taking everything together, where on this ladder do you stand regarding your happiness?"

[10] most happy you could ever be

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[0] least happy you could ever be

Name: Cantril's self-anchoring ladder rating of life (variant)

O-HL/c/sq/n/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

Here are some words and phrases. We would like you to use these in describing how you feel about your present life..

1 unhappy

2

3

4

5

6

7 happy

(originally presented horizontally)

Item in Campbell's semantic differential scale

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa

Selfreport on single question:

Taken all together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say that you are....?

3 very happy

2 pretty happy

1 not too happy

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ab

Selfreport on single question:

Taking all things together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say you are...?

3 very happy

2 fairly happy

1 not too happy

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f

Selfreport on single question:

Considering everything that has happened to you recently, how would you say things are with you - would you say you are.....?

3 very happy

2 pretty happy

1 not too happy

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/a

Selfreport on single question:

Do you feel your life at present is.....?

4 very happy

3 quite happy

2 quite unhappy

1 very unhappy

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b

Selfreport on single question:

Is your life at this moment?

4 very happy

3 fairly happy

2 fairly unhappy

1 very unhappy

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/a

Selfreport on single question:

Taking all things together in your life, how would you say things are these days? Would you say you are?

5 very happy

4 happy

3 neither happy nor unhappy

2 unhappy

1 very unhappy

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/d

Selfreport on single question:

Taking your life as a whole now, are you.....?

5 very happy

4 quite happy

3 more happy than unhappy

2 more unhappy than happy

1 quite unhappy

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h

Selfreport on single question

'How happy are you now?'

5 very happy

4 happy

3 neither happy nor unhappy

2 unhappy

1 very unhappy

Original text in Danish:

'Hvor lykkelig er du for tiden?'

5 meget lykkelig

4 lykkelig

3 hverken eller

2 ullykkelig

1 meget ullykkelig

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c	Selfreport on single question: "In general, how happy would you say you are.....?" 3 very happy 2 fairly happy 1 not too happy
O-HL/g/sq/v/4/g	Selfreport on single question: If you were to consider your life in general, how happy or unhappy would you say you are on the whole? 4 very happy 3 fairly happy 2 fairly unhappy 1 very unhappy
O-HL/u/sq/v/3/a	Selfreport on single question: "Taking all together: how happy would you say you are? Would you say you are.....?" 3 very happy 2 pretty happy 1 not too happy
O-HL/u/sq/v/3/f	Selfreport on single closed question: "Overall, how happy would you say you are with your life.....?" 3 very happy 2 somewhat happy 1 unhappy
O-HL/u/sq/v/3/h	Selfreport on single question: Taken all together, how happy would you say you are? Are you.....? 3 very happy 2 pretty happy 1 not so happy
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a	Selfreport on single question: Taking all things together, would you say you are.....? 4 very happy 3 quite happy 2 not very happy 1 not at all happy.
O-HL/u/sq/v/4/b	Selfreport on single question: On the whole, how happy would you say you are.....? 4 very happy 3 fairly happy 2 not very happy 1 very unhappy
O-HL/u/sq/v/5/a	Selfreport on single question: How happy or unhappy do you think you are.....? 5 very happy 4 happy 3 moderately happy 2 fairly unhappy 1 very unhappy

O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

Considering your life as a whole, would you describe it as.....?

1 very unhappy

2

| unhappy

3

4 an even mixture of unhappiness and happiness

5

| happy

6

7 very happy

no opinion

O-HL/u/sq/v/7/b

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel how happy you are.....?

7 delighted

6 pleased

5 mostly satisfied

4 mixed (about equally satisfied and dissatisfied)

3 mostly

2 unhappy

1 terrible

O-HP/g/mq/v/5/a

Selfreport on 6 questions:

" Describe the kind of person you are. Please read each sentence, then mark how often it is true for you"

1 I feel like smiling

2 I generally feel in good spirits

3 I feel happy

4 I am very satisfied with life

5 I find a good deal of happiness in life

6 I feel sad

Response options:

5 almost always true

4 often true

3 sometimes true

2 seldom true

1 never true

Summation: average

Possible range: 1 to 5

O-HP/u/sq/n/10/a

Selfreport on single question:

Please indicate with a number between 1 and 10 to what degree you consider yourself as a happy person, 1 meaning very unhappy and 10 very happy

