New public management (NPM) refers to an ideology that underpinned public sector reform of the 1980s and 1990s (Dieffenbach, 2009; Hood, 1991; Pollitt & Bouckaert, 2004; 2011). At its core was a problematisation of existing public sector institutional forms and operations for which the solution was establishing organizational arrangements within state bureaucracies that could be subjected to modern management as practiced in the private sector (Brunsson, 2012). Central to this are the ideas of steering, effectiveness, and efficiency, which proponents of NPM argue improve public administration by increasing accountability and productivity. Organizational change arising from NPM tended to be around structure, culture, strategy processes, and strategy content (Ashworth, et al., 2009). Some examples of these changes include the development of internal market-like competition, casualisation of employment, and the contracting-out of services in public hospitals, schools, and public transport.

Despite the sizeable theoretical arguments in support of NPM-inspired change, empirical research has not always produced results supporting the predicted desirable outcomes. Rather than improving performance, change has tended to create stressful environments for employees, especially arising from reductions in government funding support and tighter government requirements to do more with less. This can have significant deleterious effects on employee well-being which can in-turn negatively influence recruitment and retention. Conley (2002), for example, found that NPM reform is connected to an increasing use of temporary and insecure employment arrangements. This is clearly evident in the higher education sector where wide and deep changes to the forms and cultures of universities resulting from NPM-inspired change have significantly impacted on employees in the sector increasing job insecurity and stress (Chandler, et al., 2000).

Thoughtful assessment is then required to achieve a better understanding about NPM reform and its consequences. Of particular interest is the role managers as leaders take as agents of change (Fernandez & Rainey, 2006), developing better theoretical understandings of public sector management and governance of change, and formulating practical, evidence-based principles for implementing change (Azzone & Palermo, 2011; Cunningham & Kempling, 2009). As yet though the literature in these areas remains relatively under-developed. Kickert (2010: 490) notes that the change management literature is more focused on the private sector with little attention being paid to the way public employees react to change. A recent literature review on change management in the public sector by Kuiers, et al. (forthcoming) argue that there is a gap in the literature on change management specifically using the public administration perspective (see also Tummers, 2013; Vann, 2004). The main
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