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## PREFACE

During my initial training as an ENT-surgeon at the University Hospital Dijkzigt, Rotterdam, I examined many patients complaining of various types of dizziness. It was usual to carry out a series of clinical tests, selected according to the case history of the patient, but they did not always lead to a clear diagnosis. Even when there was a diagnosis, the pathogenesis and its relation to the physiology of the vestibular system were seldom known. Furthermore, it appeared to be difficult to differentiate between peripheral and central vestibular disorders, a distinction which is of great importance for the treatment.

A great deal of the knowledge about the functioning of the peripheral vestibular system is drawn from the results of animal experiments performed during the last few decades. In order to gain more insight into the normal functioning of the human semicircular canals I decided to investigate the vestibulo-ocular responses to various types of angular acceleration in healthy subjects. The facilities required for this investigation, a rotation room with a hydrostatic bearing and sophisticated computer analysis programs, were already available at Erasmus university. I had a longstanding interest in physios and mathematics, both of which are essential for this type of research. The ENT-department at Dijkzigt provided an atmosphere conducive to investigation and experimentation, while my colleagues contributed advice and encouragement. With these facilities I attempted to add to the growing body of knowledge about the human vestibulo-ocular reflex system.

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

The first understanding of the physiology of the semicircular canals of the vestibular organ dates from the late 19th century (Henn and Young, 1975). Mach, Breuer and Crum Brown all suggested that angular acceleration forms the specific stimulus for this organ. Due to inertia, the relative direction of flow of the fluid in the canals is opposite to that of the angular acceleration of the head. The sensory hair cells of the ampulla are deformed by the flow of endolymph and the stimulus input is transferred to the central nervous system. Postacceleratory reactions could not be explained by means of the Mach-Breuer-Crum Brown theory.

In the 1930's, Steinhousen (1933) demonstrated that the cupula was a jelly mass capping the crista of the ampulla, mechanically forming a single unit with the sensory cells and acting like a swing-door with its own elasticity. He also formulated the second order model for the displacement of the cupula, which functions like a highly damped pendulum.

The labyrinthine tone, first suggested by Ewald, was further elucidated by the discovery of resting discharges by means of singlefibre recordings (Löwenstein and Sand, 1940). The two-way response of the cristae could now be understood.

In the study of human vestibular function both sensation and the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) are usually investigated. The VOR operates in a short reflex arc (Gacek, 1980); its three nerve cells lie in Scarpa's ganglion, the vestibular nuclei and the extra-ocular motor nuclei. The pathways of this reflex have been known for many years and the reflex has been used by vestibular physiologists as a qualitative and quantitative measure of vestibular function.
Van Egmond, Groen and Jongkees (1948) experimentally determined the time constants of the human VOR-system, using the second order model. Since the value of the long time constant $T 1$ of the VOR-system was found to be different from that of the vestibular sensation, an adaptation mechanism was proposed by Groen (1960). Its transfer function was derived by Young and Oman (1969) and Malcolm and Melvill Jones (1970).

Attenuation of the VOR-response has frequently been observed in experiments. This attenuation can be ascribed to fatigue, habituation and adaptation, but a clear distinction has often not been made between these words. This has led to confusion.

The VOR-system is a modifiable system which adjusts to a variety of situations and stimuli. Although the system can accommodate in either direction, due to the nature of the experiments used to investigate specific responses, the accommodation which is usually observed gives rise to attenuation of the response.

Fatigue can be described as a temporary state of exhaustion. Brief intervals of rest are usually sufficient for the subject to recover from fatigue and for the attenuation of response to disappear. Lack of alertness as a cause of attenuation of response also falls within this category. Conversely, the VOR-response can be augmented by increasing
alextness through mental exertion or the administration of appropriate drugs.

The reduction in response due to repeated stimulation is called habituation or long-term adaptation. There is not a simple decrease in the response: instead the original response becomes more and more inhibited as the opposing reaction becomes more fully developed. A central process probably accounts for this active modification. The phenomenon of pattern-building, as described by Groen (1960), is a form of habituation. Other forms of habituation are seen in the fact that the VOR can be modified by changes in the input from both the visual system (Collewijn, 1979) and the proprioceptive system.

Adaptation, by which is meant short-term adaptation, is a special type of decline in response, situated within the reflex arc. It occurs during a prolonged stimulus and its location is probably more peripheral, although central processes also have their influence on the adaptation mechanism.

The definitions of the above three tems were drawn, in part, from Collins (1974) and are those used in this thesis.

Finally it should be mentioned that the Vor-system has close connections with the reticular formation, the myelum, the cerebellum and the cerebrum, all of which influence each other in reciprocal ways.

This thesis systematically investigates the influence of adaptation on the human Vor-response. Vaxious types of angular acceleration around the vertical axis are used to determine the time constants of the VOR-system. Care is taken as far as possible to avoid fatigue, habituation and the effects due to the order in which the experiments were done. Since each chapter was written as a paper dealing with a specific subject, the accompanying description of the problems under investigation and the references are contained within the chapters.

In section I impulsive stimuli are used.
The intra-subject variance and the inter-subject variance are investigated in chapter I. In chapters II and III the influence of the adaptation mechanism on the long time constant of the VOR is determined theoretically and experimentally.

In section II sinusoidal stimulation is used.
The theoretical and experimental frequency response of the VOR is described in chaptexs IV and $V$, and the effect of adaptation is determined at low frequencies.

In section III constant angular acceleration is used as a stimulus. In chapter VI the theoretical response is calculated, while in chapter VII the results are given for a small group of subjects. These are not yet fully understood.

The descriptions of the experiments are followed by a general discussion concerning the type of stimulus which appears to be the most suitable for the investigation of adaptation, and the extent to which the second order model with adaptation can account for the results obtained with the various stimuli.

The appendix contains a comprehensive description of the deriva-
tion of the equations used.
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## SECTION I: IMPULSIVE STIMULATION OF THE HUMAN VOR-SYSTEM

## CHAPTER I: STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF NYSTAGMUS IN CUPULOMETRY

ABSTRACT. The literature on cupulometry shows inconsistent data as to (1) the magnitude of the time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$; (2) the inter-subject variance; (3) the difference in $T_{1}$ between clockwise and counter-clockwise rotation, and (4) the linearity of the system. In our investigations, the nystagmus was measured after a step in angular velocity. All tests were carried out six times for 5 subjects. The time constant T1 was calculated from the slope of the decay of the slow phase eye velocity. Statistical evaluation of the results showed: an intra-subject variance which is greater than the inter-subject variance; significant differences between subjects; mean values of $T 1$ for clockwise and counterclockwise rotation of $13.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ and $12.8 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$ respectivily; a significant difference of T1 between clockwise and counterclockwise rotations for individual subjects at the $95 \%$ confidence interval level; no relation between $T 1$ and the amplitude of the step in angular velocity, and a linear increase of the maximum slow phase eye velocity with the amplitude for velocities below $100 \% / \mathrm{s}$, wherem as at higher velocities saturation occurs.

## INTRODUCTION

The forces generated within the semicircular canals by rotational stimuli can be calculated precisely. As a consequence, our knowledge of the physical characteristics of the canal mechanism in nomal. human beings has made great advances.

In cupulometry - an experimental technique introduced by van Egmond et al. (1948) - the reactions of the vestibular system are observed after a sudden start or stop (a step in angular velocity). Analysis of the vestibular reaction can lead to statements about the linearity of the system, differences between clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise (CCW) rotation and both the intra-subject variance and the inter-subject variance. The literature on cupulometry shows many inconsistencies in the measured values of the vestibular reactions.

Van Egmond et al. $(1948,1949)$ determined the time constant $T 1$ from the duration of nystagmus, after a step in angular velocity. From these studies T 1 was found to be 16 s (Groen, 1956). Keser (1950) found no increase in the maximum slow phase eye velocity (MSPV) above $120 \% / s$. There was no difference of $T_{1}$ between $C W$ and $C C W$ stimulation, although in general a second trial showed less nystagmus than a first. He found large inter- and intra-individual differences.

Melvill Jones et al. (1964) determined T1 from stimuli in the yaw, pitch and roll planes of the head. For yaw they found $\mathrm{T} 1=15.6 \mathrm{~s}$ ( $\mathrm{SD}=$ 0.6 s). The very small standard deviation is remarkable ( $\mathrm{N}=8$ ) . Brown and Crampton (1964) used a constant acceleration as a stimulus as did many others. After the termination of this acceleration (duration 9 s ), they observed an exponential decay of slow phase eye velocity (SPV). The time constant of this decay was 15.5 s as pointed out by Guedry (1974). They did not find $T_{1}$ to vary with the stimulus amplitude. Stark (1964) determined $T 1$ from the decay of nystagmus after a sudden stop. She found a mean value of 14.8 s , with a range from 9.2 s to 24.0 s . There were significant differences between subjects; for a given sub-
ject, T1 was found to be independent of the amplitude of the impulse. The MSPV increased linearly with the amplitude of the velocity step, with a gain of 0.8 .

Benson (1970) used a $60 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ step in velocity as stimulus. He found $T_{1}$ to be 17.8 s . Simon et al. (1975) studied the quick phase of the post-rotatory nystagmus in 35 healthy subjects. The mean values of various parameters were determined but great variation in the responses was found. These authors also found great differences of $T 1$ between $C W$ and CCW rotation. McClure et al. (1976) found a variation of the time constant T1 from 7 s to 35 s for healthy subjects, and also that vestibular asymmetry was not uncommon. Konrad et al. (1976) and Sizts and Honmbia (1978) found that the MSPV is the best indicator of the variables examined. Their figures show a linear increase in MSPV with impulse amplitudes up to $128 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$. The time constant detemined from the decay of nystagmus generated by a step in angular velocity was found to be $16.6 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=5.5 \mathrm{~s})$ for a stimulus of $256 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$, and $18.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=6.6 \mathrm{~s})$ for a stimulus of $128 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$. These two values do not differ significantly.

The results cited above are summarized in table I. This table shows a great range of the time constant $T 1$. All investigators, except Melvill Jones et al. (1964), found a large standard deviation. The results of the difference in $T_{1}$ between $C W$ and $C C W$ rotation and of the linearity of the system are inconsistent. All this compelled us to raise the following questions:
(a) Is the fact that some investigators have found inter-individual variation in $T_{1}$ and others have not, due to inaccuracy of the measurements or is this variation a reflection of real differences between subjects?
(b) Are the differences of $T 1$ between $C W$ and $C C W$ stimulation real?
(c) Is the system linear? (In which case the time constant T1 is independent of the stimulus.) And if so, does the MSPV increase linearly with the amplitude of the stimulus?
In an effort to answer these questions, we examined the following parameters of nystagmus after a step in angular velocity: the time constant $T_{1}$ of the decay in $S P V$, and MSPV. The duration of the nystagmus and the possible presence of after-nystagmus are not dealt with in this chapter (see chapter II and III).

## METHOD

The stimulus
The stimulus was an angular acceleration pulse (step in angular velocity) administered by a rotation room (Rodenburg and Teerhuis, 1975; Teerhuis et al., 1979). Thanks to the elimination of coulomb friction by use of a hydrostatic bearing, the room will follow the drive pattern smoothly, even at very low velocities and zero crossings. An electrohydraulic servomotor drives the room via a belt. This motor is controlled by a small electrical signal from a function generator, permitting the realization of a great variety of stimuli. The room can be stopped or started in about two seconds (see fig. 1). Maximum acceleration is $120 \% / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.

Table I. Cupulometry results taken from literature

| Authors | Time constant T1 in seconds | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CW} / \mathrm{CCW} \\ & \text { rotation } \end{aligned}$ | MSPV <br> linearity | ```T1 inde- pendent Of amplitude``` | Variability of T1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| van Egmond et al. (1948, 1949) Groen (1956) | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Keser (1950) |  | no difference | no, saturation 120 o/s |  | large |
| Melvill Jones et al. (1964) | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6 \\ & S D=0.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | small |
| Brown and Crampton (1964) | $15.5$ |  |  | yes |  |
| Stark (1964) | 9.2-24.0 |  | yes | yes | large |
| Benson (1970) | 17.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Simon et al. (1975) |  | ```great difference``` |  |  | large |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { McClure et al. } \\ (1976) \end{array}$ | 7-35 | difference |  |  | large |
| Konrad et al. (1976) <br> Silzs and Honrubia (1978) | $\begin{aligned} & 16.6 \\ & S D=5.5 \\ & 18.2 \\ & S D=6.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | yes | yes | large |

## Recording

The live electrodes were taped near the outer canthi of the eyes, and the ground electrode to the middle of the forehead. The electrooculographic signals were amplified by a Tektronix TM 502 amplifier with
the following characteristics: common mode rejection, 100 dB ; input impedance, $100 \mathrm{M} \Omega$; gain $5 \times 10^{3}$; frequency response, $\mathrm{DC}-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The amplified signals were recorded on magnetic tape by a Bell \& Howell 4010 recorder with $A D 8$ PCM system ( 4 channels; frequency response, $D C-70 H z$ ). The signals were also recorded on paper by a Siemens Oscillomink-I recorder; frequency response, $D C-1.250 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

## acceleration



Fig. 1. Recording of the stimulus.


Fig. 2. Recording of the nystagmus after a $142 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s}$ step in angular velocity.

## Subjects and procedure

All tests were carried out on 5 young and healthy subjects without oto-neurological history or vestibular experience.

The subject sat in a chair with his vertex at the centre of rom tation and his head fixed upright in a holder. The movement of the head was measured by using a coil in a magnetic field (according to Robinson, 1963). The coil was fixed to a bite-board while the head of the subject was fixed in the usual way. The measurements showed that the position of the head changed slowly. This variation was maximal 25 minutes of arc. During the greatest amplitude ( $142 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ ) a movement of the head was measured of only $25 \mathrm{\%} / \mathrm{s}$. From this measurement it was concluded that
the fixation of the head was adequate.
The experiments were performed with the subjects' eyes open in the dark. Subjects were kept alert by giving them mental arithmetic. Each subject was tested in six sessions. The subjects' direction of rotation was alternated from one test to the next. Within each test a start was always followed by a stop. After such an acceleration pulse, a rest period of at least 3 min was given. Always starting with the lowest, three amplitudes of velocity steps were used: 50,100 and $142 \% / s$. Although $60 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ is advised as maximal stimulus in clinical cupulometry, we also used much greater amplitudes to examine whether the $T 1$ is smaller for these higher amplitudes.

Since the experimental conditions for a start were exactiy the reverse of those for a stop, we could distinguish between CW and CCW stimulation without making any further corrections.

## Data Processing

The slow phase velocity was determined by hand from the paper recordings. An example of a recording is given in fig. 2. The SPV was plotted on a logarithmic scale. The decay of SPV is linear on this scale, and a straight line through the experimental points was drawn by eye. The time constant $T 1$ was determined from the slope of this line. This method gave practically the same results as curve fitting with the aid of a small table computer.

## RESULTS

Fig. 2 shows an example of a nystagmus found after a sudden stop. The SPV is maximum after about 6 ss and then increases to zero in about 40 s . As mentioned above, after-nystagmus will not be considered here. The SPV is plotted on a linear scale in fig. 3 and on a logarithmic scale in fig. 4.


Fig. 3. Slow phase eye velocity (SPV) after a $142 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ step in angular velocity. The ordinate is linear. Exponential curve with a time constant of 10.4 s .


Fig. 4. Slow phase eye velocity (SPV) after a $142 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s}$ step in angular velocity. The ordinate is logarithmic. Solid line: straight line with a time constant of 10.4 s . Fig. 3 and fig. 4 represent the some test.

The decay is found to have a time constant $T 1$ of 10.4 s in this example.
The time constant T1 was determined for angular velocity steps of 50,100 and $142 \% / s$. The results are presented in fig. 5 for both $C W$ and CCW rotation. The mean values of $T 1$ lie between 10 s and 18 s .

The maximum amplitude of SPV as a function of the amplitude of the step in velocity is presented in fig. 6. This figure shows a linear re-lation for amplitudes below $100 \% / s$.

## DISCUSSION

After a step in angular velocity, the SPV of the nystagmus is maximum at about 6 s and then decreases exponentially. Stark (1964) found that the decay is more than exponential after 27 s . We also found this in some cases, but very often the decay remained exponential until 40 s after the stop or start, when the SPV is so small (4-5 0/s) that it is impossible to determine the decay with any degree of accuracy. The mean values of $T 1$ for individual subjects lie between 10 s and 18 s for all experimental conditions used here. The mean value of $T 1$ for all subjects for $C W$ rotation is $T_{1}=13.2 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ and for $C C W$ rotation is $\mathrm{T} 1=12.8 \mathrm{~s} \quad(\mathrm{SD}=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$.

If several subjects are tested once each, as is usually done, the difference of their responses is interpreted as a variation between subjects. However, this could be incorrect. The observed variation could arise from two sources:


Fig. 5. The time constant T1 for the 5 test subjects, with steps in angular velocity of 50,100 and $142 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s}$ and both $C W$ and CCW rotation. The bar shown round each experimental point has a width equal to twice the standard deviation.

The first might reflect a real difference between subjects. This variation is called the inter-subject variance.

Secondly, if each subject is tested only once, the response recorded may be unique and not reflect the more usual responses of that subject. If one subject is tested repeatedly with the same test any difference in the responses can be called the intra-subject variance. Responses can also vary if the test utilized is unreliable or if the subject is inconsistent in his responses for reasons other than those being tested.

The fundamental theorem of the analysis of variance says that the total sum of squares, that measures the variations of each subject's responses to each test, can be devided into a component sum of squares
that measures the variation in responses due to subjects being different from each other (inter-subject variance) and one due to a subject behaving differently from test to test (intra-subject variance). The relative magnitude of the inter-subject and the intra-subject variance can be estimated by testing a number of subjects several times each.


Fig. 6. Maximum slow phase eye velocity (MSPV) as a function of stimulus cmplitude for $C W$ and CCW rotation. Bar is $2 x S D$.

The results of this analysis are given in table II, where it is shown that the intra-subject variance is greater than the inter-subject variance.

The range for the value of the time constant $T_{1}$ is relatively wide ( $7 \mathrm{~s}-25 \mathrm{~s}$ ), but comparable with what was found by Stark (9.2 s24.0 s ) and McClure et $\alpha Z$. ( $7 \mathrm{~s}-35 \mathrm{~s}$ ). However, they did not distinguish intra-subject variance and inter-subject variance. Our analysis shows that the inter-subject variance is smaller than the variance, they observed.

Table II. The mean value of $T 1$, the intra-subject variance $\left(\sigma_{f}\right)$, the intex-subject variance $\sigma d$ and $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\sigma d$.

| Rotation | $T 1$ | $\sigma_{f}$ | $\sigma_{d}$ | $95 \%$ confidence <br> interval for $\sigma_{d}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CW | 13.2 | 2.0 | 1.4 | $0.5<\sigma_{\mathrm{d}}<4.4$ |
| CCW | 12.8 | 2.2 | 1.7 | $0.7<\sigma_{d}<5.2$ |

The mean values of $T 1$ for all subjects do not differ for $C W$ and CCW rotation. If their values are compared for individual subjects, differences are found. The $95 \%$ confidence interval for the diffenrence in T1 between CW and CCW rotation ( $\mu 1-\mu 2$ ) are shown in table III.

When the upper limit of the confidence interval was positive and the lower negative, so that the confidence interval contained
zero, we assumed that the difference was not significant. In all other cases, we took the difference in $T_{1}$ to be significant.

