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INTRODUCTION
**IMPLANTABLE DEVICES AND HEART FAILURE**

Ageing of the population, life style and increased survival of patients with cardiovascular diseases has favoured the development of heart failure and made of it a growing epidemiological problem in developed countries (1). The understanding of the mechanisms of progression of this disease and the recent advances in medical therapy has improved symptoms and prognosis of these patients (2-6). However, the degree of disability, the impairment in quality of life and mortality rates of patients with advanced heart failure remain high. Despite appropriate treatment, progressive mechanical and electrical remodeling of the heart may occur, favouring the development of arrhythmias (increasing the risk of sudden death and development of atrial fibrillation) and conduction disturbances that will further complicate the course of this disease and the treatment of these patients.

Up to one third of the patients with systolic heart failure, may present signs of intraventricular conduction delay manifested by a broad QRS complex on the electrocardiogram, which is considered a surrogate for electrical dyssynchrony (7). These ventricular conduction disturbances have proven to be associated to more advanced heart disease and a worse prognosis. They usually take the form of left bundle branch block and produce a dyssynchronous contraction that reduces myocardial efficiency, further impairing systolic and diastolic function and worsening mitral regurgitation.

Clinical cardiac electrophysiology through implantable device therapy has greatly evolved in recent years. While device therapy was initially only able to treat bradyarrhythmias (conventional pacemakers) it has grown to recognize and successfully treat life threatening ventricular arrhythmias (implantable cardioverter defibrillator). Furthermore, by synchronously stimulating different chambers of the heart, cardiac pacing now allows to restore a resynchronized contraction to patients with atrio-ventricular or inter- and intra-ventricular dyssynchrony (cardiac resynchronization therapy). The incorporation of electrical interventions like the implantable defibrillator (ICD) and cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has revolutionized the treatment of patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction and advanced heart failure. However, despite the increasing application of these therapies many unresolved issues remain.

Understanding how device therapy affects prognosis and mode of death in patients with advanced heart failure allows to tailor treatment to the specific patient’s needs. It was not clear from the published trials in which way CRT alone affected prognosis and mode of death of these patients. For this reason, in chapter 1, we will pool and analyse data from those randomised clinical trials that evaluated the effects of CRT alone as compared to optimal pharmacological therapy on mortality and mode of death. The contribution of the ICD, CRT or both (CRT-D) for the treatment of patients with LV systolic dysfunction and heart failure will discussed in chapter 2. The current ways of identifying those patients who will benefit from device implantation is far from ideal. A high proportion of these patients will not benefit from the device, and as will be discussed in chapter 3, appropriate ways of accurately identifying these patients are needed.
Despite the well proven favourable effects of CRT, this therapy is only indicated in a highly selected group of patients (broad QRS with low LVEF and NYHA III-IV) representing a small proportion of those patients with heart failure. In chapter 4 we will discuss the possibility of expanding the indications of CRT beyond those currently accepted, to see whether the benefits of this therapy might apply to other patient groups.

Probably the biggest challenge regarding CRT is to reduce the proportion of patients that will not benefit from implantation. Lack of response to CRT is not only due to inappropriate patient selection but also to inadequate therapy delivery. Placing the left ventricular lead in the appropriate coronary sinus side branch is essential to obtain adequate resynchronization. However, as will be discussed in chapters 5, 6 and 7, left ventricular lead implantation is not always straightforward, it can be associated to complications and it sometimes requires some particular manoeuvres to successfully place it in the desired side branch.

Individually adjusting device programming may further optimize CRT and probably reduce the rate of non-responders. In chapter 8 the acute hemodynamic effects of individually adjusting the settings of atrio-biventricular pacing will be assessed.

Despite the technological progress aimed at improving success and reducing complication rates of CRT device implantation, in around 5-10 percent of the patients LV lead implantation fails (8). Remote magnetic navigation has recently emerged as a useful tool for accurately steering guidewires and ablation catheters. After describing the potential uses of this technology in the field of cardiac electrophysiology in chapter 9, we will evaluate the feasibility of using magnetically steered guidewires to guide left ventricular lead implantations in chapter 10. In chapter 11, we will describe how a virtual 3D reconstruction of the coronary sinus can be used to navigate and steer the guidewire to the target side branch.

Even though left ventricular lead implantation using magnetic navigation has not yet proven to be superior to conventional implantation, we aim at identifying which magnetically steered guidewires perform better for navigation within the coronary sinus (chapter 12). This work is still ongoing. In chapters 7, 11 and 13 the usefulness of magnetic navigation in challenging CRT cases, when conventional implantation was either not successful or not feasible, will be explained.

CARDIAC ARRHYTHMIAS AND HEART FAILURE

Atrial fibrillation is frequently seen in patients with heart failure. They share common predisposing factors and therefore commonly co-exist. The prevalence of atrial fibrillation in heart failure patients increases with the severity of the disease. Whether atrial fibrillation is another marker of disease severity or contributes to mortality is unresolved. Many reports have addressed this issue and arrived to contradicting conclusions (9-14). However, recently published sub-analyses of large randomized controlled trials performed in patients with heart
failure and epidemiological studies suggest that atrial fibrillation is associated with a worse long term outcome (15-20). Nevertheless, there are few data on how atrial fibrillation affects the in-hospital course and prognosis of patients with heart failure. The prognostic role of this arrhythmia in hospitalized heart failure patients will be assessed in chapter 14.

By causing loss of atrial contraction and fast and irregular rates, atrial fibrillation can cause or become a reason for worsening heart failure. Advances in the understanding of the mechanisms leading to atrial fibrillation and the incorporation of sophisticated technology allowing to accurately map arrhythmias and reconstruct essential anatomical structures has allowed to effectively treat atrial fibrillation by catheter ablation. Even though the role of atrial fibrillation ablation in heart failure patients has not yet been established, it could prove beneficial for a selected group of patients as will be illustrated in chapter 15.

The role of CRT in the setting of atrial fibrillation is controversial. Solid evidence regarding the effects of CRT in atrial fibrillation patients is lacking, mainly because it has been evaluated only by small clinical studies. By inducing a positive remodelling effect, reducing left ventricular pressures and mitral regurgitation, CRT could potentially influence also left atrial remodeling and potentially reduce atrial fibrillation burden. There is few and conflicting data evaluating the effects of CRT on atrial fibrillation burden. In chapter 16, the effects of CRT on left atrial size will be assessed.

Malignant ventricular arrhythmias refractory to treatment are an accepted indication for cardiac transplantation. These usually develop in patients with overt and highly symptomatic structural heart disease. In rare cases, in patients with no structural heart abnormality a primary electrical failure of the heart can develop and, as will be shown in chapter 17, lead to heart transplantation.

In summary, our project is aimed to further understand the role that electrical therapies of the heart exert on the treatment of patients with heart failure and to optimize the application of these therapies. It also attempts to establish how the development and treatment of different electrophysiological abnormalities, like conduction disturbances and arrhythmias, affect the evolution these patients.
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Part I

DEVICE THERAPY IN HEART FAILURE
Chapter 1

EFFECTS OF CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY ON OVERALL MORTALITY AND MODE OF DEATH: A META-ANALYSIS OF RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS.


ABSTRACT

Background:
Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has been shown to improve symptoms and exercise tolerance in patients with advanced heart failure. However, studies were underpowered to address its effect on overall mortality.

Objective:
To evaluate whether CRT alone (without a combined defibrillator function) reduces overall mortality as compared to optimal pharmacological therapy, and how it affects the mode of death in patients with advanced heart failure.

Methods:
Public domain databases were systematically searched. Randomized controlled studies that evaluated the effects of CRT alone in patients with advanced heart failure and a depressed left ventricular systolic performance were selected for this analysis. Trials, which did not independently report data on CRT alone or had a follow up period of less than 3 months, were excluded.

Results:
Five studies were identified and analyzed. They included a total of 2371 patients, 1028 controls and 1343 CRT treated patients. Pooled analysis demonstrated that CRT alone, as compared to optimal medical therapy, significantly reduced all cause mortality by 29 % (16.9 % vs. 20.7 %; odds ratio [OR], 0.71; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.57-0.88) and mortality due to progressive heart failure by 38 % (6.7 % vs 9.7 %; OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.45-0.84). No effect on sudden cardiac death was observed with CRT (6.4 % vs 5.9 %;OR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.73-1.22).

Conclusions:
Cardiac resynchronization therapy alone as compared to optimal medical therapy reduces all cause mortality in patients with advanced heart failure. It predominantly reduces worsening heart failure mortality, not affecting sudden cardiac death.
INTRODUCTION

Heart failure (HF) is a growing public health problem in the western world. For instance only in the USA more than 5 million patients suffer from this disease, and about 500,000 patients are diagnosed with HF yearly (1). Despite the latest achievements of medical therapy (2–8), in patients with advanced stages of the disease, mortality remains high and quality of life severely impaired (9).

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has consistently proven to improve symptoms, quality of life (QOL) and exercise tolerance in patients with a severely depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (≤ 35%) who remain symptomatic (NYHA class III–IV) despite optimal medical therapy (10–16). Early published studies were specifically designed to evaluate the effects of CRT upon these functional end points and were underpowered to evaluate its effect on mortality (10,12,14,15). The recently published Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing and Defibrillation in Chronic Heart Failure (11) study has shown a survival benefit only in those patients randomized to CRT with a combined defibrillator function (CRT-D). In the CARE-HF (13) trial patients who received CRT alone (without a combined defibrillator function) had a significant reduction in overall mortality compared to those under optimal pharmacological therapy.

Previously published meta-analyses have corroborated the effects of CRT upon symptoms, QOL and exercise tolerance (17–19). However, an overall survival benefit of CRT alone has not been addressed. This is true, mainly because in their analysis trials that also evaluated the effects of CRT-D were included. In this way the effects of CRT were confounded by the proven life saving effect of the ICD. Performing a meta-analysis increases the power to see a difference in mortality that was not evident in the majority of individual trials performed. It also allows having a more precise estimation of this effect (20). We designed a meta-analysis with the purpose of establishing whether CRT alone, compared to optimal medical therapy, reduces overall mortality and in which way it affects the different modes of death in patients with advanced heart failure.

METHODS

Search strategy

A comprehensive search of public domain databases was carried out with the purpose of identifying reports of randomized trials comparing CRT alone versus optimal pharmacological therapy (control) in patients with advanced symptoms of heart failure (HF) due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Using the terms heart failure, pacemaker, pacing, biventricular, biventricular pacing, left ventricular pacing, left ventricular pre-excitation, multi-site pacing, cardiac resynchronization and cardiac resynchronisation MEDLINE (1985–2005) and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (third quarter 2005) were searched. The search was limited to English language
publications. Additionally, the website of the US Food and Drug Administration (www.fda.gov) was searched, and the reference lists of identified papers were examined. Reports presented during the last five years at the scientific sessions of the American College of Cardiology, the American Heart Association, the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology later the Heart Rhythm Society and the European Society of Cardiology were manually or electronically sought. The latest search was carried out in November 2005.

Study Selection
Randomized trials performed in patients with advanced symptoms of HF due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction that evaluated the effects of CRT alone versus optimal pharmacological therapy (control) were included in this analysis. Studies were excluded if they evaluated the effects of CRT-D and did not separately report data on CRT alone. Because we were mainly interested in the chronic effects of CRT, studies with a follow up of less than 3 months were excluded. To be included, the duration of the first follow up phase of the randomized cross over trials had to be at least 3 months. To avoid a carry over effect only the first randomized cross over period was considered for analysis.

Two investigators (MRA, DAMJT) independently screened all titles and abstracts to determine which studies met the inclusion criteria. Publications for this review were selected if they fulfilled the following criteria: 1) randomized trials performed in humans, 2) comparing the effects of CRT alone with optimal pharmacological therapy in patients with advanced HF due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction, and 3) reported mortality and mode of death during the randomized period. Discrepancies between investigators were resolved by consensus.

Data Analysis
Two investigators using a standardized form independently abstracted data. A meta-analysis of summary statistics from individual trials was performed. Odds ratios from each included trial were pooled using both fixed and random effects model that used weighting based on inverse variance calculated according to DerSimonian and Laird (21). To check for statistical evidence of heterogeneity among trial-specific ORs a chi-square test was used and it was quantified using the $I^2$ statistic (22). When pooled analysis resulted in a significant heterogeneity, the random effects model was used.

Quantitative analyses were performed on an intention to treat basis using the same standardized end point definitions (overall mortality, worsening heart failure mortality and sudden cardiac death) as in the primary studies. For non-worsening heart failure mortality all deaths except those due to heart failure were considered. Data analysis was performed using the Review Manager 4.2.
RESULTS

Search results
A total of 6893 references from all databases were found. One thousand seven hundred and fifty seven reports were identified as potentially relevant, of which 1719 were excluded based on titles and abstracts. Full-text versions of the remaining 38 reports were retrieved for detailed evaluation. Of these, 8 reports (10-14,23-25) of 5 randomized studies were included for this analysis (figure 1).

Figure 1. Reports evaluated for inclusion in the meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6893 initial independent references from all databases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening using specific criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1757 potentially relevant reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening of titles and abstracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 potentially relevant full text articles retrieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 reports(10-14,23-25) of 5 studies met inclusion criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Qualitative Findings
Five studies met the criteria for inclusion, the Multisite Stimulation in Cardiomyopathies Study (MUSTIC) (12), the Multicenter InSync Randomized Clinical Evaluation (MIRACLE) (10), the MUSTIC AF (14), the Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing and Defibrillation in Heart Failure (COMPANION) (11) (CRT alone and control arms only) and the Cardiac Resynchronization – Heart Failure (CARE-HF) (13) trials. Although the results of the extension phase of this last trial were recently presented (26), data from the original study will be considered for this analysis. However, in order to also evaluate the effects of CRT considering the data of the extension phase of this last trial a sensitivity analysis was performed.

Baseline patient characteristics and design of all trials are summarized in table 1 and 2. The mean age of the populations ranged between 64 and 68 years. The majority of patients in each
### Table 1 - Baseline clinical characteristics of patients included in the analysed trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CARE-HF (13)</th>
<th>COMPANION (11,24)</th>
<th>MIRACLE (10,23)</th>
<th>MUSTIC (12,25)</th>
<th>MUSTIC AF (14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>N</strong></td>
<td>404</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, mean, years</strong></td>
<td>66*</td>
<td>67*</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men, %</strong></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ischemic cause, %</strong></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NYHA class III, %</strong></td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LVEF, mean, %</strong></td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QRS duration, mean, ms</strong></td>
<td>160*</td>
<td>160*</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta-blocker use, %</strong></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACEI or ARB use, %</strong></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spironolactone use, %</strong></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* reported as median.

ACEI = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, ARB = angiotensin receptor blockers, LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction, NR = not reported, NYHA = New York Heart Association functional class.

---

### Figure 2. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy alone (CRT) versus control on overall mortality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>CRT OR (fixed)</th>
<th>Control OR (fixed)</th>
<th>V/weight %</th>
<th>OR (fixed) 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC (12,25)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.11 [0.09, 0.42]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRACLE (10,23)</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.35 [0.19, 1.49]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC AF (14)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09 [0.04, 0.59]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPANION (11,24)</td>
<td>41.80</td>
<td>0.59 [0.43, 0.82]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE-HF (13)</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>0.57 [0.43, 0.82]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (95% CI) 1343 / 1028 1.000 0.71 [0.57, 0.88]

Total events: 227 (CRT), 213 (Control)

Test for heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.12, df = 4 (P = 0.56), I² = 0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 3.14 (P = 0.002)
### Table 2 - Description of the analysed trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CARE-HF(13)</th>
<th>COMPANION(11,24)</th>
<th>MIRACLE(10,23)</th>
<th>MUSTIC(12,25)</th>
<th>MUSTIC AF(14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion Criteria</strong></td>
<td>NYHA III-IV ≥ 6 w, EF ≤ 35 %, QRS ≥ 120 ms, (QRS 120-149 ms mechanical dyssynchrony required)</td>
<td>NYHA III-IV, HF hosp ≤ 12 months, EF ≤ 35 %, QRS ≥ 120 ms, PR &gt; 150 ms.</td>
<td>NYHA III ≥ 1 month, EF ≤ 35 %, LVEDD ≥ 55 mm, QRS ≥130 ms, 6 min walk &lt;450 m</td>
<td>NYHA III-IV, EF &lt; 35 %, LVEDD &gt; 60 mm, QRS &gt;150 ms</td>
<td>NYHA III, Pacemaker indication and atrial fibrillation, Paced QRS ≥ 200 ms, EF &lt; 35 %, LVEDD &gt; 60 mm, 6 min walk ≤ 450 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Death as endpoint</strong></td>
<td>- Primary (combined with unplanned hospitalizations for major CV events). - Secondary</td>
<td>- Primary (combined with hospitalization for any cause). - Secondary</td>
<td>- As safety variable</td>
<td>- Secondary</td>
<td>- Secondary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design</strong></td>
<td>RND parallel</td>
<td>RND parallel</td>
<td>RND parallel</td>
<td>RND Cross-over</td>
<td>RND Cross-over</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong></td>
<td>CRT vs No CRT</td>
<td>CRT vs No CRT</td>
<td>CRT On vs Off</td>
<td>CRT On vs Off</td>
<td>CRT On vs Off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Device manufacturer</strong></td>
<td>Medtronic</td>
<td>Guidant</td>
<td>Medtronic</td>
<td>Medtronic / ELA medical</td>
<td>Medtronic / ELA medical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow up, months</strong></td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>3#</td>
<td>3#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intention to treat</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blinding</strong></td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Double blind</td>
<td>Single blind</td>
<td>Single blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End point committee</strong></td>
<td>Blinded*</td>
<td>Blinded</td>
<td>Blinded</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reported for adjudication of heart failure hospitalizations. # First cross-over phase.

CRT = cardiac resynchronization device with pacemaker function, CV = cardiovascular, EF = ejection fraction, HF hosp = heart failure hospitalization, NR = not reported, NYHA = New York Heart Association functional class, RND = randomized, vs = versus, w = weeks.
Chapter 1

In 2 studies ischemic cardiomyopathy was the main etiologic diagnosis (10,11,23,24). Although most trials excluded patients with atrial fibrillation, one trial specifically evaluated the effects of CRT in this population (14). The only trial in which mechanical ventricular dyssynchrony was considered an inclusion criterion was the CARE-HF (13). In order to be included, patients in whom the QRS duration ranged between 120 and 150 ms were required to present echocardiographic documentation of ventricular dyssynchrony. MUSTIC AF (14) was the only study to include patients with a pacemaker indication. The rest of the studies excluded patients with sinus node dysfunction, AV block or other indications for permanent pacemaker implantation.

In no trial was overall mortality the primary end point. In COMPANION (11,24) and CARE-HF (13) all cause mortality was part of a combined primary end point. In all the studies analyzed mortality was one of the secondary end points (table 2).

All patients underwent implantation of a pacemaker with CRT capabilities through a transvenous left ventricular lead implantation. In the COMPANION (11,24) trial one arm of the study evaluated the effects of CRT-D. In order to establish the effects of CRT alone, this last arm of the study was not included in this analysis. In three trials all patients received CRT devices and were randomized in a parallel way (10,23) or cross-over design (12,14) to CRT on or off. In the two largest trials (11,13,24) patients were randomized to receive, or not to, a CRT device.

In the COMPANION (11,24) study 13 % of the patients in the control group received commercially available implants before reaching the primary end point; 2 % of the patients in the CRT alone group withdrew from the study. In CARE-HF (13) only 5 % of the patients assigned to receive optimal medical therapy were actively paced with CRT before reaching the primary end point. In the MIRACLE (10,23) study 10 pts in the control group had their CRT device activated. Only 1 patient in the MUSTIC (12) and 1 in the MUSTIC AF (14) were switched to active biventricular pacing during the first cross-over phase. All results were reported on an intention to treat basis.

Weighted mean follow up was 18.4 months. It ranged from 3 months to 29.4 months depending on the trial. In the COMPANION (11,24) trial the median duration of follow up for mortality was 14.8 months in the control group and 16.5 months in the CRT group. In CARE-HF (13) mean follow up for all patients enrolled was 29.4 months.

Effects of CRT alone on overall Mortality

When pooling data from all 5 studies together (2371 pts) using a fixed effects model, CRT alone significantly showed to reduce all cause mortality by 29 % (OR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.88) with respect to controls (figure 2). Two hundred twenty seven patients died (16.9 %) among the CRT treated group compared to 213 controls (20.7 %). This represents an absolute reduction of 3.8 %; 26 patients need to be treated (NNT) with CRT in order to save one life during the corresponding follow up. No evidence of statistical heterogeneity was observed between trials regarding this effect (P = 0.54). When performing a sensitivity analysis considering the exten-
### Figure 3. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy alone (CRT) versus control on worsening heart failure mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CRT n/N</th>
<th>Control n/N</th>
<th>OR (random) 95% CI</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>OR (random) 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC (12,25)</td>
<td>0/29</td>
<td>0/29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRACLE (13,23)</td>
<td>4/259</td>
<td>10/259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC AF (14)</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPANION (11,24)</td>
<td>53/617</td>
<td>34/358</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE-HF (13)</td>
<td>33/409</td>
<td>55/404</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.62 [0.45, 0.85]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total events: 90 (CRT), 100 (Control)
Test for heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.59, df = 2 (P = 0.45), I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.01 (P = 0.003)

### Figure 4. Effect of cardiac resynchronization therapy alone (CRT) versus control on sudden cardiac death

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or sub-category</th>
<th>CRT n/N</th>
<th>Control n/N</th>
<th>OR (fixed) 95% CI</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>OR (fixed) 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC (12,25)</td>
<td>1/29</td>
<td>0/29</td>
<td>0.75 [0.12, 7.43]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRACLE (10,23)</td>
<td>7/269</td>
<td>5/269</td>
<td>7.58 [0.45, 46.1]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC AF (14)</td>
<td>1/25</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>0.86 [0.05, 51.8]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPANION (11,24)</td>
<td>48/317</td>
<td>18/308</td>
<td>34.87 [0.06, 2.38]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE-HF (13)</td>
<td>29/409</td>
<td>38/404</td>
<td>55.94 [0.44, 1.22]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>1343</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>100.00 1.04 [0.72, 1.46]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total events: 85 (CRT), 61 (Control)
Test for heterogeneity: Chi² = 3.66, df = 4 (P = 0.45), I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.21 (P = 0.64)
sion phase of the CARE-HF study the overall mortality reduction afforded by CRT was 34 % (OR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.82).

Mode of death

Data on the mode of death (all cause mortality, mortality due to worsening heart failure mortality, sudden cardiac death) was reported in all trials (10-14,23-25). When considering mortality due to progressive HF, most studies showed a tendency towards a reduction in this mode of death in patients treated with CRT (figure 3). The only trial to show a significant 45 % reduction in mortality due to worsening HF was the CARE-HF (13) trial. When pooling the data of all trials a significant 38 % relative reduction in this end point was observed among patients treated with CRT alone (OR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.45 to 0.84). It was observed that 90 pts (6.7 %) died due to pump failure in the CRT group as compared to 100 pts (9.7 %) randomized to optimal pharmacological therapy. Thirty-three patients need to be treated in order to avoid one death due to worsening HF. No evidence of statistical heterogeneity was observed regarding this effect (P=0.45).

A neutral effect of CRT on sudden cardiac death (SCD) was observed (CRT group 6.4% vs controls 5.9 %; OR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.73 to 1.46) (figure 4). There was also no statistical evidence of heterogeneity between trials regarding this effect (P=0.45). However, in CARE-HF (13) more SCDs occurred in the control group, whereas in the other studies more patients suffering this mode of death were observed between the CRT treated patients. After the extended phase of the CARE-HF study, a significant reduction in SCD was observed in patients treated with CRT (26). However, even after performing a sensitivity analysis including these results, no effect of CRT on SCD was observed (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.19).

Of the total amount of deaths in the control group (213 deaths) 47% were due to progressive HF and 28 % were considered to be sudden, while in the CRT treated patients (227 deaths) these represented 39 % and 38 % respectively.

DISCUSSION

Our analysis demonstrates that CRT alone reduces all cause mortality in patients with advanced symptoms of HF refractory to standard pharmacological therapy. It does so predominantly by reducing mortality due to progressive HF not affecting SCD.

Cardiac resynchronization therapy has recently emerged as an effective treatment for patients with HF. It improves symptoms, QOL and exercise tolerance in a selected group of patients with systolic HF who present, as a surrogate sign of ventricular dyssynchrony, with a broad QRS complex on the ECG (10-19,25). Improvement in more objective end points like a positive ventricular remodeling effect (13,16,25,27-29) and admissions due to HF, have also been demonstrated (10,12,13,17,23). For patients who suffer from chronic diseases, improvement in QOL is an important treatment goal. When this is cost effective and achieved without
Effects of CRT on overall mortality and mode of death

significant side effects, acceptance among the medical community is likely. So far, this has been the case for CRT. The implantation rate of CRT devices has importantly expanded in recent years before conclusive evidence of a survival benefit was demonstrated.

It is only recently that the CARE-HF (13) trial demonstrated a significant reduction in overall mortality with CRT alone. Other trials (30,31) and meta-analyses (17-19) confounded the benefits that CRT alone might have on this last end point by including in their analysis the effects of CRT-D devices. It is mandatory then to definitively establish to what extent, and in which way CRT alone affects survival. By pooling data from randomized trials that evaluated the effects of CRT alone as compared to optimal pharmacological therapy, this analysis shows that biventricular pacing confers a significant overall survival benefit of 29 %. This 3.8 % absolute reduction in overall mortality after CRT is similar to the absolute mortality reduction observed with ACE inhibitors or beta-blockers (32,33), and was observed on top of the beneficial effects afforded by these life saving therapies.

The predominant modes of death in patients with HF are SCD and death attributed to progression of the disease (5,34-36). Patients who are mildly symptomatic will more likely die suddenly while those with advanced symptoms are more likely to die due to pump failure (5,34,36). All patients included in the analyzed studies were in advanced stages of HF. Since CRT alone directly affects myocardial function and HF profile, it is not surprising that the survival benefit can almost exclusively be attributed to the significant 38 % reduction in HF mortality (figure 5).

