# Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer

Determinanten van gonadale functie na kinderkanker

Wendy van Dorp



Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer

Copyright 2014 © Wendy van Dorp ISBN: 978-94-6259-093-9

Design and layout: Legatron Electronic Publishing, Rotterdam Printing: Ipskamp Drukkers, Enschede

The studies described in this thesis were financially supported by the Paediatric Oncology Foundation Rotterdam (KOCR).

Printing of this thesis was kindly supported by the Paediatric Oncology Foundation Rotterdam (KOCR), the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Erasmus MC Rotterdam, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Nederlandse Vereniging voor Obstetrie en Gynaecologie (NVOG), LVD ingenieurs b.v. and Goodlife Healthcare.

No part of this thesis may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without permission from the author or, when appropriate, from the publishers of the publications.

# DETERMINANTS OF GONADAL FUNCTION AFTER CHILDHOOD CANCER

Determinanten van gonadale functie na kinderkanker

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam op gezag van de rector magnificus

Prof.dr. H. A. P. Pols

en volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties. De openbare verdediging zal plaatsvinden op woensdag 16 april 2014 om 13.30 uur.

> Wendy van Dorp geboren te Vlaardingen

ERASMUS UNIVERSITEIT ROTTERDAM

# Promotiecommissie

| Promotoren:    | Prof.dr. J.S.E. Laven<br>Prof.dr. R. Pieters                                     |
|----------------|--|
| Overige leden: | Prof.dr. A.P.N. Themmen<br>Prof.dr. A.G. Uitterlinden<br>Prof.dr. G.J.L. Kaspers |
| Copromotor:    | Dr. M.M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink  |

Paranimfen: Y.V. Louwers S. Lie Fong

# Contents

| Chapter 1  | General introduction  | 7   |
|------------|---|-----|
| Chapter 2  | Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's<br>lymphoma treatment: a review   | 25  |
| Chapter 3  | Decreased serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer  | 55  |
| Chapter 4  | Characteristics and outcome of paediatric non-Hodgkin<br>lymphoma patients with ovarian infiltration at presentation  | 65  |
| Chapter 5  | Decreased ovarian function is associated with obesity in very long-term female survivors of childhood cancer  | 79  |
| Chapter 6  | Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors   | 95  |
| Chapter 7  | Recommendations for primary ovarian insufficiency<br>surveillance for female childhood cancer survivors: a report from<br>the international late effects of childhood cancer guideline<br>harmonization group | 111 |
| Chapter 8  | Treatment and pregnancy outcome of oocyte donation in a Dutch single-centre cohort  | 149 |
| Chapter 9  | Obesity independently influences gonadal function in very long-term adult male survivors of childhood cancer  | 165 |
| Chapter 10 | Gonadal function recovery in very long-term male survivors of childhood cancer  | 179 |
| Chapter 11 | Electroejaculation as a method of fertility preservation in boys diagnosed with cancer: a single-centre experience and review of the literature   | 193 |

| General discussion and perspectives | 207   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Summary                             | 224   |
| Samenvatting                        | 227   |
| Authors and affiliations            | 232   |
| List of abbreviations               | 234   |
| Bibliography                        | 237   |
| PhD portfolio                       | 240   |
| About the author                    | 243   |
| Dankwoord                           | 244   |
|                                     | General discussion and perspectives<br>Summary<br>Samenvatting<br>Authors and affiliations<br>List of abbreviations<br>Bibliography<br>PhD portfolio<br>About the author<br>Dankwoord |

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer of gonadal function **CEHACHTER** function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function adal function er childhood Determinants adal function er childhood Determinants adal function er childhood

**General introduction** 

# 1.1 | Childhood cancer and survival

Cancer is one of the most common causes of death in children aged 1–18 years in developed countries [1,2]. In the Netherlands, 600–700 children are diagnosed with cancer every year [3]. Among all age groups, one third is diagnosed with leukaemia, followed by solid tumours and tumours of the brain or central nervous system (Figure I).



Figure I | Incidence of childhood cancer in the Netherlands in 2011.

w/o=without; CNS=central nervous system; HLH=haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. Modified from SKION Basisregistratie, 2011.

Overall long-term survival of childhood cancer has steadily increased up to 75%, owing to optimised treatment regimens over the past 40 years (Figure II) [3]. As a result, approximately 7,000 long-term survivors of childhood cancer are currently living in the Netherlands. Due to the rapid expansion of the childhood cancer survivor population as well as their increased age, chronic middle- and long-term health sequelae resulting from cancer and its treatment have become more prevalent and thus require our attention [4].



Figure II | Five-year survival by cancer type in children 0–14 years. CNS=central nervous system; SNS=sympathetic nervous system. Modified from Stiller *et al*, 2007.

### Long-term side effects of childhood cancer treatment

Mortality and morbidity rates are higher among five-year survivors of childhood cancer compared with the general population. Mortality among these survivors significantly increases over time until approximately 18% at 30 years after diagnosis compared to <5% in the general US population [5]. Treatment regimens including irradiation – especially high dose cranial and total body irradiation – as well as the use of anthracyclines and alkylating agents are associated with higher mortality rates [6,7]. In addition, treatment-related complications such as secondary malignancies and cardiac disease are associated with a significantly increased mortality risk up to 25 years after initial diagnosis [6,8].

Besides the increased mortality risk, approximately 75% of the five-year survivors have developed at least one long-term complication of their cancer treatment. One-third of these effects has even been classified as severe or life threatening [9,10]. Late effects are commonly reported in adult survivors and demonstrate an increasing prevalence with longer time elapsed from diagnosis [4]. The occurrence of late effects depends on former cancer diagnosis, and more importantly on how it was treated. Secondary malignancies are more prevalent in female than in male survivors, especially due to the relatively high incidence of breast cancer in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors treated with mantle field radiotherapy [7,11,12]. This has led to a change in the treatment regimen, in which mantle field irradiation is now replaced by involved field techniques, involved node irradiation or even chemotherapy only. Other examples of treatment-related complications are anthracycline-related cardiomyopathy and congestive heart failure, irradiation-related pulmonary toxicity and chronic kidney disease related to nephrectomy, abdominal irradiation and nephrotoxic agents.

Endocrine disorders in survivors of childhood cancer are the most common late effects, affecting 20–50% of this population [4]. Especially survivors treated with cranial, abdominal or total body irradiation or alkylating agents are at risk due to the treatment effects on the hypothalamus, pituitary gland and/or endocrine organs [13]. In particular gonadal dysfunction and its subsequent decreased fertility have frequently been reported.

## 1.2 | Female gonadal function

Fertility is amongst other factors determined by the ovarian reserve, defined by the actual number of primordial follicles in both ovaries [14]. A maximum number of 6–7 million oogonia is attained by 16–20 weeks gestation [15-17]. From that stage onwards, the total cortical content of germ cells falls to 1–2 million at birth as a result of a prenatal oocyte depletion (Figure III) [15,18]. Because of this fixed initial endowment of germ cells, the new-born female enters life, still far from the period at which she will gain the full reproductive potential, having lost already 80% of her primordial follicles with their oocytes [19].

At the onset of puberty, 400,000 to 500,000 primordial follicles remain [16,17,20], hence again another 1.7 million oocytes were lost form birth to puberty onwards. During a woman's reproductive life span, the number of follicles decreases gradually at a fixed rate, until the age of 35–40 years. From this point onwards, follicle loss seems to be accelerated, and finally, the primordial follicle pool is exhausted as menopause is reached, when only a few thousand remain [21].



Figure III | The Wallace-Kelsey model of non-growing follicle populations from conception to menopause. This figure gives illustrative examples of NGF populations predicted by this model. At ages 20 weeks, birth, 13 years, 25 years and 35 years the average NGF population is given, together with the respective 95% prediction intervals.

NGF=non-growing follicle; PI=prediction interval (adapted, with permission, from Wallace and Kelsey, PloS One, 2010).

Normal reproductive function involves monthly follicle development, ovulation and preparation of the endometrium for implantation [22]. Both gonadotropins follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) are produced in the anterior pituitary gland and play a principal role in the regulation of ovarian function. Their secretion is regulated by gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH), a decapeptide secreted by the neurons of one of the basal nuclei in the hypothalamus. Both gonadotropins are necessary for follicular development and steroid hormone production in the ovary. In turn, oestrogens and inhibins regulate gonadotropin secretion and GnRH pulsatility by positive and negative feedback during the menstrual cycle (Figure IV).



**Figure IV** | Female hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. GnRH=gonadotropin-releasing hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone.

The interval extending from the late luteal decline in oestradiol as well as progesterone production to the selection of the dominant follicle is critical and decisive (Figure V) [23]. Due to the demise of the corpus luteum and the subsequent decrease in oestrogen and inhibin A production [24], FSH levels rise at the end of the luteal phase of the human menstrual cycle [25]. Initiation of growth of primordial follicles occurs continuously and in a random fashion. Follicle growth will eventually end

and follicles will enter atresia if the appropriate endocrine signal is lacking. Each growing follicle has a threshold for FSH. This so-called FSH threshold for a given follicle is not fixed but depends on its developmental stage. The threshold level should be surpassed to ensure ongoing follicle development. However, due to the profound rise in inhibin B serum levels during the early follicular phase, FSH serum concentrations tend to fall at the end of the early follicular phase. Hence, inhibin B limits the duration of the FSH rise, and thereby narrowing the FSH window i.e. the time span during which the FSH concentration is above the threshold, through negative feedback at the pituitary level. As a consequence the remaining circulating FSH levels are only enough to maintain growth of the largest follicle and this will lead to monofollicular growth which is typical in humans. In other words FSH serum levels steadily decrease during the mid- to late follicular phase of the menstrual cycle. The follicle that has gained dominance is less dependent on continued support by high early follicular phase FSH levels. Circulating FSH levels are suppressed to a concentration below the threshold for remaining follicles from the recruited cohort. These follicles will therefore cease to mature and undergo atresia. Hence, development of the most mature follicle secures selection of a single dominant follicle [26].

## (Early) menopause and primary ovarian insufficiency

In the European population, the age at natural menopause varies between 40 and 60 years, with a median of 52 years, and is largely heritable. Early menopause (EM) is defined as menopause before the age of 45 years and occurs in 5–10% of the general population [27-30]. Primary ovarian insufficiency (POI), formerly known as premature ovarian failure, is a fertility disorder characterised by amenorrhoea, increased gonadotropin levels (i.e. a monotropic rise in FSH) and low oestrogen levels in women before the age of 40 years [30]. It affects approximately 1% of the general population, though its prevalence in women with primary amenorrhoea rises up to 10–28% [19]. The aetiology is unknown in most cases. Some of the identified causes of POI are genetic (Turner syndrome and Fragile X syndrome), iatrogenic (after chemotherapy or radiotherapy), and autoimmune disorders (polyglandular endocrine syndromes) [31,32].

Hormone replacement therapy is recommended to prevent long-term health problems associated with the premature loss of sex steroid activity, including osteoporosis and cardiovascular disorders. It is noteworthy that spontaneous ovulations may occur. Therefore, when pregnancy is not desired, women should be appropriately counselled to use contraception [19]. Usually, the final option for achieving pregnancy in women diagnosed with POI is oocyte donation.

As mentioned above, the occurrence of menopause shows a large variation between women and has been found to be largely heritable [33,34]. Menopausal age is a complex trait, indicating that it is the result of a complex interplay of environmental and genetic factors. The latter have received growing attention in recent years, as these factors may help to identify the various processes that underlie ovarian ageing and its variation. It may also aid in a more accurate prediction of a woman's fertility.

In large genome-wide association (GWA) studies in the general population, age at natural menopause has been associated with variants of genes involved in DNA repair, DNA maintenance and immunity [35-37]. A recently published meta-analysis of GWA studies revealed that 17 variants

previously associated with age at natural menopause were also associated with EM [38]. This indicates that EM and natural menopause have an overlapping genetic aetiology and are at least partly explained by the effects of the same polygenic variants [38].



**Figure V** | Mean serum concentrations with 95 percentiles for LH, FSH, oestradiol and progesterone from 8 days prior to the mid-cycle LH peak until 10 days thereafter. The lower panels show the endometrium thickness and emergence and growth of the dominant follicle in relation to the time of the mid-cycle LH peak. Figures based on data from regularly cycling women.

FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone; E2=oestradiol; prog=progesterone. Modified from Macklon and Fauser, 2000 and Bakos *et al*, 1994.

Similarly, POI has also been found to be at least partly heritable. Although the majority of POI cases have idiopathic aetiologies, mutations directly causing POI have been identified in a small group of patients (*NOBOX, GDF9, LDX8*) [39]. Recently, the first two GWA studies have revealed a candidate region linked to the *ADAMTS19* gene [23] as well as an unknown region in between genes on chromosome 8 (8q22.3) [40]. Moreover, the 17 variants previously identified for natural and early menopause were similarly significantly associated with POI [38]. Indeed, POI as well as EM showed

considerable overlap. Finally, the number of risk alleles associated with the age of menopause seemed to be increased in women with POI compared to women who had their menopause between 45 and 60 years of age [38].

## Assessing ovarian reserve

Despite the evident changes in quantity and quality of follicles in women aged 35–45, ovarian ageing remains largely unnoticed since menstrual cycles remain regular until perimenopausal transition. Between the onset of cycle irregularity and the occurrence of menopause, a fixed temporal relationship is believed to be present, although longitudinal data are scarce. Because the age at which women become sterile shows the same degree of variation as observed for menopause, it is generally assumed that this event carries a fixed temporal relationship with the subsequent onset of cycle irregularity and menopause, with a presumed interval of ~5 and 10 years, respectively. The same fixed relationship might also be true for the age at which women start to become subfertile (defined as failing to achieve a vital pregnancy within one year; assumed mean age 31 years) (Figure VI).



Figure VI | The decline in follicle number (unbroken line) and the increase in poor-quality oocytes (dotted line) in relation to reproductive events with increasing female age. Modified from Klinkert, 2005, PhD thesis.

Therefore, cycle irregularity does not seem to be a reliable marker for the onset of decreasing fertility. As the number of primordial follicles is indirectly reflected by the number of growing follicles [41], the antral follicle count (AFC) is a reliable marker for ovarian ageing. AFC includes all follicles between 2 to 10 mm in diameter. Transvaginal ultrasound provides the most direct way to determine the AFC. However, intra- and inter-observer reproducibility of the AFC seems to be rather limited [42,43] and transvaginal ultrasounds are not feasible in young children en adolescents. Moreover, due to the fact that ultrasound machines become more and more sophisticated with higher resolutions, the need for new normative data is an emerging problem.

In most longitudinal studies, gonadal function is evaluated by measuring serum FSH and LH levels [44]. During the perimenopausal transition FSH as well as LH levels increase due to a decrease in steroid feedback. Due to the diminishing number of follicles and a concomitant decrease in granulosa cells, inhibin levels start to decrease too. Hence, the negative feedback signal for FSH is also decreased leading to a rise in FSH secretion. Therefore, FSH levels generally are higher than LH levels. This so-called monotropic rise in FSH is the hallmark of the menopausal transition [39]. One has to keep in mind that FSH starts to increase above normal levels when the ovarian reserve has already been reduced significantly, and it therefore constitutes a relative late sign of ovarian ageing [45]. Hence, menstrual history, assessment of the AFC and the measurement of serum FSH as well as serum inhibin B concentrations constitute late markers of ovarian ageing and do have the disadvantage of late detection, underlining the need for a more easily obtained and more reliable early marker of ovarian reserve.

Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) has been suggested to be such an earlier and more accurate marker for ovarian reserve. AMH, also known as Müllerian inhibiting substance, is a dimeric glycoprotein exclusively produced by granulosa cells of small growing follicles. It indirectly reflects the size of the primordial follicle pool in the ovaries. In rodents, AMH expression starts after birth and increases until follicles reach the small antral stage. From the antral stage onwards, AMH expression decreases again and is absent in the pre-ovulatory stage (Figure VII) [46-48]. This specific pattern between initial and cyclic recruitment indicates that AMH may have a role in these two important steps during folliculogenesis [18,46].

Because of the specific expression pattern solely in small growing follicles, it has been suggested that AMH could be a more accurate marker for ovarian reserve since it indirectly represents the size of the primordial pool. Indeed, AMH has been shown to be a reliable serum marker for ovarian reserve in mice, women and adult survivors of childhood cancer [49-54]. AMH can be easily determined in post-pubertal women, since its serum levels are relatively stable during and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to the cyclic fluctuations observed in serum FSH levels [55]. In children, AMH levels rise during infancy, whereas AMH levels show only minor fluctuations during childhood and adolescence. In addition, the negative AMH–FSH correlation in pre-pubertal girls supports the notion that AMH is a quantitative marker of ovarian follicles even in young girls [56]. Moreover, AMH has been found to be highly predictive for the onset of menopause [45,57,58]. Recent studies have shown that several years prior to menopausal transition, serum AMH levels were low or undetectable and were more predictive for the onset of menopause compared to estimates based on chronological age (82-84). Nomograms predicting the age at menopause have been successfully reported [59,60]. Hitherto, serum AMH levels are the earliest identified marker of ovarian ageing and best reflect the reproductive decline.



Figure VII | Initial recruitment and cycle recruitment during stages of folliculogenesis, with AMH expression and AMH function.

AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone. Modified from Visser et al, 2006.

## Female gonadal function after childhood cancer

In female survivors of childhood cancer, loss of gonadal function is one of the major long-term side effects of treatment. Damage to the ovaries causes follicle loss and may result in POI. Both alkylating agents and abdominal irradiation can have a deleterious, dose-dependent effect on ovarian function [22,54,61]. In addition, multi-drug regimens may have a cumulative toxic effect on reproductive function. In case of the use of different alkylating agents, the alkylating agent dose (AAD) score can be used to sum the total impact of alkylating agents [61,62].

Radiotherapy may also cause damage to the uterus in addition to its gonadotoxic effects. In turn, this may lead to premature labour due to decreased distension of the uterine cavity. Moreover, low birth weight due to defective placentation might be encountered in women after abdominal radiotherapy. Finally, a higher incidence of post-partum haemorrhage was observed probably as a result of decreased contractility of the smooth muscle fibres of the uterus [61,63-66]. Therefore, close monitoring during pregnancy and intra-partum is warranted in women treated with abdominal radiotherapy [65,67].

Options for fertility preservation, such as cryopreservation of embryos after an IVF procedure are available for adult women with partners undergoing cancer treatment [68]. Ovarian tissue preservation or oocyte vitrification are newly emerging options for young women with a menstrual cycle. However, embryo and oocyte cryopreservation cannot be offered to younger pre-pubertal girls, and cryopreservation of ovarian tissue is only offered to these girls in an experimental setting. Still it is important to counsel patients on the effects their cancer treatment might have on their ovarian function and the subsequent fertility before treatment is initiated.

Although cancer treatment has been associated with loss of gonadal function, the extent of gonadal damage differs between equally treated cancer survivors. Knowledge on other potential determinants, such as obesity and genetic influence, is lacking but required to improve childhood cancer care.

## 1.3 | Male gonadal function

The healthy male hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis controls two functions that are essential for male reproduction: the production of appropriate quantities of sex steroid hormones (i.e. androgens) and the generation of healthy mature male gametes capable of fertilising an oocyte. In boys, the onset of puberty is associated with alterations in the circulating concentrations of reproductive hormones, i.e. FSH, LH, testosterone and inhibin B.

The gonadotropins FSH and LH are produced by the pituitary gland in response to stimulation by GnRH from the hypothalamus (Figure VIII). LH in turn stimulates the Leydig cells in the testicular interstitium to synthesize and secrete testosterone. The actions of LH are indirectly supported by FSH, which induces the expression of LH receptors on testicular Leydig cells [69]. Testosterone is secreted both into the circulation and into the lumen of the seminiferous tubules, where it is highly concentrated in order to support spermatogenesis in the germinal epithelium and sperm maturation in the epididymis. Hence, concentrations within the testis are 50–100 times higher than in blood [70,71]. This concentration is aided by the fact that Sertoli cells produce androgen binding protein (ABP) upon FSH stimulation [71]. In addition, the Sertoli cells produce inhibin B after stimulation by FSH [19]. The production of inhibin B and testosterone results in a negative feedback to the pituitary and hypothalamus and thereby reducing the secretion of the pituitary gonadotropins.

#### Assessing male gonadal function

Semen analysis is the gold standard to establish a men's fertility potential. However, both in adolescents and young adults without a wish to father yet, obtaining semen for analysis can be a challenge due to the inability to produce semen due to stress, embarrassment or immaturity. Hence, the use of an alternative first screening method would be of value.

In the past, serum levels of LH, FSH and testosterone have been used to assess male gonadal function and fertility. An increased serum FSH is considered to be the first indicator of testicular dysfunction [72]. However, FSH is an indirect and late marker of gonadal function since it increases, similar to the female system, because of a compensatory response of the pituitary gland to loss of negative feedback as a result of low levels of testosterone and, especially falling levels of inhibin B.





Over the last decades, inhibin B has been identified as a reliable direct marker for assessment of the testicular function. It is produced by Sertoli cells in the testes, and inhibits the production of FSH in the pituitary. Inhibin B is strongly correlated with sperm counts in both healthy and subfertile men [72-76]. Nevertheless, in current medical practice where assisted reproductive technology (ART) is available, lower inhibin B levels do not necessarily mean infertility, since nowadays only low numbers of motile spermatozoa are required for successful ART. Although inhibin B can be used as marker for gonadal function, it cannot reliably predict successful cryopreservation of semen in boys diagnosed with cancer [77].

## Male gonadal function after childhood cancer

In male childhood cancer survivors, gonadal dysfunction is largely determined by cancer treatment (Table I). Especially survivors who were treated with alkylating agents and/or testis irradiation are at risk for gonadal dysfunction, independent of the age at which the cancer treatment is administered [74,77-79].

The testicular germinal epithelium is particularly sensitive to radiation. Spermatogenesis can be impaired by direct testicular irradiation, but also by scatter radiation resulting from other treatment fields such as the pelvis and bladder [80]. Impaired spermatogenesis is observed after testicular doses as low as 0.1 Gy, and recovery is unlikely after a single testicular dose exceeding 4 to 6 Gy [81].

| Complication                                 | Therapy           |   |  |
|--|-------------------|---|--|
| Hypoandrogenism:                             | Alkylating agents | 5   |  |
| <ul> <li>delayed/arrested puberty</li> </ul> | Radiation:        | ≥ 20 Gy:                                    | – testis   |
| <ul> <li>low testosterone</li> </ul>         |                   |   | – pelvis   |
|  |                   | ≥ 30 Gy:                                    | <ul> <li>cranial-neuroendocrine axis</li> </ul>  |
|  |                   |   | – orbital/eye  |
|  |                   |   | – ear/infratemporal  |
|  |                   |   | – nasopharyngeal   |
|  |                   |   | <ul> <li>Waldeyer's ring</li> </ul>  |
|  |                   | Other fields combined with                  | – flank/hemi abdomen   |
|  |                   | alkylating agents:                          | <ul> <li>whole abdomen</li> </ul>  |
|  |                   |   | – inverted Y   |
|  |                   |   | <ul> <li>prostate/bladder</li> </ul>   |
|  |                   |   | – TBI  |
|  | Surgery:          | Orchiectomy/ Hypothalamic<br>pituitary axis |  |
| Reduced fertility:                           | Alkylating agents | 5   |  |
| – oligospermia                               | Radiation:        | Any testicular dose                         | – Flank/hemi abdomen   |
| – azoospermia                                |                   |   | <ul> <li>Whole abdomen, inverted</li> <li>Y, pelvic, prostate/bladder/</li> <li>iliac</li> </ul> |
|  |                   |   | – Inguinal/femoral   |
|  |                   |   | – TBI  |
|  |                   | ≥ 30 Gy:                                    | - cranial-neuroendocrine axis  |
|  |                   |   | – orbital/eye  |
|  |                   |   | – ear/infratemporal  |
|  |                   |   | – nasopharyngeal   |
|  |                   |   | <ul> <li>Waldeyer's ring</li> </ul>  |
|  | Surgery:          | Orchiectomy/                                |  |
|  |                   | Hypothalamic pituitary axis                 |  |

Table I | Gonadal dysfunction in male survivors of childhood cancer and treatment-related risk factors.

TBI=total body irradiation. Modified from Kenney et al, 2012.

Studies on recovery of spermatogenesis in long-term survivors of childhood cancer are scarce. In 2/12 survivors of childhood Hodgkin lymphoma recovery has been observed even after 12–15 years following treatment. However, another study in 19 survivors did not show any recovery of gonadal function [82,83]. Larger studies are required to investigate whether and in which cases long-term recovery of spermatogenesis is possible.

# 1.4 | Outline of this thesis

The aim of this thesis is threefold. First of all we would like to identify determinants of gonadal dysfunction apart from cancer treatment in male and female survivors of childhood cancer. Secondly, we would like to study if and how such parameters of gonadal dysfunction recover in men after childhood cancer treatment (Figure IX). Thirdly, we would like to evaluate alternative fertility options for survivors of childhood cancer.

Chapter two reviews the literature on long-term endocrine effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment. From chapter three onwards, studies on determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer are presented. In chapter three, we describe a study on pre-treatment AMH levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer. A study on characteristics and outcome of ovarian infiltration in girls with non-Hodgkin lymphoma is discussed in chapter four. Chapter five focusses on the association between obesity and gonadal function in female survivors of childhood cancer. In chapter six, we describe a study on genetic variation of ovarian reserve in female survivors of childhood cancer. Chapter seven describes the international harmonised primary ovarian insufficiency surveillance recommendations for female childhood cancer survivors. Treatment and pregnancy outcomes of oocyte donation, as final fertility option for women with primary ovarian insufficiency, are described in chapter eight. The study on the association between obesity and gonadal function in male survivors of childhood cancer is presented in chapter nine. In chapter ten, we studied gonadal function recovery and its predictors in male survivors of childhood cancer. Chapter eleven focusses on procedures to preserve semen in boys with newly diagnosed cancer, in particular electroejaculation. Finally, chapter twelve covers the general discussion and overall conclusions of this thesis, and provides recommendations for future research.



Figure IX | Gonadal reserve after childhood cancer and its potential determinants.

