# World Database of Happiness

## Correlational Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

**Subject Code: M3**

© on data collection: Ruut Veenhoven, Erasmus University Rotterdam

### Classification of Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Nr of Studies on this Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1</td>
<td>Relationship career</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.1</td>
<td>Earlier marriage relationship(s)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.1.1</td>
<td>. characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.1.2</td>
<td>. satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.2</td>
<td>Change in marriage relationship</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.2.1</td>
<td>. change in characteristics of marriage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.2.2</td>
<td>. change in satisfaction with marriage</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3</td>
<td>History of current marriage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3.1</td>
<td>. earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3.2</td>
<td>. earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3.3</td>
<td>Length of current marriage</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3.4</td>
<td>Age of marriage with current partner</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.4</td>
<td>Later marriage</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.4.1</td>
<td>. characteristics of later marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.4.2</td>
<td>. satisfaction with later marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.4.3</td>
<td>. Later satisfaction with current marriage</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2</td>
<td>Current characteristics of marriage-relationship</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.1</td>
<td>Heterogeneity of marriage-partners</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.2</td>
<td>Intimacy with spouse</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.3</td>
<td>Monogamy of relationship</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.3.1</td>
<td>. polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.3.2</td>
<td>. conjugal fidelity</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.4</td>
<td>Mutual support</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.5</td>
<td>Shared activities</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.6</td>
<td>Tensions in relationship</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.3</td>
<td>Attitudes to current marriage-relationship</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.3.1</td>
<td>Concern about marriage relationship</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.3.2</td>
<td>Self-perceived adequacy in marriage</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.3.3</td>
<td>Satisfaction with marriage</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Appendix 1 Happiness Items used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix 2</th>
<th>Statistics used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 3</td>
<td>About the World Database of Happiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 4</td>
<td>Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 5</td>
<td>Related Subjects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Correlational finding on Happiness and Earlier marriage relationship(s)
Subject code: M3.1.1

Study

HANSO 1983

Reported in: Hanson, S.L.; Spanier, G.B.
Family Development and Adjustment to Marital Separation.
Sociology and Social Research, 1983, Vol. 68, 19 - 40. ISSN 0038 0393
Page in Report: 29

Population: Recently separated, followed 2 years, County, Pennsylvania, USA, 1977-79

Sample:

Non-Response: T1: 39 %, T2: 48 %
N: 210

Correlate

Authors label: Age at first marriage (1)

Our classification: Earlier marriage relationship(s), code M3.1.1

Measurement: Years

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to level of happiness at T1 and T2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to change in happiness between T1 and T2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b's controlled for: age, sexe, education, workstatus, income, occupational status, and family history.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Earlier marriage relationship(s)
Subject code: M3.1.1

Study

VENTE 1996
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Page in Report: 308

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen
Sample: Non-probability chunk sample
Non-Response: 39%
N: 4500

Correlate

Authors label: relations with one's previous partner
Our classification: Earlier marriage relationship(s), code M3.1.1
Measurement: Single question:
" How are your current relations with your previous partner ?"
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither/nor
4: good
5: very good

Measured Values: N: All:3022; %:1:13,8; 2:17,8; 3:35,3; 4:25,3; 5:7,7

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.08</td>
<td>1: Mt=7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2: Mt=7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=8.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e   | r=+.05     | 1: Mt=7.21          |
|                   | p<.01      | 2: Mt=7.06          |
|                   |            | 3: Mt=7.51          |
|                   |            | 4: Mt=7.34          |
|                   |            | 5: Mt=7.60          |

| O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h    | r=+.01 ns  | 1: Mt=6.96          |
|                   |            | 2: Mt=6.83          |
|                   |            | 3: Mt=7.11          |
|                   |            | 4: Mt=6.88          |
|                   |            | 5: Mt=7.10          |
Correlational finding on Happiness and characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)  
Subject code: M3.1.1.1

Study CHIRI 1980

Reported in: Chiriboga, D.A.; Thurnher, M.  
Marital Lifestyles and Adjustment to Separation.  
Page in Report: 381-385

Population: Recently seperated, San Francisco and Almeda, USA, 1976

Sample:

Non-Response: 50 %  
N: 298

Correlate

Authors label: Préseparation marital lifestyle (1)

Our classification: .characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1.1

Measurement: Aspects of former marriage:

1:authority (self/both/spouse)  
a:who is boss in family  
b:who decides on job man  
c:who decides whether wife works  
d:who decides where to life

2:division of labour (self/both/spouse)  
a:who keeps family budget  
b:who does grocery shopping  
c:who does cooking  
d:who does evening dishes  
e:who gets husbands breakfast  
f:who cleans the house  
g:who service the car

3:independant leisure time  
a:separate social activity(frequence)  
b:separate friends (no/yes)  
c:separate interests (no/yes)

4:reliance on spouse (5 point scales)  
a:in area of compagnionship  
b:in area of finance  
d:in practical matters

5:life of their own when married  
(direct question)
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

**Remarks:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ß</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age&lt;40</td>
<td>_40</td>
<td>_40</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-.15</td>
<td>-.21</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>-.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.44</td>
<td>+.15</td>
<td>+.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td>+.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: division of labour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td>-.22</td>
<td>+.13</td>
<td>+.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>+.09</td>
<td>-.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>+.10</td>
<td>+.38</td>
<td>+.38</td>
<td>+.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>+.37</td>
<td>+.43</td>
<td>-.09</td>
<td>-.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: independent leisure time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>+.20</td>
<td>+.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>-.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>+.17</td>
<td>+.02</td>
<td>+.26</td>
<td>+.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: reliance on spouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>-.12</td>
<td>-.16</td>
<td>-.18</td>
<td>-.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>+.34</td>
<td>+.19</td>
<td>+.02</td>
<td>+.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: life of their own when married</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>-.29</td>
<td>+.31</td>
<td>+.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ß's control all other correlates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/h</td>
<td>R²=</td>
<td>.26(05) .53(05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/h</td>
<td>Ra²=</td>
<td>.13 .33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)**

**Subject code: M3.1.1.1**

**Study**

HANSO 1983
Correlate

Authors label: Length of (broken) marriage (1)

Our classification: characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1.1

Measurement: Years

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to change in happiness between T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b's controlled for: age, sexe, education, work-status, income, occupational status, and family history.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)

Subject code: M3.1.1.1

Study HANSO 1983

Reported in: Hanson, S.L.; Spanier, G.B.
Family Development and Adjustment to Marital Separation.
Sociology and Social Research, 1983, Vol. 68, 19 - 40. ISSN 0038 0393
Page in Report: 29

Population: Recently separated, followed 2 years, County, Pennsylvania, USA, 1977-79

Sample:

Non-Response: T1: 39 % , T2: 48 %

N: 210
### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>B = - ns</td>
<td>Unrelated to change in happiness between T1 and T2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b’s controlled for: age, sexe, education, work-status, income, occupational status, and family history.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and . characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)

**Subject code: M3.1.1.1**

**Study**

*SPANI 1982*

- **Page in Report:** 717

- **Population:** Separated, followed 2 years, Pennsylvania, USA, 1977-79
- **Sample:**
  - **Non-Response:** T1: 39 %, T2: 48 %
- **N:** 210
### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/c/sq/l/11/a</td>
<td>SNR=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLS/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>SNR=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significant difference of happiness at T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>SNR=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLS/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>DM=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significant difference of happiness at T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/c/sq/l/11/a</td>
<td>DM=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>Ch²=ns</td>
<td>No difference in level of happiness at T1 and T2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and . characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)

**Subject code: M3.1.1.1**

**Study**

**VENTE 1995**

*Reported in:* Ventegodt, S.
Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN 8790190017 http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp
Page in Report: 290

*Population:* 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

*Sample:* Non-probability purposive sample

*Non-Response:* 39%

*N:* 1494

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* previous partner relation (1)

*Our classification:* . characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1.1
**Measurement:** Single question:
"How are your current relations with your previous partner?
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither good nor bad
4: good
5: very good

**Measured Values:** N: 1:53, 2:128, 3:200, 4:212, 5:72

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>$r=+.07$ ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: $M_t=7.74$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: $M_t=7.25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: $M_t=7.73$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: $M_t=7.74$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: $M_t=7.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All $M_t=7.66$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>$r=+.09$ p&lt;.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: $M_t=6.98$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: $M_t=7.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: $M_t=7.25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: $M_t=7.34$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: $M_t=7.71$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All $M_t=7.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</td>
<td>$r=+.01$ ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: $M_t=7.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: $M_t=6.81$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: $M_t=7.11$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: $M_t=6.93$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: $M_t=7.05$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All $M_t=7.00$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s)**

**Subject code:** M3.1.1.1

**Study**

**VENTE 1996**

Page in Report: 308

**Population:** 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen

**Sample:** Non-probability chunk sample
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Non-Response: 39%
N: 4500

Correlate

Authors label: relations with one's previous partner
Our classification: characteristics of earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1
Measurement: Single question:
"How are your current relations with your previous partner?"
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither/nor
4: good
5: very good

Measured Values: N: All:3022; %:1:13,8; 2:17,8; 3:35,3; 4:25,3; 5:7,7

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a   | r=+.08     | 1: Mt=7.45
                 | p<.00      | 2: Mt=7.38
                 |             | 3: Mt=7.85
                 |             | 4: Mt=7.71
                 |             | 5: Mt=8.16 |

| O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e   | r=+.05     | 1: Mt=7.21
                 | p<.01      | 2: Mt=7.06
                 |             | 3: Mt=7.51
                 |             | 4: Mt=7.34
                 |             | 5: Mt=7.60 |

| O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h    | r=+.01 ns  | 1: Mt=6.96
                 |             | 2: Mt=6.83
                 |             | 3: Mt=7.11
                 |             | 4: Mt=6.88
                 |             | 5: Mt=7.10 |

Correlational finding on Happiness and . satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s)
Subject code: M3.1.1.2

Study COSTA 1985
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Reported in: Costa, P.T.; Zonderman, A.B.; McCrae, R.R. 
Longitudinal Course of Social Support among Men in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. 
Page in Report: 142


Sample:

Non-Response: Attrition T1-T3: 82%

N: 196

Correlate

Authors label: Quality of family & marriage (2)

Our classification: . satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1.2

Measurement: Obtained by factor analysis. 
Factor consisting of 10 items. 
Items with high loading: 
"I am perfectly satisfied with the way my family treats me". 
"If you had your life to live over, would you marry the same person?" 
"How do you rate the happiness of your (last) marriage?" 
Questions administered at T1.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-A/h/sq/v/3/a</td>
<td>r=+.26</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Happiness assessed at T1

Correlational finding on Happiness and . satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s) 
Subject code: M3.1.1.2

Study

VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S. 
Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-arige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds). 
Page in Report: 308

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 39%
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Correlate

Authors label: relations with one's previous partner

Our classification: satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.1.2

Measurement: Single question:
"How are your current relations with your previous partner?"
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither/nor
4: good
5: very good

Measured Values: N: All:3022; %:1:13,8; 2:17,8; 3:35,3; 4:25,3; 5:7,7

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.08</td>
<td>Mt=7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>1: Mt=7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: Mt=7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=7.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=8.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>r=+.05</td>
<td>Mt=7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>1: Mt=7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: Mt=7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</td>
<td>r=+.01 ns</td>
<td>Mt=6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1: Mt=6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2: Mt=7.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and change in satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.1.2.2

Study BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report:
**Population:** 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%

**N:** 2787

### Correlate

**Authors label:** Change in marital happiness (1)

**Our classification:** Change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2

**Measurement:** Single direct question: 'Taking all things together, how would you describe your marriage?'
- very happy (3)
- pretty happy (2)
- not too happy (1)

**Assessed at:**
- T1: January 1963
- T2: Oktober 1963

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mg/v2/a</td>
<td>DMr=+</td>
<td>CHANGE in marriage satisfaction goes with CHANGE in positive and negative affect between T1-T3 (Relations with change in summed ABS not computed. More strongly related to negative affect than to positive affect. Stronger among males than females. Change in happiness expressed in change (•) in average ridits (RT). Ridit analysis compares distribution in category with distribution in total sample. •RT above .50 means relative increase in happiness. •RT below .50 relative decrease.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and . change in satisfaction with marriage

**Subject code:** M3.1.2.2

**Study**

BRADB 1969

**Reported in:** Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA

Page in Report:
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:

Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%

N: 2787

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage happiness (1)

Our classification: change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2

Measurement: Single direct question: 'Taking all things together, how would you describe your marriage?'
- very happy (3)
- pretty happy (2)
- not too happy (1)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G=+.22</td>
<td>males G=+.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.25</td>
<td>females G=+.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and change in satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.1.2.2

Study

BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA

Page in Report:

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:

Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%

N: 2787

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage happiness (2)

Our classification: change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Measurement:

Single direct question: 'Taking all things together, how would you describe your marriage?'
- very happy (3)
- pretty happy (2)
- not too happy (1)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>DMr=+</td>
<td>CHANGE in marital status is paralleled by CHANGE in positive and negative affect between T1-T3. Relation with change in summed ABS not computed. More strongly related to negative affect. Stronger among females than males.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and change in satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.1.2.2

Study HEADE 1982/2


Population: Adults, general public, Melbourne, Australia, followed 25 months 1978-81

Sample: Probability sample (unspecified)

Non-Response: 0

N: 184

Correlate

Authors label: Change in satisfaction with marriage

Our classification: . change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2

Measurement: Index of 3 single questions on satisfaction with:
  a: Your wife/husband
  b: Your marriage
  c: Your sex life
All scored on a 1-9 D-T rating scale, summation by average.

Remarks: Both Happiness (ABS) and satisfaction with marriage were assessed at T1 and T2 (25 month interval)
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.25</td>
<td>T1-T2 CHANGE in happiness by T1-T2 CHANGE in satisfaction with marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and . change in satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.1.2.2

Study

HEADE 1984

Reported in:
 Headey, B., Holmström, E.; Wearing, A.
The Impact of Life Events and Changes in Domain Satisfactions on Well-Being.
Social Indicators Research, 1984, Vol. 15, 203 - 227. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00668671
Page in Report: 211

Population:
18-65 aged, general public, followed 3 years, Melbourne, Australia, 1979-80

Sample:

Non-Response:
N: 184

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage (1)

Our classification: . change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2

Measurement: Closed question rated on a 9- point scale (delighted ... terrible) Assessed at T2.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.25</td>
<td>Happiness T2 by satisfaction T2, controlling for happiness T1 and satisfaction T1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td>β can be interpreted as regression between CHANGE OF HAPPINESS and CHANGE OF SATISFACTION in time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study

**HEADE 1989**


*Page in Report:* 114

**Population:** 18-65 aged, general public, followed 6 years, Melbourne, Australia, 1981-87

**Sample:**

*Non-Response:* Attrition T1-T4: 31%

*N:* 649

### Correlate

**Authors label:** Satisfaction with marriage (1)

**Our classification:** . change in satisfaction with marriage, code M3.1.2.2

**Measurement:** Index of two identical questions asked at the beginning and the end of the interview (20 minute interval) Rated on a 9-point scale ranging from terrible (1) to delightfull (9). Assessed at T1 (1981), T2 (1983), T3 (1985) and T4 (1987).