A previous meta-analysis (18) showed a non-significant increase in SCD among patients treated with CRT. In the COMPANION (11,24) trial a modest increase in this mode of death was observed among patients who underwent CRT compared to control patients. Median time to SCD was shorter in the CRT arm (186 days) than in CRT-D (341 days) and control patients (253 days) (24). In those studies with shorter follow up, like MUSTIC (12) and MUSTIC AF (14), most deaths occurred suddenly during active biventricular pacing. CARE-HF reported a non-significant reduction in SCD in patients undergoing CRT compared to controls (9.4 % vs 7.0 %; P=0.25) during follow up (13). When pooling data of all studies together, no effect of CRT on

---

**Figure 5.** Mode of death according to treatment allocation.

![Figure 5. Mode of death according to treatment allocation.](image)

- Control
  - HF: 48%
  - SCD: 28%
  - Other: 24%

- CRT alone
  - HF: 39%
  - SCD: 38%
  - Other: 23%
the occurrence of SCD was observed (even after considering the data of the extension phase of CARE-HF (26)). Patients whose heart failure profile improves after being treated with CRT are less likely of dying due to worsening heart failure; in this way the relative contribution of SCD to overall mortality increases (figure 5). This raises the question of what the role of the ICD will be in these patients. In the COMPANION (11,24) study, CRT-D significantly increased survival compared to controls and showed a trend towards a beneficial effect when compared to CRT alone. However, not all patients profited from the addition of an ICD. The patients who derived more benefit were those with somewhat better preserved EF, and who had a better symptom profile (37). Furthermore, in the SCD-Heft trial patients in NYHA functional class III did not benefit from the ICD, as did patients with a lower functional class (38). Having shown an important mortality reduction with CRT alone, and considering that the benefits of adding a defibrillator to the CRT device have not yet been proven (18), the question whether which CRT candidates should receive additional defibrillator function gains relevance and remains unanswered. Nonetheless, probability of survival, quality of the life prolonged and mode of death are important aspects that patients and physicians should consider when discussing treatment options and deciding whether to implant, and which device to select.

Our analysis has some potential limitations that should be addressed. Although overall mortality is a reliable end point, determination of the mechanism leading to death is sometimes very difficult and not accurate (39). Misclassification of deaths due to pump failure is less likely to occur because symptoms of progressive heart failure are easily recognized and many patients are admitted during the final stages of their disease. In contrast, it is more likely to misclassify deaths when they occur suddenly because they are frequently thought to be arrhythmic in origin even though other cardiovascular causes could be responsible.

Duration of follow up varied between the analyzed studies. However, most of the patients were followed for at least one year (73 %) and the mean weighted follow up was 18.4 months. It is important to highlight that the observed effects of CRT only apply to the limited follow up period covered by this meta-analysis.

Another potential limitation of this study is the influence of publication bias. This type of bias can never be completely avoided, although performing an extensive search may minimize it. Though funnel plots were performed, the small number of trials included in our analysis reduces its usefulness.

Some of the data used for this analysis was extracted from public domain reports that did not undergo conventional peer review (23,24); however the thorough scrutiny to which these reports were submitted by the US Food and Drug Administration is in favor of their reliability.
CONCLUSION

This meta-analysis demonstrates that CRT alone reduces all cause mortality in a selected group of patients with advanced symptoms of heart failure. It predominantly reduces mortality due to worsening heart failure and does not affect sudden cardiac death. This observation raises the need of establishing to what extent and which patients will benefit from combining an additional defibrillator function.
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DOES CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY REDUCE SUDDEN CARDIAC DEATHS?: REPLY

M. Rivero-Ayerza and L. Jordaens.

Eur Heart J. 2007 May;28 (10):1268-1269
Does cardiac resynchronization therapy reduce sudden cardiac deaths?

Rivero-Ayerza et al. (1) report a meta-analysis of five trials comparing cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) with optimal medical treatment to determine if CRT affects total mortality, heart failure deaths, and sudden cardiac deaths (SCD). In three of the trials, (2–4) the follow-up period was less than 6 months with a total of 30 overall mortality events which together only contributed 9% of statistical weights to the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis is dominated by data from CARE-HF (5) (demonstrating a favourable effect on all-cause mortality [hazard ratio (HR), 0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.48–0.85; P < 0.002]) and COMPANION (6) (suggestive of a favourable effect on all-cause mortality (HR, 0.76; CI, 0.58–1.01; P = 0.059)). Since these two trials dominate the meta-analysis it is not surprising that it too found a favourable effect on all-cause mortality. CARE-HF alone provides level of evidence B for the efficacy of CRT on all-cause mortality. Do the authors contend that the findings from the meta-analysis raise this to level of evidence A?

The effects on mode of death are also presented. CRT favourably affects death due to progressive heart failure, but again this has been established to level of evidence B by CARE-HF. (5,7) Individually, the five trials considered in the meta-analysis (including the CARE-HF main study) (5) did not provide any evidence for an effect of CRT on SCD nor did the meta-analysis (OR, 1.04; 95% CI, 0.73–1.22). The CARE-HF trial extension phase (7) did, however, find a beneficial effect of CRT on SCD (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.35–0.84; P = 0.005). The fixed effects meta-analysis presented, incorporating the CARE-HF extension study, however did not demonstrate a benefit (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.63–1.19). Although a random effects model is more appropriate [because of the presence of moderate statistical heterogeneity (x² = 8.25; df = 4; P = 0.08; I² = 51.5%)], using such a model does not materially affect the result (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.53–1.90; P = 0.99). Thus, the only evidence we have of a beneficial effect of CRT on SCD is derived from the CARE-HF trial extension phase. Given the established symptomatic (2–6) and mortality (5,7) benefits of CRT in this patient population (with NYHA Class III or IV heart failure symptoms) it would be unethical to conduct further trials of CRT against medical treatment. Thus, it is unlikely that we will ever get a more definitive answer as to whether CRT reduces the risk of SCD when compared with medical treatment alone.
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We thank Dr Lam and Dr Owen for their interest in our manuscript. We found it relevant to perform a meta-analysis evaluating the effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) on overall mortality and mode of death (1) mainly for two reasons. First, none of the randomized controlled trials comparing the effects of CRT vs. optimal medical therapy in patients with advanced systolic heart failure were powered to prove a survival benefit. Only the CARE-HF (2) trial showed a reduction in overall mortality (secondary endpoint). Previous meta-analysis failed to prove the effects of CRT alone on survival because trials that also included patients receiving a CRT with an added defibrillator function were also considered in their analysis. As it is highly unlikely that a trial comparing the effects of CRT alone with optimal medical therapy will ever be conducted, it was our purpose to attempt to give a definitive estimation of the effects of CRT on overall mortality in this specific patient population. The message of this meta-analysis is that there is enough evidence to strongly support CRT as a class I indication to improve survival in this selected group of patients with advanced systolic heart failure. Whether the level of evidence sustaining the recommendation to implant CRT devices should be A instead of B is probably more dependent on the definition used by the task force working group (some task forces only consider multiple randomized clinical trials and not meta-analyses as level of evidence A).

The second reason for conducting this meta-analysis was to evaluate in what way CRT affects the mode of death. Probably, no randomized clinical trial will ever be conducted for the purpose of answering this question. We think, as the CARE-HF investigators showed, (3) that the positive effect of CRT on mode of death is probably time (remodeling) dependent. Our meta-analysis showed that CRT did not affect the incidence of sudden cardiac death (SCD) during the follow-up covered by it (18.4 months). Nonetheless, CRT modified the mode of death, increasing the proportion of SCD relative to other modes of death. After long-term treatment, CRT probably also reduces the incidence of SCD; however, the proportion of patients dying suddenly remains high and the use of a combined CRT device with defibrillator function, when indicated, is warranted.
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Chapter 2

IMPLANTABLE DEVICES FOR THE TREATMENT OF PATIENTS WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR DYSFUNCTION AND HEART FAILURE.

M. Rivero-Ayerza

Due to many reasons, mainly ageing of the population and an increased survival of patients with cardiovascular diseases, heart failure (HF) is a growing epidemiological problem in developed countries (1,2). Advances in drug therapy have lead to a delay in progression of HF and an increased survival in patients with different degrees of symptoms (3-7). Since the 90’s no major pharmacological breakthrough has been achieved regarding improvement of prognosis in this patient population. The degree of disability, impairment in QOL and mortality rates of under optimal pharmacological therapy remains unacceptably high. For these reasons, therapeutic interventions aimed at improving patients’ well being and prognosis is still necessary.

Two are the major causes of death in patients with a failing heart: death due to progressive pump failure and sudden cardiac death. Interventions that prevent or delay the occurrence of either or both will positively modify the natural history of this disease. Numerous clinical studies have been performed in the last decade with the purpose of establishing the role of implantable devices, namely the implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) and the biventricular pacemakers, is in this patient population. Remarkably, both these interventions have proven to improve outcome on top of, and independently from the benefits afforded by pharmacological interventions (8-12). Furthermore, biventricular pacing more commonly known as cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has proven to improve patients’ symptoms and exercise capacity (8,9,13-17). Nowadays, both types of devices play a pivotal role in the management of patients with a failing heart. We will go over the evidence behind the beneficial effects of implantable devices, namely the ICD and CRT, in the treatment of patients with a failing heart.

**MODE OF DEATH IN PATIENTS WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR DYSFUNCTION AND HEART FAILURE**

Information regarding rate and mode of death in HF can readily be extracted from epidemiological and clinical studies. Among the most important epidemiological studies are the Framingham Heart Study and the Framingham Offspring Study (18). They followed 9405 healthy individuals of which 652 ended up developing HF. They showed the daunting prognosis of this disease since 75% of men and 62 % of women died within 5 years of follow up. Of these deaths approximately 50 % were sudden, a risk 5 times higher than the general population (19).

It is well known that the population studied in randomized clinical trials evaluating the treatment of HF has not been representative of the actual community population. This is due to the fact that patients included in these studies were younger, suffered less co-morbidities and were predominantly male as compared to the actual community population. Nevertheless, data obtained from clinical studies show that mode of death is dependent on the clinical characteristics of the patient, being more likely sudden in younger and less symptomatic ones (20). In MERIT-HF, 64% of patients in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II died suddenly, compared with 59 % in class III and only 33% in class IV patients (6). It should be bared in mind that determination
of the mechanism leading to death is very difficult and sometimes not accurate (21). Different life saving therapies in patients with HF exert their effect predominantly affecting mortality due to progressive HF, sudden cardiac death (SCD) or both. For this reason, accurate determination of the individual mortality rate and most likely mode of death becomes relevant in order to more accurately predict how a selected therapy (in this case the selected device) will affect the patient’s quality of life, chance of survival and even the most likely mechanism leading to death.

**ROLE OF THE ICD IN PATIENTS WITH LEFT VENTRICULAR DYSFUNCTION AND HEART FAILURE**

From all natural deaths the proportion of those who die suddenly is around 13% when the definition of 1 since onset of symptoms is considered (22). In the United States the overall annual incidence of sudden cardiac death (SCD) is 0.1% to 0.2%. It is estimated then, that around 300,000 to 350,000 of these deaths occur annually in the united states (39 guide) and that this proportion is estimated to be similar in Europe (23). These large numbers of events include those in whom SCD occurs as first cardiac event. At least 50% of all SCDs due to coronary artery disease (CAD) occur as first clinical event or in patients thought to be at low risk of dying suddenly (24). However, the absolute number of SCDs is progressively lower when considering subgroups of patients at increasingly higher risk.

**Secondary prevention**

It is well known that, in the absence of a reversible cause (acute ischemia, intoxications, etc.) the risk of SCD recurrence is high. Mainly three randomized clinical trials have shown that in patients who have survived an episode of cardiac arrest or a life threatening arrhythmia, the ICD is associated with a reduction of SCD recurrence (25-27) and overall mortality (25,28) when compared to antiarrhythmic drugs (table 1).

The Antiarrhythmics Versus Implantable Defibrillator trial (AVID) included 1016 survivors of SCD or patients with symptomatic VT (syncope, near syncope, HF) and/or an EF of less than 40%. Patients were randomized to receive class III antiarrhythmic drugs (96% received amiodarone) or ICD therapy. The population studied is representative of a HF population since more than 80% had CAD, the mean EF was close to 30% and 60% of the patients were in NYHA functional class II or III at the time of inclusion. The study was terminated earlier than expected because of the clear beneficial effect of the ICD. Mortality after 2 years was 18.4% in the ICD group and 25.3% in the medical therapy group. This 30% relative reduction in all cause mortality persisted even after 3 years of follow up. As expected, the overall survival benefit was almost exclusively driven by a reduction in sudden arrhythmic deaths. Interestingly a sub-analysis of the same study showed that the beneficial effect of the ICD was restricted to those with an ejection fraction (EF) lower than 35% (29).
The Canadian Implantable Defibrillator trial (CIDS) (26) randomized 659 patients to receive and ICD or be treated with amiodarone. Inclusion criteria were similar to those of AVID except that the cutoff EF was set at 35% and patients with unmonitored syncope that proved to have inducible VT were also included. A 20% reduction in all cause mortality and a 33% reduction in arrhythmic mortality in ICD treated patients was observed at 5 years of follow up, although none of these differences were statistically significant. However, the cross over rate was significant since 30% of ICD patients received amiodarone and 16% of patients initially assigned to antiarrhythmic therapy ended up with an ICD. In a later published sub-analysis the authors showed that patients older than 70 years, with an EF ≤ 35% and advanced symptoms of HF were those who benefited the most from the device (30).

The Cardiac Arrest Study Hamburg (CASH) (27) study randomized survivors of cardiac arrest to the ICD or antiarrhythmic drugs (amiodarone, metoprolol and propafenone). The propafenone group had to be discontinued because of an increased mortality, mainly sudden cardiac death. After a follow up of 57 months, the ICD showed to reduce mortality by 23% (p=0.08). Although the difference was not statistically significant, some factors like the fact that 10% of the patients had no structural heart disease, that the mean EF was 46% or that more than half of the patients underwent thoracotomy for implantation of the ICD (with a higher operative risk) probably prevented this tendency to become significant.

A meta-analysis of these 3 studies showed that among survivors of SCD, the ICD conferred a 28% reduction in all cause mortality, mainly due to a 50% reduction in the risk of recurrent SCD (28).

Based on these findings the ICD has become a Class I recommendation for patients who survived a cardiac arrest or had symptomatic VT (31). These trials also showed that the group of patients who benefit the most are those with a severely depressed EF and with symptomatic HF. Highlighting the relevance of left ventricular (LV) function as predictor of overall mortality and SCD (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Major Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVID (1997)</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>SCD survivors, symptomatic VT or EF ≤ 40</td>
<td>ICD vs AAD, randomized</td>
<td>30% reduction in mortality with ICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASH (2000)</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>SCD survivors, symptomatic VT</td>
<td>ICD vs AAD</td>
<td>Significant reduction in SCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(amiodarone, propafenone, metoprolol) conventional, randomized</td>
<td>Trend reduction in mortality with ICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased mortality with propafenone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIDS (2000)</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>SCD survivors, Symptomatic VT</td>
<td>ICD vs amiodarone</td>
<td>Trend towards reduction in mortality with ICD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Primary prevention

- **Ischemic cardiomyopathy**

  Survival from an out of hospital cardiac arrest remains low. Not more than 5% of people can be successfully reanimated after such an event. Therefore, the identification of those at increased risk of a first cardiac arrest is of utmost importance. In an attempt to define such a population, and using the lessons learned from secondary prevention trials, randomized clinical trials enrolled progressively broader groups of patients that would likely benefit from this therapy. As inclusion criteria the earlier studies required inducibility during electrophysiological study, documentation of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (NSVT) and/or other markers thought to be predictors of SCD (32-34). Later only LV dysfunction with or without HF was the main requisite for inclusion (10,11) (table 2).

**Table 2. Major trials evaluating the effects of the ICD in primary prevention of SCD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Major Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MADIT (1996)(32)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>NSVT, EF ≤ 35 %, previous MI, Non-suppressible VT</td>
<td>ICD vs AAD, randomized</td>
<td>54 % reduction in mortality with ICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTT (1999)(33)</td>
<td>2202</td>
<td>NSVT, EF ≤ 40 %, previous MI, Inducible at EP study</td>
<td>EP study guided therapy vs conventional, randomized</td>
<td>Reduction in mortality in ICD patients only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADIT II (2002) (11)</td>
<td>1232</td>
<td>EF ≤ 30 %, previous MI</td>
<td>ICD vs conventional therapy, randomized</td>
<td>31 % reduction in mortality with ICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DINAMIT (2004) (38)</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>EF ≤ 35 %, within 40 days of MI, depressed heart rate variability</td>
<td>ICD vs conventional therapy, randomized</td>
<td>No beneficial effect of the ICD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD-HeFT (2005) (10)</td>
<td>2521</td>
<td>EF ≤ 35 % of any etiology, NYHA class II or III</td>
<td>ICD vs amiodarone vs placebo, randomized</td>
<td>Reduction in mortality with ICD compared to amiodarone or placebo. No effect of amiodarone.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coronary artery disease in combination with a poor LV function was thought to be a marker of increased risk of SCD. In this line, the first trial to demonstrate a benefit of the ICD over antiarrhythmic drugs was the Multicenter Automatic Defibrillator Implantation Trial (MADIT) (11). This trial enrolled 196 patients with previous myocardial infarction, an EF ≤ 35% and non-sustained VT. Patients underwent electrophysiological (EP) study and if VT could be induced and not be suppressed (non-suppressible) with antiarrhythmic drugs (AAD) they were randomized to the ICD or antiarrhythmic medication. Mean EF was around 26% and two-thirds of the patients were in NYHA functional class II or III HF. This landmark study had to be stopped prematurely due to a dramatic
54% reduction in overall mortality afforded by the ICD. In this way it became the first trial to show that the ICD could improve survival in previously “asymptomatic” patients and the first to highlight the importance of risk stratification for the prevention of SCD. Based on the results of MADIT, ICD therapy was approved for this indication. Again, the group of patients who benefited the most from the device were those with the worse EF fraction (35).

The CABG-patch trial included patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG) (34) with an EF < 36% and an abnormal signal average ECG (SAECG). Patients were randomized to receive an ICD or no other therapy. This trial failed to prove any effect of the ICD regarding overall mortality suggesting the probable protective effect of revascularization and the limitation of SAECG as a non-invasive risk stratifier.

The Multicenter Unsustained Tachycardia Trial (MUSTT) (33) included patients with non-sustained VT, a previous MI and an EF ≤ 40%. The purpose of the trial was not to evaluate the role of the ICD in the prevention of sudden death (it ultimately showed this) but to evaluate the effectiveness of an EP study guided therapy to reduce this event. Patients who fulfilled inclusion criteria and were inducible at the EP study were randomized to no specific treatment or to undergo EP guided treatment (different AADs were tested to suppress VT or VF). Non-suppressible patients underwent ICD implantation. After 5 years, mortality was 24% in the ICD group, 48% in the group not receiving any treatment and 55% in those receiving AAD. This study not only showed the beneficial effects of the ICD in this high risk population but also corroborated the associated risks related to the use of AAD (mainly of class I-A) in patients with CAD and LV dysfunction (mean EF was 30%).

The recently published MADIT II trial markedly widened the spectrum of patients proven to benefit from the ICD (11). It recruited patients based solely on the presence of an EF ≤30%, the strongest known predictor of mortality in CAD patients. No documentation of NSVT or proof of VT inducibility was required. More than 1200 patients were randomized to the use of an ICD or conventional therapy. This study was also prematurely stopped due to the observed 31% reduction in overall mortality afforded by the ICD. This beneficial effect was entirely due to a reduction in the rate of SCD. Nonetheless, in patients receiving the ICD the incidence of new or worsened heart failure was higher than in the control group. This could probably be explained by the harmful effects of right ventricular (RV) pacing (36) or even the potentially detrimental effects of ICD discharges (37). Nowadays care is taken to avoid unnecessary RV pacing when programming these devices.

A sub study of MADIT II showed that the beneficial effect of the ICD is time dependent. In those patients who suffered the myocardial infarction (MI) within 18 months of implantation no beneficial effect of the ICD was observed, however, a significant reduction in mortality was observed in those in whom the MI occurred beyond 18 months prior to implantation. The beneficial effect of the ICD persisted even after 15 years of the MI. The DINAMIT study, who evaluated the effects of ICD in those patients with a severely depressed EF (≤ 35%) implanted within 40 days of MI clearly failed to show a beneficial role of the ICD (38).

In summary, results of MADIT I, MUSTT and MADIT II showed that in patients with a history of MI and poor LV function implantation of an ICD results in a significant reduction in overall mortality.
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Based on the results of DINAMIT, decisions regarding ICD implantation in patients with CAD should be withheld at least 40 days after the diagnosis of the MI.

- Non ischemic cardiomyopathy

In patients with cardiomyopathy of non ischemic origin the role of the ICD is more debatable. The Cardiomyopathy Trial (CAT) (39) and the Amiodarone versus ICD trial (AMIOVIRT) (40) evaluated whether the ICD was beneficial as compared to standard medical therapy or amiodarone, respectively, in patients with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. None of these studies showed any beneficial effect of the device because the number of patients included was small, the follow up was probably not long enough and most importantly the event rate in the control group was lower than expected.

The Defibrillators In Non-Ischemic Cardiomyopathy Treatment Evaluation (DEFINITE) trial (12) randomized 458 patients with non-ischemic cardiomyopathy and an EF of less than 36% with significant ventricular arrhythmia (frequent PVC’s or non-sustained VT) to standard medical therapy or ICD implantation. After a mean follow up of 29 months a trend towards a reduction in mortality ($p=0.08$) and a significant 80 % reduction in arrhythmic death was observed in the ICD treated group. The investigators explain the lack of significance of the overall mortality effect of the ICD in that the rate of SCD with respect to the total amount of deaths was lower than expected and consequently the study resulted underpowered for overall mortality.

The Sudden Cardiac Death in Heart Failure trial (SCD-HeFT) (10) enrolled 2521 patients with an EF ≤ 35% and NYHA class II-III chronic heart failure. The trial was designed to include patients with both ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. Patients were randomized to receive a single chamber ICD (programmed only to provide defibrillation), to amiodarone or to placebo on top of conventional medical therapy. The cause of HF was ischemic in 52% and non-ischemic in 48% of the cases. About 70% of the patients were in NYHA functional class II and 30% in class III. After a mean follow up of 45 months a significant 23% reduction in mortality was observed with ICD therapy compared to placebo (22% vs 29%; $P=0.007$). There was an absolute reduction in mortality afforded by the ICD after 5 years of 7.2%. The results did not vary according to the etiology of HF. No mortality reduction was observed with the use of amiodarone when compared to placebo (28% vs 29% respectively). Surprisingly, patients in NYHA class III did not show any benefit from the ICD as compared to placebo. However, considering the relatively small amount of patients included with this functional class and the contradictory of these results with previous ICD trials, this observation does not confer sufficient evidence to withhold ICD therapy from class III patients at this point in time. Amiodarone should be cautiously prescribed in NYHA class III patients due to the 44% increase in mortality observed in this group of patients.

To summarize, evidence has taught us that in survivors of cardiac arrest or patients with symptomatic VT, ICD therapy is the first line treatment. Patients with congestive HF and LV dysfunction, irrespective of etiology, form a group at high risk of SCD and their long term prognosis is highly dependent on the LV function. With the information provided by MADIT II and the SCD-HeFT it
is likely that in patients with an EF ≤ 35% the implantation of an ICD (with or without CRT) will become the standard of care for primary prophylaxis. It is important to highlight that it is unlikely that these patients will benefit from the ICD if they are within 3 months of revascularization, 40 days within an MI or have had the initial diagnosis of the cardiomyopathy within a 3 month period (31) (table 3).

**Table 3.** Recommendations for the use of the implantable defibrillator for primary prevention of SCD in heart failure patients (AHA/ACC/ESC practice guidelines)

- Prior MI (>40 days), EF≤ 30% to 40% and NYHA class II or III, optimally treated HF (class I-level of evidence A)
- Non-ischemic heart disease, EF ≤ 30% to 35% and NYHA class II or III optimally treated HF (class I-level of evidence B)
- In combination with CRT in NYHA class III-IV, QRS ≥ 120 ms, optimally treated HF (class IIa-level of evidence B)
- Prior MI, EF ≤ 30% to 35% and NYHA class I, optimally treated (class IIa-level of evidence B)
- Recurrent stable VT, normal or near normal EF, optimally treated HF (class IIa-level of evidence C)
- Non-ischemic heart disease, EF ≤ 30% to 35% and NYHA class I, optimally treated (class IIb-level of evidence B)

**CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY FOR THE TREATMENT OF HEART FAILURE**

In patients with advanced LV systolic dysfunction and HF, conduction defects are common and lead to cardiac mechanical dyssynchrony. Three distinct types of dyssynchrony have been described: atrio-ventricular (AV), inter-ventricular (V-V) and intra-ventricular (IV). AV dyssynchrony, due to a prolonged AV conduction delay, is associated to an ineffective ventricular filling, diastolic mitral regurgitation and decreased cardiac output. VV and IV dyssynchrony can be manifested by a prolongation of the QRS complex and is associated to an abnormal prolongation of the contraction delay between both ventricles and within the left ventricle itself. This inter- and intra-ventricular dyssynchronous contraction reduces myocardial efficiency, further impairing systolic and diastolic function and worsening mitral regurgitation (41).

Approximately one third of the patients with systolic HF present signs of intra-ventricular conduction delay, most of them with a left bundle branch block (LBBB) like pattern (42). These ventricular conduction disturbances have proven to be associated to more advanced heart disease and a worse prognosis. In a recent report of 5517 pts with HF due to different etiologies (45% ischemic and 36% idiopathic) 25% of the patients had LBBB, 6% had right bundle branch block (RBBB) and another 6% had other forms of intraventricular conduction delay (43). Patients with LBBB had more sever HF, reduced systolic blood pressure, increased incidence of third heart sound and more abnormal cardiothoracic ratios. The proportion of patients with a LVEF of less than 30% was higher in patients with LBBB and most importantly they had a significantly higher mortality.
than patients without conduction disturbances and even when compared with those with other forms of intra-ventricular conduction abnormalities.

The aim of CRT is not only to correct the AV dyssynchrony, but through biventricular stimulation also to improve the abnormal VV and IV dyssynchrony. Since CRT was first clinically applied in 1994 (44), initial studies have shown that it can markedly improve cardiac output, increase systolic pressure, lower pulmonary capillary wedge pressures (45,46), enhance ventricular systolic function and pressure-volume loops, reduce mitral regurgitation (46) and improve synchrony of ventricular contraction (47,48).

Clinical effects afforded by CRT

**Improvement in symptom profile and exercise capacity**

Overall, non-randomized and randomized studies have shown a highly consistent effect of CRT in improving symptoms, exercise capacity and left ventricular performance (table 4). Patients enrolled in the major CRT trials generally had NYHA class III or IV HF on the basis of ischemic or non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, were in sinus rhythm, had a broad QRS complex on the ECG and were under stable optimal pharmacological therapy.

The Pacing therapies in Congestive Heart Failure (PATH-CHF) trial (13) compared CRT with RV pacing and reported a statistically significant reduction in LV end diastolic volume (LVEDV) (253±83 ml to 227±112 ml), LV end systolic volume (LVESV) (202±79 ml vs 174±101ml) and an increase in LVEF (22±7 %vs 26±9 %) after six month of hemodynamically optimized atrial and biventricular pacing. Only patients with higher volumes at baseline were less likely to positively remodel after CRT (13). The Multisite Stimulation in Cardiomyopathies (MUSTIC)-SR trial (14) used a cross over design, where patients were randomized to active versus back up biventricular pacing. It showed a significant improvement in HF symptoms, quality of life (QOL) and improvements in more objective parameters as distance walked during 6 minutes and peak oxygen consumption. These small trials were followed by the MIRACLE (15) study. It included 453 patients with moderate to severe HF and EF ≤ 35% and a QRS duration greater than 130 ms. All patients that underwent successful CRT implantation were randomized to biventricular pacing or control (no pacing). Patients were followed for 6 months and the primary end points were change in NYHA class, QOL and distance walked in 6 minutes. CRT was associated to a significant improvement in all three variables. This was apparent as early as one month after treatment and was sustained throughout the study period. The MIRACLE-ICD (16), that evaluated CRT with an additional defibrillator function (CRT-D) compared to ICD therapy alone, also showed that CRT was associated with the improvement in NYHA class and QOL. These results were supported by the findings of the CONTAK CD trial (17).