Table III. $95 \%$ confidence interval for the difference in $T_{1}$ between CW and CCW rotation

| Subject | $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ | Significant <br> difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RM | $-1.9<\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}<0.9$ | no |
| $M R$ | $-4<\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}<-2$ | yes |
| HK | $-3.7<\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}<-0.3$ | yes |
| AS | $-3.6<\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}<+3.2$ | no |
| MB | $+2.7<\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}<+5.3$ | yes |

When the difference $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$ is significant, it can be either posm sitive or negative. Part of the disagreement whether there are differences in $T 1$ between $C W$ and $C C W$ rotation can be explained by the fact that some investigators compared individual data and others the mean values for their subjects. Since the difference in $T_{1}$ between $C W$ and CCW can be positive or negative, the difference between the mean values for a number of subjects will be much smaller.

Table IV. $95 \%$ confidence interval for $B$

| Subject | Direction | 95\% confidence interval | The 95\% confidence interval contains $B=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RM | CW | $-0.026<B<0.032$ | yes |
|  | CCW | $-0.029<B<0.006$ | yes |
| MR | CW | $-0.079<\mathrm{B}<-0.011$ | no |
|  | CCW | $-0.069<B<0.024$ | yes |
| HK | CW | $-0.030<B<0.013$ | yes |
|  | CCW | $-0.041<B<0.011$ | yes |
| AS | CW | -0.049<B<0.064 | yes |
|  | CCW | $-0.056<B<0.039$ | yes |
| MB | CW | $-0.012<B<0.079$ | yes |
|  | CCW | $-0.021<B<0.044$ | yes |

The question whether the time constant $T_{1}$ does vary within the amplitude range used was examined by applying linear regression analysis. If the coefficient $B$ of the regression line is zero, $T 1$ is independent of the amplitude.

The 95\% confidence intervals for $B$ were determined. If this in-
terval contains $B=0$, the hypothesis $B=0$ cannot be rejected. Table IV shows the results of these calculations. In all but one case the hypothesis $B=0$ could not be rejected. So it may be concluded that the time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ does not vary within the amplitude range investigated. This is in agreement with the results of Brown and Crompton (1964) and Sills and Honmbia (1978).

The MSPV increases linearly with the amplitude up to 100 o . For most of the subjects, saturation appears at higher amplitudes. The mean gain (ratio of MSPV and step in angular velocity) for our 5 subjects was 0.66 which is lower than the value of 0.8 found by Stark (1964).

We have not distinguished between the time constant $T_{1}$ and the time constant $T a$ due to adaptation. Adaptation will tend to lower the calculated time constant; our values will thus be less than those acm cording to the pendulum model (see chapter II and III).

In view of the great intra-subject variance, determination of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ does not seem suitable for distinguishing between normal and pathological subjects. Sills and Honrubia (1978) found the same distribution for two groups of patients, viz. one group with unilateral vestibular lesions and one group with tumors of the cerebellopontine angle, as for normal subjects. However, other parameters can be of diagnostic value. Konrad et al. (1976) showed that differences between CW and CCW can define a normal range and that patients with unilateral lesions are outside that normal range. These authors also found increased responses in patients with primary cerebellar disease. It may thus be possible to use cupulometry to provide information about the location of lesions.

## CONCLUSIONS

The decay of SPV after a step in angular velocity was found to be exponential. The time constant, T1, of this decay was found to be $13.2 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ for CW and $12.8 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$ for $C C W$ rotation. The intra-subject variance is greater than the inter-subject variance. The time constant T1 for a given subject can be different for CW and CCW rotation. This difference can be positive or negative. The system is found to be linear up to a certain stimulus level: (a) T1 does not depend on the amplitude of the step in angular velocity; (b) the MSPV increases linearly with the amplitude of the step in angular velocity below 100 o , while above $100 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ saturation occurs.
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CHAPTER II: INFLUENCE OF ADAPTATION UPON THE IMPULSIVE TIME CONSTANT OF THE HUMAN VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM

## I. THEORETICAL STUDY

ABSTRACT. From the impulse response of the human vestibulo-ocular reflex system the value of the long time constant $T 1$ can be calculated in two different ways: (a) by plotting the decay of the response on logm linear graph paper, or (b) by plotting the duration of the response against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude for various impulse amplitudes, i.e. the cupulometric method. By means of mathematical models, with and without adaptation, the effect of the two methods of calculation on the value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ was determined. The adaptation term was demonstrated to give a distinct reduction in the value of the response $T_{1}$ compared with that of the cupular $T_{1}$, especially when the cupulometric method (b) was used. The extent of this reduction was calculated.

## INTRODUCTION

In the investigation of the function of the horizontal semicircular canal, the interpretation of the experimental data is based on two fundamental assumptions:

1. the mechanics of the cupula-endolymph system can be regarded as a heavily damped torsion pendulum, and hence be described as a second order system. Its transfer function is determined by two time constants:

- T1, the long time constant of cupular restoration;
- T2, the short time constant, which is an indicator of the mode of reaction of the system to an impulsive stimulus;
2.a vestibular sensation and angular velocity of the slow phase nystagmus are both proportional to cupular displacement, $\theta_{c}$.
The long time constant Ti, calculated from cupulograms of vestibular sensation, appears to differ considerably from that calculated for nystagmus (van Egmond et al., 1948). To explain this difference a centrally located inhibitory mechanism was proposed by Groen (1960). The introduction of this short term adaptation mechanism as it is called, invalidates assumption (2.a) which must be replaced by:
2.b the vestibular response (sensation and nystagmus) is proportional
to the difference between cupular displacement $\theta_{c}$ and a constantly
shifting zero-velocity reference level R (Young and Oman, 1969;
MaZcolm and MeZvizl Jones, 1970). The adaptation time constant Ta
describes the rate of movement of this reference level. The value
of Ta is different for sensation and nystagmus.
Adaptation tends to reduce the value of the long time constant T 1 calculated from experimental data on the vestibular response (response $T_{1}$ ) in comparison with the long time constant of cupular restoration (cupular T1).

The response $T_{1}$ of the VOR-system to an impulsive stimulation can be calculated in two different ways:
(a) by plotting the velocity of the slow phase nystagmus on log-linear graph paper and determining the decay, or
(b) by plotting the duration of the post-rotatory nystagmus against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude for various impulse amplitudes, i.e. cupulometry (van Egmond et al., 1948).
Young and Oman (1969) stated that after a short stimulation the slow phase eye velocity curve decays with practically the same time constant as the cupular deflection. In agreement with Groen (1960) we should expect more pronounced evidence of the adaptation effect, but this has not yet been demonstrated.

The present study has been carried out to answer the following questions:
(1) does adaptation have an effect on cupulometric data and the calculation of the response $T_{1}$, and if so: to what extent?
(2) does the value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, obtained from calculations made by the cupulometric method (b), differ systematically from the value of the response $T 1$, obtained by the decay method (a)?

## METHOD AND RESULTS

We have therefore calculated the responses to impulsive stimulation of the pure second order model and of the second order model with adaptation, in order to investigate the effects of adaptation and of the two different methods of calculation on the value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$. The reader is referred to the APPENDIX for a comprehensive description of the equations used.

## a. Second order model

In physical terms the movement of the cupula in the semicircular canal system is governed by three properties:

I=moment of inertia of the endolymph;
$B=$ damping torque, determined by the viscosity of the endolymph;
$K=$ elastic torque, determined by the stiffness of the cupula.
The relation between the applied angular head acceleration, $\vec{\theta}_{\mathrm{h}}$, and the angular displacement of the cupula, $\theta_{C}$, is given by the following second order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \ddot{\theta}_{h}(t)=I \frac{d^{2} \theta_{C}}{d t^{2}}+B \frac{d \theta_{C}}{d t}+K \theta_{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Laplace notation, the linear transfer function of this system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{~s})}{\theta_{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{I}{I s^{2}+B s+K}=\frac{k}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{2} s+1\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=T / K=T 1 . T 2 ; T_{1}+T_{2}=B / K$; since the damping range is large, $T_{1}$ and $T 2$ are approximately equal to $T 1=B / K$ and $T_{2}=T / B$. In the case of heavy viscous damping and a stimulus amplitude of unity, the response of the system to a step change in angular velocity (impulse) will be:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{T_{1} w T_{2}}\left(e^{-t / T_{1}}-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } t>0 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

The response of this second order model, simulating the slow phase eye
velocity according to assumption (2.a), was computed in order to calculate the value of the response T1. For this reason equation (3) has been programmed and multiplied by various stimulus amplitudes; the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ is chosen as 20 s and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ as 0.01 s . The responses are plotted in fig. 1. The maximum response value is reached at 0.07 s and the decay approaches the abscissa asymptotically.


Fig. 1. Response of the second order model after an impulsive stimulation with arplitude factor 1, 1.4, 2, 2.8, 4 and 5.6. Threshold value is $7.5 \%$ of the maximum response. Cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$.

In fig. 2 the responses are given on log-linear graph paper. The value of the response $T 1$ is calculated by the decay method (a), and is indeed equal to the inserted cupular $T 1$ (20 s).

For the application of the cupulometric method (b) it is necessary to assume a response threshold when determining the duration of response for various impulse amplitudes. Without a threshold all response durations are infinite. In fig. 1 the threshold is chosen as 7.5\% (0.0042 units) of the maximum response ( 0.056 units). Plotting the duration of response until the threshold is reached (for 4 different thresholds) against the logarithm of impulse amplitude, xesults in parallel curves (fig 3). The calculation of the response $T_{1}$ yields again the value of 20 s for the cupular time constant $T_{1}$, for all thresholds.

Conclusion: The calculation of the response $T 1$ from the response of the second order model without adaptation gives the value of the inserted cupular $T_{1}$, regardless of the method of calculation. The value
chosen for the threshold in the cupulometric method has no effect on the result.


Fig. 2. Some as fig. 1, plotted on log-linear graph paper. The value of the response $T_{1}$, calculated by the decay method ( $a$ ), is also 20 seconds.


Fig. 3. Response duration until the threshold is reached, plotted against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude. Second order model, cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$. Thresholds: $0.0042=7.5 \% ; 0.0084=15 \% ; 0.0125=$ $22.5 \%$; $0.0167=30 \%$ of the maximam response. The value of the response $\mathbb{T}_{1}$, calculated by the cupulometric method (b), is also 20 seconds.
b. Second order model with adaptation

Although starting from different assumptions the resulting transfer function of the adaptation mechanism ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) is the same both for the model of Young and Oman (1969) and for that of Malcolm and Melvill Jones (1970):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a}(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{\theta_{c}(s)}=\frac{\text { Tas }}{T a s+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\theta}_{e}=$ angular eye velocity.
Combining the second order model and the adaptation model, the overall function is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\theta_{e}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a} s}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The response of this function to a step change in angular velocity (impulse) will be:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } t=<0 \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right. \\
&  \tag{6}\\
& \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right) \cdot e^{-t / T_{a}}\right\} \quad \text { for } t>0
\end{align*}
$$

This equation (6) has also been programmed and multiplied by various impulse amplitudes. The values of cupular $T 1$, of $T 2$ and of $T a$ are chosen as $20,0.01$ and 100 s respectively, which are close to the values found in the literature (see discussion). The response curves, simulating the slow phase eye velocity according to assumption (2.b), are shown in fig.4.

A comparison of fig. 4 with fig. 1 shows three pronounced differen-
ces:
(1) the slope of the decay has become steeper;
(2) the curves pass through the zero-response level and reveal a prolonged phase of reversed response;
(3) all curves cross the zero-level at the same moment, $t_{0}$, for a given set of time constant values.
The maximum response amplitudes in fig. 1 and fig. 4 are equal.
In fig. 5 the responses are plotted on log-linear graph paper, using method (a). The curves are not straight and the decay is faster than exponential. The value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ can only be estimated from such a plot. This has been done by drawing a tangent to the upper curve in the time range where the slow phase eye velocity after an impulsive stimulation is measured: from about 6 to 26 seconds (Bowans et al., 1980, chapter I). In fig. 5 the estimated value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is found to be 13.8 s .

We have also studied the effect of varying the values of the cupular $T 1$ and of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ on the calculation of the long time constant of the response (table I). The estimated value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is always lower than the value of the cupular T 1 inserted in equation (6). The reduction in the response $T 1$ appears to be less where the value of the cupular $T_{1}$ is low and where the adaptation time constant is large.

The value of the response I 1 can also be estimated by the cupulometric method (b). The duration of the response until it reaches the threshold is plotted (for 4 threshold values) against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude (fig. 6). Response $T 1$ is estimated by drawing the best fitting line through the points corresponding to stimulus


Fig. 4. Response of the second order model with adaptation, after an impulsive stimulation with amplitude factor $1,1.4,2, .2 .8,4$ and 5.6. Cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$.

Table I. Estimated value of the response $T_{1}$ after an impulsive stimulation of the second order model with adaptation. The calculation is made by means of the decay method (fig.5).

| Inserted in equation (6) |  |  | Response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | \% of cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{1}= 10 \\ & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 7.8 s | 787269 |  |
|  |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 13.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 16.3 | 65 |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ | $T 2=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=75 \mathrm{~s}$ | 12.6 s | 636972 |  |
|  |  | 100 | 13.8 |  |  |
|  |  | 125 | 14.3 |  |  |
|  |  | 150 | 14.7 | 74 |  |



Fig. 5. Scome as fig. 4, plotted on log-linear groph paper. The value of the response $T_{1}$, estimated by the decay method ( $a$ ), is 13.8 s .
amplitude factors 1, 2 and 4. Again the threshold is chosen as 7.5\% ( 0.0042 units) of the maximum response ( 0.056 units). In this figure the value of the response $T_{1}$ is estimated as 11.0 s . It should be noticed that the estimated value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is increased if a higher threshold is used (see table II).

Table II. Influence of the response threshold on the estimated value of the response $T_{1}$ in the cupulometric method.

| Inserted $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | Threshold | Response $T 1$ | \% of cupular T1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cupular time constant $T_{1}=20$ seconds | 0.0042 ( 7.5\%) | 11.0 seconds | 55 \% |
|  | 0.0084 (15 \%) | 13.4 | 67 |
|  | 0.0125 (22.58) | 14.3 | 72 |
|  | 0.0167 (30 \%) | 15.0 | 75 |

By using larger impulse amplitudes the estimated value of the response $T 1$ is decreased, as can be inferred from fig. 6.

Table III shows the effect of varying the values of the cupular T1 and of the adaptation time constant on the estimated value of the response $T_{1}$. These variations have the same effect as described for table I.
$V(T)=0$
$\begin{aligned} V(T)=T 1 \times T 2 \times[\{T A /(T 1-T 2)] \times & (\{1 /(T A-T 1)\} \\ & (1 /(T A-T 2)\} \\ & (1 /(T A-T 2)-1 /(T A-T 1)\}\end{aligned}$

FOR $T=<0$
$\times \operatorname{EXP}(-T / T 1)-$ $x \operatorname{EXP}(-T / T 2)+$ $\times \operatorname{EXP}(-T / T A)]$ FOR $T>0$


Fig. 6. Response duration until the threshold is reached, plotted against the logarithm of impulse amplitude. Second order model with adaptation, cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$. Thresholds: $0.0042=7.5 \% ; 0.0084=$ $15 \% ; 0.0125=22.5 \% ; 0.167=30 \%$ of the maximum response. The value of the response $T 1$, estimated by the cupulometric method $(b)$, is 11.0 s .

When a given set of cupular $T 1, T 2$ and $T_{a}$ values is inserted in equation (6), all values of the response $T_{1}$ are lower in table III than in table I.

Conclusion: In the second order model with adaptation the value of the response $T 1$, estimated from the response curve after an impulsive stimulation, is lower than the value of the cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ which is inserted in the model. This reduction is more pronounced if:

- the value of the inserted T 1 is high;
- the value of the inserted $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is low;
-- the cupulometric method (b) is used instead of the decay method (a) ;
-- in the cupulometric method, a low threshold is chosen for the determination of the duration of the response;
- in the cupulometric method, large stimulus amplitudes are chosen.

Table III. Estimated value of the response $T 1$ after an impulsive stimulation of the second order model with adaptation. The calculation is made by means of the cupulometric method (fig. 6).


## DISCUSSION

The combination of the adaptation mechanism with the second order model leads to the presence of a prolonged period of reversed response. In clinical cupulometry this phenomenon is known as post-post-rotatory nystagmus (or after-nystagmus or secondary nystagmus or Nach-Nach Nystagmus). The maximum value of the reversed response and the moment at which this value is reached, are difficult to determine, as can be seen in fig. 4.

It is possible to read the moment at which the response reverses its direction, $t_{0}$, from the figure. It is determined by the value of the cupular T 1 and by that of the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T} a$. Nevertheless, the estimation of the cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and of the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ from a few marked data on the response curves after an impulsive stimulation is unreliable, because of the considerable interaction of the two time constants in the short time range in which the responses can be considered (see table III). Malcolm and Melvill Jones (1970) matched by eye the complete experimental response curves with their mathematical model and found a cupular T1 of $21 \mathrm{~s}(S . E . \pm 1.5 \mathrm{~s}$ ! and a Ta of 82 s (S.E. $\pm 6.5 \mathrm{~s}$ ). Sills et al. (1978) used a minicomputer to fit the experimental data. In their method of calculation they noted many imperfections. For two different impulse amplitudes they found a cupular $T_{1}$ of 16.6 and $18.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mu= \pm 5.5 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\pm 6.6 \mathrm{~s})$, and a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of $99 \mathrm{~s}(\mu=$ \pm 44.0 s and $\pm 52.3 \mathrm{~s})$. All in all, the response of the VOR-system to an impulsive stimulation will be influenced by the adaptation mechanism and will not be suitable for the single determination of the cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and the time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

In clinical cupulometry the duration of nystagmus for various impulse amplitudes is plotted against the logarithm of impulse amplitude. As a result of this theoretical study it is cleax that the assumption of a threshold in slow phase eye velocity for determining the duration of the responses is preferable to the use of nystagmus as parameter. The implications of this statement are investigated in the following chapter (Boumans et al., 198.b, chapter III).

## CONCLUSIONS

Adaptation has a distinct effect on the response of the model of the human VOR-system after an impulsive stimulation. The effect of adaptation is to reduce the value of the response $T 1$, estimated from these responses, especially when the cupulometric method is used. The value of the response $T:$ will be approximately $60 \%$ of the real value of the cupular time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$.
The response curves of the system after impulsive stimulation do not seem suitable for the determination of the cupular time constant T1 and the adaptation time constant Ta.
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CHAPTER III. INFLUENCE OF ADAPTATION UPON THE IMPULSIVE TIME CONSTANT OF THE KUMAN VESTIBULO-OCUIAR REFLEX (VOR) SVSTEM

## II. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

ABSTRACT. The response of the VOR - system was measured after a start or stop in angular velocity around the vertical axis. All determinations were carried out six times for 5 subjects. In a previous study (Boumans et al., 1980, chapter I) the mean value of the response T1 was calculated from the slope of the decay of the slow phase eye velocity (method a) and found to be 13.2 s ( $S D=1.4 \mathrm{~s}$ ) for CW-stimulation, and $12.8 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$ for $C C W-s t i m u l a t i o n$. Using the cupulometric method (b) with a fixed threshold for the slow phase eye velocity, these values are $12.1 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=2.0 \mathrm{~s})$ and $11.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ respectively. The difference between the values calculated by method (a) and those calculated by method (b) is in line with the theoretical predictions as formulated in the previous chapter (Bowans et al., 198.a, chapter II).

