

How Should Journal Quality be Ranked? An Application to Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics*

Chia-Lin Chang

Department of Applied Economics
Department of Finance
National Chung Hsing University

Michael McAleer

Econometric Institute
Erasmus School of Economics
Erasmus University Rotterdam
and
Tinbergen Institute
The Netherlands
and
Department of Quantitative Economics
Complutense University of Madrid
and
Institute of Economic Research
Kyoto University
and
Department of Finance
National Chung Hsing University

EI 2011-43

December 2011

*For financial support, the first author wishes to thank the National Science Council, Taiwan, and the second author wishes to acknowledge the Australian Research Council, National Science Council, Taiwan, and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Abstract

The Thomson Reuters ISI Web of Science citations database (hereafter ISI) category of Economics has one of the largest numbers of journals, at 304, of any ISI discipline, and hence has wide coverage. The paper analyses the leading international journals in the Economics sub-disciplines of Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics using quantifiable Research Assessment Measures (RAMs), and highlights the similarities and differences in alternative RAMs. The RAMs are based on alternative transformations of citations taken from the ISI database. Alternative RAMs may be calculated annually or updated daily to answer the perennial questions as to When, Where and How (frequently) published papers are cited (see Chang et al. (2011a, b, c)). The RAMs include the most widely used RAM, namely the classic 2-year impact factor including journal self citations (2YIF), 2-year impact factor excluding journal self citations (2YIF*), 5-year impact factor including journal self citations (5YIF), Immediacy (or zero-year impact factor (0YIF)), Eigenfactor, Article Influence, C3PO (Citation Performance Per Paper Online), h-index, PI-BETA (Papers Ignored - By Even The Authors), 2-year Self-citation Threshold Approval Ratings (2Y-STAR), Historical Self-citation Threshold Approval Ratings (H-STAR), Impact Factor Inflation (IFI), and Cited Article Influence (CAI). As data are not available for 5YIF, Article Influence and CAI for one of the 20 journals considered, 13 RAMs are analysed for 19 highly-cited journals in Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics in the ISI category of Economics. Harmonic mean rankings of the 13 RAMs for the 19 highly-cited journals are also presented. It is shown that emphasizing the 2-year impact factor of a journal, which partly answers the question as to When published papers are cited, to the exclusion of other informative RAMs, which answer Where and How (frequently) published papers are cited, can lead to a distorted evaluation of journal impact and influence relative to the Harmonic Mean rankings.

Keywords: Research assessment measures, Impact factor, IFI, C3PO, PI-BETA, STAR, Eigenfactor, Article Influence, h-index.

JEL Classifications: Q10, Q20, Q30, Q40, Q50.

1. Introduction

The Thomson Reuters ISI Web of Science (2011) database (hereafter ISI) is widely-regarded as the leading high quality database for generating Research Assessment Measures (RAMs) to evaluate the research performance of individual researchers and the quality of academic journals. The RAMs are based on alternative transformations of citations data from the ISI database. Although there are caveats regarding the methodology and data collection methods underlying any database (see, for example, Seglen (1997) and Chang et al. (2011a, b, c, d) for caveats regarding ISI), the ISI citations database is the oldest source of rankings criteria and the benchmark against which other databases are compared.

In recent years, various RAMs have been used to compare journals in a wide range of ISI disciplines and sub-disciplines, such as Economics, Management, Finance and Marketing (Chang et al. (2011a)), 20 leading ISI disciplines in the Sciences (Chang et al (2011b)), Econometrics (Chang et al. (2011c)), Neuroscience (Chang et al. (2011d)), and Tourism and Hospitality (Chang and McAleer (2011)). As the alternative RAMs are based on citations, the rankings methodology can be applied to any discipline or sub-discipline in the sciences or social sciences.

The ISI category of Economics is interesting and intriguing as it has one of the largest numbers of journals, at 304, of any ISI discipline, and hence has very wide coverage, including numerous sub-disciplines, as follows: accounting; agricultural economics; applied econometrics; applied economics; banking and finance; behavioural finance; cliometrics; comparative economics; cultural change; computational economics; defence and peace economics; demography; derivatives research; development economics; ecological economics; econometric theory; economic and human biology; economic geography; economic growth; economic history; economic inequality; economic perspectives; economic policy; economic psychology; economic theory; economics and philosophy; economics and sociology; economics of education; economics of transition; emerging markets; empirical economics; energy economics; environmental economics; evolutionary economics; experimental economics; feminist economics; financial economics; financial stability; food policy; forecasting; forest economics; futures markets; game theory; health economics; history of economic thought; housing economics; income and wealth; industrial organization and economics; information economics; innovation; insurance; international economics;

international money and finance; labour economics; land economics; law and economics; macroeconomics; mathematical economics; management and strategy; media economics; microeconomics; monetary economics; network economics; organisational economics; pension economics; political economy; population economics; post-Keynesian economics; productivity analysis; public economics; real estate economics; regional science; regulatory economics; resource economics; risk and uncertainty; social choice; spatial economics; taxation; time series analysis; transportation economics; urban economics; and welfare economics, among others.