Linde et al. showed over long term follow up of patients included in the MUSTIC trial that biventricular pacing significantly improved 6-min walk distance by 20%, increased oxygen consumption by 11%, and reduced both NYHA by 25% and quality of life Minnesota score by more than 30% (49).
Positive remodeling effect of CRT

The improvement in symptoms and exercise capacity afforded by CRT are mainly the result of an accompanying improvement in LV performance, a reduction in mitral regurgitation and reduction
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in left ventricular volumes. This last effect is known as the “positive remodeling” effect of CRT and is an important predictor of positive outcome (50). A sub-study of the MUSTIC trial reported the effects of CRT on left ventricular remodeling, exclusively in patients in sinus rhythm (51). They observed that both systolic and diastolic LV dimensions significantly improved 3 months after implantation and that these further improved after 12 months of therapy. The degree of mitral regurgitation significantly improved as well. Correction of dyssynchrony was crucial for this positive remodeling effect and was observed regardless of etiology of heart disease. When considering symptoms, they improved significantly by 3 months with no further improvement at 12 months. Symptom improvement also consistently correlated with reverse remodeling. These effects were significantly greater in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy patients than in ischemic patients. Remarkable is that this sustained reverse remodeling effect was seen in patients already receiving conventional drug therapy. The MIRACLE investigators also evaluated long-term effects of CRT. They reported a significant reduction in LVED and LVES volumes, in degree of mitral regurgitation and an increase in LVEF at 6 month follow up (52). Though reverse remodeling and LVEF improved during the first 3 months, this effect was even greater at 6-month follow up. This improvement was significantly greater in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy than in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. The clinical improvements observed in this study were found to be associated to positive left ventricular remodeling and significantly improved parameters of dysynchrony mediated by biventricular pacing.

Although symptomatic improvement is an important goal in the management of severely symptomatic HF patients, it is difficult to evaluate to what extent this improvement is a consequence of the applied therapy or due to the placebo effect that any given treatment might exert. In order to ensure that CRT is effectively being applied improvement in objective parameters like a positive LV remodeling effect should accompany symptomatic relief.

Data derived from drug treatment trials in HF showed that increased LV size and volumes in patients with left ventricular dysfunction were predictors of adverse cardiovascular events, worsening HF and SCD (53,54). Yu et al. elegantly showed in a large cohort of CRT treated patients, that only a positive remodeling effect was significantly associated to a reduction in heart failure events and improved outcome. A reduction in LVESV of more than 10% was the single most important predictor of all cause and cardiovascular mortality (50). Clinical parameters alone were unable to predict any outcome.
Prognostic relevance of CRT

The Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing and Defibrillation in Heart Failure (COMPANION) trial (8) was designed to evaluate if CRT with or without defibrillator function would reduce the risk of death and hospitalization among patients with advanced HF (NYHA functional class III or IV), severely depressed LV function (≤ 35%) and a broad QRS on the ECG (≥120 ms). The secondary end point was all cause mortality. The 1520 enrolled patients were randomized to receive CRT alone, CRT with a defibrillator function (CRT-D) or optimal medical therapy in a 2-2-1 fashion. After 12 months the rate of the combined primary end point of death from any cause or hospitalization for any cause was 68% in the optimal medical therapy group, and 56 % in both CRT and CRT-D groups. In this way, CRT (irrespective of the defibrillator function) was associated with a 20 % reduction of the primary end point. When considering the secondary end point of all cause mortality, a 24% reduction (P=0.06) was observed in the CRT alone group and 36% in the CRT-D group (P=0.003). The risk of death or hospitalization due to HF was also found to be significantly reduced by 25 % and 28% in the CRT and CRT-D groups respectively. This beneficial effect of CRT was observed both in patients with ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy (55). COMPANION was the first trial to show independently that CRT alone could potentially improve survival in patients with HF and set the stage for the results of the coming Cardiac Resynchronization Heart Failure trial.

The Cardiac Resynchronization Heart Failure (CARE-HF) trial (9) compared the effects of CRT alone to standard medical therapy on death and unplanned hospitalizations for major cardiac events. The principal secondary end point was death from any cause. Inclusion criteria differed slightly from other CRT trials; in those cases were QRS duration was between 120 ms and 149 ms echocardiographic documentation of dyssynchrony was required (only a minority of patients were included due to this criterion). A total of 813 patients were enrolled and followed for a mean of 29.4 months. During follow up, the primary end point of all cause mortality and hospitalization for major cardiovascular event was reduced by 27% (P<0.001) in the CRT treated patients. More importantly, overall mortality rate was 30% in the optimal medical therapy group and 20% in the patients treated with CRT (P<0.002).

Although, the COMPANION trial did show a significant survival benefit of CRT-D, CARE-HF was the only trial to show independently that CRT alone can reduce mortality in patients with HF. However, the trial was not prospectively powered to show a reduction in overall mortality, and this end point was only set as a secondary one. Previously published meta-analyses have corroborated the effects of CRT upon symptoms, QOL and exercise tolerance (56-58). However, an overall survival benefit of CRT alone could not be addressed. Mainly because in these meta-analyses trials that also evaluated the effects of CRT-D were included. In this way the effects of CRT were confounded by the proven life saving effect of the ICD. Since it is very unlikely that another trial comparing CRT alone to medical therapy will exist, we performed a meta-analysis with the purpose of establishing whether CRT alone compared to optimal medical therapy, reduces overall mortality and in which way it affects the different modes of death of patients with advanced HF. This analysis demonstrated that CRT alone significantly reduces all cause mortality by 29 % after a weighted mean
follow up of 18 months. This effect is mainly due to an impressive 38% reduction in mortality due to progressive HF.

The problem of non responders to CRT

Using the classic indications for CRT, both uncontrolled and controlled clinical studies reported a rate of “non-responders” ranging from 15% to 35% (13-17). This was true when considering a “responder” either after symptom improvement or after positive left ventricular remodeling was demonstrated during follow up.

Though in the reports of the mayor trials on CRT the rate of responders is not mentioned as such, this data can be inferred. Fifteen percent of the patients at the end of the cross over phase of the MUSTIC trial preferred a pacing mode different from the biventricular mode. This was true both for patients in sinus rhythm or in atrial fibrillation (49). The results of the MIRACLE trial show that in, respectively, 33% and 16% of the patients randomized to CRT, the clinical composite HF score did not improve or was considered to have worsened, after 6 months follow up (15). The PATH-CHF investigators reported similar rates of non-positive remodeling. In 36% of the patients no improvement or even a worsened LV performance (as measured by echocardiography) was observed after 6 months (13).

Many factors have been reported to influence the degree of response to CRT like location of left ventricular stimulating lead (59,60), presence of viable tissue at the site of stimulation, etiology of heart disease (51,52), degree of left ventricular dysfunction (13), other co-morbidities and most importantly degree of mechanical intra and inter-ventricular dyssynchrony (61,62). Most uncontrolled and controlled clinical trials regarding CRT considered broadness of the QRS as a surrogate for mechanical IV and V-V dyssynchrony. However, the accuracy of the ECG to predict responsiveness to CRT has recently been put in doubt. Most studies comparing the predictive accuracy of the ECG with mechanical indicators of dyssynchrony showed the superiority of the last method to predict symptom improvement and positive left ventricular remodeling after CRT (61-63). However, most of these studies included a relatively small number of patients, defined mechanical dyssynchrony differently and were single center studies. The recently presented results of the Predictors of Response to Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (PROSPECT) study has suggested that the clinical utilization of echo techniques for selecting patients for CRT has not yet proven benefits over broadness of the QRS. None of many echo indicators of ventricular dyssynchrony on their own, had enough sensitivity and specificity for prediction of response to CRT (64). Furthermore variability of more than 50% for some tissue-Doppler imaging measurements and of more than 90% for some measures of inter-ventricular delay in the interpretation of the data has been observed between the three different core laboratories that interpreted the studies. Even though it is highly likely and intuitive that mechanical dyssynchrony criteria would add something to the present selection criteria, QRS width should still be considered the gold standard for selection of candidates for CRT. For the moment patients with standard indications for CRT (low EF, refractory HF symptoms and broad QRS on the ECG) should not be withheld from this therapy even if significant mechanical dyssynchrony is not demonstrated prior to implantation.
COMBINED CRT AND DEFIBRILLATOR FUNCTION

MADIT II and SCD-HeFT have shown that ICDs should form part of the therapy for patients with severely depressed LV function. This data would suggest that most of the patients who require biventricular pacing would also be candidates for ICD implantation since most of them have a LVEF ≤ 35%. In the COMPANION (8,65) trial a modest increase in SCD was observed among patients who underwent CRT alone compared to control patients. Median time to SCD was shorter in the CRT arm (186 days) than in CRT-D (341 days) and control patients (253 days) (65). In those studies with shorter follow up, like MUSTIC (14) and MUSTIC AF (66), most deaths occurred suddenly during active biventricular pacing. CARE-HF originally reported a non-significant reduction in SCD in patients undergoing CRT compared to controls (9.4 % vs 7.0 %; P=0.25) (9). However, in the “extension” phase of the same study a significant reduction of in SCD was observed after 37.4 months of follow up in CRT treated patients (HR 0.54, P=0.005).

The beneficial effect of CRT regarding overall mortality is predominantly a consequence of a reduction in progressive HF mortality (67). Consequently, patients whose heart failure profile improves after CRT are less likely of dying due to worsening HF; in this way the relative contribution of SCD to overall mortality increases (figure 1). This raises the question of what the role of the ICD will be in these patients. In the COMPANION (8,65) study, CRT-D significantly increased survival compared to controls and showed a trend towards a beneficial effect when compared to CRT alone. However, not all patients profited from the addition of an ICD. The patients who derived more benefit were those with somewhat better preserved EF, and who had a better symptom profile (68). In the SCD-Heft trial patients in NYHA functional class III did not benefit from the ICD, as did patients with a lower functional class (10). The amount of patients who continue to die of SCD despite CRT remains high and highlights the need of an additional ICD function. It is likely that biventricular defibrillators will almost totally replace the biventricular pacemaker for managing patients with HF. Nonetheless, probability of survival, quality of the life prolonged and mode of death are important aspects that patients and physicians should consider when discussing treatment options and deciding whether to implant, and which device to select.

Figure 1. Mode of death in HF and CRT treated patients
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Chapter 3

DEVICE THERAPY IN HEART FAILURE: DO ALL TREATMENT GOALS APPLY TO ALL PATIENTS?

M. Rivero-Ayerza and B. Schaer

Europace 2009 (published January 22, 2009)
Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) through atrio-biventricular pacing has been first applied in the treatment of patients with severe heart failure (HF) in the year 1996 (1). Since then it has been shown to improve symptoms, quality of life (QoL), reduce HF hospitalizations and induce a significant positive remodeling effect that persists over time (2-4). Before a life saving effect of this therapy was ever demonstrated (5), CRT has gained acceptance for the treatment of patients with advanced symptomatic HF, and a severely depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) that presented with a broad QRS on the ECG. This was due to the high degree of disability, impairment in quality of life (QoL) and need for frequent hospitalizations of this patient population, in whom modalities beyond drug therapy were desirable. However, it is only recently that a significant life saving effect of CRT alone (CRT-P) or in combination with a defibrillator (CRT-D) was demonstrated (5,6). The implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) as stand alone therapy has also clearly shown to improve outcome in patients with severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction with or without symptoms of heart failure (7,8).

Despite the recent advances of device therapy in the treatment of patients with HF, important unresolved issues remain. Around 30% of patients who will undergo CRT implantation will never respond to this therapy and only a similar proportion of patients implanted with an ICD will require appropriate therapies after long-term follow-up (7,9). The reasons leading to a lack of benefit of device therapy are complex and poorly understood. For the ICD the main difficulty consists of identifying the patient at risk for arrhythmic death. For CRT the response to therapy will depend on appropriate patient identification (phenotype) and adequate therapy delivery.

Castel et al. evaluated the value of baseline clinical and echocardiographic parameters to predict subsequent cardiovascular mortality after CRT-P or CRT-D device implantation (10). In their single center observational study they included 155 consecutive patients undergoing CRT-P (40%) or CRT-D (60%) implantation between the years 2000 and 2006. Patients with cardiac or non-cardiac diseases limiting their ability to perform a 6-minute walk test (6-MWT) were excluded. During a mean follow up of 24 months a total of 24 patients died of cardiovascular causes (15%) of which 10 (41%) died suddenly. In the univariate analysis the strongest predictor of cardiovascular mortality was the use of CRT-D. However, after adjusting for the presence of a defibrillator function, the multivariable model showed that a lower LVEF and a poor 6-MWT were independent predictors of cardiovascular mortality.

Several studies evaluated the prognostic value of baseline clinical characteristics. In most of these studies, NYHA functional class IV was the strongest predictor of overall mortality (11-13). As the authors acknowledge, the 6-MWT has been found to be a prognostic indicator in HF patients (14) but little is known about the predictive value of the test in patients undergoing CRT. In their series, Castel et al. found that patients who were unable to walk more than 225 m during the baseline 6-MWT had a significantly higher cardiovascular mortality irrespective of other clinical variables. These results should be interpreted with caution since patients that could not perform the test due to “cardiac or non-cardiac reasons” were excluded from analysis. Excluding some of the sickest patients (NYHA class IV, pulmonary disease, high BMI, etc.) introduces
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an important bias difficult to correct for. Nevertheless, it seems intuitive and is in accordance with previous reports, that the sickest patients (in this case lower LVEF and a lower 6-MWT) will carry the worst outcome after treatment. Unfortunately, until now no single baseline clinical or echocardiographic variable has been able to predict response to CRT better than the accepted clinical indications for this therapy. Furthermore, the predictive accuracy of baseline characteristics seems to lose power when short-term response to CRT is considered in the model. Yu et al. showed that a positive remodeling effect (reduction in left ventricular end-systolic volume assessed 3 to 6 months after CRT implantation) was the single most important predictor of long term overall mortality and heart failure events (15). Clinical parameters alone like 6-MWT, QoL questionnaire and NYHA functional class were unable to predict outcome. Recently, Di Biase et al. showed that an increase of LVEF greater than 6% measured 3 to 6 months after CRT implantation independently predicted a favorable long-term outcome (16). Even though Castel et al. show that the two independent predictors of cardiovascular mortality (LVEF and 6-MWT) significantly improve during follow-up, the predictive value of these variables after adjusting for clinical or echocardiographic response to CRT was not assessed. Despite all the research performed, which aimed to identify ideal candidates for CRT, we are still lacking sufficiently strong pre-implant predictive variables that will allow preventing unnecessary CRT implantations.

Another controversial issue regarding device therapy is how to identify those CRT candidates that will less likely benefit from an additional defibrillator function. While the ICD will prevent arrhythmic deaths, CRT will improve survival in the short term by predominantly reducing HF mortality (17). In the longer term it will reduce both sudden and pump failure mortality (18). MADIT II (7) and SCD-HeFT (8) have shown that ICDs are clearly beneficial for patients with severely depressed LV function. This suggests that most of the patients who require biventricular pacing would also be candidates for ICD implantation. In the COMPANION (6) study, CRT-D significantly increased survival compared to controls and showed a trend towards a beneficial effect when compared to CRT alone. However, not all patients profited from the addition of an ICD. The patients who derived more benefit were those with somewhat more preserved LVEF who were less symptomatic (19). In the SCD-HeFT trial patients in NYHA functional class III did not benefit from the ICD in the same way as patients with a lower functional class did (8). Goldenberg et al. elegantly showed that the benefit of the ICD is not uniform among patients with a low LVEF (20). They established a clinical risk score based on the presence of advanced age (>70 years), NYHA class >II, baseline atrial fibrillation, broad QRS (>120 ms) and renal failure (BUN > 26 mg/dl). In patients with no risk factors or at very high risk of death (creatinine > 2.5 mg/dl) no benefit of the ICD was observed. Koller et al. used a competing risk methodology to study predictors of appropriate ICD therapy or death before first using the ICD (9). They observed that 11 % to 23 % of the patients (depending on whether VT or VF was considered) died without using their devices and an additional 36 % of all patients remained alive and never used the device after up to 7 years of follow-up. The risk of dying prior to ICD therapy was higher among patients with advanced heart failure.
Accordingly, due to the more than fivefold increased risk of cardiovascular mortality observed, Castel et al. suggest that patients with a 6-MWT lower than 225 m are probably less likely to benefit from the defibrillator. However, in their study cardiovascular mortality was equally assessed in patients receiving a CRT-P or CRT-D, introducing an additional bias. The investigators classified almost 50% of the deaths as sudden cardiac death (SCD). However, no information regarding mode of death according to the implanted device is provided. It is likely that some of the SCDs in the CRT-P group could have been prevented by the use of the defibrillator. The determination of mode of death is difficult and subject to misinterpretation. Therefore, overall mortality, instead of cardiovascular mortality, would have been a more reliable and clinically relevant end point when assessing predictors of outcome. This bias could have been partially overcome by considering appropriate ICD therapies for VF as a surrogate for SCD in patients receiving CRT-D.

Castel et al. are to be congratulated for their efforts in attempting to identify those patients at risk despite device therapy. As they suggest patients with a very high risk at baseline will probably continue to be at risk after implantation. Therefore, probability of survival and mode of death, co-morbidities and patient’s choice are important aspects that should be considered when discussing treatment options with a patient. Irrespective of prognosis, for some patients with advanced heart failure, a significant improvement of symptoms and reduction of hospitalizations remains an important treatment goal.
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Part II

DIFFICULTIES RELATED TO CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY
Chapter 4

INDICATIONS FOR CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY: SHOULD THEY BE EXTENDED?
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ABSTRACT

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has shown to improve symptoms and quality of life of patients suffering from systolic heart failure refractory to medical therapy. It also induces a positive left ventricular remodeling process during long term follow up. However, based on classical indications for CRT, only a minority of patients with heart failure will benefit from this therapy and approximately one third of the patients implanted will not improve. There is a need for better methods with which to identify potential responders to CRT more accurately. Such methods might enable the identification of patients with other ECG patterns different from left bundle branch block or standard pacing indications, who could benefit from CRT. Better identification methods might also help to avoid heart failure progression in less symptomatic patients with a depressed left ventricular ejection fraction.
INTRODUCTION

For many reasons, but mainly ageing of the population and an increased survival of patients with cardiovascular diseases, heart failure (HF) is a growing epidemiological problem in developed countries (1). Recent advances in drug therapy have lead to a delay in progression and increased survival in HF (2-6). However, disability, impairment in quality of life and mortality rates in patients with advanced HF remain unacceptably high. At present, heart transplantation is the only therapeutic intervention that can improve outcome and improve symptoms end stage HF. Unfortunately, because of the shortage of donors, only a small proportion of patients will benefit from this option. Thus, new therapeutic interventions to improve well being and prognosis in HF patients refractory to standard medical are urgently needed.

Approximately one-third of the patients with systolic HF exhibit signs of intraventricular conduction delay, most of them with a left bundle branch block (LBBB)-like pattern (7). These ventricular conduction disturbances are associated with more advanced heart disease and a worse prognosis. LBBB produces an interventricular and intraventricular asynchronous contraction that reduces myocardial efficiency, further impairing systolic and diastolic function and worsening mitral regurgitation (8). Biventricular stimulation aimed at resynchronizing ventricular contraction was first clinically applied in 1994 (9) and since then has proved effective in reducing symptoms and slowing progression of heart failure in patients with systolic dysfunction, a broad QRS and HF symptoms refractory to standard medical therapy (10-12). However, cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is a relatively new therapy and many questions remained to be answered.

CANDIDATES FOR CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY

CRT has proven effective to improve symptoms caused by HF. It reduces mortality and hospitalizations among patients with HF refractory to standard medical therapy (12). Most trials of CRT selected patients on the basis of a markedly depressed left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class III-IV symptoms, and the presence of a broad QRS on the ECG (table 1). However, the epidemiological impact that CRT might produce in the population with HF will depend on the proportion of patients with HF who are candidates for this therapy.

In more than 7100 patients who underwent cardiac catheterization, the prevalence of LBBB and a severely depressed LVEF was less than 2 % (13). However, one in every three or four patients HF has a broad QRS. Among 200 patients sent for initial evaluation for heart transplantation, only 7.5% of the patients fulfilled all of the inclusion criteria required for CRT in most randomized clinical trials. However, if less strict selection criteria were to be applied, such as a narrower QRS or a lower NHYA functional class, then as many as 35% of the patients
could be candidates for CRT (14). Wolfram et al. showed that, among 566 patients with dilated cardiomyopathy, only 7% met all criteria for CRT but that 14% might have benefited from this therapy if less strict criteria were applied (15). In another study (7), conducted in a population of patients admitted for HF at a single center irrespective of etiology of the disease, approximately 10% were candidates for CRT based on criteria of NHYA functional class III or IV and a QRS duration of more than 120 ms.

Not only does the proportion of potential candidates based on current selection criteria seem to be low, but also the reported rate of “responders” to CRT appears to be far from optimal. Using the classic indications for CRT, both uncontrolled and controlled clinical studies report that 15% to 35% of implanted patients are “non-responders” (11,16-22). This is true regardless of whether response is defined in terms of symptom improvement or in terms of left ventricular reverse remodeling (table 2).

Although responder rates are not usually mentioned in the reports of the mayor trials on CRT, this data can be inferred. At the end of the crossover phase of the Multisite Stimulation in Cardiomyopathies (MUSTIC) trial (22), 15% of patients preferred a pacing mode other than the biventricular mode. This was true both for both patients in sinus rhythm or in atrial fibrillation. The Multicenter InSync Randomized Clinical Evaluation (MIRACLE) trial (11) showed that, among patients randomly assigned to CRT, the clinical composite HF score after 6 months of follow-up did not improve in 33% worsened in 16%. The Pacing Therapies for Congestive Heart Failure (PATH-CHF) investigators (6) reported similar rates of non-positive remodeling. In that trial, echocardiography after 6 months showed that left ventricular performance had either not improved or had deteriorated in 36% of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Inclusion criteria used by some randomized controlled studies of cardiac resynchronization therapy.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PATH-CHF</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MUSTIC SR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MUSTIC AF</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIRACLE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMPANION</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* QRS width after right ventricular pacing.
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Many factors have been reported to influence the degree of response to CRT, including location of the left ventricular stimulating lead (23,24), presence of viable tissue at the site of stimulation, etiology of heart disease (25-26), degree of left ventricular dysfunction (16), other comorbidities and, most importantly, degree of mechanical intraventricular and interventricular dyssynchrony (17,27).

Most controlled and uncontrolled clinical trials of CRT have considered broadness of the QRS as a surrogate for mechanical intraventricular and interventricular dyssynchrony. However, the ability of the ECG to predict response to CRT is now considered poor. Many imaging methods (e.g. three-dimensional echocardiography, tissue Doppler imaging, etc.) have been reported to accurately assess regional electromechanical coupling. Most studies show that such mechanical indicators of dyssynchrony predict symptom improvement and positive left ventricular remodeling after CRT more accurately than the ECG (17,21,27).

With the objective of improving the rate of patients that will respond to CRT, Penicka et al. (17) prospectively evaluated the association between clinical and Doppler echocardiographic parameters associated to positive left ventricular remodeling after 6 months of effective biventricular pacing. Using a pulsed wave tissue Doppler imaging technique, those investigators assessed regional electromechanical coupling times between the left ventricular basal segments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate of Non-responders to CRT according to different criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicka et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reuter et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasparini et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunati et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oguz et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH-CHF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC SR*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUSTIC AF*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRACLE*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients that exhibited no improvement or preferred a pacing mode other than biventricular pacing after the end of the crossover period.
of the lateral, posterior, septal and right ventricular lateral walls. Patients in whom LVEF was significantly increased and/or in whom end-diastolic volume was decreased were considered “responders”. It was found that interventricular and intraventricular tissue Doppler imaging derived parameters accurately predicted response. The summation of both interventricular and intraventricular dyssynchrony was able to identify all but one responder. The combination of both parameters was positively correlated with an increase in LVEF and positive remodeling, with a sensitivity of 88 % and a specificity of 93%. Furthermore, QRS duration correlated neither with the degree of dyssynchrony measured by tissues Doppler imaging nor with improvement in LVEF after therapy. Thus, the simple and readily available method of tissues Doppler imaging appears to offer an accurate method for selecting those who are most likely to respond to CRT.

Other have reported similar results electromechanical coupling parameters were used as markers of non-synchronized ventricular contraction. These parameters were superior to QRS width in predicting positive response. Furthermore, such methods may be used to demonstrate intraventricular dyssynchrony in patients with other ECG features (e.g. right bundle branch block patterns, narrower QRS morphologies) and in patients with systolic dysfunction who are require a pacemaker implantation or are pacemaker dependent. Although no clinical benefit of CRT has been consistently reported in these patient groups, the demonstration of desynchronized contraction may predict. Thus, better techniques for demonstrating dyssynchrony could lead to an expansion in the indications for CRT and better management of HF.

REVERSE REMODELING AFTER CRT

Overall, randomized and non-randomized studies have shown a highly consistent effect of CRT in improving left ventricular performance parameters over a long-term follow-up (figure 1). Based on a relatively small number of patients, the PATH-CHF investigators (16) reported a statistically significant reduction in left ventricular end-diastolic volume (253±83 ml to 227±112 ml), left ventricular end-systolic volume (202±79 ml vs 174±101ml) and an increase in LVEF (22±7 %vs 26±9 %) after 6 month of hemodynamically optimized atrial and biventricular pacing. Only patients with higher volumes at baseline were less likely to undergo positive remodeling after CRT. Long term follow-up of patients included in the MUSTIC trial (22) showed that biventricular pacing significantly improved 6 minute walk distance by 20%, increased oxygen consumption by 11%, and reduced both NYHA by 25% and improved Minnesota quality of life score by more than 30%. LVEF significantly increased by 5% and mitral regurgitation decreased more than 45%.

The MUSTIC investigators also recently reported the effects of CRT on left ventricular remodeling in the subgroup of patients in sinus rhythm (25). Both systolic and diastolic left ventricular dimensions improved significantly 3 months after implantation and further improved after 12 months of therapy. Also, the degree of mitral regurgitation was significantly reduced. Correction of dyssynchrony was crucial for reverse remodeling. Reverse remodeling was significantly
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greater in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy than in patients with ischemic heart disease. Remarkably, the sustained reverse remodeling effect of CRT was seen in patients already receiving conventional drug therapy.