## INTRODUCTION

In a previous investigation (Bowans et al., 1980, chapter I) the long time constant $T_{1}$ of the response of the VOR-system to an impulsive stimulation was calculated from the slope of the decay of slow phase eye velocity (SPV). This paper did not deal with the duration of the nystagmus or the possible presence of after-nystagmus. On account of the theoretical study (Bowans et al., 198.a) the experimental data are reviewed in order to compare the results with the conclusions of the theoretical study.

This was done in an attempt to answer the following questions:
(1) Does the SPV, generated after an impulsive stimulation of various amplitudes in one direction, always change its sign at the same moment, $t_{0}$ ?
(2) After what stimulus amplitude does the phenomenon of nystagmus reversal occur?
(3) Does the value of the response $T_{1}$, calculated by the traditional cupulometric method using the duration of nystagmus as parameter, differ from the value of the response $T 1$, calculated by the same method using a fixed threshold of SPV as parameter?
(4) Does the value of response $T 1$, calculated by the cupulometric method using a fixed threshold (method b), tend to be less than the value of response $T 1$ calculated by the decay method (a)?
(5) Can we estimate the values of the cupular time constant $T 1$ and of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ from the value of the experimental response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ?

## METHOD

The experimental data are the same as analysed in a previous study (Boumans et al., 1980, chapter I). The reader is referred to this paper for the description of the stimulus, the recording technique, the subjects and the experimental procedure. The stimulus amplitude of $25 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$
was included in this cupulometric evaluation while it was not used in the previous study because the response amplitudes were too small to determine the response $T 1$ from the slope of the decay. Data processing of all recordings was done by hand. Slow phase eye velocity was plotted on a linear scale and the duration of $S P V$ for the various impulse amplitudes was determined, using a fixed threshold (7.5 \%/s SPV). Cupulograms were made and the value of the response $T 1$ was calculated from the slope.
Attention was also given to the duration of the nystagmus, the phenomenon of nystagmus reversal and to the moment ( $t_{0}$ ) at which the slow phase eye movement changed its direction.

## RESULTS

Table I. Time at which SPV is zero, to, in s. The standard deviation is given in brackets. For the impulse amplitudes 25, 50 and 100 $0 / \mathrm{s}:$ M>10. Nystagmus reversal is denoted by:
$\theta$ : no reversal
(f) : occasional reversal
(-) : reversal always occurs

| Subject | $\begin{gathered} \text { CW } \\ \text { Stimulation } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCW } \\ \text { stimulation } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25\%/s | 500/s | 1000/s | 1400/5 | 250/s | 50\%/s | 1000/s | 1400/s |
| $A S$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8(9.7) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.7(6.8) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $40.3(2.0)$ $\oplus$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.5 \\ \text { (4) } \end{array}$ | $51.8(6.2)$ $\Theta$ | 57.5(3.4) | $50.0(2.4)$ | $56.0$ |
| MB | 44.3(9.7) | 49.3 (12) | 54.9(9.7) | 55.0 | $29.2(6.0)$ | $33.8(6.5)$ | 37.6 (3.8) | 38.5 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | ( ) $^{\text {a }}$ | (4) | (-) | (+) | + | $\oplus$ |
| RM | $39.0(7.9)$ <br> (1) | $42.1(4.8)$ ¢ | 44.2(2.5) | 43.0(2.4) | 41.4(4.4) | 45.1(4.0) | $47.2(3.1)$ | $49.3(2.3)$ |
| HK | 36.3(1.2) | 37. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ (1.8) | $\stackrel{\oplus}{40.4(2.3)}$ | $\stackrel{(+8)}{ }{ }^{(8.8}$ | 38.3(5.3) | 39.6(2.1) | $43.3{ }^{(+5.4)}$ | $41.0 \stackrel{(1.8)}{(1)}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{( }{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\oplus$ | $\oplus$ | (-) | ¢ | $\stackrel{\oplus}{+}$ | (9) |
| MR | $\begin{gathered} 30.0(2.2) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.1(7.7) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.1(2.7) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.7(5.1) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.0(2.4) \\ \theta \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.7(4.8) \\ \Theta \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.5(3.8) \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57.0(6.5) \\ \oplus \end{gathered}$ |

The maximum slow phase eye velocity occurred between 4 and 6 s after the beginning of the impulse, for all subjects and all impulse amplitudes. The duration of the impulse itself was 2.5 s at most.

Table I shows the time ( $t_{0}$ ) after the beginning of the impulse at which the SPV is zero. When nystagmus reversal does not occur, the value of $t_{0}$ is rather difficult to estimate and tends to be underestimated.

For subject $H K$ the results are shown in fig. 1. The moment of $S P V$ reversal appears to be the same for the various stimulus amplitudes, provided all stimuli are in one direction.

An example of the calculation of the value of the response T1 by the traditional cupulometxic method is shown in fig. 2.a: the duration of nystagmus is plotted against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude. Table II gives the values of the response $T 1$, calculated by this method, for all subjects. Only the impulse amplitudes 25,50 and $100 \% / s$ are used for this calculation, because saturation occurredat higher velocity.


Fig. 1. Results in one subject. The beginning of the impulse, the maximm slow phase eye velocity and to are connected by straight lines, not representing the actual SPV-profize. A dotted line represents an occasional occurrence of nystagmus reversal, a full line indicates that nystagmus reversal always occurs.


Fig. 2.a. Cupulogram of subject $H K$. The duration of nystagmus is employed as parameter. The bars represent $2 \times S D$.

Table II. Value of the response $T_{1}$, calculated by the traditional cupuzometric method, using the presence of nystagmus as a threshold.

| Subject | Response $T 1$ (CW) | Response $T_{1}$ (CCW) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AS | 5.5 s | 6 |
| MB | 13 | 6.5 |
| RM | 12 | 11 |
| HK | 8.5 | 7 |
| MR | 5.5 | 1 |

The mean value of the response $T_{1}$ is 8.9 s ( $\mathrm{SD}=3.5 \mathrm{~s}$ ) after CW-stimulation and $6.3 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=3.5 \mathrm{~s})$ after CCW-stimulation; the range (1-13 s) is large.


Fig. 2.b. Cupulogram of subject HK. The duration until a threshold of $7.5 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s} S P V$ is reached, is employed as parameter. The bars represent $2 x S D$.

Fig. 2.b. shows the duration of SPV until the threshold of $7.5 \% / \mathrm{s}$ is reached, and it is for the same measurements in the same subject (method b). It is to be noted that higher estimates of the value of the response T1 result from the latter procedure.

Table III. Value of response $T_{1}$, calculated by the cupulometric method and using $7.5 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ SPV as a threshold.

| Subject | Response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ (CW) | Response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ (CCW) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| AS | 9.5 s | 9.5 s |
| $M B$ | 14.5 | 11.0 |
| RM | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| HK | 11.0 | 10.4 |
| $M R$ | 13.5 | 13.0 |

In table III the values of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ are given for all subjects. After CW-stimulation the mean value is $12.1 \mathrm{~s}(S D=2.0 \mathrm{~s})$, while after CCW -stimulation it is $11.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$.

Calculated by the decay method (a) the mean values of the response $T_{1}$ for $C W$ - and CWW-stimulation are $13.2 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ and 12.8 s (SD= 1.7 s) respectively (Botmans et al., 1980, chapter I).

## DISCUSSION

From a comparison of the theoretical considerations (chapter II) and the results of the measurements the following remarks can be made: - In theory, for a second order model with adaptation and a given set of time constant values, all responses after an impulsive stimulation pass through zero at the same moment (to). The presence of an adaptive mechanism in the VOR-system is experimentally shown by the existence of nystagmus reversal (better SPV reversal). The moment of SPV reversal is found to be constant for each subject, provided all stimuli are in one direction (table I). For the subjects $A S, M B$ and $M R$ there is a distinct difference between the values of to for CW- and CCW-stimulation. One has to bear in mind that in the region of to the response curve is rather flat and thus even a slight directional asymmetry can explain the difference in to. This slight asymmetry does not appear to affect the value of the response Ti, calculated by the cupulometric method with a fixed threshold (method b), as it does in the traditional cupulom metric method.

- In the theoretical study (Boumans et al., 198.a, chapter II) the necessity of the assumption of a threshold for SPV in the estimation of the value of the response $T_{1}$ was shown. The basis for measurement in traditional cupulometry is the presence of nystagmus (with a quick phase eye movement) and there is no fixed threshold. This leads to inconsistencies: the value of SPV above which nystagmus occurs differs from individual to individual; where there is nystagmus reversal this value of $S P V$ can be very low, resulting in a low value of the response $T_{1}$ (comparefig. $2 . a$ and 2.b). Intra-individual fluctuation of the nystagmus threshold leads to an additional problem (Ranacher, 1977). Therefore it is concluded that the assumption of a fixed threshold for SPV is needed in cupulometric measurement.
-" In a previous study (Bownans et al., 1980, chapter I) the value of the response $T 1$ was calculated from the impulse responses of the

VOR-system by the decay method (a), and found to be about 13 s. In the present study T1 is calculated from the same data by the cupulometric method (b), and found to be about 11.7 s . These results bear out the theory as described in chapter II, where it is shown that when adaptation is present, method (b) gives a smaller apparent value of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ than method (a). In the theoretical study the value of the response $T_{1}$ has been calculated for various values of the cupular $T 1$ and of the adaptive $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
Using the decay method (a) the value of the response $T 1$ is found to be $10.8-13.8 \mathrm{~s}$ for $T_{1}=15-20 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$; response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is 12.6-13.8 s for $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=75-100 \mathrm{~s}$ (Boumans et az., 198.a, chapter II, table I).
Using the cupulometric method (b) the value of the response $T 1$ is found to be $11.0-12.6 \mathrm{~s}$ for $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20-25 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$; response $T 1$ is 11.0-11.9 s for $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100-125 \mathrm{~s}$ (Bormans et al., 198.a, chapter II, table III). A comparison of the experimental results with the theoretical calculations suggests that in reality the cupular time constant $T 1$ is about 20 s , while the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is 100 125 s . However, as pointed out in the discussion of the theoreti-cal paper, this estimation is unreliable and in fact other combinations of values for cupular $T_{1}$ and $T_{a}$ are also possible.

## CONCLUSION

Adaptation has a distinct effect on cupulometric measurement. This investigation seems to justify the mathematical models describing the mechanical function of the semicircular canal and the adaptation mechanism (Boumans et al., 198.a, chapter II). The effect of adaptation is to reduce the value of the response $T 1$ calculated from the nystagmographic responses after an impulsive stimulation, especially when the cupulometric method (b) is used. The calculated value will be approximately 60\% of the value of the cupular time constant T1. It is recommended that a fixed threshold value for SPV should be employed in cupulometric measurement, rather than the presence of nystagmus.
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SECTION II: SINUSOIDAL STIMULATION OF THE HUMAN VOR-SYSTEM
CHAPTER IV: FREQUENCX RESPONSE OF THE HUMAN VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM AT LOW FREQUENCIES: THE EFFECT OF ADAPTATION

## I. THEORETICAL STUDY

ABSTRACT. From the mathematical model of the human VOR-system the frequency response has been calculated for harmonic sinusoidal acceleration. The addition of an adaptation term to the second order model, describing the cupular behaviour, results in considerable changes in the response characteristics in the low frequency region. An attempt has been made to quantify the influence of the cupular time constant $T 1$ and the adaptation time constant $T a$ on the amplitude and phase charactexistics of the transfer function, which represents the human VORsystem. The results of this theoretical study will be employed in the following chapter (Bowmans et al., 198.d, chapter V).

## INTRODUCTION

In the prevailing mathematical model of the transfer function of the human vestibular system the second order model of the cupular behaviour is modified by the addition of an adaptation texm. The frequency response of the pure second order model to harmonic sinusoidal acceleration is extensively described in the literature. A recent summary can be found in Mammalian Vestibular Physiology (WiZson and MeZvizl Jones, 1979). By the addition of the adaptation term to the second order model the frequency response of the vestibular system will be changed in the low frequency region. The effect of a short term adaptation mechanism has been shown experimentally, for example, in the frequency response of peripheral neurons innervating the semicircular canals of the squirrel monkey (Femandez and Goldberg, 1971), and in the phase characteristic for the vestibular sensation of rotation in man (Rodenburg et al., 1977). Neither the effect of adaptation on the response of the human VOR-system to sinusoidal oscillation, nor the effect of varying the values of the cupular time constant $T 1$ and of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ have previously been calculated. It is thus the aim of the present study
(1) to describe qualitatively how the frequency characteristic of the second order model is altered when an adaptation term is added and
(2) to show quantitatively how the amplitude ratio and the phase relationship depend on the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant $T$ a.
The reader is referred to the APPENDIX for a comprehensive description of the derivation of the equations used.

METHOD AND RESULTS
According to the second order modet the linear transfex function relating the cupular displacement, $\theta_{C}$, to the applied angular head velocity, $\dot{\theta}_{h}$, is (in Laplace notation):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\theta_{C}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} s}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T 1=$ the long time constant of cupular restoration;
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ the short time constant.
In the real time domain the amplitude ratio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\omega T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega T_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega T_{2}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=f r e q . ~ i n ~ r a d i a n s / s ; ~ w=2 \pi x f r e q . ~$
The phase relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan \left(\omega \mathrm{T}_{1}\right)-\arctan \left(\omega \mathrm{T}_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Bode plot (re velocity) for the dynomic frequency response of the cupula-endolympti system, based on equation (1). Variable value for $T_{1}$; fixed value of $T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$.

In fig. 1 the amplitude ratio and the phase of the cupular displacement (re velocity) are plotted as functions of the stimulus frequency. The sowcalled asymptotic upper cut-off frequency is determined by the value of T2, the lower cut-off frequency by that of T1. The values of T1 and T2 in fig. 1 are chosen close to the values found in the literature.


Fig. 2. Bode plot (re velocity) for the dynomic frequency response of the hrman VOR-system, based on equation (5). Variable value for $T_{a}$; fixed value of $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$; fixed value of $T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$.

In the high frequency region the amplitude ratio decreases and there is a phase lag of up to 90 degrees. The amplitude ratio again decreases at low frequencies, whereas the cupular displacement shows a phase lead of up to 90 degrees.

The transfer function of the adaptation mechanism, according to Young and Oman (1969) and Melvizl Jones (1970), is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{a}(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{\theta_{c}(s)}=\frac{T_{a} s}{T_{a} s+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\theta}_{e}=a n g u l a r ~ e y e ~ v e l o c i t y . ~$
Adding the adaptation model to the second order model results in the following over-all transfer function for the VOR-system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{\dot{\theta}_{h}(s)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}{ }^{2}}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitude ratio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\omega^{2} \cdot T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega T_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega T_{2}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\pi-\arctan \left(\omega T_{1}\right)-\arctan \left(\omega T_{2}\right)-\arctan \left(\omega T_{a}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 2 shows the dynamic frequency response of the VOR-system as given by equation (5). It must be noted that both amplitude ratio and phase are expressed with respect to the angular velocity of the stimulus. When fig. 1 is compared with fig. 2 it is seen that the curves differ at low frequencies: in fig. 2 the amplitude ratio decreases more steep$l y$ and the phase lead becomes more than 90 degrees.

The quantitative effect of varying the values of the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ on the amplitude ratio and the phase relationship is studied in Bode plots of the low frequency response derived from equation (5).

In fig. 3 the amplitude characteristics for various values of $T 1$ are shown. The amplitude ratios at frequencies of 0.01 Hz and of 0.001 Hz have been calculated as shown in table I: at the very low frequency of 0.001 Hz the effect of varying the value of $T 1$ is even greater than at 0.01 Hz . The result of varying the time constant Ta is shown in fig. 4. The amplitude ratios for various values of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ at a frequency of 0.001 Hz have been calculated as shown on the right hand side of table I: the effect appears to be less than that of varying the value of $T 1$ at the same frequency.

The phase charactemistics for the various values of $T_{1}$ and $T_{a}$, as derived from equation (5), are shown in fig. 5 and fig. 6, respectively. The effect of varying the value of $T 1$ on the phase is greatest in the region of 0.01 Hz , whereas the maximum effect of varying the value of Ta lies just above 0.001 Hz . At this latter frequency, variation of $T_{1}$ no longer has noticeable effect on the phase while it still has considerable effect on the amplitude ratio. The values of the phase lead (re velocity) are shown in table II, and range from 42 to 67 degrees at 0.01 Hz (based on a variable value of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ), and from 130 to 148 degrees at 0.001 Hz (based on a variable value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ).

Table I. Values of the amplitude ratio ( $\alpha$ ) for the hrman VORsystem in harmonic sinusoidat acceleration at 2 different frequeneies, based on equation (5).


Table II. Values of the phase lead (with respect to velocity) for the human VOR-system in harmonic sinusoidal acceleration at 2 different frequencies, based on equation (5).

| Inserted in equation (5) | Phase (deg) | Inserted in equation (5) | Phase (deg) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega=2 \pi f$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{1}}=10 \mathrm{~s}$ | 67 | $\omega=2 \pi f$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}=75 \mathrm{~s}$ | 148 |
| $f=0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 15 | 56 | $f=0.001 \mathrm{~Hz}$ | 100 | 141 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ | 20 | 48 | $\mathrm{~T}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ | 125 | 135 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$ | 25 | 42 | $\mathrm{~T} 2=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ | 150 | 130 |



Fig. 3. Amplitude characteristic (re velocity) of the low frequency response derived from equation (5). Variable value for $T$; fixed value of $T \alpha=100 \mathrm{~s}$. The values of the amplitude ratio at frequencies of 0.01 Hz and 0.001 Hz can be found in table I.


Fig. 4. Same as fig. 3. Variable value for $T_{a}$; fixed value of $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$. The values of the amplitude ratio at a frequency of 0.001 Hz can be found in table $I$.


Fig. 5. Phase characteristic (re velocity) of the low frequency response derived from equation(5). Variable value for $T_{1}$; fixed value of $T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$. The values of the phase lead at a frequency of 0.01 Hz can be found in table II.

## DISCUSSION

The addition of the adaptation term to the second order model of the cupula-endolymph system alters the over-all transfer function which represents the VOR-system (from equation(1) to equation(5) ), in such a way that there is a distinct change in the low frequency region.

In the amplitude characteristic the amplitude ratio decreases by 12 dB per octave at very low frequencies (fig. 2), instead of by 6 dB per octave as in the second order model (fig. 1). One might expect to be able to estimate the value of Ta from the amplitude characteristic
in this very low frequency region. However, the value of the amplitude ratio at very low frequencies is determined to a greater degree by the value of the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ than by that of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ (see table I).

For this reason the determination of the amplitude characteristic of the VOR-system is not a suitable method for the calculation of the time constant $T_{a}$. At a frequency of 0.01 Hz the influence of adaptation is rather small, so that the amplitude ratio or gain in the VOR-system at that frequency will to a great extent be determined by the value of the cupular $T 1$, and, conversely, the value of $T 1$ could here be determined from the amplitude ratio.