It is well known that comparing the impact of journals in different disciplines can lead to misleading conclusions. Given the extremely wide coverage of sub-disciplines in economics, among others, it is also not straightforward to compare the quality of journals across a variety of sub-disciplines or against leading generalist journals. Of the 304 journals in the ISI category of Economics, there are 20 journals in the broadly similar sub-disciplines of Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics (see Table 1). Although this number may be relatively small numerically in comparison with the total number of journals in Economics, 20 journals nonetheless provide a critical mass for purposes of analysing the citations and impact of these leading journals. As the leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics have not yet been analysed in terms of citations and impact on the academic profession, one of the primary aims of this paper is to undertake such an assessment.

It has frequently been emphasized in ISI Web of Science (2011), and elsewhere, that journal citations data should be used carefully, otherwise misleading and inappropriate inferences can be drawn. Seglen (1997) argues strongly against using impact factors of journals to evaluate scientific research. Accepting that citations measures are here to stay, Hirsch (2005) invented a widely-used citations measure, the h-index, for quantifying an academic's scientific research output. In addition to evaluating the research output of individuals, the h-index is now also routinely used to quantify the scientific output published in academic and professional journals.

Publishing research of high quality and significant impact is fundamental to progress in the sciences and social sciences. From a career perspective, the perceived research performance of individual researchers can be crucial for hiring, tenure and promotion decisions worldwide.

In the absence of appropriate information regarding the perceived quality of an individual's research output, the perceived quality of academic journals has long been used as a proxy for determining the quality of academic research publications. Such a proxy may not be especially meaningful for established researchers, especially in the sciences, whereby an individual's scientific research output and impact can be measured by, for example, the h-index (Hirsch (2005)), which examines the number of citations for specific papers as well as the total number of citations. However, for early career researchers who may not yet have many citations, the quality of an individual's scientific research output is frequently based on the perceived quality of the journals in which research output has been published. This is especially true in economics and its sub-disciplines,

Seglen (1997) finds that the citations rates of published papers determine the impact factor of journals, though the reverse does not hold. It is well known that the perceived quality of a journal can be an inappropriate and misleading proxy for the perceived quality of a published paper. The quality and impact of an academic journal are typically based on outstanding published papers. However, publication in a leading journal should not be taken to be an accurate reflection of the quality of a published paper, especially when the paper has not yet received many, or possibly any, citations.

Leading journals tend to publish important papers, the number of which can be measured using a journal's h-index, among other measures. Such journals typically increase the visibility of the research findings of published papers, which may subsequently lead to higher citations. Otherwise, there would seem to be little point in publishing in leading journals, especially as one of the primary purposes in writing papers, especially in the sciences, is to encourage citations.

As has been argued in Chang et al. (2011a, b, c), the acceptance of a paper for publication in a journal is typically based on the presumed expertise of a member of the Editorial Board of a journal and a small number of referees, with the specific number of referees varying considerably across disciplines. These professionals determine the rejection rate of a journal **before** a paper is published. Given the propensity of members of editorial boards and referees to exhibit errors of judgment, it is worthwhile recognizing that the implicit rejection rate after a paper has been published in a journal depends on the worldwide scientific community. Consequently, the proportion of published papers that is ignored by the profession, and

possibly even by the authors themselves, is an important impact performance measure **after** publication. The worldwide scientific community is less likely to make serious errors of judgment regarding the quality of academic research papers after they have been published, especially after several years have passed, than a small group of Editorial Board members and referees who are required to make difficult and tenuous judgments regarding the quality of a paper before publication.

Citations capture both the impact of a journal and the impact or performance of individual researchers. Citations should be, and are, more important than publications for individual researchers, especially in the sciences. As the primary quantitative method of evaluating journal impact is through citations, it is not surprising that all RAMs are based, directly or indirectly, on citations.

This paper examines the importance of RAMs as viable rankings criteria in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics, and attempts to answer some important questions raised in Chang et al. (2011a, b, c), namely When, Where and How (frequently) are published papers cited in leading journals in a discipline or range of sub-disciplines. In this paper, we ask the same questions of the leading ISI journals in the sub-disciplines of Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics, and evaluate the usefulness of 13 existing RAMs for 19 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics in the ISI category of Economics.

The plan of the remainder of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents some key RAMs using ISI data that may be calculated annually or updated daily, including the most widely used RAM, namely the classic 2-year impact factor including journal self citations (2YIF), 2-year impact factor excluding journal self citations (2YIF*), 5-year impact factor including journal self citations (5YIF), Immediacy (or zero-year impact factor (0YIF)), Eigenfactor, Article Influence, C3PO (Citation Performance Per Paper Online), h-index, PI-BETA (Papers Ignored - By Even The Authors), 2-year Self-citation Threshold Approval Ratings (2Y-STAR), Historical Self-citation Threshold Approval Ratings (H-STAR), Impact Factor Inflation (IFI), and Cited Article Influence (CAI). Section 3 discusses and analyses 13 RAMs for 19 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics in the ISI category of Economics. Section 4 summarizes the ranking outcomes and gives some practical suggestions as to how to rank journal quality..

2. Research Assessment Measures (RAM)

As discussed in ISI Web of Science (2011) and a number of papers, such as Chang et al. (2011a, b, c), the RAMs are intended as descriptive statistics to capture journal impact and performance, and are not based on a mathematical model. Hence, in what follows, no optimization or estimation is required in calculating the alternative RAMs.