The MIRACLE investigators also evaluated the long-term effects of CRT (26). They reported reduced end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, reduced mitral regurgitation and increased LVEF after 6 months of follow up. (Although reverse remodeling and LVEF improved during the first 3 months, this effect was even greater at 6-months.) Again, improvement was significantly greater in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy than in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. The effects of CRT occurred in patients already on optimal medical therapy and were independent of beta-blockade.

The Comparison of Medical Therapy, Pacing and Defibrillation in Chronic Heart Failure (COMPANION) trial (12) showed that CRT alone significantly reduced the combined end point of survival and all cause hospitalizations by 19% over 12 months. There were no significant differences in treatment effects between patients with ischemic and non-ischemic cardiomyopathy. CRT was also associated with a non-significant trend towards a reduction in all-cause mortality by 24%.

Data from drug treatment trials in HF show that, in patients with left ventricular dysfunction, increased left ventricular size and volumes predict adverse cardiovascular events, worsening HF and sudden cardiac death (28,29). Furthermore, attenuation of progressive left ventricular remodeling after myocardial infarction and in patients with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy is associated with a reduced risk of suffering cardiovascular events (30,31). In adequately selected patients with severely depressed LVEF and severe symptoms of HF refractory to medical therapy, CRT not only improves symptoms and quality of life but also induces a positive left ventricular reverse remodeling effect that appears to be sustained over time (which may be predictive of improved survival). Furthermore, it may be speculated that CRT will also help to

Figure 1.

Reverse left ventricular remodelling effect after long-term cardiac resynchronization therapy. Studies summarized here are MIRACLE (11), PATH-CHF (16) and MUSTIC AF (22). LVEDD= left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF= left ventricular ejection fraction.
delay progression of HF and improve survival in less symptomatic patients with depressed left ventricular function and marked intraventricular and interventricular dyssynchrony.

CONCLUSION

CRT has consistently shown to improve symptoms and quality of life of patients suffering from systolic HF refractory to medical therapy. It also induces a left ventricular reverse remodeling during long term follow up, an effect that occurs on top of that standard medical therapy. However, based on “standard” indications for CRT, only a minority of patients with HF will receive a biventricular device and approximately one-third of those who receive it will benefit from it. Thus, better methods are needed to identify potential responders to CRT. The use of imaging techniques to select patients with markedly dyssynchronous ventricular contraction should increase the proportion of responders to this therapy. These techniques may help identify patients that may also benefit from this therapy, but who now a days don’t meet the “standard” CRT indications (e.g. patients with a narrower QRS width, patients with a non-LBBB like broad QRS morphology or patients with standard pacing indications in whom right ventricular apical pacing may induce or worsen symptoms of heart failure). If the role of CRT in reducing heart failure progression is confirmed, more effective patient selection might also enable CRT to be offered to less symptomatic patients.
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POLYMORPHIC VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA INDUCED BY LEFT VENTRICULAR PACING


_Circulation. 2004 Jun 15;109(23):2924-5._
A 67-year old woman, with advanced heart failure accompanying idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy had a broad QRS complex on the ECG and significant inter and intraventricular asynchrony as shown by tissue Doppler echocardiography. She had no history of syncope, no documentation of any ventricular arrhythmias and no metabolic or electrolyte abnormalities. Implantation of a biventricular pacemaker was indicated. When left ventricular stimulation was started, she developed multiple polymorphic ventricular extrasystoles (fig 1) and polymorphic ventricular tachycardias. During the left ventricular lead threshold testing, the first non-captured stimulus generated a “long-short” like sequence that triggered a sustained episode of “torsade de pointes” that required electrical cardioversion (fig 2). No ventricular arrhythmias were induced when pacing the right ventricle or both ventricles simultaneously.

Heterogeneity within the ventricular wall is a major mechanism of ventricular arrhythmias in primary electrical disorders like Brugada syndrome and the long QT syndrome. But acquired forms also exist, like drug-induced “torsade de pointes”, drug induced Brugada syndrome, and the entity shown here: left ventricular pacing induced polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

**Figure 1.**

Initiation of left ventricular pacing immediately generates ventricular extrasystoles and non-sustained polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. The top panel: ECG lead I. Bottom panel: arterial pressure monitoring. Vertical lines mark 2-second intervals.
Left ventricular pacing during threshold testing. Note that a single non-captured stimulus generates a “long-short” like sequence that triggers a sustained episode of “torsade de pointes”. Same organization as figure 1.
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DOUBLE WIRE TECHNIQUE TO CATHETERIZE SHARPLY ANGULATED CORONARY SINUS BRANCHES IN CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY


ABSTRACT

Placing a pacing lead for left ventricular pacing through the coronary sinus can be hampered by anatomic obstacles. In this case report we describe a technique that can overcome the problem of sharply angulated coronary sinus branches by using simultaneously two guidewires in the target vessel.
INTRODUCTION

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has demonstrated to result in significant improvement in quality of life and relief of symptoms in patients with heart failure. (1,2) CRT requires positioning of a left ventricular pacing electrode in a coronary sinus branch. Individual variations in coronary sinus anatomy may cause serious obstacles to implantation. We describe a technique used to overcome the difficulty in the effective placement of an over-the-wire left ventricular pacing lead in sharply angulated coronary sinus branches.

CASE REPORT

A 77-year-old man with ischemic cardiomyopathy and previous pacemaker implantation for total AV block was referred to our center because of drug refractory heart failure. At admittance, the ECG showed atrially synchronous ventricular stimulation at 79 beats/min with a wide QRS complex of 190 ms. Transthoracic echocardiography and left ventricular angiography were performed which showed severe left ventricular dysfunction (EF = 25%), grade II–III mitral valve regurgitation, and marked intraventricular and interventricular asynchrony. The indication for a biventricular pacemaker (Medtronic InSync III, Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN) was made. The previously implanted right ventricular and right atrial leads were functioning well and thus kept in place. An Attain straight curve 9 french (Medtronic, Inc.) coronary sinus sheath was inserted in the coronary sinus using right subclavian venous access.

The venogram showed a very angulated posterolateral side branch (Fig. 1A). A Whisper guidewire (Guidant Corp, Minneapolis,MN) was advanced in this side branch but it was not possible to advance the Attain 4193 over-the-wire lead (Medtronic,Inc.) into the posterolateral branch (Fig. 1B). Negotiating the acute angle with the Attain lead consistently pushed the coronary sinus guiding sheath back into the right atrium. It was decided to insert a Balance Middleweight guidewire (Guidant) into the posterolateral branch as a second wire in order to attempt to straighten the angle of the vessel (Fig. 1C). The positioning of this second guidewire against the vein’s wall effectively reduced the acuteness of the angle of the side branch and this permitted the over-the-wire lead to be further advanced over the Whisper guidewire to reach a stable pacing position (Fig. 1D). Both wires were then retracted leaving the lead in position. Pacing threshold was 0.5 V at a pulse width of 0.5 ms with sensing at 30 mV.

DISCUSSION

CRT has shown to result in significant improvement in quality of life and relief of symptoms in patients with heart failure. (1,2) The rational for this therapy is based on correcting ventricular
mechanical dyssynchrony, which can be observed in approximately a third of patients affected by chronic heart failure. (3) Biventricular pacing implicates the implantation of an electrode in a posterolateral branch of the coronary sinus. However, correct positioning of the left ventricular lead can sometimes be problematic due to individual anatomical variations in the cardiac venous system which can occur in 20–25% of cases. (4,5) Previously described techniques and new products have been used to overcome such anatomical obstacles. Our group recently published an article (6) on approaching markedly angulated coronary sinus tributaries using a 6-French mammary catheter to cannulate the side branch. Commercially available systems

Figure 1.

Coronary sinus venogram (A) and fluoroscopic images (B–D) indentifying the sharply angulated posterolateral branch of the coronary sinus and the placement of the left ventricular pacing lead using the double wire technique (see text for details).
Double wire technique for sharp CS side branches

consisting of an inner and outer guide catheter (Guidant Rapido dual catheter system), which when used together offer special flexibility in approaching sharply angulated branches, may permit a higher success rate in placing the left ventricular lead. The “double wire technique” that we report reduces the abruptness of the angle of sharply angulated coronary sinus side branches by placing two wires in the target vessel. By keeping both wires in the side branch, a stiffer wire that is positioned against the wall of the vein can decrease the sharp angle, permitting the over-the-wire left ventricular lead to be effectively advanced over the other softer guidewire. We recommend using a somewhat stiffer wire (e.g., Balance Middle weight or Balance Heavy weight wire or occasionally a HiTorque Cross-it wire (Guidant)) to straighten the angle of the side branch. A softer, more slippery wire (e.g., Whisper MS wire (Guidant) or Shinobi wire (Cordis Corp, Miami, FL)) is then used to help in advancing the lead into position. This, easily reproducible and safe technique, represents another useful tool to approach anatomical difficulties in left ventricular lead placement.
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A 72 year old man was diagnosed with advanced symptoms of heart failure due to an idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. He presented with sinus rhythm and a left bundle branch block pattern on the ECG. The echocardiogram showed a severely depressed left ventricular systolic function with evidence of intraventricular and interventricular dyssynchrony. Symptoms were considered to be refractory to standard medical therapy and the patient was proposed cardiac resynchronization therapy device implantation. The patient underwent trans-venous implantation of a biventricular defibrillator. A magnetic guidewire was remotely steered to the coronary sinus side branch. Due to the marked tortuosity of a lateral coronary sinus side branch (the ideal pacing site), positioning of the left ventricular (LV) pacing lead was difficult and time consuming (figure 1). Aware of the difficulty and the efforts spent in accessing the ideal LV pacing site, the patient considered the peculiar shape described by the LV lead inside his heart as a way of expressing his gratitude. He presented no complications during the procedure and was dismissed uneventfully 48 hs after implantation.

**Figure 1.**

Fluoroscopic view of the final position of the LV pacing lead in a markedly tortuous lateral coronary sinus side branch. Note the peculiar “heart” shape drawn by the lead in a 35º left anterior oblique view.
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TAILORED ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC INTERVENTRICULAR DELAY PROGRAMMING FURTHER OPTIMIZES LEFT VENTRICULAR PERFORMANCE AFTER CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY.


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND:
The aim of cardiac resynchronization therapy is correction of left ventricular (LV) dyssynchrony. However, little is known about the optimal timing of LV and right ventricular (RV) stimulation.

OBJECTIVES:
The purpose of this study was to evaluate the acute hemodynamic effects of biventricular pacing, using a range of interventricular delays in patients with advanced heart failure.

METHODS:
Twenty patients with dilated ischemic (n = 12) and idiopathic (n = 8) cardiomyopathy (age 66 ± 6 years, New York Heart Association class III–IV, LV end-diastolic diameter >55 mm, ejection fraction 22% ± 18%, and QRS 200 ± 32 ms) were implanted with a biventricular resynchronization device with sequential RV and LV timing (VV) capabilities. Tissue Doppler echocardiographic parameters were measured during sinus rhythm before implantation and following an optimal AV interval with both simultaneous and sequential biventricular pacing. The interventricular interval was modified by advancing the LV stimulus (LV first) or RV stimulus (RV first) up to 60 ms. For each stimulation protocol, standard echocardiographic Doppler and tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) echo were used to measure the LV outflow tract velocity-time integral, LV filling time, intraventricular delay, and interventricular delay.

RESULTS:
The highest velocity-time integral was found in 12 patients with LV first stimulation, 5 patients with RV first stimulation, and 3 patients with simultaneous biventricular activation. Compared with simultaneous biventricular pacing, the optimized sequential biventricular pacing significantly increased the velocity-time integral (P <.001) and LV filling time (P = .001) and decreased interventricular delay (P = .013) and intraventricular delay (P = .010). The optimal VV interval could not be predicted by any clinical nor echocardiographic parameter. At 6-month follow-up, the incidence of nonresponders was 10%.

CONCLUSION:
Optimal timing of the interventricular interval results in prolongation of the LV filling time, reduction of interventricular asynchrony, and an increase in stroke volume. In patients with advanced heart failure undergoing cardiac resynchronization therapy, LV hemodynamics may be further improved by optimizing LV–RV delay.
INTRODUCTION

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) using biventricular pacing has emerged as an effective therapy for patients with advanced systolic heart failure and a broad QRS complex. It improves symptoms, quality of life, and exercise tolerance in patients with refractory systolic heart failure and a wide QRS complex of left bundle branch block-like morphology (1-3). By partially restoring the coordination between both ventricles, CRT improves systolic mechanical efficiency (4), reduces mitral regurgitation (5), and enhances ventricular relaxation (6).

Theoretically, three distinct levels of dyssynchrony can be distinguished and evaluated by standard echocardiographic techniques (7): (1) atrioventricular (AV) dyssynchrony due to delay of AV conduction (PR interval) that contributes to suboptimal chamber filling and mitral regurgitation; (2) interventricular dyssynchrony due to abnormal impulse propagation between both ventricles, characterized by a prolongation between the onset of electrical systole and the opening of aortic and pulmonic valves, which is assessed by the difference between left and right preejection intervals; and (3) intraventricular dyssynchrony due to regions of delayed activation within the left ventricle itself as evidenced by delayed posteroseptal wall activation. Clinical improvement following CRT results from beneficial changes in each distinct level of dyssynchrony. (7)

Preliminary data demonstrated that sequential, rather than simultaneous, biventricular pacing can further improve mechanical efficiency, with less myocardium displaying delayed longitudinal contraction, together with an increase in left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (8) and dP/dt. (9,10) New developments in pacing technology now allow adjustment in the timing of LV and RV activation separately. Whether sequential biventricular pacing will enhance function beyond that of “classic” simultaneous biventricular pacing remains to be determined. To address this issue, an acute echocardiographic study of biventricular DDD pacing with varying VV intervals was conducted. The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether optimizing the VV interval results in a better acute hemodynamic profile than conventional simultaneous stimulation. We also evaluated the effects of VV interval optimization on the different levels of dyssynchrony (interventricular and intraventricular).

METHODS

Study population

The study population consisted of 20 consecutive patients (16 men and 4 women; mean age 66 ± 6 years) with advanced heart failure who were prospectively selected for CRT between February and July 2003 according to the following criteria: (1) congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association [NYHA] (11) class II or higher) for at least 12 months; (2) stable medication (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, beta-blockers) for ≥3 months; (3) wide QRS complex (≥130 ms) of left bundle branch block-like morphology; and (4) LV ejection fraction
≥35% as assessed by echocardiography. Twelve patients had ischemic cardiomyopathy, and 8 patients had idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. All patients were in sinus rhythm. All patients gave consent to participate in the study.

**Pacemaker implantation and pacing protocol**

Atrio-biventricular pacemakers were implanted as described previously. (12) The right atrial and RV leads were positioned in the right atrial and the RV apex, respectively. All LV leads were implanted transvenously (Easytrak lead, Guidant Corp., St Paul, MN. USA), placed in the basal or mid posterolateral vein, and connected to a biventricular pacemaker (InSync III, Medtronic, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA) or ICD (Contak Renewal 2, Guidant Corp.). (12) During the study, the pacemakers were programmed to pace in DDD mode at a lower rate of 80 bpm to ensure atrial and ventricular capture and to avoid the effects exerted on LV performance by different heart rates. Adjustment of AV delay was performed as previously described. (13) The purpose was to obtain the longest possible AV filling time without truncating the A wave, as assessed by means of pulsed Doppler analysis of transmitral flow. The average optimized programmed AV delay was 115 ± 24 ms. After optimization of the AV interval, the interventricular (VV) interval was modified by advancing the LV stimulus (left ventricle first) or the RV stimulus (right ventricle first) by 20 ms intervals up to 60 ms. Seven different interventricular delays were examined in each patient. All measurements were performed three times for each VV interval by two operators and averaged.

**Echocardiographic evaluation**

Echocardiographic images were obtained in the standard parasternal and apical views before and ±3 days after implantation (range 2–5 days). Pulsed-wave TDI was performed using a commercially available ultrasound system with tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) capabilities (Acuson Sequoia C256, Mountain View, CA, USA). From the apical four-chamber, two-chamber, and long-axis views, pulsed Doppler velocities of wall motion were assessed in the basal segments of both ventricles during end-expiratory apnea. A sample volume of 5 mm was positioned in the center of each segment. Care was taken to minimize the incident angle between the direction of the Doppler beam and the analyzed vector of the myocardial motion. The spectral Doppler signal filters were adjusted to obtain Nyquist limits between 15 and 20 cm/s using the lowest wall filter settings and the optimal gain to minimize noise. Sweep speed was set at 150 mm/s. All studies were saved on S-VHS videotapes and analyzed off-line. The average value from 3 to 5 consecutive beats was taken for each measurement.

**Data analysis**

LV ejection fraction was quantified using the biapical Simpson method. LV stroke volume and standard parameters of mitral inflow were assessed by pulsed-wave Doppler recordings in api-
cal views. Mitral regurgitation was graded on a point scale from 0 to 4 using color flow mapping. (14)

Indices of AV dyssynchrony calculated were LV filling time and LV filling time corrected for heart rate. Cardiac dyssynchrony was assessed from measurements of time intervals between onset of the QRS complex and the beginning of regional velocity of myocardial shortening, which is considered a surrogate for regional electromechanical coupling intervals. Intraventricular delay was assessed by pulsed-wave TDI as the difference between the longest and the shortest time interval between onset of the QRS complex and peak systolic myocardial velocity in the six basal segments of the left ventricle, that is, septal, anteroseptal, lateral, inferior, anterior, and posterior segments. (15,16) The interventricular delay was determined by the difference between the time to opening of aortic and pulmonic valves and by the difference between electromechanical coupling times in the basal lateral segment of the RV and in the most delayed LV segment using TDI. The combined index of intraventricular and interventricular mechanical dyssynchrony was calculated by adding both numbers: Total dyssynchrony (sum) = Interventricular delay + Intraventricular delay. (14) Cardiac systolic performance was quantified by measuring the LV outflow tract velocity-time integral. The hemodynamic impact of the programmed AV/VV interval was derived from the velocity-time integral and LV filling time echocardiographic parameters, which are easy to obtain. In addition, steady-state velocity-time integral and LV filling time are rapidly achieved, thus enabling study of numerous settings in a short interval. At 6-month follow-up, responders to CRT were identified by a relative increase in LV ejection fraction or decrease in LV end-diastolic diameter ≥25% vs baseline. This cutoff value is >2 SD above the changes of both parameters observed after administration of placebo in randomized trials with beta-blockers. (14)

 Statistical analysis

All results are given as mean ± SD. Student’s t-test, Mann-Whitney test, and Spearman correlation coefficient were used for appropriate comparisons. For each protocol step and for each patient, LV outflow tract velocity-time integral and LV filling time measurements were compared by analysis of variance (Tukey test for repeated measures). Statistical significance was set at a two-tailed \( P < .05 \).

RESULTS

Preimplantation data

Twenty patients (average age 66 ± 6 years; NYHA heart failure class 3.2 ± 1.1) were included in the study. All patients had significant intraventricular delay (70 ± 28 ms), interventricular delay (65 ± 32 ms), and total dyssynchrony (135 ± 55 ms). Baseline clinical and ECG characteristics of the patients enrolled in the study are given in Table 1. LV lead implantation was successful
in all patients. One LV lead was placed in the posterior wall; 19 leads were positioned in the posterolateral wall.

**Biventricular activation and AV optimization**

Simultaneous biventricular pacing resulted in a significant improvement in LV performance as demonstrated by increased velocity-time integral \( (P < .001) \), increased LV filling time \( (P = .001) \), and trend toward higher LV filling time corrected for heart rate \( (P = .174; \text{Table } 2) \). Mitral regurgitation decreased from 1.8 ± 1.1 to 1.0 ± 0.8 \( (P = .005) \). This hemodynamic improvement was associated with a significant reduction in the degrees of interventricular delay \( (P = .003) \) and the total amount of dyssynchrony \( (P = .003) \). There was a trend toward lower intraventricular delay following simultaneous biventricular activation \( (P = .054; \text{Table } 2) \).

### Table 1. Baseline clinical and electrocardiographic parameters of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>17/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICMP/DCMP (%)</td>
<td>67%/33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA functional class</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (%)</td>
<td>22 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD (mm)</td>
<td>76 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS (msec)</td>
<td>200 ± 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR-interval (msec)</td>
<td>187 ± 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>66 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-I n (%)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics n (%)</td>
<td>18 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-blockers n (%)</td>
<td>14 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldosterone-antagonists n (%)</td>
<td>15 (83)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD or number of patients (percentage).

### Table 2. Echocardiographic parameters before implantation and during simultaneous biventricular pacing after AV optimization in all patients \( (n = 20) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pre-implantation</th>
<th>Simultaneous BIV pacing</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VTI (mm)</td>
<td>74 ± 15</td>
<td>115 ± 30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>66 ± 16</td>
<td>71 ± 9</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVFT (msec)</td>
<td>306 ± 82</td>
<td>395 ± 96</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVFT/HR (msec/bpm)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVD (msec)</td>
<td>65 ± 32</td>
<td>38 ± 31</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD (msec)</td>
<td>70 ± 28</td>
<td>48 ± 32</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total asynchrony (msec)</td>
<td>135 ± 55</td>
<td>85 ± 46</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR (grade)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

HR = heart rate; IVD = interventricular delay; LVFT = left ventricular filling time; LVFT/HR = left ventricular filling time corrected for heart rate; MR = mitral regurgitation; VD = intraventricular delay; VTI = left ventricular outflow tract velocity-time integral.

following simultaneous biventricular activation \( (P = .054; \text{Table } 2) \).
Velocity-time integral (VTI) and left ventricular filling time (LVFT) at baseline, during simultaneous biventricular pacing, and during sequential biventricular pacing \((n = 17)\). CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy.

Interventricular delay (IVD), intraventricular delay (VD), and sum dyssynchrony at baseline, during simultaneous biventricular pacing, and during sequential biventricular pacing \((n = 17)\). CRT = cardiac resynchronization therapy.
Sequential vs simultaneous biventricular activation

In 17 patients, hemodynamics were further ameliorated by adjusting the VV interval (Figure 1), whereas in 3 patients simultaneous biventricular activation was superior to sequential biventricular pacing. In the 17 patients, optimized sequential biventricular pacing resulted in a significant increase in velocity-time integral \( (P < .001) \), an increase in LV filling time \( (P = .001) \), and a decrease in interventricular delay \( (P = .013) \) and intraventricular delay \( (P = .010) \) with respect to simultaneous biventricular activation (Table 3). Accordingly, the total amount of dyssynchrony significantly decreased during sequential CRT \( (P = .002) \) compared with simultaneous activation (Figure 2). No change in severity of mitral regurgitation was noted during sequential compared with simultaneous biventricular pacing (Table 3).

Table 3. Echocardiographic parameters before and after VV optimization \( (n = 17) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Simultaneous BiV pacing n = 17</th>
<th>Optimal V-V interval p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VTI (mm)</td>
<td>122 ± 31</td>
<td>154 ± 42</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>71 ± 10</td>
<td>70 ± 10</td>
<td>.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVFT (ms)</td>
<td>404 ± 102</td>
<td>472 ± 110</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVFT/HR (ms/bpm)</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVD (msec)</td>
<td>35 ± 33</td>
<td>13 ± 25</td>
<td>.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VD (msec)</td>
<td>51 ± 34</td>
<td>34 ± 18</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total asynchrony (msec)</td>
<td>86 ± 49</td>
<td>47 ± 31</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>.252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

HR = heart rate; IVD = interventricular delay; LVFT = left ventricular filling time; LVFT/HR = left ventricular filling time corrected for heart rate; MR = mitral regurgitation; VD = intraventricular delay; VTI = left ventricular outflow tract velocity-time integral.

There was no “standard” optimal VV delay for all patients, and no difference was noted in optimal RV–LV delay between patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and those with idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. The best VV option was “LV first” in 12 patients and “RV first” in 5 patients. However, there were trends toward higher baseline PR interval \( (195 \pm 45 \text{ vs } 150 \pm 25 \text{ ms}; \ P = .06) \) and higher degree of total amount of dyssynchrony \( (142 \pm 48 \text{ vs } 127 \pm 34; \ P = .05) \) in patients who benefited from VV optimization compared with those who benefited from simultaneous biventricular pacing. Figure 3 shows typical Doppler tracings obtained before CRT, during simultaneous biventricular pacing, and during sequential biventricular pacing in an individual patient.

Six-Month Follow-Up

At 6-month follow-up, there was a significant improvement in ejection fraction from \( 22\% \pm 18\% \) to \( 34\% \pm 18\% \) \( (P < .001) \) and a decrease in LV end-diastolic diameter from \( 76 \pm 6 \text{mm} \) to \( 71 \pm 8 \text{mm} \) \( (P = .001) \). This hemodynamic benefit was associated with a significant improvement in
Biventricular delay optimization after cardiac resynchronization

NYHA class from 3.2 ± 1.1 to 1.8 ± 1.2 (P = .001). Interestingly, the incidence of nonresponders was 10%, which is lower than the number reported in the literature.

Reproducibility
The interobserver variability for measurement of LV dyssynchrony in 10 study subjects was 8.5% and for LV–RV dyssynchrony was 7.2%. The intraobserver variability was 6.8% for LV dyssynchrony and 6.1% for LV–RV dyssynchrony. (17)

DISCUSSION
The present study demonstrates that sequential biventricular pacing results in further improvement of LV performance over simultaneous biventricular pacing in a subgroup of patients with
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advanced heart failure and left bundle branch block morphology. Sequential biventricular pacing resulted in more homogenous activation of the ventricles as evidenced by prolongation of LV filling time and reduction in interventricular and intraventricular dyssynchrony. Finally, optimization of interventricular delay favorably affects the remodeling process as evidenced by the low incidence of nonresponders at 6 months. Therefore, individual echocardiography-guided VV interval programming seems advisable in order to maximize the benefit of CRT.

**Sequential vs simultaneous stimulation**

Although conventional simultaneous CRT ameliorated LV performance compared with sinus rhythm, we demonstrated that LV hemodynamics can be further improved by individually programming the VV interval to the optimal pacing mode in at least 80% of cases. The reported 26% increase in velocity-time integral by tailored VV interval adjustment corroborates previous observations. (8,9) Of note, a reduction in the extent of myocardium displaying delayed longitudinal contraction, together with an increase in LV ejection fraction by tissue tracking and three-dimensional echocardiography (8) and improvement in hemodynamic markers such as dP/dt (9,10) and myocardial performance index, (18) were noted following sequential biventricular pacing.

Adjustment of the VV interval not only improved the interventricular dyssynchrony but also positively influenced intraventricular dyssynchrony, resulting in more homogeneous activation of the LV with faster LV emptying, thereby increasing the time available for LV filling. The observed prolongation of LV filling time indicates that improvement of LV dyssynchrony also hastens LV relaxation and improves AV mechanics and diastolic LV performance. (19) Interestingly, patients with longer PR intervals at baseline tended to have more dyssynchrony and benefited more from VV optimization. This finding indirectly suggests that in addition to left bundle branch block, the presence of a prolonged PR interval might be a major indicator of severe conduction delay and contribute to mechanical dyssynchrony.