# 1

- References
- 1. Kaatsch P. Epidemiology of childhood cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. 2010; 36:277-85.
- 2. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin. 2010; 60:277-300.
- 3. SKION Jaarverslag 2012. 2012; Available from: https://www.skion.nl/workspace/uploads/Skion-Jaarverslag-2012-low-web.pdf.
- 4. Diller L, Chow EJ, Gurney JG, Hudson MM, Kadin-Lottick NS, Kawashima TI, Leisenring WM, Meacham LR, Mertens AC, et al. Chronic disease in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort: a review of published findings. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:2339-55.
- Armstrong GT, Liu Q, Yasui Y, Neglia JP, Leisenring W, Robison LL, Mertens AC. Late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: a summary from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:2328-38.
- Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Neglia JP, Potter JD, Nesbit ME, Jr., Ruccione K, Smithson WA, Robison LL. Late mortality experience in five-year survivors of childhood and adolescent cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2001; 19:3163-72.
- Zhang Y, Goddard K, Spinelli JJ, Gotay C, McBride ML. Risk of Late Mortality and Second Malignant Neoplasms among 5-Year Survivors of Young Adult Cancer: A Report of the Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancer Survivors Research Program. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2012; 2012:103032.
- Mertens AC, Liu Q, Neglia JP, Wasilewski K, Leisenring W, Armstrong GT, Robison LL, Yasui Y. Cause-specific late mortality among 5-year survivors of childhood cancer: the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008; 100:1368-79.
- 9. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- 10. Geenen MM, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Kremer LC, van den Bos C, van der Pal HJ, Heinen RC, Jaspers MW, Koning CC, Oldenburger F, et al. Medical assessment of adverse health outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:2705-15.
- 11. Cardous-Ubbink MC, Heinen RC, Bakker PJ, van den Berg H, Oldenburger F, Caron HN, Voute PA, van Leeuwen FE. Risk of second malignancies in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007; 43:351-62.
- 12. Neglia JP, Friedman DL, Yasui Y, Mertens AC, Hammond S, Stovall M, Donaldson SS, Meadows AT, Robison LL. Second malignant neoplasms in five-year survivors of childhood cancer: childhood cancer survivor study. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2001; 93:618-29.
- 13. Gurney JG, Kadan-Lottick NS, Packer RJ, Neglia JP, Sklar CA, Punyko JA, Stovall M, Yasui Y, Nicholson HS, et al. Endocrine and cardiovascular late effects among adult survivors of childhood brain tumors: Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Cancer*. 2003; 97:663-73.
- 14. te Velde ER, Pearson PL. The variability of female reproductive ageing. Hum Reprod Update. 2002; 8:141-54.
- 15. Vaskivuo TE, Tapanainen JS. Apoptosis in the human ovary. Reprod Biomed Online. 2003; 6:24-35.
- 16. Baker TG. A Quantitative and Cytological Study of Germ Cells in Human Ovaries. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1963; 158:417-33.
- 17. Forabosco A, Sforza C. Establishment of ovarian reserve: a quantitative morphometric study of the developing human ovary. *Fertil Steril.* 2007; 88:675-83.
- 18. McGee EA, Hsueh AJ. Initial and cyclic recruitment of ovarian follicles. *Endocr Rev.* 2000; 21:200-14.
- 19. Speroff L FM. Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology And Infertility. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
- 20. Macklon NS, Fauser BC. Aspects of ovarian follicle development throughout life. Horm Res. 1999; 52:161-70.
- 21. Gougeon A. The biological aspects of risks of infertility due to age: the female side. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique*. 2005; 53 Spec No 2:2S37-45.
- 22. van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; 92:3869-74.

- 23. Knauff EA, Franke L, van Es MA, van den Berg LH, van der Schouw YT, Laven JS, Lambalk CB, Hoek A, Goverde AJ, et al. Genome-wide association study in premature ovarian failure patients suggests ADAMTS19 as a possible candidate gene. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:2372-8.
- 24. le Nestour E, Marraoui J, Lahlou N, Roger M, de Ziegler D, Bouchard P. Role of estradiol in the rise in folliclestimulating hormone levels during the luteal-follicular transition. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1993; 77:439-42.
- 25. Roseff SJ, Bangah ML, Kettel LM, Vale W, Rivier J, Burger HG, Yen SS. Dynamic changes in circulating inhibin levels during the luteal-follicular transition of the human menstrual cycle. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1989; 69:1033-9.
- Fauser BC, Van Heusden AM. Manipulation of human ovarian function: physiological concepts and clinical consequences. *Endocr Rev.* 1997; 18:71-106.
- 27. Davis CJ, Davison RM, Conway GS. Genetic basis of premature ovarian failure. Hum Fertil (Camb). 1998; 1:20-2.
- 28. Shelling AN. Premature ovarian failure. *Reproduction*. 2010; 140:633-41.
- 29. Coulam CB, Adamson SC, Annegers JF. Incidence of premature ovarian failure. Obstet Gynecol. 1986; 67:604-6.
- 30. Conway GS. Premature ovarian failure. Br Med Bull. 2000; 56:643-9.
- 31. Hovatta O. Pregnancies in women with Turner's syndrome. Ann Med. 1999; 31:106-10.
- 32. Kavic SM, Sauer MV. Oocyte donation treats infertility in survivors of malignancies: ten-year experience. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2001; 18:181-3.
- 33. Schlessinger D, Herrera L, Crisponi L, Mumm S, Percesepe A, Pellegrini M, Pilia G, Forabosco A. Genes and translocations involved in POF. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002; 111:328-33.
- 34. Conway GS, Payne NN, Webb J, Murray A, Jacobs PA. Fragile X premutation screening in women with premature ovarian failure. *Hum Reprod.* 1998; 13:1184-7.
- 35. Stolk L, Perry JR, Chasman DI, He C, Mangino M, Sulem P, Barbalic M, Broer L, Byrne EM, et al. Meta-analyses identify 13 loci associated with age at menopause and highlight DNA repair and immune pathways. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:260-8.
- 36. Stolk L, Zhai G, van Meurs JB, Verbiest MM, Visser JA, Estrada K, Rivadeneira F, Williams FM, Cherkas L, et al. Loci at chromosomes 13, 19 and 20 influence age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:645-7.
- 37. He C, Kraft P, Chasman DI, Buring JE, Chen C, Hankinson SE, Pare G, Chanock S, Ridker PM, et al. A largescale candidate gene association study of age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Hum Genet*. 2010; 128:515-27.
- Perry JR, Corre T, Esko T, Chasman DI, Fischer K, Franceschini N, He C, Kutalik Z, Mangino M, et al. A genomewide association study of early menopause and the combined impact of identified variants. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2013; 22:1465-72.
- 39. Skillern A, Rajkovic A. Recent developments in identifying genetic determinants of premature ovarian failure. *Sex Dev.* 2008; 2:228-43.
- 40. Qin Y, Zhao H, Xu J, Shi Y, Li Z, Qiao J, Liu J, Qin C, Ren C, et al. Association of 8q22.3 locus in Chinese Han with idiopathic premature ovarian failure (POF). *Hum Mol Genet*. 2012; 21:430-6.
- 41. Scheffer GJ, Broekmans FJ, Looman CW, Blankenstein M, Fauser BC, teJong FH, teVelde ER. The number of antral follicles in normal women with proven fertility is the best reflection of reproductive age. *Hum Reprod*. 2003; 18:700-6.
- 42. Pache TD, Wladimiroff JW, de Jong FH, Hop WC, Fauser BC. Growth patterns of nondominant ovarian follicles during the normal menstrual cycle. *Fertil Steril*. 1990; 54:638-42.
- 43. Scheffer GJ, Broekmans FJ, Bancsi LF, Habbema JD, Looman CW, Te Velde ER. Quantitative transvaginal twoand three-dimensional sonography of the ovaries: reproducibility of antral follicle counts. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2002; 20:270-5.
- 44. Burger HG, Dudley EC, Hopper JL, Shelley JM, Green A, Smith A, Dennerstein L, Morse C. The endocrinology of the menopausal transition: a cross-sectional study of a population-based sample. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1995; 80:3537-45.
- 45. van Disseldorp J, Faddy MJ, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Peeters PH, van der Schouw YT, Broekmans FJ. Relationship of serum antimullerian hormone concentration to age at menopause. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 93:2129-34.

- 46. Gruijters MJ, Visser JA, Durlinger AL, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone and its role in ovarian function. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2003; 211:85-90.
- 47. Weenen C, Laven JS, Von Bergh AR, Cranfield M, Groome NP, Visser JA, Kramer P, Fauser BC, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone expression pattern in the human ovary: potential implications for initial and cyclic follicle recruitment. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2004; 10:77-83.
- 48. Durlinger AL, Visser JA, Themmen AP. Regulation of ovarian function: the role of anti-Mullerian hormone. *Reproduction*. 2002; 124:601-9.
- 49. Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, de Rijke YB, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Roes EM, Peters WH, Hokken-Koelega AC, et al. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels in healthy females: a nomogram ranging from infancy to adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:4650-5.
- 50. Brougham MF, Crofton PM, Johnson EJ, Evans N, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a marker of gonadotoxicity in pre- and postpubertal girls treated for cancer: a prospective study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; 97:2059-67.
- 51. Visser JA, de Jong FH, Laven JS, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function. *Reproduction*. 2006; 131:1-9.
- 52. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 53. Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 54. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- 55. Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Mouritsen A, Andersson AM, Petersen JH, Main KM, Juul A. Individual serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone in healthy girls persist through childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal cohort study. *Hum Reprod*. 2012; 27:861-6.
- 57. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Gracia CR. Anti-mullerian hormone as a predictor of time to menopause in late reproductive age women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:1673-80.
- 58. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Boorman DW, Gracia CR. Contribution of the rate of change of antimullerian hormone in estimating time to menopause for late reproductive-age women. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 98:1254-9 e2.
- 59. Broer SL, Eijkemans MJ, Scheffer GJ, van Rooij IA, de Vet A, Themmen AP, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, et al. Anti-mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; 96:2532-9.
- 60. Tehrani FR, Shakeri N, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Azizi F. Predicting age at menopause from serum antimullerian hormone concentration. *Menopause*. 2011; 18:766-70.
- 61. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:2677-85.
- 62. Tucker MA, Meadows AT, Boice JD, Jr., Stovall M, Oberlin O, Stone BJ, Birch J, Voute PA, Hoover RN, et al. Leukemia after therapy with alkylating agents for childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1987; 78:459-64.
- 63. Critchley HO. Factors of importance for implantation and problems after treatment for childhood cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1999; 33:9-14.
- 64. Critchley HO, Bath LE, Wallace WH. Radiation damage to the uterus -- review of the effects of treatment of childhood cancer. *Hum Fertil (Camb)*. 2002; 5:61-6.
- 65. Lie Fong S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Eijkemans MJ, Schipper I, Hukkelhoven CW, Laven JS. Pregnancy outcome in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2010; 25:1206-12.

- 66. Signorello LB, Cohen SS, Bosetti C, Stovall M, Kasper CE, Weathers RE, Whitton JA, Green DM, Donaldson SS, et al. Female survivors of childhood cancer: preterm birth and low birth weight among their children. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006; 98:1453-61.
- 67. Signorello LB, Mulvihill JJ, Green DM, Munro HM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Mertens AC, Whitton JA, Robison LL, et al. Stillbirth and neonatal death in relation to radiation exposure before conception: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:624-30.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24:2917-31.
- 69. Ketelslegers JM, Hetzel WD, Sherins RJ, Catt KJ. Developmental changes in testicular gonadotropin receptors: plasma gonadotropins and plasma testosterone in the rat. *Endocrinology*. 1978; 103:212-22.
- 70. Morse HC, Horike N, Rowley MJ, Heller CG. Testosterone concentrations in testes of normal men: effects of testosterone propionate administration. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1973; 37:882-6.
- 71. McLachlan RI, O'Donnell L, Meachem SJ, Stanton PG, de K, Pratis K, Robertson DM. Hormonal regulation of spermatogenesis in primates and man: insights for development of the male hormonal contraceptive. *J Androl.* 2002; 23:149-62.
- 72. Pierik FH, Vreeburg JT, Stijnen T, De Jong FH, Weber RF. Serum inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998; 83:3110-4.
- 73. Klingmuller D, Haidl G. Inhibin B in men with normal and disturbed spermatogenesis. *Hum Reprod*. 1997; 12:2376-8.
- 74. van Beek RD, Smit M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Jong FH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Weber RF, Pieters R, et al. Inhibin B is superior to FSH as a serum marker for spermatogenesis in men treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy during childhood. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:3215-22.
- 75. Donaldson SS. Hodgkin's disease: treatment with low dose radiation and chemotherapy. *Front Radiat Ther Oncol.* 1981; 16:122-33.
- 76. De Bruin ML, Sparidans J, van't Veer MB, Noordijk EM, Louwman MW, Zijlstra JM, van den Berg H, Russell NS, Broeks A, et al. Breast cancer risk in female survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: lower risk after smaller radiation volumes. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:4239-46.
- 77. van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 78. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:332-9.
- 79. Kenney LB, Cohen LE, Shnorhavorian M, Metzger ML, Lockart B, Hijiya N, Duffey-Lind E, Constine L, Green D, et al. Male reproductive health after childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30:3408-16.
- 80. Fraass BA, Kinsella TJ, Harrington FS, Glatstein E. Peripheral dose to the testes: the design and clinical use of a practical and effective gonadal shield. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1985; 11:609-15.
- 81. Rowley MJ, Leach DR, Warner GA, Heller CG. Effect of graded doses of ionizing radiation on the human testis. *Radiat Res.* 1974; 59:665-78.
- 82. Ortin TT, Shostak CA, Donaldson SS. Gonadal status and reproductive function following treatment for Hodgkin's disease in childhood: the Stanford experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1990; 19:873-80.
- 83. Heikens J, Behrendt H, Adriaanse R, Berghout A. Irreversible gonadal damage in male survivors of pediatric Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer.* 1996; 78:2020-4.

**CHAPTER** Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review W van Dorp & RD van Beek, JSE Laven, R Pieters, SMPF de Muinck Keizer-Schrama, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink Hum Reprod Update 2012;18(1):12-28

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Since childhood cancer survival has increased, long-term effects of treatment have gained interest. Childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma has been treated successfully for decades now. We provide an overview of the literature on long-term endocrine side effects, such as gonadal dysfunction and growth retardation, as a result of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment.

Methods: A comprehensive search of the Pubmed database was performed.

**Results:** We identified 16 studies (10 studies: 298 male survivors and 6 studies: 230 female survivors) about gonadal dysfunction. In survivors treated with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy, severe gonadal damage is described. Recovery was rarely described. Seven studies (481 survivors) about bone mineral density (BMD) and growth were identified. The effects on BMD appear to be small. Data on growth are scarce, but show that radiotherapy in a dose of >30 Gy including the spine, especially in pre-pubertal children, results in reduced height. We included 10 studies (4,012 survivors) about thyroid complications. Hypothyroidism is the most common thyroid disorder after radiotherapy. There is also a significantly increased incidence in thyroid carcinoma after low-dose radiation. In survivors treated with chemotherapy only, hypothyroidism and thyroid cancer have not been reported.

**Conclusion:** The severity of endocrine toxicity after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma depends on the type of treatment. Gonadal dysfunction seems to be the most severe endocrine long-term effect, especially after treatment with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy. The knowledge obtained in specific follow-up programmes for paediatric cancer survivors will help to find the optimal balance between curability and long-term side effects.

## INTRODUCTION

Childhood cancer has become a curable disease. Currently, two-third of all children with cancer reach long-term survival [1-6]. Hence, long-term effects of treatment in childhood cancer survivors have been acknowledged to be of major importance. It has been estimated that 70% of survivors have developed at least one of the long-term sequelae resulting from treatment. One-third of these effects have even been classified as severe or life threatening [7,8]. In Hodgkin lymphoma survivors, 70% reported at least one chronic condition [9].

Hodgkin's lymphoma is a malignancy of the lymph nodes and lymphatic system with possible involvement of other organs. It has two incidence peaks. The first peak occurs between the ages of 15 and 30 years and the second between 45 and 55 years. Hodgkin's lymphoma is very rare in children under 15 years of age [10]. Paediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma has a very good prognosis: an event free survival (EFS) up to 93% and an overall survival of even 96% have been reported [11-17]. The treatment of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma consists of chemotherapy, radiotherapy or a combination of both. In former years, treatment of paediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma often involved extended field high-dose radiation therapy, which was associated with several severe side effects, such as secondary malignancies [18-20]. Currently, most paediatric oncology centres use a risk-adapted treatment schedule consisting of both chemotherapy and low-dose, involved field or even involved node radiotherapy with high EFSs [21-28]. In a few centres, it was decided to treat childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy only in order to minimize the risk of severe late effects, such as infertility, while preserving good cure rates [13,17].

Both chemotherapy and radiotherapy have serious potential side effects, especially when used in children. In both Canadian and US studies, a high prevalence of self-reported somatic health problems is followed by a higher risk of psychosocial late effects, such as a lower educational level, unemployment, low income and fewer close friends [29-32]. In a Dutch cohort, there were only small differences in health-related quality of life and the burden of self-reported somatic disease [33]. Several follow-up studies have been performed to investigate the incidence of long-term side effects (such as abnormal cardiac function, auditory dysfunction and endocrine disruption) in all childhood cancer survivors. In general, long-term side effects of chemotherapy depend on the patient characteristics (e.g. age), type of chemotherapy (e.g. alkylating agents, anthracyclines) and cumulative dose, whereas the toxicity of radiotherapy is related to the dosage and extent of the irradiated field [8,34,35].

In this paper, we present the available literature on endocrine late sequelae after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment with a special focus on gonadal dysfunction, growth, bone mineral density (BMD), body composition and thyroid dysfunction.

## **METHODS**

To provide an overview and summary of the known long-term endocrine effects of Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment, a comprehensive search of the Pubmed database was performed for all articles published until February 2011. Search-criteria relevant to childhood cancer survivors and long-term endocrine effects were used: childhood cancer survivors, childhood, adolescent, Hodgkin's lymphoma, endocrine effects, growth, BMD, thyroid function, gonadal function and ovarian reserve. If not included initially, cross-references picked up during the review procedure were also selected. Only articles published in the English language were included. In this way, we identified 188 papers. After carefully reading the abstracts, 166 papers were excluded because they describe the late effects of all childhood cancer treatments instead of the effects of Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment only. The 22 papers remaining and another 11 papers identified through cross-reference searches resulted in a total of 33 papers concerning specific late endocrine effects of the treatment of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma.

## RESULTS

## Gonads

An important side effect of both radiotherapy and chemotherapy is gonadal dysfunction or complete loss of gonadal activity. This might result in reduced fertility or even infertility. Moreover, in women it might also cause a subsequent loss of bone mass due to the depletion of oestrogens.

#### Male survivors

We identified 10 studies, involving 298 male survivors, concerning male gonadal dysfunction after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment in Table I. Azoospermia and oligospermia are common long-term side effects in male childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma patients after radiotherapy and chemotherapy, especially when alkylating agents, e.g. mustine or procarbazine, were used.

*Endocrine markers for male fertility.* In most follow-up studies of long-term childhood cancer survivors, combinations of testicular volume, semen analysis and/or serum levels of LH, FSH and testosterone are assessed to evaluate gonadal function and fertility. An increase in serum FSH is considered to be the first indirect indicator of testicular dysfunction [36] and is reported more frequently after alkylating chemotherapy compared with treatment without alkylating chemotherapy [12,37-39]. In general, the levels of LH and FSH are positively associated with the cumulative dose of alkylating agents [12,40,41]. No LH/FSH changes were found after chemotherapy with low dosages of alkylating agents [37,38].

Recently, inhibin B has been identified as a good direct marker for assessment of testicular function. This hormone is produced by Sertoli cells of the testis, and inhibits the production of FSH in the pituitary. Inhibin B is strongly correlated with sperm counts [36,41,42]. In current medical practice where assisted reproductive technology (ART) is available, lower inhibin B levels do

not necessarily mean infertility, but lower levels are associated with lower sperm counts in both healthy and subfertile men [36,42]. Inhibin B, as a marker for gonadal impairment, was found to be lower in long-term survivors of childhood cancer [43] (Figure I). Only two studies in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors used inhibin B as a marker for male gonadal function. One small study reported decreased inhibin B levels in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine and prednisone (MOPP) and adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine (ABVD) or cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine and prednisolone (COPP) and ABVD compared with controls [44]. We showed in male survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma that inhibin B was decreased after treatment with MOPP but not after epirubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine (EBVD). Moreover, inhibin B was a better indicator for spermatogenesis in long-term childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors than FSH [41].



Figure I | Inhibin B values of controls and childhood cancer survivors. Each dot represents one person. The horizontal lines represent the median values of inhibin B in the normospermic controls (176.5 ng/L) and in the survivors (123.0 ng/L, p<0.0001) (adapted, with permission, from: van Casteren *et al*, Pediatr Blood Cancer 2009).

Sperm quality. Nearly all male Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with alkylating chemotherapy only, suffer from oligospermia or azoospermia. This is the case in both adult Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors [45-48] and childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors [41,49]. We showed that 12 out of 17 (70%) long-term male survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treated without radiotherapy but with MOPP had azoospermia or severe oligospermia, whereas all survivors treated without alkylating chemotherapy had normospermia [41]. After 10 years of follow-up in a small group of survivors, no recovery of spermatogenesis was observed [49]. In adult Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, recovery of spermatogenesis after alkylating chemotherapy (such as procarbazine) has only been reported in a small proportion within 5–15 years follow-up, but survivors treated with

ABVD appear to be at a significant advantage over survivors treated with MOPP in terms of testicular function, as demonstrated by the return to normal fertility in the vast majority of survivors treated with ABVD [47,50-53]. Although animal studies suggest a protection against the cytotoxic effects of chemotherapy before puberty in both males and females [54], recent clinical studies in male patients treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma show no difference in the severity of the gonadal damage [41] or the chance of recovery of spermatogenesis between boys treated before puberty and those treated during or after puberty [55,56]. Reduction of alkylating agents reduces the risk of gonadal damage as detected by increased serum FSH levels and decreased inhibin B levels [37]. We recently reported, using sperm analyses combined with fertility markers like inhibin B, that gonadal damage was significantly related to the cumulative dosages of alkylating agents in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors [41].

Since the testis is one of the most radiosensitive tissues, very low dosages of radiation can cause severe gonadal damage. When treated with testicular irradiation dosages below 0.2 Gy, no significant effect on FSH levels or sperm counts was observed in adult patients treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma. When treated with dosages between 0.2 and 0.7 Gy, a transient dose-dependent increase in FSH and reduction in sperm concentration were observed, with a return to normal values within 12-24 months (n=17) [57]. Ten adult Hodgkin's lymphoma patients treated with testicular doses of radiation of 1.2–3 Gy were all azoospermic following treatment, with no recovery up to 15 months of follow-up. After 15 months, four patients showed recovery and up to 40 months later recovery was observed in the another [58]. After 17-43 months, no recovery was observed in patients treated with 1.4-2.6 Gy, but a return of fertility was seen in two patients treated with testicular radiation dosages of 1.2 Gy. This may represent a threshold for permanent testicular damage [59]. In survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia treated with total body irradiation (TBI) or testicular irradiation (n=7), severe gonadal damage was observed [60]. In childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, pelvic radiotherapy in a small group of adult males caused azoospermia that was reversible in most cases (66%–96%) within 2 years after cessation of therapy [61,62]. Ortin et al reported a small group of boys treated with pelvic radiotherapy (30-45 Gy). Recovery of spermatogenesis occurred after long-term follow-up, and recovery to normal levels was less frequent (2 out of 12: 17%) than reported in patients treated during adulthood [56].

In childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors in whom radiotherapy and chemotherapy were combined, spermatogenesis was disturbed in up to 75–100% of the male patients, similar to studies with chemotherapy including alkylating agents [55,56,63,64] (Table I).