As the alternative RAMs that are provided in ISI and in several recent publications may not be widely known, this section provides a brief description and definition of 13 RAMs that may be calculated annually or updated daily to answer the questions as to When, and Where and How (frequently), published papers are cited (for further details, see Chang et al. (2011a, b, c)). The answers to When published papers are cited are based on the set {2YIF, 2YIF*, 5YIF, Immediacy}, and the answers to Where and How (frequently) published papers are cited are based on the set {Eigenfactor, Article Influence, IFI, H-STAR, 2Y-STAR, C3PO, h-index, PI-BETA, CAI}.

2.1 Annual RAM

With two exceptions, namely Eigenfactor and Article Influence, existing RAMs are reported separately for the sciences and social sciences. RAMs may be computed annually or updated daily. The annual RAMs given below are calculated for a Journal Citations Reports (JCR) calendar year, which is the year before the annual RAM are released. For example, the RAMs were released in late-June 2011 for the JCR calendar year 2010.

(1) 2-year impact factor including journal self citations (2YIF):

The classic 2-year impact factor including journal self citations (2YIF) of a journal is typically referred to as “the impact factor”, is calculated annually, and is defined as “Total citations in a year to papers published in a journal in the previous 2 years / Total papers published in a journal in the previous 2 years”. The choice of 2 years by ISI is arbitrary.

(2) 2-year impact factor excluding journal self citations (2YIF*):

ISI also reports a 2-year impact factor without journal self citations (that is, citations to a journal in which a citing paper is published), which is calculated annually. As this impact factor is not widely known or used, Chang et al. (2011c) refer to this RAM as 2YIF*.

(3) 5-year impact factor including journal self citations (5YIF):

The 5-year impact factor including journal self citations (5YIF) of a journal is calculated annually, and is defined as “Total citations in a year to papers published in a journal in the previous 5 years / Total papers published in a journal in the previous 5 years.” The choice of 5 years by ISI is arbitrary.

(4) Immediacy, or zero-year impact factor including journal self citations (0YIF):

Immediacy is a zero-year impact factor including journal self citations (0YIF) of a journal, is calculated annually, and is defined as “Total citations to papers published in a journal in the same year / Total papers published in a journal in the same year.” The choice of the same year by ISI is arbitrary, but the nature of Immediacy makes it clear that a very short run outcome is under consideration.

(5) Eigenfactor:

The Eigenfactor score (see Bergstrom (2007), Bergstrom and West (2008), Bergstrom, West and Wiseman (2008)) is a modified 5YIF, and is calculated annually. The Eigenfactor algorithm (see www.eigenfactor.org/methods.htm) effectively ranks journals according to citations and the length of time that researchers are logged on to a journal’s website. To state the obvious, Eigenfactor does not check how much time researchers spend reading hard copies of journals, which would require extensive surveys across a wide range of disciplines, but it does provide an indication as to how much time researchers might spend reading or scanning articles on a journal’s website.

(6) Article Influence:

Article Influence (see Bergstrom (2007), Bergstrom and West (2008), Bergstrom, West and Wiseman (2008)) measures the relative importance of a journal on a per-article basis, is a standardized Eigenfactor score, and is calculated annually. Article Influence is defined as “Eigenfactor score divided by the fraction of all articles published by a journal.”

(7) IFI:

The ratio of 2YIF to 2YIF* is intended to capture how journal self citations can inflate the impact factor of a journal, whether this is a decision made independently by publishing authors or as an administrative decision undertaken by a journal's editors. Chang et al. (2011a) define Impact Factor Inflation (IFI) as " $IFI = 2YIF / 2YIF^*$ ". The minimum value for IFI is 1, with any value above the minimum capturing the effect of journal self citations on the 2-year impact factor.

(8) H-STAR:

ISI has implicitly recognized the inflation in journal self citations by calculating an impact factor that excludes self citations, and provides data on journal self citations, both historically and for the preceding two years, in calculating 2YIF. Chang et al. (2011b) define the Self-citation Threshold Approval Rating (STAR) as the percentage difference between citations in other journals and journal self citations. Defining HS = historical journal self citations, then " $H-STAR = [(100-HS) - HS] = (100-2HS)$ ". If HS = 0 (minimum), 25, 50 or 100 (maximum) percent, for example, H-STAR = 100, 50, 0 and -100, respectively.

(9) 2Y-STAR:

Defining 2YS = journal self citations over the preceding 2-year period, then " $2Y-STAR = [(100-2YS) - 2YS] = (100-2(2YS))$ ". If 2YS = 0 (minimum), 25, 50 or 100 (maximum) percent, for example, 2Y-STAR = 100, 50, 0 and -100, respectively.

2.2 Daily Updated RAM

Some RAMs are updated daily, and are reported for a given day in a calendar year rather than for a JCR year.

(10) C3PO:

ISI reports the mean number of citations for a journal, namely total citations up to a given day divided by the number of papers published in a journal up to the same day, as the "average" number of citations. In order to distinguish the mean from the median and mode, the C3PO of an ISI journal on any given day is defined by Chang et al. (2011a) as "C3PO (Citation Performance Per Paper Online) = Total citations to a journal / Total papers published in a journal." [Note: C3PO should not be confused with C-3PO, the Star Wars android.]