1 very unhappy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 very happy

O-HP/u/sq/v/3/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>Do you think of yourself as.....?</p> <p>3 happy</p> <p>2 pretty happy</p> <p>1 unhappy?</p>
O-LWL/u/sq/v/4/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>"How often have you seriously thought that life wasn't really worth living.....?"</p> <p>4 never</p> <p>3 once or twice</p> <p>2 occasionally</p> <p>1 a great deal</p>
O-QLS/u/sq/v/5/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>'Please rate your quality of life in each of the six areas below.</p> <p>Overall: This refers to your overall, general quality of life.</p> <p>7 extremely satisfied</p> <p>6 very satisfied</p> <p>5 satisfied</p> <p>4 both satisfied and dissatisfied</p> <p>3 dissatisfied</p> <p>2 very dissatisfied</p> <p>1 extremely dissatisfied</p>
O-SL?/?/sq/?/0/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>".....satisfaction with life"</p> <p>Full question not reported</p> <p>Response options: not reported</p>
O-SL?/?/sq/f/7/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>".....satisfaction with life....."</p> <p>(full question not reported)</p> <p>Responses scored pictorial faces scale consisting on several smilies, expressing variations from very unhappy to very happy. (Pictures not presented here)</p> <p>1 unhappy face</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4 neutral face</p> <p>5</p> <p>6</p> <p>7 happy face</p> <p>(Pictures not presented here)</p> <p>Name: Kunin's 'Faces Scale'</p>
O-SL?/?/sq/v/5/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>"..... satisfaction with life"</p> <p>(full question not reported)</p> <p>5 very satisfied</p> <p>4 satisfied</p> <p>3 don't know/satisfied</p> <p>2 don't know/not satisfied</p> <p>1 disappointed in life</p>

O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a

Selfreport on single question:

In general how satisfying do you find the way you are spending your life these days. Would you call it.....?

3 completely satisfying

2 pretty satisfying

1 not very satisfying

O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/b

Selfreport on single question:

How satisfied are you with the life you lead these days.....?

5 very satisfied

4 fairly satisfied

3 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

2 rather dissatisfied

1 very dissatisfied

O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/d

Selfreport on single question:

How satisfied are you with the life you currently lead?

5 extraordinary satisfied

4 very satisfied

3 satisfied

2 fairly satisfied

1 not so satisfied

O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/e

Selfreport on single question:

'On the whole, how satisfied would you say you are with your way of life today?'

1 not satisfied at all

2

3

4

5 very satisfied

(labels of response-options 2, 3 and 4 not reported)

O-SLL/g/sq/v/3/a

Selfreport on single question:

"In general, how satisfying do you find the way you're spending your life these days? Would you call it"?

3 completely satisfying

2 pretty satisfying

1 not very satisfying

O-SLP/c/sq/l/11/a

Selfreport on single question :

"Some people seem to be quite happy and satisfied with their lives, while others seem quite unhappy and dissatisfied. Now look at this ladder (again). Suppose that a person who is entirely satisfied with his life would be at the top of the ladder and a person who is extremely dissatisfied with his life would be at the bottom of the ladder. Where would you put yourself on the ladder at the present stage of your life in terms of how satisfied or dissatisfied you are with your personal life?"

[10] entirely satisfied

[9]

[8]

[7]

[6]

[5]

[4]

[3]

[2]

[1]

[0] entirely dissatisfied

Name Cantril ladder rating (modified version)

O-SLP/g/sq/v/2/b

Selfreport on single question:

In general, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in your own personal life?

1 dissatisfied

2 satisfied

O-SLS/c/sq/f/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

When you're not only thinking of your job, but of your total present situation (house, prices, health, love, politics, neighbors, etc.), how satisfied are you with your life as-a-whole? Please mark the appropriate face below.

Rated on pictorial scale, consisting of seven smilies, expressing variations from very dissatisfied (a) to very satisfied (g) (Kunin's 'Faces scale') Pictures not reproduced here).

1 unhappy face

.

.

4 neutral face

.

.

7 happy face

Item in the "Arbeitsbeschreibungsbogen" (job-description questionnaire).

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

"Overall, how satisfied are you with your life as it is at this time.....?"

1 completely dissatisfied

2

3

4 neutral

5

6

7 completely satisfied

O-SLu/c/sq/n/7/b

Selfreport on single question:

"All in all, how satisfied are you with your life these days.....?"

1 very dissatisfied

2

3

4

5

6

7 very satisfied

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e

Selfreport on single question:

'How satisfied are you with your life now?'

5 very satisfied

2 satisfied

3 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

2 dissatisfied

1 very dissatisfied

Original text in Danish:

'Hvor tilfreds er du med dit liv for tiden?'

5 meget tilfreds

4 tilfreds

3 hverken tilfreds eller utilfreds

2 utilfreds

1 meget utilfreds

O-SLu/g/sq/f/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

"..... satisfaction with life in general....."
(Full question not reported.)