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v10/a</td>
<td>( \beta_L = +.18 ) ( p&lt;.05 )</td>
<td>Estimated same-time causal EFFECT ON HAPPINESS of marital satisfaction (bottom-up).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v10/a</td>
<td>( \beta_L = +.12 ) ( p&lt;.05 )</td>
<td>Estimated same-time causal EFFECT OF HAPPINESS on marital satisfaction (top-down). Effects assessed at T1, T2, T3 and T4. Effects assumed to be identical at all times. ( \beta_L ) is the path-coefficient in a four wave LISREL model. T1 sex, age, SES, extraversion and neuroticism are controlled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and History of current marriage

**Subject code:** M3.1.3

**Study:** VENTE 1996
**Reported in:** Ventegodt, S.  
Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-arige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).  
http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp  
Page in Report: 312

**Population:** 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen

**Sample:** Non-probability chunk sample

**Non-Response:** 39%

**N:** 4500

---

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** length of relationship

**Our classification:** History of current marriage, code M3.1.3

**Measurement:** Single question:  
"How long have you been with your present partner?" (in years)  
1: 0-1  
2: 1-2  
3: 2-3  
4: 3-5  
5: 5-10  
6: 10-25

**Measured Values:**  
N: All:3858; %: 1:8,9; 2:5,6; 3:6,2; 4:11,9; 5:30,5; 6:36,8

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.04</td>
<td>1: Mt=7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.02</td>
<td>2: Mt=7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6: Mt=8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>r=+.05</td>
<td>1: Mt=7.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2: Mt=7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6: Mt=7.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship

Subject code: M3.1.3.1

Study

VEROF 1962

Reported in: Veroff, J., Feld, S.; Gurin, G.
Dimensions of Subjective Adjustment
Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1962, Vol. 64, 192 - 205
Page in Report: 196

Population: 21+ aged, married, with children, USA, 1957

Sample:
Non-Response: -
N: 797

Correlate

Authors label: Problems in marriage(in the past) (1)

Our classification: earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship, code M3.1.3.1

Measurement: Open-ended question on problem getting along with each other: never had problems vs mentions problems.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HL/u/sq/v3/a     | tb = - p < 01 | Females: _b = -.24 (01)
|                   |            | Males: _b = -.14 (01) |

Correlational finding on Happiness and earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship

Subject code: M3.1.3.1

Study

WHITE 1979

Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Reported in: White, L.K.
Sex Differentials in the Effect of Remarriage on Global Happiness.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1979, Vol. 41, 869-876. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 874

Population: Adult, general public, married and divorced, Nebraska, USA, 1977

Sample:

Non-Response:
N: 1085

Correlate

Authors label: Treatened separation (1)

Our classification: Earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship, code M3.1.3.1

Measurement:
Single closed question: "A lot of people have quarrels with their (husband/wife) and get so angry that they ask them to leave home or they threaten to leave home themselves. Has this ever happened in your marriage?"
0 No
1 Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: first marriage men</td>
<td>r = -.08 (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: first marriage women</td>
<td>r = -.21 (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: remarriage men</td>
<td>r = -.10 (ns)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: remarriage women</td>
<td>r = -.33 (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship

Subject code: M3.1.3.2

Study

HAWKI 2005

Reported in: Hawkins, D.N.; Booth, A.
Unhappily Ever After: Effects of Long-Term, Low-Quality Marriages on Well-Being.
Social Forces, 2005, Vol. 84, 452 - 471. ISSN p 0037 7732; ISSN e 1534 7605
Page in Report: 458

Population: Couples, USA, followed 12 years, 1980-1992

Sample: Probability simple random sample
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Non-Response: 35%
N: 1150

Correlate

Authors label: Unhappily married
Our classification: earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship, code M3.1.3.2
Measurement: An 11-item, Likert-type scale was used to assess level of marital happiness. Seven items ask about happiness with specific aspects of marriage such as understanding, agreement and faithfulness. Four items are concerned with the global satisfaction of the marriage, such as overall happiness and whether the marriage is getting better or worse.

Ss were classified as "Unhappily married" when Ss were married continuously and score below the mean marital happiness in all four times.

1 unhappily married
0 other

Measured Values: M=.14, SD=.35, Range 0-1
Error Estimates: Alpha=.87

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=-.25</td>
<td>T1 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=-.21</td>
<td>T4 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and . earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship
Subject code: M3.1.3.2

Study

HOOP E 1989

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
Page in Report: 132
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 1987

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage/family

Our classification: Earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship, code M3.1.3.2

Measurement: Self report on:
- satisfaction with your marriage
- satisfaction with your own family life - your wife/husband and children if any

Assessed on a 1-7 scale: 1 delighted... 7 terrible

Measured Values: N=95 M=2.17 SD=1.20

Error Estimates: alpha = .91

Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.39</td>
<td>T1 Life satisfaction by T1 satisfaction with marriage/family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.48</td>
<td>T3 Life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with marriage/family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.39</td>
<td>T1 Life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with marriage/family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.33</td>
<td>T1 satisfaction with marriage/family by T3 Life satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Length of current marriage

Subject code: M3.1.3.3
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Study

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Page in Report: 132,134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?
Sample: Non-probability purposive sample
Non-Response: 23
N: 129

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage/family during vacation
Our classification: Length of current marriage, code M3.1.3.3
Measurement: Self report on:
- how one's children behaved

Assessed on a 1-7 scale: 1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=10 M=2,00 SD=1,05
Error Estimates: alpha = .67
Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.29</td>
<td>T1 Life satisfaction (Before vacation) by T2 satisfaction with marriage/family (during vacation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.46</td>
<td>T2 Satisfaction with marriage/family (during vacation) by T3 Life satisfaction (after vacation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Length of current marriage
Subject code: M3.1.3.3
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Study: LEE 1978

Reported in: Lee, G.R.
Marriage and Morale in Later Life.
Page in Report: 134

Population: 60+ aged marrieds, Washington State USA, 1975
Sample: Probability systematic sample
Non-Response: 62%
N: 439

Correlate

Authors label: length of marriage
Our classification: Length of current marriage, code M3.1.3.3
Measurement: length in years

Measured Values: mean: males 37.3 females 37.6 sd: males 10.6 females 12.8

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a</td>
<td>r=.06 ns</td>
<td>males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a</td>
<td>Beta=.11</td>
<td>males beta controlled for age, satisfaction with marriage, education, retired or not, self perceived health, satisfaction with standard of living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a</td>
<td>r=.00 ns</td>
<td>females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a</td>
<td>Beta=-.06</td>
<td>females beta controlled for age, education, self perceived health, satisfaction with standard of living, marital satisfaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Length of current marriage

Subject code: M3.1.3.3

Study

Reported in: VanSliujs, J. 
Effecten van Levenslooptransities op de Tevredenheid van Jong Volwassenen. (Effects of Lifecourse Transition on Satisfaction of Adolescents).
Master thesis, University of Tilburg, 2004, Netherlands
Page in Report: 40,62


Sample: Probability stratified sample

Non-Response: 36,6% (baseline)

N: 836

Correlate

Authors label: Years since Living together/marriage first partner

Our classification: Length of current marriage, code M3.1.3.3

Measurement: Years since first marriage

Remarks: Marital status assessed at:
  T1: 1987 when aged 18 to 26
  T2: 1989 when aged 20 to 28
  T3: 1991 when aged 22 to 30
  T4: 1995 when aged 26 to 34
  T5: 1999 when aged 30 to 34

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/cy/mq/v7/a</td>
<td>$B = .01$</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B controlled for personal characteristics:
  - age
  - age$^2$
  - gender
  - education
  - cohort 1965 vs cohort 1961
  - cohort 1969 vs cohort 1961
  - mental health
  - neuroticism
  - lifecourse transitions:
    - first job
    - no job
    - left parental home
    - living together/marriage first partner
    - divorce from first partner
    - living together/marriage second partner
    - having first child
    and years since transition
    - no job
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP
- leaving parental home
- divorce from first partner
- living together/first marriage second partner
- having first child

Unaffected by squaring transition variables

Correlational finding on Happiness and Length of current marriage
Subject code: M3.1.3.3

Study VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN 8790190017 http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp
Page in Report: 294

Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993
Sample: Non-probability purposive sample
Non-Response: 39%
N: 1494

Correlate

Authors label: length of relationship (1)
Our classification: Length of current marriage, code M3.1.3.3
Measurement: Single question:
"How long have you been with your partner?"
1: 0-1 years
2: 1-2
3: 2-3
4: 3-5
5: 5-10
6: 10-25
7: > 25

Measured Values: N: All: 3858; %: 1:9,1, 2:5,9, 3:5,3, 4:9,1, 5:13,6, 6:28,0, 7:29,0

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure

Statistics Elaboration/Remarks
### Correlational finding on Happiness and Age of marriage with current partner

**Subject code: M3.1.3.4**

#### Study

*Study*

ROSE 1955

*Reported in:*

Rose, A.M.
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons.
Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19
Page in Report: 16

*Population:*

Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

*Sample:

Non-Response: 50 %

*N: 416

#### Correlate

*Authors label:*

Age of marriage (2)

*Our classification:*

Age of marriage with current partner, code M3.1.3.4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.04 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1: Mt=8.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2: Mt=7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6: Mt=8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7: Mt=7.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Mt=7.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e | r=+.01 ns |
| | 1: Mt=7.81 |
| | 2: Mt=7.58 |
| | 3: Mt=7.54 |
| | 4: Mt=7.26 |
| | 5: Mt=7.60 |
| | 6: Mt=7.71 |
| | 7: Mt=7.70 |
| | All Mt=7.64 |

| O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h | r=-.10 p<00 |
| | 1: Mt=7.64 |
| | 2: Mt=7.73 |
| | 3: Mt=7.38 |
| | 4: Mt=7.00 |
| | 5: Mt=7.08 |
| | 6: Mt=7.23 |
| | 7: Mt=6.98 |
| | All Mt=7.19 |
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**Measurement**: 0: Under the age of 20  
1: Later

## Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLu/g/sq/v5/a    | D%= + p< .05 | 20% of the relatively dissatisfied women and 6% of the satisfied women married under the age of 20 (sign.)  
No relationship among men |

## Correlational finding on Happiness and Age of marriage with current partner

**Subject code: M3.1.3.4**

**Study**: ROSE 1955

| Reported in: | Rose, A.M.  
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons.  
Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19  
Page in Report: 16 |
| Population: | Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952 |
| Sample: | Non-Response: 50%  
N: 416 |

**Correlate**:  
Authors label: Age of marriage (1)  
*Our classification*: Age of marriage with current partner, code M3.1.3.4  
Measurement: 0: Under the age of 30  
1: Later

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLu/g/sq/v5/a    | D%= - p< .05 | 12% of the dissatisfied and 7% of the satisfied women married at 30 years of age or later (ns)  
No relationship among men |
Correlational finding on Happiness and satisfaction with later marriage-relationship(s)  
Subject code: M3.1.4.2

### Study

*Reported in:* Hawkins, D.N.; Booth, A.  
Unhappily Ever After: Effects of Long-Term, Low-Quality Marriages on Well-Being.  
Social Forces, 2005, Vol. 84, 452 - 471. ISSN p 0037 7732; ISSN e 1534 7605  
Page in Report: 458

*Population:* Couples, USA, followed 12 years, 1980-1992

*Sample:* Probability simple random sample

*Non-Response:* 35%

*N:* 1150

### Correlate

*Authors label:* Unhappily married

*Our classification:* . satisfaction with later marriage-relationship(s), code M3.1.4.2

*Measurement:* An 11-item, Likert-type scale was used to assess level of marital happiness. Seven items ask about happiness with specific aspects of marriage such as understanding, agreement and faithfulness. Four items are concerned with the global satisfaction of the marriage, such as overall happiness and whether the marriage is getting better or worse.

Ss were classified as "Unhappily married" when Ss were married continuously and score below the mean marital happiness in all four times.

1 unhappily married  
0 other

*Measured Values:* M=.14, SD=.35, Range 0-1

*Error Estimates:* Alpha=.87


### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=.25</td>
<td>T1 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=.21</td>
<td>T4 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Later satisfaction with current marriage
Subject code: M3.1.4.3

Study

HOOPE 1989

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Page in Report: 132

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 1989
Sample: Non-probability purposive sample
Non-Response: 23
N: 129

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage/family
Our classification: Later satisfaction with current marriage, code M3.1.4.3
Measurement:
- Self report on:
  - satisfaction with your marriage
  - satisfaction with your own family life - your
    wife/husband and children if any

Assessed on a 1-7 scale: 1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=95 M=2,17 SD=1,20
Error Estimates: alpha = .91
Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v7/a</td>
<td>r=+.39</td>
<td>T1 Life satisfaction by T1 satisfaction with marriage/family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v7/a</td>
<td>r=+.48</td>
<td>T3 Life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with marriage/family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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\[ r = +.39 \]
\[ p < .05 \]

T1 Life satisfaction by T3 satisfaction with marriage/family

\[ r = +.33 \]
\[ p < .05 \]

T1 satisfaction with marriage/family by T3 Life satisfaction

Correlational finding on Happiness and \( . \) Later satisfaction with current marriage
Subject code: M3.1.4.3

Study

HOOPE 1989

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Page in Report: 132,134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage/family during vacation

Our classification: \( . \) Later satisfaction with current marriage, code M3.1.4.3

Measurement: Self report on:
- how one's children behaved

Assessed on a 1-7 scale: 1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=10 M=2,00 SD= 1,05

Error Estimates: alpha = .67

Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a  r=+.29  p<.05  T1 Life satisfaction (Before vacation) by T2 satisfaction with marriage/family (during vacation)

O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a  r=+.46  p<.05  T2 Satisfaction with marriage/family (during vacation) by T3 Life satisfaction (after vacation)

Correlational finding on Happiness and Heterogeneity of marriage-partners
Subject code: M3.2.1

Study  BRIM 1974


Population: Females Feminists and controls. Seattle, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 153

Correlate

Authors label: Value similarity with husband. (1)

Our classification: Heterogeneity of marriage-partners, code M3.2.1

Measurement: 3-item index of closed questions: 1. Generally share the same philosophy of life with him. 2. His ideals most nearly approach my ideals of 'the right way'. 3. Have a great many interests in common with him.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure  Statistics  Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/c/sq/n/9/a  r=+.42  p<.002  Computed for marrieds only.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Heterogeneity of marriage-partners
Subject code: M3.2.1

Study  ROSE 1955
Reported in: Rose, A.M.  
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons. 
Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19 
Page in Report: 16

Population: Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

Sample:

Non-Response: 50 %

N: 416

---

Correlate

Authors label: Being younger than spouse (1)

Our classification: Heterogeneity of marriage-partners, code M3.2.1

Measurement: 0: Same age or older  
1: Younger

---

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>D%= +p&lt; .5</td>
<td>35 % of the relatively dissatisfied, 27 % of the satisfied and 17 % of the very satisfied were the same age as their husband or older (sign.) No relationship among men</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Correlational finding on Happiness and Heterogeneity of marriage-partners

Subject code: M3.2.1

---

Study

STUTZ 2006

Reported in: Stutzer, A.; Frey, B.S.  
Does Marriage Make People Happy, or Do Happy People Get Married?  
Page in Report: 30

Population: Adult members of private households, Germany,1984-2000

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response:

N: 15268
**Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP**

**Authors label:** difference with spouce (1)

**Our classification:** Heterogeneity of marriage-partners, code M3.2.1

**Measurement:** Difference in wage rate with spouce

1 small
2 large

**Remarks:** Married Ss only

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLW/csqn/11/d   | $\Delta M_a$ | Ss who's wage differs largely from spouce's are somewhat less happy in the years before marriage, but not in the years after. Ma controled for:  
- education  
- parenthood  
- household income  
- household size  
- position in household  
- employment  
- place of residence  
- citizenship status (migrant or not) |

Set Image size: ⬆️ ⬇️
Correlational finding on Happiness and Heterogeneity of marriage-partners
Subject code: M3.2.1

Study

STUTZ 2006

Reported in:
Stutzer, A.; Frey, B.S.
Does Marriage Make People Happy, or Do Happy People Get Married?
University of Zürich, Switzerland. ISSN 1424 0459)
Page in Report: 33
**Population:** Adult members of private households, Germany, 1984-2000  
**Sample:** Non-probability purposive sample  
**Non-Response:**  
\[ N: 15268 \]

### Correlate

**Authors label:** difference with spouce (2)  
**Our classification:** Heterogeneity of marriage-partners, code M3.2.1  
**Measurement:** Difference in education with spouce  
   1: small  
   2: large  

**Remarks:** Steadily married Ss only.

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/d | DMa=0      | Ss with small difference are slightly less happy before marriage and slightly more happy after Ma controled for:  
- education  
- parenthood  
- household income  
- household size  
- position in household  
- employment  
- place of residence  
- citizenship status (migrant or not)  

Set Image size: [ ] [ ]
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Correlational finding on Happiness and Intimacy with spouse
Subject code: M3.2.2

Study OR MEL 1980

Reported in: Ormel, J.
Moite met Leven of een Moeilijk Leven. (Difficulties with Living or a Difficult Life).
Konstapel, 1980, Groningen, Netherlands
Page in Report: 350,257

Population: 15-60 aged, general public, followed 12 month, The Netherlands, 1967-77

Figure 7: DIFFERENCES IN THE LEVEL OF EDUCATION BETWEEN SPOUSES AND ITS EFFECT ON LIFE SATISFACTION AROUND MARRIAGE

Note: The graph represents the pattern of well-being after taking respondents’ sex, age, education level, parenthood, household income, household size, relation to the head of the household, labor market status, place of residence and citizenship into account.