In conclusion, in male childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy, severe gonadal damage is described. In survivors treated without alkylating agents, normal gonadal function was observed. Gonadal damage is significantly related to the cumulative dosages of alkylating agents. Recent studies with a long (>10 years) follow-up have shown recovery of spermatogenesis in a small proportion of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors. Therefore, prolonged follow-up studies are recommended to investigate recovery and to determine possible fertility treatment in male survivors.

| ors. |
|------|
| rziv |
| l su |
| oma  |
| Ĕ    |
| /mp  |
| ÷    |
| Ľ,   |
| Ξ    |
| 3    |
| ŏ    |
| ±.   |
| 8    |
| õ    |
| 늉    |
| 1    |
| ÷    |
| nale |
|      |
| o    |
| ÷Ē   |
| fun  |
| lar  |
| Ы    |
| ÷    |
| tes  |
| n    |
| ŝ    |
| die  |
| Stu  |
| =    |
| Ð    |
| lda  |
| F.   |

| (range) <sup>b</sup> follow-up $cr$ $Rr$ $aren analysis$ serturm           Helkens <i>et al</i> (1996)         19         11 years         10 years         10 years         10 years $r$   | Author                                    | nª | Age                         | Median     | Therapy                  |    |    |                  | Go              | nadal eva | aluation |          |                                 |
|---|---|----|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|----|----|------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------------------------|
| CT         RI         n         accurate many set<br>and (1996)         curate and (1996)         curate and (1996) <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>(range)<sup>b</sup></th><th>follow-up</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Camon and</th><th>liveie</th><th></th><th>Con</th><th>markarc</th><th></th></th<> |   |    | (range) <sup>b</sup>        | follow-up  |                          |    |    | Camon and        | liveie          |           | Con      | markarc  |                                 |
| Heikens et al (1996)       19       11 years       10 years       MOPP       0       18       67%       33%       19       7         Ortin et al (1990)       20       13 years       9 years       MOPP       0       18       67%       33%       19       7         Mackie et al (1990)       20       13 years       9 years       MOPP       0       12       15       60%       15%       11       7         Mackie et al (1993)       30       10 years       11.8 years       ChiVPP       0       -       -       -       46       7         Papadakis et al (1999)       36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Papadakis et al (1999)       36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Ben Arush et al (2000)       20       2-16 years       MOPP/ABVD       4       20       40%       20       7       7         Ben Arush et al (2000)       11       10.3 years       5.5 years       MOPP       0       -       -       14       46       7         (cognani et al (2000)  |   |    |                             |            | b                        | RT | 2  |                  | Olian           | 2         | HI HI    | FSH      | Inh B                           |
| Ortin $t d/(190)$ 20 $(3-15 \text{ years})$ MOPP       12       15       60%       15%       11       1         Mackie $t d/(190)$ 46       12.2 years       (years)       MOPP       12       15       60%       15%       11       1         Mackie $t d/(190)$ 46       12.2 years       6 years       ChIVPP       0       -       -       46       7         Shaffoud $t d/(1903)$ 30       10 years       11.8 years       ChIVPP       10       13       91%       9%       30       7         Papadakis $t d/(1990)$ 36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Papadakis $t d/(1990)$ 36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Ben Arush $t d/(2000)$ 10       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       40%       20       7       7         Ben Arush $t d/(2000)$ 11       10.3 years       5.5 years       MOPP       0       -       -       11       1       7         Grouphani $t d/(2000)$ <  | Heikens et al (1996)                      | 19 | 11 years                    | 10 years   | MOPP                     | 0  | 18 | 67%              | 33%             | 19        | <i>←</i> | <i>~</i> | 1                               |
| Mackie et al(1996)       (2-15 years)       (2-15 years)       6 years       2 years       3 years       3 years       6 years       3 years       4 years       3 years       3 years       9 years  | Ortin <i>et al</i> (1990)                 | 20 | (5–15 years)<br>13 years    | 9 years    | MOPP                     | 12 | 15 | 60%              | 15%             | 1         | ←        | ~        | I                               |
| Shafford $et al (1993)$ 30       10 years       11.8 years       Ch/VPP       10       13       91%       9%       30       7         Papadakis $et al (1999)$ 36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Papadakis $et al (1999)$ 36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       7         Ben Arush $et al (2000)$ 20       2-16 years       MOPP/ABVD       4       20       40%       20       7         Coognani $et al (2000)$ 11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVD       0       -       -       11       7         Cicognani $et al (2000)$ 11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVD       0       -       -       11       7         Van den Berg $et al (2004)$ 14       14       10.3 years       5.1 years       MOPP/ABVD       0       -       -       11       7         Van den Berg $et al (2004)$ 14       14       14 years       5.1 years       MOPP/ABVD       0       -       -       14       =         Van den Berg $et al (1998)$ 46  | Mackie <i>et al</i> (1996)                | 46 | (2–15 years)<br>12.2 years  | 6 years    | ChIVPP                   | 0  | I  | I                | I               | 46        | ←        | ~        | I                               |
| Papadakis et $a/(1999)$ (4-15 years)       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       1         Ben Arush et $a/(2000)$ 36       13 years       6.8 years       MDP       6       2       100%       -       36       1         Ben Arush et $a/(2000)$ 20       2-16 years       6.8 years       MOPP/ABVD       4       20       40%       20       7         Cicognani et $a/(2000)$ 11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVD       0       -       -       11       1       1         Cicognani et $a/(2000)$ 11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVD       0       -       -       11       1       1         An den Berg et $a/(2004)$ 14       14       5.1 years       MOPP/ABVD       0       -       -       -       11       1       1       1       1       -       -       -       11       1       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       11       1       -       -       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       -       1       -       -       -       -       -<  | Shafford <i>et al</i> (1993)              | 30 | (8.2–15.3 years<br>10 years | 11.8 years | ChIVPP                   | 10 | 13 | 91%              | %6              | 30        | ←        | ~        | I                               |
| Ben Arush et al (2000)       20 $(2-22 \text{ years})$ MOPP/ABVD       4       20       40%       20       7         Coopnani et al (2000)       11       10.3 years       5.5 years       MOPP       -       -       11       1         Cicognani et al (2000)       11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVD       0       -       -       11       1         Van den Berg et al (2004)       14       14       S-11 years       5.1 years       MOPP/ABVD       0       -       -       11       1 $= c$ Van den Berg et al (2004)       14       14 years       5.1 years       MOPP/ABVD       0       -       -       14 $= c$ Gerres et al (1998)       46       14 years       5.1 years       OEPA       0       -       -       -       14 $= c$ Gerres et al (1998)       46       14.9 years       1.9 years       OEPA       0       -   | <sup>2</sup> apadakis <i>et al</i> (1999) | 36 | (4–15 years)<br>13 years    | 6.8 years  | MDP                      | 9  | 2  | 100%             | I               | 36        | ←        | ~        | I                               |
| Cicognani <i>et al</i> (2000) 11 10.3 years 5.5 years ABVD 0 11 1<br>(3-15 years) ABVD 0 11 1<br>(3-15 years) MOPP/ABVD<br>van den Berg <i>et al</i> (2004) 14 14 years 5.1 years MOPP/ABVD 0 14 = <sup>c</sup><br>(5-18 years) (5-18 years) OEPA 0 46 1 <sup>d</sup><br>Gerres <i>et al</i> (1998) 56 114 voore 15.5 voore Afver DP/ABVD 0 46 1 <sup>d</sup>   | 3en Arush <i>et al</i> (2000)             | 20 | (2–22 years)<br>2–16 years  |            | MOPP/ABVD                | 4  | 20 | 40%              | 40%             | 20        | ←        | ~        | I                               |
| Cicognani <i>et al</i> (2000)       11       10.3 years       5.5 years       ABVU       0       -       -       11       1         (3-15 years)       (3-15 years)       MOPP/ ABVD       0       -       -       14       =         van den Berg <i>et al</i> (2004)       14       14 years       5.1 years       MOPP/ ABVD       0       -       -       14       =         Gerres <i>et al</i> (1998)       46       14.9 years       0.19 years       OEPA/ COPP       0       -       -       46 $\uparrow^0$   |   | ;  |                             | ;          | COMP<br>MOPP             |    |    |                  |                 | :         | ÷        | ÷        | -                               |
| van den Berg <i>et al</i> (2004) 14 14 years 5.1 years MOPP/ ABVD 0 14 = <sup>c</sup><br>(5-18 years)<br>Gerres <i>et al</i> (1998) 46 14.9 years 1.9 years OEPA 0 46 1 <sup>d</sup><br>OEPA/ COPP 56 11.1 vears 1.5 vears A/vr EBVD 0 21 5.3 d <sup>d</sup> 56 1 <sup>d</sup>  | ucognani <i>et al</i> (2000)              | =  | 10.3 years<br>(3–15 years)  | shears     | ABVD<br>MOPP/ABVD        | D  | I  | I                | I               | Ξ         | _        | _        | -<br>→                          |
| (5-18 years)<br>Gerres <i>et al</i> (1998) 46 14.9 years 1.9 years OEPA 0 46 <sup>1</sup> <sup>d</sup><br>OEPA/ COPP 0.11 <u>1.007</u> ) 56 111 <u>1.007</u> ) 56 11  | <i>i</i> an den Berg <i>et al</i> (2004)  | 14 | 14 years                    | 5.1 years  | COPP/ ABVD<br>MOPP/ ABVD | 0  | T  | I                | I               | 14        | Ĭ        |          | I                               |
| ۲۰۰۰ Rao <i>b ot al (2</i> 007) 5.6 11.1 Vaser 1.5.5 Vaser ۵./۸۲ EIRVIN 0 21 5.3024 6.024 5.6 <sup>1</sup> ط  | Gerres <i>et al</i> (1998)                | 46 | (5–18 years)<br>14.9 years  | 1.9 years  | OEPA                     | 0  | I  | I                | I               | 46        | ₽        | ¢        | I                               |
|   | /an Beek <i>et al</i> (2007)              | 56 | 11.4 years                  | 15.5 years | OEPA/ COPP<br>A(or E)BVD | 0  | 21 | 53% <sup>d</sup> | 6% <sup>d</sup> | 56        | ₽        | ¢        | $\stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$ |
| (3.7–15.9 years) MOPP/A(or E)BVD  |   |    | (3.7–15.9 years)            |            | MOPP/A(or E)BVD          |    |    |                  |                 |           |          |          |                                 |

2

vinblastine, procarbazine\*, prednisolone; OEPA=vincristine, etoposide, prednisolone, doxyrubicin; OPPA=OEPA procarbazine\* replaces etoposide (\*=alkylating agent), RT=number of patients with gonadal radiotherapy; n=number of patients tested; azo=azoospermia; oligo=oligospermia; LH=luteinizing hormone, FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; inh B=inhibin B. Arrows indicate increased (f), decreased ( $\downarrow$ ) or normal values (=); a) number of male survivors; b) age at diagnosis (median and range); c) Only 2 male survivors with increased levels of FSH and 1 with an increased level of LH;

d) Only in patients treated with MOPP or COPP.

## Female survivors

We identified six studies involving 230 female survivors concerning female gonadal dysfunction after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment (Table II). In female childhood cancer survivors both alkylating agents and abdominal radiotherapy can cause severe ovarian damage, eventually leading to premature ovarian failure (POF) [65-68].

Endocrine markers for female fertility. Usually, gonadal function is measured in follow-up studies by analysing serum LH and FSH levels [69]. Both FSH and LH are predictive for the ovarian reserve although FSH presumably only increases above the normal range with severe loss of ovarian function [70,71]. In recent years, two new markers to assess ovarian function became available. The first one, inhibin B, which in females is solely produced by granulosa cells of small antral follicles, is decreased in women with known fertility problems (e.g. imminent ovarian failure) and undetectable in postmenopausal women [72-74]. Inhibin B is one of the first endocrine markers to change in perimenopausal women and is the cause for the monotropic rise in FSH which is a characteristic of the perimenopausal period [75]. The second marker is anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH), which is similarly produced by granulosa cells of early developing (pre-) antral follicles, and levels decrease coinciding with a decrease in the number of developing follicles with age [76-78]. A strong correlation between irregular cycles (as a surrogate for menopausal transition) and AMH levels randomly measured during the reproductive lifespan in a group of healthy women was observed [79]. In addition, AMH was shown to be a good predictor for the success of ART [80-84]. However, results of different studies should be carefully interpreted, because international standards for AMH assays are lacking. Recently, it was reported that long-term ovarian function after chemotherapy can be predicted by pre-treatment serum AMH levels in women with early breast cancer [85]. We showed that AMH is a good early marker for a decreased ovarian reserve in female Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, even when LH and FSH are still within normal ranges and menstrual cycles are still regular [65]. These results were recently confirmed in a larger cohort of survivors of other types of childhood cancer in our institute [66] (Figure II).

*Ovarian function*. A small study showed that 8 out of 10 girls with Hodgkin's lymphoma, treated with pelvic irradiation only, had a normal ovarian function. It is important to note that in that study nine girls did have an oophoropexy prior to irradiation to transfer the ovaries out of the radiation field. None of the girls treated with radiotherapy to other sites than the pelvis suffered from POF [56]. AMH levels were not measured in this study. Abdominal radiotherapy can cause decreased levels of AMH, thereby reflecting critically impaired ovarian reserve even in the presence of, as yet, normal menstrual cycles [66]. Another study showed that exposure to increasing dosages of radiation to the ovaries and a diagnosis of Hodgkin's lymphoma are risk factors for nonsurgical POF [67]. The studies that describe the reproductive status of women after chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy for childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma are reported in Table II. The incidence of POF depends on several factors: chemotherapy types and dosages, pelvic radiotherapy and age at diagnosis. Several hypotheses have been postulated about the exact mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced ovarian damage, but the exact mechanisms still remain unknown. However it is clear that the damage

| a survivors. |  |
|--------------|--|
| ymphom       |  |
| ~            |  |
| ',           |  |
| ÷            |  |
| Ť            |  |
| ŏ            |  |
| 0            |  |
| Т            |  |
| σ            |  |
| <u> </u>     |  |
| 2            |  |
| ㅎ            |  |
| ÷            |  |
| ÷            |  |
| ē            |  |
| fema         |  |
| .⊆           |  |
| unction      |  |
| 7            |  |
| ovariaı      |  |
| ž            |  |
| ō            |  |
| Ś            |  |
| <u>e</u> .   |  |
| σ            |  |
| Ξ            |  |
| S            |  |
| _            |  |
| =            |  |
| <u>e</u>     |  |
| Q            |  |
| Ta           |  |

| Author                           | 2 <sup>a</sup> | Age                  | Median        | Therapy  |                  |                            | Gonadal               | evalua    | tion     |             |          |                       |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|--|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|
|                                  |                | (range) <sup>b</sup> | follow-up     | t  | Ę                |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
|                                  |                |                      |               | 2  | Y                | Amenorrnoea                | Pregnancies           |           | Se       |             | Kers     |                       |
|                                  |                |                      |               |  |                  | irregular cycle $^{\circ}$ |                       | c         | Ξ        | FSH         | Inh B    | AMH                   |
| Hudson <i>et al</i> (1993)       | 37             | 14.6 years           | 4.1 years     | COP/ABVD   | 18               | 6/37                       | 17 in 10 women        | 1         | 1        | 1           | 1        | 1                     |
|                                  |                | (4.3–20.1 years)     |               |  |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
| Ortin <i>et al</i> (1990)        | 86             | 13 years             | 9 years       | MOPP MOPP/ABVD   | 28               | 11/86                      | 40 in 86 women        | I         | I        | I           | I        | I                     |
|                                  |                | (2–15 years)         |               | ABVD   |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
|                                  |                |                      |               | PAVe   |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
|                                  |                |                      |               | VMB  |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
| van den Berg <i>et al</i> (2004) | 14             | 14 years             | 5.1 years     | MOPP   | 0                | 2/14                       | 1 in 14 women         | 14        | PI       | р<br>Ш      | I        | I                     |
|                                  |                | (5–18 years)         |               | ABVD   |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
|                                  |                |                      |               | MOPP/ ABVD   |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
| Mackie <i>et al</i> (1996)       | 32             | 13.0 years           | 4.3 years     | ChIVPP   | 0                | 10/32                      | 11 in 9 women         | 32        | ÷        | ÷           | I        | I                     |
|                                  |                | (9.0–15.2 years)     |               |  |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
| Papadakis <i>et al</i> (1999)    | 29             | 14.1 years           | 5.2 years     | MDP  | 9                | I                          | 8 in 6                | 29        | ←        | ←           | I        | I                     |
|                                  |                | (6.1–20.0 years)     |               |  |                  |                            | women                 |           |          |             |          |                       |
| van Beek <i>et al</i> (2007)     | 32             | 11.6 years           | 15.5 years    | A(or E)BVD   | 0                | 1/32                       | 17 in 11 women        | 32        | Ш        | Ļ           | Ť        | Ť                     |
|                                  |                | (5.7–24.5 years)     |               | MOPP/A(orE)BVD   |                  |                            |                       |           |          |             |          |                       |
| -=information not available; (   | T=che          | motherapy: MOPP=1    | nechlorethami | ne*, vincristine, procarba<br>inblaction dacarbastine*.( | azine*,<br>DAV/o | prednisolone; COP=cy       | /clophosphamide*, vin | cristine, | procarba | izine*; Chl | VPP=chlo | ambucil,<br>Ikvlating |

agent); RT=number of patients with gonadal radiotherapy; a) number of female survivors; b) age at diagnosis (median and range); c) amenorrhoea or irregular cycle only in female survivors treated with alkylating therapy or pelvic irradiation; d) only two female survivors with increased levels of FSH and one with an increased level of LH; e) increased FSH in 17/32 and LH in 15/32 female survivors;

f) only in women with MOPP.



Figure II | Serum AMH levels in subgroups of survivors versus 10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of AMH levels in controls (——). (A) Survivors treated prior (•) to or after ( $\Box$ ) menarche. (B) Survivors with regular menstrual cycles (•) or oligo- or amenorrhoea ( $\Box$ ). (C) Survivors treated with total body irradiation or abdominal radiotherapy (•), more than three MOPP cycles ( $\Box$ ) or another treatment regimen (×). AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; MOPP=mustargen oncovin procarbazine prednisone (adapted from: Lie Fong *et al*, Hum Reprod 2009).

caused by chemotherapy leads to a relatively poor pregnancy outcome in survivors [86]. When treated with pelvic irradiation, the dose of radiation required to destroy 50% of the primordial follicles was determined to be <2 Gy [87]. One third of the Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated during childhood with chemotherapy containing procarbazine suffered from POF or had a high risk of entering menopause before the age of 40 years [39,88]. Recovery of ovarian function was reported rarely [39] and was not seen in patients treated with pelvic irradiation [64]. However Ortin *et al* reported less than 10% POF after chemotherapy only, and the other survivors had normal menstruation function [56]. We showed that ovarian damage occurs in the majority of women treated with MOPP as reflected by severely decreased AMH serum levels [65]. These childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors had significantly reduced AMH levels when compared with survivors of other types of childhood cancer [66]. Recently, AMH serum levels were measured prior to, during and after treatment in adult women treated with chemotherapy for lymphoma. Women treated with ABVD showed recovery of AMH levels at 12 months after cessation of treatment, while women treated with non-ABVD but with cyclophosphamide showed no recovery of AMH levels at 12 months after cessation of treatment [89].

Childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy with a limitation of MOPP therapy to three courses seem to suffer less from gonadal damage compared with six MOPP courses [37,65]. Cumulative risk of menopause at the age of 40 did not differ between childhood cancer survivors treated at a younger age compared with those treated at an older age [88], nor between treatment before and after menarche [66].

Several studies reported up to 40% POF in female Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated during childhood with both pelvic irradiation and alkylating chemotherapy (mustine and procarbazine) [24,56], while none of the women treated without pelvic irradiation (n=18) had POF [24]. Some studies in adult female Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors suggest less POF when patients were treated before the age of 25 years when compared with those patients treated after that age [90], and had a better chance of recovery of ovarian function [91]. Two large childhood cancer survivor cohorts reported increased risks of premature menopause or ovarian failure within 5 years after cessation of therapy after exposure to procarbazine and pelvic irradiation ( $\geq$ 20 Gy), based on information obtained from questionnaires [92,93].

In female childhood cancer survivors, both alkylating agents and abdominal radiotherapy can cause severe ovarian damage. Recovery of the gonadal function was reported rarely.

*Pregnancy outcome*. Fertility is decreased among female childhood cancer survivors compared with female siblings (RR: 0.81), and survivors more frequently deliver preterm compared with their siblings when exposed to radiation [67]. Chemotherapy alone does not appear to have an independent effect on the uterus [94], but radiotherapy may cause damage to the uterus, which may lead to premature labour, low birth weight and a higher incidence of post-partum haemorrhage [67,94-98]. Also, a small increased risk of miscarriage was reported in survivors [97]. Recently, an increased risk of stillbirth and neonatal death has been reported after uterine and ovarian irradiation [99]. Therefore, a close monitoring during pregnancy and inpatient labour is warranted in women previously treated with abdominal radiotherapy [98,99].

Data about pregnancies in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors are scarce. The percentage of women becoming pregnant after treatment for Hodgkin's lymphoma in previous studies varies from <10% to almost 50% [24,37,39,56,64,65]. It is important to report that a proportion of these survivors are proven to be fertile. Confounders such as age and fertility of the partner, family planning and the time to pregnancy were not available.

## Differences in toxicity between male and female survivors

In the past, it has been suggested that gonadal damage was less frequent in female childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors than in male survivors [56]. A reason for the less frequently found gonadal damage in female survivors may be the lack of reliable markers for measuring ovarian function in the past. Recent studies using AMH as a marker of ovarian reserve showed impaired gonadal function in a higher proportion of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with more than three MOPP cycles, abdominal irradiation or TBI [65,66]. The extent of gonadal damage seems to depend mostly on the type of treatment, rather than age at treatment [66]. Previously, female patients younger than 25 years of age were thought to be protected against the toxic effects of alkylating agents [90,91,100], but none of these studies used AMH. Therefore, the damage to the ovaries might have been underestimated. Early menopause may have been a disguised problem in female childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, especially after MOPP and abdominal irradiation, and therefore we proclaim that more attention should be paid to this issue, as it could have a major impact on fertility counselling and family planning of these young female childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with alkylating agents or abdominal irradiation.

### Prevention

In some clinical trials, gonadotrophin-releasing hormone-analogues (GnRH-a) were used to prevent gonadal damage in patients treated with alkylating agents, and a possible protected function was observed [101-103]. However, none of these studies were prospective, randomized clinical trials, and hence must be considered inconclusive [104]. Recently, a prospective randomized study of hormonal co-treatment with oral contraception or GnRH-a during treatment for advanced-stage Hodgkin's lymphoma in young women reported no protection of ovarian reserve [105]. It is important to note that these studies have not evaluated long-term outcomes and the group of women was small. Therefore, this treatment should not replace alternative options for the protection of fertility, such as gonadal preservation, which should be offered to young female Hodgkin's lymphoma patients before treatment. Trials with GnRH-a in male patients showed no protection of GnRH-a treatment against cytotoxic damage [106-108].

#### Fertility preservation

Studies to prevent gonadal dysfunction in women still are the subject of research. Hence other options for fertility preservation remain very important. Ovarian cryopreservation in pre-pubertal girls, oocyte vitrification in adults, and embryo cryopreservation in adults with a partner are possible options. However, the success rate of ovarian cryopreservation is unclear since the number of women in whom frozen-thawed ovarian tissue has been reimplanted is unknown [109]. The
American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline recommends that ovarian cryopreservation and transplantation procedures should only be performed in centres with the necessary expertise [104]. However, in Europe and North America, a small number of research-based ovarian tissue storage facilities can provide the best treatment. To provide success rates of cryopreservation, all procedures should be documented and analysed [109].

#### Growth, osteoporosis and body composition

We identified seven studies involving 481 survivors concerning growth, osteoporosis and body composition after Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment during childhood (Table III).

#### Growth

In general, reduced growth in children during treatment for cancer is either caused by the malignancy itself, or by treatment-related morbidity, such as recurring infections, malnutrition during treatment and the treatment per se (surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy) [110-112]. Chemotherapy induced growth impairment might be, at least in part, the result of growth hormone deficiency [112] or direct interference with bone turnover [113]. Irradiation of parts of the spine in children contributes to poor growth by decreasing the growth of individual bones of the spine. This may result in reduced final height, but also in disproportional growth. Most of the loss in height after radiotherapy or chemotherapy affects the upper part of the body, reflected by loss in sitting height [114]. This is not surprising since the spine contains large numbers of epiphyses and if chemotherapy has a direct effect on the epiphyseal growth plate, it seems likely that this would result in greater loss in sitting height than leg length [115].

Most of the data regarding the negative effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment on growth are collected from survivors treated with combined modality treatment (Table III). In a study of 124 childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, treated at the age of 9–16 years with MOPP with or without ABVD, a height loss of 13 cm (-2 SDs) was described more than 2 years after cessation of therapy [116]. This loss was most severe in pre-pubertal-treated children who had received high dose radiotherapy ( $\geq$ 33 Gy) to the entire spine [116]. In survivors treated before the age of 14, a small, but significant, loss of final height of 0.4 SD after doxorubicin, procarbazine, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide (MDP) and radiotherapy (n=69) was observed [117], which is a quite modest loss of height when compared with losses reported in patients treated for other common paediatric malignancies, such as acute leukaemia and brain tumours. Nevertheless, patients treated with chemotherapy and radiation at younger age and with higher radiation dosages are likely to sustain clinically significant decrements in height potential and therefore should be counselled accordingly. Nysom *et al* showed a reduced height after radiotherapy to the spine 11 years after diagnosis of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma [118].

Scarce data are available on growth in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors after chemotherapy only. The 11 children reported by Papadakis *et al* treated with chemotherapy only had normal final heights [117]. Our own series of 88 Dutch childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy only, showed that only male survivors treated with MOPP had reduced height [119]. This might be explained by the fact that the men were younger at diagnosis and more

often treated at or before the time of peak height velocity compared with the women within this study.

From these studies, we can conclude that radiotherapy in a dose of >30 Gy including the spine (with or without chemotherapy) results in loss of height, most severe in pre-pubertal children. When lower dosages of radiotherapy are used, the impairment of skeletal growth appears to be minimal. Data on growth after chemotherapy only are scarce. Treatment with MOPP seems to cause a reduction in height as well [119]. Therefore, extended follow-up studies are necessary to determine the long-term effects on growth. Overall, when comparing these findings with other common paediatric malignancies (such as leukaemia and brain tumours), the loss of height after treatment for Hodgkin's lymphoma seems to be quite modest.

#### Osteoporosis and osteopenia

As bone mass is acquired during childhood and adolescence, disturbance of this process can result in a lower peak bone mass which subsequently results in osteoporosis and higher fracture rate in later life. In general, BMD is determined by several factors, such as gender, race, physical activity, calcium intake, smoking and alcohol consumption [120,121]. In young girls, the pubertal stage is the most important determinant of BMD, whereas in boys weight is the most important determinant [122].

Corticosteroids, which are frequently used in the treatment for Hodgkin's lymphoma, can cause osteopenia and osteoporosis by interference with both osteoblast and osteoclast function [123-125]. Apart from these direct effects, also indirect effects of chemotherapy, such as gonadal damage, may affect bone turnover [126-128]. Gonadal damage may cause impaired oestrogen production necessary to stimulate osteoblast activity and bone mass acquisition during puberty in females, but also in males [129-131]. Some chemotherapeutic agents such as cyclophosphamide and cisplatin can cause renal damage. This may cause deregulation of the calcium and vitamin D metabolism resulting in lower BMD [132]. In addition, it has been described that patients during therapy, but also cancer survivors, are generally physically less active in comparison with healthy controls [133]. In children with cancer, the lack of physical activity can potentially cause decreased BMD [134,135].

A decreased BMD is reported in female survivors of adult Hodgkin's lymphoma with POF as well as in male survivors [1,126-128,136]. Three studies reported a slightly reduced BMD, as measured by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), in survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma 9.4–15.5 years after diagnosis [118,119,137]. Compared with local reference values, the size-adjusted bone mass in one study was normal [118]. We have shown that female childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with alkylating chemotherapy (MOPP) without radiotherapy had a slightly, but significantly reduced BMD of the total body and, after correction for bone size, also of the lumbar spine [119]. Similarly, Sala *et al* described a reduced BMD of the lumbar spine in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, which was correlated with the cumulative dose of corticosteroids [137]. Another study in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors reported negligible BMD deficits overall. However, males diagnosed at 14 years or older, were at 6.5 times higher risk than females for BMD deficits [138]. In a small series of children treated with prednisolone, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, anthropometric traits or bone mass did not differ from those in the reference group and mediastinal or abdominal irradiation was not associated with BMD [139].

Overall, the effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment on BMD appear to be small but longer follow-up studies are needed to assess the consequences, especially the incidence of osteoporosis, and subsequent fractures in later life. The real impact of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment on BMD during the post-menopausal period is unknown, since it has only been possible to treat childhood cancer successfully over the last few decades. In addition, genetic variation, as reflected by allelic variation in specific single nucleotide polymorphisms, is an important denominator of bone mass in healthy post-menopausal women and also during therapy in certain types of childhood cancer [140-143]. As yet, it is unknown whether this allelic variation contributes to a decrease in BMD after the age of 50 in childhood cancer survivors.

#### **Body composition**

We and others reported that treatment with prednisolone is a risk factor for an increased percentage of body fat in different groups of childhood cancer survivors, especially in acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) survivors [144,145]. Only scarce data are available on body composition in survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma (Table III). Knowledge on this is important as higher fat mass and BMI increase the risk of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular incidents in later life [146,147].

Nysom *et al* showed an increased percentage body fat in a small series of long-term survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma who were treated with combined modality treatment [148]. Our study in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy only also revealed an increased percentage body fat [119]. The percentage body fat of patients treated with MOPP (a prednisolone-containing regimen) was comparable with that of patients treated without MOPP, indicating that prednisolone is not an important determinant of increased percentage body fat in these patients. The different influences of steroids in Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors when compared with ALL survivors may be due to the fact that the cumulative dose of prednisolone is substantially lower in Hodgkin's lymphoma than in ALL [124]. In childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors, Nysom *et al* reported normal BMI, despite an increased percentage fat and explained this by a decreased lean body mass, although the lean body mass was not directly measured [148]. In our childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivor study, the median BMI was increased, while lean body mass was normal, indicating that increased fat mass indeed plays an important role [119]. In conclusion, although data is scarcely available, survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma tend to have an increased fat mass, which might increase the risk of developing the metabolic syndrome.