The situation appears to be different with respect to the phase characteristic of the frequency response derived from equation (5). In the first place, a phase lead of more than 90 degrees can occur when the adaptation term is added to the second order model. Further the effect of varying the value of the cupular $T_{1}$ will largely be seen in


Fig. 6. Same as fig. 5. Variable value for $T_{a}$; fixed value of $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$. The values of the phase lead at a frequency of 0.001 Hz can be found in table II.
the fxequency region around 0.01 Hz , whereas it is the value of Ta that determines the phase lead at very low frequencies. This phenomenon will be used in the following chapter (chapter V) where we shall estimate the values of the cupular time constant $T 1$ and the adaptation time constant Ta from experimental data, obtained by harmonic sinusoidal acceleration of the human VOR-system.

## CONCLUSION

At low frequencies adaptation has a distinct effect on the transfer function which represents the human VoR-system. The amplitude ratio in the frequency region around 0.01 Hz is largely determined by the value of the cupular time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, whereas at very low frequencies the values of both $T 1$ and $T a$ have an influence. On the other hand, the phase lead at 0.01 Hz is largely determined by the value of T , , whereas at 0.001 Hz it is largely determined by that of Ta. Hence the experimental determination of the phase characteristic of the human VOR-system could be used as a way of calculating the cupular $T 1$ and the adaptation time constant Ta .
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CHAPTER V: FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF THE HUMAN VESTIBULO-OCUIAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM AT LOW FREQUENCIES: THE EFFECT OF ADAPTATION

## II. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

$A B S T R A C T$. The frequency response of the human VOR-system was investigated by determining its amplitude and phase characteristics. The angular head velocity and the resulting angular eye velocity were measured in 7 healthy subjects using a rotation room. Rotations about the vertical axis were carried out at frequencies ranging from 0.0025 kz to 0.1 Hz ; peak head velocity was $50 \% / \mathrm{s}$. From the experimental data the gain and the phase relationship were calculated. The results appear to be in agreement with the frequency response of the theoretical transfer function which represents the VOR-system. This is based on a second order differential equation for cupular behaviour, modified by an adaptation term (Bowmans et al.s 198.c, chapter IV). According to the experimental phase chaxacteristic the cupular restoration time constant T1 was 16.6 s , and the adaptation time constant was 114 s. Linearity of the VOR-system, both for amplitude and phase, was demonstrated at frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz .

## INTRODUCTION

In the investigation of the human VOR-system the frequency response to harmonic sinusoidal stimulation has been studied at middle and high frequencies.

Miven and Hixon (1961) used a steady-state sinusoidal rotation with frequencies ranging from 0.02 to 0.20 Hz and a constant peak angular acceleration of $40 \% / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. They determined the phase characteristic in 6 subjects. From the phase at 0.02 Hz the long time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ was calculated. Its value varied from 6.5 s to 10.2 s and, measured in one particular subject, appeared to be dependent on the stimulus amplitude.

Later the dependence of the phase shift on the stimulus amplitude was further investigated (Hixon and Niven, 1962). For that purpose peak angular accelerations of 10 to $80 \% / s^{2}$ were used. Linearity was demonstrated above a frequency of 0.04 Hz . At frequencies of 0.04 and 0.02 Hz the phase lead (re stimulus velocity) became greater as higher peak acceleration values were user.

Meiry (1966) determined the amplitude and the phase characteristics for frequencies between 0.028 Hz and 2.0 Hz in 7 subjects. The gain (ratio of the peak slow phase eye velocity (SPV) to the peak stimulus velocity) was 0.43 Hz at all frequencies. The phase lead (re velocity) varied from 35 degrees at 0.028 Hz to -26 degrees at 2.0 Hz .

Benson (1970) investigated the transfer function of the VOR-system in the frequency range from 0.01 Hz to 2.0 Hz , in 9 subjects. The peak angular accelexation was $30 \% / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. At frequencies between 0.05 Hz and 0.5 Hz the gain was 0.7 ; below 0.05 Hz the gain decreased, whereas above 0.5 Hz it increased. The phase shift varied from 53 degrees at 0.01 Hz to zero degrees at 2.0 Hz . In a supplementary investigation in 6 subjects the phase remained at zero degrees up to 5 Hz .


Fig. 1. Bode plot (re velocity) of the frequency response of the human VOR-system, based on data found in the Iiterature.

Mathog (1972) determined the amplitude characteristic in the frequency range from 0.02 Hz to 0.24 Hz . In one group of 16 subjects a constant peak angular acceleration of $0.4 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} 2$ was used. The gain varied from 0.55 to 0.80 and was almost independent of the frequency. In another group of 6 subjects the peak acceleration was varied from 0.2 to 0.6 $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Linearity was observed above a frequency of 0.03 Hz . Reworking the data in that paper it is possible to see that the non-linearity found below 0.03 Hz was due to saturation: at a frequency of 0.02 Hz linearity can be shown up to a stimulus peak velocity of $180 \mathrm{\%}$.

Barp et al. (1976) found a gain increase from 0.62 at 0.1 Hz to 0.83 at 0.8 Hz .

Wolfe et al. (1978) investigated the canal function by harmonic sinusoidal
acceleration ( $0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}-0.16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) in 50 normal subjects. Peak stimulus velocity was held constant at $50 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$. The gain was found to be 0.5 at frequencies of 0.01 Hz and $0.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.64$ at 0.04 Hz and 0.08 Hz , and 0.5 at a frequency of 0.16 Hz . The phase ranged from 39 degrees at 0.01 Hz to 3.5 degrees at 0.16 Hz .

A summary of the data found in the literature is given in fig. 1: a. The gain of the vor-system appears to be fairly constant in the mid-frequency region ( $0.02 \mathrm{~Hz}-0.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). At high frequencies an increase of the gain is sometimes observed; a decrease is not reported. In the low frequency region a decrease of the gain was found by Benson.
b. The phase relationship of the SPV with respect to stimulus velocity shows a phase lead at low frequencies. Below 0.01 Hz data are lacking. Above 0.3 Hz Meiry observed a phase lag, whereas Benson did not find a phase shift at frequencies of up to 5 Hz .
c. The findings in the literature are inconsistent with respect to linearity.

According to the theoretical considerations in chapter IV of this thesis, it is at low frequencies that the effect of adaptation on the vestibular transfer function can be expected, where it can result in a phase lead of more than 90 degrees. This effect has been demonstrated in Scarpa's ganglion of primates (Fermandez and Goldberg, 1971) and in a psychophysical study in man (Rodenburg, 1977).

In the vestibulo-ocular reflex system of normal subjects the influence of adaptation on sinusoidal stimulation has not been investigated systematically. Wozfe et al. (1978a) found, when comparing patients with healthy subjects, that there were differences in the phase and/or the directional preponderance in the low frequency region $(0.01 \mathrm{~Hz}-$ 0.04 Hz ), which revealed deficits in the peripheral function. Mathog (1972) also found the greatest divergence between normal and pathological cases at low frequencies.

The purpose of the present study is to investigate the transfer function of the normal human VoR-system at low frequencies. The interpretation of the experimental data is based on the results obtained from the theoretical study in chapter IV of this thesis and is directed towards an analysis of the performance of the cupula-endolymph system and the adaptation mechanism.

## METHOD

## a. Stimulus

The stimulus was a sinusoidal angular accelexation administered by a rotation room with a hydrostatic bearing. An electrohydraulic servomotor drives the room via a belt. The motor is controlled by a small electrical signal from a function generator. A technical description of the rotation room has been given by Teerhuis et al. (1979). The following frequencies were used: $0.0025,0.005,0.01,0.02,0.05$ and 0.1 Hz ; the peak angular velocity was $500 / \mathrm{s}$ at all frequencies. The resulting movement of the room has been analysed by the Fourier method: the frequency of motion of the room deviated by less than $5 \%$ from the adjusted frequency.

1


DATA ACQUISITION

11


INTERACTIVE ANALYSIS PROGRAM

111


## SINEWAVE FITTING PROGRAM

Fig. 2. Outline of the analytical procedure.
b. Subjects and procedure

A group of 7 healthy males with no apparent defects in hearing and equilibrium was used as subjects. The cab enclosure was light-mpoof and no ambient lighting was provided during the entire run. The subjects were allowed to adapt to the dark until the comeo-retinal potential had stabilized (about 15 minutes). They sat in a chair with the vertex at the centre of rotation and the head fixed in a holder. The nystagmus recordings were made with open eyes and for the maintenance of optimal nystagmus response the subjects were kept alert by listening to recorded performances of an entertainer.

Each test sequence was measured five times in each subject. One or more weeks was allowed to elapsebetween the test sequences to minimize possible effects of habituation. The test sequences were started alternately at high and low frequency. To exclude initial transient phenomena the recording of the eye movement was started up to 200 s after the start of the stimulus.

For the investigation of linearity various amplitudes at frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz were used in 3 subjects, always starting with the lowest amplitude. Each test sequence was measured five times in each subject.



Fig. 3. Example of the interactive analysis program. The slow phase eye velocity decreases from $-6.5 \%$ at 41.4 s to $-12.9 \%$ at 44.3 s .


Fig. 4. Result of the sinewave fitting program in an expeximent where a stimulus frequency of 0.0105 Hz was used.

## c. Recording

Horizontal eye position was recorded by DC-electro-oculography using bi-temporal electrodes, taped near the outer canthi of the eyes. The signals were amplified by a Tektronix TM 502 amplifier with the following characteristics: common mode rejection, 100 dB ; input impedance, $100 \mathrm{M} \Omega$; gain $5 \times 10^{3}$; frequency response, $\mathrm{DC}-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The DC offset of the EOG-signal was compensated for by a digital automatic device for overrange correction (Maas et al., 1982). The amplified signals were recorded on magnetic tape by a Bell \& Howell 4010 recorder with AD 8 PCM system ( 4 channels; frequency response, $D C-70 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The room position was also recorded.

## d. Data processing

An outline of the analytical procedure is shown in fig. 2. After analog to digital signal conversion the mean calibration was used to analyse the intervening data. The interactive analysis program was obtained from Dr P.Huijgen of Nijmegen University (1979). An example of the processing is given in fig. 3.

A sinewave fitting program was especially developed by the Automatic Signal Processing Department of the Medical Faculty. The data from the analysis program were preprocessed removing, for example, extreme data from the period of time where the slow phase eye velocity changed its direction. A first rough fit was performed by the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method, giving the basic frequency and an indication of the phase. Thereafter a final fit was obtained by the least squares method and the result was plotted as is shown in fig. 4.

## RESULTS

Table I. Mean peak slow phase eye velocity in $0 / \mathrm{s}$, using $50 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ as peak stimulus velocity for all frequencies in 7 subiects. The mean; (standard deviation); number of experiments are listed.

| FREQUENCY (Hz) | LB | 13 | MR | LV | RM | RS | EK | MEAN( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0025 | 7.8(1.9) 3 | $8(0.4) 3$ | 11(1.8) 3 | 11(2.1) 4 | $6(2.3) 3$ | $8(1.7) 4$ | $9(2.0) 4$ | 8.7(1.8) |
| 0.005 | $9 \quad(4.7) 4$ | 15(2.5) 9 | $18(5.0) 9$ | 16 (2.2) 5 | 10(1.2) 10 | $15(2.9) 5$ | 13(1.3)4 | $14 \quad$ (3.5) |
| 0.01 | 13 (1.5)3 | 23 (3.5)5 | $29(7.3) 4$ | $22(3.2) 5$ | 18(2.1)5 | 24 (3.1) 5 | 24(3.0)4 | $22 \quad(5.0)$ |
| 0.02 | $16 \quad(0.9) 3$ | $30(3.7) 4$ | $28(9.2) 3$ | $30(2.3) 5$ | $25(4.5) 5$ | $27(1.6) 5$ | $33(3.8) 4$ | 27 (5.8) |
| 0.05 | 21 (4.8)4 | $32(5.8) 9$ | $38(5.2) 10$ | $32(3.2) 5$ | $31(2.7) 10$ | $34(2.8) 5$ | $35(3.7) 4$ | $32 \quad(5.4)$ |
| 0.1 | 27 (1.1)2 | 35 (1.6) 3 | $40(4.1) 4$ | $33(3.7) 4$ | $32(1.6) 4$ | $30(1.5) 5$ | $38(4.8) 3$ | 34 (4.5) |

In table I the mean peak SPV is given for all frequencies in each subject. The mean values for all subjects ( $N=7$ ) are listed in the right hand side of the table, where it can be seen that the gain decreases at low frequencies.

The phase lead of SPV is given in the same way in table II, which shows that it exceeds 90 degrees at the very low frequency of 0.0025 Hz . Such a phase lead could not be demonstrated in the subjects MR, RM and As, so an additional experiment was performed in these subjects, using frequencies of 0.0025 Hz and 0.0010 Hz (see table III). Thus it was poss-
ible to demonstrate the effect of adaptation in all subjects, provided a sufficiently low frequency was used for the stimulus.

Table II. Mean phase of slow phase eye velocity with respect to stimulus velocity, using $50 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ as peak stimulus velocity for all frequencies in 7 subjects. The mean; (standard deviation) are listed.

| Frequency (Hz) | LB | MB | MR | LV | EM | AS | HK | MEAN ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0025 | 101 (10) | 147(8) | $69(10)$ | $109(7)$ | $90(4)$ | 91(4) | 118 ( 白) | 104 (25) |
| 0.005 | $70(4)$ | B5 ( 9) | 77 (6) | $73(7)$ | 83(10) | 82( 3 ) | 93 (10) | $80(8)$ |
| 0.01 | 48(8) | 50( 5) | 52 (8) | $42(7)$ | $50(5)$ | 50(3) | $52(8)$ | $49(3)$ |
| 0.02 | 29 (8) | 27 (5) | 24(5) | 26(5) | $3014)$ | $32(4)$ | 34 (5) | 29 (3) |
| 0.05 | 21(3) | 21( 6 ) | 16(9) | 15(10) | 16(7) | 13(2) | 15(8) | 17(3) |
| 0.1 | 7(4) | 6 ( 4) | 3(13) | 9 (11) | 3 (12) | 9 (6) | 4(9) | 6 ( 3) |

Linearity at low frequencies was investigated by measuring the frequency response of the VOR-system in 3 subjects. The results are presented in fig. 5 : the amplitude ratio and the phase lead appear to be independent of the stimulus amplitude at the low frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz .

Table III. Phase lead of slow phase eye velocity with respect to stimulus velocitys in 3 subjects. Peak stimulus velocity was 100 o for both frequencies.

| Frequency (Hz) | MR | RM | AS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0010 | 100 | 137 | 116 |
| 0.0025 | 82 | 90 | 88 |

Curve fitting of the experimental data
In order to estimate the values of the cupular time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the human Vor-system, points representing the mean values of the gain and the phase for all subjects were superimposed on the Bode plots which were described in chapter IV (Bowmans et al., 198.c).
a. Amplitude characteristic

In fig. 6 the normalized values of the mean peak SPV for all subjects (in table I) are superimposed on the amplitude characteristic of the second order model with an adaptation term. The plot is the same as fig. 3 in chapter IV, where the value of the cupular time constant T 1 has been varied from $10-25 \mathrm{~s}$. The value of the cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ can be estimated from the experimental data in fig. 6 as lying between 15 and 20 seconds.

The amplitude characteristic with a variable value for the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (as in fig. 4 in chapter IV) is however not
suitable for the estimation of $T$ a, as was explained in chapter $I V$, and hence it is here omitted.


Fig. 5a. For legend: see fig. 5b.
b. Phase characteristic

The mean values of the phase lead (re velocity) for all subjects (given in table II) are superimposed on the phase characteristic of the second oxder model with adaptation (fig. 7). The curves plotted are comparable with those in fig. 5 and fig. 6 in chapter IV. According to fig. 7A the value of the cupular $T_{1}$ estimated from the experimental data again lies between 15 and 20 s .

For that reason 17.5 s is chosen as the fixed value for T 1 in fig. 7B. In these phase characteristics the value of the adaptation time constant Ta has been varied from $75-150 \mathrm{~s}$ (compare with fig. 6 in chapter IV, where the fixed value of the cupular time constant $T 1=20 \mathrm{~s}$ ). The value of $T_{a}$ estimated from the experimental data lies between 100 and

125 seconds. Finally the least squares method was used to fit the theoretical phase characteristic to the experimental data on the phase lead in all subjects, giving a cupular time constant $T 1$ of 16.6 s and an adaptation time constant of 114 s (fig. 8).


Fig. 5b.
Fig. 5. For several stimulus amplitudes the mean $S P V(0 / s)$ and the mean phase (deg) of SPV are calculated for stimulus frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz . Bar is $2 x S D$.


Fig. 6. Amplitude charactemistic (re velocity) of the low frequency response of the theoretical transfer function of the VOR-system (see chapter IV, fig 3). Variable value for $T_{1}$; fixed value of $T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$. The normatized mean values of the mean peak SPV for all subjects are inserted in the figure. The estimated value of the cupular $T 1$ lies between 15 and 20 s .

## DISCUSSION

There are several difficulties in the determination of the frequency response of the human VoR-system at low frequencies. First, as it takes a long time to measure the sinusoidal oscillation (the experiment being made even longer by the need to eliminate the initial transient phenomenon), there is the problem of keeping the subject alert. It is known that the gain will to a considerable extent be decreased by drowsiness. Furthemore the gain in any case decreases of its own accord at low frequencies. For these reasons we used greater stimulus amplitudes for the additional investigation of the phase at a frequency of 0.001 kz (table III).


Fig. 7. Phase characteristic (re velocity) of the low freqyency response of the theoretical transfer function of the VOR-system (see chapter IV, fig. 5 and fig. 6).
A. Lower set of curves. Variable value for $T_{1}$; fixed value of $T a=100 \mathrm{~s}$. $B$. Upper set of curves. Vaxiable value for $T_{a}$; fixed value of T1=17.5 s . The mean values of the mean phase lead for all subjects are inserted
in the figure. In (A): the estimated value of the cupular time constant $T 1$ lies between 15 and 20 s . In ( $B$ ): the estimated value of the adaptation time constant $T a$ lies between 100 and 125 s .

Another difficulty is that small values of SPV ( $<3-5 \% / s$ ) are not registered in the interactive analysis program (Huijgen, 1979), which means that the sinewave fitting program will give too large a value for the calculated peak SPV when the experimental values of SPV are low.

The fundamental unsujtability of the amplitude characteristic for the estimation of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ was discussed in the theoretical chapter IV. Furthermore the value of the experimental gain at low frequencies is influenced by variable factors such as drowsiness as mentioned above. Thus it was not feasible to use the amplitude characteristic to estimate the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ of the human VOR-system.


Fig. 8. The points show at each frequency the mean value for all subjects of the mean phase lead (Bar is $2 x S D$ ). The curve shows the phase characteristic, derived from the theoretical transfer function of the VOR-system, which best fits the experimental points. For this curve $T_{1}=16.6 \mathrm{~s}, T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{C}=114 \mathrm{~s}$.

As predicted in chapter IV the phase lead of the human VOR-system becomes more than 90 degrees in the low frequency region. However, the
phase lead in that region differs strongly among the subjects: the mean value of the phase lead at 0.0025 Hz is 69 degrees in subject $M R$, whereas it is 147 degrees in subject MB (table II).

With such great differences between normal subjects it will be difficult to use the frequency response curve of the VOR-system as a means of differentiating between healthy and sick individuals. Linearity of the human VOR-system was also investigated at low frequencies. Even at the very low frequency of 0.005 Hz , where the effect of adaptation has been demonstrated, the system appears to be linear with respect to both the amplitude and the phase (fig. 5). Thus adaptation does not seem to disturb linearity.