**Optimal VV interval**

The range of optimal VV intervals in a particular patient was narrow, with small changes resulting in large differences in LV hemodynamics. This is in contrast with the optimal AV interval, where mechanical responses are similar over a broad range of AV timings. (20) In most patients, advancing LV activation was superior, which seems logical because dyssynchrony results in delayed emptying of the LV compared with the RV. However, in a subset of patients, RV preactivation or simultaneous activation of both ventricles resulted in the best hemodynamic profile. Two mechanisms account for this observation. First, differences in baseline ventricular conduction and anisotropic differences due to conduction system abnormalities or myocardial scars between heart failure patients interfere with mechanical activation and therefore affect the various timing intervals. (6) Second, the exact positioning of LV leads varies depending on the operator’s choice and the coronary sinus anatomy. This situation leads to a variety of ventricular
activation patterns among patients. The closer the lead is to the latest activated portion of the LV segment, the higher the mechanical effect on resynchronization and the greater the clinical benefit. On the contrary, pacing the already early activated segment may further deteriorate LV function, as reported by Butter et al. (21)

**Long-term effects of optimized VV interval**

Similar to other studies, CRT was associated with a significant improvement in hemodynamic and clinical parameters. However, the incidence of nonresponders was 10%, which appears to be much lower than the 30% reported in literature. (1–3) The long-term benefits might be attributed to the greater reduction in interventricular and total dyssynchrony. In the long term, these features could result in more pronounced reverse remodeling observed following VV interval adjustment.

**Study limitations**

Previous observations reporting the superiority of left univentricular pacing over biventricular pacing could not be confirmed. (4,22) Although we did not specifically test univentricular stimulation, none of our patients had the best hemodynamic response with the relative earliest activation of LV. Our observation corroborates data of Perego et al. (9) who speculated that sequential stimulation with the relative earliest activation of LV and right bundle branch block ECG pattern achieves hemodynamic results more similar to those of left univentricular stimulation than those obtained with a less negative VV interval. Only a direct comparison between sequential CRT vs left univentricular stimulation can address this issue.

The purpose of CRT is to reestablish synchronous cardiac contraction. Therefore, optimized resynchronization of the heart is expected to yield a better clinical response during follow-up. The lower number of nonresponders and the beneficial effects upon remodeling parameters observed at 6 months in our study indirectly suggest that acute echo-cardiographic VV interval adjustment may be helpful to achieve this goal. Nevertheless, the concept that sequential CRT is superior to standard CRT and that acute echocardiographic optimization of the VV interval translates into long-term clinical benefit must be evaluated in a prospective double-blind randomized trial.

Because these data were obtained at a single heart rate, at rest with the patient in a recumbent position and at a single point in time, extrapolation of these data requires caution. Prospective studies evaluating the impact of exercise and position on the "optimal" VV interval are mandatory.

**Clinical implications**

Identification of optimal candidates for CRT remains a high priority. Although initially focusing on electrical markers, data have highlighted the value of more direct assessment of mechanical
dyscoordination by tissue Doppler, (23) echo Doppler, (24) or magnetic resonance imaging. Nevertheless, despite all these selection criteria, evidence from clinical trials suggest that 20% to 30% of patients who receive CRT do not respond to the therapy. (2,25) Reasons for failure to respond include insufficient ventricular dyssynchrony at baseline, overriding comorbidities that attenuate the benefit of CRT, failure to resynchronize LV function because of inadequate lead implant, and suboptimal device programming. Based upon our observation, we speculate that the rate of responders can be increased not only by better selection of patients but also by more accurate programming of the device. The present study highlights the importance of the VV interval, especially in patients with questionable benefit after implantation. However, prospective studies are needed to address the issue.

**CONCLUSION**

This study demonstrates that adjustment of interventricular pacing intervals further improves cardiac performance. This technique can be helpful in tracking the progress of response of an individual patient to therapy. Because of the important hemodynamic consequences of optimization of VV interval and the high rate of nonresponders reported in literature, we recommend tailoring the interventricular interval in every patient referred for CRT. Randomized trials evaluating the potential benefit of VV optimization in daily life are warranted.
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Part III

CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY: HOW TO IMPROVE IMPLANTATION TECHNIQUES
Chapter 9

POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF MAGNETIC NAVIGATION IN CLINICAL ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

L. Jordaens, M. Rivero-Ayerza and A. Thornton

Eur Heart J. – E Journal 2005; 3(vol. 40)
In spite of the high reported success rates, catheter ablation for supraventricular arrhythmias remains difficult, with early and late recurrences and complications. Several strategies to minimize the latter can be followed, as investigating alternative energy sources, using intracardiac echocardiography to monitor catheter handling and transseptal puncture, or as became possible only recently, stereotactic navigation of the catheter without manual force.

The restrictions of the present radiofrequency technology still are considerable. Apart from the fact that lesions are unpredictable and irreversible, catheters need a conductor to bring the energy to the tip, and additionally they are equipped with wires to deflect the catheter, sometimes in multiple directions. This explains why with such stiff, still poorly maneuverable catheters perforations of heart and vessels may occur. This results in hematomas, and pericardiac tamponade, not only in unexperienced hands. A further restriction is the lengthy procedure time, associated with high X-ray exposure, which is a potential hazard for the patient, and for the physician.

These considerations are especially important in more difficult situations, as in the presence of anatomic variations (e.g. complex congenital heart disease) or when a difficult target such as atrial fibrillation is approached. A similar problematic situation can be encountered when attempts are made to implant a biventricular pacing lead in the coronary sinus in a very large heart.

Automation, and remote navigation of flexible soft catheters might be an answer to some of these problems. This is made possible with the development of the so-called Stereotaxis system (St Louis), which makes it possible to steer a soft catheter with a magnetic tip in the heart, guided by two external, strong magnets producing a combined field strength of 0.08 Tesla at the place of interest.

**Figure 1.**

EP lab with two large magnets lateral of the patient table. The Cardiodrive advancer is inserted in the right femoral vein.
In an experimental set up the tip prolapse push force on catheters was reduced from 240 to 132 g; the tip curl force (for alignment) was reduced from 45 to 15 g. This indicates indeed how the safety profile of this approach is very promising. The potential to advance and retract the catheter via robotics, or with a simpler system Cardiodrive™, was also developed by Stereotaxis. This combination permits perfect remote control over the catheter, and should result in less radiation for the physician.

**ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY (EP) STUDIES**

It was shown that all basic steps for a diagnostic EP study can be taken with the described principle of magnetic navigation. If one wants to combine pacing and recording, a conventional catheter has to be inserted as well.

**CATHETER ABLATION**

Supraventricular tachycardia

We completed our learning curve for AVNRT, an arrhythmia with a simple anatomy in about 20 patients. The results were just as good as with conventional radiofrequency, or cryotherapy (table 1), with considerably less radiation for the patient as well. Due to the magnetic field, less catheter movement with cardiac and respiratory cycles and during junctional rhythm were seen as with conventional approaches. We have used the system for ectopic and incisional atrial tachycardia and right and left sided accessory pathways. We used a retrograde approach for the latter, even with the actual catheters, which are floppy over the entire length, what creates some difficulties at the aortic arch and valve. Others have preferred the transseptal route, which may result in better appositioning of the catheter in the left lateral region.

Ventricular tachycardia

Ventricular tachycardia of non-ischemic origin can be addressed. In RV outflow tract tachycardia, conventional ablation poses some difficulties, as two opposite curves have to be accomplished,

| Table 1. Comparison of ablation variables in AVNRT, using 3 different techniques |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Magnet ablation | Cryotherapy     | RF              |
| Number of ablations (median (range)) | 6 (1-22)        | 5 (2-16)        | 7 (2-28)        |
| Total ablation time (s) (median (range)) | 240 (60-633)    | 633 (452-2599)  | 290 (90-895)    |
| Success                         | 19/20           | 12/12           | 5/5             |
| Procedure time (min) (median (mean±sd)) | 163 (167±46)    | 148 (167±65)    | 159 (177±61)    |
| Fluoroscopy time patient (min) (median (mean±sd)) | 12 (17±12)      | 17 (20±11)      | 30 (30±8.8)     |
and precise mapping has to be performed in a relatively small area. This makes precision movement difficult, and often induces non-relevant arrhythmias. We have approached both left and right-sided idiopathic VT with magnetic navigation. This allows small steps, and very precise mapping with the floppy magnet catheter.

Atrial fibrillation (AF)
AF is the real challenge today. Some attempts were made by Ernst et al to achieve pulmonary vein isolation. People tend to accept now that a wide circumferential approach is the best way to proceed. Pappone recently presented the first cases with integrated CARTO imaging, resulting in successful ablation of the AF substrate. The perspective should be that even the less invasive retrograde transaortic - transmитral approach should be considered.

Left ventricular fascicular tachycardia. The upper panel shows the isolines in RAO and LAO, as acquired with the RPM mapping system, showing the apico-septal origin of the arrhythmia, with reference catheters in the coronary sinus and the right ventricular apex. The lower panel shows how the magnetic ablation lead (Helios 2, stereotaxis Inc) is moved from the yellow to the green line by magnetic forces. Here was a fascicular potential, and ablation was performed at this site. As both systems are not integrated, some imagination is left to the researcher. The angulations in RAO are not exactly the same.
Complex congenital heart disease.

Especially corrected complex congenital heart disease is frequently associated with arrhythmias. These can often be very difficult to control with conventional means. Reconstructed chambers, recesses, patches, and tubes make catheter manipulation and ablation very difficult. If there is a place for magnetic navigation, it is in these dilated, scarred tissues of operated aging hearts. Integration of anatomy, voltage mapping and activation mapping should assist in more performant ablation.

Advanced cardiac mapping

Electro-anatomic maps to guide the ablation process can now automated, more easily, be constructed aided by this remote technology. This will shorten the procedure time, and assist in assessing the success of otherwise lengthy procedures as pulmonary vein isolation.

Figure 3.

At the left designer lines as suggested by the investigator using the Navigant software (Stereotaxis Inc). At the right the CARTO map showing how these lines contributed to an electroanatomic map in sinus rhythm.

Figure 4.

At the right coronary sinus venogram using dedicated software to reconstruct a 3D image (at the left) what can be used to direct the guide wire.
Attempts are now undertaken to merge (old) MRI and multislice CT images in on-line electro-anatomic maps. The overlay seems acceptable. All want to believe hat this is progress. Real image integration in the EP domain will be realized when on-line integration of a 3-dimensional image with the online EP data becomes a fact. This will probably be realized with 3D echo, but if developments in X-ray and MRI are fast, these techniques have a chance to be involved as well.

CARDIAC RESYNCHRONISATION THERAPY (CRT)

Implanting a biventricular pacing lead in the coronary sinus (CS) can be very time consuming. These procedures can require extensive fluoroscopic screening. This is partly due to difficulties in cannulating the CS, and once the guide wire or the lead is in the CS, partly to attempts to reach the potentially best side branch, and finally because the lead has to remain there after it is advanced over the magnetic guide wire, and when the guide wire is retracted. Further, complications as dissection of the CS and pericardiac tamponade exist. The idea to cannulate the CS with a sheath into the mid right atrium or without a sheath at all should be tested with dedicated guide wires. Further, target side branches should be reached with the assistance of magnetic navigation, once the vessel is engaged. Magnetic force should be able to keep the guide wire in position when advancing the pacing wire. Most of these principles were tested already in our lab, and hold great promise.

FUTURE CONCEPTS

It is expected that with the incorporation of catheter registration technology or echocardiography to this system or using these technologies in parallel procedure and radiation times will decrease. Combining this technology with other new technology such as cryotherapy and the other mapping technologies mentioned above, may significantly improve outcome, as well as reducing the number of applications, and associated collateral tissue damage. The potential for other areas in cardiology is there: stem cell therapy, difficult coronary artery procedures, congenital heart disease. Even when this system is only a first step on the road to performant magnetic navigation, the tested principles so far seem to confirm that the concept is valid, and should be considered a milestone in the development of safer and automated procedures.
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LEFT VENTRICULAR LEAD PLACEMENT WITHIN A CORONARY SINUS SIDE BRANCH USING REMOTE MAGNETIC NAVIGATION OF A GUIDEWIRE: A FEASIBILITY STUDY


*J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* 2006 Feb;17(2):128-33
ABSTRACT

Background:
A novel magnetic navigation system (MNS) allowing remote guidance of catheters and guidewires might assist in implantation of left ventricular (LV) pacing leads.

Objective:
To assess the feasibility of deploying a LV pacing lead into a coronary sinus (CS) side branch using a magnetically guided wire and of performing the procedure without a CS guiding sheath.

Methods:
Twenty-one patients were included in this study. Nine underwent CRT device implantation using a magnetic navigation system to steer the guide-wire (MNS group) while 12 patients were conventionally implanted (control group). In 6 pts in the MNS group, the procedure was performed using a CS guiding sheath. In 3 others the decision was to perform the procedure without a CS sheath. In these patients the wire was advanced manually, while the external magnets oriented it towards the CS os. In the CS, “vector based” navigation was used to guide the wire to the desired side branch.

Results:
In all 9 patients in the MNS group the target vessel could be successfully engaged by the magnetically guided wire. In 7, the LV lead was lodged in the target vessel. In 2 patients the LV lead was repositioned in an anterolateral side branch due to instability or inability to engage the vessel with it. Mean total procedure time was 164 ± 58 min (without sheath 229 ± 52 vs with sheath 132 ± 26 min; p=0.007). Mean fluoroscopy time was 28 ± 9 min. For control pts the procedure and fluoroscopy time were similar (144 ± 41 min and 26 ± 12 min, respectively). No major complications occurred.

Conclusion:
LV lead implantation can be performed using a remote-magnetically steered guide-wire. Though the lead could be implanted without a CS guiding sheath, longer procedure times were required.
A novel magnetic navigation system has been designed with the purpose of allowing remote guidance of soft radiofrequency ablation catheters and PTCA guide-wires (1). This system is intended to allow catheters or guide-wires to reach areas or access vessels that would otherwise be difficult to get to by conventional means. In humans, the system was used in electrophysiological studies and mapping of right and left foci (2), while catheter ablation until now is only reported for right sided circuits (3,4).

In recent years significant technological progress has been made aiming at improving success and reducing complication rates during cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) device implantation procedures. Nevertheless, in a proportion of cases, attempts at deploying a left ventricular (LV) pacing lead through the coronary sinus (CS) still fail (5). Inability to cannulate the CS or accessing tortuous or highly angulated side branches, and the chances of CS dissection or perforation by the sheath or lead are some of the reasons why transvenous implantation procedures may fail (5,6). Further, extracardiac or diaphragmatic stimulation occur and may happen at the most optimal prospective pacing site. All these reasons can lead to subsequent surgical LV lead positioning in up to 10% of the patients (7). This study was conducted with the purpose of evaluating the feasibility of deploying a LV pacing lead into the desired CS side branch by means of magnet navigation to guide the wire. We also tested if this was possible without a guiding-sheath inserted in the CS.

METHODS

Patient Population
Twenty-one consecutive patients who underwent CRT device implantation were included in this study, 9 using a remote magnetic navigation system (Stereotaxis Navigant™) (MNS group) as part of the safety protocol approved by the ethics committee of our institution were included in the study, and 12 other patients included as a control group. Patients were alternated according to availability of our catheterization rooms (magnet and standard room). These patients met standard criteria for CRT, that is, advanced heart failure refractory to medical therapy, low EF (< 35 %) and a broad QRS (≥120 msec) on the ECG with a left bundle branch block (LBBB)-like morphology. All patients gave informed consent.

Implantation Procedure - MNS group:
In all 9 patients the left cephalic vein was dissected. A RV shock lead (model 1580 Riata, St. Jude Medical, Sylman, CA, USA or model 6947 Sprint Quattro Secure, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was introduced and actively fixed to the right ventricular apical wall. After a double left subclavian venous puncture a right atrial active fixation lead (model 5076, Medtronic Inc, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was introduced and positioned in the right atrial appendage. After testing pacing and sensing properties of these leads, a 10 FR sheath was introduced in the left subclavian vein. Through this sheath (in patients 4 to 9) a 9 FR long guiding-sheath (model Attain 6216,
Medtronic Inc, Minnesota, MN, USA) was introduced, in order to cannulate the coronary sinus. In patients 1, 2 and 3 access to the CS was achieved without a CS sheath (see below).

A CS angiogram was performed in patients 4 to 9. In patients 1 and 2, the image obtained during the venous phase of a previous coronary angiography was used as an anatomic guide to navigate within and select the target CS branch (figure 1). In patient 3, a CS angiogram from a previous conventional approach was used. After analyzing the coronary sinus angiograms the ideal side branch to place the LV lead was chosen. In most cases this was either a posterolateral or a lateral branch.

Two external magnets (Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA) were positioned at each side of the table in order to generate a magnetic field within the patient. The orientation of the magnetic field is established by the interaction that the two external magnets exert with each other and is specified by the operator working remotely in the viewing room. A single magnet inserted in the tip of a 0.014” guide-wire (Cronus Floppy Endovascular Guide wire, Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA) aligns itself with the direction of the magnetic field. In this way, by remotely changing the orientation of the magnets, the vector of the magnetic field changes and consequently the tip of the guide wire positions itself in the same direction. No mechanical advancer system was used.

**Figure 1.**

Coronary sinus anatomy depicted during the late phase of a left coronary angiogram. Note how the os of the coronary sinus is marked as a target for navigation. Left panel: left anterior oblique view; Right panel: right anterior oblique view.

- *Left ventricular lead implantation*
  
  In patients 1 to 3, using the Stereotaxis Navigant™ navigation system and two radiographic views at 28° right anterior oblique (RAO) and 28° left anterior oblique (LAO) (the maximum allowed by the large external magnets) markers were placed at the level of the CS os on the x-ray images (figure 1). The location of these markers is automatically transferred to the navigation system, which orients the magnetic vector in the direction of this point (“target based” navigation) (figure 1). The Cronus™ wire was advanced until the CS os was reached. In patients 4 to 9 the Cronus™
wire was introduced conventionally through a long guiding sheath. Once the guide-wire was introduced in the right atrium (patients 1 to 3) or the CS was successfully cannulated (patients 4 to 9) the magnets were placed in “navigate position” pointing towards the patient and 60 cm apart from each other. The time required to place the magnet in “navigate” position is 50 sec, 15 sec are needed to move back the magnets to “stowed” position.

Once in the CS (in all 9 patients) vectors following the direction of the vessel were used to navigate within the CS to the desired side branch (“vector based” navigation) (figure 2). Once in the targeted side branch, the wire was advanced as distally as possible. Afterwards, an over the wire LV pacing lead system [ELA Situs OTW UW28D, ELA Medical, Le Plessis-Robinson Cedex, France (patients 1 to 5); Medtronic Attain OTW 4193, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA (patients 6 to 9)] was introduced and lodged in the vessel (figure 3). Pacing and sensing properties of all the leads were

Figure 2.

Vector based navigation. Right anterior oblique 28° (left hand panels) and left anterior oblique 28° (right hand panels) arrows show the consecutive vectors chosen to navigate within the CS to reach the desired posterolateral side branch.
checked and after determining its adequacy the magnets were pivoted away (90°) from the patient ("stowed" position) and the guiding-sheath was removed. Implanted devices were controlled, an echocardiogram was performed and chest x-rays were obtained 24 hs after implantation.

**Implantation Procedure - Control group**

Twelve consecutive patients, who underwent CRT device implantation during the study period, were included as a control group. These patients underwent conventional CRT device implantation as previously described (8).

**Data Analysis**

Data are expressed as means ± SD, as appropriate. Student’s t test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables.

**RESULTS**

**MNS group - patient characteristics and procedure data**

The clinical characteristics of these patients are listed in table 1. Mean age of the population was 63 ± 9 years. All had a LBBB-like broad QRS complex (170 ± 30 msec) on the ECG, a severely depressed LV ejection fraction (26 ± 8 %) and advanced symptoms of heart failure (NYHA functional class 3 ± 0.5). Patient 3 had already failed a conventional approach.

The mean procedure time was 164 ± 58 min, the mean fluoroscopy time was 28 ± 9 min. Whether a guiding sheath was used or not, fluoroscopy time did not differ significantly (24 ± 8 vs 35 ± 3 min; p=NS), however, procedure time was significantly longer in the group of patients

---

**Figure 3.**

Left panel: LAO view during a CS angiogram showing a markedly angulated vessel that was inaccessible by conventional means. Right panel: over the wire LV pacing lead lodged within this same vessel after the wire was magnetically guided to this position.
Magnetically guided LV lead implantation

in whom no guiding sheath was used (229 ± 52 vs 132 ± 26 min; p=0.007) (table 3). The longer procedure times observed in patients in whom no sheath was used was mainly determined by the time required to access the CS with the guide-wire and LV lead.

Effectiveness of accessing the target vessel with the guide-wire
In 6 patients the target CS side branch was located in the posterolateral wall of the LV while in 3 patients the ideal CS side branch was considered to be in the lateral LV wall (table 2). The magnetically guided wire could be successfully introduced in the target side branch in all cases. In 2 patients, though the target side branch was successfully accessed by the guide-wire, the LV lead had to be positioned in a different vessel (see below).

LV lead placement
In all patients an effective pacing site was achieved with a mean LV lead sensing amplitude of 19 ± 7 mV, mean pacing threshold of 1.5 ± 1.4 V and an impedance of 957 ± 352 ohms. In patients in whom a guiding sheath was used, LV pacing thresholds were lower than in those in whom no sheath was used (0.85 ± 0.5 mV vs 3 ± 1.8 mV; p=0.02). The ideal pacing site was accessed by the LV lead in 7 patients. In 2 cases (in whom a guiding sheath was used) the desired side branch was either not accessed by the LV lead or the lead was unstable at that site. For this reason, in both cases the LV lead had to be repositioned in an anterolateral branch. Device control and chest X-rays confirmed stability of the LV lead 24 hr after the procedure in all cases.

Comparison between MNS group and control group
Baseline clinical variables of patients in the MNS group did not differ significantly from those of the control group (table 1).

No differences were observed in total procedure time (164 ± 58 min vs 144 ± 41 min; p=NS) and fluoroscopy time (28 ± 8 min vs 26 ± 12 min; p=NS) between patients in the MNS group and control patients respectively (table 3). Furthermore, LV lead pacing (1.5 ± 1.4 V vs 0.94 ± 0.6 V;
p=NS) and sensing (19 ± 7 vs 15 ± 9 mV; p=NS) properties did not significantly differ between both groups (table 3). The results were virtually the same when comparing MNS patients in whom a CS guiding sheath was used to control patients (table 3). In 2 patients in the control group access to a lateral branch could also not be achieved, due to anatomical difficulties, and the LV lead had to be positioned in an anterolateral tributary.

### Table 2. Implantation data – MNS group only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Proc (min)</th>
<th>Fluoro (min)</th>
<th>CS angio</th>
<th>Sheath</th>
<th>TV</th>
<th>WA</th>
<th>LA</th>
<th>Def</th>
<th>PT (V)</th>
<th>S (mV)</th>
<th>Disl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt=patient, Proc= procedure time, Fluoro= fluoroscopy time, CS angio= CS angiogram performed, TV=targeted vessel (PL= posterolateral branch, AL= anterolateral, L= lateral branch), WA=target vessel accessed with the guide-wire, LA=target vessel accessed with the lead, Def= definitive LV lead position, PT= LV lead pacing threshold, S= LV lead sensing, Disl=LV lead dislodgment.

### Table 3 – LV lead properties; MNS group vs Control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MNS group</th>
<th>Control group (n=12)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>w/o sheath (n=3)</td>
<td>with sheath (n=6)</td>
<td>All (n=9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV pacing (V)</td>
<td>3 ± 1.8 *</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.5 *</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV Sensing (mV)</td>
<td>15 ± 7</td>
<td>20 ± 8</td>
<td>18 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure time (min)</td>
<td>229 ± 52 #</td>
<td>132 ± 26 #</td>
<td>164 ± 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy time (min)</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>24 ± 8</td>
<td>28 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = 0.02 comparison within MNS group; # p = 0.007 comparison within MNS group

### Complications

No major complications were observed during or after the procedure. One patient required re-intervention by conventional means due to LV lead dislodgement detected 5 days after the procedure. One patient had diaphragmatic stimulation during 9 V pacing at an ideal LV pacing site. The LV lead was not repositioned, the output was programmed at 3 V and the patient remained asymptomatic.

The devices detected no electromagnetic interference while the magnets were placed in the “stowed” position.
DISCUSSION

Inability to access a desired CS side branch is a phenomenon that is often encountered during conventional CRT device implantations. We report how accessing a predefined “ideal” CS side branch with a remotely, magnetically steered guide-wire for placement of a LV pacing lead is both safe and feasible.

In all patients, the Cronus™ guide-wire successfully engaged the predefined “ideal” side branch. One patient was included in this study after being admitted due to a failed conventional CRT implantation elsewhere. Supposedly the reason for the previous failure in this patient was the presence of a markedly angulated side branch. This could only be engaged by the magnetically steered guide-wire (figure 3). This last patient, in whom a conventional approach failed and MNS guided implantation avoided an epicardial lead implantation, represents one of the ideal candidates for this approach. This is especially true given the fact that few implanting centers have magnetic navigation systems and that the only option after a failed conventional approach nowadays would be epicardial lead placement.

In the two patients in whom we could not reach or keep a more stable position of the lead this was probably due to anatomical characteristics of the CS and its side branches or to the rigidity and thickness of the available lead tips. Also, the guide-wire used was not primarily designed for LV lead implantations but as a PTCA wire.

Another patient, in whom a CS guiding sheath was used, suffered a LV lead dislodgment requiring a conventional approach. Acceptable pacing thresholds and sensing properties were observed throughout the series; only once was diaphragmatic stimulation seen at a high pacing output.

Although in a group of patients the procedure was performed without inserting a guiding sheath in the CS, procedure and fluoroscopy times were longer than those expected for a conventional approach. Nevertheless, procedure and fluoroscopy times in patients in whom a CS sheath was used virtually did not differ from the control patients.

Implications for LV lead positioning without use of a guiding sheath

During conventional CRT device implantation, a guiding sheath is introduced within the CS and a balloon is inflated to occlude it and obtain an angiogram that allows selection of the ideal LV site available for deploying the pacing lead. While manipulating the sheath and/or the balloon there is a risk of cardiac perforation or CS perforation of up to 2 % (8) or dissection of up to 4 % (8) that can lead to discontinuing the procedure. In addition, during sheath removal there is a risk of LV lead displacement of up to 11 % (9). All these potential complications can be reduced if no CS guiding sheath is required to deploy the LV pacing lead. For this reason, we attempted to perform the procedure without the use of a CS guiding sheath. For these patients we selected an LV lead (ELA Situs OTW UW28D) that had no pre-designed shape, in order to facilitate its introduction to the coronary sinus, and that had a design feature to try to decrease undesired dislodgment from the side branches. In 3 patients the procedure was entirely done without the need for a guiding
sheath to cannulate the CS. A previous CS angiogram (in a patient in whom a conventional approach failed) or an indirect CS angiogram (obtained during the venous phase of a previous coronary angiography) was used to select the desired side branch and to guide the magnetic navigation system. In these cases, procedure times were significantly longer than in patients in whom a sheath was used. Two issues determined the prolonged procedure time in the first three patients in whom no CS sheath was used. One is that it took some time to engage the CS os with the magnetically steered guide-wire. But most importantly, the lack of support provided by the floppy guide-wire determined that when attempting to access the CS with the stiffer LV lead, often the wire was retracted and did not stay in position. In these patients a number of attempts were required to advance the pacing lead beyond the os of the CS. When not using a sheath, support at the CS os is poor. One of the major reasons is that the guide-wire used was a soft PTCA wire not specifically designed for this purpose providing very little support to the lead.