Data source: GSOEP.
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Sample:
Non-Response: 18%
N: 296

Correlate

Authors label: Intimacy between partners (1)
Our classification: Intimacy with spouse, code M3.2.2
Measurement: 3-item additive index each rated on a 3 point scale.
Positive relation =3.
1. Is there intimacy in the relation? 2. Are there any important, concrete problems in the relationship?
3. Is there in the Ss's judgment a satisfactory sexual relation?
Assessed at T2 (1976)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mg/v/4/c</td>
<td>r=+.39</td>
<td>T2 happiness by T2 intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mg/v/4/c</td>
<td>r=+.22</td>
<td>T3 happiness by T2 intimacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mg/v/4/c</td>
<td>ßL=+.41</td>
<td>T2 happiness by T2 intimacy. ßL path coefficient in a LISREL model, also involving neuroticism, self-esteem, internal control, adequacy of coping and self- and externally induced burdens.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Intimacy with spouse
Subject code: M3.2.2

Study WHITE 1979

Reported in: White, L.K.
Sex Differentials in the Effect of Remarriage on Global Happiness.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1979, Vol. 41, 869-876. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 874

Population: Adult, general public, married and divorced, Nebraska, USA, 1977

Sample:
Non-Response:

N: 1085

Correlate

Authors label: Communication with spouse (1)

Our classification: Intimacy with spouse, code M3.2.2

Measurement: Single closed question: "How do you feel about the way you can confide in your (husband/wife) and discuss anything that comes up? Do you feel that communication is very good, okay, or not so good?"

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r=</td>
<td>1: first marriage men  r = +.22  (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2: first marriage women  r = +.37  (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3: remarriage men  r = +.47  (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4: remarriage women  r = +.36  (05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)

Subject code: M3.2.3.1

Study

MOLLE 1985

Reported in: Moller, V.; Welch, G.J.
Polygamy Well-Being of Zulu Migrants.
Report University of Natal, Centre for Applied Social Sciences, 1985, Durban, South Africa Page in Report: 33

Population: Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural Kwazulu, South Africa, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 253

Correlate

Authors label: Polygamy (1)

Our classification: Polygamous marriage (vs monogamous), code M3.2.3.1

Measurement: 1 polygamist (N = 32)
0 other (N = 221)
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Remarks:
Very and fairly happy:
1 81%
0 37%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>G = +.76</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tau = +.30</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)
Subject code: M3.2.3.1

Study
MOLLE 1985

Reported in: Moller, V.; Welch, G.J.
Polygamy Well-Being of Zulu Migrants.
Report University of Natal, Centre for Applied Social Sciences, 1985, Durban, South Africa
Page in Report: 33

Population: Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural Kwazulu, South Africa, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response:
N: 253

Correlate

Authors label: Polygamy (8)

Our classification: polygamous marriage (vs monogamous), code M3.2.3.1

Measurement:
1 polygamist (N = 32)
0 other (N = 221)

Remarks: Very and fairly happy:
1 81%
0 37%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)  
Subject code: M3.2.3.1

Study  
MOLLE 1985

Reported in: Moller, V.; Welch, G.J.  
Polygamy Well-Being of Zulu Migrants.  
Report University of Natal, Centre for Applied Social Sciences, 1985, Durban, South Africa  
Page in Report: 33

Population: Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural Kwazulu, South Africa, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 253

Correlate

Authors label: Polygamy (6)

Our classification: polygamous marriage (vs monogamous), code M3.2.3.1

Measurement:

1 polygamist (N = 32)
0 other (N = 221)

Remarks: Very and fairly satisfied with life:
1 53%
0 34%

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</td>
<td>G=+.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</td>
<td>tau=+.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)  
Subject code: M3.2.3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>MOLLE 1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported in</td>
<td>Moller, V.; Welch, G.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polygamy Well-Being of Zulu Migrants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Report University of Natal, Centre for Applied Social Sciences, 1985, Durban, South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural Kwazulu, South Africa, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>Non-Response:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N: 253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlate

**Authors label**: Polygamy (5)

**Our classification**: polygamous marriage (vs monogamous), code M3.2.3.1

**Measurement**: 1 polygamist (N = 32)

0 other (N = 221)

**Remarks**: Very and fairly satisfied with life:

1 53%

0 34%

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</td>
<td>G = +.38</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</td>
<td>tau = +.34</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and polygamous marriage (vs monogamous)**

**Subject code**: M3.2.3.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>MOLLE 1988</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported in</td>
<td>Moller, V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of Life in Retirement: A Case Study of Zulu Return Migrants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Indicators Research, 1988, Vol. 20, 621 - 658. ISSN 0303 8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Page in Report: 631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Ex-migrant workers, returned to rural KwaZulu, South Africa, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>Non-Response:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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N: 253

Correlate

Authors label: Polygamist (1)

Our classification: polygamous marriage (vs monogamous), code M3.2.3.1

Measurement: 0: married to one wife (70%)  
1: married to 2 or more wives (13%)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/d</td>
<td>r=+.13</td>
<td>Direction of correlation unclear in original report. Sign in table is negative, but text indicates positive relationship. Present version approved by author.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and conjugal fidelity

Subject code: M3.2.3.2

Study

SCHUL 1985B


Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample: ?

N: 1776

Correlate

Authors label: Marital loyalty (1)

Our classification: conjugal fidelity, code M3.2.3.2

Measurement: Four item index of direct questions on marital loyalty. Answer categories yes/no.
### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</td>
<td>Beta=-.06</td>
<td>$p &lt; .05$ controlled for: education, professional status, income, communication with family, and satisfaction with partner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and Mutual support

**Subject code: M3.2.4**

**Study**

Reported in: Lance C.E., Lautenschlager J., Sloan C.E., Varca P.E.

A Comparison Between Bottom-Up, Top-Down, and Bidirectional Models of Relationships Between Global and Life Facet Satisfaction.

Journal of Personality, 1989, Vol. 57, 601 - 624. ISSN 0022 3506

Page in Report: 607, 610

**Population:** Married academics, university of Georgia, USA, 1984

**Sample:** Non-probability accidental sample

**Non-Response:** 62%

**N:** 134

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** Support of spouse

**Our classification:** Mutual support, code M3.2.4

**Measurement:** Selfreport on single question:

As a source of personal and emotional support, how would you rate your spouse (if applicable)?

Rated 1=poor 9=excellent

**Measured Values:** Mean 7.76 SD 2.03

**Error Estimates:** three-week retest $r=+.88$

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a</td>
<td>$r=+.44$</td>
<td>$p&lt;.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities
Subject code: M3.2.5

Study

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report: 166

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:
Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%
N: 2787

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage companionship (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
Measurement: 5-item index of questions on shared activities in the past few weeks. Each rated yes/no.
1. spend one evening just chatting with each other.
2. had a good laugh together.
3. been affectionate to each other.
4. taken a drive or walk just for pleasure.
5. did something that the other particularly appreciated.

Assessed at:
T1: Januari 1963
T2: June 1963
T3: Oktober 1963

Remarks: Data T3.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mg/v2/a</td>
<td>G = .17</td>
<td>Males.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa</td>
<td>G = .20</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a  G=+.29  Females.
- Strongly related to positive affect. Hardly to negative affect.
- Unaffected by SES as such.
  Stronger relation among women than men in high SES.

O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa  G=+.28  Females

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a  DMr=+  CHANGE in companionship is modestly related to CHANGE in positive affect between T2 and T3 (Relation to change in summed ABS not computed).

Change in affect expressed in change (●) in average ridits (RT). Ridit analysis compares distribution in category with distribution in total sample. •RT above .50 means relative increase, RT below .50 relative decrease in happiness.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities
Subject code: M3.2.5

Study  BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report: 166

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:
Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%
N: 2787

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage sociability (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
**Measurement:** 4-item index of questions on shared activities in the past few weeks: Each rated yes/no.
1. visited friends together
2. gone out together
3. entertained friends in your home
4. eat out in a restaurant together

**Remarks:** Data T3.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = +.12</td>
<td>Males.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G = +.14</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = +.16</td>
<td>Females.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Slightly related to positive affect. Not to negative affect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Largely unaffected by SES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Most pronounced among high SES women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G = +.18</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>DM = ns</td>
<td>CHANGE in sociability is not paralelled by CHANGE in positive affect between T2 and T3. (Relation to change in summed ABS not computed).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities**

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

**Study** MARIN 1976

**Reported in:** Marini, M.M.
Dimension of Marriage Happiness: A Research Note.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1976, August, 443 - 448. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 446

**Population:** Married persons, metropolitan areas, USA, 1963

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:**
Correlate

Authors label: Marital satisfactions (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
Measurement: Number of pleasurable activities in which married couples participate jointly, assessed by means of a list of 12 activities. Respondents were asked which ones they had done together in the past few weeks

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G = +.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities
Subject code: M3.2.5

Study

MARIN 1976
Population: Married persons, metropolitan areas, USA, 1963
Sample:
Non-Response:
N: 3934

Correlate

Authors label: Marital companionship (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
Measurement: Amount of time spent with spouse, measured by a single direct question

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities
Subject code: M3.2.5

Study
PALIS 1984A

Reported in: Palisi, B.J.
Marriage Companionship and Marriage Well-Being: A Comparison of Metropolitan areas in Three Countries.
Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 1984, Vol. 9, 43 - 57
Page in Report: 54


Sample:
Non-Response: 67%
N: 752

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage companionship (1)

Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5

Measurement: 8 Item index of closed questions on amount of time spent on activities.
Joint activities:
- Share a good laugh or joke with your wife
- Share affection with your wife
- Spend an evening just chatting with your wife
- Do something your wife particulary appreciate.
Parallel activities:
- Visit friends with your wife or entertain friends in your home
- Go out with your wife to the cinema the pub, meetings, sporting events, other entertainment
- Eat in a restaurant with your wife
- Take a drive or walk with your wife for pleasure.
Rated on a 5-point scale ranging from (1) daily to (5) a few times a year or less.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G = +.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities

Subject code: M3.2.5

Study

PALIS 1984A

Reported in: Palisi, B.J.
Marriage Companionship and Marriage Well-Being: A Comparison of Metropolitan areas in Three Countries.
Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 1984, Vol. 9, 43 - 57
Page in Report: 49


Sample:
Non-Response: 67%
N: 752

Correlate

Authors label: Joint activities with spouse (2)

Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5

Measurement:
4 item index of closed questions on amount of time spent on joint activities.
- Share a good laugh or joke with your wife
- Share affection with your wife
- Spend an evening just chatting with your wife
- Do something your wife particularly appreciate.
Rated on a 5-point scale ranging from (1) daily to (5) a few times a year or less.
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>$r=+.24$</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p&lt;.001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>$r=+.28$</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p&lt;.001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>$r=+.34$</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$p&lt;.001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

**Study**

*PALIS 1984A*

*Reported in:* Palisi, B.J.  
Marriage Companionship and Marriage Well-Being: A Comparison of Metropolitan areas in Three Countries.  
Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 1984, Vol. 9, 43 - 57  
Page in Report: 49


*Sample:*

Non-Response: 67%

$N$: 752

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Joint activities with spouse (1)

*Our classification:* Shared activities, code M3.2.5

*Measurement:* 4 item index of closed questions on amount of time spent on joint activities.  
- Share a good laugh or joke with your wife  
- Share affection with your wife  
- Spend an evening just chatting with your wife  
- Do something your wife particularly appreciate.  
Rated on a 5-point scale ranging from (1) daily to (5) a few times a year or less.
### Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

**Study**

P DALIS 1984A

- **Reported in:** Palisi, B.J.
  Marriage Companionship and Marriage Well-Being: A Comparison of Metropolitan areas in Three Countries.
  Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 1984, Vol. 9, 43 - 57

- **Population:** Males, metropolitan areas: London, Los Angeles and Sydney, 1977-1980

- **Non-Response:** 67%

- **N:** 752

### Correlate

- **Authors label:** Parallel activities with spouse (2)
- **Our classification:** Shared activities, code M3.2.5
- **Measurement:** 4 Item index on amount of time spent in parallel activities
  - Visit friends with your wife or entertain friends at home
  - Go out with your wife to the cinema, the pub, meetings or other entertainment
  - Eat in a restaurant with your wife
  - Take a drive or a walk with your wife for pleasure.
  Rated on a 5-point scale ranging from (1) daily to (5) a few times a year or less.