## CONCLUSION

The gain of the human vor-system decreases in the low fxequency region, whereas the phase lead becomes more than 90 degrees. The experimental data are in close agreement with the theoretical predictions derived from the second order model of cupular behaviour, when an adaptation mechanism is included. When the experimental data are fitted to the phase characteristic of the transfer function, the cupular time constant $T 1$ is found to be 16.6 s , while the adaptation time constant Ta=114 s.

Linearity with respect to the amplitude and the phase is demonstrated at frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz .
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## CHAPIER VI: RESPONSE OF THE HUMAN VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM TO CONSTANT ANGULAR ACCELERATION

I. THEORETICAL STUDY

ABSTRACT. The response of the human VOR-system to a constant angular acceleration is calculated using a second order model with an adaptation term. After first reaching a maximum the peracceleratory response declines. When the stimulus duration is long the decay is mainly governed by the adaptation time constant Ta, which enables this time constant to be reliably estimated. In the postacceleratory period of constant velocity there is a reversal in response. The magnitude and the time course of the per- and postacceleratory response are calculated for various values of the cupular time constant $T 1$, the adaptation time constant Ta and the stimulus duration, thus enabling their influence to be assessed.

## INTRODUCTION

In previous studies we investigated the response of the human VOR-systern to impulsive (Bownans et $a Z ., 198 . a$ and $b$, chapters II and III) and to sinusoidal (Boumans et $a Z ., 198 . c$ and $d$, chapters IV and V) stimulation. The experimental data appeared to be consistent with the theoretical prediction that the response of the VOR-system is influenced by both the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant Ta. Due to their interaction a quantitative investigation of the adaptation mechanism proved difficult.

In the case of a prolonged constant angular accelexation the deflection of the cupula will follow an exponential time course, reaching a constant angular displacement after a period of about 4-5 times the cupular time constant T1. From that point, the response of the Vor-sys tem will be influenced by the adapatation mechanism alone. The use of a constant acceleration for the stimulus therefore appears to be a suitable method of investigating the adaptation mechanism. However, the literature shows that in the few cases where this method has been employed there is great variety both in the parameters considered and in the final results. A survey of the data found in the literature will be given in the following chapter (Bowmans et al., 198.f, chapter VII). Here we shall study the response of the mathematical model of the VORsystem to a constant input and investigate which parameters can be used to estimate the time constants of the system. The reader is referred to the APPENDIX for a comprehensive description of the derivation of the equations used.

## METHOD AND RESULTS

Steinhausen (1933) put forward the torsion pendulum model of the semicircular canals, where the relation between the cupular displacement and the input acceleration is given by a second order diffexential equation.

The response of the system to a step input is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}\left(1-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}+\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) \text { for } t>0 \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$


$\mathrm{T}_{1}=$ long time constant of cupular restoration;
$\mathrm{T}_{2}=$ short time constant $\approx 0.01 \mathrm{~s}$.


Fig. 1. Dotted line: time course of the cupular displacement $\theta_{c}$, according to the second order model; constont angular acceleration during the period of time $\Delta t$. Solid line: time course of the VOR-response, according to the second order model with adoptation; constant angular acceleration during the period of time $\Delta t$. Amaxl is the peracceleratory response maximum, reached at the time $t$ Amax1; Aa is the response value at the end of the stimulus period ( $\Delta t$ ). Amax 2 is the response minimom, reached at the time $t$ Amaxi duining the period of constant velocity. $t_{0}$ is the time at which response reversal occurs. T63=Tr: time constant of the peracceleratory response rise. T37=Tf: time constant of the postacceleratomy response fall.

According to this model the time course of the angular deflection of the cupula after the onset of a constant acceleration increases exponentially to reach a maximum after a period of 4-5 times the cupular time constant $T_{1}$; it then remains constant at a level determined by the product $T 1 \times T 2$ and by the stimulus amplitude. When the acceleration ceases and the velocity again becomes constant, the cupula will recover its resting position because of its elastic restoring force. In fig. 1 the dotted line represents the cupular behaviour given by equation (1). If the vestibular response (sensation and nystagmus) reflects cupular displacement, then the response should reach a maximum, then remain constant. During the period of constant velocity that follows, the vestibular response should decline to zero in a similar way. However, it is well known that during prolonged stimulation the peracceleratory
response may gradually decline after reaching a maximum, and that a reversal in response often appears during the following period of constant velocity. An example of the time course of the vestibular response is given in fig. 1 (solid line).

The deviation of the vestibular response from the second order behaviour has lead to the assumption that there is an adaptation mechanism. Its transfer function ( Ha ) has been derived by Young and Oman
(1969) and Malcolm and Melvill Jones (1970); in Laplace notation it is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{~s})}{\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{\mathrm{Ta}}{\mathrm{Ta} \mathrm{~s}+1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\theta}_{e}$ mangulax eye velocity. The gain of the adaptation mechanism is assumed to be unity.

Combining the second order model with the adaptation mechanism, the over-all transfer function of the VOR-system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a} s}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{\theta}_{h}=a n g u l a r$ head acceleration.
The response of this function to a velocity ramp (constant angular acceleration) with a duration $\Delta t$ will be, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } t=<0 \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}
\end{align*} \quad\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{a-T_{1}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right)} \begin{array}{rl} 
& \left.+\left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right)\left(1-e^{-t / T_{a}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { for } 0<t<\Delta t
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the postacceleratory period of constant angular velocity, the response is :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{1}}-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)\right. \\
&-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{2}}-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
&\left.+\left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right)\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{a}} e^{-t / T_{a}}\right)\right\} \text { for } t>\Delta t
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (4) and (5) have been programmed and multiplied by various acceleration amplitudes. The values of the cupular $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and of $T_{a}$ are chosen as $20,0.01$ and 100 s respectively, which are close to the values found in the literature. The response curves, simulating the slow phase eye velocity (SPV), are shown in fig. 2. In this example the peracceleratory response reaches a maximum (Amaxi) at 40 s , after which it declines. The value (Aa) of the response at the end of the acceleration period ( $\Delta t$ ) is $46 \%$ of Amax1. In the postacceleratory period the response rapidly declines and crosses the zero level 7.5 s after the end of the acceleration. Subsequently a secondary response appears, reaching its minimum value (Amax2) 47 seconds aftex the end of the acceleration. Then the response gradually returns to zero.


SECONDS
Fig. 2. Response of the second order model with adoptation to a constant acceleration of various amplitudes, lasting 140 s . Amplitude factor: 1, 2 and 4. Cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$.


SECONDS
Fig. 3. Response, for various values of $T_{1}$, of the second order model with adaptation to a constant acceleration lasting 140 s . Amplitude factox: 1. Cupular $T_{1}=15-20-25 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$.


## SECONDS

Fig. 4. Response, for various values of $T$, of the second order model with adoptation to a constant acceleration lasting 140 s . Amplitude factor: 1. Cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} ; T 2=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{C}=50-100-150 \mathrm{~s}$.


Fig. 5. Response, after various stimulus durations, of the second order model with adaptation after a period of constant acceleration. Preceding stimulus duration: 70-140-280 s. Amplitude factor: 1. Cupular $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} ; T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$.

In fig. 3 equations (4) and (5) have been plotted for values of the cupular time constant $T 1$ ranging from 15 to 25 seconds. The amplitude factor is unity. A higher value of T1 results in an increase in the values of Amax1, Aa and Amax2, and increases the times of $t$ Amax1, to and $t$ Amax2.

In fig. 4 the adaptation time constant $T a$ has been varied. The influence on the parameters is qualitatively the same as described for T1, except for Amax2, which takes a lower value when $T_{a}$ is increased. It can be seen that for the values of $T 1$ and $T a$ given in fig. 3 and fig. 4, a change in the value of Ta has a greater effect on the value of Aa , and thus on tor than a change in the value of $T 1$.

In fig. 5 it is the duration of the preceding acceleration period which is varied, from 70 to 280 s . The postacceleratory response curves are plotted. The longer the preceding acceleration period, the shorter is the time ( $t_{0}$ ) required for the response to reverse direction, the larger is the secondary response (Amax2) and the earlier in the recovery period that the maximum of the reversed response (t Amax2) occurs.

Table I. Calculated values of vamious parameters of the response of the second order model with an adaptation term to a constant input. The values of $T_{1}, T_{a}$ and stimulus duration are varied.

| Time constants (s) inserted in eq. (4) and (5) | Stimutus duration | $A_{\max 1}+\underset{(s)}{A_{m a x} 1}$ | Aa \% of Amat 1 | to ${ }^{\prime}$ a' | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Am:ax2 } & \% \\ \text { (abaot.) of } \\ \text { Amax1 } \end{array}$ | $t$ Amax 2 (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{T}_{2}=0.01 \\ \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \end{array}\right\} \mathrm{T}_{1}=\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 20 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 140 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 107 & 35 \\ \cdot 134 & 40 \\ \cdot 157 & 46 \end{array}$ | .044 $(41)$ <br> .061 $(46)$ <br> .081 $(52)$ | 5.5 7.5 9.5 | .077 $(72)$ <br> .094 $(70)$ <br> .108 $(69)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \theta \\ & 47 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 140 \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rl} 109 & 31 \\ \cdot & 134 \\ \cdot & 40 \\ .147 & 47 \end{array}$ | .020 $(18)$ <br> .061 $(46)$ <br> .091 $(62)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 7.5 \\ 11.5 \end{array}$ | .098 $(90)$ <br> .094 $(70)$ <br> .083 $(56)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 47 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \\ \mathrm{~T}_{2}=0.01\end{array}\right\} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \quad\{$ | 70 140 280 | \}. 13440 | .117 $(87)$ <br> .061 $(46)$ <br> .015 $(11)$ | 16.5 7.5 1.5 | .057 $(43)$ <br> .094 $(70)$ <br> .124 $(93)$ | 57 47 42 |

In table I the calculated values of the various parameters are summarized. The values of to and $t$ Amax 2 are given with respect to $\Delta t$. The value ( $A a$ ) of the response at the end of the stimulus period ( $\Delta t$ ) is determined by the values of $T 1$ and $T_{a}$. The value of Aa is also influenced by the stimulus amplitude factor and by the stimulus duration. In the table $A a$ is calculated for various values of $T 1$, $T a$ and stimulus duration holding the stimulus amplitude factor equal to unity.

Furthermore the value of Aa is given as a percentage of the corresponding value of Amax1. The decline from Amax1 to Aa is more pronounced when the value of either $T 1$ or $T_{a}$ is low, and when the stimulus duration is long.

As can be seen in fig. 1 the maximum response of the VOR-system is lower than the final amplitude of the cupular displacement. When the stimulus amplitude is unity this cupular displacement is equal to the product of the time constants $T 1$ and $T 2$. In table II the maximum response (Amax1) is presented as a percentage of the final amplitude

Table II. Comparison of the maximum response of the second order model, with and without an adaptation mechanism, to a constant input. The values of $T_{1}$ and $T_{a}$ are varied.

| Second order modez equation (1) | cupulace displacement | sec.onder model + adapt.mechan. equation (4) | Amas 1 | \% of cuputar displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}\right\} \begin{array}{r}15 \mathrm{~s} \\ \mathrm{~T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s} \\ 25 \mathrm{~s}\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.150 \\ & 0.200 \\ & 0.250 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.107 0.134 0.157 | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 67 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ |
| $T 2=0.01 \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ | 0.200 | 50 s $\mathrm{Ta}+100 \mathrm{~s}$ 150 s | $\begin{aligned} & 0.109 \\ & 0.134 \\ & 0.147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \\ & 67 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ |

of the cupular displacement. The effect of adaptation appears to be greater when the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ has a high value or when the value of the adaptation time constant is low.

Table III. Value of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}(s)$, estimated from the response dectine of the second order model with adaptation, plotted on log-linear graph paper (decay method).

| Inserted in eq. (4) | Stimulus duration (s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70 | 105 | 140 | 280 |
| T2 $=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$, 15 s | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=128$ | 115 | 102 | 101 |
| $\left.\mathrm{T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}\right\} \quad \mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ | 190 | 128 | 107 | 99 |
|  | ? | 156 | 119 | 100 |
| T1 $=20$ s 50 s | 80 | 61 | 52 | 50 |
|  | 190 | 128 | 107 | 99 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s} \quad 150 \mathrm{~s}$ | ? | 196 | 158 | 148 |

When the cupular deflection has achieved its final constant displacement, the response of the VOR-system will be influenced by the adaptation mechanism alone. By plotting the VOR-xesponse on log-linear graph paper the adaptation time constant can be estimated from the decline of the peraccelexatory response from Amax to Aa. An example is shown in fig. 6. In table III the estimated value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is given for various values of $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and stimulus duration. When the stimulus duration is too short, the estimated value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ tends to be much too high, especially when the value of $T 1$ is high.

Finally the time constants of the peracceleratory response rise ( $\mathrm{Tr}_{r}$ ) and of the postacceleratory response fall ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) are calculated. As indicated in fig. 1 the value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{r}}$ is calculated by determining the point at which the peracceleratory response has risen to $63 \%$ of the value of Amaxi. In order to calculate Tf the value of $A$ and the absolute value of Amax2 are added together and the point is determined at which the response during the postacceleratory period has fallen by $63 \%$ of the sum. In table IV the values of $T_{r}$ and $T_{f}$ are given for various values of $T 1, T_{a}$ and stimulus duration. The value of $T_{r}$ expressed as a percentage of $T_{1}$ is lower, either when the cupular time constant $T_{1}$ is long or when Ta is short. The same is also true of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$. With a stimu-
lus duration of 140 s the value of $\mathrm{T}_{r}$ is less than that of Tf. Table IV also shows that the longer the preceding acceleration period, the lower is the value of Tf.

Table IV. Calculated value of the time constant of the response rise ( $T_{r}$ ) and fall ( $T_{f}$ ) of the second order model with adapatation.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inserted in } \\ & \text { equation (4) and (5) } \end{aligned}$ | Stimulus Duration | $\mathrm{T}_{\Upsilon}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { cup.T1 } \end{gathered}$ | Tf | \% of cup.T1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2=0.01 \mathrm{~s} \quad 15 \mathrm{~s}$ | 140 s | 9.6 | 64 | 10.5 | 70 |
|  | 140 s | 11.8 | 59 | 13.2 | 66 |
| $\underline{\mathrm{a}} 100 \mathrm{~s}$ | 140 s | 13.9 | 56 | 15.8 | 63 |
| 20 s - 150 s | 140 s | 9.4 | 47 | 9.9 | 50 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}T 1=20.015\end{array}\right\} \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$ | 140 s | 11.8 | 59 | 13.2 | 66 |
| $\underline{12=0.015} \quad 150 \mathrm{~s}$ | 140 s | 13.2 | 66 | 15.1 | 76 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ll}T 1=20 \\ T 2=0.01 & s\end{array}\right\} \quad T_{a}=100 \mathrm{~s}$ | 70 s |  |  | 14.7 | 74 |
|  | 140 s | $\} 11.8$ | 59 | 13.2 | 66 |
|  | 280 s |  |  | 12.2 | 61 |



Fig. 6. Same as fig. 2, plotied on log-inear graph paper. The value of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$, estimated by the decay method, is 107 s .

## DISCUSSION

The maximum permissible stimulus velocity limits the duration and amplitude of constant acceleration in the experimental procedure. As can be deduced from the theoretical calculations, the stimulus duration should be as long as possible, about 140 s , since the value (Aa) of the response at the end of the stimulus period is then sufficientily low to be distinguished from the maximum peracceleratory response (Amax1). A long stimulus duration also means that the adaptation time constant $T a$ can be reliably calculated, while the secondary response becomes large enough to be distinguished from the zero response level.

The stimulus amplitude, on the other hand, should be as high as possible with respect to both the stimulus duration and the maximal permissible stimulus velocity. In fig. 2 the peracceleratory response decline appears to be more pronounded when the stimulus amplitude is large, whereas in fact the relative decline is the same for all three curves. However, it is easier to calculate the value of Ta from the upper curve. A remark must be made about the dependence of the maximum peracceleratory response on the value of the cupular $T 1:$ because of the gain factors of the exponential powexs, Amaxi becomes larger when a higher value of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is inserted in equation (4). The magnitude of the secondary response behaves in a similar way.

In the postacceleratory period of constant velocity a higher value of Ta causes a reduction in the absolute value of Amax 2 and prolonges the duration $t$ Amax2. However the value of Amax2, and especially that of $t$ Amax2, are usually difficult to estimate undex experimental conditions and so cannot be regarded as reliable parameters.

The same interaction of $T 1$ and $T a$ is found in the calculation of the values of $T_{r}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ as can be found in the calculation of the response $T_{1}$ from an impulse response when using the cupulometric and decay methods (Boumans et al., 198.a and b, chapter II and III). Thus these parameters cannot be used reliably to calculate the cupular T1 or the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ from the Vor-response to constant acceleration. The adaptation time constant $T$ is calculated by plotting the peracceleratory response decline on log-linear graph paper. This method is only reliable when the stimulus duration is 140 s or more. In the next chapter (Boumans et al., 198.f, chapter VII) we examine the literature to see which parameters have been used in the evaluation of the VOR-response during and after a period of constant angular acceleration. Furthermore the results of a pilot investigation in a small group of healthy subjects are reported.

## CONCLUSION

With the addition of an adaptation term to the second order model of cupular behaviour the response of the VOR-system to a sufficiently long period of constant angular acceleration reaches a maximum followed by a decline, whereas in the post-acceleratory period a reversal in response occurs.

The time course of the response can be described by the values of the parameters Amax1, Aa, Amax2, $t$ Amax1, $t_{0}$ and $t$ Amax2. These parameters are partially determined by the values of both the cupular T1 and the adaptation time constant Ta .

When a constant angular acceleration with a duration of 140 s or more is used, the value of $T a$ can be estimated from the peracceleratory response decline and appears to be almost independent of the value of the cupular Ti. Such independent estimation of Ta is not possible with impulsive or sinusoidal stimulation.

Unless the duration of the stimulus is very long the reversed response has a low value and $t$ Amax 2 is difficult to estimate. For this reason the paxameters Amax2 and $t$ Amax2 are of little help in evaluating the VOR-response. The determination of the time to at which the response reversal occurs seems to have more practical value. The values of the time constant of the response rise (Tr) and of the fall ( $T f$ ) are largely determined by the interaction of the cupular $T_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$, as was also the case for the impulsive stimulation of the system. Conversely the values of $T 1$ and of Ta cannot reliably be determined from these parameters.
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CHAPTER VII: RESPONSE OF THE HUMAN VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM TO CONSTANT ANGULAR ACCELERATION

## II.EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

ABSTRACT. The VOR-response to a constant angular acceleration was investigated in a small group of subjects by varying the stimulus amplitude and the stimulus duration. Various parameters of the response were determined and compared with the results of the theoretical study (Bowmans et al., 198.e, chapter VI). This form of stimulation yields a rather high value for the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$, which perhaps indicates that there is an adaptation gain of less than unity. This supposition is supported by finding of non-linearity within the VORsystem at high stimulus amplitudes.

## INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the vestibular response to a prolonged constant angular acceleration may gradually decline after reaching a maximum value, due to an adaptation mechanism.

This adaptation phenomenon has been demonstrated for the vestibular sensation, by, among others, Ek et al. (1960) and Guedry(1974). In animal experiments adaptation has been demonstrated within Scarpa's ganglion in primates (Goldberg and Femandez, 1971), in the posterior ampullary nerve of the frog (Taglietti et al., 1977) and in the second order neurons of the cat (Babin et al., 1980).