For this reason we decided to continue the study, in the remaining patients, using a guiding sheath to cannulate the CS. However, we strongly believe that with the availability of magnetically navigated guide-wires specifically designed for the purpose of implanting CRT devices, it will be possible to avoid the use of CS guiding sheaths (and their consequences) during CRT device implantation. When 3-D reconstructions of the CS can be integrated to the magnetic navigation system, this may even be feasible with minimal fluoroscopy.

**LIMITATIONS**

A limitation of this study is the number of patients included, the reason being that it was part of a safety protocol required by our institution in order to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of this approach. Though the study shows that this procedure is safe and feasible, the need for a larger randomized trial is warranted.

One of the disadvantages of the actual procedure is that exposure to x-rays is not yet reduced. The guide wires and the LV leads were manually advanced, implying that the physician in charge was exposed to fluoroscopy as in a conventional procedure. During this study, the system used to remotely advance or retract radiofrequency ablation catheters (avoiding the physician exposure to the x-rays) could not be used with the currently available guide wires and LV pacing leads. We intend to modify and test the system for this purpose.

Another limitation is the lack of support provided by the currently used magnetically steered guide wire (Cronus™). However, stiffer wires than the ones used in this study are now available. These can provide more support to the LV lead potentially allowing the procedure to be performed without the need for a CS guiding sheath.
CONCLUSION

Implantation of a LV lead through the CS is safe and feasible using a magnetic navigation system and it can even be performed without the need for a CS guiding-sheath, although procedure and fluoroscopy times were increased.
REFERENCES:

Chapter 11

MAGNETICALLY GUIDED LEFT VENTRICULAR LEAD IMPLANTATION BASED ON A VIRTUAL THREE-DIMENSIONAL RECONSTRUCTED IMAGE OF THE CORONARY SINUS.


ABSTRACT

Background:
Left ventricular lead (LV) implantation is feasible using remote magnetic navigation of a guidewire (Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA). A novel software that performs a 3-D reconstruction of vessels based on 2 or more angiographic views has recently been developed (CardiOp-B system™, Paeion Inc, Haifa, Israel).

Objectives:
To evaluate 1) the performance of the 3D reconstruction software to reproduce the anatomy of the coronary sinus (CS) and 2) the efficacy of remotely navigating a magnetic guidewire within the CS based on this reconstruction.

Methods:
In patients undergoing CRT implantation a 3D reconstruction of the CS was performed using CardiOp-B™ system. Accuracy of the reconstruction was evaluated as compared to the CS angiogram. This reconstruction was imported into the Stereotaxis system. Based on the reconstruction magnetic vectors to navigate within the CS were automatically selected and manually adjusted if needed. Feasibility of deploying the guidewire and LV lead into the selected side branch, fluoroscopy time (FT) required for cannulation of the target side branch (SB) and total FT were also evaluated.

Results:
Sixteen patients were included. In one case the software could not reconstruct the CS. The quality of the reconstruction was graded as good in 13 and poor in 2. In 10 cases manual adjustments to the traced edges of the CS was required to perform the 3-D reconstruction and in 5 no adjustments were needed. In 13 patients the target SB was engaged based on the automatically selected vectors. In 2 cases manual modification of the vector was required. Mean total FT was 23 ± 14 min and FT required to cannulate the target SB was 1.7 ± 1.3 min.

Conclusion:
A 3D reconstruction of the CS can be accurately performed using 2 angiographic views. This reconstruction allows precise magnetic navigation of a guidewire within the CS.
Despite the technological progress aimed at improving success and reducing complication rates during cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) device implantation, in a proportion of cases delivery of a left ventricular (LV) pacing lead through the coronary sinus (CS) still fails. As recently demonstrated transvenous implantation of a LV pacing lead is safe and feasible using remote magnetic navigation of a guidewire (1,2). However, we encountered certain limitations in order to reach the ultimate goal of reproducibly implanting the LV lead remotely. Among these limitations was the lack of having a real-time three-dimensional (3D) CS model to facilitate more accurate navigation of the guidewire. A novel imaging system (CardiOp-B system, Paeion Inc, Haifa, Israel) is becoming an established technique for the 3D reconstruction of vessels using data obtained from standard vascular angiography (3). The reconstructed vessel can subsequently be imported to the Niobe™ (Stereotaxis, St. Louis, USA) magnetic navigation system and magnetic vectors can be selected based on this virtual model of the vessel.

Our purpose was to test the feasibility of reconstructing the anatomy of the CS using this software and evaluate the accuracy of navigating within the CS based on this 3D model.

METHODS

Patient Population
Sixteen consecutive patients who underwent CRT device implantation were included in this study. These patients met the standard criteria for CRT comprising of advanced heart failure refractory to medical therapy, low ejection fraction (EF) (<35 %), and a broad QRS (≥120 msec) on the electrocardiogram (ECG) with a left bundle branch block (LBBB)-like morphology. All patients gave informed consent.

Implantation Procedure
All procedures were performed in the room equipped for magnetic navigation (Niobe™ II, Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA). In all patients the left cephalic vein was dissected. An RV shock lead (model 1580 Riata, St. Jude Medical, Sylman, CA, USA or model 6947 Sprint Quattro Secure, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) was introduced and actively fixed to the right ventricular apical wall. After a double left subclavian venous puncture, a right atrial active fixation lead (model 5076, Medtronic, Inc.) were introduced and positioned in the right atrial appendage. Pacing and sensing properties of these leads was assessed.

Coronary sinus angiography and three-dimensional reconstruction
A 9 F long guiding sheath (model Attain 6216, Medtronic, Inc.) was introduced, in order to cannulate the CS. Angiograms of the CS were performed using 30° LAO, AP and 30° RAO projections (projections allowed when the magnets are in navigate position). These angiograms were imported to the CardiOp-B System™ (Paeion Inc.). An automatic algorithm detects the
vessel edges in each of the projections used for the reconstruction. In case edge detection was inaccurate (not exactly superimposed to the angiographic edge), manual adjustments could be made so as to obtain the best reconstruction possible. Based on the traced edges, and using a minimum of two complementary angiographic views, a 3D reconstruction of the vessel was performed. The system allows reconstructing the body of the vessel and one bifurcation or side branch at a time. The reconstructed 3D model of the CS and its side branch can then be imported to the Niobe™ (Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA) where, after alignment to the real time fluoroscopic views, flythrough images of the model can be used to navigate (Figure 1). The quality of the reconstruction was assessed by two operators performing the procedure and graded as i) not possible to be reconstructed, ii) poor or iii) good, after superimposing and

**Figure 1.**

Top: at the left, 3D reconstruction of the coronary sinus with one side branch in an antero-posterior view. Note the marked angulation of the lateral side-branch. At the right, a flythrough image of the reconstruction.

Bottom: Reconstructed model aligned to the fluoroscopic view in LAO projection (left panel) and AP projection (right panel). In green, the automatically selected vector.
Magnetic navigation using a 3D reconstructed image of the CS aligning it to the real-time angiography in the LAO, AP and RAO projections (Figure 2). The need of manual adjustment of the traced edges in order to improve the quality of the reconstruction was also evaluated.

Magnetic navigation based on the virtual three-dimensional image of the coronary sinus
Magnetic navigation is performed by positioning two external magnets (Stereotaxis) at each side of the table in order to generate a 0.08 Tesla magnetic field within the patient. The orientation of the magnetic field is established by the interaction the two external magnets exert with each other and can be automatically determined or specified by an operator working remotely in the viewing room. A single 2 mm neodymium iron boron magnet attached at the tip of a
0.014 guidewire (Cronus™ Soft support Endovascular Guide wire, Stereotaxis) aligns itself to the direction of the magnetic vector. In this way, by changing the orientation of the magnets, the resultant magnetic vector changes and the tip of the guidewire aligns itself in the direction of the newly applied vector. A dedicated software package, the Navigant™ (Stereotaxis) allows the full integration of the Niobe™ system and the imported CardioOp® 3D reconstruction (Paeion). In this way the vectors needed to navigate within the reconstructed vessels and to access the desired portion of the vessel, can be automatically determined by the system (Figure 2). In case the vectors suggested by the system are not accurate enough to allow access to the desired side branch, manual adjustments can be performed. In this study the guidewire was manually advanced after each modification of the magnetic field (every 3 to 5 mm steps) in order to access the ideal side branch (as previously defined by the operators). Once the target side branch was engaged an over-the-wire LV pacing lead, (Medtronic Attain, Medtronic, Inc. or QuickSite, St. Jude Medical, Sylmar, CA, USA) was introduced and lodged in the vessel.

Feasibility of i) deploying the guidewire and LV lead into the selected side branch (SB) using the automatically determined vectors, ii) need of manual adjustments of these vectors, iii) fluoroscopy time (FT) required for cannulation of the target SB, iv) total FT and v) procedure time were assessed.

### Table 1. Procedure characteristics and left ventricular lead position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Procedure Time (min)</th>
<th>Fluoroscopy Time (min)</th>
<th>LVL Fluoroscopy (min)</th>
<th>Target SB accessed w/ guidewire</th>
<th>Final LVL Location</th>
<th>Pacing Threshold (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>23,3</td>
<td>2,36</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>23,2</td>
<td>1,64</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14,48</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>19,2</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>18,4</td>
<td>0,26</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>0,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>2,26</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27,35</td>
<td>1,05</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>9,41</td>
<td>0,18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>4,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>16,21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8,7</td>
<td>3,05</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21,42</td>
<td>2,4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>98.6 (25)</td>
<td>23.2 (14)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>1.2 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL=anterolateral, Ant= anterior, LVL= left ventricular lead, L=lateral, PL= postero-lateral side, SB= coronary sinus side branch, SD= standard deviation.
RESULTS

The procedural outcome of the 16 consecutive patients included in the study is detailed in Table 1. All had a LBBB-like broad QRS complex on the ECG, a severely depressed LV ejection fraction and advanced symptoms of heart failure (NYHA functional class 3 ± 1). The mean procedure time was 99 ± 26 min, the mean FT was 23 ± 14 min and the mean FT required to position the LV lead into the target CS side branch was 1.7 ± 1.3 min.

Three-dimensional reconstruction of the coronary sinus

In 15 patients (93 %) the 3D reconstruction of the CS was successfully performed. In case number 4 the software was unable to reconstruct the CS and consequently the LV lead implantation was conventionally performed. In 10 cases minor manual adjustments to the traced edges of the CS was required in order to obtain a 3-D reconstruction of good (in 8 cases) or poor (2 cases) quality. In the remaining 5 patients no manual adjustments were required in order to obtain a good reconstruction (Figure 1). Overall, the quality of the reconstruction was considered to be good in 13 cases (81 %) and poor in 2 (12 %).

LV lead implantation

In all 15 patients in whom magnetic navigation based on the reconstructed CS was performed the target side branch was successfully engaged with the magnetically steered guidewire. In 13 patients (87%) this was possible based only on the automatically selected vectors; in 2

---

### Table 2. Quality of reconstruction and Navigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Quality of 3D reconstruction</th>
<th>Edge adjustment needed</th>
<th>Type of navigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not obtained</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic + Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic + Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Automatic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cases (13%) manual modification of the automatically selected vectors was required in order to navigate distally in the target vessel.

In one case the lead had to be definitively deployed in an anterior side branch due to lack of acceptable pacing thresholds in other locations. However, navigation all through the CS was feasible in this patient using the automatically selected vectors. In 2 other cases the LV lead had to be deployed in a different side branch than that initially targeted because they could not be engaged with the selected leads. It is interesting to note that in patient 1 after CS angiography no further fluoroscopy was required to engage the target side branch and position the lead.

One patient suffered from diaphragmatic stimulation at high pacing output (10 V) but the lead required no repositioning because of lack of diaphragmatic capture with lower output (7.5 V). No other complications were observed during or after the procedure.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported use of 3D reconstruction software to create an accurate real-time virtual map of the CS. We demonstrate that this virtual 3D model can be integrated with the magnetic navigation system in order to allow reliable navigation within the CS. Based on the reconstructed image, the system allows automatic vector selection to guide a magnetically enabled wire to its desired position within the CS in order to later advance the LV pacing lead.

Three-dimensional reconstruction of the coronary sinus

Transvenous LV lead implantation is sometimes time consuming; it may require prolonged fluoroscopy times and can eventually fail. Local complications generated by the delivery system and the occlusive angiogram of the CS (dissection of the vessel, spasm or even rupture) may lead to implantation failure. However, the main reason of implantation failure remains the sometimes challenging anatomical properties of the CS. Valvular structures, stenosis, lack of side branches, highly angulated side branches and tortuous vessels are common findings during CRT implantation. Despite continuous innovations and technological improvement of the delivery systems, guidewires and LV leads, approximately 10% of implantations attempts still fail (4).

Implantation procedures are conventionally guided by the use of fluoroscopy which offers a two dimensional view of the vessel of interest. In this way, tortuous side branches can appear foreshortened or overlapped (5) resulting in inaccurate interpretations of the anatomy and making decisions regarding selection of the appropriate material and manoeuvres needed to reach certain vessels more difficult. Magnetic navigation of guidewires was meant to help overcome these difficulties and allow access to places that using conventional technology is very challenging (6,7). The possibility of performing an accurate 3D reconstruction of a vessel
that is integrated to the magnetic navigation system allows more reliable and effective navigation as compared to that guided only by fluoroscopy. The advantage of this reconstruction is that it is performed with the patient in the same position and under the same circumstances (heart rate, rhythm, hemodynamic status, etc.) than that during the implantation. This should allow more precise navigation than using imported pre-operative 3D images obtained under different circumstances than during implantation.

In our experience it was not possible to obtain a 3D model of the CS, in only one case probably due to the fact that the diameter of this particular vessel was larger than conventionally encountered. This software it should be borne in mind that this software was developed to reproduce the anatomy of coronary arteries which are narrower and less tortuous than the CS and its side-branches. In 2 other cases the final result was a poor reconstruction. Here, the sizes of the vessels were no different than those that were more accurately reconstructed. Probably the particular anatomy of these vessels required other fluoroscopic projections than the ones used (LAO 30°, AP and RAO 30°) to create the model. Nevertheless, these reconstructions were good enough to allow navigation and deployment of the guidewire into the desired side branch. In the remaining 13 cases a good quality reconstruction was obtained. In 10 cases the automatically traced contour of the vessel had to be manually modified in order to correct for inaccuracies in the interpretation of the vessel’s edge. However, in most of them the end result of the reconstruction was satisfactory.

Performing the 3D reconstruction, importing it to the magnetic navigation system and aligning it to the fluoroscopic views required only a few minutes and did not significantly delay the procedure. The reconstruction of the CS was performed while the implanting physician was selecting the appropriate lead, preparing it and introducing it into the guiding sheath.

This software, and its integration to the magnetic navigation system, offers a reliable 3D view of the CS and its side branches allowing precise navigation within the vessel. In all patients were reconstruction of the CS was feasible the target side branch was successfully engaged by the guidewire. In 87% of these cases this was achieved only using the automatically selected vectors and in 2 cases manual modifications were required. Using the 3D reconstruction as a model for navigation provides much more information than the fluoroscopic views and allows the system (and the operator) to more precisely interpret the side-branch location, direction, angulation and length. It is this model that is used to guide the implant, consequently potentially reducing the use of fluoroscopy and limiting the amount of projections (and contrast injections) needed to interpret the angiograms. In all the patients in whom the CS was successfully reconstructed, navigation within the CS was only based on the reconstruction irrespective of whether vectors were automatically selected or manually adjusted.
LIMITATIONS

One limitation of this technique is that the 3D model of the vessel is obtained from static images from a beating heart. Although the fluoroscopic images used to perform the reconstruction were ECG-gated, it remains a static model that is being used to guide an implantation performed in a beating heart. Also respiratory movements are also not compensated for by this system. Consequently, inaccuracies of magnetic navigation based on this 3D reconstructed model may also be due to a lack of compensation for respiratory movements and cardiac cycle. Whether developments as rotational angiography will serve as better models for magnetic navigation is another step to investigate (8). Nonetheless, these limitations would be applicable also for this technique. However, nowadays, it is not possible to integrate rotational angiography to the magnetic navigation system.

Another limitation of the present version of the software is that it allows reconstruction of the body of the vessel and only one side branch or bifurcation. In this way, in order to navigate to a different side branch than the initially selected, a new reconstruction using the second side branch was required.

In our view, once the guiding sheath and the guidewire can be remotely advanced using an advancer system similar to the one used for radiofrequency ablation catheters (9-12), this software will allow remote LV lead implantations with minimal fluoroscopic exposure. One example is the patient in whom navigation using the reconstructed CS image was enough to engage the desired side branch without the use of fluoroscopy.

CONCLUSION

A reliable 3D reconstruction of the coronary sinus can be performed using at least two complementary angiographic views. This reconstruction, when integrated into the magnetic navigation system, allows accurate navigation within the vessel of a magnetically steered guidewire to perform left ventricular lead implantations.
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PERFORMANCE OF DIFFERENT MAGNETICALLY STEERED GUIDEWIRES DURING LV PACING LEAD IMPLANTATION

M. Rivero-Ayerza MD, B. Schwagten MD, M. Scheffer MD, S. Ramcharitar, T. Szili-Torok MD, PhD, and L. Jordaens MD, PhD.

(In preparation)
ABSTRACT

Magnetic navigation for cardiac resynchronization remains difficult. It is no clear which of the actually available guidewires is most suitable for introducing the lead to the target vessel. We therefore propose a protocol to assess the performance of different types of magnetically steered guide-wires: i) Cronus intermediate (developed for CRT with a 3 mm tip magnet), ii) Titan super support (which has a more rigid body and a 2 mm tip magnet) and iii) the newly developed Pegasus guide-wire, all manufactured by Stereotaxis. All guide wires are commercially available for the transvenous implantation of left ventricular pacing leads.

BACKGROUND

Transvenous left ventricular lead implantation can be time consuming, as it may require prolonged fluoroscopy and can eventually fail. Further selection of the target vessel is now not only based on the ideal pacing site but also on the judgment of the operator that he will be able to reach the site and deploy the lead. This probably also contributes to the proportion of non-responders.

Local complications generated by the delivery system (dissection of the vessel, spasm or even rupture) and the occlusive coronary sinus (CS) angiogram may lead to implantation failure. However, the main reason of implantation failure remains the sometimes challenging anatomical properties of the CS. Valvular structures, stenosis, lack of side branches, highly angulated side branches and tortuous vessels are common findings during CRT implantation. We recently demonstrated that transvenous implantation of a LV pacing lead is safe and feasible using remote magnetic navigation of a guidewire (1,2). Magnetic navigation could play a role in a more appropriate selection of the optimal pacing site as shown by Gallagher et al. (3). Recently more magnetically enabled guidewires with different characteristics became available (table 1). This opens new perspectives for magnetically guided LV lead implantations. However, whether magnetic navigation should be routinely applied or should be performed only during challenging cases or previously failed procedures has not yet been established.

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the ability of different magnetically steerable guidewires to navigate within the coronary sinus (CS) and to deploy an LV pacing lead into the desired side branch.
METHODS

- 30 patients in whom a CRT is indicated, and who gave informed consent, are to be included. After conventional CS cannulation and performing an angiogram in AP, LAO and RAO views, a 3D reconstruction of the target side branch will be made with Paeion. Target vessels will be classified according to anatomical characteristics (5).
- The LV lead (QuickSite, St. Jude Medical, Sylmar, Ca, USA or a different one if needed) and the guide wire will be introduced by the investigators until the end of the guiding sheath. The guide wire will be chosen according to availability. The magnets have to be brought in navigation mode, and it is attempted to access the target side branch with the magnetically steered guide-wire. Selection of the vectors will be based on the investigators preference.
- Once in the side branch the LV lead will be deployed to this target by the investigators.
- Time and fluoroscopy required to access the side branch with the guidewire, time and fluoroscopy required to deploy the lead, need of implantation in a different side branch and pacing and sensing properties will be assessed. The proportion crossed over to a conventional approach will be noted.
- Comparison of results will be performed and analyzed according to anatomic characteristics of the CS (vessel, site, order, diameter, angulation, and other factors as tortuosity, etc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 (modified after reference 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of the Stereotaxis Guidewire Family</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidewire</th>
<th>Distal Core</th>
<th>Proximal Core</th>
<th>Magnet Tip Length</th>
<th>Hydrophilic distal coating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronus™</td>
<td>Nitinol</td>
<td>Nitinol</td>
<td>2 &amp; 3 mm</td>
<td>25 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titan™</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>2 &amp; 3 mm</td>
<td>10-34 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pegasus™</td>
<td>Nitinol</td>
<td>Stainless Steel</td>
<td>2 &amp; 3 mm</td>
<td>40 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first patient has been included in November 2008. The purpose is to include 30 patients by the end of September 2009. The availability of the Pegasus wire prevented us from making it a randomized trial.
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LEFT VENTRICULAR LEAD IMPLANTATION ASSISTED BY MAGNETIC NAVIGATION IN A PATIENT WITH A PERSISTENT LEFT SUPERIOR VENA CAVA


*Int J Cardiol. 2007 Mar 2;116(1):e15-7.*
INTRODUCTION

A magnetic navigation system has been designed with the purpose of allowing remote steering of dedicated guidewires. In this way it becomes possible to reach areas or access vessels that would otherwise be difficult to get to by conventional means. This system has proven to be useful in assisting conventional CRT device implantations [1]. We present the case of a patient with a dilated cardiomyopathy and a persistent left superior vena cava (LSVC). Magnetic navigation (Niobe™ Stereotaxis, St. Louis, MO, USA) allowed successful implantation of a LV pacing lead within a lateral coronary sinus (CS) side branch.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 37 year-old female with a Turner syndrome and standard indications for cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) was scheduled for implantation of a biventricular ICD device. A CT scan showed a persistent LSVC communicating into the right atrium through a markedly dilated CS (Fig. 1). It was certain that a conventional left ventricular (LV) lead placement, with a venogram using an occlusive balloon would be virtually impossible. After identification of two potentially adequate CS side branches in the CT images, a right-sided biventricular ICD implantation was planned with magnetic navigation. A 9 F sheath was introduced (ELA Situs LV, ELA Medical, Le Plessis-Robinson Cedex, France) through the right subclavian vein into the right atrium. An intra-cardiac echocardiogram probe (View Mate® EP MedSystems, West Berlin, NJ, USA) was introduced in order to identify the CS os and ensure optimal resynchronization during biventricular pacing. Considering the anatomic characteristics of this particular patient our judgement was that the chances of LV lead displacement when removing the CS were high. For this reason the sheath was positioned in the mid right atrium. A magnetically enabled guide-wire (Cronus Floppy Endovascular Guide wire, Stereotaxis) was introduced and remotely guided up to the CS os. Vectors were oriented in order to follow the same direction of the vessel until reaching the level of the LV lateral wall. At this point, vectors to cannulate the lateral side branch were selected (Fig. 2) and a lateral CS side branch was easily engaged. Afterwards, an over the wire LV pacing lead (ELA Situs OTW) was successfully introduced and advanced distally within the vessel. Left ventricular pacing threshold was 0.5 V and the LV lead electrogram 15 mV. Using the intra-cardiac echocardiogram adequate resynchronization of ventricular contraction was documented during biventricular pacing. Duration of the QRS was reduced from 172 ms during baseline to 142 ms.
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DISCUSSION

Cardiac resynchronization therapy device implantation is now a common practice for any implanting physician. However, due to unforeseen reasons, subsequent surgical LV lead positioning is required in up to 10% of the patients [2]. Persistent left superior vena cava is an unusual anatomic variant occurring in approximately 0.5% of patients with normal hearts in the general population and can make pacemaker lead implantation challenging [3,4]. A recent case report and more recently a case series of four patients describe the placement of a CS pacing lead through a left subclavian approach in patients with persistent LSVC requiring resynchronization therapy [4,5]. To the best of our knowledge this is the first report to describe the usefulness of a magnetically guided, right-sided approach, for implantation of a left ventricular pacing lead in a patient with persistent LSVC. During conventional CRT device implantation, a guiding sheath is introduced within the CS and a balloon is inflated to occlude it and obtain an angiogram that allows selection of the ideal LV site available for deploying the pacing lead. However, in the present case the chances of being able to occlude the CS were slim. With the help of a magnetically steered guide-wire, a CT scan and images obtained with an intra-cardiac echocardiogram we were able to perform the implantation without the need of an occlusive CS angiogram. In this way surgical LV lead implantation was avoided. The use of a magnetic navigation system has proven to be a helpful tool for CRT device implantations [1]. Two external magnets (Niobe, Stereotaxis) are positioned at each side of the table in order to generate a magnetic field within the patient. The operator working remotely specifies the orientation of the magnetic field and a single magnet inserted in the tip of a guide-wire (Cronus Floppy Figure 1.

Three-dimensional reconstruction seen from an left anterior oblique projection. Note how the LSVC drains into a markedly dilated CS.
LV lead implantation in a persistent left superior vena cava

endovascular Guidewire, Stereotaxis) aligns itself with the direction of the magnetic field. This allowed us to both cannulate the CS with the guide-wire in the absence of a sheath and to engage an “ideal” CS side branch that we knew was present from the CT scan. The added value of performing the procedure using an intra-cardiac echocardiogram was double. It first helped us locate the CS os, while remotely manipulating the magnets, in this way allowing us to orient the guide-wire towards it. Secondly, it allowed us to assess whether the chosen pacing site was effectively resynchronizing the ventricles. Incorporating real time images and being able to orient the magnets according to these images will certainly increase success rates in those difficult cases that would otherwise need a surgical approach.

Figure 2.

Orientation of the magnetic vector (green arrow) chosen to engage the lateral CS side branch in a left anterior oblique projection (right panel) and right anterior oblique projection (left panel). Note how the vector and LV lead orientation coincide with that of the virtual lateral side branch of the script (top right corner of each panel).
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Part IV

ARRHYTHMIAS AND HEART FAILURE
NEW ONSET ATRIAL FIBRILLATION IS AN INDEPENDENT PREDICTOR OF IN HOSPITAL MORTALITY IN HOSPITALIZED HEART FAILURE PATIENTS.

RESULTS OF THE EURO HEART FAILURE SURVEY


ABSTRACT

Background:
The prognostic significance of atrial fibrillation (AF) in hospitalized patients with heart failure (HF) remains poorly understood.

Objective:
To evaluate in what way AF, and its different modes of presentation, affect in-hospital mortality in patients admitted with HF.