Responses scored on pictorial scale consisting of seven smilies, expressing variations from very unhappy to very happy.

1 unhappy face

2

3

4 neutral face

5

6

7 unhappy face

(Pictures not reproduced here.)

Name: Kunin's 'Faces Scale'.

O-SLu/g/sq/n/10/a

Selfreport on single question:

How satisfied are you with your life in general?

1 very dissatisfied

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 very satisfied

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/a

Selfreport on single question:

In general, how satisfied are you with your life.....?

5 very satisfied

4 satisfied

3 average

2 somewhat dissatisfied

1 very dissatisfied

O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/b

Selfreport on single question:

"Which of these statements here comes nearest to saying how you feel about your life in general? Would you say you are"

5 completely satisfied

4 well satisfied

3 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied

2 a little dissatisfied

1 very dissatisfied

O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a

Selfreport on single question:

How satisfied are you with your life in general?

1 very dissatisfied

2

3

4

5 very satisfied

O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a

Selfreport on single question:

Overall, how satisfied are you with your life.....?

4 very satisfied

3 satisfied

2 dissatisfied

1 very dissatisfied.

O-SLV/u/sq/t/101/a

Selfreport on single question :

Where would you put your life as a whole on a feeling thermometer?

Rated on 'thermometer scale' (in grades)

0 degrees: very cold, negative

10

20

30

40

50

60

70

80

90

100 very warm, positive

O-SLW/?/sq/v/3/b

Selfreport on single question:

".....satisfaction with overall life....."

(Full questions not reported)

3 very satisfied

2 fairly satisfied

1 not satisfied

- NA

O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/a

Self rating on single question:

"All things considered, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you overall with your life as a whole these days?"

Rated on ladder scale

[10] completely satisfied

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[0] completely dissatisfied

O-SLW/c/sq/l/11/b

Selfreport on single question:

"How dissatisfied would you say you are with things in general today?"

Rated on ladder scale

[10] completely satisfied

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[]

[0] completely dissatisfied

O-SLW/c/sq/l/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

"How satisfied are you with your life-as-a-whole these days.....?"

7 completely satisfied

6

5

4

3

2

1 completely dissatisfied

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/aa

Selfreport on single question:

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as-a-whole now.....?

1 dissatisfied

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 satisfied

O-SLW/c/sq/n/10/ab

Selfreport on single question:

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as-a-whole these days?

1 not satisfied at all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 completely satisfied

O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>What do you think, how satisfied are you at this moment- all in all - with your life ? 'If for instance you are totally satisfied with your life, please mark a '10'.If you are totally unsatisfied with your life, mark a '0'.If you are not completely unsatisfied nor totally satisfied range yourself somewhere between '1' and '9"</p> <p>10 completely satisfied</p> <p>9</p> <p>8</p> <p>7</p> <p>6</p> <p>5</p> <p>4</p> <p>3</p> <p>2</p> <p>1</p> <p>0 completely dissatisfied</p>
O-SLW/c/sq/n/6/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>Are you currently satisfied with your life all in all.....?</p> <p>0 not satisfied</p> <p>1</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 very satisfied</p>
O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>We have talked about various parts of your life, now I want to ask you about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days.....?</p> <p>7 completely satisfied</p> <p>6</p> <p>5</p> <p>4 neutral</p> <p>3</p> <p>2</p> <p>1 completely dissatisfied</p>
O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?</p> <p>4 quite satisfied</p> <p>3 rather satisfied</p> <p>2 rather unsatisfied</p> <p>1 not at all satisfied</p> <p>- no answer</p> <p>- hard to say</p>
O-SLW/c/sq/v/5/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>Taking everything into consideration: how satisfied are you with your life in general at the present time.....?</p> <p>1 not satisfied</p> <p>2 slightly satisfied</p> <p>3 fairly satisfied</p> <p>4 very satisfied</p> <p>5 extremely satisfied</p>

O-SLW/c/sq/v/5/d

Selfreport on single question:

Taking everything into consideration, how satisfied are you with life in general at the present time? Would you say you are.....?
 5 extremely satisfied
 4 very satisfied
 3 fairly satisfied
 2 slightly satisfied
 1 not satisfied"

O-SLW/c/sq/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

"Finally, will you consider some other aspects of your life at the present moment, and indicate how satisfied you feel about each in turn..... Taking everything together, your life -as-a-whole- these days.....?"