(11) h-index:

The h-index (Hirsch, 2005)) was originally proposed to assess the scientific research productivity and citations impact of individual researchers. However, the h-index can also be calculated for journals, and should be interpreted as assessing the impact or influence of highly cited journal publications. The h-index of a journal on any given day is based on cited and citing papers, including journal self citations, and is defined as “h-index = number of published papers, where each has at least h citations.”

(12) PI-BETA:

This RAM measures the proportion of papers in a journal that has never been cited, As such, PI-BETA is, in effect, a rejection rate of a journal **after** publication. Chang et al. (2011c) argue that lack of citations of a published paper, especially if it is not a recent publication, reflects on the quality of a journal by exposing: (i) what might be considered as incorrect decisions by the members of the editorial board of a journal; and (ii) the lost opportunities of papers that might have been cited had they not been rejected by the journal. Chang et al. (2011c) propose that a paper with zero citations in ISI journals can be measured by PI-BETA (= Papers Ignored (PI) - By Even The Authors (BETA)), which is calculated for an ISI journal on any given day as “Number of papers with zero citations in a journal / Total papers published in a journal.”

(13) CAI:

Article Influence is intended to measure the average influence of an article across the sciences and social sciences. As an article with zero citations typically does not have any (academic) influence, a more suitable measure of the influence of cited articles would seem to be Cited Article Influence (CAI). Chang et al. (2011b) define CAI as “CAI = (1 - PI-BETA)(Article Influence)”. If PI-BETA = 0, then CAI is equivalent to Article Influence; if PI-BETA = 1, then CAI = 0. As Article Influence is calculated annually and PI-BETA is updated daily, CAI may be updated daily.

3. Analysis of RAMs for ISI Journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics

The ISI category of Economics has 304 journals, 20 of which cover Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics (see Table 1). Although there are some overlapping sub-disciplines in terms of journal titles, such as environmental and resource economics, there are 10 journals with “agrarian” or “agricultural”, 3 with “energy”, 3 with “environmental”, and 3 with “resource”, in their titles. One of the journals is a recent inclusion in ISI, with Journal of Agrarian Change having been included for less than 5 years. As 5YIF, Article Influence and CAI data are not available for this journal, the 13 RAMs are analysed for the remaining 19 leading Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics journals in the ISI category of Economics in Tables 2-4.

Only articles from the ISI Web of Science are included in the citations data. The citations data for all journals were downloaded from ISI on 10 August 2011. As daily RAMs are not reported when there are more than 10,000 articles, the data for American Journal of Agricultural Economics are from 1984. Data for all other journals are from their inception.

In Table 1 we evaluate the 20 most highly-cited journals, which are ranked according to 2YIF, in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics. Two of the 3 environmental economics journals are in the leading 2 positions, the 3 energy economics journals are among the leading 7 journals, the 3 resource economics journals are in the middle of the group, and 9 of the 10 agricultural economics journals are among the lowest 12 journals.

As can be seen from Table 1, the means and ranges of 2YIF are, respectively, 1.366 and (0.167, 2.989), of 2YIF* are 1.211 and (0.167, 2.809), of 5YIF are 1.677 and ((0.167, 3.146), and of Immediacy are 0.330 and (0, 1.176). These impact factors are consistent with the related areas of general economics, finance, management, and marketing (see Chang et al. (2011a)), but are lower than many disciplines in the sciences (see Chang et al. (2011b)). In Table 1, 5YIF is typically higher than 2YIF, which is to be expected in economics, with 5YIF being lower than 2YIF only for Agricultural Economics – Blackwell.

Journal self citations in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics seem relatively low, with a mean IFI of 1.124 and a range of (1, 1.316), with the two highest IFI scores being 1.316 and 1.295. On average, the 19 journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics have 2YIF that is inflated by a factor of 1.124

through journal self citations. It is worth highlighting that 3 of the 20 journals had zero self citations.

The h-index has a mean of 28 and a range of (2, 75), with the highest 3 journals having h-indexes of 75, 63 and 60, which suggests a relatively large number of highly-cited papers in these 3 journals. In terms of average citations, C3PO has a mean of 4.98 and a range of (0.23, 20.04), with much of the contribution to the mean coming from one journal. Eigenfactor has a mean of 0.00297 and a range of (0.00002, 0.00868), with 4 journals clearly having the highest scores, and hence the greatest influence, in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics. Article Influence has a mean of 0.733 and a range of (0.027, 2.070), while Cited Article Influence (CAI) has a mean of 0.480 and a range of (0.004, 1.528). The leading 2 journals ranked according to 2YIF in Table 1 have by far the highest Article Influence and CAI scores.

Further to the interpretation of the Eigenfactor score, Fersht (2009) showed that there was a very high positive correlation between Eigenfactor and the total number of journal citations, with a correlation coefficient of 0.968 for the top 200 cited ISI journals in 2007. Such a high correlation is not entirely surprising as it captures the size-effect of journals, with the total number of publications and total citations typically being positively and highly correlated. Eigenfactor is not highly correlated with the other 12 RAMs in Table 1, so it provides useful bibliometric information compared with the other RAMs.

