ENDOMETRIOSIS

More on the missed disease

Engemise and colleagues do not mention dyschezia as a symptom of endometriosis.1 Excruciating pain in the rectum, worst premenstrually and during menstruation, is indicative of deep endometriosis in the rectovaginal septum and should prompt a general practitioner (GP) to refer to a gynaecologist.2

The 7025 women with endometriosis in the survey commissioned by the All Party Parliamentary Group for Endometriosis,1,3 reported that they had waited three years before first consulting their doctor. Less than half thought that their GP took them seriously when they first presented with symptoms, and 65% were first told that they had another condition.

Diagnosis is delayed partly because the symptoms of endometriosis overlap with normality—for example, dysmenorrhoea requiring simple analgesia or the occasional “ouch” during sexual intercourse is probably normal. Four out of five women with endometriosis have had time off work with pain.1 If these women were assumed to have endometriosis, the delay in diagnosis would considerably improve.

The combined contraceptive pill is as effective as gonadotrophin releasing hormone agonists for symptom control and useful long term treatment in women who don’t want to conceive.4,5 Treatment with a combined oral contraceptive could be started by the GP with referral if symptoms persisted. An alternative would be a long acting reversible hormonal contraceptive.

Alternative causes of pain could be excluded by GPs—for example, endometrioma by pelvic ultrasonography, chlamydia, irritable bowel syndrome, and constipation. Referral would be appropriate if scanning results were abnormal, pain persisted despite treatment, or the woman was trying to conceive.

Caroline Overton consultant obstetrician and gynaecologist Claire Park clinical teaching fellow, St Michael’s Hospital, University Hospital Bristol NHS Trust, Bristol BS2 8EG clairethornley@doctors.org.uk
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CHILD INFLUENZA VACCINATION

Panvax febrile reactions not a predictor

Collignon and colleagues assert that febrile reactions seen in pandemic influenza A/H1N1 vaccine (Panvax) clinical trials were an overlooked predictor of the excess febrile reactions seen with CSL’s seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine that prompted suspension of its use in children under 5.6 Yet most febrile reactions reported in the Australian Panvax trial were mild, with severe fever (>39.5°C) in only 2%.7 Fever was dose related, and the results included children who received 30 µg—four times the dose in Fluvax Junior. In the 15 µg arm, only one child had severe fever after the first dose. In the US trial,8 which evaluated 7.5 µg and 15 µg doses, rates of moderate and severe fever in under 3s in the 7.5 µg arm were both less than 2%. In children 3 years and older, rates of fever in the 7.5 µg group were similar to those for placebo. Severe fever did not occur.

With 8.7 million doses of Panvax and 370000 doses of Panvax Junior distributed in Australia, only 16 cases of febrile convulsions were reported to the regulator, a rate similar to previous trivalent vaccines, thus challenging the claim that the reactions seen with the 2010 vaccine should have been anticipated.

In Australia in 2009, pandemic flu led to 877 hospital admissions, 29 admissions to intensive care, and four deaths in children under 5.9 In light of this considerable morbidity and mortality, also reported in the US,10 and low rates of febrile reactions after vaccination, asserting that “more harm than good seems likely from vaccinating” seems a miscalculation of risks and benefits.

Ruth Lopez principal medical adviser, Therapeutic Goods Administration, Symonston, ACT 2609, Australia ruth.lopez@tga.gov.au
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SHARING SUMMARY CARE RECORDS

Time for a rethink

The summary care record was based on an unverified need. In their systematic review Greenhalgh and colleagues reveal the errors in this approach.3 The next review should be to research what could be saved by using what is already out there and working. It must be completely objective, rather than being used to justify current policy.

What has not yet been examined are the alternatives to extracting patient data into a single database. The most accurate and complete patient records are general practice records. The move to enterprise systems and storage by all clinical system suppliers makes records potentially available at all times. Most patients (70%) could currently access their records if the practices switched this functionality on. This would make their medical history, allergies, alerts, and treatment viewable out of hours and in an emergency. Spend a fraction of the money
encouraging all suppliers to develop this further, and on the clinical engagement needed for practices to adopt this functionality, rather than on the summary care record.

This also removes the concern about the state having access to patient records since records would remain under the control of those entering the data. System suppliers would look after storage, and patients give consent before viewing.

Secondary care results and discharge summaries are stored in general practice records. Patients with kidney disease needing instant results, for example, could access a portal web page pulling in both secondary and primary care data. The data are not extracted and stored, merely viewed; that viewing is recorded, and then switched off.

Roz Foad independent health informatician, Foads Consultancy Services, St Albans AL4 0UE roz@foads.co.uk
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LOCUM ISSUES

The price of saving money

As a locum consultant in ENT surgery, I found the issue of 3 July insulting.¹ Sensationalist editorials entitled “Time to face up to the locums scandal” and a cover title of “Misfits: The trouble with locums” will not inspire confidence in the patients I see daily. Instead it will give them the impression that they are receiving second class care.

The high profile locum disasters are no different from the plethora of non-locum disasters. Shipman and most recent scandals such as Bristol were not caused by locums.

Most locums, including me, do not aspire to be locums for life and are in this temporary position for various reasons. Locums are crucial to the NHS. It is no exaggeration to say that if all locums resigned tomorrow the NHS would collapse.

If having locums in medicine compromises safety, we must look abroad, where most countries do not have a locum system because they have more doctors. Saving money has a price.