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>r=+.24</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>r=+.28</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>r=+.34</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a  r=+.05 ns  London

M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a  r=+.24  p<.001  Los Angeles

M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a  r=+.14  p<.04  Sydney

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities
Subject code: M3.2.5

Study  PALIS 1984A

Reported in: Palisi, B.J.
Marriage Companionship and Marriage Well-Being: A Comparison of Metropolitan areas in Three Countries.
Journal of Comparative Family Studies, 1984, Vol. 9, 43 - 57


Sample:
Non-Response: 67%
N: 752

Correlate
Authors label: Parallel activities with spouse (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
Measurement: 4 Item index on amount of time spent in parallel activities
- Visit friends with your wife or entertain friends at home
- Go out with your wife to the cinema, the pub, meetings or other entertainment
- Eat in a restaurant with your wife
- Take a drive or a walk with your wife for pleasure.
Rated on a 5-point scale ranging from (1) daily to (5) a few times a year or less.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>r=+.05 ns</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a  \( r = +.24 \)  \( p < .001 \)  Los Angeles
M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a  \( r = +.14 \)  \( p < .04 \)  Sydney

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities**

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

**Study**

PALIS 1985B

*Reported in:* Palisi, B.J.
Interaction with Kin, Social-Status and Well-Being.
International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 1985, Vol. 24, 14 - 34
Page in Report: 25


*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 67 %
*N:* 752

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Joint companionship with spouse (1)

*Our classification:* Shared activities, code M3.2.5

*Measurement:* Summed scores of four closed questions on how frequently respondent and spouse have engaged in intimate joined activities, rated from 'daily' to 'never'.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>( r = +.24 )  ( p &lt; .001 )</td>
<td>London: rpc = +.30  (001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>( r = +.34 )  ( p &lt; .001 )</td>
<td>London: rpc = +.37  (001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>( r = +.28 )  ( p &lt; .001 )</td>
<td>Los Angeles: rpc = +.24  (001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>( r = +.26 )  ( p &lt; .001 )</td>
<td>Los Angeles: rpc = +.32  (001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Reported in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palisi, B.J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Interaction with Kin, Social-Status and Well-Being.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>International Journal of Comparative Sociology, 1985, Vol. 24, 14 - 34</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Page in Report: 25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population:** Males, metropolitan areas: London, Los Angeles and Sydney, 1977-80

**Sample:**
- Non-Response: 67%
- N: 752

### Correlational Finding on Happiness and Shared Activities

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>r = +.31</td>
<td>Sydney: rpc = +.30 (001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v4/a</td>
<td>r = +.34</td>
<td>Sydney: rpc = +.39 (001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v4/a</td>
<td>r = +.24</td>
<td>Los Angeles: rpc = +.26 (001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>r = +.19</td>
<td>London: rpc = +.21 (01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-FH/cm/sq/v4/a</td>
<td>r = +.24</td>
<td>Los Angeles: rpc = +.26 (001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities

**Subject code: M3.2.5**

#### Study

*Reported in:* Rose, A.M.  
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons.  
Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19  
Page in Report: 17

*Population:* Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 50 %  
*N:* 416

#### Correlate

*Authors label:* Frequency of going out evenings with spouse (3)  
*Our classification:* Shared activities, code M3.2.5

*Measurement:*  
0: 2 or less evenings a month  
1: more

#### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>D% = +p&lt;.05</td>
<td>33 % of the very satisfied and satisfied, and 40% of the relatively dissatisfied women go out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their husband. Among the men 29 % of the very satisfied, 37 % of the satisfied and 39 % of the relatively dissatisfied report going out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their wives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Subject code: M3.2.5

Study ROSE 1955

Reported in: Rose, A.M.
Factors Associated with the Life Satisfaction of Middle-Class, Middle-Aged Persons.
Marriage and Family Living, 1955, Vol 17, 15 - 19
Page in Report: 17

Population: Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

Sample:
Non-Response: 50 %
N: 416

Correlate

Authors label: Frequency of going out evenings with spouse (1)

Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5

Measurement: 0: 2 or less evenings a month
1: more

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/g/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>D%=+ p&lt;.05</td>
<td>33 % of the very satisfied and satisfied, and 40% of the relatively dissatisfied women go out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their husband. Among the men 29 % of the very satisfied, 37 % of the satisfied and 39 % of the relatively dissatisfied report going out 2 or fewer evenings a month with their wives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Shared activities

Subject code: M3.2.5

Study SCHUL 1985B

Reported in: Schulz, W.; Köl瓴inger, R.; Norden, G.; Tüchler, H.
Lebensqualität in Osterreich. (Quality-of-Life in Austria).
Research paper nr 10/1, Institut für Soziologie, University of Vienna, 1985, Austria
Page in Report: 70,T29

Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample:
Non-Response: ?

N: 1776

Correlate

Authors label: Frequency of contact with partner (1)
Our classification: Shared activities, code M3.2.5
Measurement: Single direct question on frequency of contact with partner. Rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'seldom' to 'living together with partner'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-AO/c/mq/*0/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.14</td>
<td>β controlled for: professional status, intensity of sex life, and satisfaction with partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Tensions in relationship

Subject code: M3.2.6

Study

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.; Caplovitz D.
Page in Report: 37

Population: Adult, general public, 4 towns, varying in economic prosperity, Illinois, USA, 1962

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2006

Correlate

Authors label: Marital tension (2)
Our classification: Tensions in relationship, code M3.2.6
Measurement: 13-item index of closed questions on marital problems concerning time spent with friends, how the house looks, household expenses, being tired, being away from home too much, disciplining children, in-laws, not showing love, work, how to spend leisure time, work around the house, religion, irritating personal habits, other.
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/e</td>
<td>G= -</td>
<td>Index of Positive Affects: G' = +.04 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Index of Negative Affects: G' = +.43 (01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G= -.22 p&lt;</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Tensions in relationship

Subject code: M3.2.6

Study

- BRADB 1969

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report: 164

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963 - 64

Sample:
Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%
N: 2787

Correlate

- Authors label: Marital tension (1)
- Our classification: Tensions in relationship, code M3.2.6
- Measurement: 11-item index of questions on differences in opinion or problems concerning: Each rated yes/no
  1. time spend with friends
  2. household expenses
  3. being tired
  4. being away from home too much
  5. disciplining children
  6. in-laws
  7. not showing love
  8. spouces job
  9. how to spend leisure time
  10 religion
  11 irritating personal habits

Assessed at:
T1: Januari 1963
T3: Oktober 1963
Remarks: Data T1. Results T3 similar.

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = .16</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G = .25</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>G = .32</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Strong relation with negative affect. Hardly any with positive affect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unaffected by SES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>G = .37</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>DMr=+</td>
<td>CHANGE in marital tension is paralleled by CHANGE in negative affect (Relation with change in full ABS not computed). This relation is stronger among females than males.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change in negative affect expressed in change (•) in average ridits (RT). Ridit analysis compares distribution in category with distribution in total sample. •RT above .50 means relative increase, RT below .50 relative decrease in happiness.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and Tensions in relationship

**Subject code: M3.2.6**

**Study** MARIN 1976


Population: Married persons, metropolitan areas, USA, 1963

Sample:
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Non-Response:

\[ N: 3934 \]

Correlate

Authors label: Marital tensions (1)

Our classification: Tensions in relationship, code M3.2.6

Measurement: Number of tensions, assessed by means of a 11 item index

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa</td>
<td>G = -.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Tensions in relationship

Subject code: M3.2.6

Study SPANI 1982


Population: Separated, followed 2 years, Pennsylvania, USA, 1977-79

Sample:

Non-Response: T1: 39 %, T2: 48 %

\[ N: 210 \]

Correlate

Authors label: Quality of new Marriage (1)

Our classification: Tensions in relationship, code M3.2.6

Measurement: Dyadic Adjustment Scale (Spanier 1976)

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Correlational finding on Happiness and Attitudes to current marriage-relationship

**Subject code: M3.3**

**Study**  
**BRANH 1991**

**Reported in:** Bränholm, I.B.; Eklund, M.; Fugl-Meyer, K.S.; Fugl-Meyer, A.R.  
On Work and Life Satisfaction.  
Eklund, M.;" On vocational Rehabilitation in Northern Sweden", Dissertation, University of Umeå, 1991,  
Page in Report: 103

**Population:** 25-55 aged, vocationally actives, Umeå, Northern Sweden, 1986/87

**Sample:** Probability stratified sample

**Non-Response:**  
N: 163

### Correlate

**Authors label:** satisfaction with partnership relation (1)

**Our classification:** Attitudes to current marriage-relationship, code M3.3

**Measurement:** How satisfactory is this aspect of your life?.....  
Partnership relation.  
1 very dissatisfying  
2 dissatisfying  
3 rather dissatisfying  
4 rather satisfying  
5 satisfying  
6 very satisfying

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLS/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.55 p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/c/sq/l/11/a</td>
<td>r=+.42 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=+.40 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=+.40 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLS/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.55 p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/c/sq/l/11/a</td>
<td>r=+.42 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remarried persons only (N = 62)
Correlational finding on Happiness and Attitudes to current marriage-relationship
Subject code: M3.3

Study


Page in Report: 170


Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 0

N: 63

Correlate

Authors label: Partnership (Relationship to partner)

Our classification: Attitudes to current marriage-relationship, code M3.3

Measurement: Single question 'How satisfied are you currently with your relationship with your spouse?'

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure       Statistics       Elaboration/Remarks

O-SQL/c/sq/v/5/b       AoV = p<.009

Set Image size: 🔍 -
Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about marriage relationship

Subject code: M3.3.1

Study

BRADB 1965/1


Population: Adult, general public, 4 towns, varying in economic prosperity, Illinois, USA, 1962

Sample:

Non-Response: -

N: 2006

Correlate

Authors label: Thinking often about marriage (1)

Our classification: Concern about marriage relationship, code M3.3.1

Measurement: Response to single question:
"Last week how often did you think about ... Marriage"

Rated: not at all / sometimes / often
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa   |             | high S.E.S.: G' = -.07 (ns) \[ G = - \] 
|                   |             | low S.E.S.: G' = -.03 (ns) \[ G = - \] |

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about marriage relationship

Subject code: M3.3.1

Study BRIM 1974

Reported in: Brim, J.A.
Social Network Correlates of Avowed Happiness.
Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 1974, Vol. 158, 432 - 439 ISSN: 0022 3018
Page in Report: 437

Population: Females Feminists and controls. Seattle, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 153

Correlate

Authors label: Desired interaction with husband. (1)

Our classification: Concern about marriage relationship, code M3.3.1

Measurement: 3-item index of closed questions:
1. Would enjoy seeing him every day. 2. Would spend most of my free time with him if possible. 3. Would like to see him more often.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/n/9/a</td>
<td>r=+.29</td>
<td>p&lt;004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Computed for marrieds only.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Concern about marriage relationship

Subject code: M3.3.1

Study BRIM 1974
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Reported in: Brim, J.A. 
Social Network Correlates of Avowed Happiness. 
Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 1974, Vol. 158, 432 - 439 ISSN: 0022 3018 
Page in Report: 437

Population: Females Feminists and controls. Seatle, USA, 197?

Sample:

Non-Response: 
N: 153

Correlate

Authors label: Concern for husband. (1)

Our classification: Concern about marriage relationship, code M3.3.1

Measurement: Closed question: Try to always remember his birthday.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure

Statistics Elaboration/Remarks
O-HL/c/sq/n/9/a r=+.07 ns Computed for marrieds only.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Self-perceived adequacy in marriage
Subject code: M3.3.2

Study

VEROF 1962

Reported in: Veroff, J.; Feld, S; Gurin, G. 
Dimensions of Subjective Adjustment. 
Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1962, Vol. 64, 192 - 205 
Page in Report: 196

Population: 21+ aged, married, with childrenen, USA, 1957

Sample:

Non-Response: -
N: 797

Correlate

Authors label: Feelings of marital inadequacy (1)

Our classification: Self-perceived adequacy in marriage, code M3.3.2
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Measurement: Closed question of frequency of feeling not as good a husband/wife as one would like to be never / once in a while / a lot of times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v3/a</td>
<td>_b = -.15  (01)</td>
<td>Males: _b = -.15 (01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>_b = +.01 (ns)</td>
<td>Females: _b = +.01 (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

*BAKKE 1974*


Sample:

Non-Response: 34% refusal and unattainable.

N: 1552

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage. (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Closed question rated on an open graphic scale ranging from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/g/sq/ol7/a</td>
<td>G = +.73  p &lt; .05</td>
<td>Computed for married Ss only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stronger among age 25-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower among high S.E.S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stronger among medium educational level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unaffected by sex.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

Reported in: Balatsky, G.; Diener, E.
Subjective Well-Being among Russian Students.
Social Indicators Research, 1993, Vol. 28, 225 - 243 ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF01079019
Page in Report: 235

Population: Students, Moscow and Glazov (Ural), Russia, 1990

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: not reported

N: 116

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with living partner (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Single question: "How do you feel about your living partner right now?".
Rated on 7-point scale from:
1. Terrible.......to
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 
7. Delighted.

Measured Values: M=5.23; SD=2.27

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.06 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b</td>
<td>r=+.09 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

BERKM 1971
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Reported in: Berkman, P.L.
Life Stress and Psychological Well-Being: A Replication of Langner's Analysis in the Midtown Manhattan Study.
Page in Report: 41

Population: Adult, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, Alameda County, USA, 1965

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 6928

Correlate

Authors label: Marital satisfaction (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: 6-item index involving:
- happiness in marriage
- regrets about marriage
- frequency of problems
- divorce considered
- understanding
- affection

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/u/mg/v/3/a</td>
<td>DMr=+</td>
<td>Married subjects only (N=2534) The average ridits (AR) were calculated with the distribution of the total sample as reference (N=6928).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

Reported in: Bradburn, N.M.
The Structure of Psychological Well-Being.
Aldine Publishing, 1969, Chicago, USA
Page in Report:
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Population: 21-60 aged, general public, urban areas, USA, 1963-64

Sample:

Non-Response: ± 20%, Attrition ± 30%

N: 2787

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage happiness (3)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement:

Single direct question: 'Taking all things together, how would you describe your marriage?'
- very happy (3)
- pretty happy (2)
- not too happy (1)

Remarks: Data T1. Results T3 similar.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mg/v2/a</td>
<td>G=+ p&lt;.5</td>
<td>males G=+.22 females G=+.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- high SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- middle SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- low SES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G=+.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

O-HL/c/sq/v3/3/aa  | DMr=+     | CHANGE in marital status is paralleled by CHANGE in positive and negative affect between T1-T3. Relation with change in summed ABS not computed. More strongly related to negative affect. Stronger among females than males.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

BRAY 1983


Population: 40+ aged male managers, Bell Telephone Company, 1978
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample
Non-Response: Panel loss at T5 37%
N: 422

Correlate
Authors label: marital satisfaction (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Rating by 4 experts on the basis of multi-method assessments during stays in assessment-center.
Rater instruction "To what extent does this person have positive feelings about his or her present marriage?".
Assessed at T5.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-P Lc/vs/v5/a</td>
<td>r=</td>
<td>T5 feelings about marriage by T5 happiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analysis showed a significantly positive correlation (value indicated as in the 50.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
BULAT 1973

Reported in: Bulatao, R.A.
Measures of Happiness among Manila Residents.
Philippine Sociological Review, 1973, Vol. 21, 229 - 238
Page in Report: 232

Population: 21+ aged, general public, Metro Manila, Philippines, 1972
Sample:
Non-Response: -
N: 941

Correlate
Authors label: Marital happiness (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

**Measurement:** Closed question

## Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-BW/c/sq/l/11/a</td>
<td>G = +</td>
<td>Married respondents only:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males : G = +.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females : G = +.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/b</td>
<td>G = +</td>
<td>Index of Positive Affects:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males : G = +.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females : G = +.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Index of Negative Affects:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males : G = -.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females : G = -.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/f</td>
<td>G = +</td>
<td>Married respondents only:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males : G = +.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females : G = +.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

**Subject code:** M3.3.3

**Study**

**CAMPB 1981**

*Reported in:* Campbell, A.
The Sense of Well-Being in America.
Page in Report: 83

**Population:** 18+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized USA, 1978

**Sample:**

*Non-Response:* about 20%

*N:* 3692

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Satisfied with marriage (1)

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

**Measurement**: Single closed question on amount of satisfaction with marriage, rated on a 7-point scale ranging from completely dissatisfied to completely satisfied.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

**Subject code**: M3.3.3

**Study**: DEJON 1969


*Population*: 30-55 aged, general public, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1965

*Sample*

*Non-Response*: 31%;

*N*: 600

**Correlate**

*Authors label*: Marital satisfaction (1)

*Our classification*: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement*: Question on degree to which marriage meets expectations: not up to / in accordance with / surpassed.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+</td>
<td>Computed for married persons only. Males : r = +.21 (ns) Females: r = +.49 (005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study FINE 2004


Population: Dual career parents in four European cities, 200?