H-STAR and 2Y-STAR for the 20 journals are remarkably high, with a mean of 82 and a range of (48, 100) for H-STAR, and a similar mean of 80 and a similar range of (52, 100) for 2Y-STAR. The H-STAR and 2Y-STAR means of 82 and 80 reflect journal self citations of 9% and 10%, respectively, historically and for the preceding two years. For nearly all the journals, self citations have changed little over the preceding two years as compared with historical levels. These outcomes are generally consistent with the IFI outcomes.

The PI-BETA outcomes are revealing. The mean is 0.433 so that, on average, more than 2 of every 5 papers that are published in the leading 20 journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics are not cited. The range of (0.078, 0.844) suggests that the journal with the highest percentage of cited papers, Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, has fewer than one uncited paper for every 10 published papers,

while the journal with the lowest percentage of cited papers, China Agricultural Economic Review, has more than 8 uncited papers for every 10 published papers. Seven of the 20 journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics have PI-BETA that exceeds 0.5, which suggests that 1 of every 2 published papers in these journals has zero citations.

The PI-BETA scores are similar to the values observed in the leading journals in economics, finance, management and marketing (see Chang et al. (2011a)), and also in comparison with the sciences (see Chang et al. (2011b)). As it is widely held, especially in the sciences, that the primary purpose in writing papers is to be cited, and not just to be published, the citations in the leading Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics journals are consistent with the discipline of Economics.

The simple correlations of the 13 RAMs for the 19 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics are given in Table 2. The 10 RAM pairs for which the correlations exceed 0.9 (in absolute value) are, in decreasing order: (IFI, 2Y-STAR), (2YIF, 2YIF*), (Article Influence, CAI), (2YIF, 5YIF), (2YIF*, CAI), (2YIF*, Article Influence), (2YIF*, 5YIF), (2YIF, Article Influence), (2YIF, CAI), and (5YIF, Article Influence). There are also 4 RAM pairs for which the simple correlations are in the range (0.8, 0.9), in absolute value. The correlation of -0.998 between IFI and 2Y-STAR is extremely high, which suggests that the inflation in journal self citations and the 2-year Self-citation Threshold Approval Rating are very similar, at least for journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics. A similar comment applies to the very high simple correlation between Article Influence and CAI in Table 1.

It remains to be seen whether an emphasis on the classic 2-year impact factor of a journal, 2YIF, to the exclusion of other 12 informative RAMs, can lead to a distorted evaluation of journal quality, impact and influence. In order to give a summary measure of the 13 RAMs, 9 of which, namely 2YIF, 2YIF*, 5YIF, Immediacy, IFI, C3PO, PI-BETA, Article Influence and CAI, are based on ratios, the rankings of the 19 journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics given in Table 3 are based on the harmonic mean, which is given in the last column as Harmonic Mean.

In comparison with the rankings in Table 1 that were based on 2YIF, only the first 2 journals, namely Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, and Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, the number 7 ranked journal, Land Economics, the number 10 ranked journal, American Journal of Agricultural Economics, and the number 15 ranked journal, Journal of Forest Economics, remain unchanged in Table 3. Two journals to have moved up considerably are Review of Agricultural Economics (13 places, from 17 to 4), and China Agricultural Economic Review (13 places, from 19 to 6). In the other direction, Food Policy dropped by 7 from 4 to 11, Annual Review of Resource Economics fell by 6 from 13 to 19, Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics lost 5 positions from 11 to 16, and Agricultural Economics – Blackwell fell by 4 from 8 to 12.

Based on the Harmonic Mean, the top 2 positions are filled by Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, and Review of Environmental Economics and Policy. A further 2 of the top 5 positions are taken by Resource and Energy Economics, and Energy Economics. Two of the top 6 journals are Review of Agricultural Economics and China Agricultural Economic Review. Thus, each of the sub-disciplines of Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics is represented by at least one journal in the top 6.

Using the Harmonic Mean, the leading journal is Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, which is ranked number 1 according to 5 RAMs, while the number 2 journal, Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, is ranked number 1 according to 4 RAMs. In fact, each of the top 6 ranked journals is number 1 according to at least one RAM. In this sense, the use of the harmonic mean may be seen as rewarding or penalizing widely-varying rankings across the 13 RAMs. Apart from the number 1 ranked journal, Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, for which the range of rankings is a narrow (1, 7), and the number 3 ranked journal, Resource and Energy Economics, which also has a narrow range of rankings of (1, 9), 3 of the remaining top 5 journals have a wide range of rankings. The number 2 journal, Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, has a range of rankings of (1, 16), the number 4 journal, Review of Agricultural Economics, has a range of (1, 17), and the number 5 journal, Energy Economics, has a range of (1, 19).

The harmonic mean rewards journals with strong individual performances according to one or more RAMs, so that even one very strong performance can lead to a high, or greatly improved, ranking. This is certainly the case for Review of Agricultural Economics, which

was ranked number 1 according to 3 RAMs and number 17 according to 4 RAMs, and China Agricultural Economic Review, which was ranked number 1 according to 3 RAMs and number 19 according to 9 RAMs.

