## Classification of Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Nr of Studies on this Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.1</td>
<td>Family career (family life-cycle)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2</td>
<td>Family composition</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2.1</td>
<td>Single parent</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3</td>
<td>Family climate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3.1</td>
<td>Earlier family-climate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3.2</td>
<td>Change in family-climate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3.4</td>
<td>Later family climate</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3.3</td>
<td>Current climate</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.4</td>
<td>Characteristics of family members</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.5</td>
<td>Hardship in family of procreation</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6</td>
<td>Working mother</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6.1</td>
<td>Oneself-mother: works or not</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6.2</td>
<td>Spouse-mother: works or not</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.7</td>
<td>Attitudes to family of procreation</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.7.1</td>
<td>Concern about family</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.7.2</td>
<td>Satisfaction with family</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 1</td>
<td>Happiness Items used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 2</td>
<td>Statistics used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 3</td>
<td>About the World Database of Happiness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 4</td>
<td>Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© on data collection: [Ruut Veenhoven](http://worlddatabaseofhappiness.eur.nl/hap_cor/top_sub.php?code=F2), Erasmus University Rotterdam

Appendix 5 Related Subjects

Cite as Veenhoven, R.: Findings on FAMILY OF PRO_CREATION
World Database of Happiness, Correlational Findings
Internet: worlddatabaseofhappiness.eur.nl
Erasmus University Rotterdam, 2009, Netherlands
Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study
ANDRE 1976/1

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 139

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

Sample:
Non-Response: 24%
N: 1297

Correlate

Authors label: Family life cycle (1)
Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement:
1. Unmarried, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
2. Married, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
3. Married, any age, youngest child under five years of age
4. Married, any age, youngest child five-fourteen years old
5. Married, any age, youngest child fifteen-seventeen years old
6. Married, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
7. Unmarried, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
8. Unmarried, of any age, children of any age

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure       Statistics       Elaboration/Remarks
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

| E²=+.20 | 1. M=5.2   Mt'=7.0 |
|         | 2. M=5.7   Mt'=7.8 |
|         | 3. M=5.7   Mt'=7.8 |
|         | 4. M=5.5   Mt'=7.5 |
|         | 5. M=5.5   Mt'=7.5 |
|         | 6. M=5.5   Mt'=7.5 |
|         | 7. M=5.3   Mt'=7.2 |
|         | 8. M=5.1   Mt'=6.8 |

Beta=+.19

β controlled for sociodemographic variables (age, family income, education, race, sex)

Beta=+.13

β controlled for sociodemographic variables and 12 domain satisfactions

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study ANDRE 1976/3

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Page in Report: 286

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:
Non-Response: 38%
N: 1072

Correlate

Authors label: Family life cycle (1)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1
**Measurement:**
1. Unmarried, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
2. Married, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
3. Married, any age, youngest child under five years of age
4. Married, any age, youngest child five-fourteen years old
5. Married, any age, youngest child fifteen-seventeen years old
6. Married, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
7. Unmarried, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
8. Unmarried, any age, children of any age

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>DM=+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. M=5.1</td>
<td>M'=6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. M=5.5</td>
<td>M'=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. M=5.4</td>
<td>M'=7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. M=5.5</td>
<td>M'=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. M=5.6</td>
<td>M'=7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. M=5.5</td>
<td>M'=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. M=5.1</td>
<td>M'=6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. M=5.0</td>
<td>M'=6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)**

**Subject code:** F2.1

**Study** ANDRE 1976/3

*Reported in:* Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 331
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:

Non-Response: 38%

N: 1072

Correlate

Authors label: Family life cycle (2)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement:
1. Unmarried, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
2. Married, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
3. Married, any age, youngest child under five years of age
4. Married, any age, youngest child five-fourteen years old
5. Married, any age, youngest child fifteen-seventeen years old
6. Married, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
7. Unmarried, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
8. Unmarried, any age, children of any age

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>M=5.1, Mt'=6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.5, Mt'=7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.5, Mt'=7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.6, Mt'=7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.6, Mt'=7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.6, Mt'=7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=5.3, Mt'=7.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=4.9, Mt'=6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLL/c/sq/v/3/a</td>
<td>DM=±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. M=3.0</td>
<td>Mt'=5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. M=3.2</td>
<td>Mt'=5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. M=3.2</td>
<td>Mt'=5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. M=3.2</td>
<td>Mt'=5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. M=3.5</td>
<td>Mt'=6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. M=3.3</td>
<td>Mt'=5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. M=3.0</td>
<td>Mt'=5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. M=2.7</td>
<td>Mt'=4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</th>
<th>DM=-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. M=1.1</td>
<td>Mt'=6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. M=2.0</td>
<td>Mt'=7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. M=1.9</td>
<td>Mt'=6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. M=1.9</td>
<td>Mt'=6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. M=2.1</td>
<td>Mt'=7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. M=1.7</td>
<td>Mt'=6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. M=1.1</td>
<td>Mt'=6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. M=0.1</td>
<td>Mt'=5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</th>
<th>DM=+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. M=3.1</td>
<td>Mt'=5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. M=3.4</td>
<td>Mt'=6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. M=3.3</td>
<td>Mt'=5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. M=3.3</td>
<td>Mt'=5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. M=3.4</td>
<td>Mt'=6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. M=3.4</td>
<td>Mt'=6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. M=2.9</td>
<td>Mt'=4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. M=2.8</td>
<td>Mt'=4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/b</th>
<th>DM=+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. M=5.2</td>
<td>Mt'=7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. M=5.7</td>
<td>Mt'=7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. M=5.5</td>
<td>Mt'=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. M=5.5</td>
<td>Mt'=7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. M=5.7</td>
<td>Mt'=7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. M=5.6</td>
<td>Mt'=7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. M=5.2</td>
<td>Mt'=7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. M=5.1</td>
<td>Mt'=6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study
ANDRE 1976/4

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 323

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/3

Sample:
Non-Response: 26%
N: 1433

Correlate

Authors label: Family life cycle (1)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement:
1. Unmarried, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
2. Married, under forty-five years of age, no children at home
3. Married, any age, youngest child under five years of age
4. Married, any age, youngest child five-fourteen years old
5. Married, any age, youngest child fifteen-seventeen years old
6. Married, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
7. Unmarried, forty-five years of age or older, no children at home
8. Unmarried, any age, children of any age

Observed Relation with Happiness
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-GBB/u/sq/c/9/a</td>
<td>DMt=±</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>M=5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>M=5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>M=5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>M=5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>M=5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>M=5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.</td>
<td>M=5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.</td>
<td>M=4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mt'=4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| C-BW/cy/sq/l/9/a  | DMt=±      |                     |
|                   | 1.         | M=5.8               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.0             |
|                   | 2.         | M=6.2               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.5             |
|                   | 3.         | M=6.0               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.3             |
|                   | 4.         | M=6.2               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.5             |
|                   | 5.         | M=6.4               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.8             |
|                   | 6.         | M=6.4               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.8             |
|                   | 7.         | M=5.8               |
|                   |            | Mt'=6.0             |
|                   | 8.         | M=5.3               |
|                   |            | Mt'=5.4             |

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study

HARRY 1976

Reported in: Harry, J.
Evolving sources of happiness for men over the life cycle: A structural analysis
Page in Report: 291

Population: 18+ aged males, living with family, USA, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 374
Correlate

Authors label: Life cycle stage (1)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement:
- Single
- Married, no children
- Married, pre-school children
- Married, school-age children
- Married teenage children
- Married, children grown up

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>D%=&lt;+</td>
<td>20% happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td>29% happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35% happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39% happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41% happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46% happy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study

HOLLA 1985

Reported in: Holland-Benin, M.; Cable-Nienstedt, B.
Happiness in Single- and Dual-Earner Families: The Effects of Marital Happiness, Job
Satisfaction, and Life Cycle.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1985, Vol. 47, 975 - 984. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 979-982

Population: Married persons, non-institutionalized, USA, 1978-83

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 3050
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Stage of life cycle (1)

*Our classification:* Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

*Measurement:*

- 1 Young married
- 2 Family with baby
- 3 Family with schoolchildren
- 4 Empty nest

Couples not fitting this categorisation excluded (15%)

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HL/u/sq/v/3/h    | OR = p< . 5 | Husbands (both of housewives and workingwives) more happy in stage 1 and 4, and less happy in stage 2 and 3. No difference among wives (whether housewife or working).

More strongly linked to 'happy' responses than to 'unhappy' ones.

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)**

*Subject code: F2.1*

**Study**

LOWEN 1975

*Reported in:* Lowenthal, M.F.,; Thurnher, M.; Chiriboga, D.
Four Stages of Life. A Comparative Study of Women and Men Facing Transition.
Jossey-Bass, 1975, San Fransisco, USA
Page in Report: 93

*Population:* People in transition, metropolis, USA, 1969

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:*

*N:* 216
Correlate

Authors label: Familial roles (2)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement: The number of the following roles the respondent had at the time of the interview: spouse, parent, grandparent, sibling, child, grandchild.