Sample: Non-probability purposive-quota sample

Non-Response: N: 400

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with relationship with spouse/partner

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Self report on single question: Overall, how satisfied are you with your relationship with your spouse/partner? 1=very dissatisfied 6=very satisfied

Measured Values: Mean = 5.2

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=+.63</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=+.63</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/g/sq/v/6/a</td>
<td>r=+.62</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study GLENN 1975B
Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

Reported in: Glenn, N.D.

Population: 18+ aged whites, non-institutionalized, USA, 1972-74

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 3853

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness. (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Closed question: not too happy / pretty happy / very happy.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/3/c</td>
<td>G = +.81 (p &lt; 01)</td>
<td>G' computed on the basis of proportion 'very happy'. Computed for married Ss only (1973 and 1974 data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Males
- age 18-39: G' = +.78 (01)
- age 60+: G' = +.70

Females: G' = +.85 (01)
- Unaffected by age

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

GLENN 1981C

Reported in: Glenn, N.D.; Weaver, C.N.

Population: Marrieds, non-institutionalized, USA, 1973-78

Sample:

Non-Response:
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

N: 9000

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Direct question: "Taken all things together, how would you describe your marriage? Would you say that your marriage is very happy, pretty happy or not too happy?"

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa</td>
<td>r+</td>
<td>Married persons working full-time:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- white men: r=+.37 $\beta=+.29$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- black men: r=+.22 $\beta=+.13$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- white women: r=+.53 $\beta=+.41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- black women: r=+.18 $\beta=+.18$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All married women:
- white: r=+.43 $\beta=+.30$
- black: r=+.44 $\beta=+.39$

| O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa   | r+         | Married persons working full-time |
|                   |            | - white men: r=+.37 $\beta=+.29$ |
|                   |            | - black men: r=+.22 $\beta=+.13$ |
|                   |            | - white women: r=+.53 $\beta=+.41$ |
|                   |            | - black women: r=+.18 $\beta=+.18$ |

All married women
- white: r=+.43 $\beta=+.30$
- black: r=+.44 $\beta=+.39$

| O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa   | Beta=+     | $\beta$ controled for satisfaction with: work, financial situation, community, nonworking activities, family life, friendships and health |

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study GURIN 1960
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP


Page in Report: 60

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1957

Sample:
Non-Response: 13%; 5% not at home, 8% refusals

N: 2460

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Closed question: not too happy / just about average / a little happier than average / very happy.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa</td>
<td>G =+.68</td>
<td>p &lt; .01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Married Ss only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

HAAVI 1971


Page in Report: 590

Population: 15-64 aged, general public, Finland, 1966

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 948

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with relationship to spouse. (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
**Measurement:** Closed question rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 'very unsatisfied' to 'very satisfied'.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/v/b</td>
<td>r=+.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

**Subject code:** M3.3.3

**Study**

**Reported in:** HALL 1973
- Page in Report: 100

**Population:** Adults, general public, Britain, 1971

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:**

- N: 593

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** Satisfaction with marriage. (1)

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

**Measurement:** Question rated on a 7-point self-anchoring scale, based on Cantril (1965)
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study


Page in Report: 458

Population: Couples, USA, followed 12 years, 1980-1992

Sample: Probability simple random sample

Non-Response: 35%

N: 1150

Correlate

Authors label: Unhappily married

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: An 11-item, Likert-type scale was used to assess level of marital happiness. Seven items ask about happiness with specific aspects of marriage such as understanding, agreement and faithfulness. Four items are concerned with the global satisfaction of the marriage, such as overall happiness and whether the marriage is getting better or worse.

Ss were classified as "Unhappily married" when Ss were married continuously and score below the mean marital happiness in all four times.

1 unhappily married
0 other

Measured Values: M=.14, SD=.35, Range 0-1

Error Estimates: Alpha=.87


Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=-.25</td>
<td>T1 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/ad</td>
<td>r=-.21</td>
<td>T4 Happiness by T1-T4 unhappily married</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP**

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

**Subject code: M3.3.3**

**Study**

*HEADE 1981*

*Reported in:* Headey, B.
The Quality of Life in Australia
Social Indicators Research, 1981, Vol. 9, 155 - 18. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00286195
Page in Report: 166

*Population:* Adults, general public, Australia 1978

*Sample:* Probability sample (unspecified)

*Non-Response:* not reported

*N:* 679

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Marriage index

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement:* Index of 3 single questions on satisfaction with:
  a: Your wife/husband
  b: Your marriage
  c: Your sex life
  All scored on a 1-9 D-T rating scale, summation by average.

*Measured Values:* M = 7.5 SD = 1.3

*Remarks:* All items scored on the same rating scale as the question on happiness.

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sq/v/9/a</td>
<td>r=+.42</td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a  Beta=+.17  married cases
Beta's controlled for satisfaction with
- self-fulfillment
- sex life
- problem handling
- family activities
- work around the house
- mother
- health
- house
- standard of living
- assertiveness
- friends
- fun and enjoyment

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

HEADE 1985


Population: 18+ aged, general public, Melbourne, Australia, 1985

Sample:
Non-Response: 55%
N: 550

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage and sex (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Single direct question rated on a 9-point delighted-terrible scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b</td>
<td>Beta=+.36</td>
<td>β controlled for satisfaction with: friendships and leisure, job, standard of living, and health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
### Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

**Subject code: M3.3.3**

**Study**

*HEADE 1991*

*Reported in:* Headey, B.; Veenhoven, R.; Wearing, A.
Top-down versus Bottom-up Theories of Subjective Well-Being.
Social Indicators Research, 1991, Vol. 24, 81 - 100. ISSN p 0303 8300; ISSN e 1573 0921 DOI:10.1007/BF00292652
Page in Report: 94

*Population:* 18-65 aged, general public, Victoria, Australia, followed from 1981 to 1987 in two 24 months periods

*Sample:* Probability stratified sample

*Non-Response:*

\[ N: 942 \]

---

### Correlate

**Authors label:** Marriage

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

**Measurement:** Index of 3 single questions on satisfaction with:
  a: Your wife/husband
  b: Your marriage
  c: Your sex life
All scored on a 1-9 D-T rating scale, summation by average.

which estimates following effects
  - bottom up: effect of marital satisfaction on life satisfaction
  - topdown: effect of life satisfaction on marital satisfaction
  - contemporaneous: within wave correlation
  - lagged: over time (waves) correlation

---

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.18</td>
<td>Contemporaneous bottom-up: &quot;being happily married increases one's life satisfaction&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.12</td>
<td>Contemporaneous top down: &quot;happy people maintain happy marriages&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.08</td>
<td>Lagged bottom-up: &quot;being happily married increases one's life satisfaction&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Beta=+.07
p<.05

Beta's controlled for satisfaction with
- job
- standard of living
- leisure
- friendship
- health

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
HERZO 1982/2

Reported in: Herzog, A.R., Rodgers, W.L.; Woodworth, J.
Subjective Well-Being among Different Age Groups.
Research Report I.S.R., University of Michigan, 1982, Ann Arbor, USA
Page in Report: 68-72

Population: 25-97 aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1971-78

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 6918

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement:
DATASET 1
"Your marriage?"; rated on a 7-point scale with high scores indicating high satisfaction.
DATASET 6 and 7
"All things considered, how satisfied are you with your marriage?"; rated on a 7-point scale with high scores indicating high satisfaction.

Remarks: DATASETS:
6: 1971, N=1822, QOL Survey, See CAMPB 1975

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
HOLLA 1985


Population: Married persons, non-institutionalized, USA, 1978-83

Sample:
Non-Response: ?
N: 3050

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Single direct question: "Taking all things together, how would you describe your marriage?"

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

More strongly linked to 'happy' responses than to 'unhappy' ones. Largely unaffected by job satisfaction. Yet interaction with job satisfaction in relation to 'happy' responses among working wives and their husbands, and in relation to 'unhappy' responses among housewives.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
HOOPE 1989

Reported in: Hoopes, L.L.; Lounsbury, J.W.
An Investigation of Life Satisfaction Following a Vacation: A Domain-Specific Approach.
Page in Report: 132,134

Population: Working adults, USA, before and after vacation, 198?

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: 23

N: 129

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage/family during vacation

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement:
Self report on:
- how one's children behaved

Assessed on a 1-7 scale: 1 delighted...7 terrible

Measured Values: N=10 M=2,00 SD= 1,05

Error Estimates: alpha = .67

Remarks: Assessed for three periods:
T1: 1 or 2 weeks before vacation
T2: during vacation (retrospectively at T3)
T3: the week after vacation

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study  
KAMMA 1979/1

Reported in: Kammann, R.
Sourcebook for Affectometer 1.
Page in Report: 9

Population: Married persons, Dunedin, New Zealand, 1977

Sample: ?

N: 92

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage satisfaction (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement:
1  Overall satisfaction
2  Overall dissatisfaction
   a  role ambiguity
   b  role conflict
   c  compliance pressure
   d  partners injustice
   e  partners dominance
   f  partners non-communication

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BK/cm/mq/v/5/c</td>
<td>mr=.32</td>
<td>1 males r=.28 (01) females r=.38 (01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BK/cm/mq/v/5/c</td>
<td>mr=.38</td>
<td>2 males r=.29 (05) females r=.53 (01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

**LANCE 1989**

*Reported in:* Lance C.E.; Lautenschlager J.; Sloan C.E.; Varca P.E.
A Comparison Between Bottom-Up, Top-Down, and Bidirectional Models of Relationships Between
Global and Life Facet Satisfaction.
Journal of Personality, 1989, Vol. 57, 601 - 624. ISSN 0022 3506
Page in Report: 608, 610

*Population:* Married academics, university of Georgia, USA, 1984

*Sample:* Non-probability accidental sample

*Non-Response:* 62%

*N:* 134

Correlate

*Authors label:* Marital satisfaction

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement:* Selfreport on single question:
How satisfied are you with your marriage (if applicable)?
Rated 1 = very dissatisfied to
5 = very satisfied

*Measured Values:* Mean 4.31 SD 1.08

*Error Estimates:* three-week retest r = .89
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.51 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/u/sq/n/5/a</td>
<td>B=+.40</td>
<td>Bottom up effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Bottom-Up Model

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (ds) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.
**Top down effect**

B's estimated in a Lisrel model with
- age
- job satisfaction
- satisfaction with other activities

Figure 2
Top-Down Model

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (ds) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Note. Standardized model parameter estimates and residual variances (ds) are shown. Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.

*p < .05

**p < .01.

Figure 3
Bidirectional Model
**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**  
**Subject code: M3.3.3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>LEE 1978</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Reported in: | Lee, G.R.  
Marriage and Morale in Later Life.  
Page in Report: 134 |
| Population: | 60+ aged marrieds, Washington State USA, 1975 |
| Sample: | Probability systematic sample |
| Non-Response: | 62% |
| N: | 439 |

**Correlate**

- **Authors label:** marital satisfaction
- **Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
- **Measurement:** A scale consisting of five items:  
  a. If you had it to do over again, would you marry the same person?  
  b. How satisfied are you with the love and affection you receive from your spouse?  
  c. How satisfied are you with the amount of understanding of your problems and feelings that you get from your spouse?  
  d. How satisfied are you with the amount of companionship you have-doing things with your spouse?  
  e. All things considered, how satisfied are you with your marriage overall?  
Each item was scored according to a five-point response set; responses were summed to create the scale. A high score indicates high marital satisfaction.

- **Measured Values:** mean: males 21.7 females 21.0 sd: males 3.3 females 4.2

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Broad (narrow) arrows indicate significant (nonsignificant) parameter estimates.  
*\( p < .05 \)  
**\( p < .01 \).*
### Findings on Happiness and Marriage: Relationship

- **Males**
  - Correlation: $r = +.38$, $p < .01$
  - Beta: $+.25$
  - Beta controlled for:
    - Age
    - Length of marriage
    - Education
    - Retired or not
    - Self perceived health
    - Satisfaction with standard of living

- **Females**
  - Correlation: $r = +.52$, $p < .01$
  - Beta: $+.46$
  - Beta controlled for:
    - Age
    - Length of marriage
    - Education
    - Employment status
    - Self perceived health
    - Satisfaction with standard of living

### Correlational Finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with Marriage
**Subject code: M3.3.3**

**Study** LEVIT 1986


*Page in Report:* 314


*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 20%

*N:* 43

**Correlate**

*Authors label:* Satisfaction with spouse (1)

*Our classification:* Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement:* Single direct question on quality of relationship with her husband ranging on a 5-point scale from (5) "very close and warm" to (1) "very cool and distant"
Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=+.43 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.52 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study: MAKAR 1962

Page in Report: 108

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:
Non-Response: 5%
N: 2387

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement:
Single question: do you regard your marriage as happy or unhappy?
very unhappy / unhappy/ average / happy / very happy.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>T=22 p&lt;001</td>
<td>Computed for marrieds only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study: MARIN 1976
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Reported in: Marini, M.M.
Dimension of Marriage Happiness: A Research Note.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1976, August, 443 - 448. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 444

Population: Married persons, metropolitan areas, USA, 1963

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 3934

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Direct question: "Taken all together, would you say that your marriage was very happy, pretty happy or not too happy?"

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v3/aa</td>
<td>G = +.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

MICHA 1982

Reported in: Michalos, A.C.
The Satisfaction and Happiness of Some Senior Citizens in Ontario.
Social Indicators Research, 1982, Vol. 11, 1 - 30. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00353590
Page in Report: 24

Population: 60+ aged, rural townships, Southern Huron County, Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:

Non-Response: 37%

N: 392

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with spouse (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
**Measurement:** Closed question on relationship with husband or wife, rated on a 7-point scale

---

## Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td><strong>Beta=+.30</strong></td>
<td>All ß's are controlled for satisfaction with family life, friendships, financial security, self-esteem, health, transportation, recreation, housing, religion, area you live in, government services; and for sex, age and education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males: N:149 ß:+.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females: N:121 ß:+.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Farmers: N:130 ß:+.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-farmers: N:141 ß:+.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age 60-74: N:170 ß:+.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age 75-up: N:102 ß:+.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa</td>
<td><strong>Beta=+.06</strong></td>
<td>All ß's controlled for satisfaction with family life, friendships, financial security, self esteem, health, transportation, recreation, housing, religion, area you live in, government services; and for sex, age, formal education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Males: N:149 ß:+.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Females: N:121 ß:-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Farmers: N:130 ß:-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-farmer: N:141 ß:+.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 60-74: N:170 ß:+.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 75-up: N:102 ß:-.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

**Subject code: M3.3.3**

**Study**

**MICHA 1986**

**Reported in:** Michalos, A. C.  
An Application of Multiple Discrepancies Theory (MDT) to Seniors.  
Social Indicators Research, 1986, Vol. 18, 349 - 378. ISSN 0303 8300. DOI:10.1007/BF00300488  
Page in Report: 360

**Population:** 60+ aged, rural districts, Canada, 1984

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 47%

**N:** 457
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**Authors label:** Satisfaction with spouse (1)

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

**Measurement:** Closed question on satisfaction with relationship with spouse, rated on a 7-point scale. 1: terrible..7: delightful.