1 I'm extremely dissatisfied
 2 I'm very dissatisfied
 3 I'm moderately dissatisfied
 4 I'm not sure
 5 I'm moderately satisfied
 6 I'm very satisfied
 7 I'm extremely satisfied

O-SLW/c/sqt/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question, asked twice during the interview:

Are you at this moment satisfied or dissatisfied with life in general.....?
 7 very satisfied
 6 for the greater part satisfied
 5 rather more satisfied than dissatisfied
 4 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 3 somewhat more dissatisfied than satisfied
 2 for the greater part dissatisfied
 1 very dissatisfied
 - Do not know, difficult to say

O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a

Selfreport on single question:

Overall, how satisfied are you with your life in general?
 1 very dissatisfied
 2 dissatisfied
 3 somewhat dissatisfied
 4 somewhat satisfied
 5 satisfied
 6 very satisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/l/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole?
 Rated on a seven step quality of life ladder was marked with a smiling face and marked 'GOOD'. The bottom marked with a frowning face and the word 'BAD'
 [7] Good, picture of smiling face
 [6]
 [5]
 [4]
 [3]
 [2]
 [1] Bad, picture of frowning face
 (Pictures not reproduced here)

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a

Selfreport on single question:

All things considered, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your life as a whole?

10 completely satisfied

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0 completely dissatisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/e

Selfreport on single question:

Now here are some questions concerning how satisfied - or dissatisfied - you are with various things about your life, such as your standard of living, your education etc. To indicate this, would you use this card. If you are extremely SATISFIED with something you would call of the highest number, ten. If you are extremely DISSATISFIED you would mention the lowest number, zero. If you are neither extremely satisfied nor extremely dissatisfied you would mention some number in between zero and ten - the higher the number, the more satisfied, the lower the number the less satisfied.

How satisfied - or dissatisfied - are you with the following? Just read off the number that comes closest to how you feel.

We have talked about various parts of your life. Now taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your life as a whole?

0 dissatisfied

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 satisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/n/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

Tell me how much you are satisfied or dissatisfied with your life as a whole

1 very dissatisfied

2

3

4

5

6

7 very satisfied

Last item in a series of questions about satisfaction with various domains in life

O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i

Selfreport on single question:

All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with your life as a whole?

1 not at all satisfied

2 not too satisfied

3 very satisfied

4 extremely satisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>"On the whole, are you satisfied with your life.....?"</p> <p>5 definitely yes</p> <p>4 rather yes</p> <p>3 don't know</p> <p>2 rather no</p> <p>1 definitely no</p> <p>- no reply</p>
O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>Taking your life as a whole, are you?</p> <p>5 very satisfied</p> <p>4 quite satisfied</p> <p>3 more satisfied than discontented</p> <p>2 more discontented than satisfied</p> <p>1 quite dissatisfied</p>
O-SLW/u/sq/v/7/a	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>Considering everything, how satisfied are you with your life as whole.....?</p> <p>7 completely satisfied</p> <p>6 satisfied</p> <p>5 mostly satisfied</p> <p>4 satisfied/dissatisfied</p> <p>3 dissatisfied</p> <p>2 very dissatisfied</p> <p>1 completely dissatisfied</p>
O-SLW/u/sqt/v/7/a	<p>Selfreport on single question, asked twice in interview:</p> <p>Considering everything, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole.....?</p> <p>7 completely satisfied</p> <p>6</p> <p>5</p> <p>4</p> <p>3</p> <p>2</p> <p>1 completely dissatisfied</p> <p>Summation: both scores added</p> <p>Possible range: 2 to 14</p>
O-SQL/c/sq/v/5/b	<p>Selfreport on single question:</p> <p>How satisfied are you the quality of your life these days?</p> <p>1 very dissatisfied</p> <p>2 dissatisfied</p> <p>3 neither nor</p> <p>4 satisfied</p> <p>5 very satisfied</p> <p>Numbering reversed in original rating scale</p>

O-Sum/*/mq*/10/a

Selfreport on 2 questions:

A All things considered, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?

1 dissatisfied

.

.

10 satisfied

B Taking all together, how happy would you say you are?

4 very happy

3 quite happy

2 not very happy

1 not at all happy

Computation: Mean score, rescaled to range 1-10

O-Sum/*/sq/nt/11/a

Self report on single question:

Equivalent questions on happiness and life-satisfaction rated on various scales. The items differ slightly in wording and number of the response options.

Scores are transformed to a common range 0 - 10 by means of expert weighting of response options (Thurstone's method).

O-Sum/*/sq/vt/11/a

Selfreport on single questions:

This measure of average happiness in nations combines responses to different questions on happiness and life-satisfaction rated on different scales. Precise items and rating scales differ per nation. Average scores per nation were transformed afterwards to a common 0-10 scale.

Name: Diener's SWB-score of nations

O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a

Selfreport on 3 questions:

A When you consider your present life as-a-whole, would you say you are....?

