Genitourin Med 1997;73:488-492

# Original article

488

# Prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis B virus infections among visitors to an STD clinic

YTHP van Duynhoven, MJW van de Laar, WA Schop, Ph HRothbarth, W I van der Meijden, A M van Loon, M J W Sprenger

Objective: To determine the prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections among individuals attending an STD clinic in a low endemic region.

Study design: A total of 1228 women and 1648 men attending the STD clinic at the University Hospital Rotterdam, Netherlands, were examined for HBV infection by determination of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc). Demographic characteristics, information on sexual behaviour, and intravenous drug use were recorded.

Results: The seroprevalence of HBsAg was 1.4% in women and 2.1% in men (0% in homosexual men). The seroprevalence of anti-HBc was 13% in women and 20% in men (36% in homosexual men). Native country, intravenous drug use, a history of STD, and the number of partners in the past half year (inversely) were independent risk factors for HBsAg positivity in women and heterosexual men. For anti-HBc independent associations were observed for native country, age, intravenous drug use, commercial sex, number of lifetime partners, homosexual contacts, orogenital contact (inverse), and a history of STD.

Conclusion: The HBV prevalence in the STD clinic attendants was high, exceeding the national estimate, and indicates that the STD clinic population may be considered a high risk group. Our data confirmed an increased risk for HBV infections among established risk groups. Therefore, these risk groups should be routinely screened to identify HBV cases for counselling and contact tracing.

(Genitourin Med 1997;73:488-492)

Keywords: hepatitis B virus infections; prevalence; risk factors

## Introduction

In low endemic regions infections with hepatitis B virus (HBV) occur predominantly in adults, largely as a result of sexual contact and parenteral transmission.12 About 5%-10% of the population will experience infection during their lifetime and less than 1% of adults are HBV carriers.1 In the Netherlands the estimated prevalence for HBsAg is 0.4%, based on a screening programme for pregnant women.3 Like in other parts of Europe, the number of notified cases has declined considerably since the mid 1980s.45 This was mainly caused by reduction of risk behaviour and is only partially attributable to the effect of vaccination against hepatitis B.4 At present, the control of HBV infections in the Netherlands includes counselling and contact tracing of HBV cases to prevent further transmission, targeted vaccination of high risk groups, and immunisation of children born to HBsAg positive mothers detected through a screening programme for pregnant women.36 Implementation of universal hepatitis B vaccination into the Dutch immunisation programme is now under debate.7

In the Netherlands, the prevalence and correlates of HBV infection in STD clinic populations were seldom documented because screening on HBV is not routine in these clinics. In 1994 a cross sectional study was carried out at the STD clinic of the University Hospital Rotterdam, which is the only STD clinic in the Netherlands with an ongoing universal screening for HBV. The objective of the current study was (1) to assess the HBV prevalence among STD clinic attendants and (2) to identify risk factors for HBV infection in this population.

#### Patients and methods

STUDY POPULATION

In 1994 a cross sectional study was carried out among visitors to the STD clinic of the Department of Dermatology and Venereology, at the University Hospital of Rotterdam. The following items were recorded on standardised forms: reason for visiting the clinic, specific urogenital complaints, and former experiences with STD; for women additional information was collected on their menstrual cycle and reproductive history. In addition, attendants were asked about age at first sexual intercourse, sex of sexual contacts, type (steady, casual, commercial) and sex of last and former sexual contact, the number of sexual partners in three periods (past month, half year, and lifetime), orogenital and anal contact in the past 6 months, and condom use while having intercourse with casual partners in the past 6 months. Also intravenous drug use (past 3 months, ever, or never) and either work as a prostitute or visit to a prostitute (past 3 months, ever, or never) was reported. Finally, participants were questioned about national-

Department for Infectious Diseases Epidemiology, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment Y T H P van Duynhoven M J W van de Laar W A Schop M J W Sprenger

Research Laboratory for Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment A M van Loon

Department for Virology, University Hospital Rotterdam Ph H Rothbarth

Department for Dermatology and Venereology University Hospital Rotterdam W I van der Meijden

Correspondence to: Y T H P van Duynhoven, Department for Infectious Diseases Epidemiology, National Institute of Public Health and the Environment, PO Box 1, 3720 BA Bilthoven, Netherlands.

Accepted for publication 27 June 1997

ity, native country, and use of antibiotics in the preceding 4 weeks. No information was collected on hepatitis specific complaints, vaccination status, and parenteral transmission routes other than intravenous drug use.