Remarks: hs seniors males: r= ns females: r= ns newly weds males: r= ns females: r= ns middle aged males: r= ns females: r= ns preretired males: r= ns females: r= ns

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/d</td>
<td>r=.24</td>
<td>Youngest (hs seniors + newly weds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/d</td>
<td>r=-.06</td>
<td>men only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/d</td>
<td>r=.16</td>
<td>Women only (no curvilinearity has been found in the correlation egocentricity and well-being)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family career (family life-cycle)
Subject code: F2.1

Study LOWEN 1975


Population: People in transition, metropolis, USA, 1969
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 216

Correlate

Authors label: Familial roles (4)

Our classification: Family career (family life-cycle), code F2.1

Measurement: The number of the following roles the respondent had at the time of the interview: spouse, parent, grandparent, sibling, child, grandchild.

Remarks: hs seniors males: r= ns females: r= ns newly wedds males: r=+.37 ns females: r=+.54 01 middle aged males: r= ns females: r= ns preretired males: r= ns females: r= ns

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure | Statistics | Elaboration/Remarks
---|---|---
O-Sum/u/mq/*0/a | r=+.42 | Youngest (hs seniors + middle aged)
 | p<.01 |  
O-Sum/u/mq/*0/a | r=ns | Oldest (middle aged + preretired)

Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent

Subject code: F2.2.1

Study: CBS 2004

Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Population: 12+ aged, The Netherlands, 2002
Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample
Non-Response:
N: 37482

Correlate
Authors label: household
Our classification: Single parent, code F2.2.1
Measurement:
- a: one person household
- b: one-parent family
- c: couple without children
- d: couple with children

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>DMt</td>
<td>one person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.79 CI95 [3.76-3.82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>one-parent hh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.76 CI95 [3.71-3.81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>couple no children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 4.16 CI95 [4.15-4.18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>couple with children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 4.16 CI95 [4.15-4.17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>BMCT</td>
<td>a, b &lt; c, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/5/b</td>
<td>DMt</td>
<td>one person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.43 CI95 [3.41-3.45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>one-parent hh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.44 CI95 [3.40-3.48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>couple no children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.63 CI95 [3.61-3.63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>couple with children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 3.63 CI95 [3.61-3.64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/5/b</td>
<td>BMCT</td>
<td>a, b &lt; c, d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent
Subject code: F2.2.1
Study CUMMI 2004C

Page in Report: 161

Population: 18+ aged, Australia, 2004

Sample: Probability area sample

Non-Response: 89%

N: 2000

Correlate

Authors label: Household Structure

Our classification: Single parent, code F2.2.1

Measurement:
1 : Live Alone
2 : Live Together
   a: Live with Partner Only
   b: Sole Parent
   c: Live with Partner & Children
   d: Live with Parents
   e: Live with Other Adults

Measured Values: N = 1: 373, 2a: 610, 2b: 115, 2c: 613, 2d: 150, 2e: 103

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/ Ca</td>
<td>D Mt = 7,49; SD = 1,90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2a Mt = 7,06; SD = 1,61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b Mt = 7,06; SD = 2,14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2c Mt = 7,86; SD = 1,45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2d Mt = 7,54; SD = 1,73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2e Mt = 7,14; SD = 1,73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/ca

\[ \text{AoV} = \begin{array}{l} 2a>1 \\ 2a>2b \\ 2a>2d \\ 2a>2e \\ 2c>1 \\ 2c>2b \\ 2c>2e \end{array} \]

M-FH/g/sq/n/11/b

\[ \text{DMt}= \begin{array}{l} a \text{ Mt } = 7,70; \text{ SD } = 1,57 \\ b \text{ Mt } = 8,06; \text{ SD } = 1,44 \\ c \text{ Mt } = 7,68; \text{ SD } = 1,46 \\ d \text{ Mt } = 7,82; \text{ SD } = 1,30 \\ e \text{ Mt } = 7,73; \text{ SD } = 1,35 \\ f \text{ Mt } = 7,65; \text{ SD } = 1,27 \end{array} \]

M-FH/g/sq/n/11/b

\[ \text{AoV} = \begin{array}{l} 2a>2b \\ 2a>2c \end{array} \]

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent**

**Subject code: F2.2.1**

**Study**

**CUMMI 2005D**

*Reported in:* Cummins, R.A.  
Page in Report: B85

*Population:* 18+aged, Australia 2005

*Sample:* Probability area sample

*Non-Response:* 0

*N:* 1970

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Household Structure
Our classification: Single parent, code F2.2.1

Measurement: 
- a: live alone 
- b: live with partner only 
- c: sole parent 
- d: live with parents 
- e: live with other adults 
- f: live with partner & children 

Measured Values: N = a: 317; b: 513; c: 130; d: 150; e: 150; f: 116; g: 657

Oberved Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/ca | DMt= p<.000 | a Mt = 7.47; SD = 1.85  
|       |            | b Mt = 7.92; SD = 1.66  
|       |            | c Mt = 7.39; SD = 1.56  
|       |            | d Mt = 7.53; SD = 1.70  
|       |            | e Mt = 7.55; SD = 1.51  
|       |            | f Mt = 7.93; SD = 1.47  |

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/ca | AoV= f>a; p<.001  
|                  | f>c; p<.004

Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent 
Subject code: F2.2.1

Study: DEVRI 1993

Reported in: DeVries, H.H.; VanDijk, H.M.; Kuiper, N.Y.
Page in Report: 117

Population: 30-65 aged singles, The Netherlands, 1992

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response:
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

*N: 460*

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* household type  
*Our classification:* Single parent, code F2.2.1  
*Measurement:*  
0 single person household  
1 single parent household  

*Measured Values:* single persons: \( N = 386 \), single parents: \( N = 60 \)

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HP/u/sq/v/5/a    | D% = ns    | Single persons: \( M = 4.08 \) (CI95 3.99–4.17)  
Single parents: \( M = 3.98 \) (CI95 3.72–4.24) |

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent**  
**Subject code:** F2.2.1

**Study**  
*TAYLO 2006*  
*Reported in:* Taylor, P.; Funk, C.; Craighill, P.  
Are We Happy Yet?  
PEW Research Center, A Social Trends Report, 2006, Washington DC, USA  
http://pewresearch.org/assets/social/pdf/arewehappy_yet.pdf  
Page in Report: 7,23,24,41  
*Population:* Adults, general public, USA, 2005  
*Sample:* Probability simple random sample  
*Non-Response:*  
\( N: 3014 \)
Authors label: Children

Our classification: Single parent, code F2.2.1

Measurement:
- a. Kids under 18 Years
- b. Kids 18+ aged
- c. No Kids

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ca</td>
<td>D%</td>
<td>% Unhappy married unmarried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kids under 18 8% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kids 18+ 13% 21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Kids 10% 14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ca  | D%         | % Very Happy married unmarried |
|                   |            | Kids under 18 44% 19% |
|                   |            | Kids 18+ aged 41% 26% |
|                   |            | No Kids 43% 25% |

Correlational finding on Happiness and Single parent

Subject code: F2.2.1

Study: WONG 1985


Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651
Correlate

Authors label: Working Parents

Our classification: Single parent, code F2.2.1

Measurement:
  a) Married Fathers
  b) Married Mothers
  c) Single Mothers

Measured Values: Married Fathers N=208. Married Mothers N=287, Single Mothers N = 149

Remarks: Single Fathers N =7 were excluded from the analysis

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa  | DM=        | Married Fathers M = 2,0  
                        Married Mothers M = 1,8  
                        Single Mothers M = 1,7 |
| O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa  | BMCT=      | a>b>c |
| O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i  | DM=        | Married Fathers M = 3,6  
                        Married Mothers M = 3,3  
                        Single Mothers M = 3,1 |
| O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i  | BMCT=      | a>b>c |

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family climate
Subject code: F2.3

Study DIENE 2002A
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Page in Report: 83

Population: Undergraduate students, US, 200?
Sample: Non-probability purposive sample
Non-Response:
N: 222

Correlate

Authors label: Strong family relationship
Our classification: Family climate, code F2.3
Measurement: Self-rating of strong family relationship. Rating from 1 to 7 as compared to the average University of Illinois student.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-\AO/<em>\mqr/</em>/0/a</td>
<td>E²=.36</td>
<td>Mean family relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>a 10% least happy 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b 27% close to average 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c 10% most happy 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-\AO/<em>\mqr/</em>/0/a</td>
<td>MCT=</td>
<td>a &lt; b, c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Family climate
Subject code: F2.3

Study ROSE 1955

Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Reported in: Rose, A.M.
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons. Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19
Page in Report: 16

Population: Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

Sample:
Non-Response: 50%
N: 416

Correlate

Authors label: Closeness of total family life (1)
Our classification: Family climate, code F2.3
Measurement: Rating by child (sociology student) who participated in the study.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/g/sq/v5/a</td>
<td>D%= + p&lt; .05</td>
<td>Positive among females only. Among very satisfied women in 48% of the cases the college student offspring described total family life as being very close; among satisfied women this percentage was 28 %, and among the relatively dissatisfied mothers it was only 20 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Earlier family-climate
Subject code: F2.3.1