The simple ranking correlations of the 13 RAMs for the 14 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics, based on the rankings in Table 3, are given in Table 4. The simple correlations of the 13 RAMs for the 19 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics are given in Table 2. The correlations in Table 4 broadly mirror the simple correlations in Table 2 for the RAM scores. The 8 RAM pairs for which the correlations exceed 0.9 (in absolute value) are, in decreasing order: (IFI, 2Y-STAR), (2YIF, 2YIF*), (5YIF, Article Influence), (2YIF*, Article Influence), (2YIF, Article Influence), (Article Influence, CAI), (2YIF, 5YIF), and (2YIF*, 5YIF). There are also 11 RAM pairs for which the simple correlations are in the range (0.8, 0.9), in absolute value. The correlations of 0.996 and 0.991 for the pairs (IFI, 2Y-STAR) and (2YIF, 2YIF*), respectively, suggest that the rankings according to IFI and 2Y-STAR, as well as according to 2YIF and 2YIF*, would be virtually identical. Moreover, the rankings according to Article Influence are highly correlated with each of 5YIF, 2YIF* and 2YIF, at 0.956, 0.935 and 0.93, respectively.

The ranking correlation of 0.568 for the RAM pair (2YIF, Harmonic Mean) in Table 4 suggests that the classic two-year impact factor is not highly correlated with the Harmonic Mean. Indeed, the simple correlations of the Harmonic Mean with each of CAI, Article Influence, C3PO, 2YIF* and PI-BETA are higher than between the Harmonic Mean and 2YIF, for which the simple correlation is the same as between the Harmonic Mean and Eigenfactor. Thus, 2YIF would not seem to be the single RAM to use if it were intended to capture the Harmonic Mean. In fact, using 2YIF as a single RAM to capture the quality of a journal would lead to a distorted evaluation of a journal's impact and influence.

4. Concluding Remarks

This paper analysed the leading 19 journals in the sub-disciplines of Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics in the ISI category of Economics using 13 quantifiable Research Assessment Measures (RAMs). Alternative RAMs were discussed for

the Thomson Reuters ISI Web of Science (2011) database (hereafter ISI). The 13 RAMs that may be calculated annually or updated daily are intended to answer the questions as to When, and Where and How (frequently), published papers are cited. The answers to When published papers are cited are based on the set {2YIF, 2YIF*, 5YIF, Immediacy}, and the answers to Where and How (frequently) published papers are cited are based on the set {Eigenfactor, Article Influence, Cited Article Influence, IFI, H-STAR, 2Y-STAR, C3PO, h-index, PI-BETA}.

The paper highlighted the similarities and differences in alternative RAMs, and showed that several RAMs were highly correlated with existing RAMs, so that they had little informative incremental value in capturing the impact and performance of the highly-cited journals. Other RAMs were not highly correlated pairwise, thereby providing additional information about journal impact and influence.

Harmonic mean rankings of the 13 RAM were also presented for these 19 leading journals in Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics. When the journals were ranked according to the Harmonic Mean, the simple correlation between 2YIF and Harmonic Mean was found to be 0.568, which is less than the simple correlations of the Harmonic Mean with each of CAI, Article Influence, C3PO, 2YIF* and PI-BETA.

It was also shown that emphasizing the 2-year impact factor of a journal, which partly answers the question as to When published papers are cited, to the exclusion of other informative RAMs, which answer Where and How (frequently) published papers are cited, can lead to a distorted evaluation of a journal's impact and influence. The harmonic mean rankings provide a more robust measure of citations and impact than relying solely on the 2-year impact factor.

References

- Bergstrom C. (2007), Eigenfactor: Measuring the value and prestige of scholarly journals, *C&RL News*, 68, 314-316.
- Bergstrom, C.T. and J.D. West (2008), Assessing citations with the Eigenfactor™ metrics, *Neurology*, 71, 1850–1851.
- Bergstrom, C.T., J.D. West and M.A. Wiseman (2008), The Eigenfactor™ metrics, *Journal of Neuroscience*, 28(45), 11433–11434 (November 5, 2008).
- Chang, C.-L. and M. McAleer (2011), Citations and impact of ISI tourism and hospitality journals, to appear in *Tourism Management Perspectives*.
- Chang, C.-L., M. McAleer and L. Oxley (2011a), What makes a great journal great in economics? The singer not the song, *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 25(2), 326-361.
- Chang, C.-L., M. McAleer and L. Oxley (2011b), What makes a great journal great in the sciences? Which came first, the chicken or the egg?, *Scientometrics*, 87(1), 17-40.
- Chang, C.-L., M. McAleer and L. Oxley (2011c), Great expectatrics: Great papers, great journals, great econometrics, *Econometric Reviews*, 30(6), 583-619.
- Chang, C.-L., M. McAleer and L. Oxley (2011d), How are journal impact, prestige and article influence related? An application to neuroscience, *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 38(11), 2563-2573.
- Fersht, A. (2009), The most influential journals: Impact factor and Eigenfactor, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(17), 6883-6884 (April 28, 2009).
- Hirsch, J.E. (2005), An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(46), 16569-15572 (November 15, 2005).
- ISI Web of Science (2011), *Journal Citation Reports, Essential Science Indicators*, Thomson Reuters ISI.
- Seglen, P.O. (1997), Why the impact factor of journals should not be used for evaluating research, *BMJ: British Medical Journal*, 314(7079), 498-502.