5 very happy

4 fairly happy

3 rather happy than unhappy

2 rather unhappy than happy

1 very unhappy

- DK/NA

B When you consider your present life as-a-whole, would you say you are.....?

5 very satisfied

4 fairly satisfied

3 rather satisfied than dissatisfied

2 rather dissatisfied than satisfied

1 fairly dissatisfied

- DK/NA

C How do you feel right now? Is your well-being.....?

5 very high

4 high

3 moderate

2 rather low

1 very low

- DK/NA

Summation: The summed scores were divided in three strata: low, medium and high quality of life

Appendix 2: Statistics used

<i>Symbol</i>	<i>Explanation</i>
AoV	<p>ANALYSIS of VARIANCE (ANOVA)</p> <p>Type: statistical procedure</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate(s): nominal, Happiness: metric.</p> <p>In an ANOVA, the total happiness variability, expressed as the sum of squares, is split into two or more parts, each of which is assigned to a source of variability. At least one of those sources is the variability of the correlate, in case there is only one, and always one other is the residual variability, which includes all unspecified influences on the happiness variable. Each sum of squares has its own number of degrees of freedom (df), which sum up to $N_e - 1$ for the total variability. If a sum of squares (SS) is divided by its own number of df, a mean square (MS) is obtained. The ratio of two correctly selected mean squares has an F-distribution under the hypothesis that the corresponding association has a zero-value.</p> <p>NOTE: A significantly high F-value only indicates that, in case of a single correlate, the largest of the c mean values is systematically larger than the smallest one. Conclusions about the other pairs of means require the application of a Multiple Comparisons Procedure (see e.g. BONFERRONI's MULTIPLE COMPARISON TEST, DUNCAN's MULTIPLE RANGE TEST or STUDENT-NEWMAN-KEULS)</p>
B	<p>REGRESSION COEFFICIENT (non-standardized)</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric</p> <p>Theoretical range: unlimited</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>$B > 0$ A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.</p> <p>$B < 0$ A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.</p> <p>$B = 0$ Not any correlation with the relevant correlate.</p>
Beta	<p>(β) STANDARDIZED REGRESSION COEFFICIENT</p> <p>Type: test statistic.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric.</p> <p>Range: [-1 ; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>$\beta > 0$ « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.</p> <p>$\beta < 0$ « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.</p> <p>$\beta = 0$ « no correlation.</p> <p>$\beta = +1$ or -1 « perfect correlation.</p>
Chi ²	<p>CHI-SQUARE</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Range: $[0; N_e * (\min(c, r) - 1)]$, where c and r are the number of columns and rows respectively in a cross tabulation of N_e sample elements.</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>$\text{Chi}^2 \leq (c-1) * (r-1)$ means: no association</p> <p>$\text{Chi}^2 >> (c-1) * (r-1)$ means: strong association</p>
D%	<p>DIFFERENCE in PERCENTAGES</p> <p>Type: descriptive statistic only.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate level: dichotomous, but nominal or ordinal theoretically possible as well.</p> <p>Happiness level: dichotomous</p> <p>Range: [-100; +100]</p> <p>Meaning: the difference of the percentages happy people at two correlate levels.</p>


DM	<p>DIFFERENCE of MEANS Type: descriptive statistic only. Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness: metric Range: depending on the happiness rating scale of the author; range symmetric about zero.</p> <p>Meaning: the difference of the mean happiness, as measured on the author's rating scale, between the two correlate levels.</p>
DMa	<p>DIFFERENCE IN ADJUSTED MEANS, See also Ma Computed in Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) or in multiple classification analysis (MCA) Seldom used in happiness research. See excerpted report for further reference.</p>
DMr	<p>DIFFERENCE IN MEAN RIDITS Type: test statistic Measurement level: Happiness ordinal Range: [0; +1]</p> <p>Meaning: Mr < .50: average happiness in this subgroup lower than in the larger population Mr = .50: average happiness in this subgroup the same as in the larger population Mr > .50: average happiness in this subgroup higher than in the larger population</p> <p>'Ridit analysis' compares the distribution of happiness scores in subgroups to its distribution in the entire sample ("Relative to an Identified Distribution")</p> <p>Testing for significance can be performed through a "BROSS Confidence Interval" (BCI). If all values the BCI for a subgroup are above/below 0.500, the subgroup is significantly more/less happy than the larger population.</p>
E ²	<p>CORRELATION RATIO (Elsewhere sometimes called h² or ETA) Type: test statistic Measurement level: Correlate: nominal or ordinal, Happiness: metric Range: [0; 1]</p> <p>Meaning: correlate is accountable for E² x 100 % of the variation in happiness. E² = 0 « knowledge of the correlate value does not improve the prediction quality of the happiness rating. E² = 1 « knowledge of the correlate value enables an exact prediction of the happiness rating</p>
G	<p>GOODMAN & Kruskal's GAMMA Type: test statistic Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning: G = 0 « no rank correlation G = +1 « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness ratings. G = -1 « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness ratings.</p>
mc	<p>Guttman's monotonicity coefficient. Range: [-1, +1]. Measurement level: Both correlate and happiness ordinal or interval Based on the multiplication of signed differences within one variable with the corresponding difference in the second variable and summation over all possible differences. Seldom used in happiness research. See excerpted report.</p>
mr	<p>Mean correlation coefficient (r). For r, see PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION</p>