#### Thyroid

We identified 10 studies involving 4,012 survivors about thyroid complications after Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment during childhood (Table IV). After cervical region irradiation, a large proportion, up to 40%, of the childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors reveal thyroid disorders, such as hypothyroidism, thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer [24,91,149-155] (Table IV). In most of the protocols, the mean radiation dose on the thyroid region was  $\geq$ 35 Gy. Although hyperthyroidism (mainly Graves' disease) may occur after radiotherapy, it is less frequent than hypothyroidism

| Author                                  | n <sup>a</sup> | Age                              | Median     | Therapy   |   |   | Outcome  |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|------------|---|---|---|--|--|
|   |                | (range) <sup>b</sup>             | follow-up  | Ь   | RT  | Growth  | BMD  | Body composition   |
| Willman <i>et al</i> (1994)             | 124            | 9–16 years                       | >2 years   | MOPP<br>MOPP/ ABVD  | 124 <sup>2</sup>  | Loss of height with<br>≥33 Gy radiotherapy in<br>pre-pubertal children                | 1  | 1  |
| Papadakis <i>et al</i> (1996)           | 80             | 9.7 years<br>(2.4–14.0 years)    | >3 years   | MDP   | 11 <sup>1</sup> , 58 <sup>4</sup>                                   | Reduced final height<br>after radiotherapy.<br>Lowest in children<br>treated youngest | I  | T  |
| Nysom <i>et al</i> (2001)               | 44<br>(23 HL)  | 11.1 years<br>) (3.9–15.0 years) | 10.5 years | Prednisone<br>Methotrexate                                    | 1 <sup>1</sup> , 10 <sup>2</sup><br>6 <sup>3,</sup> 27 <sup>4</sup> | Reduced height  | Normal   | Increased percentage fat   |
| Sala <i>et al</i> (2007)                | 22             | 14.7 years<br>(5.6–17.4 years)   | >1 years   | I   | 174   | I   | Reduced BMD related<br>to cumulative dose<br>corticosteroids | I  |
| Kaste <i>et al</i> (2009)               | 109            | 15.1 years<br>(3.1–20.7 years)   | 7.5 years  | Procarbazine,<br>cyclophosphamide,<br>methotrexate, predisone | 39  | 1   | Normal, males<br>increased risk for SDS<br><-1.5             | 1  |
| van Beek <i>et al</i> (2009)            | 88             | 11.6 years<br>(3.7–17.2 years)   | 15.5 years | A(or E)BVD<br>MOPP/ A(or E)BVD                                | 184   | Reduced height in<br>males treated with<br>MOPP                                       | Reduced BMD in<br>females treated with<br>MOPP               | Increased percentage fat<br>(female without MOPP)<br>Normal lean body mass |
| Muszynska-Roslan <i>et al</i><br>(2009) | 35             | 11.6 years<br>(7.8-15.4 years)   | 6.3 years  | Steroids<br>CT without MTX                                    | 35  | I   | Normal   | I  |
|   |                |                                  |            |   |   |   |  |  |

Table III | Studies on bone mineral density and growth in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors.

-=information not available; HL=Hodgkin's lymphoma; CT=chemotherapy; MOPP=mustine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone; ABVD=adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; MDP=doxorubicin, procarbazine, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide; EBVD=ABVD, epiadriamycin replaces adriamycin; RT=number of patients with 1=gonads in radiation field, 2=lumbar spine in radiation field, 2=cranial irradiation, 4=other fields of irradiation; an umber of survivors; b) age at diagnosis (median and range).

| (ran.<br>Van den Berg <i>et al</i> (1997) 21 14 yr<br>(5–18:<br>Soberman <i>et al</i> (1991) 18 (5–18:<br>Hancock <i>et al</i> (1991) 1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr<br>Hancock <i>et al</i> (1991) 1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 (2–82:<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 (2–82:<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 yr   | -          |            | Inerapy    |       |            | Out        | come      |               |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| Van den Berg et al (1997)       21       14 yr         Van den Berg et al (1991)       18       14 yr         Soberman et al (1991)       18       28 yr         Hancock et al (1991)       1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr         Hancock et al (1991)       1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr         Healy et al (1996)       46       12.5 yr         Sklar et al (2000)       1791       14 yr | nge)"      | follow-up  | 5          | RT    | Hypo       | Hyper      | Carcinoma | Ultrasound    |
| Van den Berg et al (1997)       21       14 yr         (5-18)       (5-18)         Soberman et al (1991)       18       14 yr         Hancock et al (1991)       1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr         Hancock et al (1991)       1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yr         Healy et al (1996)       46       12.5 yr         Sklar et al (2000)       1791       14 yr                            |            |            |            |       | thyroidism | thyroidism |           | abnormalities |
| (5–18)<br>Soberman <i>et al</i> (1991) 18 14 y.<br>Hancock <i>et al</i> (1991) 1787° 28 y.<br>(2–82)<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5 y.<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 y.   | ·years     | 5.0 years  | MOPP/ ABVD | -     | 1/21       | 0/21       | 0/21      | 1             |
| Soberman <i>et al</i> (1991) 18 14 yi<br>Hancock <i>et al</i> (1991) 1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 yi<br>(2–82)<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5 yi<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 yi   | 8 years)   |            |            |       |            |            |           |               |
| Hancock <i>et al</i> (1991) 1787 <sup>c</sup> 28 y <sub>1</sub><br>(2–82)<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 v <sub>1</sub>   | ·years     | 6.4 years  | I          | 18    | 7/18       | I          | 1/18      | 16/18         |
| (2–82)<br>Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5 )<br>(4–16.<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 vi  | years      | 9.9 years  | MOPP       | 1,677 | 513/1,677  | 32/1,677   | 6/1,677   | 44/1,671      |
| Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5 )<br>(4–16,<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 vi  | 2 years)   |            | MVP        |       |            |            |           |               |
| Healy <i>et al</i> (1996) 46 12.5 )<br>(4–16.<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 vi  |            |            | ABVD       |       |            |            |           |               |
| (4–16)<br>Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 vi   | 5 years    | 10.3 years | None       | 46    | 28/46      | I          | 2/46      | 46/46         |
| Sklar <i>et al</i> (2000) 1791 14 vi   | 6 years)   |            |            |       |            |            |           |               |
|  | ·years     | >5 years   | I          | 1,210 | 456/1,791  | 82/1,791   | 20/1,791  | 146/1,791     |
| (2-20)   | 0 years)   |            |            |       |            |            |           |               |
| Atahan <i>et al</i> (1998) 46 8.5 y  | years      | 10.5 years | СОРР       | 46    | 22/46      | 1/46       | Ι         | I             |
| (2–18)   | 8 years)   |            | COPP/ ABVD |       |            |            |           |               |
| Hudson <i>et al</i> (1993) 79 14.6 )   | 6 years    | 4.1 years  | COP/ABVD   | 79    | 19/79      | 6//0       | 2/79      | 0/79          |
| (4.3–20.   | 0.1 years) |            |            |       |            |            |           |               |
| Solt <i>et al</i> (2000) 26 10.8 )   | 8 years    | 11.3 years | MOPP       | 26    | 14/26      | 0/26       | 0/26      | 14/26         |
| (2.1–16.   | 6.4 years) |            | MOPP/ABVD  |       |            |            |           |               |
| Van Beek <i>et al</i> (2009) 88 11.6 )   | 6 years    | 15.5 years | A(or E)BVD | 18    | 5/88       | 0/88       | 0/88      | I             |
| 3.7–17.  | 7.2 years  |            | MOPP/      |       |            |            |           |               |
|  |            |            | A(or E)BVD |       |            |            |           |               |
| O'Brien <i>et al</i> (2010) 110 11.3   | 3 years    | 20.6 years | ABVD/MOPP  | 110   | I          | I          | 5/110     | I             |
|  |            |            | or MOPP    |       |            |            |           |               |

Table IV | Studies on thyroid complications in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors.

vincristine, procarbazine; ABVD=adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine; EBVD=ABVD, epiadriamycin replaces adriamycin; MVP=melphalan, procarbazine, vinblastine; RT=number of patients

with radiotherapy to the neck; a) number of survivors; b)age at diagnosis (median and range); c) paediatric patients (<17 yr): n=272 (analysis not specified for paediatric patients only).

[149,152,153]. Altogether, up to 40% of the childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy have impaired thyroid function [149,151,153]. In patients under the age of 17, the radiation dose has been shown to be the most important risk factor for developing hypothyroidism [149]. Female sex and older age at diagnosis have been reported as independent, predisposing risk factors increasing the risk for hypothyroidism [153].

In contrast, in studies of survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with chemotherapy only, no hypothyroidism was reported [13,17,156] except for one single case [119]. However, when patients received additional radiation to the thyroid or mediastinum, six of seven either had abnormal levels of TSH or free thyroid hormone, or used thyroid hormones [13,119,156]. In a cohort of 205 childhood cancer survivors (of which 28.5% had either Hodgkin's lymphoma or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma), van Santen *et al* showed that the addition of chemotherapy did not increase the damage to the thyroid axis already caused by radiotherapy [157].

The risk of thyroid cancer after radiotherapy is also markedly increased compared with the otherwise healthy population. A large study in more than 1,700 childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors reported 20 cases of thyroid carcinoma (RR 18.3) after a median follow-up of 15 years [153]. All these 20 patients received radiotherapy to the cervical region. Hancock et al reported that 6 out of 1,677 Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors (both children and adults) after combined modality treatment had thyroid cancer (RR 15.6) after a mean follow-up of 10 years [149,158]. However, this follow-up is relatively short for developing a secondary malignancy [13,17,119]. Recently, 5 out of 112 cases of thyroid carcinoma were reported in children treated with MOPP/ ABVD and lower dose radiotherapy after a median follow-up of 20 years [159]. The significant incidence of thyroid carcinoma in this low-dose radiation group is not surprising given the nonlinear radiation doseresponse in which the thyroid second malignant neoplasm (SMN) risk increases from 0 to 20 Gy and then decreases, with few cases occurring at dosages above 40 Gy due to extensive cell killing [160]. In childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with chemotherapy only, to our knowledge, no cases of thyroid cancer have been reported so far. We studied 88 childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors not treated with radiotherapy and a median follow-up of 15 years, and no cases of thyroid cancer were found [119]. Since the risk of thyroid cancer increases even after follow-up of more than 20 years [161], prolonged surveillance is necessary.

Altogether, hypothyroidism is the most common thyroid disorder after radiotherapy. There is a significant incidence of thyroid carcinoma in the low-dose radiation group, which is consistent with the hypothesis in which thyroid SMN risk increases from 0 to 20 Gy and then decreases due to cell killing. In survivors treated with chemotherapy only, hypothyroidism does not seem to occur and no cases of thyroid cancer have been reported. However, prolonged follow-up is necessary to evaluate the incidence after 20 years.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

#### Screening

No consensus exists yet on how to monitor long-term endocrine side effects after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment. The identification of novel markers opens new options for screening. However, there are several ways of detecting long-term endocrine effects (Table V).

Table V | Recommendations: screening for long-term endocrine effects in childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors.

| Recommendations      | Patients                                       | Physical examination   | Advanced screening                   |
|----------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Growth, osteoporosis | Irradiation to the spine                       | Height, sitting height, weight   | Dual energy X-ray<br>absorptiometry  |
| Thyroid              | Cervical region irradiation<br>TBI             | Palpation, TSH and free thyroid hormone                                | Thyroid ultrasound                   |
| Gonads: female       | All, especially alkylating agents, pelvic/ TBI | Tanner stadium<br>Day 2-5: LH, FSH, inhibin B, AMH                     | Gynaecologist: antral follicle count |
| Gonads: male         | All, especially alkylating agents, pelvic/TBI  | Tanner stadium<br>Sperm analysis, testis volume, LH,<br>FSH, inhibin B | _                                    |

TBI=total body irradiation; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone.

#### Gonads

In male survivors, evaluation of testicular function should consist of assessment of Tanner stage, testicular volume and consistency and sperm analysis as well as assessment of LH, FSH and inhibin B serum measurement. Inhibin B, produced by the Sertoli cells, is a marker that correlates strongly with spermatogenesis. So far, semen analysis has been the gold standard. However, inhibin B is less invasive and seems to be a reliable marker for gonadal (dys)function. Inhibin B serum levels <150 ng/L seems to be indicative for gonadal damage. Consequently, a sperm analysis should be performed and the patient should be referred to an andrologist.

In female patients, the evaluation should consist of the Tanner stage and the assessment of LH, FSH, inhibin B and AMH. A transvaginal ultrasound might be performed to determine the antral follicle count. LH, FSH and inhibin B should be determined on day 2–5 of the menstrual cycle. If the patient uses oral contraceptives, hormone measurements should be performed a minimum of 1 week after the last pill was taken to minimize the effects of the pills on the gonadal markers [162]. In contrast to FSH, AMH levels seem to be constant during the menstrual cycle [163-167]. Serum AMH is currently the most reliable serum marker for ovarian reserve [65,76,168]. Recently, the correlations between ovarian primordial follicle count and antral follicle count and AMH were described, where the antral follicle count (r=0.78) showed a greater correlation with the ovarian primordial follicle count than AMH [169]. However, the difference was relatively small. First, the

predictive value of AMH in identifying those women with a diminished ovarian reserve and hence absolute infertility remains to be established. Therefore, ovarian ultrasound to assess the number of small antral follicles remains important.

#### Growth and osteoporosis

Proper history taking and complete physical examination (including height, sitting height and weight) should be performed in patients treated with radiotherapy that included the spinal areas. Survivors of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with lower dosages of radiotherapy do not seem to be prone to develop growth disorders and osteoporosis. If a patient is treated with high dosages of radiotherapy and is at risk for osteoporosis after taking a proper history and complete physical examination, DXA scans should be performed, which is an easy and reliable way to assess BMD and body composition. If the BMD is decreased with clinical impact, treatment with bisphosphonates could be considered with caution since they remain in the skeleton for decades. Whether the long-term inhibition of bone turnover can be harmful over time remains unresolved [170]. In human, no studies that investigate teratogenicity have been performed, but in animal studies, teratogenicity was suggested [171]. Hence, bisphosphonates should not be used during pregnancy. First, it should be investigated whether the decreased BMD is caused by POF. If this is the case, hormone replacement therapy should be considered first.

#### Thyroid

Since patients treated with irradiation to the cervical region or total body are at risk for developing thyroid disorders such as hypothyroidism, thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer, these survivors should be included in a specific follow-up programme. Thyroid function can easily be followed using TSH and free thyroid hormone in both male and female survivors. To detect morphological changes of thyroid tissue, an ultrasound of the thyroid can be useful, especially when radiotherapy to the cervical region was administered [151,154]. However, it is important to note that benign changes will be observed that may lead to unnecessary invasive procedures. There is an ongoing debate about implementing this screening because of the high rate of false-positive findings. We advise performing the physical examination (palpation of the thyroid) and measuring TSH and free thyroid hormone levels. If these levels are abnormal, supplementation should be started in order to normalize thyroid function.

#### Prevention

There is no worldwide consensus on the prevention of long-term endocrine side effects. National and international experts are in the process of formulating guidelines. Most important is to adjust treatment to decrease toxicity as much as possible without increasing risk of relapse. Because this is difficult, preservation of gonadal material is an attractive option. Commonly used techniques to preserve gonadal function include sperm, embryo and oocyte cryopreservation and are advised for all applicable patients who are at risk for infertility [104]. Semen cryopreservation in boys is a feasible method to preserve spermatozoa before gonadotoxic therapy is started and should be offered to all pubertal boys from 12–13 years of age despite their young age [172]. Semen is

obtained after masturbation in the majority of the boys. When this is impossible, penile vibration or electroejaculation should be considered [173].

No protection was observed when using GnRH-a in young women treated for advanced-stage Hodgkin's lymphoma [105]. Preventive therapeutic methods such as oocyte vitrification, preservation of entire ovaries and oophoropexy are promising, but remain subject of further research [174,175].

# CONCLUSIONS

Childhood cancer survival is increasing. Childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma survival has reached 90% and therefore long-term effects of treatment have gained increasing interest. As shown in this review, the severity of the endocrine toxicity after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma depends mainly on the type of treatment. Gonadal dysfunction seems to be the most severe endocrine long-term effect. In survivors treated with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy, severe gonadal damage is described and seems to be irreversible in women and partly in men. However, prolonged follow-up is necessary to determine potential recovery over the years. Nowadays, many centres have specific follow-up programmes to detect and, if necessary, treat the long-term side effects of cancer treatment during childhood. The knowledge obtained in these outpatient clinics can help in the search for the optimal balance between cure and late effects in treatments for childhood and adolescent Hodgkin's lymphoma.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Howell S, Shalet S. Gonadal damage from chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 1998; 27:927-43.
- 2. McVie JG. Cancer treatment: the last 25 years. Cancer Treat Rev. 1999; 25:323-31.
- Levi F, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Lucchini F. Childhood cancer mortality in Europe, 1955--1995. Eur J Cancer. 2001; 37:785-809.
- Smith MA, Seibel NL, Altekruse SF, Ries LA, Melbert DL, O'Leary M, Smith FO, Reaman GH. Outcomes for children and adolescents with cancer: challenges for the twenty-first century. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:2625-34.
- 5. Baade PD, Youlden DR, Valery PC, Hassall T, Ward L, Green AC, Aitken JF. Population-based survival estimates for childhood cancer in Australia during the period 1997-2006. *Br J Cancer*. 2010; 103:1663-70.
- 6. Kaatsch P. Epidemiology of childhood cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2010; 36:277-85.
- 7. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- 8. Geenen MM, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Kremer LC, van den Bos C, van der Pal HJ, Heinen RC, Jaspers MW, Koning CC, Oldenburger F, et al. Medical assessment of adverse health outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:2705-15.
- Castellino SM, Geiger AM, Mertens AC, Leisenring WM, Tooze JA, Goodman P, Stovall M, Robison LL, Hudson MM. Morbidity and mortality in long-term survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Blood*. 2011; 117:1806-16.
- 10. Oberlin O. Hodgkin's disease. In: Voute A KA, editor. Cancer in children. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1998. p. 137-53.
- 11. Gurney JG, Severson RK, Davis S, Robison LL. Incidence of cancer in children in the United States. Sex-, race-, and 1-year age-specific rates by histologic type. *Cancer*. 1995; 75:2186-95.
- 12. Schellong G. Treatment of children and adolescents with Hodgkin's disease: the experience of the German-Austrian Paediatric Study Group. *Baillieres Clin Haematol*. 1996; 9:619-34.
- 13. van den Berg H, Zsiros J, Behrendt H. Treatment of childhood Hodgkin's disease without radiotherapy. *Ann Oncol.* 1997; 8 Suppl 1:15-7.
- 14. Schellong G. Pediatric Hodgkin's disease: treatment in the late 1990s. Ann Oncol. 1998; 9 Suppl 5:S115-9.
- 15. Hudson MM, Donaldson SS. Treatment of pediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma. Semin Hematol. 1999; 36:313-23.
- 16. Nachman JB, Sposto R, Herzog P, Gilchrist GS, Wolden SL, Thomson J, Kadin ME, Pattengale P, Davis PC, et al. Randomized comparison of low-dose involved-field radiotherapy and no radiotherapy for children with Hodgkin's disease who achieve a complete response to chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20:3765-71.
- 17. Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Buitendijk S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M, Hahlen K. Treatment of pediatric Hodgkin disease avoiding radiotherapy: excellent outcome with the Rotterdam-HD-84-protocol. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2004; 43:8-16.
- 18. Donaldson SS, Kaplan HS. Complications of treatment of Hodgkin's disease in children. *Cancer Treat Rep.* 1982; 66:977-89.
- 19. Mauch PM, Weinstein H, Botnick L, Belli J, Cassady JR. An evaluation of long-term survival and treatment complications in children with Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer*. 1983; 51:925-32.
- 20. Cardous-Ubbink MC, Heinen RC, Bakker PJ, van den Berg H, Oldenburger F, Caron HN, Voute PA, van Leeuwen FE. Risk of second malignancies in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2007; 43:351-62.
- 21. Donaldson SS. Hodgkin's disease: treatment with low dose radiation and chemotherapy. *Front Radiat Ther Oncol.* 1981; 16:122-33.
- 22. Weiner MA, Leventhal BG, Marcus R, Brecher M, Ternberg J, Behm FG, Cantor A, Wharam M, Chauvenet A. Intensive chemotherapy and low-dose radiotherapy for the treatment of advanced-stage Hodgkin's disease in pediatric patients: a Pediatric Oncology Group study. *J Clin Oncol.* 1991; 9:1591-8.
- 23. Oberlin O, Leverger G, Pacquement H, Raquin MA, Chompret A, Habrand JL, Terrier-Lacombe MJ, Bey P, Bertrand Y, et al. Low-dose radiation therapy and reduced chemotherapy in childhood Hodgkin's disease: the experience of the French Society of Pediatric Oncology. *J Clin Oncol.* 1992; 10:1602-8.

- 24. Hudson MM, Greenwald C, Thompson E, Wilimas J, Marina N, Fairclough D, Kauffman W, Bozeman P, Mackert PW, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of multiagent chemotherapy and low-dose involved-field radiotherapy in children and adolescents with Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 1993; 11:100-8.
- 25. Hunger SP, Link MP, Donaldson SS. ABVD/MOPP and low-dose involved-field radiotherapy in pediatric Hodgkin's disease: the Stanford experience. *J Clin Oncol.* 1994; 12:2160-6.
- 26. Schellong G, Potter R, Bramswig J, Wagner W, Prott FJ, Dorffel W, Korholz D, Mann G, Rath B, et al. High cure rates and reduced long-term toxicity in pediatric Hodgkin's disease: the German-Austrian multicenter trial DAL-HD-90. The German-Austrian Pediatric Hodgkin's Disease Study Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 1999; 17:3736-44.
- Viani GA, Castilho MS, Novaes PE, Antonelli CG, Ferrigno R, Pellizzon CA, Fogaroli RC, Conte MA, Salvajoli JV. Chemotherapy followed by low dose radiotherapy in childhood Hodgkin's disease: retrospective analysis of results and prognostic factors. *Radiat Oncol.* 2006; 1:38.
- 28. Donaldson SS, Link MP, Weinstein HJ, Rai SN, Brain S, Billett AL, Hurwitz CA, Krasin M, Kun LE, et al. Final results of a prospective clinical trial with VAMP and low-dose involved-field radiation for children with low-risk Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007; 25:332-7.
- 29. Hudson MM, Mertens AC, Yasui Y, Hobbie W, Chen H, Gurney JG, Yeazel M, Recklitis CJ, Marina N, et al. Health status of adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *JAMA*. 2003; 290:1583-92.
- 30. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- 31. Pang JW, Friedman DL, Whitton JA, Stovall M, Mertens AC, Robison LL, Weiss NS. Employment status among adult survivors in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:104-10.
- 32. Gurney JG, Krull KR, Kadan-Lottick N, Nicholson HS, Nathan PC, Zebrack B, Tersak JM, Ness KK. Social outcomes in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009; 27:2390-5.
- 33. Langeveld NE, Grootenhuis MA, Voute PA, de Haan RJ, van den Bos C. Quality of life, self-esteem and worries in young adult survivors of childhood cancer. *Psychooncology*. 2004; 13:867-81.
- 34. De Bruin ML, Sparidans J, van't Veer MB, Noordijk EM, Louwman MW, Zijlstra JM, van den Berg H, Russell NS, Broeks A, et al. Breast cancer risk in female survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: lower risk after smaller radiation volumes. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:4239-46.
- 35. Woodward E, Jessop M, Glaser A, Stark D. Late effects in survivors of teenage and young adult cancer: does age matter? *Ann Oncol.* 2011; 22:2561-8.
- 36. Pierik FH, Vreeburg JT, Stijnen T, De Jong FH, Weber RF. Serum inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998; 83:3110-4.
- 37. van den Berg H, Furstner F, van den Bos C, Behrendt H. Decreasing the number of MOPP courses reduces gonadal damage in survivors of childhood Hodgkin disease. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2004; 42:210-5.
- 38. Gerres L, Bramswig JH, Schlegel W, Jurgens H, Schellong G. The effects of etoposide on testicular function in boys treated for Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer*. 1998; 83:2217-22.
- 39. Mackie EJ, Radford M, Shalet SM. Gonadal function following chemotherapy for childhood Hodgkin's disease. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1996; 27:74-8.
- 40. Bramswig JH, Heimes U, Heiermann E, Schlegel W, Nieschlag E, Schellong G. The effects of different cumulative doses of chemotherapy on testicular function. Results in 75 patients treated for Hodgkin's disease during childhood or adolescence. *Cancer.* 1990; 65:1298-302.
- 41. van Beek RD, Smit M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Jong FH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Weber RF, Pieters R, et al. Inhibin B is superior to FSH as a serum marker for spermatogenesis in men treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy during childhood. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:3215-22.
- 42. Klingmuller D, Haidl G. Inhibin B in men with normal and disturbed spermatogenesis. *Hum Reprod*. 1997; 12:2376-8.
- 43. van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 44. Cicognani A, Cacciari E, Pasini A, Burnelli R, De Iasio R, Pirazzoli P, Paolucci G. Low serum inhibin B levels as a marker of testicular damage after treatment for a childhood malignancy. *Eur J Pediatr.* 2000; 159:103-7.