Alexandros Tsikoudas consultant ENT surgeon (locum), Freeman Hospital, Newcastle on Tyne NE7 7DN atsikoudas@nhs.net
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The elephant in the living room—namely, payment rates for general practitioners (GPs) who volunteer to work for out of hours providers.

Since primary care trusts took over responsibility for commissioning out of hours care, out of hours providers have come under increasing pressure to cut costs. This has resulted in pay rates being cut, or at least not increased in line with inflation. Staffing levels on some shifts have been cut too. In short, GPs who volunteer to work for out of hours providers are, on the whole, doing more work for less pay. This has led many GPs to cease working for their local out of hours providers. Others, myself included, are hanging on in there, but volunteering for fewer shifts than previously. This has forced out of hours providers to depend more heavily on agency doctors to fill unstaffed shifts. Some of these doctors are unfamiliar with local healthcare arrangements and, I suspect, the computer systems that they are required to use.

Politicians and NHS administrators talk sanctimoniously about delivering value for money to the taxpayer. They need to be reminded that sometimes value for money comes at a price that can be measured in human life.

Timothy Jefferson Cantor freelance locum general practitioner, Charlton House, Ryaark, West Malling, Kent ME19 5JS tim.cantor@btinternet.com
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Time to tackle the system

The issue of 3 July discussed the problems with locums,¹ ² but the question remains, Why is the locum system still alive?

Locums used to be a way to advance your career, but since Calman they should have become obsolete. With the current fixed rotations, it should be easier to calculate the number of staff to fill a rota. The addendum to Isles’s paper showed that costs are ludicrous: “Scotland spent £47m on locum doctors in 2008-9, 4.3% of overall medical staffing expenditure. About £27m of the spend was on agency locums.”¹ Why aren’t these posts filled with proper trainees, which is probably cheaper? The hidden costs of the locum system are having a professor of medicine find staff.¹

Who applies for locum posts? Without being unjust to all the good locums, applicants are usually foreign doctors wanting to make extra money and local doctors who cannot get training positions. Neither necessarily provides good quality cover.

So, is the continuing presence of the locum system a sign of a failing medical staffing policy? Does it hide problems with trainees stepping out of training schemes, or the inadequacy of the NHS in dealing with the European Working Time Directive? Or is it there because it has always been there?

It is time for the colleges and General Medical Council to rethink their staffing strategies, and to challenge the locum system itself, not locum doctors.
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New scheme for staff shortages

Rather than use locums,¹ hospital trusts could establish “trust posts” and use the Medical Training Initiative (MTI) scheme to fill them.² The savings on locum costs would more than fund the salaries of the additional doctors.

The MTI scheme was established in 2009 to provide well qualified enthusiastic overseas doctors to work and train for two years in the UK. They must have at least three years’ postgraduate experience, high scores in the International English Language Testing System (IELTS), and a further qualification such as the MRCP. Applicants are interviewed overseas by UK consultants using standard formats looking particularly for good communication skills and clinical competencies.

The selection process should ensure that the trust receives doctors of high quality. Quality for the graduate must also be assured by incorporating training and assessment into the job description. These are not official posts of the Postgraduate Medical Education and Training Board (PMETB), but they must embrace the same training principles and the training component should be approved by the college and postgraduate dean.
A leap of faith is needed to move away from the locum culture. Trusts must accept that locums are expensive, of indeterminate quality, and in short supply and that junior posts are always unfilled. The MTI scheme is an alternative way to deal with perennial vacancies with a degree of continuity not currently enjoyed by trusts, most of whose junior doctors rotate every four months. Overseas links can also be established: we in the UK have much to learn from overseas doctors, who bring their own skills, experience, and work ethos.

Peter N Trewby consultant physician, Darlington Memorial Hospital, Darlington DL3 6HX
PETER.TREWBY@CDDFT.NHS.UK
Competing interests: PNT is associate director, International Office, Royal College of Physicians (London).

I was a specialist registrar (SpR) in medical microbiology. My consultant and I were discussing a complicated case of an elderly man admitted to the surgery ward. I had earlier asked the surgery SpR to hold off antibiotics and instead monitor the patient. My consultant thought otherwise and wanted antibiotics started. “I would speak to him,” he said as he finished the conversation. I assumed that my consultant had decided to speak to the surgery SpR himself to start antibiotic treatment and so I did nothing. I later realised that “I would” had meant “You should”.
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STRANGULATION BY BLIND CORDS

Safety kit is here in Australia

Consumer Affairs Victoria, in Australia, reports that at least 15 young children in Australia have been strangled by Venetian blind cords since the early 1990s. It offers a free curtain and blind cord safety kit through its website. The problem and the kit, which tensions the blind cord close to the window frame so that it does not hang loose, reducing the risk of strangulation, are described in a video.
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Open letter to Professor Peter Rubin, chair of the GMC

You must know that the inclusion of Mrs Penny Mellor on the General Medical Council Expert Group on Child Protection, which has been set up in the wake of David Southall’s successful appeal, is an affront to paediatricians and other professionals involved in child protection work. On 9 May 2010 we wrote to you and Mr Dickson congratulating you on setting up an expert panel to review what is expected of doctors involved in child protection. We had stated: “We have long argued that child protection is an area of medicine made uniquely difficult because the parents of children (or their appointed advocates, that is, those who complain about doctors to the GMC) cannot be assumed always to be acting in the best interests of their child. It is difficult for public and patient preferences to have no place, whatsoever, in publicly funded services, and such an argument contravenes NHS policy.”
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