Study COSTA 1985
Reported in: Costa, P.T.; Zonderman, A.B.; McCrae, R.R.
Longitudinal Course of Social Support among Men in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging.
Page in Report: 142


Sample:

Non-Response: Attrition T1-T3: 82%

N: 196

Correlate

Authors label: Quality of family & marriage (1)

Our classification: Earlier family-climate, code F2.3.1

Measurement: Obtained by factor analysis.
Factor consisting of 10 items.
Items with high loading:
"I am perfectly satisfied with the way my family treats me".
"If you had your life to live over, would you marry the same person?"
"How do you rate the happiness of your (last) marriage?"
Questions administered at T1.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-A/h/sq/v/3/a</td>
<td>r=+.26</td>
<td>Happiness assessed at T1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Change in family-climate
Subject code: F2.3.2

Study ATKIN 1986
Reported in: Atkinson, T.; Liem, R.; Liem, J.H. 
Page in Report: 326

Population: Unemployed families followed after jobloss, Boston, USA, 1982

Sample:
Non-Response:
N: 82

Correlate

Authors label: Change in family cohesion (1)
Our classification: Change in family-climate, code F2.3.2
Measurement: Family cohesion subscale of the Moos Family Environment Scale (Moos, Insel and Humphrey 1974). Assessed at T1 and T2 (two months difference).

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure | Statistics | Elaboration/Remarks
---|---|---
O-H/?/sq/?/0/a | Beta=.23 | Happiness assessed at T2.
| p<.01 | When controlled for T2 hostility, depression, anxiety and symptoms, ß = ns.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Change in family-climate

Subject code: F2.3.2

Study | EVANS 2005
Population: 18-65 aged in good, moderate and poor mental health, followed 2 years, UK, 1999-2001

Sample: Mixed samples

Non-Response: Non-response at T1: 83% Drop-out at T2: 50%

N: 1912

Correlate

Authors label: Change family contact

Our classification: Change in family-climate, code F2.3.2

Measurement: T1-T2 change in reports of family contact

A  Started regular family contact
   0 no
   1 yes

B  Stopped regular family contact
   0 no
   1 yes

Remarks: Assessed at T1-T2 (two years of interval)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>B = +.27 ns</td>
<td>T1-T2 START of contact by T2 happiness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate
Subject code: F2.3.3

Study
- KAINU 1998

Page in Report: 261


Sample: Probability sample (unspecified)

Non-Response: not rep

N: 2682

Correlate

Authors label: Causing my family (close) problems (1)

Our classification: Current climate, code F2.3.3

Measurement: Have you experienced causing your family (close) problems (a) during the last year? (b) ever in your life? Answers: No (=0) or Yes (=1).

Measured Values: Never: N = 1453. Ever in your life: N = 981

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g  \( r = -.12 \) during the last year

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g  \( r = -.12 \) ever in your life

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g  \( DM = - \) never:
ever in your life:  \( M = 3.94 \)
95% CI for difference:  [0.13 ; 0.27]

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate**

**Subject code: F2.3.3**

**Study**

LEVIT 1986

*Reported in:*
Levitt, M.J.; Weber, R.A.; Clark, M.
Social Network Relationships as Sources of Maternal Support and Well-Being.
Developmental Psychology, 1986, Vol. 22, 310 - 316. ISSN 0012 1649
Page in Report: 314

*Population:*
Mothers of 13-months infants, Florida, U.S.A., 1984

*Sample:*
Non-Response: 20%

\( N: 43 \)

**Correlate**

*Authors label:*
Family situation (1)

*Our classification:*
Current climate, code F2.3.3

*Measurement:*
1. Infant difficulty
2. Spousal support
3. Satisfaction with spouse

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>1 + 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>1 + 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>1 + 2 + 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>1 + 2 + 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate**

**Subject code: F2.3.3**

**Study**

MAKAR 1962

*Reported in:* Makarczyk, W.  
Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.  
Page in Report: 108

*Population:* Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 5%

*N:* 2387

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Getting on well with one's family (2)

*Our classification:* Current climate, code F2.3.3
Measurement: Single question: "How, on the whole, do you get on with your (immediate) family?"
Responses:
very badly / rather badly / average / fairly well / very well.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>T = +.22</td>
<td>Non-agricultural people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>T = +.14</td>
<td>Farm owners and family.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate
Subject code: F2.3.3

Study

MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
Factors affecting Life Satisfaction among People in Poland.
Page in Report: 108/109

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:
Non-Response: 5%
N: 2387

Correlate

Authors label: Getting on well with one's family (1)
Our classification: Current climate, code F2.3.3
Measurement: Single question: how, on the whole, do you get on with your immediate family?
very badly / rather badly / average / fairly well / very well.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>T=22</td>
<td>Non-agricultural people only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>T=14</td>
<td>Farm owners and family.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate
Subject code: F2.3.3

Study
SONDE 1975

Reported in: Sondermeijer, B.
Gezondheid en Geluk. (Health Correlates of Happiness).
Unpublished report, 1975, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Page in Report:

Population: 40-60 aged male employees, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 5%

N: 13

Correlate

Authors label: Family problems (1)

Our classification: Current climate, code F2.3.3
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Measurement:** Single direct question:
0 No
1 Yes

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/q/sq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = .55</td>
<td>p &lt; .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/q/sq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = .55</td>
<td>p &lt; .000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate**

**Subject code:** F2.3.3

**Study**

*WESSM 1956*

**Reported in:** Wessman, A.E.
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
Page in Report: 194

**Population:** 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** -

**N:** 2377

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** State of family relationships (1)

**Our classification:** Current climate, code F2.3.3

**Measurement:** Closed question: "How do you and your family get along?": Only so-so / fairly well / very well.
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c</td>
<td>G = +.64</td>
<td>Stronger among those who are dissatisfied with job and/or friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Current climate

Subject code: F2.3.3

Study

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA
Page in Report: 194

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample: Non-Response: -
N: 2377

Correlate

Authors label: Family relationships (1)

Our classification: Current climate, code F2.3.3

Measurement:
Closed question: "How do you and your family get along?"
Only so-so / fairly well / very well

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c</td>
<td>G = +.64</td>
<td>Stronger among those who are dissatisfied with their job and/or friends.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Correlational finding on Happiness and Characteristics of family members

**Subject code: F2.4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>BRINK 1986A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reported in:</strong></td>
<td>Brinkerhoff, M.B.; Jacob, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of Life in an Alternative Lifestyle: The Smallholding Movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Indicators Research, 1986, Vol. 18, 153 - 173 ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00317546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Page in Report:</strong></td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population:</strong></td>
<td>'Back to the land' mini-farmers, West USA and Canada, 198?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample:</strong></td>
<td>Non-probability purposive sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Response:</strong></td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N:</strong></td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlate

**Authors label:** family skill index (2)

**Our classification:** Characteristics of family members, code F2.4

**Measurement:** Respondents were asked to rate themselves or their spouses from "very good" to "not at all good" on eight back-to-the-land skills:
- carpentry
- plumbing
- car repairs
- electrical work
- gardening
- sewing
- spinning
- veterinary skills.

**Measured Values:** observed range: 8 - 32

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Oneself-mother: works or not
Subject code: F2.6.1

Study

- **Page in Report:** 332

**Population:** Married mothers of 4 year old sons, USA, 1981

**Sample:**

- **Non-Response:** ?
- **N:** 28

Correlate

- **Authors label:** Working mother (1)
- **Our classification:** Oneself-mother: works or not, code F2.6.1
- **Measurement:**
  - 0 mother does not work
  - 1 mother works

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-CA/mh/tsb/?/0/a</td>
<td>DM=+ns</td>
<td>Working mothers were observed to be consistently happier than non-working mothers in play and command situations both at home and in the laboratory.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Oneself-mother: works or not
Subject code: F2.6.1

Study SHEHA 1984

Reported in: Shehan, C.L.
Wives' Work and Psychological Well-Being.
Sex Roles, 1984, Vol. 11, 881 - 899. ISSN 0360 0025 DOI:10.1007/BF00287817
Page in Report: 891

Population: Working mothers of preschool children and non-working controls, USA, 198?