- 45. Clark ST, Radford JA, Crowther D, Swindell R, Shalet SM. Gonadal function following chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease: a comparative study of MVPP and a seven-drug hybrid regimen. *J Clin Oncol*. 1995; 13:134-9.
- 46. Viviani S, Bonfante V, Santoro A, Zanini M, Devizzi L, Di Russo AD, Soncini F, Villani F, Ragni G, et al. Long-term results of an intensive regimen: VEBEP plus involved-field radiotherapy in advanced Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer J Sci Am.* 1999; 5:275-82.
- 47. Waxman JH, Terry YA, Wrigley PF, Malpas JS, Rees LH, Besser GM, Lister TA. Gonadal function in Hodgkin's disease: long-term follow-up of chemotherapy. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1982; 285:1612-3.
- 48. Sieniawski M, Reineke T, Josting A, Nogova L, Behringer K, Halbsguth T, Fuchs M, Diehl V, Engert A. Assessment of male fertility in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma treated in the German Hodgkin Study Group (GHSG) clinical trials. *Ann Oncol.* 2008; 19:1795-801.
- 49. Heikens J, Behrendt H, Adriaanse R, Berghout A. Irreversible gonadal damage in male survivors of pediatric Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer.* 1996; 78:2020-4.
- 50. Viviani S, Santoro A, Ragni G, Bonfante V, Bestetti O, Bonadonna G. Gonadal toxicity after combination chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. Comparative results of MOPP vs ABVD. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol*. 1985; 21:601-5.
- 51. Marmor D, Duyck F. Male reproductive potential after MOPP therapy for Hodgkin's disease: a long-term survey. *Andrologia*. 1995; 27:99-106.
- 52. Howell SJ, Shalet SM. Spermatogenesis after cancer treatment: damage and recovery. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2005:12-7.
- 53. van der Kaaij MA, van Echten-Arends J, Simons AH, Kluin-Nelemans HC. Fertility preservation after chemotherapy for Hodgkin lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol.* 2010; 28:168-79.
- 54. Shetty G, Wilson G, Huhtaniemi I, Shuttlesworth GA, Reissmann T, Meistrich ML. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs stimulate and testosterone inhibits the recovery of spermatogenesis in irradiated rats. *Endocrinology*. 2000; 141:1735-45.
- 55. Ben Arush MW, Solt I, Lightman A, Linn S, Kuten A. Male gonadal function in survivors of childhood Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2000; 17:239-45.
- 56. Ortin TT, Shostak CA, Donaldson SS. Gonadal status and reproductive function following treatment for Hodgkin's disease in childhood: the Stanford experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1990; 19:873-80.
- 57. Kinsella TJ, Trivette G, Rowland J, Sorace R, Miller R, Fraass B, Steinberg SM, Glatstein E, Sherins RJ. Long-term follow-up of testicular function following radiation therapy for early-stage Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 1989; 7:718-24.
- 58. Speiser B, Rubin P, Casarett G. Aspermia following lower truncal irradiation in Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer*. 1973; 32:692-8.
- 59. Centola GM, Keller JW, Henzler M, Rubin P. Effect of low-dose testicular irradiation on sperm count and fertility in patients with testicular seminoma. *J Androl*. 1994; 15:608-13.
- 60. van Casteren NJ, Pieters R, Dohle GR, van Baalen M, Neggers S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Cranial irradiation does not result in pituitary-gonadal axis dysfunction in very long-term male survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2009; 23:2310-3.
- 61. Pedrick TJ, Hoppe RT. Recovery of spermatogenesis following pelvic irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1986; 12:117-21.
- 62. Dubey P, Wilson G, Mathur KK, Hagemeister FB, Fuller LM, Ha CS, Cox JD, Meistrich ML. Recovery of sperm production following radiation therapy for Hodgkin's disease after induction chemotherapy with mitoxantrone, vincristine, vinblastine, and prednisone (NOVP). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2000; 46:609-17.
- 63. Shafford EA, Kingston JE, Malpas JS, Plowman PN, Pritchard J, Savage MO, Eden OB. Testicular function following the treatment of Hodgkin's disease in childhood. *Br J Cancer*. 1993; 68:1199-204.
- 64. Papadakis V, Vlachopapadopoulou E, Van Syckle K, Ganshaw L, Kalmanti M, Tan C, Sklar C. Gonadal function in young patients successfully treated for Hodgkin disease. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1999; 32:366-72.

- 65. van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; 92:3869-74.
- 66. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- 67. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:2677-85.
- 68. Sudour H, Chastagner P, Claude L, Desandes E, Klein M, Carrie C, Bernier V. Fertility and pregnancy outcome after abdominal irradiation that included or excluded the pelvis in childhood tumor survivors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2010; 76:867-73.
- 69. Burger HG, Dudley EC, Hopper JL, Shelley JM, Green A, Smith A, Dennerstein L, Morse C. The endocrinology of the menopausal transition: a cross-sectional study of a population-based sample. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1995; 80:3537-45.
- 70. Fauser BC. Follicle pool depletion: factors involved and implications. Fertil Steril. 2000; 74:629-30.
- 71. Larsen EC, Muller J, Schmiegelow K, Rechnitzer C, Andersen AN. Reduced ovarian function in long-term survivors of radiation- and chemotherapy-treated childhood cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003; 88:5307-14.
- 72. Petraglia F, Hartmann B, Luisi S, Florio P, Kirchengast S, Santuz M, Genazzani AD, Genazzani AR. Low levels of serum inhibin A and inhibin B in women with hypergonadotropic amenorrhea and evidence of high levels of activin A in women with hypothalamic amenorrhea. *Fertil Steril*. 1998; 70:907-12.
- 73. Yamoto M, Imai M, Otani H, Nakano R. Serum levels of inhibin A and inhibin B in women with normal and abnormal luteal function. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1997; 89:773-6.
- 74. Burger HG. The endocrinology of the menopause. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 1999; 69:31-5.
- 75. Burger HG, Dudley EC, Hopper JL, Groome N, Guthrie JR, Green A, Dennerstein L. Prospectively measured levels of serum follicle-stimulating hormone, estradiol, and the dimeric inhibins during the menopausal transition in a population-based cohort of women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999; 84:4025-30.
- 76. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 77. van Disseldorp J, Faddy MJ, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Peeters PH, van der Schouw YT, Broekmans FJ. Relationship of serum antimullerian hormone concentration to age at menopause. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 93:2129-34.
- Shebl O, Ebner T, Sir A, Schreier-Lechner E, Mayer RB, Tews G, Sommergruber M. Age-related distribution of basal serum AMH level in women of reproductive age and a presumably healthy cohort. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95:832-4.
- van Rooij IA, Tonkelaar I, Broekmans FJ, Looman CW, Scheffer GJ, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, te Velde ER. Antimullerian hormone is a promising predictor for the occurrence of the menopausal transition. *Menopause*. 2004; 11:601-6.
- Eldar-Geva T, Ben-Chetrit A, Spitz IM, Rabinowitz R, Markowitz E, Mimoni T, Gal M, Zylber-Haran E, Margalioth EJ. Dynamic assays of inhibin B, anti-Mullerian hormone and estradiol following FSH stimulation and ovarian ultrasonography as predictors of IVF outcome. *Hum Reprod*. 2005; 20:3178-83.
- Silberstein T, MacLaughlin DT, Shai I, Trimarchi JR, Lambert-Messerlian G, Seifer DB, Keefe DL, Blazar AS. Mullerian inhibiting substance levels at the time of HCG administration in IVF cycles predict both ovarian reserve and embryo morphology. *Hum Reprod*. 2006; 21:159-63.
- 82. Freour T, Mirallie S, Bach-Ngohou K, Denis M, Barriere P, Masson D. Measurement of serum anti-Mullerian hormone by Beckman Coulter ELISA and DSL ELISA: comparison and relevance in assisted reproduction technology (ART). *Clin Chim Acta*. 2007; 375:162-4.
- 83. La Marca A, Giulini S, Tirelli A, Bertucci E, Marsella T, Xella S, Volpe A. Anti-Mullerian hormone measurement on any day of the menstrual cycle strongly predicts ovarian response in assisted reproductive technology. *Hum Reprod.* 2007; 22:766-71.

- 84. Kini S, Li HW, Morrell D, Pickering S, Thong KJ. Anti-mullerian hormone and cumulative pregnancy outcome in in-vitro fertilization. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2010; 27:449-56.
- 85. Anderson RA, Cameron DA. Pretreatment serum anti-mullerian hormone predicts long-term ovarian function and bone mass after chemotherapy for early breast cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2011; 96:1336-43.
- 86. Meirow D, Biederman H, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. Toxicity of chemotherapy and radiation on female reproduction. *Clin Obstet Gynecol.* 2010; 53:727-39.
- 87. Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Kelsey TW. The radiosensitivity of the human oocyte. Hum Reprod. 2003; 18:117-21.
- De Bruin ML, Huisbrink J, Hauptmann M, Kuenen MA, Ouwens GM, van't Veer MB, Aleman BM, van Leeuwen FE. Treatment-related risk factors for premature menopause following Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2008; 111:101-8.
- Decanter C, Morschhauser F, Pigny P, Lefebvre C, Gallo C, Dewailly D. Anti-Mullerian hormone follow-up in young women treated by chemotherapy for lymphoma: preliminary results. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2010; 20:280-5.
- 90. Kreuser ED, Xiros N, Hetzel WD, Heimpel H. Reproductive and endocrine gonadal capacity in patients treated with COPP chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 1987; 113:260-6.
- 91. Brusamolino E, Lunghi F, Orlandi E, Astori C, Passamonti F, Barate C, Pagnucco G, Baio A, Franchini P, et al. Treatment of early-stage Hodgkin's disease with four cycles of ABVD followed by adjuvant radio-therapy: analysis of efficacy and long-term toxicity. *Haematologica*. 2000; 85:1032-9.
- 92. Chiarelli AM, Marrett LD, Darlington G. Early menopause and infertility in females after treatment for childhood cancer diagnosed in 1964-1988 in Ontario, Canada. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1999; 150:245-54.
- 93. Chemaitilly W, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Yasui Y, Robison LL, Sklar CA. Acute ovarian failure in the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:1723-8.
- 94. Signorello LB, Cohen SS, Bosetti C, Stovall M, Kasper CE, Weathers RE, Whitton JA, Green DM, Donaldson SS, et al. Female survivors of childhood cancer: preterm birth and low birth weight among their children. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006; 98:1453-61.
- 95. Critchley HO. Factors of importance for implantation and problems after treatment for childhood cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1999; 33:9-14.
- 96. Critchley HO, Bath LE, Wallace WH. Radiation damage to the uterus -- review of the effects of treatment of childhood cancer. *Hum Fertil (Camb)*. 2002; 5:61-6.
- 97. Reulen RC, Zeegers MP, Wallace WH, Frobisher C, Taylor AJ, Lancashire ER, Winter DL, Hawkins MM, British Childhood Cancer Survivor S. Pregnancy outcomes among adult survivors of childhood cancer in the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009; 18:2239-47.
- 98. Lie Fong S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Eijkemans MJ, Schipper I, Hukkelhoven CW, Laven JS. Pregnancy outcome in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2010; 25:1206-12.
- 99. Signorello LB, Mulvihill JJ, Green DM, Munro HM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Mertens AC, Whitton JA, Robison LL, et al. Stillbirth and neonatal death in relation to radiation exposure before conception: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010; 376:624-30.
- Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod.* 2009; 24:982-90.
- 101. Pereyra Pacheco B, Mendez Ribas JM, Milone G, Fernandez I, Kvicala R, Mila T, Di Noto A, Contreras Ortiz O, Pavlovsky S. Use of GnRH analogs for functional protection of the ovary and preservation of fertility during cancer treatment in adolescents: a preliminary report. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2001; 81:391-7.
- 102. Blumenfeld Z, Dann E, Avivi I, Epelbaum R, Rowe JM. Fertility after treatment for Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol.* 2002; 13 Suppl 1:138-47.
- 103. Giuseppe L, Attilio G, Edoardo DN, Loredana G, Cristina L, Vincenzo L. Ovarian function after cancer treatment in young women affected by Hodgkin disease (HD). *Hematology*. 2007; 12:141-7.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24:2917-31.

- 105. Behringer K, Wildt L, Mueller H, Mattle V, Ganitis P, van den Hoonaard B, Ott HW, Hofer S, Pluetschow A, et al. No protection of the ovarian follicle pool with the use of GnRH-analogues or oral contraceptives in young women treated with escalated BEACOPP for advanced-stage Hodgkin lymphoma. Final results of a phase II trial from the German Hodgkin Study Group. Ann Oncol. 2010; 21:2052-60.
- 106. Waxman JH, Ahmed R, Smith D, Wrigley PF, Gregory W, Shalet S, Crowther D, Rees LH, Besser GM, et al. Failure to preserve fertility in patients with Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 1987; 19:159-62.
- 107. Johnson DH, Linde R, Hainsworth JD, Vale W, Rivier J, Stein R, Flexner J, Van Welch R, Greco FA. Effect of a luteinizing hormone releasing hormone agonist given during combination chemotherapy on posttherapy fertility in male patients with lymphoma: preliminary observations. *Blood*. 1985; 65:832-6.
- 108. Krause W, Pfluger KH. Treatment with the gonadotropin-releasinghormone agonist buserelin to protect spermatogenesis against cytotoxic treatment in young men. *Andrologia*. 1989; 21:265-70.
- 109. Wallace WH, Barr RD. Fertility preservation for girls and young women with cancer: what are the remaining challenges? *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010; 16:614-6.
- 110. van Leeuwen BL, Kamps WA, Jansen HW, Hoekstra HJ. The effect of chemotherapy on the growing skeleton. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2000; 26:363-76.
- 111. Sklar C, Mertens A, Walter A, Mitchell D, Nesbit M, O'Leary M, Hutchinson R, Meadows A, Robison L. Final height after treatment for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: comparison of no cranial irradiation with 1800 and 2400 centigrays of cranial irradiation. *J Pediatr.* 1993; 123:59-64.
- 112. Roman J, Villaizan CJ, Garcia-Foncillas J, Salvador J, Sierrasesumaga L. Growth and growth hormone secretion in children with cancer treated with chemotherapy. *J Pediatr.* 1997; 131:105-12.
- 113. Samuelsson BO, Marky I, Rosberg S, Albertsson-Wikland K. Growth and growth hormone secretion after treatment for childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1997; 28:27-34.
- 114. Davies HA, Didcock E, Didi M, Ogilvy-Stuart A, Wales JK, Shalet SM. Disproportionate short stature after cranial irradiation and combination chemotherapy for leukaemia. *Arch Dis Child*. 1994; 70:472-5.
- 115. Davies HA, Didcock E, Didi M, Ogilvy-Stuart A, Wales JK, Shalet SM. Growth, puberty and obesity after treatment for leukaemia. *Acta Paediatr Suppl*. 1995; 411:45-50; discussion 1.
- Willman KY, Cox RS, Donaldson SS. Radiation induced height impairment in pediatric Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1994; 28:85-92.
- 117. Papadakis V, Tan C, Heller G, Sklar C. Growth and final height after treatment for childhood Hodgkin disease. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 1996; 18:272-6.
- 118. Nysom K, Holm K, Michaelsen KF, Hertz H, Muller J, Molgaard C. Bone mass after treatment of malignant lymphoma in childhood. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2001; 37:518-24.
- 119. van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van der Pal HJ, Krenning EP, de Rijke YB, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Bone mineral density, growth, and thyroid function in long-term survivors of pediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with chemotherapy only. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009; 94:1904-9.
- 120. Krall EA, Dawson-Hughes B. Heritable and life-style determinants of bone mineral density. *J Bone Miner Res.* 1993; 8:1-9.
- 121. Lunt M, Masaryk P, Scheidt-Nave C, Nijs J, Poor G, Pols H, Falch JA, Hammermeister G, Reid DM, et al. The effects of lifestyle, dietary dairy intake and diabetes on bone density and vertebral deformity prevalence: the EVOS study. *Osteoporos Int*. 2001; 12:688-98.
- 122. Boot AM, de Ridder MA, Pols HA, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Bone mineral density in children and adolescents: relation to puberty, calcium intake, and physical activity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1997; 82:57-62.
- 123. Boot AM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hahlen K, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Bone mineral density in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Eur J Cancer*. 1999; 35:1693-7.
- 124. van der Sluis IM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hahlen K, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Bone mineral density, body composition, and height in long-term survivors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2000; 35:415-20.

- 125. van der Sluis IM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hahlen K, Krenning EP, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM. Altered bone mineral density and body composition, and increased fracture risk in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Pediatr*. 2002; 141:204-10.
- 126. Redman JR, Bajorunas DR, Wong G, McDermott K, Gnecco C, Schneider R, Lacher MJ, Lane JM. Bone mineralization in women following successful treatment of Hodgkin's disease. *Am J Med*. 1988; 85:65-72.
- 127. Kreuser ED, Felsenberg D, Behles C, Seibt-Jung H, Mielcarek M, Diehl V, Dahmen E, Thiel E. Long-term gonadal dysfunction and its impact on bone mineralization in patients following COPP/ABVD chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol.* 1992; 3 Suppl 4:105-10.
- 128. Ratcliffe MA, Lanham SA, Reid DM, Dawson AA. Bone mineral density (BMD) in patients with lymphoma: the effects of chemotherapy, intermittent corticosteroids and premature menopause. *Hematol Oncol.* 1992; 10:181-7.
- 129. Morishima A, Grumbach MM, Simpson ER, Fisher C, Qin K. Aromatase deficiency in male and female siblings caused by a novel mutation and the physiological role of estrogens. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1995; 80:3689-98.
- 130. Khosla S, Melton LJ, 3rd, Atkinson EJ, O'Fallon WM, Klee GG, Riggs BL. Relationship of serum sex steroid levels and bone turnover markers with bone mineral density in men and women: a key role for bioavailable estrogen. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1998; 83:2266-74.
- 131. Grumbach MM. Estrogen, bone, growth and sex: a sea change in conventional wisdom. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 2000; 13 Suppl 6:1439-55.
- 132. Boot AM, Nauta J, de Jong MC, Groothoff JW, Lilien MR, van Wijk JA, Kist-van Holthe JE, Hokken-Koelega AC, Pols HA, et al. Bone mineral density, bone metabolism and body composition of children with chronic renal failure, with and without growth hormone treatment. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 1998; 49:665-72.
- 133. Schwartz AL. Patterns of exercise and fatigue in physically active cancer survivors. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 1998; 25:485-91.
- Slemenda CW, Reister TK, Hui SL, Miller JZ, Christian JC, Johnston CC, Jr. Influences on skeletal mineralization in children and adolescents: evidence for varying effects of sexual maturation and physical activity. *J Pediatr.* 1994; 125:201-7.
- 135. Warner JT, Bell W, Webb DK, Gregory JW. Daily energy expenditure and physical activity in survivors of childhood malignancy. *Pediatr Res.* 1998; 43:607-13.
- 136. Holmes SJ, Whitehouse RW, Clark ST, Crowther DC, Adams JE, Shalet SM. Reduced bone mineral density in men following chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *Br J Cancer*. 1994; 70:371-5.
- 137. Sala A, Talsma D, Webber C, Posgate S, Atkinson S, Barr R. Bone mineral status after treatment of malignant lymphoma in childhood and adolescence. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2007; 16:373-9.
- 138. Kaste SC, Metzger ML, Minhas A, Xiong Z, Rai SN, Ness KK, Hudson MM. Pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma survivors at negligible risk for significant bone mineral density deficits. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:516-21.
- 139. Muszynska-Roslan K, Konstantynowicz J, Panasiuk A, Krawczuk-Rybak M. Is the treatment for childhood solid tumors associated with lower bone mass than that for leukemia and Hodgkin disease? *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2009; 26:36-47.
- 140. Kevenaar ME, Themmen AP, Rivadeneira F, Uitterlinden AG, Laven JS, van Schoor NM, Lips P, Pols HA, Visser JA. A polymorphism in the AMH type II receptor gene is associated with age at menopause in interaction with parity. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:2382-8.
- 141. Stolk L, Zhai G, van Meurs JB, Verbiest MM, Visser JA, Estrada K, Rivadeneira F, Williams FM, Cherkas L, et al. Loci at chromosomes 13, 19 and 20 influence age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:645-7.
- 142. te Winkel ML, van Beek RD, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Uitterlinden AG, Hop WC, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Pharmacogenetic risk factors for altered bone mineral density and body composition in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2010; 95:752-9.
- 143. te Winkel ML, van der Sluis IM, Lequin MH, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Letter to the editor in response to "Prospective bone ultrasound patterns during childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia" by Mussa et al. *Bone*. 2010; 47:835-6; author reply 7-8.
- 144. Nysom K, Holm K, Michaelsen KF, Hertz H, Muller J, Molgaard C. Degree of fatness after treatment for acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999; 84:4591-6.

- 145. van Beek RD, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van der Sluis IM, Krenning EP, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. No difference between prednisolone and dexamethasone treatment in bone mineral density and growth in long term survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2006; 46:88-93.
- 146. Nuver J, Smit AJ, Postma A, Sleijfer DT, Gietema JA. The metabolic syndrome in long-term cancer survivors, an important target for secondary preventive measures. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2002; 28:195-214.
- 147. Bogers RP, Bemelmans WJ, Hoogenveen RT, Boshuizen HC, Woodward M, Knekt P, van Dam RM, Hu FB, Visscher TL, et al. Association of overweight with increased risk of coronary heart disease partly independent of blood pressure and cholesterol levels: a meta-analysis of 21 cohort studies including more than 300 000 persons. *Arch Intern Med*. 2007; 167:1720-8.
- 148. Nysom K, Holm K, Michaelsen KF, Hertz H, Muller J, Molgaard C. Degree of fatness after treatment of malignant lymphoma in childhood. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2003; 40:239-43.
- 149. Hancock SL, Cox RS, McDougall IR. Thyroid diseases after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1991; 325:599-605.
- 150. Soberman N, Leonidas JC, Cherrick I, Schiff R, Karayalcin G. Sonographic abnormalities of the thyroid gland in longterm survivors of Hodgkin disease. *Pediatr Radiol*. 1991; 21:250-3.
- 151. Healy JC, Shafford EA, Reznek RH, Webb JA, Thomas JM, Bomanji JB, Kingston JE. Sonographic abnormalities of the thyroid gland following radiotherapy in survivors of childhood Hodgkin's disease. *Br J Radiol*. 1996; 69:617-23.
- 152. Atahan IL, Yildiz F, Ozyar E, Uzal D. Thyroid dysfunction in children receiving neck irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. *Radiat Med.* 1998; 16:359-61.
- Sklar C, Whitton J, Mertens A, Stovall M, Green D, Marina N, Greffe B, Wolden S, Robison L. Abnormalities of the thyroid in survivors of Hodgkin's disease: data from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000; 85:3227-32.
- 154. Solt I, Gaitini D, Pery M, Hochberg Z, Stein M, Arush MW. Comparing thyroid ultrasonography to thyroid function in long-term survivors of childhood lymphoma. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2000; 35:35-40.
- 155. Thomson AB, Wallace WH. Treatment of paediatric Hodgkin's disease. a balance of risks. *Eur J Cancer*. 2002; 38:468-77.
- 156. van den Berg H, Stuve W, Behrendt H. Treatment of Hodgkin's disease in children with alternating mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone (MOPP) and adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (ABVD) courses without radiotherapy. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1997; 29:23-7.
- 157. van Santen HM, Vulsma T, Dijkgraaf MG, Blumer RM, Heinen R, Jaspers MW, Geenen MM, Offringa MO, de Vijlder JJ, et al. No damaging effect of chemotherapy in addition to radiotherapy on the thyroid axis in young adult survivors of childhood cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003; 88:3657-63.
- 158. Hancock SL, Hoppe RT. Long-Term Complications of Treatment and Causes of Mortality After Hodgkin's Disease. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* 1996; 6:225-42.
- 159. O'Brien MM, Donaldson SS, Balise RR, Whittemore AS, Link MP. Second malignant neoplasms in survivors of pediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with low-dose radiation and chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:1232-9.
- Ronckers CM, Sigurdson AJ, Stovall M, Smith SA, Mertens AC, Liu Y, Hammond S, Land CE, Neglia JP, et al. Thyroid cancer in childhood cancer survivors: a detailed evaluation of radiation dose response and its modifiers. *Radiat Res.* 2006; 166:618-28.
- Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Yasui Y, Fears T, Stovall M, Vik TA, Inskip PD, Robison LL. Obesity in adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2003; 21:1359-65.
- 162. van Heusden AM, Fauser BC. Activity of the pituitary-ovarian axis in the pill-free interval during use of lowdose combined oral contraceptives. *Contraception*. 1999; 59:237-43.
- 163. Cook CL, Siow Y, Taylor S, Fallat ME. Serum mullerian-inhibiting substance levels during normal menstrual cycles. *Fertil Steril*. 2000; 73:859-61.
- 164. Fanchin R, Taieb J, Lozano DH, Ducot B, Frydman R, Bouyer J. High reproducibility of serum anti-Mullerian hormone measurements suggests a multi-staged follicular secretion and strengthens its role in the assessment of ovarian follicular status. *Hum Reprod.* 2005; 20:923-7.

- 165. Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- 166. Somunkiran A, Yavuz T, Yucel O, Ozdemir I. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels during hormonal contraception in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2007; 134:196-201.
- 167. van den Berg MH, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, Overbeek A, Twisk JW, Schats R, van Leeuwen FE, Kaspers GJ, Lambalk CB. Comparison of ovarian function markers in users of hormonal contraceptives during the hormone-free interval and subsequent natural early follicular phases. *Hum Reprod.* 2010; 25:1520-7.
- 168. van Rooij IA, Broekmans FJ, te Velde ER, Fauser BC, Bancsi LF, de Jong FH, Themmen AP. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels: a novel measure of ovarian reserve. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:3065-71.
- 169. Hansen KR, Hodnett GM, Knowlton N, Craig LB. Correlation of ovarian reserve tests with histologically determined primordial follicle number. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95:170-5.
- 170. Sambrook P, Cooper C. Osteoporosis. Lancet. 2006; 367:2010-8.
- 171. Patlas N, Golomb G, Yaffe P, Pinto T, Breuer E, Ornoy A. Transplacental effects of bisphosphonates on fetal skeletal ossification and mineralization in rats. *Teratology*. 1999; 60:68-73.
- 172. van Casteren NJ, Dohle GR, Romijn JC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Weber RF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Semen cryopreservation in pubertal boys before gonadotoxic treatment and the role of endocrinologic evaluation in predicting sperm yield. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:1119-25.
- 173. Muller J, Sonksen J, Sommer P, Schmiegelow M, Petersen PM, Heilman C, Schmiegelow K. Cryopreservation of semen from pubertal boys with cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 2000; 34:191-4.
- 174. Donnez J, Martinez-Madrid B, Jadoul P, Van Langendonckt A, Demylle D, Dolmans MM. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation and transplantation: a review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2006; 12:519-35.
- 175. Terenziani M, Piva L, Meazza C, Gandola L, Cefalo G, Merola M. Oophoropexy: a relevant role in preservation of ovarian function after pelvic irradiation. *Fertil Steril*. 2009; 91:935 e15-6.

gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function and hildhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer determinant of gonadal function (TEHAPTER) function and function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer D function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function

# Decreased serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer

ancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function fter childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood ancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function fter childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function ancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood ancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants W van Dorp, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink, ACH de Vries,

van Dorp, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink, ACH de Vries, SMF Pluijm, JA Visser, R Pieters, JSE Laven

cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonada Hum Reprod 2013; in press cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonada

# ABSTRACT

**Study question:** Are anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels reduced in girls with newly diagnosed cancer before the start of treatment?

**Summary answer:** AMH levels are already compromised in girls at the time of cancer diagnosis compared with healthy girls.

What is known already: In women diagnosed with cancer, evidence of reduced ovarian function has been described even before treatment has started. In girls with newly diagnosed cancer, no data are available.

**Study design, size, duration:** We performed an age-matched case-control study in girls with newly diagnosed cancer.

**Participants/materials, setting, methods:** We determined serum AMH levels in a cohort of 208 girls with newly diagnosed cancer, up to 18 years of age at diagnosis, and compared them with AMH levels of 250 age-matched healthy girls. The diagnoses included were acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, acute myeloid leukaemia, Hodgkin lymphoma, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, nephroblastoma, sarcoma, and neuroblastoma.