#### LABORATORY TESTING

From all visitors, blood samples were taken routinely for determination of (past) HBV infection. Assays included those for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) (MEIA, Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA) and for total antibodies to hepatitis B core antigen (anti-HBc) (MEIA) and were carried out at the Department of Virology, University Hospital Rotterdam. HBsAg positive results were confirmed either a passive haemagglutination (Hepatest, Murex, Dartford) or a neutralisation MEIA (Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA) if the passive haemagglutination test was inconclusive. After confirmation of a positive HBsAg, further MEIAs were performed for determination of antibodies to hepatitis B e antigen (anti-HBe) and for hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) (Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA). Sera which tested negative for HBsAg and positive for anti-HBc were tested for antibodies to hepatitis B surface antigen (anti-HBs) (MEIA). If sera were only positive for anti-HBc, additional tests for HBeAg and anti-HBe were to exclude a window phase after a recent infection.

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Univariate analyses for HBsAg positivity and anti-HBc positivity were carried out, combined for men and women, by using the  $\chi^2$  test or the two tailed Fisher exact test. Relevant associations with a p value of 0.10 or less were further analysed by multiple logistic regression analysis to correct for confounding variables and to evaluate possible effect modification. Sex was always included in the model. A full model, including the main effects of the univariate analysis, was compared with models excluding every other factor. A factor remained in the model if either the likelihood ratio test was significant (p < 0.05) or the estimates of the  $\beta$  coefficients for other variables in the model changed by at least 10%. Odds ratios (OR) are presented with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Table 1 Prevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc among 2876 visitors of the STD clinic, University Hospital Rotterdam, 1994, by sex

		HBsAg positive		Anti-HBc positive	
		n	%	n	%
Men Women Total	n = 1648	35*	2.1	322‡	19.5
	n = 1228 n = 2876	17† 52	1·4 1·8	160§ 482	13·0 16·8

<sup>\*33</sup> men were HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBe positive, one man was HBsAg, HBeAg, and anti-HBc positive, and the remaining man was only HBsAg positive (serology of other HBV markers

§For 17 of these women see above† regarding HBsAg, for the remainder: 131 women were both anti-HBc and anti-HBs positive, six women were anti-HBc and anti-HBe positive but anti-HBs tive and the remaining six women were only anti-HBc positive (for four of them serology of

#### Results

#### PATIENT POPULATION

Of the eligible population of 3277 individuals, 1696 men and 1288 women (2984 in total) were tested for at least one STD and were available for analyses (91%). The remaining 9% were very similar to the study participants, although non-participants were born in the Netherlands more often and reported a history of STD as well as drug use and commercial sex contacts less often (data not shown). The mean age for men was 34.3 years and for women 29.7 years. About 60% were born in the Netherlands. Sixty three per cent of the men and 47% of the women presented at the clinic with urogenital symptoms. Of the women, an additional 10% attended the clinic because of the urogenital complaints of their sexual partners. About two thirds of the visitors were self referred, 18% of men and 12% of women were referred by their general practitioner, and 4% of men and 5% of women by a specialist doctor.

#### PREVALENCE OF HBV MARKERS

In all, 2876 (96%) serum samples were examined for HBV infection. HBsAg was found in the blood of 17 (1.4%) women and 35 (2.1%)men (table 1). Of these, two women and one man were also HBeAg positive. Four men were known HBsAg carriers. In addition, 160 women (13.0%) and 322 men (19.5%) were anti-HBc positive, indicating past HBV infec-

#### RISK FACTORS FOR HBSAG POSITIVITY AND ANTI-HBC

No association between HBsAg positivity and age or sex was found, but anti-HBc was higher in men and increased steadily with age (table 2). Very strong associations were found for native country. Both HBsAg and anti-HBc were more prevalent among visitors born in Surinam, Morocco, or Turkey and particularly among the relatively small group from Cape Verde. The remaining foreign born visitors (about 85% born in high and middle endemic regions such as sub-Saharan Africa, Asia, Dutch Antilles, Middle/South America, eastern Europe, and Mediterranean countries) were also at increased risk for positive HBV markers. Individuals currently using intravenous drugs were also more often HBsAg positive or anti-HBc positive. For anti-HBc this also accounted for those with a history of drug use.