Sample:
Non-Response: 0% interview 16% questionnaire
N: 184

Correlate

Authors label: Working mother (1)

Our classification: Oneself-mother: works or not, code F2.6.1

Measurement: 0 Non-working
1 Working

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure | Statistics | Elaboration/Remarks
--- | --- | ---
O-SLL/c/sq/v/5/c | G = .31
p < .05

Correlational finding on Happiness and Oneself-mother: works or not
Subject code: F2.6.1
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

VENTE 1996

Page in Report: 340

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 4500

Correlate

Authors label: single breadwinner

Our classification: Oneself-mother: works or not, code F2.6.1

Measurement:

1 single breadwinner
0 other


Remarks: only absolute frequencies are given, because catagories are overlapping

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>children</td>
<td>no children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE women Mt=7.04</td>
<td>in home</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE men Mt=6.78</td>
<td>in home</td>
<td>7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITH PARTNER women Mt=7.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITH PARTNER men Mt=8.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>children</th>
<th>no children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women Mt=6.54</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men Mt=6.15</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITH PARTNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women Mt=7.69</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men Mt=7.69</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>children</th>
<th>no children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women Mt=5.73</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men Mt=5.55</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITH PARTNER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women Mt=7.39</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>men Mt=7.38</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Attitudes to family of procreation**

**Subject code: F2.7**

**Study**

BRINK 1997/1


*Page in Report:* 262

*Population:* Adult, general public, poor rural village, Barasi, India 1991

*Sample:* Non-probability purposive-quota sample

*Non-Response:* 0

*N:* 234

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Training centre (1)

*Our classification:* Attitudes to family of procreation, code F2.7
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Measurement:** Village needs prioritized by Paired Comparisons. Out of four basic needs, ss were asked to compare two at a time and to select, which they sought 'their family needs most'.

Items were:
- food
- health
- employment
- leisure

This leads to six pairs of comparisons.

Score pro need can be:
- 0. not mentioned
- 1. once
- 2. twice
- 3. three times

Needs index is the mean number of times an item is chosen.

**Measured Values:** 0: 0.90%; 1: 38.5%; 2: 38.0%; 3: 18.8%; M: 4.7%

**Remarks:** Items for pairwise comparison were selected by participant observation and open interviews.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/?/sq/l5/a</td>
<td>r=+.05</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-H/?/sq/f7/a</td>
<td>r=+.13</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Attitudes to family of procreation**

**Subject code:** F2.7

**Study**

FAVER 1982

**Reported in:** Faver, C.A.
Life Satisfaction and the Life-Cycle: The Effects of Values and Roles on Women's Well-Being
Sociology and Social Research, 1982, Vol. 66, 435 - 452, ISSN 0038 0393
Page in Report: 441,443,445

Correlate

*Authors label:* Family Value Orientation

*Our classification:* Attitudes to family of procreation, code F2.7

*Measurement:* Self report on 3 questions:
- a The rewards and satisfactions of raising a family are more important to me than anything else.
- b I would not take a job that would interfere with the things I like to do with my family
- c I can't imagine having a fully satisfying life without having children

Rated: 1 = disagree, 2 = mostly disagree, 4 = mostly agree, 5 = agree

From these scales a dichotomous variable was created measuring:
0 = Low family values
1 = High family values

*Measured Values:* N= 0:463, 1:595

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-V/c/sq/v/5/a DM=+</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>M = 4,11 SD = 0,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 4,10 SD = 0,05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference =+0,01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-V/c/sq/v/5/a DM=-</td>
<td>Singles only</td>
<td>M = 3,79 N = 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M = 4,04 N = 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference =-0,25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

- High family values M = 4,18 N = 495
- Low family values M = 4,14 N = 297
  Difference +0,04

Married

- High family values M = 4,73 N = 15
- Low family values M = 4,23 N = 13

Age cohort 22-34, high family values

a) Single childless women M = 4,73 N = 15
b) Married childless women M = 4,23 N = 13
c) Married mothers of preschool children M = 3,92 N = 91
d) Married mothers of elementary school children M = 3,97 N = 29
e) Single mothers M = 3,46 N = 13

- High family values M = 3,90 N = 69
- Low family values M = 3,54 N = 24

Age cohort 22-34, low family values

a) Single childless women M = 3,46 N = 69
b) Married childless women M = 4,27 N = 49
c) Married mothers of preschool children M = 3,72 N = 36
d) Married mothers of elementary school children M = 4,54 N = 24
e) Single mothers M = 4,23 N = 13

- High family values M = 3,90 N = 69
- Low family values M = 3,54 N = 24

Correlational finding on Happiness and Attitudes to family of procreation
Subject code: F2.7

Study FINE 2004

Page in Report: 198, 200-201, 299

Population: Dual career parents in four European cities, 2004
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response:

N: 400

Correlate

Authors label: Preference for more time with family

Our classification: Attitudes to family of procreation, code F2.7

Measurement: Self report on single question:
Would you like to spend more time, less time or the same amount of time with your family?
1=much less, 5=much more

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=+.13</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=-.17</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=-.04</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family
Subject code: F2.7.1

Study

BAKKE 1974


Sample:

Non-Response: 34% refusal and unattainable.

N: 1552

Correlate

Authors label: Worries about family members. (1)

Our classification: Concern about family, code F2.7.1

Measurement: Direct questions rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'not worried' to 'very worried'.

1) future of family members.

2) relationship with family members.

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/q/sq/ol/7/a</td>
<td>G = .30</td>
<td>1) Future of family members.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/q/sq/ol/7/a</td>
<td>G = .45</td>
<td>2) Relationship of family members: Lower among those of age 40-50. Stronger among those of low S.E.S. Not among those of higher education. Unaffected by sex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p &lt; .05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family
Subject code: F2.7.1
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Study**

BRAY 1983


*Population:* 40+ aged male managers, Bell Telephone Company, 1978

*Sample:* Non-probability purposive-quota sample

*Non-Response:* Panel loss at T5 37%

*N:* 422

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Absence of familial worries (1)

*Our classification:* Concern about family, code F2.7.1


Rater instruction: "To what extent is this person free from concern about his or her children, parents, or other family members (excluding spouse)".

Assessed at T5.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-PL/c/rc/v/5/a</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>T5 absence of familial worries by T5. Analysis showed a significantly positive correlation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family**

Subject code: F2.7.1
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Study**


Page in Report: 261


Sample: Probability sample (unspecified)

Non-Response: not rep

N: 2682

**Correlate**

Authors label: Worries over the life and future of own children (1)

Our classification: Concern about family, code F2.7.1

Measurement: Have you experienced worries over the life and future of your own children

(a) during the last year?
(b) ever in your life?

Answers: No (=0) or Yes (=1).


**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g</td>
<td>r = .07</td>
<td>experienced during the last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g</td>
<td>r = .07</td>
<td>experienced ever in your life</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/g  DM=-
never: M = 3.90
ever in your life: M = 3.77
95% CI for difference: [0.06; 0.20]

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family
Subject code: F2.7.1

Study WARR 1978

Reported in: Warr, P.
A Study of Psychological Well-Being.
The British Journal of Psychology, 1978, Vol. 6, 111 - 121
Page in Report: 116

Population: Steel workers, six months after closure of their plant, Manchester, U.K., 1976

Sample:
Non-Response: 9 % (4 % ill, 5 % refused), 13 % not contacted
N: 1655

Correlate

Authors label: Anxiety about family (1)

Our classification: Concern about family, code F2.7.1

Measurement: Single closed question concerning anxiety in the past few weeks about Ss family rated on an 11-point scale from 'not at all' to 'a great deal'

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure Statistics Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/n/7/a  r=-.19

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family
Subject code: F2.7.1
Study  
**ZUMA 1989**


*Page in Report:* 

*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

*Sample:* 

*Non-Response:* ?

*N:* 2100

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Perceived importance of one's family (2)

*Our classification:* Concern about family, code F2.7.1

*Measurement:* Question: 'How important is your family for you?' Rated on a seven point scale, ranging from 1 to 7.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b SNR=</td>
<td>eta</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>+.16</td>
<td>+.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>+.15</td>
<td>+.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

β's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about family**

*Subject code: F2.7.1*
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Study  ZUMA 1989


Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 2100

Correlate

Authors label: ^1,17^ (1)

Our classification: Concern about family, code F2.7.1

Measurement:

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure  Statistics  Elaboration/Remarks

\[ \text{O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/} \text{ba} \]

SNR = \[ \text{eta} \quad \text{G} \quad \text{r} \quad \beta \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>\text{eta}</th>
<th>\text{G}</th>
<th>\text{r}</th>
<th>\beta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>+.23</td>
<td>+.33</td>
<td>+.16</td>
<td>+.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>+.23</td>
<td>+.33</td>
<td>+.16</td>
<td>+.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>+.17</td>
<td>+.21</td>
<td>+.12</td>
<td>+.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>+.17</td>
<td>+.21</td>
<td>+.12</td>
<td>+.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \beta \)'s controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family

Subject code: F2.7.2

Study ANDRE 1976/1
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

Sample:

Non-Response: 24%

N: 1297

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with family (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Index of closed questions: "How do you feel about....?"
1. children
2. wife/husband
3. marriage.
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>E²=+.38</td>
<td>ß controlled for 11 domain satisfactions (efficacy, money, amount of fun, house/apartment, spare-time activities, national government, things do with family, consumer, time to do things, health, job).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.15</td>
<td>ß controlled for sociodemographic variables (family life-cycle, age, family income, education, race, sex) and 11 domain satisfactions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family

**Subject code: F2.7.2**

## Study

**ANDRE 1976/1**

*Reported in:* Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.  
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality  
Page in Report: 112

*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972/75

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 24%  
*N:* 1297

## Correlate

**Authors label:** Satisfaction with activities with family (1)  
**Our classification:** Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

**Measurement:** Closed question: "How do you feel about the things you and your family do together?" Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

## Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>$E^2 = +.38$</td>
<td>Unaffected by sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>Beta = +.08</td>
<td>$\beta$ controlled for 11 domain satisfactions (efficacy, family, money, amount of fun, house/apartment, spare time activities, national government, consumer, time to do things, health, job).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Beta = +.08

β controlled for sociodemographic variables (family life cycle, age, family income, education, race, sex) and 11 domain satisfactions.