In the case of the human vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) the experimental results obtained during and aftex constant angular acceleration of a subject around the vextical axis differ from each other, as can be seen from a survey of VOR data found in the literature.

Aschan and Bergstedt (1955) found that the occurrence of secondary nystagmus was dependent on the duration of the primary nystagmus that had been induced.

Ek et al. (1960) merely describe the VOR-response to a constant acceleration and do not give expeximental data.

Guedry and Lauver (1961) investigated, in 6 healthy subjects, the peracceleratory nystagmus during constant accelerations of 2 and $1.50 / s^{2}$ lasting for 45 and 60 s respectively. The maximum pexacceleratory response (Amax1) occurred at about 30 s . With the acceleration stimulus of $20 / s^{2}$, Amax1 amounted to $14-150 / \mathrm{s}$ slow phase eye velocity (SPV); for $1.5 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s} 2$ it was $11.5-12.5 \% / \mathrm{s}$ SPV. After that little or no decline in response was observed. After-nystagmus was not mentioned.
collins and Guedry (1962) repeated the previous expeximents while keeping the subjects alert by arithmetical tasks. During mentally active states no decline of nystagmus was evident. The value of Amaxl was found to be slightly higher: $8-10 \% / s \mathrm{SPV}$ for a stimulus of $1 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s} 2$, and $17.5-$ $22 \% / s$ SPV for $1.8 \% / s^{2}$.

Later a higher stimulus value of $40 / s^{2}$ was used (Collins and Guedry; Guedry and Collins, 1967). No peracceleratory decline was found when the stimulus duration was varied between 1 and 36 s . After the stimulus duration of 36 s nystagmus reversal was observed. They also
observed a steeper decay in the postacceleratory period when the preceding stimulus duration was long and they mentioned this as circumstantial evidence for a "secondary process".

Brown and Wolfe (1969) investigated the VOR in 15 alert subjects. As a stimulus they used constant accelerations of $3-240 / s^{2}$ lasting for $88-10$ seconds. With stimulus durations of 88 and 53 s Amax1 occured at 35 s and was followed by a decline in the peracceleratory response. In a further investigation in 10 subjects the moment at which Amax1 was reached (t Amax1) was found to be independent of the stimulus amplitude. The phenomenon of nystagmus reversal is only mentioned in passing.

Young and Oman (1969) and MaZcolm and Melvill Jones (1970) have given the mathematical model of the adaptation mechanism. In the latter paper 8 subjects were tested, using a constant acceleration of $4.50 / \mathrm{s} 2$ lasting for 120 s . The result of one measurement is shown: Amax1 amounted to about $40 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{SPV}$, whereas the secondary response maximum (Amax2) was about $20 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{SPV}$. They compared the experimental responses with those derived from a theoretical model of the vor-system, and determined quantitatively the time constants which describe the time course of the response to a constant angular acceleration. The mean time constant of the adaptation mechanism (Ta) was found to be $82 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{E} . \pm 6.5 \mathrm{~s})$, and the mean cupular time constant $T 1$ was 21 s (S.E. $\pm 1.5 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

McClure et al. $(1973,1976)$ determined the cumulative $S p$ eye displacement during and after a constant angular acceleration of $30 / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Quantitative data cannot be dexived from these papers.

Later they released two figures of the horizontal nystagmus response in normal subjects (McClure et al.; 1978): in one a distinct decline, in another no decline in peracceleratory response is shown.

Koenig et $a l$. (1978) used acceleration stimuli of $3-12 \% / \mathrm{s} 2$. The stimulus duration as only 10 s . They calculated the time constant of the postacceleratory decline in response and found its mean value to be 15.2 s ( $\mathrm{SD}=7.2 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

Finally, Book et aZ. (1979) investigated 5 subjects by using a $2.5 \% / s 2$ acceleration for 160 s . The results of two measurements were published, where the mathematical model, derived by Young and Oman, was fitted to the experimental data. In the discussion a value of 70 m 130 s was suggested for the adaptation time constant Ta .

Conclusion: Although a prolonged constant acceleration should in theory be a superior form of stimulation for investigating of the adapm tation mechanism in the VOR-system, the results from the expeximental. data in the literature are incomplete and far from unanimous. From these data it appears that:

- The maximum peracceleratory response value, if present, is reached at about 35 s after the onset of the acceleration. The maximum value of SPV (expressed in $0 / 5$ ) amounts to about 10 times the value of the acceleration used (expressed in o/s2).
- A peracceleratory decline in response is not always observed. According to Guedry this decline does not occur in alert subjects.
.- Only Malcolm and Melvizl Jones have published data on the secondary response. This phenomenon is often not even mentioned in the literature.
- Malcolm and Melvill Jones found a fairly constant value for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in contrast with the findings of Bock et al.. Other investigators
do not give any value for the adaptation time constant.

Because of the limited data in the literature, we expected to encounter some experimental difficulties and therefore started a modest pilot investigation of the VOR-response to constant acceleration using only a few subjects. The purpose of this study was to discover reliable parameters to be used in the evaluation of the per- and postacceleratory VoR-response. Special attention was paid to the peracceleratory response decline, and to the moment of nystagmus reversal in the postacceleratory period. The experimental data so obtained are reported here and interpreted by means of the previous theoretical study (Boumans et al., 198.e, chapter VI).

## METHOD

## a. Stimilus and experimental procedure

The stimulus was a period of constant acceleration, in either a clockwise (CW) or a counter clockwise (CCW) direction. The stimulus was induced by a rotation room (Teerhuis et al., 1979) that rotated about a vertical axis. The subject was seated in a chair in such a way that his vertex was at the centre of rotation. All tests were done in complete darkness but the subject had his eyes open. He was kept alert by carrying out exercises in mental arithmetic during the trials.

The stimulus was centred on a condition of zero velocity and maximum room velocity was $150 \% / s$. The postacceleratory period of constant velocity lasted 5 minutes.

In one series of measurements, the stimulus amplitude was held constant at $2.150 / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, with a duration varying from $70-140 \mathrm{~s}$. The responses of 2 subjects were measured several times and an additional 4 subjects were investigated for a stimulus duration of 140 s .

In a second series of measurements, the amplitude was varied from $1-2.15-4.30 / s^{2}$ and the stimulus duration ranged from 290-70 s. In this series measurements were made on 3 subjects.

## b. Recording and data processing

The horizontal nystagmus response was recorded using standard DC-electronystagmographic techniques. A technical description has been given elsewhere (Bowmans et al., 198.d, chapter V). Data processing of all recordings was done by hand. Slow phase eye velocity (SPV) was plotted, and the peracceleratory response maximum (Amaxi) and the time at which Amax1 was reached (t Amax1) were determined. The value (Aa) of the response at the end of the acceleration period, the time at which the postacceleratory response reversed direction ( $t_{0}$ ) and the secondary response maximum (Amax2) during the period of constant velocity were all recorded. Finally the time constants of both the peracceleratory response rise $\left(T_{r}\right)$ and the postacceleratory response fall ( $T f$ ) were calculated.

## RESULTS

In the first series a stimulus amplitude of $2.15 \circ / s^{2}$ was used. The results from the two subjects investigated are summarized in table $I$. The data for $C W$ and $C C W$ rotation have been amalgamated, because they
showed no systematic difference.
Table I. Mean values of vamious parameters of the VOR-response in two subjects. Stimulus: constant angular acceleration of $2.15 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. Amax1, Aa and Amax2 in o/s SPV. Time in seconds.

| Subject | StimuZus <br> duration | Amax1 | $t$ Amax1 | Aa | to | Amax2 | $T_{2}$ | $T_{f}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS | 70 s | 16.3 | 34.5 | 10.9 | 17.0 | 4.9 | 13.5 | 16.3 | 12 |
|  | 105 s | 14.3 | 38.1 | 8.6 | 11.6 | 6.9 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 8 |
|  | 140 s | 15.4 | 34.1 | 7.1 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 12 |
|  | Mean | 15.3 | 35.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
|  | S.D. | 2.2 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RM | 70 s | 14.5 | 38.5 | 8.5 | 19.5 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 2 |
|  | 105 s | 14.0 | 37.0 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 2 |
|  | 140 s | 14.6 | 39.0 | 10.1 | 16.4 | 3.6 | 16.2 | 13.7 | 6 |
|  | Mean | 14.4 | 38.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | S.D. | 2.5 | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In subject HS Amax1 has a mean value of $15.3 \% / s$ SPV which is reached after 35.3 s . When the stimulus duration is prolonged the mean peracceleratory response declines to $10.9 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s}$ at 70 s and to $7.1 \% / s$ at 140 s . By plotting the mean Aa-values on log-linear paper the time constant of the peracceleratory decay (adaptation $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) is estimated to be 151 s for this subject.


Fig. 1. Experimental data from a single test in subject $H S$, plotted, and fitted with the theoretically derived curve by the least squares method. Stimulus complitude: $2.15 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$; stimulus duration 138 s .

In fig. 1 the experimental data for a single test with the stimulus duration of 138 s are fitted, by the least squares method, to equations (4) and (5) of the second order model with adaptation, as outlined in chapter VI (Bormans et al., 198.e). This example shows that the cupular
$T_{1}$ is 20 s , and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is 102 s .
In fig. 2.a the mean values of Amax1, $t$ Amax1, $t_{0}$ and Amax2 for the stimulus duration of 140 s , and the mean values of Aa at 70-105-140 s (see table I), are fitted to the theoretical curve, which was derived by means of the leastsquares method. The value of the cupular $T 1$ is found to be 18 s and that of Ta to be 132 s .


Fig. 2. a Subject $H S$. The mean response values, obtained from the Vor-responses during and after a constant angular acceleration of $2.150 / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, plotted, and fitted with the equations (4) and(5) of the second order model with adaptation (see Boumans et al., 198.e) by the least squares method.
$b$ Subject RM. Same procedure as in fig. 2.a. The mean values of $A a$ at 70 s and 105 s (表) are not used in the fitting progrom.

A reversal in response is observed in all measurings. The moment at which the response changes its direction is dependent on the duration of the preceding stimulus. The value of Amax2 is of the same order of magnitude as the value of the peracceleratory response decline. The moment of $t$ Amax2 when the postacceleratory maximum occurred could not
be reliably determined.
The time constant calculated from the peracceleratory response rise ( $T_{r}$ ) and the postaccelexatory response fall ( $T_{f}$ ) are shown on the right hand side of table I. The method is the same as described in the previous chapter (Bownans et al., 198.e, chapter VI).

The number of measurements on subject RM is fewer than on the previous subject HS (table I). The mean value of Amax1 is found to be $14.40 / \mathrm{s}$ SPV at 38.7 s . The mean value (Aa) of the peracceleratory response at the end of a stimulus period of 140 s is higher than after a stimulus period of 70 s or 105 s . A similar phenomenon was observed in a peracceleratory response to a single stimulus lasting 140 s. For this reason the value of $T a$ could not be calculated from the decline of the mean response data in this subject.

In fig. 2.b the theoretical curve is fitted to the mean values of Amax1, $t$ Amax1, $A a$, $t_{0}$ and Amax2, measured with a stimulus of duration of 140 s . The mean values of Aa at 70 s and 105 s are inserted with an asterisk in the figure, but are not used in the curve fitting procedure. The cupular $T_{1}$ in this subject is found to be 16 s , while $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is 314 s .

The values of Amax2 are low in comparison with the peracceleratory decline in response. The values of $T f$ are found to be less than those of Tr (Table I).

In another 4 subjects the peracceleratory parameters have been calculated from a series of tests with a stimulus of constant amplitude $2.15 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ and of duration 140 s , for both CW and CCW rotation.

Combining the mean values of the subjects HS and RM with these results the mean value of Amaxi for all 6 subjects is found to be 19.8 ( $S D=7.3$ ) $0 / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{SPV}$ at $34.6 \mathrm{~s}(S D=8.4 \mathrm{~s})$. The mean value of Aa is $9.2(S D=6.6) \% / s \mathrm{SPV}$ for all 6 subjects together.

In the second series of measurements stimulus amplitudes were $1,2.15$ and $4.30 / s^{2}$. The results for three subjects are presented in table II and fig. 3.

Table II. Mean vatues of various parameters of the Vor~response in three subjects. Viriable stimulus amplitude. Amaxl in $0 / \mathrm{s} S P V$; t Amaxl in seconds.

| Subject | acc. $\left.10 / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | Stimulus <br> duration | Amax 1 | S.D. | $t$ Amax 1 | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HS | 1.0 | 290 s | 7.2 | 2.4 | -- | 2 |
|  | 2.15 | 140 s | 15.4 | 2.2 | 35 | 12 |
|  | 4.3 | 70 s | 36.6 | 5.3 | 33 | 5 |
| RM | 1.0 | 290 s | 7.3 | 1.8 | -- | 6 |
|  | 2.15 | 140 s | 14.6 | 2.5 | 39 | 6 |
|  | 4.3 | 70 s | 31.8 | 5.3 | 32 | 6 |
| MR | 1.0 | 290 s | 18.4 | 3.8 | - | 9 |
|  | 2.15 | 140 s | 32.4 | 4.4 | 39 | 8 |
|  | 4.3 | 70 s | 57.0 | 7.1 | 34 | 4 |



Fig. 3. Mean values of the peracceleratory VOR-response maximum ( $0 / \mathrm{s}$ SPV) for 3 stimulus armplitudes ( $0 / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ ), in 3 subjects (see table II). Bar represents $2 x S D$.

Since the value of $t$ Amaxl seemed to be smaller where a higher stimulus amplitude was used an additional experiment was carried out on the subjects RM and MR, using an acceleration of $9.40 / s^{2}$ lasting for 32 s . The time $t$ Amax1 was found to be 25 s and 20 s for RM and $M R$ respectively; the values of Amax1 were found to be 68 and $890 / \mathrm{s}$ SPV. In another subject (LB) the value of $t$ Amax1 changed from 40-26-14 s with the stimulus amplitudes of $2.15,4.7$ and $9.4 \% / s^{2}$ respectively; the values of Amax1 were 7, 25 and $35 \% / s$ SPV.

For a long stimulus of duration 290 s and amplitude $1 \circ / \mathrm{s} 2$, the peracceleratory response declined to the zero velocity level in many of the measuicements. Subsequently during the same period of acceleration the response sometimes reappeared. A peracceleratory response reversal was never observed.

## DISCUSSION

In the subject HS the response of the VOR-system to a constant angular acceleration appears to be consistent with the theoretical response, calculated in the previous chapter VI (Bowmans et al., 190.e). Ifter reaching a maximum value at about 35 seconds the peracceleratory cesponse declines. When the stimulus ceases after a duration of 140 s the value of Aa amounts to $46 \%$ of Amax1.

According to the theoretical calculations (Boumans et al., 198.e, chapter VI, table I), the value of the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ could lie between 100 s and 150 s , assuming a cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ of $15-20 \mathrm{~s}$. The value of Ta, calculated from the mean values of Aa that are registered at the end of the stimuli of various durations, is found to be 151 s . The fit for a single measurement (fig. 1) gives a value for Ta of 102 s .

Assuming a value for T 1 of 20 s and for Ta of $100-150 \mathrm{~s}$, and a stimulus duration of 140 s , the time of the response reversal ( $t_{0}$ ), calculated in the theoretical model with adaptation, is $7.5-11.5 \mathrm{~s}$. The mean value of $t_{0}$ in subject $H S$ is 9.7 s for a stimulus of this duration.

The mean value of Amax2 (7.6 $0 / \mathrm{s}$ SPV) amounts to $49 \%$ of Amax $1(15.4 \% / \mathrm{s}$ $S P V)$. In the theoretical model ( $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100-150 \mathrm{~s}$ ) the value of Amax2 is 70-56\% of the value of Amax1.

Summary: From the mean values of the various parameters of the per- and postacceleratory VOR-response in subject HS the value of the cupular $T 1$ is calculated to be 18 s , and that of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ to be 132 s (fig. 2.a). The experimental value of $t_{0}$ lies very close to that given by the theoretical curve. The absolute value of Amax2 is low not only in comparison with the value suggested by the theoretical calculationsbut also with the values found experimentally for Amaxl and Aa.

In the subject RM there is a notable difference from the theoretical decline of the response: after reaching the maximum value the peracceleratory response declines to a level where it seems to remain constant, suggesting that the gain of the adaptation mechanism is less than unity. For this subject the value of Ta determined from the response to sinusoidal oscillation was remarkebly high, being 230 s (Boumans et al., 198.d, chapter V). This high value of $T a$ explains to some extent the high value of Aa after a stimulus of duration 140 s (fig. 2.b). In an additional experiment with a constant acceleration of $10 / s 2$ lasting for 290 s the subject RM showed a response decline from $7 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ (Amax1) to $2 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ (Aa) suggesting that the adaptation gain is, after all, equal to unity. However, for these long test stimulations a lack of alertness may be an important reason for the decline in response.

In this subject to is rather long, but this is consistent with the high value determined for $T$. The mean value of Amax2 amounts only to $25 \%$ of the mean value of Amax1. The last two results appear to be in contradiction with the relatively low value found for Tf (see table I). This shows that the time constant of the postacceleratory decline in response is not a reliable parameter on which to base an evaluation of the VOR-response. On the contrary, a low value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ suggests a steep postacceleratory decline, whereas, in fact, the postacceleratory response course is characterised by a relative slow and flat decay in this subject RM as in other subjects.

The low value of $T_{f}$ appears to be related to an initial fast postacceleratory decline, after which the curve becomes flat in the region of the zero response level.

Summary: In subject RM the initial rapid decline in peracceleratory response cannot be accounted for by the theoretical model used in the previous chapter (Bormans et al., 198.e, chapter VI): it is thus impossible to calculate $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ from the mean values of Aa for stimuli of various durations. The value of Amax 2 in this subject is lower than would be expected from the theory.

It is interesting to note that the value of $t$ Amaxi becomes less when higher stimulus amplitudes are used. In a pure linear system this time should be constant. Up to a stimulus amplitude of $4.30 / \mathrm{s} 2$ the system was very close to linear (fig. 3), although the value of $t$ Amax1 was already becoming slightly less. With a stimulus amplitude of $9.40 / s 2$ there was a distinct decrease in the value of $t$ Amaxi for all 3 subjects.

For the explanation of this reduction in $t$ Amaxl the following possibilities were considered:
(1) Saturation of SPV does not seem to play any part in reducing $t$ Amax1, as is shown by the corresponding values of Amax1.
(2) The reduction in $t$ Amax 1 could also be caused by the cupula becoming locked at a certain degree of angular deflection. In table II of the previous chapter (Boumans et al., 198.e, chapter VI) the theoretical relation between the cupular displacement and the maximum VORresponse has been calculated for various values of $T 1$ and $T_{a}$. In the case of a constant input the maximum value of the VORmresponse is indeed rathex low in comparison with the corresponding cupular displacement, due to the influence of the adaptation term. However, locked cupular displacement does not seem a very plausible assumption due to the fact that Amax1 increases steadily with stimulus amplitude, up to $9.40 / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
(3) Finally the gain of the adaptation mechanism should also be taken into account. In theoretical studies this factor is usually taken as unity. However, Goldberg and Fernandez (1971) found that while many neuxons showed clear evidence of adaptation, there where other neurons which did not adapt. As noted by Bock et al. (1979): "Taglietti et al. (1977) showed that greater vestibular stimuli lead to recruitment of more adapting fibres, so adaptation can be expected to be more prominent if greater stimuli are used. The dependence of adaptation on stimulus strength should therefore be investigated more thoroughly". Thus, the gain of the adaptation mechanism could be expected to be less than unity when slow stimulus amplitudes are used. Conversely, during a very prolonged constant angular the peracceleratory Vor-xesponse tends to decline to the zero response level, as is evidenced even in subject RM. This suggests an adaptation gain equal to unity.