Methods:
The EuroHeart Failure Survey was conducted to ascertain how hospitalized HF patients are managed in Europe. The survey enrolled patients over a 6-week period in 115 hospitals from 24 countries. For this analysis patients were categorized in 3 groups according to the type of AF; previous AF (patients known to have had AF prior to admission), new-onset AF (no previous AF with AF diagnosed during hospitalization) and no AF (no previous AF and no AF during hospitalization). Clinical variables, duration of hospitalization and in-hospital survival status were assessed and compared among groups.

Results:
Of 10701 patients included in the survey; 6027 (57 %) had no AF, 3673 (34%) had previous AF and 1001 (9%) had new-onset AF. Patients with new-onset AF had a longer stay in the intensive care unit (ICU) as compared to previous AF and no AF patients (mean 2.6±5.3, 1.2±3.5 and 1.5±4.1 days respectively; P<0.001). In-hospital mortality was higher among patients with new-onset AF as compared to previous AF or no AF patients (12%, 7% and 7% respectively; P<0.001). After adjusting for multiple clinical variables, new-onset AF (not previous AF) was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality (OR 1.53, 95% CI 1.1-2.0).

Conclusion:
In hospitalized patients with HF, new-onset AF is an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality, and a longer ICU and hospital stay.
INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of atrial fibrillation (AF) and of heart failure (HF) are increasing due, at least in part, to the increasing proportion of the population that is aged >60 years [1, 2]. They share common predisposing factors and therefore commonly co-exist[3]. Many reports have addressed the issue of whether AF is a marker of worse prognosis in patients suffering from HF and arrived to contradicting conclusions[4-9]. However, recently published sub-analyses of large randomized controlled trials performed in patients with HF, and epidemiological studies suggest that either prevalent and/or incident AF is associated with a worse long term outcome[2, 10-14].

Hospitalization is a common event in patients with HF, it occurs more frequently in advanced stages of the disease, it is a marker of worse prognosis and it is in this setting that most deaths due to progressive heart failure occur. Nevertheless, there are few data on how AF affects the in-hospital course and prognosis of patients with HF. With this purpose in mind the EuroHeart Failure survey database was analyzed in order to establish, in a “real-world” population of hospitalized HF patients, how AF affects length of hospital stay and in-hospital survival.

METHODS

The EuroHeart Failure Survey was the second in a series of surveys that were conducted under the umbrella of the Euro Heart Survey Program, which aimed to investigate the implementation of treatment guidelines in clinical practice. The design details of the EuroHeart Failure Survey, which was undertaken between March 2000 and May 2001, were published previously[15]. In short, 45933 consecutive discharges and deaths in the departments of cardiology, cardiovascular surgery, general internal medicine and geriatrics were screened over a 6-week period. The survey included 115 hospitals from 24 ESC member countries, including community hospitals and regional university centers. Patients were enrolled if they fulfilled at least one of the following criteria:

(1) a clinical diagnosis of heart failure during the admission;
(2) a diagnosis of heart failure recorded at any time in the last three years;
(3) administration of a loop diuretic for any reason other than renal failure within 24 h of death or discharge;
(4) pharmacological treatment for heart failure or ventricular dysfunction within 24 h of death or discharge.

For the purpose of this analysis patients were categorized according to the type of AF in the following groups: (1) Previous AF: those patients who were known to have had AF prior to hospital admission (irrespective of whether it was paroxysmal, persistent or permanent), (2) New onset AF: patients with no prior history of AF and that were diagnosed as having AF during hospitalization, and (3) No AF: patients with no prior AF and not suffering AF during
hospitalization. Clinical variables, treatment, length of stay in the coronary care unit / intensive care unit (CCU/ICU), length of hospitalization, hospital survival, and cause of death were analyzed according to type of AF.

Statistical analysis
Continuous variables are described as mean values with their corresponding standard deviations or as median values and corresponding 25th and 75th percentiles. Dichotomous variables are reported as absolute numbers and percentages. To evaluate differences in clinical characteristics, pharmacological treatment, length of ICU and hospital stay, and in-hospital survival between patients according to type of AF, chi-square tests for more than 2 groups were applied. ANOVA test was used for comparison of continuous variables. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was applied to study the relationship between type of AF and all-cause in-hospital mortality and a long length of ICU (>75th percentile = 2 days) and hospital stay (> 75th percentile = 13 days). Clinically relevant variables like: type of AF, age, gender, hypertension, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, valvular heart disease, prior renal insufficiency, prior stroke, rapid AF (defined in the protocol as >120 beats/min), moderate or severe left atrial dilatation (as assessed by the investigators), and presence of left ventricular dysfunction (ejection fraction (EF) ≤ 50%) were forced into the regression model were no AF was used as the reference group. We report odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). All calculations were performed using SPSS 14.0 software package. For all tests a P value of 0.05 or less (two-sided) was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Of 10701 patients included in the survey; 6027 (57 %) had no AF prior to or during hospitalization (no AF group), 3673 (34%) were known to have had AF prior to admission (previous AF group) and 1001 (9%) had no AF before admission but were reported to have AF during admission (new-onset AF group) (Table 1). Patients with no AF were younger than patients with AF (irrespective of type) (70±13 vs. 73±12; P<0.001). The proportion of patients with a reduced left ventricular ejection fraction was similar between groups but more patients with prior AF or new-onset AF had moderate or severe left atrial dilatation as compared to no AF patients (28%, 17% and 13% respectively; P<0.001). Rapid AF was more frequent in the new-onset AF group as compared to the previous AF group (77% vs. 27%; P <0.001). Patients with new-onset AF were more frequently treated in-hospital with antiarrhythmic drugs than those with previous AF or no AF (32%, 22% and 7% respectively; P<0.001). Digitalis and anticoagulation use was higher in patients with AF irrespective of type.
New onset AF predicting in-hospital mortality

**Table 1.** Clinical characteristics and pharmacological treatment of patients enrolled in the EuroHeart Failure Survey by type of atrial fibrillation (n=10701)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No AF (n=6027)</th>
<th>Previous AF (n=3673)</th>
<th>New onset AF (n=1001)</th>
<th>P-value±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (SD)</td>
<td>70 (13)</td>
<td>73 (12)</td>
<td>73 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3260 (54%)</td>
<td>1859 (51%)</td>
<td>523 (52%)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Clinical characteristics**

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid AF (&gt;120 beats/min)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1000 (27%)</td>
<td>768 (77%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic Heart Disease</td>
<td>3921 (65%)</td>
<td>2070 (56%)</td>
<td>563 (56%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Coronary Syndromes</td>
<td>1192 (30%)</td>
<td>363 (18%)</td>
<td>212 (38%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Revascularisation</td>
<td>935 (16%)</td>
<td>423 (12%)</td>
<td>98 (10%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF known</td>
<td>3739 (54%)</td>
<td>2484 (36%)</td>
<td>672 (10%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean EF* (SD)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVSD (EF ≤ 45%)*</td>
<td>2482 (66%)</td>
<td>1570 (63%)</td>
<td>419 (62%)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/severe LA dilatation</td>
<td>788 (13%)</td>
<td>1023 (28%)</td>
<td>167 (17%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>3269 (54%)</td>
<td>1702 (46%)</td>
<td>507 (51%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valvular Heart Disease</td>
<td>649 (11%)</td>
<td>688 (19%)</td>
<td>142 (14%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior stroke</td>
<td>794 (13%)</td>
<td>665 (18%)</td>
<td>125 (13%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior renal insufficiency</td>
<td>647 (11%)</td>
<td>477 (13%)</td>
<td>83 (8%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1715 (29%)</td>
<td>944 (26%)</td>
<td>221 (22%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>3202 (53%)</td>
<td>1973 (54%)</td>
<td>504 (50%)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disease</td>
<td>1830 (30%)</td>
<td>1244 (34%)</td>
<td>303 (30%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (mean, SD)</td>
<td>27.1 (5.2)</td>
<td>26.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>26.8 (5.2)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Medical treatment during hospital admission**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No AF (n=6027)</th>
<th>Previous AF (n=3673)</th>
<th>New-onset AF (n=1001)</th>
<th>P-value±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antiarrhythmic drugs</td>
<td>437 (7%)</td>
<td>822 (22%)</td>
<td>315 (32%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiplatelets</td>
<td>3461 (57%)</td>
<td>1604 (44%)</td>
<td>528 (53%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulants</td>
<td>1996 (33%)</td>
<td>1999 (54%)</td>
<td>567 (57%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-I or ARB</td>
<td>3933 (65%)</td>
<td>2445 (67%)</td>
<td>615 (61%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta Blockers</td>
<td>2385 (40%)</td>
<td>1199 (33%)</td>
<td>360 (36%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>1236 (21%)</td>
<td>2068 (56%)</td>
<td>521 (52%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>5119 (85%)</td>
<td>3302 (90%)</td>
<td>876 (88%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on those patients in whom EF was known.

± Chi-square for > 2 groups for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables

ACE-I, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blockers; AF, atrial fibrillation; EF, ejection fraction; LA, left atrial.

**Table 2.** In-hospital evolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No AF (n=6027)</th>
<th>Previous AF (n=3673)</th>
<th>New-onset AF (n=1001)</th>
<th>P-value±</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days in IC or CCU</td>
<td>1.5 (4.1)</td>
<td>1.2 (3.5)</td>
<td>2.6 (5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>0 (0-0)</td>
<td>0 (0-3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days hospitalized</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>13 (12)</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (IQR)</td>
<td>9 (5-15)</td>
<td>9 (6-16)</td>
<td>11 (7-17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>419 (7%)</td>
<td>249 (7%)</td>
<td>123 (12%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi-square for > 2 groups for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables
Figure 1.

Independent predictors of length of stay in the CCU-ICU
*No AF used as reference group.

Figure 2.

Independent predictors of length of hospitalization
*No AF used as reference group.

Figure 3.

Independent predictors of in-hospital mortality
*No AF used as reference group.
Duration of intensive care unit stay and hospitalization

The mean length of stay in the ICU for the entire population was 1.5 ± 4.1 days. Patients with new-onset AF had a significantly longer stay in the ICU as compared to previous AF and no AF patients (2.6 ± 5.3, 1.2 ± 3.5 and 1.5 ± 4.1 days respectively; P<0.001) (table 2). When adjusting for multiple clinical variables, new-onset AF (OR 1.45, 95% CI 1.2-1.8) and rapid AF (OR 1.84, 95% CI 1.5-2.1) were independent predictors of a longer stay in the ICU (figure 1). However, previous AF was not predictive of a longer ICU stay.

The mean amount of days hospitalized for the entire population was 12 ± 12. Patients with new-onset AF were admitted longer than those with previous AF and no AF (14±12, 13±12 and 12±12 days respectively; P< 0.001) (table 2). After adjusting for multiple clinical variables new-onset AF (OR 1.46, 95% CI 1.2-1.7) was an independent predictor of a longer hospitalization (figure 2).

In-hospital mortality

There were 791 deaths (7%) during admission. In-hospital mortality was higher among patients with new-onset AF as compared to previous or no AF patients (12%, 7% and 7% respectively; P<0.001). New onset AF and rapid AF (but not previous AF or presence of AF irrespective of type) were predictors of mortality in the univariate analysis. When introducing these variables in a multiple logistic regression model, new-onset AF (OR 1.53, 95% CI 1.1-2.0) remained an independent predictor of in hospital mortality (figure 3). Left atrial dilatation was also independently associated to a worse prognosis (OR 1.31, 95% CI 1.1-1.4) and rapid AF showed a tendency towards an increased mortality. However, the presence of previous AF did not predict in hospital survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Mode of death by type of atrial fibrillation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No AF (n=419)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsening Heart Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary oedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cardiovascular cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-cardiovascular cause</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data collectors could choose more than one cause
* Chi-square for > 2 groups for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables

Mode of death

Overall, the predominant causes of death was worsening heart failure (32%) followed by pulmonary oedema (24%) and other cardiovascular causes (18%). No differences were observed regarding causes of death between the different groups (table 3).
DISCUSSION

This study shows that new onset AF is common in patients hospitalized with HF, occurring in 9% of admissions, and that it is associated with a higher in-hospital mortality, conferring a 53% increased risk independent of other relevant clinical variables as age, sex, left ventricular ejection fraction and renal function. In patients with new-onset AF, both hospital and ICU stay were longer, even after adjusting for other clinically relevant variables. Our findings also show that having had AF prior to admission did not affect hospital survival or length of stay.

Middlekauf et al. [4] reported, in a cohort of 390 patients, that 1 year mortality was higher in patients with HF if they had atrial fibrillation but a report from the Vasodilator in Heart Failure Trials (V-HeFT) suggested that AF was not associated to worse survival[8]. Subsequently, Stevenson et al. compared the prognosis of patients with and without AF evaluated for heart transplantation between 1985 and 1989 to those evaluated between 1990-1993[9]. They reported that AF was associated to a worse outcome only during the first time period and suggested that changes in treatment, such as the use of ACE inhibitors and a reduction in the use of class I antiarrhythmic drugs might account for this observation. In a sub-group of 409 patients in the PRIMIE-II study, Crijns et al.[5] showed that patients with AF had a higher mortality than patients in sinus rhythm but this could be accounted for by differences in age, disease severity and co-morbidity. Neither the presence of AF nor the development of AF were independent predictors of mortality during long term follow up. A retrospective analysis of the SOLVD trials showed that in a much larger cohort of 6517 pts (419 with AF) AF was independently associated to a 34 % increase in overall mortality after a mean follow up of 33 months[6].

Other trials also assessed the impact of new onset AF in this patient population and results are more consistent in establishing the role of new onset AF as a marker of poor outcome[7, 16-19]. In a post-hoc analysis of the COMET trial the authors report that baseline AF did not independently predict mortality, however, onset of AF during the study was independently associated to a worse survival during follow up (RR 1.9)[12]. The CHARM studies showed that new onset AF was an independent predictor of adverse outcome both in patients with depressed or preserved left ventricular systolic function (OR 2.57 in preserved and 1.85 with reduced LV ejection fraction) [11]. In agreement with these findings the DIG investigators reported that development of new supraventricular arrhythmias was independently associated to a reduced survival during follow up (HR = 2.45)[10].

A recent report from the Framingham Heart Study evaluated the time relation between onset of HF and AF[13]. It showed that patients with established HF who later on developed AF carried an increased risk of death (RR 1.6). Other observational studies performed in hospitalized HF patients show that occurrence of AF is associated with a worse long-term prognosis[7].

It is unclear whether chronic AF has an adverse impact on prognosis in patients with well established HF who receive the high quality of management expected in clinical trial centers. However, new onset AF appears to predict an adverse outcome during long term follow up.
Role of atrial fibrillation during heart failure hospitalization

Hospitalization is a turning point in the natural history of HF. Patients with chronic HF who require hospitalization have a worse prognosis and many will die during the first or a subsequent admission. Accordingly, it is important to understand the role that AF plays during this period. We showed in a broad population of patients hospitalized for or with HF that new onset AF (but not prior AF) adversely affected hospital survival, despite the fact that patients with new onset AF had an apparently lower risk profile than those with previous AF or no AF. Patients with new onset AF were less likely to have renal dysfunction, diabetes, hypertension or a previous stroke. Nonetheless, mortality among new onset AF patients was twice as high as that of patients in the other groups. Pozzoli et al. showed that development of AF was associated to a sudden reduction in cardiac index, rise in filling pressures and a worse prognosis shortly after its initiation, but this did not persist after longer follow up[16]. Therefore, it is possible that increased mortality is due to the adverse consequences of new onset AF on cardiac function. However, it is also possible that the cause of new onset AF is also the reason for the increase in mortality, making AF a marker but not a mediator of mortality. The causes leading to death in the patients with new onset AF were predominantly related to decompensated heart failure (table 3). The mechanisms leading to mortality in recent onset AF patients are probably different (predominantly hemodynamic) than those in long standing forms of the arrhythmia (stroke, progressive remodeling, etc.). Furthermore, our study suggests that those HF patients who go on to develop persistent forms of AF are probably those who were able to survive the initial stages of the arrhythmia.

Study limitations

There are certain limitations regarding this study that should be considered when interpreting the results. This survey was not conducted with the purpose of evaluating the relation of atrial fibrillation occurrence and hospitalization prognosis. Consequently, relevant information regarding specific characteristics of AF and management of the arrhythmia during admission was not accounted for. It should also be noted that categorization according to type of AF was retrospectively performed and thus subject to misclassification. However, studying large sample sizes and screening for consecutive patients provides protection against random and systematic error respectively. Furthermore, clinical characteristics of the different groups seem to be representative of what would be expected; for example: the presence of left atrial dilation was higher in previous AF than in new onset AF or no AF, prior stroke was more common in patients with AF than in no AF, antiarrhythmic drug therapy was more common in patients suffering from AF than those without it, etc.

Even though surveys attempt to make a realistic description of a certain clinical situation it could be argued that a potential limitation of this study is the heterogeneity of the population included (patients were recruited from different departments and were heart failure was not always the primary diagnosis) that may not be completely representative of the heart failure
population. However, it was not the objective of this survey to restrict enrolment to the heart failure population usually included in clinical trials.

It should be borne in mind that in an important proportion of patients no echocardiogram was performed during or prior to the index admission therefore information regarding EF and left atrial dimension should be cautiously interpreted accordingly.

Our results suggest that development of AF in hospitalized patients with HF is a relevant clinical event that should be adequately approached. Although it should prospectively be determined, measures aiming at preventing the occurrence of AF during admission and appropriate and expeditious treatment of these episodes seem warranted in order to improve survival of hospitalized HF patients.

CONCLUSION

In hospitalized patients with heart failure, the occurrence of new-onset AF was associated to a longer stay in the ICU, a longer hospitalization and higher in-hospital mortality independently from other relevant clinical variables.
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Chapter 15

INTERVENTIONAL THERAPY FOR ATRIAL FIBRILLATION AND HEART FAILURE – A CASE REPORT OF TACHYCARDIA MEDIATED CARDIOMYOPATHY.

Maximo Rivero-Ayerza, Yves Van Belle, Kadir Caliskan, Tamas Szili-Torok, Luc Jordaens

(Submitted).
ABSTRACT

A young man developed tachycardiomyopathy with severe heart failure, secondary to an infectious syndrome, complicated by atrial fibrillation (AF) and flutter. He was considered for heart transplantation. This downward clinical course was reverted by a simple, balloon guided pulmonary vein ablation. He had no more events after an initial complicated course of 28 months.
A 35-year old man with a history of asthma returned from Corsica, with progressive dyspnoea and nocturnal sweating. His main other symptoms were chest pain, situated in the right flank, related to ventilatory efforts. He had no specific cardiac symptoms. He mentioned diabetes and arrhythmias in the family, and smoked 10 cigarettes /day, while he used 1-2 glasses of alcohol. On clinical examination he showed a normal habitus (with 87 kg for 189 cm), and was clearly ill, without fever. He had a fast heart rate (124 bpm) and left dorsobasal rales. The chest x-ray showed upper lobe diversion, cardiomegaly with a cardiothoracic ratio of 0.56 and signs of enlarged left and right atria. The electrocardiogram showed atrial fibrillation (AF) with coarse f-waves, and a ventricular response of 184 bpm (figure 1).

The QRS-complex showed a left axis, and the repolarisation was disturbed in V₅-V₆. The echocardiogram showed a global hypokinetic, dilated left ventricle, with LVEDD of 68 mm and the LVESD of 57 mm (figure 2). The left atrium was enlarged (48 mm). All valves had a normal function, but a minor aortic insufficiency was noted. The main intervention consisted in diuretics, and he lost 5 kg over the next days. Spironolactone, Carvedilol, Enalapril, Simvastatine, and oral anticoagulation were given, while his condition improved. Finally he was electrically cardioverted; stable sinus rhythm became present with normal P-waves. His echo slowly showed an improving function over the next months, but he had several heavily symptomatic arrhythmias episodes, including typical atrial flutter, always necessitating cardioversion, as his function became very poor under the arrhythmia. The background of the initial episode remained unclear: he had a normal thyroid function, normal coronaries, and no metabolic, toxic, auto-immune, virologic or bacterial etiol-
ogy was discovered. He was briefly considered for heart transplantation but then it was agreed upon that the case had evolved into a classical tachycardiomyopathy.

After an ablation of the cavo-tricuspid isthmus recurrent AF was observed, in spite of amiodarone impregnation. More than 44% of the days in the month before the scheduled ablation were associated with AF during the transtelephonic recording. He needed additional

**Figure 2.**

Panels A and B show endsystolic and enddiastolic frames at the initial admission. Panels C and D show the same, 10 months after the ablation.

**Figure 2.**

Activation pattern before and after ablation, using the RPM system. Anterior view, with the mitral valve shown (MV).
cardioversions, even when his ventricular rate was effectively slowed by beta-blockers and amiodarone.

After a protracted clinical course of 28 months since the initial presentation, a cryoablation was performed with a 28 mm cryoballoon. All veins were isolated with at least one good occlusion, and after repeated voltage mapping all antra showed low voltages (\( < 0.1 \text{ V} \)). The interatrial activation pattern was shifted from a normal one to low septal (figure 3). AF was no longer more inducible. He had not a single symptomatic episode since this ablation with a follow-up of 25 months. He had a complete functional recovery (figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Tachycardiomyopathy remains an ill-defined clinical picture when related to AF. (1) It is assumed that fast heart rates, and the permanent fibrillation (which becomes self-sustaining) affect ventricular function and contribute to heart failure. Its reversibility has been shown for regular supraventricular rhythms, and to a lesser extent for AF. (1,2) Nevertheless, data are accumulating that ablation of AF is improving left ventricular function, which is another debate. (3) We showed in this patient that tachycardiomyopathy due to AF is fully reversible, with a simple pulmonary vein isolation.
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ABSTRACT

Introduction:
Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is beneficial for patients with heart failure (HF). The mechanism of CRT benefit is an improved left ventricular (LV) systolic function and reverse LV remodeling. The effect of CRT on left atrial (LA) remodeling is unknown. Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of CRT on LA remodeling and the incidence of atrial fibrillation (AF).

Methods and Results:
Eighty-three consecutive patients with HF (71% NYHA III), LV ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤ 35%, and a QRS duration > 120 ms were included. Clinical parameters, LA and LV dimensions and volumes, and LVEF were assessed at baseline and after 3 to 6 months of CRT. The presence of AF was determined by use of ECGs, monitoring, and stored electrograms of the defibrillator. At baseline 35% of patients had a history of AF. NYHA class, six minute walk distance, and LVEF improved significantly. LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes decreased from 228 (84) to 206 (86) ml, and from 181 (66) to 146 (66) ml, respectively (p < 0.001). Significant reduction in mitral regurgitation was observed. Left atrial diameter and volume decreased from 46 (7) to 42 (7) mm and from 67 (21) to 57 (19) ml, respectively (p < 0.001). During follow-up, 28% of patients had documented episodes of AF.

Conclusions:
Three months of CRT resulted in significant LA and LV reverse remodeling. Despite the remodeling effects, the proportion of patients with AF was not significantly reduced.
INTRODUCTION

Cardiac resynchronization therapy with defibrillation (CRT-D) has shown to improve symptoms, reduce hospitalizations, and reduce mortality in patients with medically refractory heart failure (HF).[1,2] The proposed mechanisms of the benefit of CRT include improved synchronous left ventricular (LV) contraction, a decrease in mitral regurgitation, and reverse LV remodeling.[3,4] Recent data suggest that CRT is also associated with left atrial (LA) remodeling.[5] Thus, CRT may have a favorable effect on the presence of atrial fibrillation (AF). This issue is clinically important as AF is common in patients with heart failure, and its prevalence is dependent on the severity of the disease.[6,7] Furthermore, in patients treated with an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD), AF can trigger the device to deliver therapy despite the absence of ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Accordingly, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of CRT on LA dimensions and the incidence of AF.

METHODS

Study population and device description

The study population consisted of 83 consecutive patients with symptomatic HF, scheduled for implantation of a CRT-D. The following selection criteria for CRT were applied: symptomatic HF, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤ 35%, inter- or intraventricular conduction delay (QRS duration ≥ 120 ms), and LV end-diastolic diameter > 55 mm. The patients were eligible for ICD implantation according to the international guidelines.[8] The implantation method has been previously described in detail.[9] The implanted devices were manufactured by Guidant (Renewal IV; Guidant Inc, St Paul, MN, USA), Medtronic (InSync 7279 and 7298; Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), and St Jude Medical (Atlas HF and Epic HF; St Jude Medical, Sylmar, CA, USA). ICD programming was intended to avoid inappropriate therapy and tailored according to the clinical presentation. For all patients, the respective arrhythmia discrimination algorithms were activated immediately after ICD implantation.

Echocardiographic evaluation

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed at baseline and 3 to 6 months after implantation. Patients were imaged in the left lateral decubitus position with a commercially available system (Sonos 7500 ultrasound system, Philips, Best, The Netherlands). Standard two-dimensional and colour Doppler data were stored in cineloop format. Quantification of LV dimensions and volumes was performed according to the guidelines.[10] LV internal dimensions (end-systolic and end-diastolic) were determined from the parasternal short axis view at the level of the papillary muscle. LV volumes (end-systolic, end-diastolic) and LV ejection fraction were derived from the apical 2-chamber (A2CH) and apical 4-chamber (A4CH) images using the Simpson’s rule. The
degree of mitral regurgitation (grade I – IV) was assessed as the mid-systolic percentage jet area relative to left atrial area in the A4CH view.[11]

Left atrium volume measurement

The following measures were taken to assess the LA volume (LAV): LA area (A1) by manual tracing of the LA endocardial border from an A4CH view. The inferior border of the LA area was a straight line connecting both mitral leaflet base attachment points. The atrial appendage and pulmonary veins were excluded when visualized. The LA area (A2) was assessed by manual tracing at A2CH view. LA long axis (L) was defined as the distance of the perpendicular line measured from the middle of the plane of the mitral annulus to the superior aspect of LA in both apical views and the shortest was used.[12] LAV can be estimated using the formula:

\[
\text{Volume} = 0.85 \times \frac{A_{1\text{A4CH}} \times A_{2\text{A2CH}}}{L}
\]

A physician unaware of the clinical data and the other echocardiographic study did all echocardiographic measurements.

Clinical evaluation and data selection

Patients were evaluated clinically at baseline and after 3 to 6 months of CRT. A surface ECG was obtained to establish QRS morphology and duration. HF symptoms were classified according to the NYHA score. Exercise capacity was determined by using the six-minute hall walk test. A patient was considered a clinical responder when an improvement of ≥1 NYHA class and/or when an increase in exercise capacity (> 25% increase in six-minute walk distance) was noted and an echocardiographic responder when a >15% reduction in LV end-systolic volume was noted.