HBsAg was not observed among homosexuals (compared with 1.9% for heterosexuals and 3.1% for bisexuals). However, the anti-HBc prevalence of 35.8% was the highest for this group compared with 14.9% among heterosexuals and 26% for bisexuals. Individuals reporting commercial sex (work or visit) tended to have a high HBsAg and anti-HBc prevalence, but even higher rates were found among those without information on commercial sex (table 2). An inverse relation for HBsAg with the number of partners in the past half year was suggested, although this trend was not statistically significant. Anti-

incomplete). †15 women were HBsAg, anti-HBc, and anti-HBe positive and the remaining two women were HBsAg, HBeAg, and anti-HBc positive. ‡For 34 of these men see above\* regarding HBsAg, for the remainder: 269 men were both anti-HBc and anti-HBs positive, five men were anti-HBc and anti-HBe positive but anti-HBs negative, the remaining 14 men were only anti-HBc positive (for eight of them serology of other HBV markers was incomplete).

Univariate analyses of patient characteristics for HBsAg positivity and anti-HBc positivity among 2876 visitors of the STD clinic, University Hospital Rotterdam, 1994

Patient characteristics Total	Number <sup>i</sup> 2876	HBsAg 1·8%	OR HBsAg 95% CI	anti-HBc 16·8%	OR anti-HBc 95% CI
Sex:		*		***	
Men	1648	2·1	1.5 (0.9-2.8)	19.5	1.6 (1.3-2.0)
Women	1228	1.4	1.0	13.0	1.0 (1.3–2.0)
	1228	* 1.4	1.0	***	1.0
Age (years):	107	0.0		6.4	0.6 (0.3–1.1)
11-19	187		1.0	10.9	1.0
20-24	531	1.7			
25-29	664	2.0	1.2 (0.5-2.7)	13.3	1.2 (0.9–1.8)
30–34	583	2.4	1.4 (0.6-3.3)	16.6	1.6 (1.1-2.3)
≥ 35	911	1.8	1.0 (0.5–2.4)	24·9 ***	2.7 (2.0-3.7)
Native country:		***			
Netherlands	1701	0.4	1.0	8.9	1.0
Surinam/Morocco/Turkey	566	4.1	10.6 (4.5–24.8)	22.4	3.4 (2.7–4.3)
Cap Verde	66	9·1	25.0 (8.1–76.6)	<b>47</b> ·0	8.4 (5.1–14.0)
Other countries	488	3.3	8.5 (3.5–20.7)	27.7	3.6 (2.8–4.7)
Intravenous drug use:		**	•	***	
Never	2406	1.6	1.0	15.3	1.0
Past 3 months	108	4.6	2.9 (1.1-7.6)	39.8	3.7 (2.5-5.5)
Ever (> 3 months ago)	98	1.0	0.6 (0.1-4.6)	32.7	2.7 (1.7-4.2)
Unknown	264	2.7	1.7 (0.7–3.7)	15.2	1.0~(0.7-1.4)
Commercial sex (work or visit):		**	(• . • .)	***	(-
Never	1806	1.3	1.0	13.2	1.0
Past 3 months	400	2.3	1.8 (1.0-3.3)	21.3	1.9 (1.6-2.4)
Ever (> 3 months ago)	510	2.6	1⋅8 (1⋅0−3⋅3)	23.7	Ť (1 ° 2 1)
Unknown	160	3.8	2.9 (1.2-7.2)	23.1	2.0 (1.3–2.9)
Number of partners past half year:	100	**	2 9 (1 2-1 2)	***	20 (1 3-2 3)
	136	4.4	2.2 (0.9-5.4)	19.9	1.5 (0.9-2.3)
0		2.1		14.5	1.0
1	1356		1.0	13.6	0.9 (0.7-1.2)
2	685	1.0	0.5 (0.2–1.1)		
≥ 3	542	1.9	0.9 (0.4–1.8)	23.4	1.8 (1.4-2.3)
Unknown	157	0.6	0.3 (0.04–2.3)	24·8 *	2.0 (1.3–2.9)
Condom casual partners past half year:		***2			
Never, sometimes, half the times	740	2.3	2.6 (1.0-6.5)	19.2	1.0 (0.7–1.3)
Mostly (60–95%) or always	657	0.9	1.0	18.6	1.0
No casual partners reported	1315	2.1	2·3 (0·9–5·5)	14-4	0.7 (0.5-0.9)
Unknown	164	1.2	1.3 (0.3–6.7)	17.7	0.9 (0.6–1.4)
Orogenital contact in past half year:		***	•	***	
No	1167	2.9	1.0	20.4	1.0
Yes	1552	1.2	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	13.5	0.6 (0.5-0.7)
History of STD:		***	\ /	***	, , ,
No	1601	1.1	1.0	12.9	1.0
Yes	1247	2.7	2.5 (1.4-4.4)	21.7	1.9 (1.5-2.3)