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa</td>
<td>(\beta = +.22) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>(\beta) controlled for self-esteem and satisfaction with: health, financial security, friendships, housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>(\beta = +.33) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>(\beta) controlled for self-esteem and satisfaction with: health, financial security, friendships, housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa</td>
<td>(\beta = +.23) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>(\beta = +.30) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a</td>
<td>(\beta = +.37) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa</td>
<td>(\beta = +.27) (p &lt; .01)</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

**Subject code: M3.3.3**

**Study**

MOSER 1969

**Reported in:** Moser-Peters, C.M. Achtergronden van Geluksgevoelens. (Backgrounds of Happiness Feelings). Nederlands Instituut voor Preventieve Geneeskunde (TNO), 1969, Leiden, Netherlands Page in Report: 18

**Population:** Adult, general public, Utrecht, city, The Netherlands, 1967

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 14% unattainable etc.

**N:** 300
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

**Correlate**

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage. (1)

*Our classification: * Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement:* Question rated on a 5-point scale ranging from 'dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/c</td>
<td>r=+.47 p&lt;.05</td>
<td>Computed for married Ss only. Unaffected by sex.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

Subject code: M3.3.3

**Study**

NIPO 1949

*Reported in:* NIPO (Dutch Institute Public Opinion)

Wat de Mensen Gelukkig Maakt. (The Things that Make People Happy).

De Publieke Opinie, 1949, Vol. 3, 3 - 4

Page in Report: 3

*Population:* Adults, general public, The Netherlands, 1948

*Sample:*

Non-Response: 7%

N: 500

---

**Correlate**

Authors label: Marital happiness. (1)

*Our classification: * Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

*Measurement:* Closed question.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HP/u/sq/v/3/a</td>
<td>G=+.39 p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

PALIS 1983

Reported in: Palisi, B. J.; Canning, C.
Urbanism and Social Psychological Well-Being: A Cross-Cultural Test of Three Theories.
The Sociological Quarterly, 1983, Vol. 24, 527 - 543. ISSN 0038 2553
Page in Report: 535


Sample:

Non-Response: 67%
N: 752

Correlate

Authors label: Marriage well-being (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: For-item index of closed questions on feelings about marriage and spouse. Items include: Being happy with the marriage, feeling that marriage is a series of disappointments, feeling that one would marry the same person again, and thinking one would be happier if married to another person.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>r=+.27</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>r=+.26</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v2/a</td>
<td>r=+.63</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

PHILI 1966
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Reported in: Philips Nederland N.V.
De Nederlandse Huisvrouw. (The Dutch Houswife.)
Research Report NIPO assigned by Philips Nederland, 1966, Eindhoven, Netherlands
Page in Report: 66

Population: Housewives, The Netherlands, 1964

Sample:
Non-Response:
N: 450

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage. (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Closed question.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure Statistics Elaboration/Remarks
O-HL/u/sq/v/5/a r=+.44

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study RENNE 1970

Reported in: Renne, K.S.
Correlates of Dissatisfaction in Marriage.
Page in Report: 63

Population: Marrieds, Alameda County, California, USA, 1965

Sample:
Non-Response: 26%
N: 5163

Correlate

Authors label: Marital satisfaction (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Measurement:
6-item index of closed questions on happiness in marriage, regrets about marriage, frequency of problems, seriously considered a divorce, understanding and affection.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/e</td>
<td>D% = +</td>
<td>Stronger among whites than among blacks. Among whites stronger in females than in males.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
ROSE 1955


Population: Married couples, middle aged, middle-class, USA, 1952

Sample:
Non-Response: 50 %
N: 416

Correlate

Authors label: Dissatisfaction with age of marriage (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Wish to have been a little older or younger when got married

Observed Relation with Happiness
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

48% of the dissatisfied women and only 14% of the satisfied women wish to have got married younger or older (sign.). Among males 15% of the dissatisfied and 9% of the satisfied wish they had been a little older when they got married (sign.) No difference in the proportion of males saying they wish they had married at a younger age.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

SCHUL 1985B

Reported in: Schulz, W.; Költzinger, R.; Norden, G.; Tüchler, H. Lebensqualität in Österreich. (Quality-of-Life in Austria). Research paper nr 10/1, Institut für Soziologie, University of Vienna, 1985, Austria

Page in Report: 27, 49, T53

Population: Adults, non-institutionalized, Austria, 1984

Sample:

Non-Response: ?

N: 1776

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with partner (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Nine item index of direct questions on satisfaction with partner during the last 14 days. The summed scores were divided into 3 strata, i.e. low, medium, and high

Remarks: Ss with partner only.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.13</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</td>
<td>r=+.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a</td>
<td>+.15</td>
<td>( \beta ) controlled for: satisfaction with physical health, material satisfaction, satisfaction with selfrealisation, satisfaction with social involvement, satisfaction with work (positive), satisfaction with work (negative), and satisfaction with leisure time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.14</td>
<td>- with partner, with work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.23</td>
<td>- with partner, without work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.30</td>
<td>- Age &lt; 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>- Age 26-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>- Age 41-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>- Age &gt; 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.26</td>
<td>- &lt;6.000 income Austrian shill./month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.29</td>
<td>- 6.000-10.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>- 10.000-16.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.17</td>
<td>&gt; 16.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>+.16</td>
<td>- monotonous work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a</td>
<td>-.24</td>
<td>- some selfcontrol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \beta \)'s controlled for satisfaction in six other domains of life (physical health, social involvement, income, selfrealization, work, leisure). *\(N\) too small.
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

Beta = +.23
Retired 60+ aged only.
For the subgroup of retired β was controlled for psychosomatic symptoms, satisfaction with health, and material satisfaction.

Beta = +.22
Housewives, without work, aged <60 only.
For the subgroup of housewives β was controlled for contacts with relatives, professional status of husband, and two domain satisfactions (health and income).

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
Page in Report: 40-62/4

Population: "Gifted women" (IQ >135), followed 50 years, California, USA, 1921-72

Sample: Non-probability purposive sample

Non-Response: Attrition in 1972: 25%

N: 671

Correlate

Authors label: Earlier satisfaction with marriage (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Marriage mentioned on an open question on satisfying aspects of life.
a. in 1950
b. in 1960


Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study        SHICH 1984

Reported in: Shichman, S.; Cooper, E.
Life Satisfaction and Sex-Role Concept.
Sex Roles, 1984, Vol. 11, 227 - 240. ISSN 0360 0025 DOI:10.1007/BF00287516
Page in Report: 234

Population: Adults, students and churchmembers, USA, 198?
Sample:
Non-Response: 5,9 %
N: 217

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Single direct questions on both satisfaction and dissatisfaction with marriage.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure                  Statistics   Elaboration/Remarks

O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a                   r=+.27      satisfaction
                                  p<.00
O-SLu/u/sq/v/4/a                   r=+.29      dissatisfaction
                                  p<.00

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study        VENTE 1995

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.
Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN 8790190017 http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp
Page in Report: 288
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Population: 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993
Sample: Non-probability purposive sample
Non-Response: 39%
N: 1494

Correlate

Authors label: partner-relation (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Single question:
"How are your current relations with your present partner?"
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither good nor bad
4: good
5: very good


Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.37</td>
<td>1: Mt=4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2: Mt=5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Mt=7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>r=+.45</td>
<td>1: Mt=3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2: Mt=4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=7.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Mt=7.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</td>
<td>r=+.50</td>
<td>1: Mt=3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td>2: Mt=4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3: Mt=5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4: Mt=6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5: Mt=7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All Mt=7.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3
**Study**

**Reported in:** Ventegodt, S.
Livskvalitet i Danmark. (Quality of Life in Denmark).
Forskningscentrets Forlag (The Quality of Life Research Center), København, Denmark, ISBN 8790190017 http://www.livskvalitet.org/t1/index.asp
Page in Report: 292

**Population:** 18-88 aged, general public, Denmark, 1993

**Sample:** Non-probability purposive sample

**Non-Response:** 39%

*N:* 1494

---

### Correlate

**Authors label:** satisfaction with relationship (1)

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

**Measurement:**

- Single question:
  - "How satisfied are you with your present relationship situation?"
  - 1: very dissatisfied
  - 2: dissatisfied
  - 3: neither/nor
  - 4: satisfied
  - 5: very satisfied

**Measured Values:** N: 1:61, 2:127, 3:216, 4:476, 5:528

---

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.38 p&lt;00</td>
<td>1: Mt=5.25 2: Mt=6.71 3: Mt=7.26 4: Mt=7.83 5: Mt=8.53 All Mt=7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>r=+.48 p&lt;00</td>
<td>1: Mt=4.39 2: Mt=6.09 3: Mt=6.69 4: Mt=7.54 5: Mt=8.39 All Mt=7.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

r=+.56
p<.00

1: Mt=4.35
2: Mt=5.49
3: Mt=5.98
4: Mt=6.80
5: Mt=8.19
All Mt=6.98

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study

Ventegodt, S.
Liskvalitet hos 4500 31-33-arige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).
http://www.livskvalitet.org/t2/index.asp
Page in Report: 306

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen
Sample: Non-probability chunk sample
Non-Response: 39%
N: 4500

Correlate

Authors label: relations with one's partner
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Single question:
How are your current relations with your present partner ?
1: very bad
2: bad
3: neither/nor
4: good
5: very good

Measured Values: N All:3848; %:1:1,2; 2:4,5; 3:8,9; 4:32,3; 5:53,1

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure | Statistics | Elaboration/Remarks
--- | --- | ---
A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a | r=+.44, p<.00 | 1: Mt=4.94
2: Mt=5.66
3: Mt=6.58
4: Mt=7.54
5: Mt=8.63
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

O-SL/u/c/sq/v/5/e  
$r=+.50$  
$p<.00$

1: Mt=4.04  
2: Mt=5.04  
3: Mt=6.00  
4: Mt=7.25  
5: Mt=8.45

O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h  
$r=+.54$  
$p<.00$

1: Mt=4.09  
2: Mt=4.93  
3: Mt=5.54  
4: Mt=6.73  
5: Mt=8.20

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study  
VENTE 1996

Reported in: Ventegodt, S.  
Lisvælighed hos 4500 31-33-arige. (The Quality of Life of 4500 31-33-Years-Olds).  
Page in Report: 310

Population: 31-33 aged, Denmark 1993, born in University Hospital in Copenhagen

Sample: Non-probability chunk sample

Non-Response: 39%

N: 4500

Correlate

Authors label: satisfaction with one's relationship situation

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Single question:
"How satisfied are you with your present relationship situation?"
1: very dissatisfied  
2: dissatisfied  
3: neither/nor  
4: satisfied  
5: very satisfied

Measured Values: N: All:4559; %:1:5,6; 2:9,8; 3:13,4; 4:32,4; 5:38,8

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure  Statistics  Elaboration/Remarks
Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
VEROF 1962

Reported in:
Veroff, J.; Feld, S; Gurin, G.
Dimensions of Subjective Adjustment
Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1962, Vol. 64, 192 - 205
Page in Report: 196

Population:
21+ aged, married, with children, USA, 1957

Sample:

Non-Response:
-

N: 797

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification:
Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement:
Question: never / sometimes / all the time.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>r=+.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Mt=5.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Mt=6.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mt=6.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Mt=7.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Mt=8.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/e</td>
<td>r=+.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Mt=4.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Mt=5.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mt=6.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Mt=7.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Mt=8.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/5/h</td>
<td>r=+.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Mt=4.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Mt=5.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Mt=5.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Mt=6.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Mt=8.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage  
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study: WESSM 1956

Reported in: Wessman, A.E.  
A Psychological Inquiry into Satisfaction and Happiness.  
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton University, 1956, USA  
Page in Report: 192

Population: 21+ aged, general public, non-institutionalized, USA, 1946

Sample: Non-Response -

N: 2377

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Question whether one was happier when single:  
0 No  
1 Yes

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Measure  Statistics  Elaboration/Remarks

O-HL/g/sq/v/3/c  G = +.39  Computed for marrieds only

p < .01

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage  
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study: WONG 1985

Reported in: Wong, N.W.  
Effects of Individual Sources of Support on Well-Being in Employed Parents.  
PhD Dissertation, University of Michigan, 1985, USA  
Page in Report: 51,128,137,140,143

Population: Working parents with children <16, USA, 1984
**Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP**

**Sample:** Non-probability purposive sample

**Non-Response:** 59-79%

**N:** 651

**Correlate**

**Authors label:** Family satisfaction

**Our classification:** Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

**Measurement:** All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with each of the following:
- a your children,
- b Your family life
- c Your marriage

Rated: 1= not at all satisfied, 2 = not too satisfied, 3 = somewhat satisfied, 4 = very satisfied, 5 = extremely satisfied

**Measured Values:** Married Fathers: M=4.1, SD=.68, Married Mothers M = 3.8 SD = .78, Single Mothers M=3.6 SD = .57

**Error Estimates:** alpha = .77

**Remarks:** For single parents the item on marriage was substituted for missing values on the marital satisfaction question.

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=+.39</td>
<td>Married Fathers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=+.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=+.55</td>
<td>Married Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=+.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/c/sq/v/3/aa</td>
<td>r=+.60</td>
<td>Single Mothers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/u/sq/v/4/i</td>
<td>r=+.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage**

**Subject code:** M3.3.3
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Study YODER 1980

Reported in: Yoder, J.D.; Nichols, R.C.
A Life Perspective Comparison of Married and Divorced Persons.
Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1980, Vol. 42, 413 - 419. ISSN 0022 2445
Page in Report: 417

Population: 18+ aged, general public, widows excluded, Continental USA., 1976

Sample:

Non-Response:

N: 1321

Correlate

Authors label: Marital happiness (1)

Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3

Measurement: Single direct question

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-H/?/sq/?/0/a</td>
<td>r=+.48</td>
<td>First married: Woman r=+.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
<td>Man r=+.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference significant (05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarried</td>
<td></td>
<td>Woman r=+.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Man r=+.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difference ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unmarrieds Ss excluded.

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage

Subject code: M3.3.3

Study ZEHNE 1977

Reported in: Zehner, R.B.
Indicators of the Quality of Life in New Communities.
Ballinger, 1977, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ISBN 0 88410 461 3
Page in Report: 112

Population: Residents communities, planned and unplanned, USA, 1973

Sample:

Non-Response:
Correlate

Authors label: Marriage satisfaction (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
Measurement: Single closed direct question rated on a 7-point scale.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>r=+.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a</td>
<td>Beta=+.15</td>
<td>ß controlled for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Socio-demographic variables: age, sex, education, marital status, family income,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dwelling unit value, tenure, length of residence, number of children in the household.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Satisfaction with: standard of living, family life, use of leisure time, job, health, dwelling unit, housework, neighborhood, community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unplanned communities are left out.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlational finding on Happiness and Satisfaction with marriage
Subject code: M3.3.3

Study
ZUMA 1989

Reported in: Zentrum fur Umfrageforschung Mannheim (ZUMA)
Page in Report:

Population: 18+ aged, general public, West-Germany, 1978-88
Sample: ?
Non-Response: ?
N: 2100

Correlate

Authors label: Satisfaction with marriage-partnership (1)
Our classification: Satisfaction with marriage, code M3.3.3
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Measurement: Question: 'How satisfied are you with your marriage-partnership?'
0 completely unsatisfied
10 completely satisfied.

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Measure</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Elaboration/Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/ba</td>
<td>SNR=</td>
<td>eta G r ß</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1978 +.41 +.50 +.40 +.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980 +.45 +.48 +.44 +.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1984 +.42 +.48 +.41 +.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1988 +.42 +.48 +.41 +.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ß's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>O-HL/c/sq/v/4/b</th>
<th>SNR=</th>
<th>eta G r ß</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1978 +.33 +.59 +.30 +.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980 +.45 +.64 +.43 +.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1984 +.42 +.68 +.37 +.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1988 +.42 +.68 +.37 +.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ß's controlled for age, gender, perceived class and marital status.