Main results and the role of chance: The median age was 6.6 years (range 0.0-17.4), comparable with that in the control group (median 6.3 years, range 0.3-18.0). Girls with childhood cancer presented with significantly lower serum AMH levels compared with healthy age-matched controls (standard deviation scores (SDS) -0.8, p<0.001). Median AMH level in patients was  $1.4 \mu g/L (0.1-10.2)$  versus 3.0  $\mu g/L (0.1-18.3)$  in controls. Specifically, 84% of all patients had AMH levels below the 50th percentile of normal AMH levels, and 19% below the 10th percentile. Surrogate markers of general health status (temperature, C-reactive protein and haemoglobin levels at diagnosis) were significantly correlated with AMH SDS.

Limitations, reasons for caution: Some caution is warranted because AMH levels increase with age in healthy children but the cases and controls were age-matched in our study. Although our sample size was large, additional studies are still required in an independent cohort.

Wider implications of the findings: Our study shows that AMH levels are reduced in girls with newly diagnosed cancer even before the cancer treatment has started. AMH levels correlate with impairment of general health status in girls. Therefore, besides (pre) antral follicle number, other factors may influence serum AMH levels. Longitudinal studies during and after childhood cancer are currently being performed in order to evaluate possible ovarian recovery after discontinuation of treatment.

## INTRODUCTION

Overall survival of childhood cancer has increased dramatically over the past decades, which has urged clinicians to pay attention to short- and long-term adverse effects of cancer treatment [1,2]. A well-known long-term side effect of cancer treatment in both adult and childhood cancer survivors is gonadal dysfunction, often resulting in impaired fertility [3,4]. The magnitude of this impairment depends on the treatment modality, the total cumulative dosages, as well as on the genetic susceptibility of a cancer survivor [3,5-8]. However, data addressing the potential influence of the disease itself on ovarian function are sparse [9].

The assessment of ovarian reserve in adults – including a clinical evaluation of secondary sexual characteristics, menstrual history, transvaginal ultrasound during the early follicular phase including an antral follicle count of the ovaries, and FSH, LH, oestradiol and progesterone levels – is not informative in pre-pubertal girls since the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis has not been activated yet.

Recently, it has been suggested that anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) constitutes as a reliable indirect serum marker of ovarian function in healthy pre-pubertal and post-pubertal girls, as well as in girls with childhood cancer [9,10]. AMH is produced by granulosa cells of small growing follicles and indirectly reflects the size of the primordial follicle pool in the ovaries [11]. In peri-pubertal girls, minor fluctuations in AMH with slightly increasing levels prior to pubertal onset and decreasing levels after onset of puberty have been described [12]. After puberty, serum AMH levels are stable within and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to FSH [13-16]. Up to an age of 25 years, AMH levels gradually increase and thereafter a linear decrease occurs with low or undetectable levels at the time of menopause [17].

In adult women with Hodgkin lymphoma (HL) and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) (n=64), it has been shown that AMH levels are already reduced before the start of cytotoxic chemotherapy [18]. This indicates that not only therapy but also the disease or subsequent health status may influence ovarian function later in life. Moreover, also in adolescent and young adult women diagnosed with HL significant damage to gametes has been observed before the start of treatment [19].

Likewise in boys a considerable percentage had oligospermic or even azoospermic counts at the time of cancer diagnosis [20]. The reason for this impaired testicular function is unclear, but a direct effect of the disease and compromised general health status seem to play an important role.

In girls with newly diagnosed cancer, only scarce data on AMH levels before cancer treatment are available. Knowledge regarding this issue is however important because it might relate to potential recovery after treatment. Brougham and colleagues observed slight but not significant lower AMH levels before treatment in a limited number of youngsters with various types of cancer [9]. To address this issue in more detail, we studied AMH levels in a large single-centre cohort of girls at the time of diagnosis of childhood cancer, before the start of treatment.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Subjects**

We included girls up to the age of 18 years with newly diagnosed childhood cancer at our Paediatric Oncology Centre. Patients with brain tumours were excluded due to possible hypothalamic-pituitaryaxis dysfunction, and patients with germ cell tumours were excluded because of the localisation of the tumour in the ovary and/or direct influence on hormone production.

Details on age, diagnosis and pubertal stage were retrieved from our local database. Pubertal status was assessed clinically at diagnosis and classified as pre-pubertal (Tanner stage 1), mid-pubertal (Tanner stage 2–3), or late pubertal (Tanner stage 4–5) [21]. AMH levels were measured in left-over serum after diagnostic work-up. Informed consent was obtained from all included patients and parents who agreed to use left-over material for this study according to the standards of the Institutional Review Board.

#### Controls

As a control group, we included healthy girls and adolescents aged up to 18 years (n=250) from our previously published nomogram study [17]. Detailed information on recruitment strategy and study populations is available in the original paper.

#### Laboratory measurements

Serum AMH levels in both subjects and controls were measured at the diagnostic endocrine laboratory at the Erasmus Medical Centre (Rotterdam, the Netherlands). AMH levels were measured using an in-house double-antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (commercially available as the GenII Beckman Coulter, Beckman Coulter, Inc., Webster, TX, USA) as previously described [22]. All samples were stored using the same protocol at -20°C until assayed. AMH immunoreactivity in serum samples was stable after repeated freeze-thaw cycles. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were <5 and <10%, respectively [22,23].

#### Statistics

AMH levels were normally distributed after log-transformation. AMH levels were analysed as continuous variables, as well as standard deviation scores (SDS) and percentiles. AMH percentiles were based on the percentiles of 250 healthy girls:  $<10^{th}$  percentile,  $10^{th}$  percentile– $50^{th}$  percentile,  $50^{th}$  percentile, and  $>90^{th}$  percentile [17]. As AMH is age-dependent, SDS were calculated to correct for age, based on previously reported AMH levels of (age-matched) 250 healthy girls from our institute [17]. Nonparametric and chi-square tests were used to compare included and excluded patients, and to compare AMH levels of patients and controls. SDS of girls with childhood cancer were compared with healthy references using the one sample t-test. Linear regression was used to compare AMH SDS between subsets of diagnoses, and to study the association between the surrogate markers of general health status (temperature at diagnosis, haemoglobin (Hb) levels and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels), and AMH SDS. AMH SDS according to Tanner stages were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney *U* test. P-values of <0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses

were performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

## RESULTS

In 208 girls with cancer AMH levels were measured at the time of diagnosis at the Erasmus Medical Centre-Sophia's Children's Hospital Rotterdam. Seventy-seven girls (36.5%) were diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, 19 (9.1%) with acute myeloid leukaemia, 32 (15.4%) with HL, 18 (8.7%) with NHL, 22 (10.6%) with nephroblastoma, 23 (11.5%) with sarcoma and 17 (8.2%) with neuroblastoma.

The median age was 6.6 years (range 0.0–17.4) and comparable with the median age of girls in the control group (median 6.3 years, range 0.3–18.0, p=0.44). Girls diagnosed with cancer had significantly lower pre-treatment AMH levels compared with the age-matched controls (SDS -0.8, p<0.001) (Figure I). Median AMH level in patients was 1.4  $\mu$ g/L (0.1–10.2) versus 3.0  $\mu$ g/L (0.1–18.3) in the controls. In all tumour types median AMH SDS was below zero, but no significant differences were observed between the malignancies (Figure II).

Of all girls with newly diagnosed cancer, 83.7% had AMH levels below the 50th percentile, and 19.2% had AMH levels below the 10th percentile (Table I).

|                | n   | <p10< th=""><th>%</th><th>p10-<p50< th=""><th>%</th><th><p50< th=""><th>%</th><th>р50-р90</th><th>%</th></p50<></th></p50<></th></p10<> | %    | p10- <p50< th=""><th>%</th><th><p50< th=""><th>%</th><th>р50-р90</th><th>%</th></p50<></th></p50<> | %    | <p50< th=""><th>%</th><th>р50-р90</th><th>%</th></p50<> | %    | р50-р90 | %    |
|----------------|-----|---|------|--|------|---|------|---------|------|
| ALL            | 77  | 14  | 18.2 | 49   | 63.6 | 63  | 81.8 | 14      | 18.2 |
| AML            | 19  | 4   | 21.1 | 14   | 73.7 | 18  | 94.7 | 1       | 5.3  |
| HL             | 32  | 9   | 28.1 | 18   | 56.3 | 27  | 84.4 | 5       | 15.6 |
| NHL            | 18  | 4   | 22.2 | 10   | 55.6 | 14  | 77.8 | 4       | 22.2 |
| Nephroblastoma | 22  | 1   | 4.5  | 17   | 77.3 | 18  | 81.8 | 4       | 18.2 |
| Sarcoma        | 23  | 3   | 13.0 | 15   | 65.2 | 18  | 78.3 | 5       | 21.7 |
| Neuroblastoma  | 17  | 5   | 29.4 | 11   | 64.7 | 16  | 94.1 | 1       | 5.9  |
| Total          | 208 | 40  | 19.2 | 134  | 64.4 | 174   | 83.7 | 34      | 16.3 |

Table I | Anti-Müllerian hormone levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer compared with the 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles in age-matched controls.

ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; AML=acute myeloid leukaemia; HL=Hodgkin lymphoma; NHL=non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Tanner stages were reliably documented in 161 patients. Fourteen girls (8.7%) were classified as being late pubertal, 15 as being mid-pubertal (9.3%) and 132 girls (82.0%) were pre-pubertal. AMH SDS were not significantly different between the Tanner categorical subgroups (p=0.15).



Figure I | Pre-treatment anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels in girls with newly diagnosed childhood cancer (n=208) when compared with AMH levels in a cohort of 250 age-matched healthy girls (p<0.001, one sample t-test). p90, p50 and p10 refer to 90<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> percentiles, respectively.





ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; AML=acute myeloid leukaemia; HL=Hodgkin lymphoma; NHL=non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Temperature at diagnosis, a surrogate marker of general health status, was inversely correlated with AMH SDS ( $\beta$  -0.119, 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.235; -0.003, p=0.044) as was CRP with AMH SDS ( $\beta$  -0.002; 95% CI: -0.004; -0.000, p=0.016), while Hb levels and AMH SDS were positively correlated ( $\beta$  0.064, 95% CI: 0.005; 0.123, p=0.033).

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have shown that AMH levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer are reduced compared with age-matched controls, even before chemotherapy or radiotherapy has started.

The rationale for these reduced levels is unclear. Previous studies in adult women with HL or NHL, but also in adults with systemic diseases, such as diabetes mellitus, systemic lupus erythematosus and cardiovascular diseases, suggest that the compromised general health status due to the consumptive character of the disease decreases AMH levels. In these patients, a decreased AMH production in the small growing follicles inflicted by the chronic disease, an increased metabolism of AMH in cancer patients, or a more rapid decline of the primordial follicle pool might be present [24-27]. In order to gain at least some insight into the influence of a compromised health status, we studied some indirect markers of general illness, i.e. temperature, CRP and Hb levels. Indeed, we found a negative association between temperature, CRP and AMH SDS, while a positive association was observed between Hb levels and AMH SDS. These findings indicate that the pre-treatment general health status might contribute to the decreased AMH levels.

Another potential cause of the decreased AMH SDS independently of childhood cancer subtype might be impaired granulosa cell function due to an impaired DNA repair mechanism. Genetic variation mapping to DNA repair genes has been associated with both cancer and ovarian ageing [28,29]. Other factors, such as stress, cannot be excluded. It would be interesting to compare AMH levels in different groups of young girls including those with other severe illnesses in order to study the effect of illness and stress. Whether the reduced AMH levels are reversible and what the mechanism of this accelerated reduction of AMH levels is, is currently unknown. In the normal situation AMH levels reflect the number of growing follicles, and hence AMH has been suggested to be a marker of the quantitative aspect of ovarian reserve. However, when health status is compromised, this may affect granulosa cell function, leading to decreased serum AMH levels without affecting the follicle count. Hence, AMH might be a marker of ovarian function, a reduced primordial follicle pool, or a combination thereof in girls with newly diagnosed cancer.

We analysed AMH levels in a substantial sample of girls with newly diagnosed cancer. Other ovarian function markers, such as FSH and inhibin B, were not measured as it has been reported that FSH and inhibin B are no reliable markers in pre-pubertal girls with cancer [9]. Inhibin B levels were undetectable in the majority of pre-pubertal female patients with cancer, and FSH levels did not increase during cancer treatment illustrating the quiescence of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis during pre-puberty. In adults, AMH is strongly correlated with the antral follicle count and is

relatively constant during and between menstrual cycles [13-16]. Nevertheless, some caution is warranted since AMH levels increase with age in healthy children and thereafter remain stable until early adulthood [17,30,31]. Moreover, it is important to recall that AMH is an indirect marker for the number of primordial follicles in the ovary. Nelson et al showed variation in AMH levels in the first 2–3 years of life before an accelerated increase from 4 years onwards [31]. However, further data show that AMH rises until the age of 25 years, after which AMH decreases until the age of menopause [17]. Hagen et al [10] showed the same increase, but all three models do show considerable variability during infancy [17,30,31]. It has been suggested that the increase and the variability during infancy might be explained by an increase of the number of granulosa cells producing AMH or that the number of small growing follicles, in which AMH expression is strongest, increases [32]. Hagen et al observed a post-natal peak in AMH levels, which was also reported by others [10,33]. A suggested explanation for this increase was shown by the temporal relationship between increased follicular growth and post-natal AMH levels, probably in response to the post-natal FSH surge stimulating ovarian folliculogenesis and AMH transcription [33]. The variation in the timing of this process might at least partly explain the AMH variability. In order to minimize the influence of age and variation during the early years, we compared AMH levels in girls with childhood cancer to age-matched controls. However, the effect of variability cannot be completely ruled out, and therefore caution is warranted when extrapolating these results to other populations. Lastly, although the number of patients analysed in this study is larger than in previous studies, the number is still relatively small. Therefore, we recommend to replicate our study in a larger independent cohort of survivors of childhood cancer.

In adults, pre-treatment AMH levels seem to be important for the interpretation of treatmentrelated ovarian damage during follow-up. It has been shown that baseline AMH levels affect the magnitude of acute changes in ovarian reserve from chemotherapy, and that the rate of recovery of AMH is determined by pre-treatment levels [34]. The present study is the first large study on AMH levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer. However, data on recovery are not yet available. Longitudinal studies during and after childhood cancer are currently being performed to determine whether AMH levels recover (i.e. increase) with improved health status.

In conclusion, we show that AMH levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer are already compromised before treatment starts, suggesting that the disease itself and/or the subsequent health status affects AMH levels. Therefore, besides (pre) antral follicle number, other factors may influence serum AMH levels.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Howell S, Shalet S. Gonadal damage from chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 1998; 27:927-43.
- 2. McVie JG. Cancer treatment: the last 25 years. Cancer Treat Rev. 1999; 25:323-31.
- Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod.* 2009; 24:982-90.
- 4. Lie Fong S, Lugtenburg PJ, Schipper I, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Sonneveld P, Laven JS. Anti-mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in women after chemotherapy and radiotherapy for haematological malignancies. *Hum Reprod.* 2008; 23:674-8.
- van Dorp W, van Beek RD, Laven JS, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012; 18:12-28.
- Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Kasper C, Mulder J, Green D, Nicholson HS, et al. Premature menopause in survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006; 98:890-6.
- van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 8. Van Dorp W, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Stolk L, Pieters R, Uitterlinden AG, Visser JA, Laven JS. Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; 28:1069-76.
- Brougham MF, Crofton PM, Johnson EJ, Evans N, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a marker of gonadotoxicity in pre- and postpubertal girls treated for cancer: a prospective study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012; 97:2059-67.
- 10. Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Main KM, Boas M, Cleemann L, Holm K, Gravholt CH, Andersson AM, et al. Serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in 926 healthy females from birth to adulthood and in 172 Turner syndrome patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2010; 95:5003-10.
- 11. Visser JA, de Jong FH, Laven JS, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function. *Reproduction*. 2006; 131:1-9.
- 12. Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Mouritsen A, Andersson AM, Petersen JH, Main KM, Juul A. Individual serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone in healthy girls persist through childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal cohort study. *Hum Reprod.* 2012; 27:861-6.
- 13. Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- 14. La Marca A, Stabile G, Artenisio AC, Volpe A. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone throughout the human menstrual cycle. *Hum Reprod*. 2006; 21:3103-7.
- 15. Streuli I, Fraisse T, Pillet C, Ibecheole V, Bischof P, de Ziegler D. Serum antimullerian hormone levels remain stable throughout the menstrual cycle and after oral or vaginal administration of synthetic sex steroids. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 90:395-400.
- 16. Tsepelidis S, Devreker F, Demeestere I, Flahaut A, Gervy C, Englert Y. Stable serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone during the menstrual cycle: a prospective study in normo-ovulatory women. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:1837-40.
- 17. Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, de Rijke YB, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Roes EM, Peters WH, Hokken-Koelega AC, et al. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels in healthy females: a nomogram ranging from infancy to adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:4650-5.
- 18. Lawrenz B, Fehm T, von Wolff M, Soekler M, Huebner S, Henes J, Henes M. Reduced pretreatment ovarian reserve in premenopausal female patients with Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin-lymphoma-evaluation by using antimullerian hormone and retrieved oocytes. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 98:141-4.

- 19. Fabbri R, Pasquinelli G, Magnani V, Arpinati M, Battaglia C, Paradisi R, Venturoli S. Follicle features in adolescent and young adult women with Hodgkin's disease prior to chemotherapy: a preliminary report. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011; 23:799-805.
- van Casteren NJ, Dohle GR, Romijn JC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Weber RF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Semen cryopreservation in pubertal boys before gonadotoxic treatment and the role of endocrinologic evaluation in predicting sperm yield. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 90:1119-25.
- 21. Tanner JM. Growth and endocrinology of the adolescent. Gardner L e, editor. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1969.
- 22. Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 23. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 24. Dorman JS, Steenkiste AR, Foley TP, Strotmeyer ES, Burke JP, Kuller LH, Kwoh CK, Familial A, Diabetes S. Menopause in type 1 diabetic women: is it premature? *Diabetes*. 2001; 50:1857-62.
- Gast GC, Pop VJ, Samsioe GN, Grobbee DE, Nilsson PM, Keyzer JJ, Wijnands-van Gent CJ, van der Schouw YT. Vasomotor menopausal symptoms are associated with increased risk of coronary heart disease. *Menopause*. 2011; 18:146-51.
- 26. Isik S, Ozcan HN, Ozuguz U, Tutuncu YA, Berker D, Alimli AG, Akbaba G, Karademir MA, Guler S. Evaluation of ovarian reserve based on hormonal parameters, ovarian volume, and antral follicle count in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:261-9.
- 27. Lawrenz B, Henes J, Henes M, Neunhoeffer E, Schmalzing M, Fehm T, Kitter I. Impact of systemic lupus erythematosus on ovarian reserve in premenopausal women: evaluation by using anti-Muellerian hormone. *Lupus*. 2011; 20:1193-7.
- 28. Stolk L, Perry JR, Chasman DI, He C, Mangino M, Sulem P, Barbalic M, Broer L, Byrne EM, et al. Meta-analyses identify 13 loci associated with age at menopause and highlight DNA repair and immune pathways. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:260-8.
- 29. Hoeijmakers JH. DNA damage, aging, and cancer. N Engl J Med. 2009; 361:1475-85.
- 30. Kelsey TW, Wright P, Nelson SM, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. A validated model of serum anti-mullerian hormone from conception to menopause. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e22024.
- 31. Nelson SM, Messow MC, McConnachie A, Wallace H, Kelsey T, Fleming R, Anderson RA, Leader B. External validation of nomogram for the decline in serum anti-Mullerian hormone in women: a population study of 15,834 infertility patients. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011; 23:204-6.
- 32. Weenen C, Laven JS, Von Bergh AR, Cranfield M, Groome NP, Visser JA, Kramer P, Fauser BC, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone expression pattern in the human ovary: potential implications for initial and cyclic follicle recruitment. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2004; 10:77-83.
- 33. Kuiri-Hanninen T, Kallio S, Seuri R, Tyrvainen E, Liakka A, Tapanainen J, Sankilampi U, Dunkel L. Postnatal developmental changes in the pituitary-ovarian axis in preterm and term infant girls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; 96:3432-9.
- 34. Dillon KE, Sammel MD, Prewitt M, Ginsberg JP, Walker D, Mersereau JE, Gosiengfiao Y, Gracia CR. Pretreatment antimullerian hormone levels determine rate of posttherapy ovarian reserve recovery: acute changes in ovarian reserve during and after chemotherapy. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 99:477-83.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of go after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood court of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childh al function childhood erminants al function childhood

al function childhood

Characteristics and outcome of paediatric non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients with ovarian infiltration at presentation

cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer between the child

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Ovarian infiltration in paediatric non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) at presentation is rare and information on outcome is scarce and mainly based on case reports and small series.

**Procedure:** Evaluation of clinical characteristics and outcome of ovarian infiltrated paediatric NHL cases of a single centre, and an extensive review of all cases reported so far in literature.

**Results:** At presentation, 6/60 female NHL cases of our centre had ovarian infiltration, and combining these cases with previous case reports, a total of 42 cases were identified. Median age at presentation was 10.9 years (range 0–18), and all but one had a B-cell immunophenotype, with 32/42 cases being classified as Burkitt. Bilateral involvement was reported in 26/41 cases, of which 22 were bilaterally ovariectomized as first treatment. All cases were treated with chemotherapy. Relapses were reported in 9/36 and death in 16/36. After follow-up in our centre (median 13.4 years), in 2 cases with ovarian infiltration anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) values were available (2.1 and 0.9  $\mu$ g/L). In non-infiltrated cases, median AMH level was 2.2  $\mu$ g/L.

**Conclusions:** We conclude that in case of ovarian tumours with negative tumour markers (AFP and  $\beta$ -HCG), NHL should be considered in order to avoid unnecessary surgery.

## INTRODUCTION

Lymphomas account for 10–15% of all paediatric cancers of which the non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) group represents 60% [1]. The most common sites of presentation of NHL are the mediastinum, neck, and abdomen [2]. At initial presentation, lymphomas rarely involve the ovaries, in children as well as in adults. The scarce information on clinical presentation of ovarian infiltrated paediatric NHL is mainly based on case reports and small series combining ovarian infiltration in adults and children, and the frequency based on cohort studies is unknown [3,4]. In addition, it is unknown what the influence is on gonadal function later in life. In the current report, we present (1) a retrospective single-centre analysis of the frequency, clinical features and outcomes of ovarian infiltration at presentation of girls diagnosed with NHL in our institution, and (2) an extensive review of the clinical characteristics and survival of all well-documented ovarian infiltrating NHL cases in childhood reported in the literature.

## METHODS

#### Single-centre data analysis

From 1966 to 2012, 160 consecutive paediatric NHL cases were diagnosed at the Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology department of the Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, of which all 60 girls were included in this retrospective survey. Medical records were reviewed for clinical characteristics, i.e. sex, age at diagnosis, presenting symptoms, tumour characteristics, subtype (radiological, histopathological and immunophenotypical reports), disease stage (computed tomography (CT) or ultrasound) at baseline, upfront chemotherapy, and other types of treatment (ovariectomy, other surgery, abdominal radiotherapy, and stem cell transplantation), as well as outcome parameters. The diagnosis of ovarian infiltration of NHL was derived by findings on abdominal ultrasound, which is together with CT, MRI and PET scans a common diagnostic tool in the staging procedure for NHL in children, and confirmed in 4/6 by CT/ MRI scans. In 2 cases (diagnosed in 1982 and 1990), only ultrasound was performed.

### Serum AMH level assessment

Serum anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) was used as a proxy for gonadal function in our female childhood cancer survivors (CCS) [5-8]. Serum AMH levels were measured using an in-house doubleantibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; intra- and interassay coefficients of variance (CVs) were <10% and <5%, respectively [9,10], and compared to AMH levels of women from the general population. These women were proven fertile or had regular menstrual cycles [11].

#### Literature review

We conducted searches in the electronic databases PubMed, Embase, Medline, Cochrane, and Web of Science in January 2012, using the following key words and their synonyms: ovary, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, child. Studies were eligible for selection if NHL cases were individually well-documented;

aged less than 19 years at diagnosis; NHL at baseline; ovarian infiltration at presentation; the article was published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal written in the English or Dutch language. After removing the duplicates, the author screened titles and abstracts to select eligible studies. Full text papers were obtained of the selected papers, and were excluded by the author if studies did not met the inclusion criteria. The complete search strategy is available on request.