Table 3 Multiple logistic regression analyses for HBsAg and anti-HBc among 2876 visitors of the STD clinic, University Hospital Rotterdam, 1994

			HBsAg¹ adjusted OR (95% CI)	anti-HBc² adjusted OR (95% CI)
Demographic cha	racteristics			
Sex:				
Men			1.9 (1.0-3.6)	1.0 (0.8–1.3)
Women			1.0	1.0
Age (years):				
11–19			not in the model	0.5 (0.3–1.1)
20–24				1.0
25–29				1.1 (0.8–1.7)
30–34				1.5 (1.0–2.3)
≥ 35				2·3 (1·6–3·3)
Native country:				
Netherlands			1.0	1.0
Surinam/Morocco/Turkey			10.9 (4.5–26.2)	5·2 (3·8–7·0)
Cape Verde			26·2 (8·2–84·2)	14.1 (8.1–24.6)
Other countries			9·1 (3·7–22·7)	4.8 (3.6–6.4)
(Intravenous) di	rug use:			
Never			1.0	1.0
Past 3 months			4·1 (1·5–11·4)	4.8 (3.0-7.8)
Ever (> 3 months ago)			0.7 (0.1-5.1)	3.7 (2.3–6.1)
Unknown			2.5 (1.0-6.2)	1.2 (0.8–1.9)
Sexual characters	istics			
Sex of sexual pa		r:		
Heterosexual contacts only			not in the model	1.0
Homo- and hetero contacts				2·5 (1·4-4·2)
Homosexual contacts only				6.9 (4.5–10.4)
Number of part	ners:			,
HBsAg: 0	anti-HBc:	1-5	2.7 (1.0-7.0)	1.0
half year 1	lifetime	6-10	1.0	0.6 (0.4-0.8)
2		11-20	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	2.1 (0.7–6.0)
≥	3	> 20	0.6 (0.3–1.4)	1.8 (1.1–3.1)
Uı	nknown	Unknown	0.2 (0.03-1.4)	1.0 (0.6–1.6)
Commercial sex	(work or visit)	:	,	• • •
Never			not in the model	1.0
Past 3 months or ever				1.3 (1.0-1.8)
Unknown				1.4 (0.8–2.3)
Orogenital conta	act in past half	year:		
No			not in the model	1.0
Yes				0.7 (0.5-0.9)
History of STD:	:			•
No			1.0	1.0
Yes			2·5 (1·4-4·5)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)

 $^1$ 174 homosexual men excluded (HBsAg prevalence was 0% in this group) –2 log likelihood presented model (n = 2663, %HBsAg = 2·0): 427·1, df = 12, p = 0·0001.  $^2$ –2 log likelihood presented model (n = 2774, %anti-HBc = 16·6): 2032·8, df = 19, p = 0·0001.

HBc was found more often among those with three or more sexual partners in the past half year. The same was found when the number of partners in the past month or during lifetime (more than 10) was considered (data not shown). Use of condoms in less than 60% of casual contacts in the past half year was associated with HBsAg positivity compared with more frequent use of condoms, but no association with anti-HBc was found (table 2). For both HBV markers a protective effect was found for orogenital contact in the past half year. A history of STD was associated with a higher prevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc. Finally, no associations with HBsAg were found for age at first sexual intercourse, a steady relationship, the type of partner during last and former sexual contact, anal contact in the past 6 months, and the number of partners in the past month and during lifetime. For anti-HBc no relevant associations were observed for age at first sexual intercourse, a steady relationship, and the type of partner during last and former sexual contact.

## MULTIPLE LOGISTIC REGRESSION

For HBsAg homosexuals were excluded from the multiple regression analyses. The final logistic model included (table 3) sex, native country, intravenous drug use, the number of partners in the past half year, and a history of STD. The ORs were very similar to those found in the univariate analyses (including the homosexuals), with the exception of a rise in the OR for recent drug use from 2.9 to 4.1.

<sup>\*\*\* =</sup> P < 0.05, \*\* = P < 0.10, \* = P  $\geqslant$  0.10 for either  $\chi^2$  or Fisher exact test. 'Totals do not always add to this number because of missing values. 'The  $\chi^2$  test was restricted to those with casual partners and information on condom use.