Appendix 1: Happiness Items used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Item Code</th>
<th>Full Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-AOL/m/sq/v/5/a</td>
<td>Single direct question:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How are you feeling now....?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 very good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 neither good nor poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 very poor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a  Selfreport on 10 questions:

During the past few weeks, did you ever feel ....? (yes/no)
A Particularly exited or interested in something?
B So restless that you couldn't sit long in a chair?
C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done?
D Very lonely or remote from other people?
E Pleased about having accomplished something?
F Bored?
G On top of the world?
H Depressed or very unhappy?
I That things were going your way?
J Upset because someone criticized you?

Answer options and scoring:
yes = 1
no = 0

Summation:
- Positive Affect Score (PAS): A+C+E+G+I
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): B+D+F+H+J
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: -5 to +5

Name: Bradburn's ‘Affect Balance Scale’ (standard version)

A-BB/cw/mq/v/2/a  Selfreport on 10 questions:

"During the past week, did you ever feel.....?"

A So restless that you could not sit long in a chair
B Proud, because someone had complimented you
C Very lonely or remote from people?
D Pleased about having accomplished something
E Bored
F On top of the world?
G Depressed
H That things were going your way?
I Upset because someone criticized you?
J Could not do anything, simply because you could not start?

Answer options and scoring:
- yes = 1
- no = 0

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on B,D,F,H
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on A,C,E,G,I,J
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range -6 to +4

Name: Bradburn's `Affect Balance Scale' (adapted version)
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A-BB/cw/v/4/b

Selfreport on 8 questions:

"During the past week, did you ever feel.....?"
A Particularly interested in or excited about something
B Pleased about having accomplished something
C On top of the world
D Pity for some people you know
E Helpless, with no control over situations
F Bored
G Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why
H Angry about something that usually wouldn't bother you

Rating options:
0 never
1 once
2 several times
3 often

Summation: Factorially derived clusters:
A+B+C+D (Enhancement)
E+F+G+H (Discomfort)
Affect Balance Score: Enhancement minus Discomfort.
Possible range: +16 to -16
Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance scale' (modified version)

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/c

Selfreport on 8 questions:

"In the past few weeks did you ever feel.....?"
A Pleased about having accomplished something
B Upset because someone criticized you
C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done
D That things are going your way
E So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
F Unhappy or depressed
G Particularly interested in something
H Lonely and remote from other people

Response options:
0 not at all
1 sometimes
2 often
3 very often

Scoring: a = 0.........d = 3

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on A, C, D, G
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on B, E, F, H
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Name: Bradburn's Affect Balance Scale (variant)
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Selfreport on 9 questions:

We are interested in the way people are feeling these days. The following list describes some of the ways people feel at different times. Please indicate how often you felt each way during the last week.

How often last week did you feel .....?
A On the top of the world
B Very lonely or remote from other people
C Particularly excited or interested in something
D Depressed or very unhappy
E Pleased about having accomplished something
F Bored
G Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done
H So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
I Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why

Answer options:
0 not at all
1 once
2 several times
3 often

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on A, C, E, G
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on B, D, F, H, I
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range: -15 to +12

Name: Bradburn's `Affect Balance Scale' (modified version)

Selfreport on 8 questions:

"Here is a list that describes some of the ways people feel at different times. How often do you feel each of these ways?"

A Very lonely or remote from other people
B Depressed or very unhappy
C Bored
D So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
E Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why
F On top of the world
G Particularly excited or interested in something
H Pleased about having accomplished something

Answer options:
0 never
1 sometimes
2 often

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on F, G, H
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on A, B, C, D, E
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range -10 to +6 (transformed to ridits (0 - 1))

Name: Bradburn's `Affect Balance Scale' (modified variation)
Selfreport on 96 questions:

**SENTENCES**
Each of the sentences below describe a FEELING. Mark HOW OFTEN you had that feeling during the past few weeks;
A Nothing goes right with me  
B I feel close to people around me  
C I feel as though the best years of my life are over  
D I feel my life is on the right track  
E I feel loved and trusted  
F My work gives me a lot of pleasure  
G I don't like myself  
H I feel very tense  
I I feel life isn't worth living  
J I am content with myself  
K My past life is filled with failure  
L Everything I do seems worthwhile  
M I can't be bothered doing anything  
N I feel I can do whatever I want to  
O I have lost interest in other people and don't care about them  
P Everything is going right for me  
Q I can express my feelings and emotions towards other people  
R I'm easy going  
S I seem to be left alone when I don't want to be  
T I wish I could change some parts of my life  
U I seem to have no real drive to do anything  
V The future looks good  
W I become very lonely  
X I can concentrate well on what I'm doing  
Y I feel out of place  
Z I think clearly and creatively  
AA I feel alone  
AB I feel free and easy  
AC I smile and laugh a lot  
AD I feel things are going my way  
AE The world seems a cold and impersonal place  
AF I feel I've made a mess of things again  
AG I feel like hiding away  
AH I feel confident about decisions I make  
AI I feel depressed for no apparent reason  
AJ I feel other people like me  
AK I feel like a failure  
AL I feel I'm a complete person  
AM I'm not sure I'm done the right thing  
AN My future looks good  
AO I'm making the most out of my life  
AP Everything is going right for me  
AQ I feel unimportant  
AR I feel as though there must be something wrong with me  
AS I don't feel like making the effort to do anything  
AT I feel confident in my dealings with the opposite sex  
AU I want to hurt those who have hurt me  
AV The results I have obtained make my efforts worthwhile

**ADJECTIVES**
Each of the objectives below describe a FEELING. Mark HOW OFTEN you had that feeling during the past few weeks;
A Confident  
B Hopeless
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

C Pleasant
D Insignificant
E Discontented
F Healthy
G Dejected
H Annoyed
I Down
J Glad
K Glowing
L Relaxed
M Comfortable
N Moody
O Understood
P Blue
Q Miserable
R Joyful
S Tense
T Insecure
U Shaky
V Satisfied
W Safe
X Successful
Y Free
Z Sad
AA Good-natured
AB Impatient
AC Rejected
AD Lively
AE Frustrated
AF Fearful
AG Lonely
AH Warm
AI Contented
AJ Good
AK Secure
AL Disappointed
AM Understanding
AN Depressed
AO Low
AP Calm
AQ Unhappy
AR Upset
AS Empty
AT Enthusiastic
AU Happy
AV Vibrant

Answer options:
0 not at all
1 occasionally
2 some of the time
3 often
4 all of the time

Summation:
- Positive Affect Score (PAS): mean positive items
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): mean negative items
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS
Possible range - 4 to +4

Name: Kamman's Affectometer 1: version lst few weeks
Selfreport on single question:

"How do you feel about what you have accomplished in life...?"
3 well satisfied
2 reasonable satisfied
1 dissatisfied:

Selfreport on 2 questions:

A. "How important was each of the following goals in life in the plans you made for yourself in early adulthood?"
- occupational success
- family life
- friendships
- richness of cultural life
- total service to society.
1 less important to me than to most people
2
3
4
5 of prime importance to me

B. "How successful have you been in the pursuit of these goals?"
1 little satisfaction in this area
2
3
4
5 had excellent fortune in this respect

Computation: General Satisfaction 5 is the quotient obtained by multiplying the planned goal (early adulthood) by the reported success in attaining that goal, adding the five of these multiplied areas and dividing them by the sum of the planned goals for each of the areas.

\[
\frac{P_a.S_a + P_b.S_b + P_c.S_c + P_d.S_d + P_e.S_e}{P_a + P_b + P_c + P_d + P_e}
\]

Pa = planned goal a (1-5)
Sa = success goal a (1-5)

Selfreport on single question:

"Here is a picture of a ladder. Suppose we say that the top of the ladder represents the best possible life for you and the bottom represents the worst possible life for you. Where on the ladder do you feel you personally stand at the present time?"
[ 10 ] best possible life
[ 9 ]
[ 8 ]
[ 7 ]
[ 6 ]
[ 5 ]
[ 4 ]
[ 3 ]
[ 2 ]
[ 1 ]
[ 0 ] worst possible life

Preceded by 1) open questions about what the respondent imagines as the best possible life and the worst possible life. 2) ratings on the ladder of one's life five years ago and where on the ladder one expects to stand five years from now.
Name: Cantril's self anchoring ladder rating of life (original)

**M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a** Selfreport on 6 questions:

A On the whole, life gives me a lot of pleasure
B On the whole, I am very satisfied with my life today
C Things are getting just worse for me as I get older
D All in all, I find a great deal of happiness today
E I have a lot to be sad about
F Nothing ever turn out for me the way I want it

Scoring
4 strongly agree
3
2
1 strongly disagree

Computation: simple addition. Possible range 6-24

**M-AO/c/mq/*/0/a** Selfreport on 4 questions:

A For the past five years, how much have you accomplished of what you planned in your life?
1 completely failed
2
3
4
5
6
7 completely accomplished
B How much are you satisfied with your life as a whole these days?
1 completely dissatisfied
2
3
4
5
6
7 completely satisfied
C How much do you enjoy your life these days?
3 a great deal
2 a fair amount
1 not very much
D How happy have you been for the past five years?
1 completely unhappy
2
3
4
5
6
7 completely happy

Summation: % positive answers

Response options A, D and C not reported in full detail

**M-FH/cm/sq/v/4/a** Selfreport on single question:

"......happiness during past few weeks....."
(Full lead not reported)
4 very happy
3
2
1 not too happy
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M-PL/rc/v/5/a

Clinical rating by 4 experts on the basis of multi-method assessments during periodical stays in assessment center.

Rater instruction:
"To what extend does this person find pleasure in life at the present time..........?"
1 low
2
3 average
4
5 high

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/aa

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole right now.....?.
7 delightfull
6 very satisfying
5 satisfying
4 mixed
3 dissatisfying
2 very dissatisfying
1 terrible
No opinion

Name: Andrews & Withey's `Delighted-Terrible Scale' ( modified version)

O-DT/c/sq/v/7/b

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole right now.....?
1 terrible
2 unhappy
3 mostly dissatisfied
4 mixed (about equally satisfied and dissatisfied)
5 mostly satisfied
6 pleased
7 delighted
- neutral (neither satisfied nor dissatisfied)
- I never thought about it
- does not apply to me

Name: Andrews & Withey's `Delighted-Terrible Scale' (modified version by Michalos)

O-DT/u/sq/v/7/a

Selfreport on single question:

How do you feel about your life as a whole.....?
7 delighted
6 pleased
5 mostly satisfied
4 mixed
3 mostly dissatisfied
2 unhappy
1 terrible

Name: Andrews & Withey's `Delighted-Terrible Scale' (original version)
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Selfreport on single question asked twice in interview,

"How do you feel about your life as a whole......?"
10 delightful
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1 terrible

Summation: mean

Name: Andrews & Withey's `Delightful-Terrible Scale' (modified version)

Selfreport on single question asked twice

'How do you feel about your life as a whole?'
1 terrible
2 very unhappy
3 unhappy
4 mostly dissatisfied
5 mixed feelings
6 mostly satisfied
7 pleased
8 very pleased
9 delighted

Selfreport on single question:

".......happiness....."
(Full text not reported)

Selfreport on single question:

"Taken all things together, how would you say things are these days? Please put a circle around the appropriate number to indicate how happy you are these days.....?"
9 very happy
8
7
6
5 pretty happy
4
3
2
1 not too happy

(originally rated on a horizontal scale)

Selfreport on single question:

Taken all together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say that you are....?
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not too happy
Selfreport on single question:
Taking all things together, how would you say you are these days? Would you say you are ..........?
3 very happy  
2 pretty happy  
1 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:
All in all, how happy are you these days.....?
3 very happy  
2 pretty happy  
1 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:
Considering everything that has happened to you recently, how would you say things are with you - would you say you are.....?
3 very happy  
2 pretty happy  
1 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:
How happy are you currently.....?
1 very happy  
2 pretty happy  
3 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:
Is your life at this moment ....?
4 very happy  
3 fairly happy  
2 fairly unhappy  
1 very unhappy

Selfreport on single question:
Taking all things together in your life, how would you say things are these days? Would you say you are ....?  
5 very happy  
4 happy  
3 neither happy nor unhappy  
2 unhappy  
1 very unhappy

Selfreport on single question:
"Taking all things together, how would you say you are these days.....?"
5 extremely happy  
4 very happy  
3 happy  
2 pretty happy  
1 not too happy
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Selfreport on single question

’How happy are you now?’
5 very happy
4 happy
3 neither happy nor unhappy
2 unhappy
1 very unhappy

Original text in Danish:
’Hvor lykkelig er du for tiden?’
5 meget lykkelig
4 lykkelig
3 hverken eller
2 ullykkelig
1 meget ullykkelig

Selfreport on single question:

“In general, how happy would you say you are.....?”
3 very happy
2 fairly happy
1 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:

“Taking all together: how happy would you say you are? Would you say you are.....?”
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not too happy

Selfreport on single question:

"Would you say:......?"
1 You are unhappy?
2 You are reasonably happy?
3 You are happy ?

Selfreport on single question:

Taken all together, how happy would you say you are? Are you......?
3 very happy
2 pretty happy
1 not so happy

Selfreport on single question:

How happy or unhappy do you think you are.....?
5 very happy
4 happy
3 moderately happy
2 fairly unhappy
1 very unhappy
O-HL/u/sq/v/7/a Selfreport on single question:

Considering your life as a whole, would you describe it as.....?
1 very unhappy
2 | unhappy
3 4 an even mixture of unhappiness and happiness
5 | happy
6 7 very happy
no opinion

O-HP/g/sq/ol/7/a Selfreport on single question:

"Generally speaking are you a happy person.......?"

Responses were made on an open line scale, and were later coded in 7 categories:
1 very unhappy
2
3
4
5
6
7 very happy.

O-HP/u/sq/v/3/a Selfreport on single question:

Do you think of yourself as........?
3 happy
2 pretty happy
1 unhappy?

O-SL/?/?/sq/v/5/a Selfreport on single question:

"....... satisfaction with life ....."
(full question not reported)
5 very satisfied
4 satisfied
3 don't know/satisfied
2 don't know/not satisfied
1 disappointed in life

O-SLS/c/sq/v/5/a Selfreport on single question:

"Taking all things together (the work you do, where you live, your way of life, the things you do for enjoyment, your health) how would you say things are these days ....?"
1 very good
2 pretty good
3 so-so
4 not too good
5 not good at all
Selfreport on single question:

'How satisfied are you with your life now?'
5 very satisfied
2 satisfied
3 neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
2 dissatisfied
1 very dissatisfied

Original text in Danish:
'Hvor tilfreds er du med dit liv for tiden?'
5 meget tilfreds
4 tilfreds
3 hverken tilfreds eller utilfreds
2 utilfreds
1 meget utilfreds

Selfreport on single question:

In general, how satisfied are you with your life.....?
5 very satisfied
4 satisfied
3 average
2 somewhat dissatisfied
1 very dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

How satisfied are you with your life in general?
1 very dissatisfied
2
3
4
5 very satisfied

Selfreport on single question:

Overall, how satisfied are you with your life.....?
4 very satisfied
3 satisfied
2 dissatisfied
1 very dissatisfied.