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**

**Subject code:** F2.7.2

**Study**

**ANDRE 1976/3**


*Page in Report:* 112

*Population:* 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 38%

*N:* 1072

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Satisfaction with family agreement on spending (1)

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

*Measurement:* Closed question: "How do you feel about how well your family agrees on how family income should be spent?"

Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>E² = +.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Subject code: F2.7.2

Study

ANDRE 1976/3

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:

Non-Response: 38%

N: 1072

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with own family life (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement:

Closed question: "How do you feel about your own family life - your wife/husband, your marriage, your children, if any?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure

Statistics  Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLV/u/sq/t/101/a  r=+.32

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a  r=+.50

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study

ANDRE 1976/3
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:
Non-Response: 38%
N: 1072

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with activities with family (2)
Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about the things you and your family do together?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLV/u/sq/t101/a</td>
<td>r=+.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n7/a</td>
<td>r=+.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study
ANDRE 1976/3

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11
Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with own family life (2)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about your own family life - your wife/husband, your marriage, your children, if any?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure  Statistics Elaboration/Remarks
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a  E²=+.52

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study ANDRE 1976/3


Population: 18+ aged, general public, non institutionalized, USA, 1972/11

Sample:
Non-Response: 38%
N: 1072
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with activities with family (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about the things you and your family do together?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>E²=+.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study ANDRE 1976/5

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Social Indicators of Well-being: Americans' Perceptions of Life Quality
Page in Report: 112

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/7
Sample: Non-Response:
N: 222

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage and family life (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Measurement:** 3-item index of questions "How do you feel about....?"
1. your wife/ husband
2. your marriage
3. your family life (i.e. your wife/husband, your marriage and your children, if any)
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=+.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/cy/sq/l/9/a</td>
<td>r=+.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=+.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/b</td>
<td>r=+.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**
**Subject code: F2.7.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>ANDRE 1976/5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population:</strong></td>
<td>18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Response:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N:</strong></td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with agreement in family (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Closed question: "How do you feel about how well your family agrees on how family income should be spent?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure Statistics Elaboration/Remarks
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a r=+.40

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study ANDRE 1976/6

Reported in: Andrews, F.M.; Withey, S.B.
Page in Report: 156

Population: 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972-73

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 2727

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage, family life (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Measurement:** Index of closed questions, asked in different samples: "How do you feel about...
1. your wife/ husband (asked in July 1973)
2. your marriage (asked in July 1973)
3. your own family life - your wife/husband, your marriage, your children, if any (asked in July 1973 and November 1972)?"
Rated on a 7-point scale: terrible/ unhappy/ mostly dissatisfied/ mixed/ mostly satisfied/ pleased/ delighted

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**

**Subject code:** F2.7.2

**Study**

HEADE 1981

*Reported in:* Headey, B.  
The Quality of Life in Australia  
Social Indicators Research, 1981, Vol. 9, 155 - 18. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00286195  
Page in Report: 166

**Population:** Adults, general public, Australia 1978

**Sample:** Probability sample (unspecified)

**Non-Response:** not reported

**N:** 679

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Family activities

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
**Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION**

**Measurement:** 1 single question on satisfaction with the things you and your family do together, scored on a 1-9 D-T rating scale.

**Measured Values:** M = 6.8 SD = 1.5

**Remarks:** Item scored on the same rating scale as the question on happiness.

---

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</td>
<td>r=+.45</td>
<td>all cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td>Beta=+.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta's controlled for satisfaction with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- self-fulfillment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- sex life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- problem handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- work around the house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- standard of living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- assertiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- friends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- fun and enjoyment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- marriage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a   | Beta=+.08  | married cases       |
|                   |            | Beta's controlled for satisfaction with |
|                   |            | - self-fulfillment  |
|                   |            | - sex life          |
|                   |            | - problem handling  |
|                   |            | - work around the house |
|                   |            | - mother            |
|                   |            | - health            |
|                   |            | - house             |
|                   |            | - standard of living |
|                   |            | - assertiveness     |
|                   |            | - friends           |
|                   |            | - fun and enjoyment |
|                   |            | - marriage          |
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study

HEADE 1993

Dimensions of Mental Health: Life Satisfaction, Positive Affect, Anxiety and Depression.
Social Indicators Research, 1993, Vol. 29, 63 - 82. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF01136197
Page in Report: 76

Population: 18-65 aged, Victoria, Australia, followed every 2 years from 1981 to 1987

Sample: Probability stratified sample

Non-Response:

N: 419

Correlate

Authors label: Family satisfaction

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Selfreport on two questions rated on 7-step Delighted terrible scale
Questions not reported

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure Statistics Elaboration/Remarks

O-Sum/*/mg/*0/a  r=+.52
p<.05

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Study**

*HEINO 2004*

**Reported in:** Heinomen, H.; Aro, A.R.; Uutela, A.  
Is the Evaluation of the Global Quality of Live Determined by Emotional Status?  
Quality of Life Research, 2004, Vol. 13, 1347 - 1356. ISSN 0962 9343 DOI:10.1023/B:QURE.0000040788.12947.b9 DOI:10.1023/B:QURE.0000040788.12947.b9  
Page in Report: 1352/1353

**Population:** 25-65 aged, 5 regions, Finland, 1997

**Sample:** Probability stratified sample

**Non-Response:** 36%

**N:** 3838

---

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** Satisfaction with family

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

**Measurement:** selfreport of satisfaction with their family life rated very unsatisfying(1) to very satisfying(5)

**Measured Values:** Males: M = 3.55 SD = 0.8. Females: M = 3.69 SD = 0.8

**Remarks:** Family: Satisfaction with family

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

**Happiness Measure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O-QLS/cm/sq/ol/101/a</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r=-.04</td>
<td>females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**O-QLS/cm/sq/ol/101/a**

|                       | Beta=.14   |                     |
|                       | p<.001     |                     |

|                       | r=-.22     | males               |
|                       | p<.27      |                     |
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study


Population: 18+aged, Croatia, 2003

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: 0

N: 1242

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with Relationship with family and friends

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Selfreport on satisfaction with Relationship with family and friends

1: not at all satisfied

. .

10: extremely satisfied

Measured Values: M=8.6 SD=1.71

Observed Relation with Happiness

**Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/g/sq/v/10/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.15</td>
<td>Beta controlled for satisfaction with:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td>-standard of living,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-health,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-achievement in life,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-feelings of physical safety,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-acceptance by community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**

**Subject code: F2.7.2**

**Study**

**LEVY 1975/1**

reported in: Levy, S.; Guttman, L.
On the Multivariate Structure of Well-Being.
Social Indicators Research, 1975, Vol. 2, 361 - 388. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00293253
Page in Report: 372

**Population:** 18+ aged, general public, urban areas, Israel, 1973

**Sample:**

Non-Response: -

N: 1940

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** Positive evaluation of one's family life (1)

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

**Measurement:** Closed question ranging from 'very bad' to 'very good'.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/?/6/a</td>
<td>mc=+.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2

Study
MOOKH 1997

Reported in: Mookherjee, H.N.
Assessment of Happiness among the Elderly Population in the United States.
Chrakravarty, I.; Ed.: "Life in Twilight Years", Kwality Book, 1997, Calcutta, India, 327 - 343
Page in Report: 336

Population: 60+ aged, general public, USA 1982-91

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 3049

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with family (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: Single question on satisfaction with family
1. not satisfied
2. somewhat satisfied
3. well satisfied

Measured Values: N: 1=2197 2= 542 3= 310

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>DM=+</td>
<td>1. M= 1.81 SD=.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2. M= 1.99 SD=.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. M= 2.36 SD=.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

\[ r = +.30 \quad p < .00 \]

\[ \text{Beta} = +.11 \quad p < .00 \]

Beta controlled for:
- socio-demographic variables:
  - Gender
  - Race
  - Marital status
  - Education
  - Financial status
  - Place of residence
  - Religious membership
  - Religious attendance
- satisfactions with:
  - Neighbours
  - Non-working activities
  - Friends
  - Health
  - Finance

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family

Subject code: F2.7.2

Study UGLAN 2006

Reported in: Uglanova, E.
Data Independent Institute for Social Policy, 2006, Russia http://sofist.socpol.ru
Page in Report: question 10

Population: 16+ aged, Russia, 1993

Sample: Probability multi-stage cluster sample

Non-Response: ?

N: 2018

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with relationship with family members

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

**Measurement:**

How satisfied are you with your family life?