This was mainly due to correction for native country; because 8% of the Dutch visitors reported drug use, compared with 1% to 4% of the (highly infected) foreign born visitors, Dutch were overrepresented among the intravenous drug users.

For anti-HBc all risk factors were kept in the model (table 3). However, sex was no longer associated with anti-HBc after adjustment for the effect of other risk factors. All the ORs increased except for sex, commercial sexual contacts, and the history of STD. When the number of lifetime partners was substituted for those in the past half year no association with the number of partners was found.

#### Discussion

This study estimated the prevalence and identified risk factors for HBV infections among STD clinic attendants. Both the seroprevalence of HBsAg and anti-HBc among attendants of the STD clinic were high and exceeded the estimated national prevalence of 0.4% for HBsAg and less than 10% for anti-HBc.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, the STD clinic population can be considered a high risk group for HBV infections. The HBsAg rate of 1.8% is within the range of the 0.2% and 5% found by others.89 The prevalence of anti-HBc also correspond well with other studies in similar settings.8-10 However, our HBsAg and anti-HBc prevalences may be slightly underestimated because vaccinated individuals could not be identified and excluded from the denominator. On the contrary, the low risk character of the non-participants in this study might have caused a minor overestimation of the HBV prevalence.

Increased rates of HBV markers were observed among individuals born in intermediate and high endemic countries and to a lesser extent among intravenous drug users, homosexuals, those with multiple partners or commercial sexual contacts, or a history of STD. The high prevalence for the ethnic minorities was probably due to infection at birth or early in life, as the most likely transmission routes in these regions, with a higher probability of developing to chronic asymptomatic infection.111 Ethnic differences in the prevalence of HBV markers were also noticed the STD clinic in Amsterdam, in Netherlands, 10 in an STD clinic Copenhagen, Denmark,12 and in two STD clinics in the West Midlands.13

Although the seroprevalence of HBsAg was 0% in homosexuals, the high anti-HBc rate illustrated an increased risk for this group. Absence of HBsAg could be due to chance or may be explained by immunity as a result of previous HBV infection, reduction of high risk behaviour, and vaccination.

Our study demonstrated a negative association for HBsAg and the number of partners in the past half year, while in other studies positive associations were reported.2 This finding may be due to confounding by non-measured parenteral transmission routes. Also, the 6 month period for reported sexual activity

could be less appropriate for assessing relevant exposure to HBV. None the less, the high anti-HBc-rates among those with multiple partners in addition to the high HBV prevalence among individuals who suffered from an STD corresponds well with others<sup>2</sup> and suggests that sexual transmission is of importance in this population.

HBV infections at the STD clinic were mainly observed among established risk groups. Therefore, homosexual men, intravenous drug users, individuals with multiple partners, individuals with commercial sexual contacts, those with a history of STD, and those born in endemic countries should be routinely screened at STD clinics for case finding. Counselling of the HBsAg positive patient and tracing sexual and household contacts should be performed routinely to inform about the potential risk of HBV and to offer vaccination if appropriate. If, at this clinic, screening was restricted to the risk groups, 96% of the anti-HBc positives and 98% of the HBsAg positives would have been detected. However, as these risk groups already represented 76% of the total clinic population, universal screening is recommended, corresponding with the current policy.

The high prevalence, the apparent risk factors, and the large absolute number of high risk individuals in the STD clinic population in Rotterdam might suggest the potential of STD clinics in reaching risk groups for targeted vaccination, as earlier suggested by United Kingdom data on STD clinic attendance.14 A more adequate vaccination approach seems warranted by the current low vaccination coverage for sexual partners of HBsAg positive individuals, homosexual men, prostitutes, and intravenous drug users in the Netherlands.7 However, to determine the suitability of STD clinics as places for HBV vaccination, further studies are needed on HBV incidence, STD clinic attendance of high risk individuals, costs, and other aspects affecting the success of vaccination.15-18

This study was supported by the Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sports (research project 441501). The authors thank all medical, nursing, and clerical staff of the University Hospital Rotterdam STD clinic for their cooperation in the study.