Selfreport on single question:

".....satisfaction with overall life....."
(Full questions not reported)
3 very satisfied
2 fairly satisfied
1 not satisfied
- NA

Selfreport on single question:

"How satisfied are you with your life-as-a-whole these days.....?"
7 completely satisfied
6
5
4
3
1 completely dissatisfied
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Selfreport on single question;

What do you think, how satisfied are you at this moment- all in all - with your life ?’ 'If for instance you are totally satisfied with your life, please mark a '10'. If you are totally unsatisfied with your life, mark a '0'. If you are not completely unsatisfied nor totally satisfied range yourself somewhere between '1' and '9'.

10 completely satisfied
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0 completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

Taking all things together, how satisfied are you with your life these days? Please answer with the help of this scale. For instance, when you are totally satisfied with your life, please tick '10'. When you are totally unsatisfied with your life, please tick '0'. You may use all values in between to indicate that you are neither totally satisfied nor totally unsatisfied.

10 totally satisfied
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0 totally unsatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

We have talked about various parts of your life, now I want to ask you about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days.....?

7 completely satisfied
6
5
4 neutral
3
2
1 completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

Overall, how satisfied are you with your life in general?

1 very dissatisfied
2 dissatisfied
3 somewhat dissatisfied
4 somewhat satisfied
5 satisfied
6 very satisfied
Selfreport on single question:

All in all, how satisfied would you say you are with your life as a whole?
1 not at all satisfied
2 not too satisfied
3 very satisfied
4 extremely satisfied

Selfreport on single question:

"On the whole, are you satisfied with your life.....?"
5 definitely yes
4 rather yes
3 don't know
2 rather no
1 definitely no
- no reply

Selfreport on single question:

Taking your life as a whole, are you .......?
5 very satisfied
4 quite satisfied
3 more satisfied than discontented
2 more discontented than satisfied
1 quite dissatisfied

This item was followed by eight questions on life domain satisfactions.

Selfreport on single question:

"How satisfying are these different aspects of your life? Indicate the number which best suits your situation. Life as a whole is....."
1 very dissatisfying
2 dissatisfying
3 rather dissatisfying
4 rather satisfying
5 satisfying
6 very satisfying

Numbering reversed in original rating scale
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O-Sum/c/mq/v/5/a Selfreport on 3 questions:

A When you consider your present life as-a-whole, would you say you are....?
5 very happy
4 fairly happy
3 rather happy than unhappy
2 rather unhappy than happy
1 very unhappy
- DK/NA

B When you consider your present life as-a-whole, would you say you are.....?
5 very satisfied
4 fairly satisfied
3 rather satisfied than dissatisfied
2 rather dissatisfied than satisfied
1 fairly dissatisfied
- DK/NA

C How do you feel right now? Is your well-being.....?
5 very high
4 high
3 moderate
2 rather low
1 very low
- DK/NA

Summation: The summed scores were divided in three strata: low, medium and high quality of life

O-Sum/cy/mq/v/7/a Selfreport on four questions:

Using the 1-7 scale below, indicate how much each of the following statements applies for you in the last 18 months.
A In most ways my life is close to ideal
B The conditions of my life are excellent
C I am satisfied with my life
D So far, I have gotten the important things I want in life

Answers rated:
7 applies completely
6 applies
5 applies slightly
4 neither applies nor does not apply
3 slightly not applicable
2 not applicable
1 applies not at all

Computation: A + B + C + D divided by 4

Name: Shortened Diener's Satisfaction with Life Scale (SWLS), last years version
(In the original scale item 5 reads: 'if I could live my life over, I would change nothing'. This item is left out in this selection because a positive answer to this question does not necessarily mean that the respondent doesn't like the life he/she actually lives.)
## Appendix 2: Statistics used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| AoV    | ANALYSIS of VARIANCE (ANOVA)  
Type: statistical procedure  
Measurement level: Correlate(s): nominal, Happiness: metric.  
In an ANOVA, the total happiness variability, expressed as the sum of squares, is split into two or more parts, each of which is assigned to a source of variability. At least one of those sources is the variability of the correlate, in case there is only one, and always one other is the residual variability, which includes all unspecified influences on the happiness variable. Each sum of squares has its own number of degrees of freedom (df), which sum up to Ne -1 for the total variability. If a sum of squares (SS) is divided by its own number of df, a mean square (MS) is obtained. The ratio of two correctly selected mean squares has an F-distribution under the hypothesis that the corresponding association has a zero-value.  
NOTE: A significantly high F-value only indicates that, in case of a single correlate, the largest of the c mean values is systematically larger than the smallest one. Conclusions about the other pairs of means require the application of a Multiple Comparisons Procedure (see e.g. BONFERRONI's MULTIPLE COMPARISON TEST, DUNCAN's MULTIPLE RANGE TEST or STUDENT-NEWMAN-KEULS) |
| B      | REGRESSION COEFFICIENT (non-standardized) by LEAST SQUARES (OLS)  
Type: test statistic  
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric  
Theoretical range: unlimited  
Meaning:  
B > 0 A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.  
B < 0 A higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.  
B = 0 Not any correlation with the relevant correlate. |
| Beta   | (ß) STANDARDIZED REGRESSION COEFFICIENT by LEAST SQUARES (OLS)  
Type: test statistic.  
Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric.  
Range: [-1 ; +1]  
Meaning:  
ß > 0 a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.  
ß < 0 a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.  
ß = 0 no correlation.  
ß = + 1 or -1 perfect correlation.  
Remark:  
Mean of observations is subtracted from all observations if standardized. |
| Chi²   | CHI-SQUARE  
Type: test statistic  
Range: \([0; Ne*(min(c,r)-1)]\), where c and r are the number of columns and rows respectively in a cross tabulation of Ne sample elements.  
Meaning:  
\(\text{Chi}^2 \leq (c-1) \times (r-1)\) means: no association  
\(\text{Chi}^2 \geq (c-1) \times (r-1)\) means: strong association |
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D% DIFFERENCE in PERCENTAGES
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate level: dichotomous, but nominal or ordinal theoretically possible as well.
Happiness level: dichotomous
Range: [-100; +100]
Meaning: the difference of the percentages happy people at two correlate levels.

DM DIFFERENCE of MEANS
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness: metric
Range: depending on the happiness rating scale of the author; range symmetric about zero.
Meaning: the difference of the mean happiness, as measured on the author's rating scale, between the two correlate levels.

DMa DIFFERENCE IN ADJUSTED MEANS, See also Ma
Computed in Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) or in multiple classification analysis (MCA)
Seldom used in happiness research. See excerpted report for further reference.

DMr DIFFERENCE IN MEAN RIDITS
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Happiness ordinal
Range: [0; +1]
Meaning:
Mr < .50: average happiness in this subgroup lower than in the larger population
Mr = .50: average happiness in this subgroup the same as in the larger population
Mr > .50: average happiness in this subgroup higher than in the larger population

'Ridit analysis' compares the distribution of happiness scores in subgroups to its distribution in the entire sample ("Relative to an Identified Distribution")
Testing for significance can be performed through a "BROSS Confidence Interval" (BCI). If all values the BCI for a subgroup are above/below 0.500, the subgroup is significantly more/less happy than the larger population.

G GOODMAN & Kruskal's GAMMA
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: [-1; +1]
Meaning:
G = 0 « no rank correlation
G = +1 « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness ratings.
G = -1 « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness ratings.

mr Mean correlation coefficient (r).
For r, see PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION

OR ODDS RATIO
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness level: dichotomous
Range: nonnegative unlimited
Meaning:
OR = 1 « no association at all;
OR = 0 or infinite « at least one level of the correlate allows an error-free prediction of the happiness.
**PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENT** (Also "Pearson's correlation coefficient' or simply 'correlation coefficient')

Type: test statistic.

Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric

Range: \([-1; +1]\)

Meaning:

\( r = 0 \ « \) no correlation,
\( r = 1 \ « \) perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness values, and
\( r = -1 \ « \) perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness values.

**\( R^2 \)**

**COEFFICIENT of DETERMINATION**

Type: test statistic

Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric

Range: \([0; 1]\)

Meaning:

\( R^2 = 0 \ « \) no influence of any correlate in this study has been established.
\( R^2 = 1 \ « \) the correlates determine the happiness completely.
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T SCHUPROW'S T
Type: test statistic.
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: \([0 ; \sqrt{\frac{\text{min}(r,c)-1}{\text{max}(r,c) -1}}]\), c and r being the numbers of columns resp. rows in a cross tabulation.

Meaning:
\(T = 0\) « no association
\(T -> 1\) « strongest possible association.

NOTE: sometimes the square value is reported instead!

\(\tau\)
GOODMAN & Kruskal's TAU
Type: descriptive statistic only.
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: \([0; +1]\]

Meaning:
\(\tau = 0\) « knowledge of the correlate value does not improve the prediction quality of the happiness rating.
\(\tau = 1\) « knowledge of the correlate value enables a perfect (error-free) prediction of the happiness rating.

\(\tau_b\)
KENDALL'S RANK CORRELATION COEFFICIENT TAU-B
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: \([-1; +1]\]

Meaning:
\(\tau_b = 0\) « no rank correlation
\(\tau_b = 1\) « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with high happiness ratings.
\(\tau_b = -1\) « perfect rank correlation, where high values of the correlate correspond with low happiness ratings.

Appendix 3: About the World Database of Happiness

Structure of the collections

The World Database of Happiness is an ongoing register of scientific research on the subjective enjoyment of life. It brings together findings that are scattered throughout many studies and provides a basis for synthetic work.

World literature on Happiness
Selection on subject
### Appendix 4 Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Subjects</th>
<th>Subject Description</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: LEVEL (how much one does)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>ACTIVITY: PATTERN (what one does)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>AFFECTIVE LIFE</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>AGGRESSION</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>ANOMY</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>APPEARANCE (good looks)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>ATTITUDES</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>AUTHORITARIANISM</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>BIRTH CONTROL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>BIRTH HISTORY (own birth)</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>BODY</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>CHILDREN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>CHILDREN: WANT FOR (Parental aspirations)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>CHILDREN: HAVING (parental status)</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>CHILDREN: CHARACTERISTICS OF ONE’S CHILDREN</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>CHILDREN: RELATION WITH ONE’S CHILDREN</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>CHILDREN: REARING OF ONE’S CHILDREN (parental behavior)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>COMMUNAL LIVING</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>CONCERNS</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>COPING</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>CREATIVENESS</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>CULTURE (Arts and Sciences)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>DAILY JOYS &amp; HASSLES</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>ETHNICITY</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>EXPRESSIVE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>FAMILY OF ORIGIN (earlier family for adults, current for young)</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>FAMILY OF RELATIVES</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>FARMING</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>FREEDOM</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>FRIENDSHIP</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>GRIEF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>HABITS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>HANDICAP</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: VIEWS ON HAPPINESS</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: DISPERSION OF HAPPINESS</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: CAREER</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: CORRESPONDENCE OF DIFFERENT MEASURES</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7</td>
<td>HAPPINESS OF OTHERS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H8</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: REPUTATION OF HAPPINESS</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H9</td>
<td>HEALTH-BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H10</td>
<td>HELPING</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H12</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H13</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: WORK</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14</td>
<td>HOUSING</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1</td>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I2</td>
<td>INSTITUTIONAL LIVING</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I3</td>
<td>INTELLIGENCE</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I4</td>
<td>INTERESTS</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I5</td>
<td>INTERVIEW</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I6</td>
<td>INTIMACY</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>LEADERSHIP</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>LEISURE</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>LIFE APPRAISALS: OTHER THAN HAPPINESS</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>LIFE CHANGE</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>LIFE EVENTS</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>LIFE GOALS</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>LIFE HISTORY</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>LIFE STYLE</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L10</td>
<td>LOCAL ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L11</td>
<td>LOTTERY</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L12</td>
<td>LOVE-LIFE</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: MARITAL STATUS CAREER</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARRIAGE: CURRENT MARITAL STATUS</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARRIAGE: PARTNER</td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEANING</td>
<td>M5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDICAL TREATMENT</td>
<td>M6</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MENTAL HEALTH</td>
<td>M7</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIGRATION: TO OTHER COUNTRY</td>
<td>M8</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIGRATION: MOVING WITHIN COUNTRY (residential mobility)</td>
<td>M9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIGRATION: MIGRANT WORK</td>
<td>M10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILITARY LIFE</td>
<td>M11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERNITY</td>
<td>M12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOOD</td>
<td>M13</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTIVATION</td>
<td>M14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: NATIONALITY</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: ERA (temporal period)</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: NATIONAL CHARACTER (modal personality)</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: CONDITION IN ONE’S NATION</td>
<td>N4</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: POSITION OF ONE’S NATION</td>
<td>N5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: ATTITUDES TO ONE’S NATION</td>
<td>N6</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: LIVABILITY OF ONE’S NATION</td>
<td>N7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATION: ATTITUDES IN</td>
<td>N8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGION IN NATION</td>
<td>N9</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUTRITION</td>
<td>N10</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERA</td>
<td>N21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCCUPATION</td>
<td>O1</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION</td>
<td>O2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONALITY: HISTORY</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONALITY: CHANGE</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONALITY: CURRENT ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONALITY: CURRENT TRAITS</td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONALITY: LATER</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHYSICAL HEALTH</td>
<td>P6</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANNING</td>
<td>P7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLITICAL BEHAVIOUR</td>
<td>P8</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPULARITY</td>
<td>P9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSSESSIONS</td>
<td>P10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRISON</td>
<td>P11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBLEMS</td>
<td>P12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSYCHO-SOMATIC COMPLAints</td>
<td>P13</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETS</td>
<td>P14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELIGION</td>
<td>R1</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCES</td>
<td>R2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIREMENT</td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLES</td>
<td>R4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCHOOL</td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF-IMAGE</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEX-LIFE</td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLEEP</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 5: Related Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Related Subject(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M3 MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>I6 INTIMACY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>L11 LOTTERY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3 MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>S3 SEX-LIFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1 Relationship career</td>
<td>M1 MARRIAGE: MARITAL STATUS CAREER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.1 Earlier marriage relationship(s)</td>
<td>M1.1.2.3 ever remarried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.1.2 satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>M3.3.3 Satisfaction with marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.2 Change in marriage relationship</td>
<td>T2.2.3 Effects of family-marriage therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.2.2 change in satisfaction with marriage</td>
<td>M3.3.3 Satisfaction with marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.3.2 earlier satisfaction with current marriage-relationship</td>
<td>M3.3.3 Satisfaction with marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.1.4.2 satisfaction with later marriage-relationship(s)</td>
<td>M3.3.3 Satisfaction with marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.2 Intimacy with spouse</td>
<td>I6 INTIMACY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.3.2 conjugal fidelity</td>
<td>S3.2.3 Sexual monogamy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3.2.4 Mutual support</td>
<td>M4.4.1 Support by spouse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness and MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP

M3.2.4  Mutual support
M3.2.4  Mutual support
M3.2.5  Shared activities
M3.2.6  Tensions in relationship
M3.3.1  Concern about marriage relationship
M3.3.1  Concern about marriage relationship
M3.3.2  Self-perceived adequacy in marriage
M3.3.3  Satisfaction with marriage
M3.3.3  Satisfaction with marriage

S10  SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED
S11  SOCIAL SUPPORT: PROVIDED
L3.3.2  Specific leisure activities
M3.1.3.1  earlier characteristics of current marriage-relationship
P12.2.2.2  problems with family-marriage
W7.2.2  Specific worries
S2.2.1  Self-perceived ability
M3.1.1.2  satisfaction with earlier marriage-relationship(s)
M3.1.4.2  satisfaction with later marriage-relationship(s)
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