OR	<p>ODDS RATIO</p> <p>Type: descriptive statistic only.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness level: dichotomous</p> <p>Range: nonnegative unlimited</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>OR = 1 « no association at all;</p> <p>OR = 0 or infinite « at least one level of the correlate allows an error-free prediction of the happiness.</p>
r	<p>PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (Also "Pearson's correlation coefficient" or simply 'correlation coefficient')</p> <p>Type: test statistic.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>r = 0 « no correlation ,</p> <p>r = 1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness values, and</p> <p>r = -1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness values.</p>
rpc	<p>PARTIAL CORRELATION COEFFICIENT</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning: a partial correlation between happiness and one of the correlates is that correlation, which remains after accounting for the contribution of the other influences, or some of them, to the total variability in the happiness scores.</p> <p>Under that conditions</p> <p>rpc > 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with a higher happiness rating,</p> <p>rpc < 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with a lower happiness rating,</p>
rs	<p>SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENT</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal.</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>rs = 0 « no rank correlation</p> <p>rs = 1 « perfect rank correlation, where high correlate values are associated with high happiness ratings</p> <p>rs = -1 « perfect rank correlation, where high correlate values are associated with low happiness ratings</p>
R ²	<p>COEFFICIENT of DETERMINATION</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric</p> <p>Range: [0; 1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>R² = 0 « no influence of any correlate in this study has been established.</p> <p>R² = 1 « the correlates determine the happiness completely.</p>
SNR	Statistic Not Reported

T	<p>TSCHUPROW'S T</p> <p>Type: test statistic.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal</p> <p>Range: $[0 ; \sqrt{\frac{(\min(r,c)-1)}{(\max(r,c)-1)}}]$, c and r being the numbers of columns resp. rows in a cross tabulation.</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>T = 0 « no association</p> <p>T → 1 « strongest possible association.</p> <p>NOTE: sometimes the square value is reported instead !</p>
tau	<p>GOODMAN & Kruskal's TAU</p> <p>Type: descriptive statistic only.</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal</p> <p>Range: [0; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>tau = 0 « knowledge of the correlate value does not improve the prediction quality of the happiness rating.</p> <p>tau = 1 « knowledge of the correlate value enables a perfect (error-free) prediction of the happiness rating.</p>
tb	<p>KENDALL'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENT TAU-B</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>tb = 0 « no rank correlation</p> <p>tb = 1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with high happiness ratings.</p> <p>tb = -1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with low happiness ratings.</p>
tc	<p>KENDALL'S TAU-C (Also referred to as Stuart's tau-c)</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>tc = 0 « no rank correlation</p> <p>tc = 1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with high happiness ratings.</p> <p>tc = -1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with low happiness ratings.</p>
ZZZZZ	<p>KENDALL'S TAU-C (Also referred to as Stuart's tau-c)</p> <p>Type: test statistic</p> <p>Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal</p> <p>Range: [-1; +1]</p> <p>Meaning:</p> <p>tc = 0 « no rank correlation</p> <p>tc = 1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with high happiness ratings.</p> <p>tc = -1 « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with low happiness ratings.</p>

Appendix 3: About the World Database of Happiness

The World Database of Happiness is an ongoing register of scientific research on subjective appreciation of life. It brings together findings that are scattered throughout many studies and provides a basis for synthetic analysis. The research literature is processed as follows:



- | | |
|---|--|
| 1 | BIBLIOGRAPHY OF HAPPINESS Presents all contemporary scientific publications. Detailed subject-classification. Current contents: 4270 titles, mainly in English. |
| 2 | DIRECTORY OF INVESTIGATORS Names and addresses of most authors on the subject. Enumerates years of publication. Current contents: 5818 names and 3073 addresses. Part of Bibliography. |
| 3 | CATALOG OF HAPPINESS ITEMS (testbank). Presents all indicators that tap happiness as defined here. Current content: 522 measures, mostly single questions. Items are classified by focus, time reference, mode of observation, rating and wording. |
| 4 | CATALOG OF HAPPINESS IN NATIONS Presents distributional research findings, in particular responses to questions on happiness in national survey studies. Allows comparison across time and nations. Current content: 2605 surveys in 112 nations, 1946-2004. |
| 5 | CATALOG OF HAPPINESS IN PUBLICS Distributional findings on happiness in special publics within nations, such as aged people. Current contents: 837 studies. Part of Catalog of Correlational Findings. |
| 6 | CATALOG OF CORRELATIONAL FINDINGS Presents abstracts of correlational research findings. Detailed subject-classification. Allows comparison through time and across nations. Current contents: 9098 findings from 837 studies in 140 nations, 1911-2004. |

Appendix 4 Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness

<i>Main Subjects</i>	<i>Subject Description</i>	<i>Number of Studies</i>
A1	ACTIVITY LEVEL (how much one does)	58
A2	ACTIVITY PATTERN (what one does)	32
A3	AFFECTIVE LIFE	47
A4	AGE	398
A5	AGGRESSION	12
A6	ANOMY	26
A7	APPEARANCE (good looks)	15
A8	ATTITUDES	6
A9	AUTHORITARIANISM	4
B1	BIRTH CONTROL	1
B2	BIRTH HISTORY (own birth)	201
B3	BODY	77
COMMUNITY	New Top Subject	0
C1	CHILDREN a	1
C2	CHILDREN b: WANT FOR (Parental aspirations)	6
C3	CHILDREN c: HAVING (parental status)	194
C4	CHILDREN d: CHARACTERISTICS	25
C5	CHILDREN e: RELATION WITH	8
C6	CHILDREN f: REARING (parental behavior)	26
C7	COMMUNAL LIVING	9
C8	CONCERNS	18
C9	COPING	43
C10	CREATIVENESS	5
C11	CULTURE (Arts and Sciences)	8
D1	DAILY JOYS & HASSLES	5
E1	EDUCATION	299
E2	EMPLOYMENT	364
E3	ETHNICITY	95
E4	EXPRESSIVE BEHAVIOR	12
F1	FAMILY OF ORIGIN (earlier family for adults, current for young)	236
F2	FAMILY OF PROCREATION	58
F3	FAMILY OF RELATIVES	157
F4	FARMING	25
F5	FREEDOM	32
F6	FRIENDSHIP	151
G1	GENDER	367
G2	GRIEF	1
HEALTH	New Top Subject	0
H1	HABITS	1
H2	HANDICAP	25
H3	HAPPINESS b: ATTITUDES TO	49
H4	HAPPINESS c: DISPERSION OF	10
H5	HAPPINESS: CAREER	191
H6	HAPPINESS e: CURRENT LEVEL	310
H7	HAPPINESS f: OF OTHERS	0
H8	HAPPINESS g: REPUTATION OF	19

H9	HEALTH-BEHAVIOR	23
H10	HELPING	5
H11	HOPE	7
H12	HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION	136
H13	HOUSEHOLD: WORK	32
H14	HOUSING	104
I1	INCOME	551
I2	INSTITUTIONAL LIVING	43
I3	INTELLIGENCE	69
I4	INTERESTS	8
I5	INTERVIEW	72
I6	INTIMACY	102
L1	LANGUAGE	2
L2	LEADERSHIP	8
L3	LEISURE	205
L4	LIFE APPRAISALS: OTHER THAN HAPPINESS	368
L5	LIFE CHANGE	35
L6	LIFE EVENTS	85
L7	LIFE GOALS	75
L8	LIFE HISTORY	2
L9	LIFE STYLE	20
L10	LOCAL ENVIRONMENT	372
L11	LOTTERY	8
L12	LOVE-LIFE	31
M1	MARRIAGE b: MARITAL STATUS CAREER	65
M2	MARRIAGE c: Current Marital Status	541
M3	MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP	115
M4	MARRIAGE e: PARTNER	46
M5	MEANING	22
M6	MEDICAL TREATMENT	81
M7	MENTAL HEALTH	198
M8	MIGRATION b: OTHER COUNTRY	24
M9	MIGRATION c: MOVING WITHIN COUNTRY (residential mobility)	17
M10	MIGRATION d: MIGRANT WORK	3
M11	MILITARY LIFE	7
M12	MODERNITY	6
M13	MOOD	246
M14	MOTIVATION	7
NATURE	New Top Subject	0
N1	NATION: NATIONALITY	27
N2	NATION: ERA (temporal period)	41
N3	NATION d: NATIONAL CHARACTER (modal personality)	28
N4	NATION e: CONDITION OF	406
N5	NATION f: POSITION OF	1
N6	NATION g: ATTITUDES TO	150
N7	NATION h: LIVABILITY OF	17
N8	NATION i: ATTITUDES IN	1
N9	REGION IN NATION	56