Table 1
Research Assessment Measure (RAM) Scores for 20 Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics Journals

| Journal | 2YIF | 2YIF* | IFI | 5YIF | Immediacy | h-index | C3PO | PI-BETA | Eigenfactor | Article Influence | CAI | H-STAR | 2Y-STAR |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| J ENVIRON ECON MANAG | 2.989 | 2.809 | 1.064 | 3.029 | 0.300 | 75 | 20.04 | 0.078 | 0.00752 | 1.608 | 1.483 | 92 | 88 |
| REV ENV ECON POLICY | 2.781 | 2.656 | 1.047 | 3.146 | 1.176 | 12 | 5.36 | 0.262 | 0.00194 | 2.070 | 1.528 | 88 | 92 |
| ENERG ECON | 2.449 | 1.861 | 1.316 | 2.903 | 0.238 | 40 | 7.39 | 0.228 | 0.00868 | 0.982 | 0.758 | 52 | 52 |
| J AGRAR CHANGE | 1.881 | 1.452 | 1.295 | - | 1.625 | 10 | 1.77 | 0.617 | 0.00121 | - | - | 48 | 56 |
| FOOD POLICY | 1.831 | 1.581 | 1.158 | 2.459 | 0.242 | 29 | 3.18 | 0.501 | 0.00376 | 0.828 | 0.413 | 78 | 74 |
| RESOUR ENERGY ECON | 1.778 | 1.778 | 1.000 | 1.865 | 0.429 | 29 | 8.59 | 0.239 | 0.00202 | 0.936 | 0.712 | 94 | 100 |
| ENERG J | 1.391 | 1.283 | 1.084 | 2.000 | 0.341 | 32 | 6.31 | 0.403 | 0.00459 | 1.035 | 0.618 | 86 | 86 |
| LAND ECON | 1.375 | 1.318 | 1.043 | 1.851 | 0.455 | 60 | 8.24 | 0.331 | 0.00323 | 0.850 | 0.569 | 90 | 92 |
| AGR ECON-BLACKWELL | 1.329 | 1.186 | 1.121 | 1.320 | 0.114 | 31 | 5.44 | 0.297 | 0.00386 | 0.548 | 0.385 | 84 | 80 |
| ENVIRON RESOUR ECON | 1.297 | 1.143 | 1.135 | 1.743 | 0.365 | 34 | 7.29 | 0.214 | 0.00650 | 0.824 | 0.648 | 84 | 78 |
| AM J AGR ECON | 1.233 | 1.008 | 1.223 | 1.607 | 0.118 | 63 | 3.95 | 0.676 | 0.00668 | 0.658 | 0.213 | 68 | 64 |
| AUST J AGR RESOUR EC | 1.117 | 0.983 | 1.136 | 1.374 | 0.088 | 20 | 3.39 | 0.497 | 0.00138 | 0.510 | 0.257 | 88 | 78 |
| EUR REV AGRIC ECON | 1.065 | 0.870 | 1.224 | 1.783 | 0.217 | 26 | 4.39 | 0.508 | 0.00144 | 0.641 | 0.315 | 86 | 64 |
| ANNU REV RESOUR ECON | 1.000 | 0.828 | 1.208 | 1.000 | 0.056 | 5 | 1.46 | 0.479 | 0.00017 | 0.304 | 0.158 | 60 | 66 |
| J AGR ECON | 0.969 | 0.875 | 1.107 | 1.549 | 0.235 | 29 | 2.71 | 0.589 | 0.00147 | 0.523 | 0.215 | 90 | 82 |
| J FOREST ECON | 0.867 | 0.800 | 1.084 | 1.453 | 0.238 | 7 | 1.97 | 0.450 | 0.00073 | 0.497 | 0.273 | 82 | 86 |
| J AGR RESOUR ECON | 0.750 | 0.661 | 1.135 | 0.790 | 0.000 | 24 | 2.47 | 0.649 | 0.00101 | 0.331 | 0.116 | 88 | 78 |
| REV AGR ECON | 0.582 | 0.582 | 1.000 | 0.873 | 0.000 | 15 | 3.07 | 0.389 | 0.00202 | 0.400 | 0.244 | 100 | 100 |
| CAN J AGR ECON | 0.477 | 0.431 | 1.107 | 0.950 | 0.357 | 13 | 2.33 | 0.409 | 0.00109 | 0.351 | 0.207 | 82 | 82 |
| CHINA AGR ECON REV | 0.167 | 0.167 | 1.000 | 0.167 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.23 | 0.844 | 0.00002 | 0.027 | 0.004 | 100 | 100 |
| Mean | 1.366 | 1.211 | 1.124 | 1.677 | 0.330 | 28 | 4.98 | 0.433 | 0.00297 | 0.733 | 0.480 | 82 | 80 |

Notes: Journal acronyms are from ISI. Daily RAMs are not reported when there are more than 10,000 articles, so the data for American Journal of Agricultural Economics are from 1984. Data for all other journals are from their inception. The data were downloaded from ISI on 10 August 2011. The journals are ranked according to 2YIF.