- 1 Maynard JE, Kane MA, Alter MJ, Hadler SC. Control of hepatitis B by immunization: Global perspectives. In: Zuckerman AJ, ed. Viral hepatitis and liver disease. New York: Alan R Liss, 1988:967-9.
- 2 Alter MJ, Margolis HS. The emergence of hepatitis B as a sexually transmitted disease. Med Clin North Am 1990; 74:1529-41.
- Grosheide PM, rosheide PM, Klokman-Houweling JM, Conyn van Spaendonck MAE and the National Hepatitis B Steering Committee. Programme for preventing perinatal hepatitis B infection through screening of pregnant women and
- immunisation of infants of infected mothers in the Netherlands, 1989-92. BMJ 1995;311:1200-2.

  4 Iwarson S, Jilg W, Stroffolini T. Substantial decline of notified hepatitis B in major parts of Europe after 1985. Scand J Infect Dis 1994;26:19-22.
- Scand J Inject Dis 1994;26:19-22.
  Notified cases of hepatitis B registered at the Inspectorate for Health Care, 1981-1994.
  Health Council of the Netherlands. Advice concerning hepatitis B. 's-Gravenhage: Health Council of the Netherlands, 1983; publication no 1983/22. [in Dutch]
  Health Council of the Netherlands: Committee Hepatitis B. Protection against hepatitis B. Rijewijk: Health Council R. Protection against hepatitis B. Rijewijk: Health Council R.
- B. Protection against hepatitis B Rijswijk: Health Council of the Netherlands, 1996; publication no 1996/15. [in Dutch]
- Dutchj

  8 Stary A, Kopp W, Heller-Vitouch C. Coincidence of hepatitis B-virus markers and other sexually transmitted diseased in different STD-risk groups. Int J Med Microbiol Virol Parasitol Infect Dis 1992;276:548-55.

- 9 Barrett CL, Austin H, Louv WC, Alexander WJ, Hadler SC. Risk factors for hepatitis B virus infection among women attending a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases. Sex Transm Dis 1992;19:14-8.
  10 Doornum GJJ van, Haastrecht HJA van, Hooykaas C, Hoek JAR van den, Linden MMD van der, Coutinho RA. Hepatitis B virus infection in a group of heterosexually with mortal processing.
- als with multiple partners in Amsterdam, the Netherlands: implications for vaccination? J. Med Virol 1994;43:20-7.
- 1994;43:20-7.
  11 McMahon BJ, Alword WH, Hall DB, Heyward WL, Bender ThR, Francis DP, et al. Acute hepatitis B virus infection: relation of age to the clinical expression of disease and subsequent development of the carrier state. J Infect Dis 1985;151:599-603.
  12 Kvinesdal BB, Worm A-M, Gottschau A. Risk factors for hepatitis B virus infection in heterosexuals attending a venereal disease clinic in Copenhagen. Scand J Infect Dis 1993;25:171-5.
  13 El-Dalil AA. Javaweera DT, Walzman M. Radcliffe KW.
- 13 El-Dalil AA, Jayaweera DT, Walzman M, Radcliffe KW, Richmond R, Wade AA, et al. Hepatitis B markers in het-

- erosexual patients attending two genitourinary medicine clinics in the West Midlands. Genitourin Med 1997;73:
- 127-30.
  14 Johnson AM, Wadsworth J, Wellings J, Field J. Who goes to sexually transmitted disease clinics? Results from a national population survey. *Genitourin Med* 1996;72: 197-202.
  15 Yuan L, Robinson G. Hepatitis B vaccination and screening for markers at a sexually transmitted disease clinic for men. *Can J Public Health* 1994;85:338-41.
  16 Bhatti N, Gilson RJC, Beecham M, Williams P, Matthews MP, Tedder RS, et al. Failure to deliver hepatitis B vaccine: confessions from a genitourinary medicine clinic. BMJ 1991;303:97-101.
  17 Weinstock HS, Bolan G, Moran IS, Peterman TA, Polish

- 17 Weinstock HS, Bolan G, Moran JS, Peterman TA, Polish L, Reingold AL. Routine hepatitis B vaccination in a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases. Am J Public Health 1995;85:846-9.
  18 Asboe D, Rice P, Ruiter A de, Bingham JS. Hepatitis B
- vaccination schedules in genitourinary medicine clinics. Genitourin Med 1996;72:210-2.



# Prevalence and risk factors for hepatitis B virus infections among visitors to an STD clinic.

Y T van Duynhoven, M J van de Laar, W A Schop, et al.

Genitourin Med 1997 73: 488-492 doi: 10.1136/sti.73.6.488

Updated information and services can be found at: http://sti.bmj.com/content/73/6/488

These include:

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Notes** 

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/