Summary: The VOR-system shows non-linearity when the response to a constant angular acceleration is tested with a stimulus of high amplitude. The gain of the adaptation mechanism appears to vary with the stimulus amplitude. The fact that the gain is low when the stimulus amplitude is small accounts for the relatively high values of T a found in our experiments. This phenomenon could be of clinical importance, in cases where a damaged peripheral vestibular sense organ results in a constant input into the central part of the vestibular system.

## CONCLUSION

Consistent with the theoretical prediction the response of the human VOR-system to a long period of constant angular acceleration shows a peracceleratory decline in response, while in the postacceleratory period of constant velocity the response reverses direction.

However, although only a few subjects have been investigated, the results suggest that thexe are some significant discrepancies between theory and experiment:

- In this experimental situation the effect of adaptation is less than one would expect from the theoretical calculations: the value of the response at the end of the stimulus period is relatively higher than expected, whereas the postacceleratory decay is flat in the region of the zero response level. This results in a high value for the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$, when estimated from the experimental data. It is suggested that this can be explained by assuming that the adaptation gain is less than unity.
- At high stimulus amplitudes the VOR-system displays non-linearity. An adaptation gain dependent on the stimulus amplitude could account for this phenomenon.
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From the results obtained during these investigations of the human VOR-system it appears that the second order model with an adaptation term gives a close prediction of the VoR-response to the three different types of stimulation. The adaptation mechanism explaines:

- the reversal in response after an impulsive stimulation,
- the phase lead of more than 90 degrees in sinusoidal stimulation at low frequencies, and
- the peracceleratory decline in response duxing constant angular acceleration of the VOR-system.

To ascertain exactly the extent to which the second order model with adaptation can account for the VOR-response, the experimental results obtained using the various stimuli in the small but fairly constant group of subjects are compared and contrasted.
I. Comparison of the gain of the VOR-system

If it is assumed that the angular velocity of slow phase nystagmus is proportional to cupular displacement, $\theta_{C}$, then the second order model of cupular behaviour should fully account for the response of the vorsystem. The constant of proportionality, $G_{1}$, is equal to the ratio between the slow phase eye velocity $\theta_{e}$ (expressed in $0 / s$ ) and the corresponding cupular displacement $\theta_{c}$ (expressed in degrees):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}=G_{1} \cdot \theta_{c} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The response of the second order model is altered by the introduction of the adaptation mechanism. This modification can be described by a varying texm which is dependent upon the time constants of the system and the type of stimulus used. This term, Fa expresses the ratio between the responses of the second order model with and without adaptation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}=\mathrm{Fa} \cdot \mathrm{G}_{1} \cdot \theta_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this knowledge the value of $G_{1}$ can be calculated from the experimental results obtained with the 3 stimuli.

1. Under impulsive stimulation the VOR gain, i.e. the ratio between the maximum SPV ( $0 / s$ ) and the corresponding impulse amplitude ( $0 / \mathrm{s}$ ), was calculated for all subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) and all measurements ( $\mathrm{N}=287$ ) with stimulus amplitudes $25-50-100 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$. The stimulus amplitude of $140 \% / s$ was not included in this calculation, because saturation
N.B. The term $G_{1}$ has for simplicity been omitted throughout the thesis, including the appendix.
occurred at this higher velocity. The VOR gain was found to be 0.66
$(S D=0.10)$, i.e. the maximum value of the VOR-response is $0.660 / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{SPV}$ when a stimulus amplitude of unity ( $10 / \mathrm{s}$ ) is used.
The angular deflection of the cupula as a result of impulsive stimulation can be calculated by means of equation (3) in chapter II:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{c}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{c}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left(e^{-t / T_{1}}-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } t>0 \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

The maximum deflection of the cupula after an impulsive stimulus of unity is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{C}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \approx T_{2} \text { (see chapter II, fig. 1) } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\mathrm{T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$, then $\theta_{c}=0.01 \mathrm{deg}$.
The addition of the adaptation term does not influence the calculation of the maximum value of the VOR-response after an impulsive stimulation. This was demonstrated in chapter II (compare fig. 1 and fig. 4) and it is also demonstrated here. The response of the second order model with adaptation to an impulse is given by equation (6) in chapter II:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

where $\dot{\theta}_{e}=a n g u l a r ~ e y e ~ v e l o c i t y . ~$
The maximum value of the response after an impulsive stimulus of unity is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)} \approx T_{2}  \tag{6}\\
\quad \text { (see chapter IV, fig. 4) }
\end{align*}
$$

Conclusion: When calculating the maximum value of the vor-response to an impulsive stimulation the appropriate value of $F_{a}$ appears to be 1 . Thus the value of $G_{1}$ can be calculated by means of equation (2) $=(1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.660 / \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \times 0.01 \mathrm{deg} \quad G_{1}=66 / \mathrm{s} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The same procedure can be followed in the case of sinusoidal stimulation.

Under sinusoidal stimulation the VOR gain, i.e. the ratio between the peak $\operatorname{SPV}(0 / s)$ and the peak stimulus velocity ( $0 / \mathrm{s}$ ) at the same frequencies, was calculated for all subjects (N=7) and all measurements $(\mathrm{N}=25$ ) for the frequency of 0.1 Hz (see chapter $V$, table I). The VOR
gain at this frequency was found to be 0.68 , i.e. at 0.1 Hz the peak VOR-xesponse is $0.68 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$ for a stimulus amplitude of unity ( $10 / \mathrm{s}$ ). According to the second order model the amplitude ratio, $\alpha$, between the peak deflection of the cupula and the stimulus amplitude ( $0 / \mathrm{s}$ ) is given by equation (2) in chapter IV:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\omega T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega T_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega T_{2}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=f r e q$. in radians/s; $u=2 \pi x f r e q$.
Under sinusoidal stimulation with a stimulus amplitude of unity the amplitude of the deflection of the cupula reaches its maximum at midfrequencies ( $0.05-5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\omega T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{\omega T_{1}}=T_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$, then $\theta_{C}=0.01$ deg.
Adding the adaptation term to the second order model results in the following amplitude ratio, which is the same as equation (6) in chapter IV:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\omega^{2} T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega T_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega T_{2}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega T_{a}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the response reaches its maximum at mid-frequencies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}=\frac{\omega^{2} T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\omega T_{1} \cdot \omega T_{a}}=T_{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the same as in equation (9) for the pure second order system. Conclusion: When calculating the VOR-response to sinusoidal stimulation at mid-fxequencies the value of Fa appears to be 1. Thus, the value of G1 can be calculated by means of equation (2)=(1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.68 \circ / \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \times 0.01 \mathrm{deg} \quad \mathrm{G}_{1}=68 / \mathrm{s} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. In order to calculate the value of $G_{1}$ for the Vor-system under the stimulus of constant acceleration the maximum value of the peracceleratory response (Amax1) during constant acceleration of $2.150 / \mathrm{s} 2$. was determined. The mean value of Amax1 was found to be $19.8 \% / \mathrm{s}$ for 6 subjects and 32 measurements (see chapter VII, results). Linearity of the VOR-system was demonstrated in chapter VII (see fig. 3). Thus, the maximum VOR-response to a constant stimulus of $1 \circ / \mathrm{s} 2$ will be $9.20 / \mathrm{s}$.

The response of the second order model of cupular behaviour to a step input is given in chapter VI, equation (1):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}\left(1-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}+\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } t>0 \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

According to this model the cupular deflection reaches a maximum after
a period of $4-5$ times the cupular time constant $T_{1}$. For a stimulus amplitude of unity this maximum deflection of the cupula is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{c}=T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ and $T_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$, then $\theta_{C}=0.20 \mathrm{deg}$.
The response of the second order model with an adaptation term to a step input is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{e}(t)=0 \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right)\right.  \tag{15}\\
& \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right)\left(1-e^{-t / T_{a}}\right)\right\} \quad \text { for } t>0
\end{align*}
$$

The peracceleratory response reaches a maximum (Amax1) at $t$ Amaxi after which it declines, as can be seen in chapter VI, fig. 1. The maximum response of the second order model with adaptation is lower than the final amplitude of the cupular deflection. In table II of chapter VI the value of Amaxl is presented as a percentage of the final amplitude of the cupular displacement. This percentage is equal to the value of Fa, the ratio between the responses of the second order system with and without adaptation. For $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F a=0.67 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conclusion: When calculating the peracceleratory maximum of the vorresponse to a constant acceleration, the value of $F_{a}$ appears to be 0.67, for $T_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T} 2=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=100 \mathrm{~s}$. The corresponding value of G 1 can be calculated by means of equation (2) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
9.20 / \mathrm{s}=0.67 \times \mathrm{G}_{1} \times 0.20 \mathrm{deg} . \quad G_{1}=69 / \mathrm{s} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=0.01 \mathrm{~s}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=125 \mathrm{~s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Fa}=0.70, \text { thus } \mathrm{G}_{1}=66 / \mathrm{s} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CONCLUSION

When the responses of the VOR-system to the 3 different stimuli are compared it can be seen that the values of $G_{1}$ shown in (7), (12) and (18) are in close agreement. It may be concluded that the second order model with adaptation accounts very well for the VoR-response.
II. Comparison of the values for the cupular time constant $T 1$, calculated from the VOR-responses to the 3 different stimuli

1. From the impulse response of the VOR-system the value of the long time constant $T 1$ was calculated for 5 subjects using two different methods. In chapter I the decay method was used and the mean value of the response $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ was found to be 13 s .

Using the cupulometric method with a fixed threshold for SPV, T1 was 11.7 s (see chapter III).

A comparison of these experimental results with the results of the theoretical study in chapter II suggests that in reality the cupular time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is about 20 s , when $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathrm{a}}=100-125 \mathrm{~s}$.
2. Under sinusoidal stimulation, the theoretical phase characteristic of the VOR-response was fitted to the experimental data for 7 subjects, giving a cupular time constant $T 1$ of 16.6 s (see chapter $V$, fig. 8).
3. In the investigation of the Vor-response to constant angular acceleration the cupular $T 1$ was calculated in only three cases (see chapter VII, fig. 1 and 2) and was 16-18-20 s.

## CONCLUSION

The values of the cupular time constant $T 1$, determined from the responses of the human VOR-system to 3 types of stimulation, are in agreement and have a value of 20 s or slightly less.
III. Comparison of the values for the adaptation time constant Ta, calculated from the responses of the Vor-system to the 3 different stimuli.

1. A comparison between the experimental results and the theoretical calculations for the VoR-response to an impulsive stimulation showed that the value of $T$ was $100-125 \mathrm{~s}$, for $\mathrm{T}_{1}=20 \mathrm{~s}$ (see chapter II and III).
2. When the theoretical phase characteristic was fitted to the experimental data, the value of Ta was found to be 114 s (see chapter v, fig. 8).
3. In the experiments to determine the VOR-xesponse to constant acceleration, the value of Ta was $102-132-314 \mathrm{~s}$ (see chapter VII, fig. 1 and fig. 2).

## CONCLUSION

The value of the adaptation time constant $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$, determined from the responses of the human VOR-system to 3 types of stimulation, appears to be about $100-125 \mathrm{~s}$. However, it was found that the values of Ta varied widely between subjects.

From this study of the VOR gain and of the time constants it can be concluded that the second order model with adaptation provides a very good account of the VOR-response to all three stimuli.

Finally it may be useful to comment on some of the specific results obtained in the various experiments.

A study to determine which type of stimulation is the most suitable for investigating adaptation within the VOR-system showed that the value of Ta could be reliably estimated from the theoretical peracceleratory decline in response during a period of constant acceleration. When the stimulus duration was long, this estimate of $T a$ proved to be almost independent of the value of the cupular $\mathrm{T}_{1}$. Such an independent estimate of $T a$ was not possible using either impulsive or sinusoidal stimulation.

In the experimental situation, however, the estimation of $T a$ was difficult because the gain of the adaptation mechanism was also unknown. It should be repeated here that only a few measurements were done and that lack of alertness may also have a significant influence on the results obtained.

It is interesting to note that, for all the amplitudes used, the maximum of the VOR-response after an impulsive stimulation was always found to occur about 4-6 seconds after the beginning of the impulse (see chapter II, fig. 3). The duration of the impulse itself was never longer than 2.5 s (chapter III). The maximum response to an impulse is theoretically reached at about 0.07 s (chapter II). Further, the conduction time within the reflex arc is a few milliseconds. From the available literature it appears that the latency time of the human VORresponse to an impulsive stimulation has not been investigated. It is still not understood why there is such a long delay before the maximum of the VOR-response to an impulse is reached.

In the series of experiments using impulsive stimulation the stimuli were always given with increasing amplitudes, alternately in the CW and CCW directions. No order effects were encountered in the calculation of $T 1$, nor in the calculation of the value of the response maximum, nor in that of the time at which the response changed direction.

A similar situation occurred in the experiments with sinusoidal stimulation. No order effects were found within or between the test sequences. To minimize any possible effects of habituation one or more weeks were allowed to elapse between the test sequences. In the investigation of the frequency response of the VOR in the monkey, Buettner et al. (1981) found that animals which had previously been exposed to numerous rotatory stimuli showed much shorter time constants $T 1$ and Ta that did 'naive' animals. Although the subjects used in this investigation had some experience in vestibular experiments, it is unlikely that there was any modification of the subjects' Vor-response to sinusoidal stimulation due to habituation.

The functioning of the human VOR-system appeared to be linear under all 3 types of stimulation. However, when an impulsive stimulus was used, saturation of SPV occurred with stimulus amplitudes greater than $100 \% / s$. Non-linarity also appeared during constant acceleration at the high amplitude of $9.4 \% / s^{2}$, the time at which the peracceleratory
response maximum was reached becoming shorter. However, this pilot investigation consisted of only a few experiments and further investigations should be done in this area.

Although the introduction of the adaptation mechanism has provided more insight into the functioning of the human VOR-system, the exact location of this mechanism remains unknown. In animal experiments a periphexal location has been demonstrated by Taglietti et al. (1977), who investigated the vestibular response in the postexior ampullary nerve of the fxog. However, it should be assumed that there are several locations, which are probably central, since the value of the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$ differs according to wether it is derived from the VOR-response or from the vestibular sensation. It is also at this central level that the time constant of the adaptation mechanism can be modified during normal daily life or by vestibular training.
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## APPENDIX

MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF THE VESTIBULO-OCULAR REFLEX (VOR) SYSTEM

## A. Second order modet

The torsion-pendulum model of the semicircular canals was put forward by Steinhousen (1933). In this model the cupula-endolymph system is treated as a heavily damped, second order linear system, the angular displacement of the cupula ( $\theta_{c}$ ) being related to the input angular head acceleration ( $\ddot{\theta}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) by the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \ddot{\theta}_{h}(t)=I \frac{d^{2} \theta_{C}}{d t^{2}}+B \frac{d \theta_{C}}{d t}+K \theta_{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ moment of inertia;
B=viscous-damping couple;
K=elastic-restoring couple.
In Laplace notation the transfer function of this system is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\theta_{C}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{I}{I s^{2}+B s+K}=\frac{I / K}{(I / K) s^{2}+(B / K) s+1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Factorizing the denominator on the extreme right, eq. (2) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(S)=\frac{I / K}{\left(T_{1} S+1\right)\left(T_{2} S+1\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \cdot T_{2}=T / K, \text { and } T_{1}+T_{2}=B / K \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Van Egmond et al. (1949) showed experimentally that the value of $T 1$ is much higher than the product of the time constants $T 1$ and $T_{2}$; consequently $\mathrm{T}_{1} \gg \mathrm{~T}_{2}$, so that $\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{K}$ can be approximated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=B / K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this approximation the first part of eq. (4) may be written as:
$T 1 \cdot T 2=\frac{B}{K} \cdot T_{2}=\frac{I}{K}$, and hence: $T_{2}=I / B$
Therefore $B / K \gg I / B$, or $B^{2} \gg I K$.
Thus $B$ is large relative to $I$ and $K$ and the sytem is heavily damped. Let $k=I / K$. Then the transfer function of eq. (3) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\theta_{c}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{k}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The block diagram of this function is presented in fig. 1.


Fig. 1.

1. Response of the second order sustem to an impulse

The method of partial fractions can be used to calculate the step and impulse responses of the system. This method shows that two first order systems in cascade are equivalent to two first order systems connected in parallel. This can be demonstrated as follows. Suppose that it is possible to find two numbers $A$ and $B$, such that:

$$
\frac{1}{\left(T_{1} \mathrm{~S}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1\right)} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~S}+1}+\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+1}
$$

Then $A_{2} s+A+B T_{1} s+B=1$
Hence $A T_{2}+B T_{1}=0$ and $A+B=1$
Solution for $A$ and $B$ gives:

$$
A=\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \text {, and } B=\frac{-T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} .
$$

So eq. (7) is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=k\left(\frac{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}}{T_{1} s+1}-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} T_{2} s+1\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, as a block diagram:


Fig. 2.
The unit impulse response of a first order system is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
y(t)=\frac{e^{-t / T}}{T} & \text { for } t>0
\end{array}
$$

The response of the transfex function (8) to a step change in angular velocity is, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=k\left(\frac{1}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}} \frac{1}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } t>0
\end{array}
$$

which can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left(e^{\left.-t / T_{1}-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right)}\right. & \text { for } t>0 \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

2. Response of the second order system to a step input The response of a first order system to a unit step is:
$y(t)=0$
$y(t)=1-e^{-t / T}$
for $t=<0$
for $t>0$

The response of the transfer function (8) to a step acceleration is, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{c}(t)=0 \\
& \theta_{c}(t)=k\left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}+\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) \text { for } t=<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{C}(t)=0 \\
& \theta_{C}(t)=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}\left(1-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}+\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) \text { for } t=<0 \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

A constant acceleration equal to unity and of finite duration ( $\Delta t$ ) is identical to a unit step at $t=0$ minus a unit step at $t=\Delta t$. So the response of the function (8) to such a stimulus is, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{C}(t)=0 & \text { for } t=<0 \\
\theta_{C}(t)=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}\left(1-\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}+\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } 0<t \leq \Delta t \\
\begin{array}{rlr}
\theta_{C}(t) & =T_{1} \cdot T_{2}\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{1}}-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)\right. & \\
& +\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left(e^{-t / T_{2}}-e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{2}}\right) & \text { for } t>\Delta t
\end{array} \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

3. Response of the second order system to a sinusoidal input

When a linear system is subjected to a sinusoidal input the output, after a steady state of harmonic oscillation has been attained, is also sinusoidal and of the same frequency, although it generally has a different amplitude and phase. The output-input amplitude ratio and phase relationship are usually presented in so-called Bode plots. The amplitude ratio $(\alpha)$ and phase relationship $(\phi)$ can be calculated from the transfer function if $s$ is replaced by jw. In this way eq. (7) becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(j \omega)=\frac{k}{\left(j \omega T_{1}+1\right)\left(j \omega T_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \alpha=\frac{\bar{\theta}_{C}}{\bar{\theta}_{h}}=|H(j \omega)|=\left|\frac{k}{\left(j \omega T_{1}+1\right)\left(j \omega T_{2}+1\right)}\right| \\
& \phi=\arg H(j \omega)=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{Im}}{\operatorname{Re}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the real time domain the amplitude ratio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=-\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega T_{1}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega T_{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Bode plot the velocity output is usually compared with the velocity input. In equations (12) and (13) the displacement output is compared
with the acceleration $\theta_{C}$ input. The transfer function $H(s)=\frac{\theta_{C}}{\theta_{h}}$ has to be transformed to $H(s)=\frac{\theta_{C}}{\theta_{h}}$, where $\theta_{h}=s \theta_{h}$. On the assumption that the slow phase eye velocity $(\dot{\theta} e)^{\text {is }}$ is proportional to the cupular displacement $\left(\theta_{c}\right)$, $\theta_{g}$ can be replaced by $\theta_{e}$. Thus eq. (7) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{\rho}(s)}{\dot{\theta}_{h}(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{\mathrm{ks}}{\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{~s}+1\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{~s}+1\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the real time domain the amplitude ratio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\bar{\theta}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\bar{\theta}_{\mathrm{h}}}=\frac{\omega \mathrm{T}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=90^{\circ}-\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (15) and (16) present the amplitude ratio and the phase rem lationship when a velocity signal is used for both the input and the output.