1. completely dissatisfied
2. rather dissatisfied
3. rather satisfied
4. quite satisfied
- DK/NA

**Measured Values:** 1:5,2% 2:14,8% 3:34,9% 4:39,2% DK/NA:5,8%

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</td>
<td>DM=+</td>
<td>1:M = 1,84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2:M = 2,16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3:M = 2,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4:M = 2,81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/v/4/g</td>
<td>rs=+.33</td>
<td>p&lt;.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family**

**Subject code: F2.7.2**

**Study**  WONG 1985

**Reported in:** Wong, N.W.
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA
Page in Report: 51,125,137,141,143

**Population:** Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

**Sample:** Non-probability purposive sample

**Non-Response:** 59-79%

**N:** 651

---

**Correlate**
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Authors label: Family strain

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: When you think about your family, how much of the time do you feel these ways?:
- a. Bothered or upset
- b. worried
- c. Frustrated
- d. Tense
- e. Emotionally worn out.

Rated: 1 = Never, 2 = Rarely, 3 = Sometimes, 4 = Often, 5 = Very often

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=2.4, SD=.57, Married Mothers M = 2.8 SD = .68, Single Mothers M=2.9 SD = .77

Error Estimates: alpha = .84

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=-.34</td>
<td>Married Fathers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=-.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=-.32</td>
<td>Married Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=-.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=-.61</td>
<td>Single Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=-.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with family
Subject code: F2.7.2
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Study  
**WONG 1985**

Reported in: Wong, N.W.  
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents. PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA  
Page in Report: 51, 128, 137, 140, 143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 59-79%

N: 651

Correlate

Authors label: Family satisfaction

Our classification: Satisfaction with family, code F2.7.2

Measurement: All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with each of the following:
- a your children,
- b Your family life
- c Your marriage

Rated: 1= not at all satisfied, 2 = not too satisfied, 3 = somewhat satisfied, 4 = very satisfied, 5 = extremely satisfied

Measured Values: Married Fathers: M=4,1, SD=.68, Married Mothers M = 3,8 SD = .78, Single Mothers M=3,6 SD = .57

Error Estimates: alpha = .77

Remarks: For single parents the item on marriage was substituted for missing values on the marital satisfaction question.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r+=.39</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Married Fathers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r+=.48</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Married Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r+=.55</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Married Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r+=.63</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Single Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r+=.60</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Single Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r+=.63</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Single Mothers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appendix 1: Happiness Items used**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Item Code</th>
<th>Full Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/c/sq/v/4/b</td>
<td>Selfreport on single closed question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;How is your mood these days....?&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 very good all the time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 not good almost all the time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>Single direct question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How are you feeling now....?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 neither good nor poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 very poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

Selfreport on 10 questions:

A During the past few weeks, did you ever feel particularly excited or interested in something?
B So restless that you couldn't sit long in a chair?
C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done?
D Very lonely or remote from other people?
E Pleased about having accomplished something?
F Bored?
G On top of the world?
H Depressed or very unhappy?
I That things were going your way?
J Upset because someone criticized you?

Answer options and scoring:
yes = 1
no = 0
Summation:
- Positive Affect Score (PAS): A + C + E + G + H
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): B + D + F + H + J
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS
Possible range: -5 to +5

Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance Scale' (standard version)

Selfreport on 8 questions.

"During the past week, did you ever feel.....?"

A very lonely
B restless
C bored
D depressed
E on top of the world
F exited or interested
G pleased about accomplishment
H proud

Answer options:
1 never
2 once
3 several times
4 often

Summation:
- Positive Affect Score (PAS): E + F + G + H
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): A + B + C + D
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS - NAS + 13

Name: Bradburn's Affect Balance Scale (modified version)
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A-CA/mh/tsb/?/0/a Time sampling of happy behaviors

Frequency of positive affect shown during two 20 minute sessions of mother child interaction (both play and command situation) both at home and in a laboratory. Sessions were video recorded and rated afterwards.

C-A/h/sq/v/3/a Selfreport on single question:

"How do you feel about what you have accomplished in life...?"
3 well satisfied
2 reasonable satisfied
1 dissatisfied:

C-BW/cy/sq/l/9/a Selfreport on single question:

"Here is a picture of a ladder. At the bottom of the ladder is the worst life you might reasonably expect to have. At the top is the best life you might expect to have. Of course, life from week to week falls somewhere in between. Where was your life most of the time during the past year?"
[ 9 ] best life you might expect to have
[ 8 ]
[ 7 ]
[ 6 ]
[ 5 ]
[ 4 ]
[ 3 ]
[ 2 ]
[ 1 ] worst life you might expect to have

Name: Cantril's self anchoring ladder rating (modified version)

M-AO/*/mqr/*/0/a Various self reports and informant rating

A Selfreport on five questions repeated three times during semester:
a In most ways my life is close to ideal
b The conditions of my life are excellent
c I am satisfied with my life
d So far, I have gotten the important things I want in life
e If I could live my life over, I would change nothing
Answers rated: 7 strongly agree ...1 strongly disagree
(Diener's Satisfaction With Life Scale, SWLS)

B Selfreport on 24 questions:
How much of each mood did you experience in the last month?
BA LOVE
a Affection
b love
c caring
d fondness
BB JOY
a joy
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b happiness
c contentment
d pride
BC FEAR
a fear
b worry
c anxiety
d nervous
BD ANGER
a anger
b irritation
c disgust
d rage
BE SHAME
a shame
b guilt
c regret
d embarrassment
BF SADNESS
a sadness
b loneliness
c unhappiness
d depression
Rated: 0 = not at all ...6 extremely much
Summation: balance score (BA+BB)/2 - (BC+BD+BE+BF)/4

C Rating of B by five informants

D Selfreport of daily affect on B

Summation: z-scores

M-AO/u/sq/f/7/a Selfreport on single question:

Which (of the faces) best described how you feel about your life as a whole?
7 completely happy
6
5
4 neither happy nor unhappy
3
2
1 completely unhappy
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M-FH/g/sq/n/11/b  Selfreport on single question:

Now I am going to ask you how you FEEL, not just at the moment, but GENERALLY in your life. How happy do you generally feel? On a scale from 0 to 10...
0 completely unhappy
1
2
3
4
5 neutral
6
7
8
9
10 completely happy

M-FH/g/sq/v/10/a  Selfreport on single question:

Use the list below to answer the following question: IN GENERAL, HOW HAPPY OR UNHAPPY DO YOU USUALLY FEEL? Check the one statement that best describes your average happiness.
10 extremely happy (feeling ecstatic, joyous, fantastic!)
9 very happy (feeling really good and elated!)
8 pretty happy (spirits high, feeling good)
7 mildly happy (feeling fairly good and somewhat cheerful)
6 slightly happy (just a bit above neutral)
5 slightly unhappy (just a bit below neutral)
4 mildly unhappy (just a bit low)
3 pretty unhappy (somewhat "blue", spirits down)
2 very unhappy (depressed, spirits very low)
1 extremely unhappy (utterly depressed, completely down)

Name: Fordyce's overall happiness item.

M-FH/g/sq/v/2/a  Selfreport on single question:

"Are you generally satisfied? "
2 yes
1 no

M-P L/c/rc/v/5/a  Clinical rating by 4 experts on the basis of multi-method assessments during periodical stays in assessment center.

Rater instruction:
"To what extend does this person find pleasure in life at the present time.........?"
1 low
2
3 average
4
5 high
O-DT/u/sqt/v/7/a Selfreport on single question, asked twice in interview:

How do you feel about your life as a whole......?
7 delighted
6 pleased
5 mostly satisfied
4 mixed
3 mostly dissatisfied
2 unhappy
1 terrible

Summation: arithmetic mean

Name: Andrews & Withey's "Delighted-Terrible Scale" (original version)
Also known as Lehman's 'Global lifesatisfaction'

O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a Selfreport on single question asked twice

'How do you feel about your life as a whole?'
1 terrible
2 very unhappy
3 unhappy
4 mostly dissatisfied
5 mixed feelings
6 mostly satisfied
7 pleased
8 very pleased
9 delighted

O-GBB/u/sq/c/9/a Selfreport on single question:

"Here are some circles that we can imagine represent the lives of different people. Circle eight has all pluses in it, to represent a person who has all the good things in his life. Circle zero has all minuses in it, to represent a person who has all the bad things in his life. Other circles are in between. Which circle do you think comes closest to matching your life?"
(circles not reproduced here)
8 ++++++++ +
7 ++++++++ -
6 ++++++++ -
5 ++++++++ -
4 ++++++++ -
3 ++++++++ -
2 ++++++++ -
2 ++++++++ -
0 ++++++++ -

Name: Andrews & Withey's 'Circles Scale'
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Selfreport on single question:

"......happiness....."
(Full text not reported)

Selfreport on single question:

Lead item not reported
Rated on a 7-step pictorial faces scale, presented on a card
(pictures not shown here)
7 smiling face, very happy
6
5
4
3
2
1 frowning face, very unhappy

Selfreport on single question:

"Generally speaking, are you happy these days......?"
6 very happy
5
4
3
2
1 very unhappy
(Response options not fully reported)

Selfreport on single question:

Here are some words and phrases. We would like you to use these in describing how you feel about your present life..
1 unhappy
2
3
4
5
6
7 happy
(originally presented horizontally)

Item in Campbell's semantic differential scale
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O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa Selfreport on single question:

Taken all together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say that you are....?
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not too happy

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ca Selfreport on single question:

Generally speaking; how would you say, you are these days.....?
1 very happy
2 pretty happy
3 not too happy

O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b Selfreport on single question:

Is your life at this moment .....? 
4 very happy
3 fairly happy
2 fairly unhappy
1 very unhappy

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h Selfreport on single question:

'How happy are you now?'
5 very happy
4 happy
3 neither happy nor unhappy
2 unhappy
1 very unhappy

Original text in Danish:
'Hvor lykkelig er du for tiden?'
5 meget lykkelig
4 lykkelig
3 hverken eller
2 ulykklig
1 meget ulykkelig

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c Selfreport on single question:

"In general, how happy would you say you are.....?"
3 very happy
2 fairly happy
1 not too happy
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O-HL/u/sq/v/3/h  Selfreport on single question:

Taken all together, how happy would you say you are? Are you......?
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not so happy

O-HL/u/sq/v/4/a  Selfreport on single question:

Taking all things together, would you say you are.....?
4 very happy
3 quite happy
2 not very happy
1 not at all happy.