# RESULTS

## Descriptives of single centre study

Six of the 60 girls (10%) diagnosed with NHL in our centre had ovarian infiltration at diagnosis (Table I). The median age at diagnosis of these cases was 10.5 years (range 3.1–15.7). All six (four unilateral, two bilateral) were stage III, according to the Murphy's staging system [12], and were immunophenotyped as B-cell (Burkitt type) NHL. The most common presenting symptoms were abdominal pain and/or mass (n=6/6), and one case was complicated by hydronephrosis (n=1/6). Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and beta-human chorionic gonadotropin ( $\beta$ -HCG) were within the normal range in all six cases. All patients were treated with multi-agent chemotherapy. One patient had upfront bilateral ovariectomy, which was performed in 1982 because of 'malignant appearance' during laparotomy at presentation according to the surgical reports. Two patients were treated with unilateral ovariectomy; the first for debulking of the tumour and the second because of incomplete response to chemotherapy. Abdominal radiotherapy was administered in one patient, seven months post diagnosis due to progression of the disease. None received stem cell transplantation (SCT). Two out of six patients had disease progression within six months from diagnosis and eventually died due to progressive and incurable disease. The characteristics and outcome of the ovarian infiltrated as well as the other NHL cases are depicted in Table I.

|   | Ovarian infiltration | No ovarian infiltration | Total          |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| n total   | 6                    | 54                      | 60             |
| Median age at diagnosis (in years)                        | 10.5 (3.1–15.7)      | 9.1 (0.4–16.4)          | 9.1 (0.4–16.4) |
| Presenting symptoms/physical exam                         |                      |                         |                |
| <ul> <li>General (fever, fatigue, weight loss)</li> </ul> | 2 (33.3)             | 25 (46.3)               | 27 (45.0)      |
| <ul> <li>Abdominal symptoms</li> </ul>                    | 5 (83.3)             | 19 (35.2)               | 24 (40)        |
| – B-symptoms  | 1 (16.7)             | 4 (7.4)                 | 5 (8.3)        |
| - Palpable nodes  | 1 (16.7)             | 16 (29.6)               | 17 (28.3)      |
| - Abdominal mass  | 4 (66.7)             | 24 (44.4)               | 28 (46.7)      |
| – Ascites   | 1 (16.7)             | 1 (1.9)                 | 2 (3.3)        |
| - Hepatosplenomegaly                                      | 1 (16.7)             | 7 (13.0)                | 8 (13.3)       |
| – Pleural fluid   | 0                    | 4 (7.4)                 | 4 (6.7)        |

Table I | Patient characteristic at baseline in the single-centre cohort.

|   | Ovarian infiltration | No ovarian infiltration | Total           |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Subtype   | -                    |                         |                 |
| – Burkitt lymphoma                                      | 6 (100.0)            | 11 (20.4)               | 17 (28.3)       |
| - DLBCL   | 0                    | 7 (13.0)                | 7 (11.7)        |
| – T-LBL   | 0                    | 17 (31.5)               | 17 (28.3)       |
| - Precursor B-NHL                                       | 0                    | 4 (7.4)                 | 4 (6.7)         |
| - ALCL  | 0                    | 13 (24.1)               | 13 (21.7)       |
| - Unclassified  | 0                    | 2 (3.7)                 | 2 (3.3)         |
| Organ infiltration                                      |                      |                         |                 |
| – Ovary   | 6                    | 0                       | 6 (10.0)        |
| – Kidney  | 2 (33.3)             | 5 (9.3)                 | 7 (11.7)        |
| – Liver   | 1 (16.7)             | 2 (3.7)                 | 3 (5.0)         |
| – Spleen  | 0                    | 2 (3.7)                 | 2 (3.3)         |
| – Pancreas  | 0                    | 1 (1.9)                 | 1 (1.7)         |
| – Mesentery   | 0                    | 4 (7.4)                 | 4 (6.7)         |
| – Intra-abdominal                                       | 0                    | 5 (9.3)                 | 5 (8.3)         |
| – Mediastinum   | 1 (16.7)             | 19 (35.2)               | 20 (33.3)       |
| – Lymph noduli  | 1 (16.7)             | 24 (44.4)               | 25 (41.7)       |
| - Bone marrow   | 0                    | 8 (14.8)                | 8 (13.3)        |
| – CNS   | 0                    | 4 (7.4)                 | 4 (6.7)         |
| – Skin  | 0                    | 4 (7.4)                 | 4 (6.7)         |
| – Other   | 0                    | 3 (5.6)                 | 3 (5.0)         |
| Stage   |                      |                         |                 |
| – Stage I   | 0                    | 12 (22.2)               | 12 (20.0)       |
| – Stage II  | 0                    | 9 (16.7)                | 9 (15.0)        |
| – Stage III   | 6 (100.0)            | 16 (29.6)               | 22 (36.7)       |
| – Stage IV  | 0                    | 17 (31.5)               | 17 (28.3)       |
| Other therapy   |                      |                         |                 |
| <ul> <li>Abdominal radiotherapy</li> </ul>              | 1 (16.7)             | 0 (0.0)                 | 1 (1.7)         |
| <ul> <li>Stem cell transplantation</li> </ul>           | 0                    | 3 (5.6)                 | 3 (5.0)         |
| - Ovariectomy   | 3 (50.0)*            | 2 (3.7)                 | 5 (8.3)         |
| - Ileum resection                                       | 0                    | 5 (9.3)                 | 5 (8.3)         |
| Event   |                      |                         |                 |
| – Relapse   | 0                    | 11 (20.4)               | 11 (18.3)       |
| – Death   | 2 (33.3)             | 14 (25.9)               | 16 (26.7)       |
| Median time diagnosis – relapse (in months)             | -                    | 6.5 (2.6–12.1)          | 6.5 (2.6–12.1)  |
| <b>Median time diagnosis</b> – <b>death</b> (in months) | 6.9 (6.4–9.0)        | 11.0 (6.4–140.1)        | 9.6 (4.8–140.1  |
| Median time relapse – death (in months)                 | 2.1 (1.0-3.1)        | 4.3 (0.3–137.1)         | 3.9 (0.3–137.1) |

Data is presented in median (range) or n (%). N=number; DLBCL=diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; T-LBL=T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma; Precursor B-NHL=pre-B-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma; ALCL=anaplastic large cell lymphoma; CNS=central nervous system; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; \*=of which two were unilateral ovariectomy and one was bilateral at diagnosis in 1982.

In the long-term survivors (n=44), serum AMH levels were available in 25 cases, including 3/6 cases with ovarian infiltration. Two of these three cases, aged 14.8 and 16.3 years at time of screening, had been treated with chemotherapy only (AMH levels 2.1 and 0.9 µg/L), and one case aged 28.4 years at screening had been treated with a bilateral ovariectomy (AMH level <0.1 µg/L). Follow-up times were respectively 1.3, 7.3, and 24.3 years. None of these three women underwent abdominal radiotherapy. The 22 female CCS without ovarian infiltration had a median serum AMH level of 2.2 µg/L (range <0.1–8.1) at a median follow-up time of 13.4 years (range 0.3–27.7) and a median age of 22.9 years (range 4.5–40.3) at screening. Figure I shows the serum AMH levels of our single-centre female NHL CCS, in comparison with serum AMH levels of Dutch controls [11].



Figure I | Serum AMH levels in NHL survivors in single-centre cohort.

Anti-Müllerian hormone levels in NHL patients as compared to the p10, p50 and p90 in 250 healthy controls [11]. NHL=non-Hodgkin lymphoma; CT=chemotherapy; BiOv=bilateral ovariectomy.

#### Literature review of ovarian infiltrated NHL

The combined search resulted in 537 articles. After screening the titles and abstracts, 64 articles met the inclusion criteria and were retrieved for further assessment. Twenty manuscripts were selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of the selected articles, a cross-reference of related articles, references and citing articles was performed. This yielded no further manuscripts for inclusion. This search resulted in 36 well documented cases of childhood ovarian infiltrated NHL, enabling a review of clinical characteristics and outcome of 42 cases in total (Table II). The median age was 10.9 years (range 0.9–18.0) at presentation. Except for one incidental finding, all cases presented with abdominal pain and/or abdominal mass. Ascites at presentation was found in 9/42 cases, fever in 10/42 cases, and 5/42 cases had respiratory symptoms. The immunophenotype was described in 42 cases. All but one had a B-cell immunophenotype, with 32/42 cases being classified as Burkitt and 9/42 as B-NHL. One patient was diagnosed with ALCL with a t(2;5) fusion gene, with unavailable specific information on the immunophenotype.

Bilateral ovarian involvement at diagnosis was described in 26/41 cases (63.4%). In one case, the side of ovarian infiltration was not specified. Concomitant infiltration of other organs than the ovary was described in 22/42 cases, and included other intra-abdominal sites (uterine tubes, uterus, small

intestine, appendix, mesentery, retroperitoneum, liver and the stomach; n=22/42), orbita (n=1/42) and chest (mediastinal enlargement and pleural effusion; n=6/42). Data on disease stage, CNS and BM involvement at presentation were available for respectively 34, 32 and 36 cases. Based on the Murphy's staging system 4/34 stage II and 29/34 cases were stage III. Only one case was diagnosed with stage IV due to CNS involvement. None had BM infiltration (Table II).

Chemotherapy was administered in 38/42 cases, ovariectomy in 34/41 and abdominal radiotherapy in 6/41 cases. Upfront ovariectomy was performed in 31/41 cases (9 were performed unilateral and the other 22 bilateral). Upfront chemotherapy was administered in 10/41 and one case was initially treated with abdominal radiotherapy. Two other cases were treated with unilateral ovariectomy after failure or incomplete response to chemotherapy. Other types of surgery because of complications or infiltration of the disease, such as hemicolectomy, cystectomy, hysterectomy, appendectomy, and omentectomy, were described in 14/41 cases (Figure II). 36/42 cases had available data on clinical outcome. Relapses occurred in 9/36 of the well-described cases; all but one resulted in death. Eight other patients were reported to die of extreme toxicity, that is, extreme progressive cachexia, complete intestinal obstruction, and sepsis.



Figure II | Treatment algorithm of paediatric ovarian infiltrated cases.

In one case, ovarian infiltration is not specified in unilateral or bilateral.\*=death due to treatment toxicity such as extreme progressive cachexia, complete intestinal obstruction or sepsis; #=other types of surgery performed, such as hemicolectomy, cystectomy, hysterectomy, appendectomy and omentectomy.

|                        |    |                   | Sym   | ptoms<br>pre | & ex<br>sent | aminat<br>ation | ion at  | Sub-type      | Stage          | Ova<br>infiltr | rian<br>ation |  |
|------------------------|----|-------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| Author                 | n  | Age at<br>Dx (yr) | Fever | Abd.         | Re.          | Abd.<br>mass    | Ascites | -             |                | Uni            | Ві            |  |
| Seed, 1966 [21]        | 1  | 15                | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | 111            | 1              | 0             |  |
| Jamra, 1970 [22]       | 1  | 12                | 1     | 0            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Bluming, 1971 [23]     | 1  | 4                 | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Pickleman, 1972 [24]   | 1  | 7                 | 1     | 1            | 1            | 1               | 0       | BL            | 111            | 0              | 1             |  |
| Nkrumah, 1973 [25]     | 5  | 10                | 0     | 0            | 0            | 5/5             | 0       | 5x BL         | 4x III, 1x IV  | 2/5            | 3/5           |  |
| Aggio, 1974 [26]       | 1  | 4                 | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Kuramoto, 1979 [27]    | 1  | 15                | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 1       | BL            | Ш              | 1              | 0             |  |
| Piura, 1986 [28]       | 1  | 16                | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | <b>B-NHL</b>  | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Weekes, 1986 [29]      | 1  | 15                | 1     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 1       | BL            | 111            | 0              | 1             |  |
| Monterroso, 1993 [3]   | 12 | 12                | 1/12  | 3/12         | 1/12         | 10/12           | 2/12    | 9 BL, 3 B-NHL | 3x II, 9x III, | 4/11*          | 7/11*         |  |
| Creatsas, 1997 [30]    | 2  | 16                | 1/2   | 2/2          | 0            | 2/2             | 0       | BL, N-NHL     | 11, 111        | 1/2            | 1/2           |  |
| Mitsumori, 1999 [31]   | 1  | 12                | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | <b>B-NHL</b>  | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Turken, 2000 [32]      | 1  | 0.9               | 0     | 0            | 0            | 1               | 0       | NHL           | Ш              | 0              | 1             |  |
| Azizoglu, 2001 [17]    | 1  | 16                | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | Ш              | 0              | 1             |  |
| Eren, 2004 [33]        | 1  | 4.5               | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 1       | BL            | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Koksal, 2005 [34]      | 1  | 9                 | 0     | 1            | 1            | 1               | 1       | <b>B-NHL</b>  | 111            | 1              | 0             |  |
| Ray, 2008 [35]         | 1  | 8                 | 0     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 0       | <b>B-NHL</b>  | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Chong, 2009 [18]       | 1  | 14                | 1     | 1            | 1            | 1               | 1       | ALCL          | 111            | 1              | 0             |  |
| Cyriac, 2010 [36]      | 1  | 13                | 1     | 1            | 0            | 1               | 1       | BL            | NA             | 0              | 1             |  |
| Chakrabarti, 2011 [37] | 1  | 1.7               | 1     | 0            | 0            | 1               | 0       | BL            | Ш              | 0              | 1             |  |
| Present report         | 6  | 10.5              | 2/6   | 6/6          | 1/6          | 4/6             | 1/6     | 6x BL         | 6x III         | 4/6            | 2/6           |  |
| Total                  | 42 | 10.9              | 10/42 | 25/42        | 5/42         | 38/42           | 9/42    | -             | -              | 15/41          | 26/41         |  |

Table II | Clinical features of cases of paediatric ovarian non-Hodgkin lymphoma.

Data is presented in median or n. N=number; Dx=diagnosis; Abd=abdominal symptoms; re=respiratory symptoms; BL=Burkitt lymphoma; B-NHL=B-non-Hodgkin lymphoma; ALCL=anaplastic large cell lymphoma; Uni=unilateral; bi=bilateral; intra-abd=intraabdominal; CNS=central nervous system; BM=bone marrow; thor=thoracal; CT=chemotherapy; ov=ovariectomy; RT=radiotherapy; Rel=relapse; D=death; mo=months; yr=year; CCR=complete clinical remission; NA=not available;\*=in one case site not specified.
| Other sites of involvement<br>at diagnosis |      |      | Treatment |      |         | Outcome |       |        | CCR postDx |       |              |                 |
|--|------|------|-----------|------|---------|---------|-------|--------|------------|-------|--------------|-----------------|
| Intr-<br>abd.                              | CNS  | BM   | Orbita    | Thor | Uni-ov. | Bi-ov.  | СТ    | Abd RT | Rel.       | D     | D (mo)       | (mo)            |
| 1  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0    | 1       | 0       | 1     | 1      | 0          | 1     | 4            |                 |
| 0  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | NA              |
| 0  | NA   | NA   | 0         | 0    | 1       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              |                 |
| 0  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 1     | 7            |                 |
| 1/5  | 1/5  | 0    | 0         | 0    | 2/5     | 3/5     | 5x1   | 0      | 3/5        | 4/5   | 3,8,18.5,28  | >26             |
| 1  | NA   | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 1     | 0.5          |                 |
| 1  | NA   | NA   | 1         | 0    | 1       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 1     | 1.5          |                 |
| 0  | NA   | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 1      | 0          | 0     |              | >120            |
| 1  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | NA         | NA    |              |                 |
| 11/12                                      | 0/12 | 1/12 | 0         | 3/12 | 2/11    | 8/11    | 9/11  | 3/11   | 6/9        | 5/9   | 1 6,7,10, 15 | 53,72, 105, 197 |
| 0  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0    | 1/2     | 1/2     | 2/2   | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 36,60           |
| 0  | NA   | NA   | 0         | 0    | 0       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              |                 |
| 0  | NA   | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 8               |
| 0  | NA   | NA   | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 0     | 0      | NA         | NA    |              |                 |
| 1  | NA   | NA   | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 1     | 0.5          |                 |
| 0  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1    | 1       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 6               |
| 0  | NA   | NA   | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | NA         | NA    |              |                 |
| 1  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 1    | 1       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 24              |
| 0  | NA   | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 0       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 6               |
| 1  | 0    | 0    | 0         | 0    | 0       | 1       | 1     | 0      | 0          | 0     |              | 28              |
| 3/6  | 0/6  | 0    | 0         | 1    | 2/6     | 1/6     | 6x1   | 1/6    | 0          | 2/6   | 5, 9         | 12,24, 84,>25yr |
| 22/42                                      | 1/32 | 1/36 | 1/42      | 6/42 | 12/41   | 22/41   | 38/42 | 6/41   | 9/36       | 16/36 |              |                 |

## DISCUSSION

NHL in children usually presents with lymph node involvement with consequent symptoms and to a lesser extend with organ infiltration. The current report indicates that infiltration of the ovaries at presentation of paediatric NHL is rare, which is similar to what has been reported in adults [13]. Therefore, specific clinical characteristics are difficult to describe. From the observation of the 42 reviewed cases including our own particular cohort, all but one paediatric cases presented with abdominal pain and/or abdominal mass, which again is comparable with the presentation as described in adult cases [3,14].

Most paediatric cases showed a B-cell NHL immunophenotype, of which the majority had a Burkitt type, which may be a reflection of the most common lymphoma found in children [15]. This is in line with reported adult ovarian infiltrated NHL cases, where mainly diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is found, followed by Burkitt lymphoma [3,14,16]. Nevertheless, it may also stress the specific tendency of homing of malignant B-cell NHL cells rather than T-NHL cells to infiltrate ovarian tissue, which suggests that Burkitt lymphomas preferentially involve the ovaries. The explanation of this is unknown. Only three adult primary ovarian anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL) cases have been reported of which two immunophenotypically showed T-cell lineage monoclonality [3,16-18]. The paediatric case that was cytogenetically confirmed as ALCL was not immunophenotyped.

BM involvement was not, and CNS infiltration was reported only once in the 42 paediatric ovarian infiltrated NHL cases. This could be an illustration of the fact that B-NHL, that infiltrates ovarian tissue, represents a distinct biological entity with a different homing biology. However, in general, BM and CNS involvement in B-cell NHL in children occurs only in approximately 5% and 10%, respectively [19,20], and considering the limited number of patients, this finding should be interpreted with caution.

Bilateral ovarian infiltration was reported in over half of the ovarian infiltrated paediatric NHL cases. Upfront ovariectomy was performed in 65% of the paediatric cases, of which strikingly 71% bilateral, even in recent years after improving diagnostic processes of NHL, and even after confirming negative gonadal tumour markers ( $\alpha$ -fetoprotein (AFP) and human chorionic gonadotropin ( $\beta$ -HCG)). It is important to take into account the year of diagnosis, but even after 2000, the majority of the patients (7/8) have been treated with ovariectomy. Moreover, even in the early seventies authors discussed the upfront ovariectomy as first treatment and advised surgeons to be aware of ovarian infiltration in NHL patients since treatment was advised to be predominantly non-operative [24]. As chemotherapy alone is often sufficient to cure NHL in children, these findings urge the need to consider the diagnosis of NHL in such cases, and to perform needle biopsies in a selected subset of children with ovarian tumours that do not reveal positive tumour markers (AFP and b-HCG), especially in children with bilateral ovarian infiltration. This however points to a diagnostic dilemma, as it should be kept in mind that there is a potential risk of tumour spread when performing needle biopsies in such cases. This risk should be taken into account, but especially given the strong curing potential of intensive chemotherapy, it has to be balanced against the risk of performing an ovariectomy upfront, which can substantially impair gonadal function and fertility as illustrated by the decreased serum AMH level in the bilaterally ovariectomized patients. Subsequent premature loss of ovarian function does have an incredible detrimental impact on a woman's general health later on in life.

In conclusion, ovarian infiltration in paediatric NHL is rare, and is predominated by the B-NHL phenotype. Considering the current excellent outcome using intensive chemotherapy only, awareness of NHL in case of ovarian tumours with negative tumour markers (AFP and b-HCG) is important in order to avoid unnecessary upfront surgery, especially in case of bilateral ovarian infiltration. Nevertheless, gonadal function should be carefully monitored in all long-term survivors of paediatric NHL, due to the potential risk of post-surgery-, chemo- and radiotherapy-related impairment.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Sandlund JT, Downing JR, Crist WM. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in childhood. N Engl J Med. 1996; 334:1238-48.
- 2. Murphy SB, Fairclough DL, Hutchison RE, Berard CW. Non-Hodgkin's lymphomas of childhood: an analysis of the histology, staging, and response to treatment of 338 cases at a single institution. *J Clin Oncol.* 1989; 7:186-93.
- 3. Monterroso V, Jaffe ES, Merino MJ, Medeiros LJ. Malignant lymphomas involving the ovary. A clinicopathologic analysis of 39 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 1993; 17:154-70.
- Chorlton I, Norris HJ, King FM. Malignant reticuloendothelial disease involving the ovary as a primary manifestation: a series of 19 lymphomas and 1 granulocytic sarcoma. *Cancer.* 1974; 34:397-407.
- Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod.* 2009; 24:982-90.
- van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; 92:3869-74.
- van Dorp W, van Beek RD, Laven JS, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012; 18:12-28.
- 8. van Waas M, Neggers SJ, Te Winkel ML, Beishuizen A, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Endocrine late sequelae in long-term survivors of childhood non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2012; 23:1626-32.
- 9. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 11. Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, de Rijke YB, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Roes EM, Peters WH, Hokken-Koelega AC, et al. Serum Anti-Mullerian Hormone Levels in Healthy Females: A Nomogram Ranging from Infancy to Adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; 97:4650-5.
- 12. Murphy SB. Classification, staging and end results of treatment of childhood non-Hodgkin's lymphomas: dissimilarities from lymphomas in adults. *Semin Oncol.* 1980; 7:332-9.
- 13. Dimopoulos MA, Daliani D, Pugh W, Gershenson D, Cabanillas F, Sarris AH. Primary ovarian non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: outcome after treatment with combination chemotherapy. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1997; 64:446-50.
- 14. Vang R, Medeiros LJ, Warnke RA, Higgins JP, Deavers MT. Ovarian non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a clinicopathologic study of eight primary cases. *Mod Pathol*. 2001; 14:1093-9.
- 15. Patte C, Auperin A, Michon J, Behrendt H, Leverger G, Frappaz D, Lutz P, Coze C, Perel Y, et al. The Societe Francaise d'Oncologie Pediatrique LMB89 protocol: highly effective multiagent chemotherapy tailored to the tumor burden and initial response in 561 unselected children with B-cell lymphomas and L3 leukemia. *Blood*. 2001; 97:3370-9.
- 16. Vang R, Medeiros LJ, Fuller GN, Sarris AH, Deavers M. Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma involving the gynecologic tract: a review of 88 cases. *Adv Anat Pathol*. 2001; 8:200-17.
- 17. Azizoglu C, Altinok G, Uner A, Sokmensuer C, Kucukali T, Ayhan A. Ovarian lymphomas. A clinicopathological analysis of 10 cases. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2001; 265:91-3.
- 18. Chong AL, Ngan BY, Weitzman S, Abla O. Anaplastic large cell lymphoma of the ovary in a pediatric patient. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2009; 31:702-4.
- Patte C, Auperin A, Gerrard M, Michon J, Pinkerton R, Sposto R, Weston C, Raphael M, Perkins SL, et al. Results of the randomized international FAB/LMB96 trial for intermediate risk B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma in children and adolescents: it is possible to reduce treatment for the early responding patients. *Blood*. 2007; 109:2773-80.

- 20. Cairo MS, Sposto R, Gerrard M, Auperin A, Goldman SC, Harrison L, Pinkerton R, Raphael M, McCarthy K, et al. Advanced stage, increased lactate dehydrogenase, and primary site, but not adolescent age (≥ 15 years), are associated with an increased risk of treatment failure in children and adolescents with mature B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: results of the FAB LMB 96 study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30:387-93.
- 21. Seed PG. Burkitt's tumour in Britain. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw. 1966; 73:808-11.
- 22. Jamra M, Carvalho RP, Dalldorf G. Evolution of an atypical case of Burkitt lymphoma. Lancet. 1970; 2:672.
- 23. Bluming AZ, Templeton AC. Histological diagnosis of Burkitt's lymphoma: a cautionary tale. *Br Med J*. 1971; 1:89-90.
- 24. Pickleman JR, Straus FH, 2nd, Griffin ED, Reyes HM, Replogle RL. Burkitt's Lymphoma: an unusual childhood tumor. *Ann Surg.* 1972; 176:25-9.
- 25. Nkrumah FK, Perkins IV. Burkitt's lymphoma in Ghana: clinical features and response to chemotherapy. *Int J Cancer*. 1973; 11:19-29.
- 26. Aggio M, Lucanera J, Bronfen S, Crivellaro M. Letter: childhood lymphoma resembling Burkitt's tumour in the southern Argentine. *Arch Dis Child*. 1974; 49:970-1.
- 27. Kuramoto M, Higashiiwai H, Sato A, Okamura K, Hamazaki Y, Takahashi K, Suzuki M. One case of Burkitt's tumor. *Tohoku J Exp Med*. 1979; 128:359-66.
- 28. Piura B, Bar-David J, Glezerman M, Zirkin HJ. Bilateral ovarian involvement as the only manifestation of malignant lymphoma. *J Surg Oncol.* 1986; 33:126-8.
- 29. Weekes LR. Burkitt's lymphoma of the ovaries. J Natl Med Assoc. 1986; 78:609-12.
- 30. Creatsas GK, Hassan EA, Deligeoroglou EK, Markaki SG, Michalas SP. Non-Hodgkin's ovarian lymphoma during adolescence: Report of two cases. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 1997; 10:219-22.
- 31. Mitsumori A, Joja I, Hiraki Y. MR appearance of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma of the ovary. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1999; 173:245.
- 32. Turken A, Ciftci AO, Akcoren Z, Koseoglu V, Akata D, Senocak ME. Primary ovarian lymphoma in an infant: Report of a case. *Surg Today*. 2000; 30:305-7.
- 33. Eren S, Kantarci M, Erdogan F. Ovarian Burkitt's lymphoma as a cause of 'omental cake' sign on computerised tomography. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2004; 24:463-5.
- 34. Koksal Y, Caliskan U, Ucar C, Reisli I. A case of primary ovarian lymphoma in a child with high levels of CA125 and CA19-9. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2005; 27:594-5.
- 35. Ray S, Mallick MG, Pal PB, Choudhury MK, Bandopadhyay A, Guha D. Extranodal non-Hodgkin's lymphoma presenting as an ovarian mass. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol*. 2008; 51:528-30.
- 36. Cyriac S, Srinivas L, Mahajan V, Sundersingh S, Sagar TG. Primary Burkitt's lymphoma of the ovary. *Afr J Paediatr Surg*. 2010; 7:120-1.
- 37. Chakrabarti B, Bhaduri B, Barik S, Gupta D, Chakravorty S. Primary ovarian lymphoma in a child. *J Indian Med Assoc.* 2011; 109:679-80.

gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant and the function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinant of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determi

Decreased ovarian function is associated with obesity in very long-term female survivors of

> W van Dorp & K Blijdorp, JSE Laven, R Pieters, JA Visser, AJ van der Lely, SJCMM Neggers, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink

childhood cancer

Eur J of Endocrinol 2013;168(6):905-912

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Obesity and gonadal dysfunction are known major side effects of treatment in adult childhood cancer survivors (CCS). In the general population, obesity has a negative influence on female fertility. We aimed to evaluate whether obesity and serum insulin are associated with decreased ovarian reserve markers in CCS.

Design: Retrospective single-centre cohort study.

**Methods:** Data of 191 female survivors of childhood cancer were analysed. Median follow-up time was 18.8 (2.3–48.8) years. Outcome measures were serum anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) and total follicle count (FC). Potential risk factors were body mass index (BMI); body composition measures, determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (total fat percentage, lean body mass and visceral fat percentage); and fasting insulin.

**Results:** Lower serum AMH was found in obese subjects ( $\beta$  (%) -49, p=0.007), and in subjects with fasting insulin in the highest tertile ( $\beta$  (%) -43, p=0.039). Total fat percentage tends to be associated with serum AMH ( $\beta$  (%) -2.1, p=0.06). Survivors in the highest tertile of insulin had significantly lower FC than survivors in the lowest tertile ( $\beta$  -6.3, p=0.013). BMI and other measures of body composition were not associated with FC. Correlation between serum AMH and antral follicle count (AFC) was  $\rho$ =0.32 (p=0.08).

**Conclusions:** Obesity and insulin resistance are associated with gonadal damage, as reflected by decreased AMH and reduced FC, in adult survivors of childhood cancer. In contrast to its highly predictive value for AFC in the healthy female population, serum AMH does not seem to correlate as well with AFC in childhood cancer survivors.

## INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of obesity has increased dramatically since the 1970s [1]. It is a well-described risk factor for diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, stroke, and cancer [2]. In healthy women, high body mass index (BMI) also affects reproductive health [3,4] as reflected by impaired ovulatory function and a lower pregnancy rate [5]. In childhood cancer survivors (CCS), obesity is a major side effect, occurring in 9–30%, and mainly depending on previous treatment [6,7]. In adult female CCS, the risk of obesity is increased by 50% when compared with the general population [8].