Table 2
Correlations of RAM Scores for 19 Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics Journals

| | 2YIF | 2YIF* | IFI | 5YIF | Immediacy | h-index | C3PO | PI-BETA | Eigenfactor | Article Influence | CAI | H-STAR | 2Y-STAR |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| 2YIF | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2YIF* | 0.987 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IFI | 0.143 | -0.007 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5YIF | 0.951 | 0.926 | 0.217 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Immediacy | 0.607 | 0.662 | -0.229 | 0.658 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| h-index | 0.530 | 0.513 | 0.171 | 0.518 | 0.055 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| C3PO | 0.749 | 0.775 | -0.109 | 0.663 | 0.286 | 0.762 | 1 | | | | | | |
| PI-BETA | -0.715 | -0.731 | 0.061 | -0.677 | -0.498 | -0.384 | -0.753 | 1 | | | | | |
| Eigenfactor | 0.630 | 0.557 | 0.397 | 0.633 | 0.094 | 0.772 | 0.659 | -0.523 | 1 | | | | |
| Article Influence | 0.913 | 0.942 | -0.084 | 0.908 | 0.822 | 0.425 | 0.674 | -0.679 | 0.481 | 1 | | | |
| CAI | 0.913 | 0.948 | -0.156 | 0.860 | 0.758 | 0.424 | 0.779 | -0.772 | 0.498 | 0.966 | 1 | | |
| H-STAR | -0.237 | -0.105 | -0.867 | -0.268 | 0.078 | -0.108 | 0.094 | 0.075 | -0.404 | -0.007 | 0.045 | 1 | |
| 2Y-STAR | -0.142 | 0.004 | -0.998 | -0.218 | 0.228 | -0.186 | 0.099 | -0.063 | -0.391 | 0.081 | 0.155 | 0.862 | 1 |

Table 3
RAM and Harmonic Mean Rankings for 19 Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics Journals

| Journal | 2YIF | 2YIF* | IFI | 5YIF | Immediacy | h-index | C3PO | PI-BETA | Eigenfactor | Article Influence | CAI | H-STAR | 2Y-STAR | Harmonic Mean |
|----------------------|------|-------|-----|------|-----------|---------|------|---------|-------------|-------------------|-----|--------|---------|---------------|
| J ENVIRON ECON MANAG | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 |
| REV ENV ECON POLICY | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| RESOUR ENERGY ECON | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| REV AGR ECON | 17 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| ENERG ECON | 3 | 3 | 19 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 19 | 19 | 5 |
| CHINA AGR ECON REV | 19 | 19 | 1 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| LAND ECON | 7 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| ENVIRON RESOUR ECON | 9 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 12 | 8 |
| ENERG J | 6 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| AM J AGR ECON | 10 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 17 | 17 | 10 |
| FOOD POLICY | 4 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 11 |
| AGR ECON-BLACKWELL | 8 | 8 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| J AGR ECON | 14 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 13 |
| EUR REV AGRIC ECON | 12 | 13 | 18 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 14 |
| J FOREST ECON | 15 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 15 |
| AUST J AGR RESOUR EC | 11 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 16 |
| CAN J AGR ECON | 18 | 18 | 9 | 16 | 5 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 9 | 17 |
| J AGR RESOUR ECON | 16 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 7 | 12 | 18 |
| ANNU REV RESOUR ECON | 13 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 19 |

Notes: The journals are ranked according to the Harmonic Mean. The simple correlation between 2YIF and Harmonic Mean is 0.568.

Table 4
Correlations of RAM and Harmonic Mean Rankings for 19 Agricultural, Energy, Environmental and Resource Economics Journals

| | 2YIF | 2YIF* | IFI | 5YIF | Immediacy | h-index | C3PO | PI-BETA | Eigenfactor | Article Influence | CAI | H-STAR | 2Y-STAR | Harmonic Mean |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 2YIF | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2YIF* | 0.991 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IFI | -0.113 | -0.057 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5YIF | 0.921 | 0.916 | -0.101 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Immediacy | 0.606 | 0.634 | 0.246 | 0.725 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| h-index | 0.632 | 0.659 | -0.209 | 0.572 | 0.361 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| C3PO | 0.823 | 0.844 | 0.051 | 0.749 | 0.604 | 0.796 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| PI-BETA | 0.635 | 0.647 | 0.227 | 0.547 | 0.617 | 0.387 | 0.739 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Eigenfactor | 0.744 | 0.747 | -0.180 | 0.670 | 0.405 | 0.897 | 0.816 | 0.575 | 1 | | | | | |
| Article Influence | 0.930 | 0.935 | 0.027 | 0.956 | 0.738 | 0.668 | 0.865 | 0.633 | 0.775 | 1 | | | | |
| CAI | 0.893 | 0.897 | 0.096 | 0.893 | 0.740 | 0.525 | 0.856 | 0.819 | 0.690 | 0.928 | 1 | | | |
| H-STAR | -0.148 | -0.086 | 0.789 | -0.147 | -0.026 | -0.110 | 0.109 | 0.028 | -0.198 | -0.022 | 0.010 | 1 | | |
| 2Y-STAR | -0.108 | -0.053 | 0.996 | -0.094 | 0.243 | -0.236 | 0.053 | 0.221 | -0.204 | 0.033 | 0.106 | 0.814 | 1 | |
| Harmonic Mean | 0.568 | 0.598 | 0.521 | 0.563 | 0.440 | 0.380 | 0.637 | 0.581 | 0.568 | 0.665 | 0.674 | 0.441 | 0.515 | 1 |