O-HL/u/sq/v/7/b  Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel how happy you are.....?
7 delighted
6 pleased
5 mostly satisfied
4 mixed (about equally satisfied and dissatisfied)
3 mostly
2 unhappy
1 terrible

O-HP/g/sq/ol/7/a  Selfreport on single question:

"Generally speaking are you a happy person.......?"

Responses were made on an open line scale, and were later coded in 7 categories:
1 very unhappy
2
3
4
5
6
7 very happy.

O-HP/u/sq/v/5/a  Selfreport on single question

To what extend do you consider yourself a happy person....? 
5 very happy
4 happy
3 neither happy nor unhappy
2 not very happy
1 unhappy
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Selfreport on single question:
How would you estimate your quality of life as a whole during the last 4 weeks?
| 0 |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| 100 |
Rated on 15 cm vertical open line

Selfreport on single question:
In general how satisfying do you find the way you are spending your life these days. Would you call it.....?
3 completely satisfying
2 pretty satisfying
1 not very satisfying

Selfreport on single question:
All things considered, how satisfied are you with the way your life is these days ........?
1 not at all satisfied
2 somewhat satisfied
3 just so-so
4 pretty satisfied
5 very satisfied

Selfreport on single question:
"..... satisfaction with life ......"
(full lead item not reported)
Rated on a wooden miniature ladder, handed to the respondent
[ 5 ] very satisfied
[ 4 ]
[ 3 ]
[ 2 ]
[ 1 ] very dissatisfied
Selfreport on single question:

'How satisfied are you with your life now?'
5 very satisfied
2 satisfied
3 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
2 dissatisfied
1 very dissatisfied

Original text in Danish:
'Hvor tilfreds er du med dit liv for tiden?'
5 meget tilfreds
4 tilfreds
3 hverken tilfreds eller utilfreds
2 utilfreds
1 meget utilfreds

Self report on single question:

How satisfying do you find your life at the moment.....?
1 very dissatisfying
2 quite dissatisfying
3 not satisfying not dissatisfying
4 quite satisfying
5 very satisfying

Selfreport on single question:

In general, how satisfied are you with your life.....?
5 very satisfied
4 satisfied
3 average
2 somewhat dissatisfied
1 very dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

Where would you put your life as a whole on a feeling thermometer?
Rated on 'thermometer scale' (in grades)
0 degrees: very cold, negative
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100 very warm, positive
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Selfreport on single question;
What do you think, how satisfied are you at this moment- all in all - with your life ?' If for instance you are totally satisfied with your life, please mark a '10'. If you are totally unsatisfied with your life, mark a '0'. If you are not completely unsatisfied nor totally satisfied range yourself somewhere between '1' and '9'
10 completely satisfied
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0 completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:
When you consider your life-as-a-whole, are you...........?
0 quite dissatisfied
1
2
3
4 very satisfied

Selfreport on single question:
We have talked about various parts of your life, now I want to ask you about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days.....?
7 completely satisfied
6
5
4 neutral
3
2
1 completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:
How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?
4 quite satisfied
3 rather satisfied
2 rather unsatisfied
1 not at all satisfied
- no answer
- hard to say
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O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a Selfreport on single question:

Overall, how satisfied are you with your life in general?
1 very dissatisfied
2 dissatisfied
3 somewhat dissatisfied
4 somewhat satisfied
5 satisfied
6 very satisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/ca

Selfreport on single question:

Thinking about your own life and personal circumstances, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole?
1 very dissatisfied
2
3
4
5 neutral
6
7
8
9
10 very satisfied

First item in Cummins' 'Personal Well-being Scale' (labels used until 2005)

O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i Selfreport on single question:

All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with your life as a whole?
1 not at all satisfied
2 not too satisfied
3 very satisfied
4 extremely satisfied

O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a Selfreport on single question:

"On the whole, are you satisfied with your life.....?"
5 definitely yes
4 rather yes
3 don't know
2 rather no
1 definitely no
- no reply
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O-Sum/*/mq/*/0/a Self report on 8 items

A How do you feel about your life as a whole?
Rated on a 9-step Delighted-Terrible scale
Asked twice in the interview with 15 minutes interval

B a In most ways my life is close to ideal
b The conditions of my life are excellent
c I am satisfied with my life
d So far, I have gotten the important things I want in life
e If I could live my life over, I would change nothing'
Answers rated: 7 strongly agree to 1 strongly disagree

C How do you feel usually?
0 extremely unhappy
1
10 extremely happy

Computation: factor

O-Sum/u/mq/*/0/a Selfreport on 3 questions:


B. Overall happiness. Single direct question: "Taking all things together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say you are .......?"
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not too happy

C. Present-year score on Life-Evaluation Chart, ranging from:
1 low
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 high

O-V/c/sq/v/5/a Selfreport on single question:

I think of my life as interesting and satisfying
1 disagree
2 mostly agree
3 neither agree or disagree
4 mostly agree
5 agree
## Appendix 2: Statistics used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AoV    | **ANALYSIS of VARIANCE (ANOVA)**  
Type: statistical procedure  
Measurement level: Correlate(s): nominal, Happiness: metric.  
In an ANOVA, the total happiness variability, expressed as the sum of squares, is split into two or more parts, each of which is assigned to a source of variability. At least one of those sources is the variability of the correlate, in case there is only one, and always one other is the residual variability, which includes all unspecified influences on the happiness variable. Each sum of squares has its own number of degrees of freedom (df), which sum up to Ne -1 for the total variability. If a sum of squares (SS) is divided by its own number of df, a mean square (MS) is obtained. The ratio of two correctly selected mean squares has an F-distribution under the hypothesis that the corresponding association has a zero-value.  

NOTE: A significantly high F-value only indicates that, in case of a single correlate, the largest of the c mean values is systematically larger than the smallest one. Conclusions about the other pairs of means require the application of a Multiple Comparisons Procedure (see e.g. BONFERRONI's MULTIPLE COMPARISON TEST, DUNCAN's MULTIPLE RANGE TEST or STUDENT-NEWMAN-KEULS)|
| B      | **REGRESSION COEFFICIENT (non-standardized) by LEAST SQUARES (OLS)**  
Type: test statistic  
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric  
Theoretical range: unlimited  

Meaning:  
B > 0 A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.  
B < 0 A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.  
B = 0 Not any correlation with the relevant correlate. |
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Beta (ß) STANDARDIZED REGRESSION COEFFICIENT by LEAST SQUARES (OLS)
Type: test statistic.
Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric.
Range: [-1 ; +1]

Meaning:
beta > 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.
beta < 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.
beta = 0 « no correlation.
beta = +1 or -1 « perfect correlation.

Remark:
Mean of observations is subtracted from all observations if standardized.

BMCT BONFERRONI's MULTIPLE COMPARISON TEST
Type: statistical procedure
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: metric

Meaning: if the correlate is measured at c levels, the c mean happiness values can be ranked from low to high. A multiple comparison procedure judges for each of the ½c(c-1) pairs whether or not they differ significantly. A convenient way to represent the results is by ranking the c means and by underlining them in such a way that means which have a common underlining do NOT differ significantly.

When added by us, this test is performed at the 95% confidence level for all the differences together.

D% DIFFERENCE in PERCENTAGES
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate level: dichotomous, but nominal or ordinal theoretically possible as well. Happiness level: dichotomous
Range: [-100; +100]

Meaning: the difference of the percentages happy people at two correlate levels.

DM DIFFERENCE of MEANS
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness: metric
Range: depending on the happiness rating scale of the author; range symmetric about zero.

Meaning: the difference of the mean happiness, as measured on the author's rating scale, between the two correlate levels.
DIFFERENCE of MEANS AFTER TRANSFORMATION
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness: metric
Theoretical range: [-10; +10]

Meaning: the difference of the mean happiness (happiness measured at a 0-10 rating scale) between the two correlate levels.