N10	NUTRITION	21
N21	ERA	0
O1	OCCUPATION	177
O2	ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION	17
P1	PERSONALITY b: HISTORY	48
P2	PERSONALITY c: CHANGE	10
P3	PERSONALITY d: CURRENT ORGANIZATION	7
P4	PERSONALITY e: CURRENT TRAITS	459
P5	PERSONALITY f: LATER	23
P6	PHYSICAL HEALTH	427
P7	PLANNING	10
P8	POLITICAL BEHAVIOUR	231
P9	POPULARITY	24
P10	POSSESSIONS	59
P11	PRISON	1
P12	PROBLEMS	25
P13	PSYCHO-SOMATIC COMPLAINTS	62
P14	PETS	3
RECREATION	New Top Subject	0
R1	RELIGION	251
R2	RESOURCES	7
R3	RETIREMENT	89
R4	ROLES	23
S1	SCHOOL	128
S2	SELF-IMAGE	236
S3	SEX-LIFE	60
S4	SLEEP	16
S5	SOCIAL MOBILITY	17
S6	SOCIAL PARTICIPATION a: PERSONAL CONTACTS	68
S7	SOCIAL PARTICIPATION b: VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS	129
S8	SOCIAL PARTICIPATION c: TOTAL (personal + associations)	33
S9	SOCIAL STATUS (Socio-Economic Status)	154
S10	SOCIAL SUPPORT a: RECEIVED	60
S11	SOCIAL SUPPORT b: PROVIDED	6
S12	SPORTS	38
S13	STIMULANTS	49
S14	SUICIDE	8
S15	SUMMED EFFECTS ON HAPPINESS	92
T1	TIME	51
T2	THERAPY	21
T3	TOLERANCE	37
V1	VALUES b: CAREER	8
V2	VALUES c: CURRENT PREFERENCES (own)	73
V3	VALUES d: CLIMATE (current values in environment)	7
V4	VALUES e: SIMILARITY (current fit with others)	12
V5	VICTIM	14
W1	WAR	5
W2	WISDOM	2

W3	WORK b: CAREER	2
W4	WORK c: CONDITIONS	68
W5	WORK d: ATTITUDES	347
W6	WORK e: PERFORMANCE (current)	28
W7	WORRIES	31
XX	UNCLASSIFIED	25

Appendix 5: Related Subjects

<i>Subject</i>		<i>Related Subject(s)</i>	
W5	WORK d: ATTITUDES	E2.7	Attitudes to own (un)employment
W5	WORK d: ATTITUDES	O1.4	Attitudes to one's occupation
W5.2	Current concern about work	V2.2.3	Work values
W5.3	Current motivation to work	E2.7	Attitudes to own (un)employment
W5.3.1	Reasons for working	O1.4.1.1	. occupational aspirations
W5.3.2	Preferred work-conditions	W4	WORK c: CONDITIONS
W5.4	Current satisfaction with work	E2.7.5	Satisfaction with current employment status
W5.4.1	Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole	L4.4	Appraisals of specific domains of life
W5.4.1	Satisfaction with work-as-a-whole	M13.4.3	Mood during work
W5.4.2	Satisfaction with aspects of work	W4	WORK c: CONDITIONS
W5.4.2.1	. satisfaction with pay	I1	INCOME
W5.4.2.2	. satisfaction with promotion-chances	O1.4.1	Attitudes to occupational career
W5.4.2.3	. satisfaction with colleagues	S6.3	Attitudes to personal contacts
W5.4.2.3	. satisfaction with colleagues	W4.2.8	Relations at work
W5.4.2.4	. satisfaction with work itself	O1.4	Attitudes to one's occupation
W5.4.2.5	. satisfaction with work conditions	W4	WORK c: CONDITIONS
W5.4.2.6	. satisfaction with management	W4.2.8.3	. leadership of boss
W5.4.2.6	. satisfaction with management	W4.2.11	Self-direction at work
W5.4.3.1	. satisfaction with home-making	H13	HOUSEHOLD: WORK
W5.4.4	Satisfaction with own job-performance	W6	WORK e: PERFORMANCE (current)
W5.6	Pride in work	S2	SELF-IMAGE
W5.6	Pride in work	W5.4	Current satisfaction with work
W5.7	Perceived conflict between work and home life	R4.2.2.2	. role conflict

A report of the World Database of Happiness, Correlational Findings