The detection of AF relied on ECGs recorded during outpatient clinic visits, monitoring during hospital admission, and interrogation of the device at every follow-up visit (at 3-monthly intervals) or visit prompted by ICD therapy. Two independent researchers reviewed all stored data of tachyarrhythmia episodes. In case of disagreement between the 2 reviewers about the stored electrograms, a third one was consulted and made a decision. As the atrial electrogram was present, the presence of atrioventricular dissociation was used to classify a ventricular tachyarrhythmia. Therapy delivered for atrial tachyarrhythmias (including atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, atrial tachycardia or sinus tachycardia) was defined as inappropriate.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD, if normally distributed, and compared with the Student’s t test. In case of non-normal distribution of data, the Mann-Whitney U test was used. Categorical data were expressed as percentages and compared with Fisher’s exact test. Simultaneous comparison of > 2 mean values were performed by one-way analysis of variance. A two-tailed P value < 0.05 was considered as significant.
RESULTS

Patient characteristics
The clinical characteristics of the study population are listed in Table 1. According to the selection criteria for CRT, all patients had a prolonged QRS duration 168 ± 28 ms (range 120 to 252 ms), a mean LV end-diastolic diameter 61 ± 7 mm, and mean LVEF 21 ± 5%. The mean NYHA class was 2.7 ± 0.5, the majority of patients was in NYHA class III (71%). Twenty-nine patients (35%) had a documented history of AF; 22 patients had paroxysmal AF and 7 patients had permanent AF.

Table 1. Patients’ clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(N = 83)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure underlying etiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonischemic</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS duration (ms)</td>
<td>168 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>21 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacological treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β blocker</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digoxin</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEi/ARB</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid-lowering</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± SD. Categorical data are shown as percentage.

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; NYHA = New York Heart Association

The ICD was indicated as primary prevention in 69 patients (83%) and as secondary prevention in 14 patients (17%). The mean ventricular tachycardia detection cycle length was programmed at 344 ± 23 ms and ventricular fibrillation detection cycle length at 282 ± 16 ms. All included patients had successful ICD placement and appropriate device function at hospital discharge.

Clinical parameters
After 3 months of CRT, the NYHA class significantly decreased from 2.7 ± 0.5 at baseline to 2.2 ± 0.4 (p < 0.01) at 3 months’ follow-up. The exercise capacity significantly improved, as reflected by the increase in the six minute walk distance from 330 ± 119 m to 395 ± 111 m (p < 0.001).
LV and LA remodeling

In the entire cohort, there was a significant increase in LVEF compared with baseline (21 ± 5% vs 30 ± 8%, p < 0.001). In addition, significant reverse volumetric LV remodeling was observed, as evidenced by the decrease in LV diameters and the reduction in LV volumes (Table 2). In line with reverse remodeling of the LV, CRT also resulted in a significant reverse remodeling of the LA at 3 months’ follow-up. Also, LA size significantly decreased after 3 months of CRT. LA diameter significantly decreased from 46 ± 7 mm to 41 ± 7 mm (p < 0.001), and LAV decreased from 67 ± 21 ml to 57 ± 19 ml (p < 0.001). Left atrial reverse remodeling was also associated with a reduction in mitral regurgitation from 1.8 ± 0.8 to 1.5 ± 0.7 (p < 0.001).

Table 2. Echocardiographic data at baseline and at 3 to 6 months’ follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>3 to 6 Months’ Follow-up</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>21 ± 5</td>
<td>30 ± 8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
<td>55 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>52 ± 7</td>
<td>43 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventricular septal wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV posterior wall thickness (mm)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>8.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>228 ± 84</td>
<td>206 ± 86</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>181 ± 66</td>
<td>146 ± 66</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter (mm)</td>
<td>46 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA volume (ml)</td>
<td>67 ± 21</td>
<td>57 ± 19</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LA = left atrial; LV = left ventricular

Reverse remodeling versus atrial fibrillation

At baseline, 29 patients (35%) had a documented history of AF, including 7 patients with permanent AF. After a follow-up of 12 ± 6 months, 23 patients (28%) had documented episodes of AF. Of these patients, 7 had new-onset AF. In order to assess the reverse remodeling effect by CRT on the presence of AF, we compared dimensions and volumes of LA and LV between patients with and without AF during follow-up (Table 3). In both groups, CRT resulted in significant reverse remodeling of LA and LV. However, LA reverse remodeling is more significant in patients without AF compared to patients with AF during follow-up (Figure 1).

Discussion

This study shows that CRT improved exercise capacity, functional status, LV systolic function, and LV reverse remodeling in heart failure patients. In addition, left atrial reverse remodeling was observed in this study population. Despite the beneficial remodeling of the LA, CRT was not associated with a favorable effect on the incidence of AF.

Cardiac resynchronization therapy has consistently proven to improve symptoms, quality-of-life, and exercise tolerance in heart failure patients.[2,13-15] The proposed mechanisms of the benefit of CRT include improved synchronous left ventricular (LV) contraction, a decrease
Effects of CRT on left atrial size

in mitral regurgitation, and reverse LV remodeling.[3,4,16] The present study confirmed the significant decrease in LV diameters and the reduction in LV volumes. Similar to LV reverse remodeling, LA diameter and volume decreased significantly in the present study. Left atrial remodeling was more pronounced in patients with sinus rhythm. Only a small study of patients with chronic AF described LA remodeling.[17] The possible mechanism for LA reverse remodeling is the improvement in left atrial volume overload.[5,17]

Given the fact that CRT has beneficial remodeling effects, it seems intuitive that CRT might positively influence the development of AF. A small nonrandomized study did demonstrate a reduced incidence of AF by CRT[18], but we could not confirm this. Despite LA remodeling, the proportion of patients with AF was not significantly reduced. In the present study, 35% of patients had a history of AF, and the proportion of patients experiencing AF was 28% dur-
Because patients with heart failure who develop AF have a worse outcome[19], the question arises whether outcome can be improved by CRT. In several studies, the benefits of CRT on cardiac function, functional class, and reverse remodeling remained, despite the development of AF.[17,19,20] Cardiac resynchronization therapy can induce left atrial remodeling by decreasing the LA size, but CRT probably can not reverse the structure of interstitial fibrosis.

Study limitations

The study was not designed prospectively to analyze the effect of CRT on the burden of AF during follow-up. The incidence of AF was assessed by ECGs recorded during outpatient clinic visits, monitoring during hospital admission, and interrogation of the device at every follow-up visit (at 3-monthly intervals) or visit prompted by ICD therapy. The results of our study are based on the incidence of AF on per-patient basis, and not on AF burden as such. Further, both persistent and paroxysmal AF were included.

Conclusion

Cardiac resynchronization therapy results in significant reverse remodeling of the left atrium and ventricle. Despite the beneficial remodeling effects, the incidence of AF is not reduced by cardiac resynchronization. Further prospective studies are needed to evaluate the effect of resynchronization therapy on atrial fibrillation.

Table 3. Echocardiographic data at baseline and after 3 to 6 months of CRT in patients with AF (n=23) and without AF (n=60) during follow-up.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Patients with AF (n=23)</th>
<th>Patients without AF (n=60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3 to 6 Months’ Follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>20 ± 6</td>
<td>29 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>247 ± 118</td>
<td>236 ± 129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume (ml)</td>
<td>200 ± 93</td>
<td>171 ± 95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>62 ± 11</td>
<td>55 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic diameter (mm)</td>
<td>53 ± 11</td>
<td>43 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter (mm)</td>
<td>46 ± 9</td>
<td>42 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA volume (ml)</td>
<td>71 ± 27</td>
<td>64 ± 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF = atrial fibrillation; LA = left atrial; LV = left ventricular; NS = non significant

§ Comparison of echocardiographic dimensions and volumes at baseline and 3 months follow-up between patients with and without AF (one-way analysis of variance).
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Chapter 17

HEART TRANSPLANTATION AS LAST RESORT AGAINST BRUGADA SYNDROME


CASE REPORT

A 34 year old man came to our attention in October 1992 after being successfully resuscitated from a cardiac arrest caused by ventricular fibrillation (VF). The patient had three uncles who died suddenly before the age of 50 and one brother who did so at the age of 32 years. Results of physical examination, blood chemistry, echocardiography and coronary angiography

Figure 1.

Left panel shows the 12-lead ECG at rest with a pattern of incomplete right bundle branch block and slight ST segment elevation in leads V1 and V2 (saddleback-type). Right panel shows the characteristic coved-type ST segment elevation in leads V1 and V2 compatible with Brugada syndrome. Paper speed is 25 mm/sec
were all normal. The resting 12 lead ECG showed an incomplete right bundle branch block (RBBB) pattern and “coved” type ST segment elevation in the right precordial leads V1 to V3, compatible with Brugada syndrome (figure 1). VF was induced during electrophysiologic investigation and a cardioverter defibrillator was implanted.

Figure 2.
Rhythm strips showing four consecutive episodes of ventricular fibrillation occurring in an 8 minute period during one of the many “electrical storms” suffered by the patient. Paper speed is 25 mm/sec.
The patient's course was complicated by very high incidence of malignant ventricular arrhythmias, that were refractory to multiple antiarrhythmic drugs. The patient required three implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) replacements due to early battery exhaustion. Despite adequate ICD function, the patient was admitted several times because of syncope caused by VF with “electrical storms” refractory to intravenous antiarrhythmic medication (figure 2). On one occasion, the patient required extracorporeal circulation for 3 days with general anesthesia until the “electrical storm” resolved. Due to the high frequency of symptomatic VF episodes, requiring multiple ICD discharges (129 shocks in 5 months), the patient was included in the heart transplantation list and was successfully transplanted on February 2002.

DISCUSSION

The Brugada syndrome was first described 10 years ago and is defined by the presence of sudden death or syncope, a RBBB like pattern on the ECG and ST segment elevation in leads V1 to V3, in patients with a structurally normal heart (1). The symptoms are explained by the occurrence of VF or fast ventricular tachycardias. This syndrome is genetically determined, the pattern of transmission being autosomic dominant. Approximately 60% of patients with aborted sudden death and the typical electrocardiogram have a family history of sudden death. Several mutations linked to this syndrome affecting the gene SCN5A that encodes for the cardiac sodium channel, and determines its dysfunction, have been described.

Intravenous administration of sodium channel blockers to carriers of this disease, either unmasks or exacerbates the characteristic ECG pattern. This pharmacologic test has proven to be highly sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of concealed forms of this disease (2). Affected individuals have a wide range of clinical presentations that go from asymptomatic and concealed forms (only evident after administration of sodium channel blockers) to the presence of a spontaneously abnormal ECG and/or the occurrence of syncope or sudden cardiac death. In carriers of this disease, arrhythmia incidence during a 3 year follow up period ranges from 8% in asymptomatic individuals to 64% in those with history of aborted sudden death (3). The presence of symptoms (syncope or cardiac arrest), a spontaneous abnormal ECG and inducibility of VF during electrophysiologic investigation have all been related to a worse prognosis. Patients with these characteristics are candidates for implantation of an ICD (3). No antiarrhythmic drug has been effective to prevent the arrhythmias of this syndrome. No patient in our series (> 600 patients) treated with an ICD died during follow up, in spite of the high recurrence rate of the arrhythmias (unpublished observations).

Recurrent symptomatic ventricular arrhythmia refractory to medical, ICD, and surgical treatment is an accepted indication for cardiac transplantation (4). However, these arrhythmias leading to heart transplantation usually develop in patients with overt and highly symptomatic structural heart disease. The present case is, to the best of our knowledge, the first case of a patient with Brugada syndrome who required heart transplantation to control multiple “electrical storms”.
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Part V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
(ENGLISH-NEDERLANDS)
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
The aim of this thesis was to investigate how the development and treatment of different electrophysiological abnormalities, like conduction disturbances and arrhythmias, affect the evolution of patients with heart failure and attempted to find ways to optimize the application of these therapies.

Following an introduction the thesis presents four parts: part I (device therapy in heart failure), part II (difficulties related to cardiac resynchronization therapy), part III (cardiac resynchronization therapy: how to improve implantation techniques), part IV (arrhythmias and heart failure), a summary and conclusion.

**DEVICE THERAPY IN HEART FAILURE**

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) has been shown to improve symptoms and exercise tolerance in patients with advanced heart failure. However, studies were underpowered to address its effect on overall mortality. Furthermore, the combined effects of the defibrillator function confounded the results of previously reported meta-analyses. In chapter 1, we pooled and analyzed the data of those randomized clinical studies that compared the effects of CRT alone with respect to optimal medical therapy. We show that CRT alone significantly reduces overall mortality by predominantly reducing heart failure death, not affecting the occurrence of sudden cardiac death during a mean follow up of 18 months.

The major causes of death in patients with a failing heart are twofold: death due to progressive pump failure and sudden cardiac death. Interventions that prevent or delay the occurrence of either or both will positively modify the natural history of this disease. The implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) and the biventricular pacemakers have proven to improve outcome on top of, and independently from the benefits afforded by pharmacological interventions. Nowadays, both types of devices play a pivotal role in the management of patients with a failing heart. In chapter 2, we analyze the evidence demonstrating the beneficial effects of implantable devices in heart failure patients and describe the population that will most likely benefit from these interventions.

An important percentage of patients will undergo implantation and will never benefit from the device. In chapter 3, we attempt to describe appropriate ways of selecting candidates for implantation based on the published evidence.

**DIFFICULTIES RELATED TO CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY**

Only a minority of patients with heart failure fulfills the standard indications for CRT (advanced systolic heart failure, refractory to standard medical therapy and LBBB on the EKG). In Chapter 4, we discuss the potential role that CRT might play in avoiding disease progression in less
symptomatic patients with depressed left ventricular function. We also hypothesize whether indications could be extended to patients presenting with standard pacemaker indications.

CRT device implantation is a technique associated to complications, and in around 5% to 10% of the cases may fail. In chapter 5, we describe how left ventricular epicardial pacing, by generating electrical heterogeneity within the ventricular wall, may cause polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. In chapters 6 and 7 we describe ways of overcoming difficult coronary sinus anatomies, in order to successfully place the left ventricular lead in the most appropriate pacing site.

A still unresolved issue regarding CRT is the important number of patients who meet the indications for implantation but end up not responding to this therapy. This may be due to sub-optimal patients selection or inappropriate treatment. In chapter 8, we investigated the effects of individually programming CRT device settings on left ventricular performance. We found that optimal programming of the interventricular interval significantly improved left ventricular hemodynamic performance as evidenced by an increase in stroke volume, a prolongation of left ventricular filling time and a reduction of intra and interventricular dyssynchrony.

**CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY: HOW TO IMPROVE IMPLANTATION TECHNIQUES**

Despite evolving techniques and the increasing experience of the operators, CRT device implantation is sometimes difficult; it often requires prolonged fluoroscopy exposure and in an important number of cases may fail. A novel magnetic navigation system has been designed with the purpose of allowing remote guidance of radiofrequency ablation catheters and guidewires. In chapter 9, we describe the principle behind magnetic navigation and its potential applications in the field of clinical electrophysiology.

In chapter 10 we demonstrated for the first time, that deploying a LV pacing lead into a desired coronary sinus side branch is feasible using a magnetically steered guidewire. We also showed that this was feasible without the use of a guiding-sheath inserted in the CS, potentially avoiding the risk of coronary sinus perforation and dissection.

In order to optimize magnetic navigation within the coronary sinus, in chapter 11, we evaluated the performance of software able to perform a 3D reconstruction of the coronary sinus. We evaluated the efficacy of remotely navigating a magnetic guidewire within the coronary sinus based on this reconstruction. We found that in the majority of cases the quality of the reconstruction was good allowing to successfully navigate into the desired coronary sinus side branch using the automatically selected magnetic vectors based on this model. In chapter 12, we outlined an evaluation of the performance of 3 different types of commercially available magnetically steered guide-wires for the transvenous implantation of left ventricular pacing leads.
In chapter 13, we present the case of a patient with a dilated cardiomyopathy and a persistent left superior vena cava were the assistance of magnetic navigation allowed successful implantation of a left ventricular pacing lead.

Magnetic navigation is clearly beneficial for selected procedures where conventional implantation failed or was not possible. Material should be improved in order to achieve the desired goal of performing fully remote implantations even without the use of a guiding sheath.

ARRHYTHMIAS AND HEART FAILURE

As previously mentioned in this thesis atrial fibrillation and heart failure share predisposing factors and often coincide. The prognostic significance of atrial fibrillation in hospitalized patients with heart failure is poorly understood. In chapter 14, we evaluated in what way atrial fibrillation, and its different modes of presentation, affect in-hospital mortality in hospitalized heart failure patients. We showed that new onset atrial fibrillation, but not previous atrial fibrillation, was an independent predictor of in-hospital mortality and prolonged hospitalization and stay in the intensive care unit.

Despite the fact that the best strategy to treat atrial fibrillation in the setting of heart failure is unclear, catheter ablation will play a role. In chapter 15, we present the case of a young man with atrial fibrillation who was considered for heart transplantation and whose downward clinical course, cardiomyopathy and arrhythmia were reverted by isolating the pulmonary veins.

There is less evidence for the role of CRT in atrial fibrillation patients. Furthermore, its role on atrial remodeling and specifically on atrial fibrillation burden is unknown. In chapter 16, we evaluated the effects of CRT on left atrial size and atrial fibrillation burden. We observed that 3 months of CRT resulted in significant left atrial and left ventricular reverse remodeling but the incidence of atrial fibrillation was not significantly reduced.

Not only severe structural heart disease and heart failure may lead to heart transplantation. In chapter 17, we present the case of a patient with the Brugada syndrome (primary electrical disease of the heart) who developed recurrent ventricular arrhythmias in the form of “electrical storms” refractory to medical treatment, requiring heart transplantation.

In conclusion, electrophysiologic abnormalities and heart failure are interrelated. Better understanding of the mechanisms of conduction disturbances and arrhythmias and its consequences has lead not only to an improvement in the management of the purely electrophysiological patients, but also to the improved management and prognosis of patients with heart failure.
SAMMENVATTING EN BESLUIT
Het doel van dit proefschrift was te onderzoeken hoe de ontwikkeling en behandeling van verschillende elektrofysiologische afwijkingen, zoals ritme- en geleidingsstoornissen, het klinisch beloop van patiënten met hartfalen beïnvloeden. Tevens werd ook getracht wegen te vinden om de toepassing van nieuwe therapieën te verbeteren. Na de inleiding bestaat deze thesis uit vier delen: deel 1 behandelt ‘device’-therapie bij hartfalen, deel 2 beschrijft moeilijkheden die met cardiale resynchronisatiebehandeling (CRT) geassocieerd zijn, deel 3 beschrijft hoe implantatietechnieken van CRT verbeterd kunnen worden en deel 4 beschrijft de invloed van ritmestoornissen op hartfalen. Uiteindelijk volgen de samenvatting en besluiten.

**BEHANDELING MET ‘ARRHYTHMIA-MANAGEMENT’ DEVICES BIJ HARTFALEN.**

Cardiale resynchronisatie (CRT) is nuttig om symptomen en de inspanningstolerantie van mensen met gevorderd hartfalen te verbeteren. Nochtans waren de voornaamste studies onvoldoende groot om een effect op totale sterfte aan te tonen. De combinatie van de defibrillatorfunctie en de CRT maakten de resultaten van eerder gerapporteerde meta-analyses zeer moeilijk en verwarrend.

In hoofdstuk 1 hebben we voor het eerst de gegevens van deze gerandomiseerde studies, die CRT vergeleken hebben met optimale medische therapie, in analyse gebracht en gerapporteerd. Wij hebben aangetoond dat CRT alleen de totale sterfte significant verbetert, vooral door sterfte door hartfalen terug te dringen, zonder echter de incidentie van plotse dood te beïnvloeden, over een gemiddelde follow-up van 18 maanden.

De belangrijkste oorzaken van overlijden bij patiënten met een falend hart zijn dubbel: ofwel overlijdt men door progressief hartfalen ofwel overlijdt men plots. Interventies die het optreden van het ene of het andere of beiden, voorkomen of uitstellen, zullen het ziektebeloop gunstig beïnvloeden. Zowel de implanteerbare cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) als de biventriculaire pacemaker (CRT) hebben een bewezen gunstige invloed, onafhankelijk van de voordelen die al werden aangedragen door farmacologische behandelingen. Tegenwoordig spelen beide types een cruciale rol bij het behandelen van patiënten met hartfalen. We analyseren in hoofdstuk 2 de voorhanden zijnde evidentie om de gunstige voordelen van deze devices bij hartfalenpatiënten (de populatie die het meest voordeel zal hebben van deze therapie). Een belangrijk aantal patiënten zal echter een implantatie ondergaan zonder ooit een aantoonbaar voordeel van dit device te hebben. We beschrijven dan ook in hoofdstuk 3 geschikte manieren om kandidaten voor implantatie te selecteren op grond van de gepubliceerde studies.
**MOEILIJKHEDEN IN VERBAND MET CARDIALE RESYNCHRONISATIE.**

Slechts een minderheid van de patiënten met hartfalen heeft ook conventionele CRT indicaties (dit wil zeggen gevorderd systolisch hartfalen dat niet beantwoordt aan conventionele medische behandeling) en de aanwezigheid van een linker bundeltakblok op het electrocardiogram. In hoofdstuk 4 bespreken we de mogelijke rol die CRT zou kunnen hebben op het voortschrijden van de ziekte in minder symptomatische patiënten met verminderde linker kamerfunctie. We speculeren ook over het feit dat de indicaties uitgebreid kunnen worden naar patiënten die een standaard indicatie hebben voor een pacemaker.

Het implanteren van een CRT systeem is een techniek die geassocieerd wordt met complicaties en die in ongeveer 5 tot 10% gewoon mislukt. We schrijven in hoofdstuk 5 hoe epicardiale stimulatie van de linker kamer polymorphe ventrikeltachycardie kan veroorzaken door elektrische heterogeniteit binnen de kamerwand te veroorzaken. In hoofdstuk 6 en 7 beschrijven we manieren om bij moeilijke anatomie van de coronaire sinus toch succesvol te implanteren en de linker kamerdraad op de meest geschikte plaats achter te laten. Een onopgelost probleem stelt de patiënt die wel een implantatie krijgt maar die uiteindelijk niet gunstig reageert op deze techniek. Dit kan te wijten zijn aan minder goede patiëntenselectie of aan verkeerde of niet adequate afstelling. We onderzochten in hoofdstuk 8 hoe het individueel programmeren van een CRT systeem de linker kamerfunctie kan beïnvloeden. We vonden dat optimaal programmeren van het interventriculaire interval de linker kamer hemodynamica significant verbetert zoals wordt aangetoond door een toegenomen slagvolume, een verlenging van de linker kamer vullingstijd en een verminderde intra- en interventriculaire dissynchronie.

**CARDIALE RESYNCHRONISATIETERAPIE: MANIEREN OM DE IMPLANTATIETECHNIEK TE VERBETEREN.**

Ondanks verbeterde technologie en de toegenomen ervaring van cardiologen blijkt het implanteren van CRT systemen soms moeilijk. Vaak vraagt het langdurig gebruik van röntgenstralen. In een niet onbelangrijk aantal gevallen faalt het. Een nieuw systeem voor magnetische navigatie werd ontwikkeld om op afstand radiofrequente ablatiekatheters en guidewires aan te kunnen sturen. We schrijven in hoofdstuk 9 hoe dit werkt en hoe dit toepasbaar kan worden voor de klinische elektrofysiologie. In hoofdstuk 10 beschrijven we voor de eerste keer dat het implanteren van een linker kamer stimulatiedraad in een geschikte zijtak van de coronaire sinus mogelijk is, met een magnetisch aangestuurde guidewire. We hebben ook aangetoond dat het mogelijk was zonder een sheath in de coronaire sinus in te brengen, waarbij het risico van coronaire sinusperforatie en dissectie compleet vermeden wordt. Om magnetische navigatie binnen de coronaire sinus te verbeteren, hebben we de toepassing van een softwarepakket geëvalueerd om de coronaire sinus in drie dimensies te reconstrueren. We hebben uitgezocht
hoe een magnetische guidewire kan navigeren binnen deze reconstructie. In de meerderheid van de gevallen was de kwaliteit van de reconstructie goed genoeg om met succes te navigeren naar de gewenste zijtak met de automatisch geselecteerde magnetische vectors gebaseerd op het model. We hebben in hoofdstuk 12 een onderzoeksprotocol beschreven met drie verschillende commercieel beschikbare guidewires, die gebruikt kunnen worden voor de transveneuze implantaatien van linker kamer stimulatiedraden. In hoofdstuk 13 wordt beschreven hoe een patiënt met een persistente linker vena cava superior en gedilateerde cardiomyopathie met magnetische navigatie een succesvolle implantaatie krijgt van een linker kamer stimulatiedraad. Magnetische navigatie is duidelijk nuttig voor geselecteerde procedures waarbij conventionele implantaaties faalt of onmogelijk is. Het materiaal moet verbeterd worden om, op afstand, complete implantaaties te uit te kunnen voeren met of zonder gebruik van een guiding sheath.

**RITMESTOORNISSEN BIJ HARTFALEN.**

Zoals voorheen reeds vermeld in de thesis, delen atriale fibrillatie en hartfalen risicofactoren. Zij komen vaak samen voor. De prognostische betekenis van atriale fibrillatie in patiënten die opgenomen worden in een ziekenhuis met hartfalen, wordt soms niet goed ingeschat. In hoofdstuk 14 hebben we geëvalueerd op welke manier atriale fibrillatie en zijn verschillende presentatievormen de sterfte in het ziekenhuis beïnvloeden als er hartfalen is. We hebben aangetoond dat nieuw opgetreden atriale fibrillatie, (dus niet vooraf bekend), een onafhankelijke voorspeller was van ziekenhuissterfte, verlengde ziekenhuisopname en verblijf op de afdeling Intensive Care. Ondanks het feit dat de beste aanpak om atriale fibrillatie te behandelen bij hartfalen nog altijd onduidelijk is, lijkt het evident te worden dat katheterablatie hierbij een rol speelt. We presenteren de ziektegeschiedenis van een jongeman die reeds aangemeld was voor harttransplantatie, en wiens neergaand klinisch beloop met cardiomyopathie en ritmestoornissen, omgekeerd werden door een eenvoudige isolatie van de pulmonale venen.

Er is minder evidentie voor de rol van CRT bij patiënten met atriale fibrillatie. Verder is de rol van atriale remodelling en de impact op het burden atriale fibrillatie onduidelijk. In hoofdstuk 16 hebben we de effecten van CRT op de afmetingen van linker boezem en de duur van atriale fibrillatie geëvalueerd. We hebben geobserveerd dat na 3 maanden CRT er een duidelijke invloed was op de linker boezem afmetingen en linker ventrikel remodelling. Het optreden van atriale fibrillatie was echter niet significant beïnvloed. Het zijn niet alleen structureel hartlijden en hartfalen die tot harttransplantatie kunnen leiden. We zagen een patiënt met een Brugada syndroom, beschreven in hoofdstuk 17, die recidiverende kamerritmestoornissen ontwikkkelde onder de vorm van elektrische stormen en die niet behandeld konden worden met medicijnen waardoor harttransplantatie noodzakelijk werd.
Samenvattend, elektrofysiologische afwijkingen en hartfalen zijn sterk met elkaar gerelateerd. Een beter begrip van de mechanismen van ritme- en geleidingsstoornissen en de consequenties hiervan, heeft niet alleen geleid tot verbetering van de aanpak van pure elektrofysiologische patiënten, maar heeft ook het management en de prognose van patiënten met hartfalen verbeterd.
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