CORRELATION RATIO (Elsewhere sometimes called h² or ETA)
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal or ordinal, Happiness: metric
Range: [0; 1]

Meaning: correlate is accountable for $E^2 \times 100 \%$ of the variation in happiness.
$E^2 = 0$ « knowledge of the correlate value does not improve the prediction quality of the happiness rating.
$E^2 = 1$ « knowledge of the correlate value enables an exact prediction of the happiness rating.

F-STATISTIC
Type: asymmetric standard test statistic.
Range: nonnegative unlimited

Meaning: the test statistic is also called the "Variance Ratio" and is the ratio of two independent estimators of the same variance with $n_1$ and $n_2$ degrees of freedom respectively. The critical values of its probability distribution are tabulated extensively in almost any textbook on Statistics.

GOODMAN & Kruskal's GAMMA
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: [-1; +1]

Meaning:
$G = 0$ « no rank correlation
$G = +1$ « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness ratings.
$G = -1$ « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness ratings.

Guttman's monotonicy coefficient.
Range: [-1, +1].
Measurement level: Both correlate and happiness ordinal or interval
Based on the multiplication of signed differences within one variable with the corresponding difference in the second variable and summation over all possible differences.
Seldom used in happiness research. See excerpted report.

Multiple Comparison Test, variant not specified.
Possible variants: Scheffe, Tukey, Student/Newman/Keuls, Bonferoni (BMCT)
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OR
ODDS RATIO
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness level: dichotomous
Range: nonnegative unlimited

Meaning:
OR = 1 « no association at all;
OR = 0 or infinite « at least one level of the correlate allows an error-free prediction of the happiness.

r
PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (Also "Pearson's correlation coefficient" or simply 'correlation coefficient')
Type: test statistic.
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric
Range: [-1; +1]

Meaning:
r = 0 « no correlation,
r = 1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness values, and
r = -1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness values.

rs
SPEARMAN'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENT
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal.
Range: [-1; +1]

Meaning:
rs = 0 « no rank correlation
rs = 1 « perfect rank correlation, where high correlate values are associated with high happiness ratings
rs =-1 « perfect rank correlation, where high correlate values are associated with low happiness ratings

$R^2$
COEFFICIENT of DETERMINATION
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric
Range: [0; 1]

Meaning:
$R^2 = 0 « no influence of any correlate in this study has been established.$
$R^2 = 1 « the correlates determine the happiness completely.$

SNR
Statistic Not Reported
**TSCHUPROW’S T**

Type: test statistic.
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: \([0 ; \sqrt{\frac{\min(r,c)-1}{\max(r,c) -1}}]\), \(c\) and \(r\) being the numbers of columns resp. rows in a cross tabulation.

Meaning:
- \(T = 0\) « no association
- \(T \rightarrow 1\) « strongest possible association.

**NOTE:** sometimes the square value is reported instead!

---

**Appendix 3: About the World Database of Happiness**

**Structure of the collections**

The World Database of Happiness is an ongoing register of scientific research on the subjective enjoyment of life. It brings together findings that are scattered throughout many studies and provides a basis for synthetic work.

**World literature on Happiness**

- **Selection on subject**
  - [Bibliography](#) and [Directory](#)

- **Selection of empirical studies**

- **Selection on valid measurement:** [Item Bank](#)

- **Abstracting and classification of findings**

- **How happy people are, distributional findings**
  - [Happiness in Nations](#)
  - [Happiness in Publics](#)

- **What goes together with happiness**
  - [Correlational Findings](#)

- **Listing of comparable findings in Nations**
  - [States of Nations](#)
  - [Trends in Nations](#)

---
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Size of the collections
1226 Happiness measures (Item Bank)
4258 Nations surveys in 206 Nations
149 Distinguished publics in 1199 studies
12032 Correlational findings in 1196 studies

### Appendix 4 Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Subjects</th>
<th>Subject Description</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1 A2</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: LEVEL (how much one does)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 A4</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: PATTERN (what one does)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5 A6 A7 A8</td>
<td>AFFECTIVE LIFE</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>AGGRESSION</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>ANOMY</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>APPEARANCE (good looks)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>ATTITUDES</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>AUTHORITARIANISM</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>BIRTH CONTROL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>BIRTH HISTORY (own birth)</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>BODY</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>CHILDREN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>CHILDREN: WANT FOR (Parental aspirations)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>CHILDREN: HAVING (parental status)</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>CHILDREN: CHARACTERISTICS OF ONE’S CHILDREN</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>CHILDREN: RELATION WITH ONE’S CHILDREN</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>CHILDREN: REARING OF ONE’S CHILDREN (parental behavior)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>COMMUNAL LIVING</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>CONCERNS</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>COPING</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>CREATIVENESS</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>CULTURE (Arts and Sciences)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>DAILY JOYS &amp; HASSLES</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>ETHNICITY</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>EXPRESSIVE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>FAMILY OF ORIGIN (earlier family for adults, current for young)</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>FAMILY OF RELATIVES</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>FARMING</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>FREEDOM</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>FRIENDSHIP</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>GRIEF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>HABITS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>HANDICAP</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: VIEWS ON HAPPINESS</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: DISPERSION OF HAPPINESS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: CAREER</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: CORRESPONDENCE OF DIFFERENT MEASURES</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>HAPPINESS OF OTHERS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: REPUTATION OF HAPPINESS</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>HEALTH-BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>HELPING</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: WORK</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14</td>
<td>HOUSING</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>INSTITUTIONAL LIVING</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td>INTELLIGENCE</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I4</td>
<td>INTERESTS</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td>INTERVIEW</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I6</td>
<td>INTIMACY</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>LEADERSHIP</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>LEISURE</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>LIFE APPRAISALS: OTHER THAN HAPPINESS</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>LIFE CHANGE</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>LIFE EVENTS</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>LIFE GOALS</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>LIFE HISTORY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>LIFE STYLE</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>LOCAL ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>LOTTERY</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>LOVE-LIFE</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: MARITAL STATUS CAREER</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Findings on Happiness and FAMILY OF PROCREATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P14</td>
<td>PETS</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>RELIGION</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>RESOURCES</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>RETIREMENT</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>ROLES</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>SCHOOL</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>SELF-IMAGE</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>SEX-LIFE</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>SLEEP</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>SOCIAL MOBILITY</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION: PERSONAL CONTACTS</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION : VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION: TOTAL (personal + associations)</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td>SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>SOCIAL SUPPORT: PROVIDED</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12</td>
<td>SPORTS</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S13</td>
<td>STIMULANTS</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>SUICIDE</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>SUMMED DETERMINANTS</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>THERAPY</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>TOLERANCE</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>VALUES: CAREER</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>VALUES: CURRENT PREFERENCES (own)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>VALUES: CLIMATE (current values in environment)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>VALUES: SIMILARITY (current fit with others)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>WAR</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W2</td>
<td>WISDOM</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3</td>
<td>WORK: CAREER</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W4</td>
<td>WORK: CONDITIONS</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W5</td>
<td>WORK: ATTITUDES</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W6</td>
<td>WORK: PERFORMANCE (current)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W7</td>
<td>WORRIES</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>UNCLASSIFIED</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix 5: Related Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Related Subject(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>C2 CHILDREN: WANT FOR (Parental aspirations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>F1 FAMILY OF ORIGIN (earlier family for adults, current for young)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>H12 HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>M1 MARRIAGE: MARITAL STATUS CAREER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>M2 MARRIAGE: CURRENT MARITAL STATUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>M3 MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2 FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>M4 MARRIAGE: PARTNER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.1 Family career (family life-cycle)</td>
<td>A4.1.3 Stage of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.1 Family career (family life-cycle)</td>
<td>H5.1.1.4 extremes of happiness experienced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.1 Family career (family life-cycle)</td>
<td>H12 HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2 Family composition</td>
<td>H12 HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2.1 Single parent</td>
<td>F1.2.2 Number of parents (broken home)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2.1 Single parent</td>
<td>H12.2.2 Living with children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.2.1 Single parent</td>
<td>M1.2.2 Recent dissolution of marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3 Family climate</td>
<td>S10 SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3 Family climate</td>
<td>S11 SOCIAL SUPPORT: PROVIDED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.3.1 Earlier family-climate</td>
<td>M3.1 Relationship career</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.5 Hardship in family of procreation</td>
<td>L6.1.1.1 burden of earlier life-events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.5 Hardship in family of procreation</td>
<td>L6.2.1 Burden of current life-events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6 Working mother</td>
<td>F1.10 Working mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6.1 Oneself-mother: works or not</td>
<td>E2.2.1 Full-time home maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6.1 Oneself-mother: works or not</td>
<td>E2.6.1 Part-time working of house-wives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.6.2 Spouse-mother: works or not</td>
<td>M4.2.3.2 employment of spouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.7 Attitudes to family of procreation</td>
<td>F3.3 Attitudes to family-life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2.7 Attitudes to family of procreation</td>
<td>V2.1.1.4 nurturance (caring)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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