## B. Adaptation modez

The fundamental assumption is made that the adaptation process involves a short-term homeostatic mechanism which results in a shift of a zero velocity reference level. Young and Oman (1969, 1970) assumed that this adaptation mechanism can be represented by a bidirectional process which causes a shift in the reference level by a certain fraction of the time integral of the response itself. If $\theta_{c} i s$ the cupular displacement in response to an angular acceleration, the resulting angular eye velocity ( $\dot{\theta}_{e}$ ) will be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\theta_{c}(t)-\frac{1}{T_{a}} f^{t} \dot{\theta}_{e}(t) d t \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral takes account of the shift of the reference level and Ta is the time constant of the adaptation mechanism. Writing:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=\frac{1}{T_{a}} \rho_{0}^{t} \dot{\theta}_{e}(t) d t \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then according to eq.(17):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\theta_{c}(t)-r(t) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting eq.(19) in eq. (17) gives:

$$
\theta_{C}(t)-r(t)=\theta_{C}(t)-\frac{1}{T_{a}} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\theta_{C}(t)-r(t)\right\} d t
$$

Differentiation yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r(t)}{d t}=\frac{1}{T_{a}}\left\{\theta_{C}(t)-r(t)\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (19) and (20) are the same as those derived by Malcolm and Melvill Jones (1970), who made the following assumptions:

1. the rate of change of the reference level, $r(t)$, is proportional to the value of the difference $\theta_{c}(t)-r(t)$. Hence $\frac{d r(t)}{d t}=b\left\{\theta_{c}(t)-r(t)\right\}$.
2. the eye velocity is proportional to the difference $\theta_{c}(t)-r(t)$. Hence $\dot{\theta}_{e}(t) \sim \theta_{c}(t)-r(t)$.

If eq. (17) is written in Laplace notation, the adaptation transfer function ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) can be derived as follows:

$$
\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)=\theta_{C}(s)-\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{T_{a} s}
$$

Thus:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{\mathrm{e}}(s) \cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Tas}}\right)=\theta_{\mathrm{C}}(s), \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{Ha}(s)=\frac{\theta_{\mathrm{e}}(s)}{\theta_{\mathrm{C}}(s)}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathrm{a}}}}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} s}{\mathrm{Ta} s+1} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

C. Second order model with adaptation

Leaving out the gain of the vestibular system the overall transfer function of the second order model of semicircular canal function with an adaptation term can be found by combining equations (7) and (21):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{\theta_{e}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{k}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)} \cdot \frac{T_{a s}}{T_{a} s+1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The block diagram of this function is presented in fig. 3.


$$
\text { Fig. } 3 .
$$

This is equivalent to the block diagram of fig. 4.


Fig. 4.

1. Response of the second order system with adarotation to an impul.se The transfer function given in eq. (22) can be split up into fractions as follows:

$$
\frac{T_{a} s}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)} \equiv \frac{A}{T_{1} s+1}+\frac{B}{T_{2} s+1}+\frac{C}{T_{a} s+1}
$$

A can be calculated by multiplying both sides of this equation by $T_{1} s+1$, and inserting $s=-\frac{1}{T_{1}}$ :

$$
A=\frac{T_{a} s\left(T_{1} s+1\right)}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)}=\frac{-T_{a} / T_{1}}{\left(-T_{2} / T_{1}+1\right)\left(-T_{a} / T_{1}+1\right)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{a}}{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)}
$$

$B$ and $C$ can be determined in the same way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\frac{T_{a} s\left(T_{2} s+1\right)}{\left(T_{1} S+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)}=\frac{-T_{a} / T_{2}}{\left(-T_{1} / T_{2}+1\right)\left(-T_{a} / T_{2}+1\right)}=\frac{-T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)} \\
& C=\frac{T_{a} s\left(T_{a} s+1\right)}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)}=\frac{-1}{\left(-T_{1} / T_{a}+1\right)\left(-T_{2} / T_{a}+1\right)}=\frac{-T_{a}}{\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second order model with adaptation is thus equivalent to three first order systems connected in parallel, as shown in fig. 5.


Fig. 5.
The response of the transfer function (22) to a: step change in angular velocity is, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=T_{1} \cdot T_{2}, T_{a}\left\{\frac{1}{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)}\right. & \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}-\frac{1}{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}} \\
& -\frac{1}{\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)} \cdot e^{\left.-t / T_{a}\right\}_{\text {for }} t>0}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since:

$$
\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}}=\frac{\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)-\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)}{\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)}=\frac{-\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)}{\left(T_{a}-T_{1}\right)\left(T_{a}-T_{2}\right)}
$$

the impulse response can also be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\theta_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right. & \cdot e^{-t / T_{1}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}} \cdot e^{-t / T_{2}} \text { for } t=<0 \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{1}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right) \cdot e^{-t / T_{a}}\right\} \text { for } t>0
\end{aligned} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

2. Response of the second oxder system with adantation to a step input

The response of the transfer function (22) to unit step acceleration of duration $\Delta t$ will be, in the real time domain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=0 \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)-\frac{T_{2}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}\left(1-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right)\left(1-e^{-t / T_{a}}\right)\right\} \text { for } 0<t<\Delta t \\
& \dot{\theta}_{e}(t)=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{1}}{T_{1}-T_{2}}\left\{\frac{T_{1}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{1}}-e^{-t / T_{1}}\right)\right.  \tag{24}\\
& -\frac{T_{2}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{2}}-e^{-t / T_{2}}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{2}}-\frac{T_{a}}{T_{a}-T_{1}}\right)\left(e^{-(t-\Delta t) / T_{a}} e^{-t / T_{a}}\right)\right\} \text { for } t>\Delta t
\end{align*}
$$

3. Response of the second order system with adaptation to a sinusoidal input

The transfer function given in eq. (22) defines the relationship between the output eye velocity ( $\theta_{e}$ ) and the input head acceleration ( $\ddot{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ). If the input acceleration is replaced by the input head velocity $\left(\theta_{h}\right)$, the transfer function becomes:

$$
H(s)=\frac{\dot{\theta}_{e}(s)}{\theta_{h}(s)}=\frac{T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a} s^{2}}{\left(T_{1} s+1\right)\left(T_{2} s+1\right)\left(T_{a} s+1\right)}
$$

In the real time domain, the amplitude ratio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{\omega^{2} T_{1} \cdot T_{2} \cdot T_{a}}{\sqrt{\left(\omega_{1} T_{1}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega^{\prime} T_{2}\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\omega^{\prime} T_{a}\right)^{2}+1}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase relationship is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=1800-\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\operatorname{LI}_{2}\right)-\tan ^{-1}\left(\mathrm{LT}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## SUMMARY

In this thesis the influence of adaptation on the response of the human vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) was systematically determined for three types of stimulation. The stimuli were administered by means of a room which rotated about the vertical axis. The subject was seated in a chair in such a way that his head was upright and at the centre of rotation.

In the general introduction the distinction is made between adaptation and the effects due to habituation and fatigue. As each chapter was written as a paper, dealing with a specific subject, the description of the problems undex investigation and the references are contained within the chapters.

In section I impulsive stimuli were used. The intra- and intersubject variance were investigated in chapter $I$.

ABSTRACT of chapter I
The literature on cupulometry shows inconsistent data as to (1) the magnitude of the time constant $T_{1}$; (2) the inter-subject variance; (3) the difference in $T 1$ between clockwise and counter-clockwise rotation, and (4) the linearity of the system. In our investigations, the nystagmus was measured after a step in angular velocity. All tests were carried out six times for 5 subjects. The time constant $T 1$ was calculated from the slope of the decay of the slow phase eye velocity. Statistical evaluation of the results showed: an intra-subject variance which is greater than the inter-subject variance; significant differences between subjects; mean values of $T 1$ for clockwise and counterclockwise rotation of $13.2 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ and $12.8 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{SD}=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$ respectivily; a significant difference of $T 1$ between clockwise and counterclockwise rotations for individual subjects at the $95 \%$ confidence interval level; no relation between $T 1$ and the amplitude of the step in angular velocity, and a linear increase of the maximum slow phase eye velocity with the amplitude for velocities below $100 \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{s}$, whereas at higher velocities saturation occurs.

In chaptexs II and III the influence of the adaptation mechanism on the long time constant $T 1$ of the VOR-response was determined theoretically and experimentally.

ABSTRACT of chapter II
From the impulse response of the human vestibulo ocular reflex system the value of the long time constant $T 1$ can be calculated in two different ways: (a) by plotting the decay of the response on loglinear graph paper, or (b) by plotting the duration of the response against the logarithm of the impulse amplitude for various impulse amplitudes, i.e. the cupulometric method. By means of mathematical models, with and without adaptation, the effect of the two methods of calculation on the value of the response $T 1$ was determined. The adaptation term was demonstrated to give a distinct reduction in the value of the response $T 1$ compared with that of the cupular $T 1$, especially when the cupulometric method (b) was used. The extent of this reduction was calculated.


#### Abstract

III The response of the VOR-system was measured after a start or stop in angular velocity around the vertical axis. All determinations were carried out six times for 5 subjects. In a previous study (chapter I) the mean value of the response $T_{1}$ was calculated from the slope of the decay of the slow phase eye velocity (method a) and found to be 13.2 s $(S D=1.4 \mathrm{~s})$ for $C W-s t i m u l a t i o n$, and $12.8 \mathrm{~s}(S D=1.7 \mathrm{~s})$ for $C C W-s t i m u l a t i o n$. Using the cupulometric method (b) with a fixed threshold for the slow phase eye velocity, these values are $12.1 \mathrm{~s}(S D=2.0 \mathrm{~s})$ and 11.2 s (SD=1.4 s) respectively. The difference between the values calculated by method (a) and those calculated by method (b) is in line with the theoretical predictions as formulated in the previous chapter (chapter II).

In section II sinusoidal stimulation was used. The theoretical and experimental frequency responses of the vor-system were described in chapters IV and $V$, and the effect of adaptation was determined at low frequencies.

ABSTRACT of chapter IV From the mathematical model of the human VOR-system the frequency response has been calculated for harmonic sinusoidal acceleration. The addition of an adaptation term to the second order model, describing the cupular behaviour, results in considerable changes in the response characteristics in the low frequency region. An attempt has been made to quantify the influence of the cupular time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and the adaptation time constant Ta on the amplitude and phase characteristics of the transfer function, which represents the human VOR-system. The results of this theoretical study will be employed in the following chapter.


ABSTRACT of chapter $V$
The frequency response of the human VOR-system was investigated by determining its amplitude and phase characteristics. The angular head velocity and the resulting angular eye velocity were measured in 7 healthy subjects using a rotation room. Rotations about a vertical axis were carried out at frequencies ranging from 0.0025 Hz to 0.1 Hz ; peak head velocity was $50 \% / s$. From the experimental data the gain and the phase relationship were calculated. The results appear to be in agreement with the frequency response of the theoretical transfer function which represents the VOR-system. This is based on a second order differential equation for cupular behaviour, modified by an adaptation term (chapter IV). According to the experimental phase characteristic the cupular restoration time constant $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ was 16.6 s , and the adaptation time constant was 114 s . Linearity of the VOR-system, both for amplitude and phase, was demonstrated at frequencies of 0.05 Hz and 0.005 Hz .

In section III constant angular acceleration was used as a stimulus. In chapter VI the theoretical response was calculated, and in chapter VII a small group of subjects showed results which are not explained by current theory.

ABSTRACT of chapter VI
The response of the human VOR-system to a constant angular acceleration is calculated using a second order model with an adaptation term. After first reaching a maximum the peracceleratory response declines. When the stimulus duration is long the decay is mainly governed by the
adaptation time constant $T a$, which enables this time constant to be reliably estimated. In the postacceleratory period of constant velocity thexe is a reversal in response. The magnitude and the time course of the per-- and postacceleratory response are calculated for various values of the cupular time constant $T 1$, the adaptation time constant $T a$ and the stimulus duration, thus enabling their influence to be assessed.

ABSTRACT of chapter VII
The VOR-response to a constant angular acceleration was investigated in a small group of subjects by varying the stimulus amplitude and the stimulus duration. Various parameters of the response were determined and compared with the results of the theoretical study (chapter VI). This form of stimulation yields a rather high value for the adaptation time constant $T_{a}$, which perhaps indicates that there is an adaptation gain of less than unity. This supposition is supported by finding of non-linearity within the VOR-system at high stimulus amplitudes.

Finally, in the general discussion an assessment is made of the extent to which the second order model with adaptation can account for the experimental results, and hence of the extent to which it accounts for the functioning of the VOR-system. This was done by comparing the gain and the values of the time constants $T_{1}$ and $T_{a}$ obtained with the various stimuli.

The appendix contains a comprehensive description of the derivation of the equations used.

## SAMENVATTING

Een beweging van het hoofd prikkelt de zintuigen in de halfcirkelvormige kanalen van de evenwichtsorganen, hetgeen leidt tot een oogbeweging in een tegengestelde richting. Dit voliedig automatische proces vindt plaats binnen het vestibulo-oculaire reflex (VOR) systeem. Het zorgt aldus voor een constante blikrichting en daamee voor een stabilisatie van het beeld op het netvlies.

De natuurlijke pxikkel voor de zintuigen in de halfcirkelvormige kanalen is de hoekversnelling. Deze versnelling veroorzaakt, ten gevolge van het achterblijven van de vloeistof (endolymphe) in de kanalen, een uitwijking van de cupula. De uitslag van de cupula prikkelt de zintuigzenuwcellen die op hun beurt de prikkel overbrengen op de achtste hersenzenuw. Op deze wijze wordt de prikkel naar de hersenstam geleid, alwaar overschakeling plaats vindt op de zenuwen naar de oogspieren, uiteindelijk resulterend in een oogbeweging met een richting tegenovergesteld aan die van de versnelling van het hoofd.

Het komt er dus op neer dat een hoekversnelling (in $0 / s^{2}$ ) een uitslag (in graden) van de cupula veroorzaakt, waardoor een oogsnelheid (in $O / s$ ) in tegengestelde richting ontstaat.

Op grond van de mechanica van het cupula-endolymphe-systeem is omstreeks 1930 een mathematisch model opgesteld, waarmee de cupulauitslag voor een bepaalde hoekversnelling berekend kan worden. Indien de resulterende oogsnelheid evenredig verondersteld wordt met de cu-pula-uitslag, dan zou met dit zogenaamde $2 e$ orde model de overdrachtsm functie van het Vor-systeem bepaald zijn.

Tijdens onderzoek aan het VoR-systeem bleek echter dat de resultaten niet geheel klopten met de voorspellingen die gedaan waren op grond van het $2 e$ orde model. Bij een langdurige stimulatie nam de reactie van het VOR-systeem langzaam af (adaptatie). Om deze afname te kunnen beschrijven werd omstreeks 1970 een adaptatie-term aan het 2e orde model toegevoegd.

In dit proefschrift zijn de reacties van het $2 e$ orde model met en zonder adaptatie systematisch onderzocht voor drie verschillende vormen van hoekversnelling. Tevens zijn de reacties van het VoR-systeem op dezelfde vormen van versnelling bij enkele gezonde proefpersonen bepaald en vergeleken met de voorspellingen, gedaan op grond van de uitkomsten uit de theoretische berekeningen.

In sectie $I$ is als stimulus een impuls qebruikt. Deze vorm van versnelling werd verkregen door een plotselinge verandering in de draaisnelheid om de verticale as van een proefpersoon. De reacties van het $2 e$ orde model zonder adaptatie, dat wil zeggen de cupulauitslag ( $\theta_{C}$ ), en die van het $2 e$ orde model met adaptatie, dat wil zeggen de resulterende oogsnelheid ( $\dot{\theta}_{e}$ ) staan weergegeven in fig. 1. De toevoeging van de adaptatieterm veroorzaakt een snellere afname en een omkering van richting van de oogsnelheid in de periode volgend op de impuls. Deze verschijnselen werden bij alle proefpersonen gevonden.


Fig. 1. Reactie van het $2 e$ orde model zonder (onderbroken lijn) en met adoptatie (ononderbroken iijn) op een impulsvormige stimulus. $\theta_{c}=u i t s l a g$ van de cupula; $\dot{\theta}_{e}=s n e l h e i d$ van het oog en $\theta_{h}=v e r s n e l l i n g$ van het hoofd.


Fig. 2. Fase-voorloop van het $2 e$ orde model zonder (onderbroken $2 i j n$ ) en met adaptatie (ononderbroken $i i j n$ ): $\theta_{c}=f a s e ~ v a n ~ d e ~ c u p u l a-~$ uitslag; $\dot{\theta}_{e}=f a s e ~ v a n ~ d e ~ o o g s n e l h e i d . ~ \theta c ~ e n ~ \dot{\theta}_{e} z i j n$ berekend ten opzichte van de fase van de hoofdsnetheid.


Fig. 3. Reactie van het $2 e$ orde model zonder (onderbroken lijn) en met adaptatie (ononderbroken li,jn) tijdens en na een periode met constante versnelling. $\theta_{c}=u i t s l a g$ van de cupula; $\theta_{e}=s n e$ iheid van het oog en $\theta$ hi versnelling van het hoofd.

In sectie II is de sinusvormige versnelling als prikkel toegepast. De fase van de oogsnelheid en de hoofdsnelheid zijn met elkaar vergeleken voor verschillende frequenties. In fig. 2 staan de resultaten van de theoretische berekeningen weergegeven. De fase van de cupulauitslag ( $\theta_{c}$ ) blijkt maximaal 90 graden voor te lopen. De toevoeging van de adaptatie-term resulteert in een grotere fase-voorloop van de oogsnelheid ( $\dot{e}$ ). Een fase-voorloop van meer dan 90 graden, optredend in de lage frequenties, werd bij alle proefpersonen aangetoond.

In sectie III is een langdurige, constante versnelling gebruikt. De cupula-uitslag ( $\theta_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) blijkt in de periode van constante versnelling een eindwaarde te bereiken, texwijl de oogsnelheid ( $\theta_{e}$ ) na een maximum afneemt (fig. 3). Dit laatste werd ook gevonden bij het onderzoek van de reacties van het VOR-systeem bij enkele gezonde proefpersonen.

Op grond van dit onderzoek kan gesteld worden dat het 2 e orde model met een adaptatie-term een goede voorspelling geeft van de reacties van het vestibulo-oculaire reflex-systeem van de mens.
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