Gonadal dysfunction is an important side effect of cancer treatment in CCS. Alkylating agents and abdominal radiotherapy in particular, can have a deleterious effect on ovarian function [9]. To determine ovarian reserve, anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) was identified as a reliable serum marker [10]. It is produced by the granulosa cells of small growing follicles, reflects the size of the primordial follicle pool in the ovaries, and is an indicator of a woman's reproductive capacity [11]. It is stable during and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to FSH [12-14], and is considered to be a valued marker for ovarian reserve, as it corresponds well with antral follicle count (AFC), which reflects reproductive status [10].

In their late reproductive years, the gonadal function of women seems to be affected by obesity [15,16]. Insulin resistance, which occurs at a higher frequency in adult CCS, may affect granulosa cell function [17,18]. The association between obesity, insulin resistance, and gonadal dysfunction, which are more prevalent in CCS than in the general population, has never been explored. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the association between BMI, body composition, insulin levels, and ovarian reserve as reflected by AMH and follicle counts in a substantial single-centre cohort of female adult survivors of childhood cancer.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

A retrospective single-centre cohort study was performed among female survivors that visited our late-effects outpatient clinic for long-term CCS. Inclusion criteria were: age  $\geq$ 18 and <50 years and female CCS diagnosed and treated between 1964 and 2005, who had both serum AMH levels and BMI determined at the same moment at least 5 years after cessation of cancer treatment. Exclusion criteria were ovariectomy, polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and AMH >5 µg/L. One hundred and five survivors visited the gynaecological outpatient clinic for screening. In the remaining 180 CCS, we were not able to distinguish between PCOS and non-PCOS subjects, because data on hyperandrogenism (clinical and biochemical) and total follicle counts were not available. As we were not able to use the Rotterdam criteria in these remaining cases, and Dewailly *et al* suggested a cut-off limit of AMH >5 µg/L to define PCOM [19], we used this marker and cut-off limit for the presence of PCOM as one of the criteria of PCOS and excluded these survivors.

## Outcome measures (AMH/FC)

Serum samples were taken randomly during the menstrual cycle as AMH has been shown not to fluctuate during the menstrual cycle and during OCP use [12]. AMH was measured using an inhouse double-antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (commercially available through Beckman-Coulter) [10,20]. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were <10 and <5% respectively [10,20]. A subset of patients (n=91) underwent a standardised gynaecological examination. Clinical examination was performed after an overnight fasting period on a random day and included menstrual history, current cycle length, cycle regularity, height, and weight. Transvaginal ultrasonography was performed to assess ovarian volume and total follicle count (FC) for both ovaries and to exclude other genital abnormalities. FC was called antral follicle count (AFC) if the FC was performed during the follicular phase (days 2–5) or during amenorrhoea. PCOS was diagnosed according to the revised Rotterdam 2003 criteria [21]. The presence of polycystic ovaries was defined as  $\geq$ 12 follicles in one or both ovaries and/or increased ovarian volume (>10 ml), without the presence of a cyst (>10mm) [22].

## **Obesity variables**

Follow-up data of the most recent visit included the following variables: weight, height, BMI calculated from height and weight [23], and waist:hip ratio (WHR), as measured by waist circumference divided by hip circumference [24]. Serum insulin (pmol/L) was measured using a chemiluminescence-based immunoassay (Immulite 2000, Siemens DPC, Los Angeles, CA, USA) after an overnight fasting period. Glucose levels were measured using a Hitachi 917 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). Insulin resistance was determined by calculation of the homeostatis model assessment (HOMA) score as plasma glucose (mmol/L) x plasma insulin (mU/L) /22.5 [25]. Lean body mass (kg) and percentage of body fat were measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA, Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA). Visceral fat percentage was calculated from intra-abdominal fat (kg) and total fat (kg) measured by DXA [26]. Waist, WHR, and visceral fat percentage were not analysed in the subset of survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy because of impairment of local body fat and the frequent occurrence of scoliosis.

### **Potential confounders**

Data concerning treatment protocols, disease, and patient characteristics were retrieved from our local database and were completed using the medical records where necessary. Follow-up time was defined as time since cessation of treatment. Among patients exposed to alkylating agents, the alkylating agent dose (AAD) score was calculated by determining the drug dose tertile distribution in our entire cohort of survivors and adding the tertile scores (1, 2 and 3) for each of the alkylating agents given to a particular patient as previously described by Tucker *et al* and Green *et al* [27,28]. An AAD score of zero was assigned to patients not exposed to any alkylating agent.

### Statistics

To examine the associations between obesity variables and AMH or FC we used univariate and multiple linear regression analyses. In all multiple linear regression models, age, age at diagnosis, total

body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy, and AAD score were included as potential confounders. The analyses were performed in several steps. First, BMI, body composition, and insulin were entered as continuous variables. Secondly, to evaluate whether there was an exponential association with AMH, squared variables of BMI, body composition measures, and insulin were added to the relevant model. Subsequently, all variables were divided into quintiles or tertiles (depending on sample size) and added to the relevant model as dummy variables, with the lowest quintile/tertile as reference category. Additionally, BMI was divided into four categories: BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obese), 25–30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (overweight), 18–25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (normal weight), and <18 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (underweight) and added to the model as dummy variables with normal weight as reference category. Associations are expressed as standardised regression coefficients because this measure allows direct comparison of the strengths of associations between different determinants. The distribution of AMH was normalised by <sup>10</sup>log transformation to improve the plots of the residual analyses and expressed as percentage. P-values <0.05 (two-tailed) are considered statistically significant. SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

### RESULTS

#### **Gonadal function**

The cohort consisted of 425 female survivors of childhood cancer, of whom 292 visited the lateeffects outpatient clinic. Seven survivors were excluded because of previous one-sided or two-sided ovariectomy (n=6 and n=1 respectively) and two because they were >50 years old. Sixteen survivors were clinically diagnosed with PCOS by a gynaecologist and were therefore excluded. Another 76 survivors were excluded because their AMH levels were >5  $\mu$ g/L. Finally, 191 female survivors were included in the analysis.

Clinical characteristics and treatment details of the total cohort of female CCS of our centre and the survivors included in the study are shown in Table I. The included sample is representative for the total cohort of female CCS of our centre.

At the time of inclusion, 42 of 191 included survivors (22.0%) had regular menstrual cycles, whereas eight survivors (4.2%) had oligo- or amenorrhoea. One survivor had shortening of mean menstrual cycle length (1.3%). No data on menstrual cycles were available in one survivor (1.3%) who delivered recently and one survivor (1.3%) who was pregnant. In 23 of 191 survivors (30.3%), data on menstrual cycle at time of screening were not available. All other survivors used oral contraceptive pills (99/191, 51.8%) or were under hormonal replacement therapy (16/191: 8.4%) at the time of follow-up.

Median AMH level of the total group was 1.7  $\mu$ g/L (range 0.1–4.9). Median FC was 10 (0–25). The Spearman correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) between AMH and AFC was 0.32 (p=0.08) in survivors who were screened during the follicular phase or during amenorrhoea. FSH was significantly inversely correlated with AMH ( $\rho$ =-0.30, p<0.001) and was significantly higher in survivors with AMH <0.1  $\mu$ g/L compared with survivors with AMH >0.1  $\mu$ g/L (20.9 versus 4.9 U/L).

|                             | Total    | group of   | Female  | CCS with   | Include          | d survivors |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|---------|------------|------------------|-------------|
|                             | adult fe | emale CCS  | AMH me  | asurement  | in this study*   |             |
|                             | n        | =425       | n=      | =292       | n=191            |             |
| Age at diagnosis (years)    | 7.3 (    | 0–17.9)    | 6.2 (   | 0–16.8)    | 6.3 (0–16.2)     |             |
| Age at follow up (years)    |          | NA         | 26.5 (1 | 7.7–57.4)  | 27.1 (17.7–50.0) |             |
| Follow up time (years)      |          | NA         | 17.8 (2 | 2.3–48.8)  | 18.8 (           | 2.3–48.8)   |
| Diagnosis n (%)             |          |            |         |            |                  |             |
| ALL & T-NHL                 | 12       | 8 (30)     | 91      | 1(31)      | 52               | 2 (27)      |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia     | ç        | 9 (2)      | ç       | 9 (3)      | :                | 7 (4)       |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma | 2        | 5 (6)      | 1       | 8 (6)      | 1                | 2 (6)       |
| Hodgkin lymphoma            | 2        | 9 (7)      | 2       | 1 (7)      | 1                | 8 (9)       |
| Bone tumour                 | 2        | 2 (5)      | 14 (5)  |            | 14 (7)           |             |
| Wilms tumour                | 47 (11)  |            | 41 (14) |            | 31 (16)          |             |
| Neuroblastoma               | 32 (8)   |            | 29 (10) |            | 18 (9)           |             |
| Germ cell tumour            | 12 (3)   |            | 8 (3)   |            | 2 (1)            |             |
| Malignant mesenchymal       | 3        | 5 (8)      | 27 (9)  |            | 17 (9)           |             |
| tumour                      |          |            |         |            |                  |             |
| Brain tumour                | 52 (12)  |            | 18 (6)  |            | 13 (7)           |             |
| Other                       | 34 (8)   |            | 16 (5)  |            | 7 (4)            |             |
| Therapy n (%)               | n (%)    | TCD (Gy)   | n (%)   | TCD (Gy)   | n (%)            | TCD (Gy)    |
| Abdominal radiotherapy      | 27 (7)   | 25 (10–71) | 24 (8)  | 25 (10–62) | 20 (11)          | 25 (10–60)  |
| Total body irradiation      | 13 (3)   | 8 (7–15)   | 16 (5)  | 8 (6–12)   | 11 (6)           | 12 (7–12)   |
| AAD score                   |          |            |         |            |                  |             |
| 0                           | 23       | 7 (56)     | 15      | 0 (51)     | 93               | 3 (49)      |
| 1                           | 47       | 7 (11)     | 35      | 5 (12)     | 19               | 9 (10)      |
| 2                           | 47 (11)  |            | 39 (13) |            | 26 (14)          |             |
| 3                           | 70 (17)  |            | 55 (19) |            | 42 (22)          |             |
| 4                           | ç        | 9 (2)      | 5 (2)   |            | 5 (3)            |             |
| ≥5                          | 15 (4)   |            | 8 (2)   |            | 6 (3)            |             |

Table I | Representativeness of included survivors compared with the total group of female adult CCS and survivors in whom AMH was measured.

\* After exclusion of ovariectomized subjects (n=7), PCOS subjects as classified according to the revised PCOS Rotterdam criteria (n=16), or AMH >5  $\mu$ g/L (n=76) if subjects were not classified according to the revised PCOS Rotterdam criteria since information about follicle count and hyperandrogenism was not available, and women >50 years (n=2). Data are expressed as median (range) or frequencies (%).

NA=not applicable; CCS=childhood cancer survivors; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; T-NHL=T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; TCD=total cumulative dose; AAD=alkylating agent dose.

#### Influence of obesity, body composition and insulin on AMH

Twenty subjects (10%) were defined as obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), 44 (23%) as overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), seven (4%) as underweight (BMI <18 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and 120 (63%) had normal weight (Supplementary Table I). AMH levels were significantly inversely associated with obesity (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), high fasting insulin (>54 pmol/L), total fat percentage, waist circumference, WHR, and visceral fat percentage but not with HOMA and lean body mass (Table II). After adjustment for confounders (age, age at diagnosis, treatment with abdominal or total body irradiation, and AAD score) obesity (BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and high fasting insulin (>54 pmol/L) remained significantly associated with AMH ( $\beta$  (%) -49, p=0.007, and  $\beta$  (%) -43, p=0.039, respectively) (Table II, Figure I, Figure IIa, IIb).

Table II | Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses illustrating the influence of BMI, measures of body composition, and insulin on AMH levels.

|  | AMH (%) n=191 (122) ª |                 |       |                    |             |       |  |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|-------------|-------|--|
|  | ι                     | Jnivariate mode | el    | Multivariate model |             |       |  |
|  | β (%)                 | 95% CI          | р     | β (%)              | 95% CI      | р     |  |
| Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²)                        | -55*                  | -75 to -18      | 0.009 | -49*               | -68 to -17  | 0.007 |  |
| Overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m²)                   | 1                     | -35 to 56       | 0.974 | 15                 | -20 to 64   | 0.450 |  |
| Underweight (BMI <18 kg/m²)                    | -17                   | -68 to 116      | 0.698 | -21                | -64 to 76   | 0.568 |  |
| BMI  | -3.3                  | -6.8 to 0.4     | 0.081 | -2.0               | -5.0 to 1.0 | 0.191 |  |
| Total fat percentage                           | -3.7*                 | -6.4 to -1.0    | 0.009 | -2.1               | -4.2 to 0.1 | 0.06  |  |
| Lean body mass (kg)                            | 2.0                   | -11.3 to 5.2    | 0.22  | 0.4                | -2.2 to 3.0 | 0.76  |  |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup>          | -2.0*                 | -3.7 to -0.2    | 0.025 | -0.5               | -1.8 to 0.9 | 0.511 |  |
| Waist:hip ratio <sup>b</sup>                   | -2.5*                 | -4.3 to -0.2    | 0.037 | 0.1                | -1.8 to 2.6 | 0.894 |  |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>           | -13*                  | -22 to -2       | 0.02  | -1                 | -10 to 8    | 0.79  |  |
| Insulin  | -0.4                  | -0.9 to 0.1     | 0.132 | -0.2               | -0.6 to 0.3 | 0.48  |  |
| Insulin 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (24–54 pmol/L) | 2                     | -47 to 96       | 0.952 | -8                 | -45 to 55   | 0.761 |  |
| Insulin 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (>54 pmol/L)   | -54*                  | -76 to -12      | 0.019 | -43*               | -67 to -3   | 0.039 |  |
| HOMA   | -13                   | -28 to 5        | 0.135 | -6                 | -18 to 9    | 0.387 |  |
| HOMA 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (0.58–1.40)       | 17                    | -40 to 127      | 0.636 | -8                 | -46 to 9    | 0.753 |  |
| HOMA 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (>1.40)           | -45                   | -71 to 8        | 0.080 | -41                | -65 to 0.3  | 0.051 |  |

AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; CI=confidence interval; HOMA=homeostatis model assessment; \*P<0.05.

<sup>a</sup>Number of available DXA scans; <sup>b</sup>For the dependent variables waist, waist hip ratio and visceral fat percentage, survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from the analysis (n=19). Corrected for age, age at diagnosis, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose (AAD) score.



Figure I | Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) survivors compared with non-obese survivors.

Table III | Multivariate linear regression analyses illustrating the lacking influence of BMI, measures of body composition, and insulin on total follicle count.

|  | Total follicle count n=54 (34) <sup>a</sup> |                 |       |       |                    |       |  |
|--|---|-----------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|--|
|  |   | Univariate mode | I     | N     | Multivariate model |       |  |
|  | β (%)                                       | 95% CI          | р     | β (%) | 95% CI             | р     |  |
| Obesity (BMI ≥30 kg/m²)                        | -0.6  | -7.8 to 6.6     | 0.867 | -0.9  | -8.0 to 6.2        | 0.804 |  |
| Overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )      | -2.1  | -7.7 to 3.5     | 0.452 | -2.1  | -8.1 to 3.8        | 0.471 |  |
| Underweight (BMI <18 kg/m²)                    | -3.0  | -13.9 to 7.9    | 0.584 | -5.4  | -16.4 to 5.6       | 0.330 |  |
| Body mass index                                | -0.03                                       | -0.43 to 0.38   | 0.902 | -0.01 | -0.42 to 0.40      | 0.978 |  |
| Total fat percentage                           | -0.09                                       | -0.44 to 0.26   | 0.614 | -0.06 | -0.44 to 0.32      | 0.747 |  |
| Lean body mass (kg)                            | 0.18  | -0.15 to 0.51   | 0.266 | 0.20  | -0.17 to 0.57      | 0.278 |  |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup>          | -0.02                                       | -0.24 to 0.21   | 0.890 | 0.04  | -0.18 to 0.26      | 0.732 |  |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>b</sup>                 | -0.06                                       | -0.37 to 0.25   | 0.696 | 0.05  | -0.26 to 0.35      | 0.759 |  |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>           | -0.58                                       | -2.44 to 1.28   | 0.529 | -0.18 | -2.10 to 1.75      | 0.851 |  |
| Insulin  | -0.03                                       | -0.07 to 0.00   | 0.083 | -0.02 | -0.06 to 0.01      | 0.192 |  |
| Insulin 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (25–48 pmol/L) | -3.1  | -7.8 to 1.5     | 0.186 | -3.9  | -8.6 to 0.8        | 0.104 |  |
| Insulin 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (>48 pmol/L)   | -6.6*                                       | -11.3 to -2.0   | 0.006 | -6.3* | -11.2 to -1.4      | 0.013 |  |
| HOMA   | -0.98                                       | -2.24 to 0.27   | 0.121 | -0.70 | -2.00 to 6.00      | 0.283 |  |
| HOMA 2 <sup>nd</sup> tertile (0.66–1.24)       | -2.47                                       | -7.22 to 2.28   | 0.302 | -3.42 | -8.40 to 1.57      | 0.175 |  |
| HOMA 3 <sup>rd</sup> tertile (>1.24)           | -5.06*                                      | -9.95 to -0.18  | 0.043 | -5.01 | -10.26 to 0.23     | 0.061 |  |

CI=confidence interval; HOMA=homeostatis model assessment; \*P<0.05.

<sup>a</sup>Number of available DXA scans; <sup>b</sup>For the dependent variables waist, waist hip ratio and visceral fat percentage, survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from the analysis (n=19). Corrected for age, age at diagnosis, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and AAD score.



Figure II | Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) and total follicle count in BMI and fasting insulin subgroups. White bars: univariate analysis. Dotted bars: after adjustment for age, age at diagnosis, total body irradiation, alkylating agent dose score, and abdominal radiotherapy.

IIa Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in four BMI categories, univariate and after adjustment for possible confounders expressed as mean (95% CI). \*=p<0.05 compared with subjects with BMI 25–30.

IIb AMH in serum fasting insulin subgroups expressed as mean (95% Cl). \*=p<0.05 compared with subjects with fasting insulin <25 pmol/L.

IIc Total follicle count in serum fasting insulin subgroups expressed as mean (95% CI). \*=p<0.05 compared with subjects with fasting insulin <25 pmol/L.

#### Influence of obesity, body composition and insulin on FC

There were no significant associations between FC and BMI or body composition measures (Table III). Survivors with insulin and HOMA in the highest tertile had significantly lower FC than others. After adjustment for confounders, no linear or exponential association between FC and BMI or measures of body composition was found. FC did not differ significantly between quintiles of BMI or body composition (data not shown). Subsequently, FC did not differ between BMI categories. However, there were only five obese subjects with available FCs (data not shown). Insulin was significantly associated with FC, i.e. survivors with an insulin level in the highest tertile (>48 pmol/L) had significantly lower FC counts when compared with survivors with insulin levels <25 pmol/L ( $\beta$ -6.3, p=0.013) (Table III, Figure IIc).

### DISCUSSION

The current study shows that diminished ovarian reserve, as reflected by low AMH and low FC, was independently associated with obesity and high insulin levels in female CCS.

Our results show that obesity is indeed independently associated with decreased AMH in female CCS [6,29,30]. In the current study, total and visceral fat percentages were not associated with gonadal function, although we observed a trend to a negative association between total fat percentage and AMH levels. This may be due to a size effect or to the fact that we measured total fat percentage and not the fat distribution in different compartments. In fact, for that purpose, abdominal computer tomography, which is the gold standard for measuring intra-abdominal fat mass, is preferred over DXA [31].

Although no oral glucose tolerance tests were performed and therefore some cases in the pre-diabetic state might have been missed, none of the subjects were diagnosed and treated for diabetes mellitus, based on fasting glucose levels and/or medical history. Therefore, we evaluated fasting insulin levels as a measure for insulin resistance and related these to ovarian reserve markers. The negative association between fasting insulin levels and AMH was previously described in the reproductive-age women without PCOS [16] and was confirmed by our study among CCS.

We identified obesity to be negatively associated with ovarian reserve as assessed by AMH levels. There is no linear association between AMH and BMI, but above a certain threshold (in this case BMI 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), AMH is significantly lower compared with normal weight subjects. The explanation for this nonlinear association could be that only above a certain threshold of BMI do metabolic changes occur, including insulin resistance, leptin resistance, and elevated levels of adipokines. These factors could play a role in affecting the pituitary-gonadal axis and damaging granulosa cells, although this hypothesis has never been proved. In this study, all subjects with obesity were evaluated, including one survivor treated for craniopharyngioma and one survivor treated with high-dose brain tumour irradiation (>35 Gy). In these subjects, hypothalamic obesity could not be excluded. To our knowledge, no other studies that assess a possible link between obesity and AMH have been performed in female CCS. In the general population, only one study among three has shown an association in women of reproductive age [15,16,32].

Based on these results, we hypothesize that obesity influences the degree of gonadal damage in female CCS. However, it is also conceivable that impaired gonadal function may lead to the development of adiposity and insulin resistance. In animal models it is known that oestradiol has an inhibitory effect on food intake via anorexigenic peptides that decrease meal size. In ovariectomized rats, the removal of oestrogens leads to changes in meal size, obesity [33,34], increased leptin sensitivity, and decreased insulin sensitivity [35]. However, insulin resistance could also decrease granulose cell function, which could lead to reduced ovarian function and therefore lower AMH levels [17,18]. Our hypothesis fits with the result of a study in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients, in which AFCs were significantly lower than in healthy controls [36], which was possibly a result of insulin resistance in the T2DM patients. Furthermore, the fact that stringent glycaemic control in diabetic patients improves menstrual cycles and fertility rates underlines the hypothesis that prolonged hyperglycaemia and chronic complications of diabetes negatively affect ovarian reserve [37]. Animal models have shown that ovulation was suppressed in hyperglycaemichyperinsulinemic conditions, due to hypovascularisation, follicular atresia and eventually involution of ovaries, caused by glucotoxicity and the cytolipotoxic effect of obesity [38]. It should, however, be stressed that due to the cross-sectional design of the current study, cause and effect could not be distinguished.

In 105/285 cases, PCOS versus non-PCOS was diagnosed according to the Rotterdam criteria, as these survivors also visited the gynaecological outpatient clinic. However, in the remaining 180 CCS, we were not able to distinguish between PCOS and non-PCOS subjects because data on hyperandrogenism (clinical and biochemical) and FCs were not available. As we were not able to use the Rotterdam criteria in these remaining cases, and Dewailly et al suggested a cut-off limit of AMH >5 µg/L to define PCOM [19], we used this marker and cut-off limit for the presence of PCOM. We recognise the limitation of this cut-off limit as we probably exclude more subjects than we would have done if we were able to exclude them based on the Rotterdam criteria. However, we believe that this is the best way to make our population as homogeneous as possible. Moreover, the remaining subjects were representatives of the whole cohort of female CCS according to age, age at follow-up, diagnosis, and treatment. As we agreed that the use of the cut-off value is of limited accuracy, we also performed sub-analyses in the 105 cases that were classified according to the Rotterdam criteria. Sixteen survivors were diagnosed with PCOS and were therefore excluded from this analysis. We found a trend to an association between total fat percentage and AMH (p=0.053). This is the same trend as found in our previous analyses. However, we did not find an association between BMI and AMH, which might be due to the underrepresentation of obese survivors in this subset (n=5). If we perform multivariate analyses in the whole group of survivors with AMH levels (n=285), we observe no significant correlations with obesity, which fits with the hypothesis that obese women with PCOS have raised AMH levels, while obese women without PCOS have lower AMH levels.

Despite the negative association between FC and serum insulin, we did not find an association between FC and obesity, in contrast to AMH. However, it should be stressed that FCs were available in only five obese subjects. So, power issues may be important. Larger cohorts are necessary to study this association in the future.

In healthy females AFC and AMH correlate very well [39], but the present study shows that this correlation is weak among CCS. This may be due to the fact that follicular AMH expression is lower in CCS treated with gonadotoxic therapies. To our knowledge, no large studies have been performed in female CCS in which the correlation has been studied. Therefore, we cannot draw any firm conclusions based on our small subset analyses regarding the correlation between AFC and AMH. We believe that it is important to study this association prospectively in a large nationwide cohort, such as the DCOG LATER-VEVO study [40].

We did not correct our analyses for smoking and OCP use. Smoking is linked to ovarian ageing in the general population [41]. However, we did not find a significant difference in AMH levels between smokers and non-smokers. The fact that smoking significantly influences ovarian reserve in the general population but not in female CCS may be caused by the large effect of the gonadotoxic treatment that may exceed the impact of smoking on the ovarian reserve. Whether OCP use affects AMH levels is still a matter of debate. In our study, we did not find an association between OCP and AMH. Therefore, we did not include smoking and OCP use as confounders.

In conclusion, low serum AMH is associated with obesity and high insulin levels, and low FC with high insulin levels in a large cohort of adult female CCS. Furthermore, despite its highly predictive value for AFC in the general female population, serum AMH seems to correlate only weakly with AFC in childhood cancer survivors.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2008. *JAMA*. 2010; 303:235-41.
- 2. Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, Amarsi Z, Birmingham CL, Anis AH. The incidence of co-morbidities related to obesity and overweight: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 9:88.
- 3. Metwally M, Ong KJ, Ledger WL, Li TC. Does high body mass index increase the risk of miscarriage after spontaneous and assisted conception? A meta-analysis of the evidence. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:714-26.
- 4. Poirier P, Giles TD, Bray GA, Hong Y, Stern JS, Pi-Sunyer FX, Eckel RH. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: pathophysiology, evaluation, and effect of weight loss: an update of the 1997 American Heart Association Scientific Statement on Obesity and Heart Disease from the Obesity Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism. *Circulation*. 2006; 113:898-918.
- van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Eijkemans MJ, Habbema JD, Hompes PG, Burggraaff JM, Oosterhuis GJ, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F, et al. Obesity affects spontaneous pregnancy chances in subfertile, ovulatory women. *Hum Reprod.* 2008; 23:324-8.
- Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Yasui Y, Fears T, Stovall M, Vik TA, Inskip PD, Robison LL. Obesity in adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2003; 21:1359-65.
- 7. Van Dongen-Melman JE, Hokken-Koelega AC, Hahlen K, De Groot A, Tromp CG, Egeler RM. Obesity after successful treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood. *Pediatr Res.* 1995; 38:86-90.
- Garmey EG, Liu Q, Sklar CA, Meacham LR, Mertens AC, Stovall MA, Yasui Y, Robison LL, Oeffinger KC. Longitudinal changes in obesity and body mass index among adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:4639-45.
- 9. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- 10. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 11. Visser JA, de Jong FH, Laven JS, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone: a new marker for ovarian function. *Reproduction*. 2006; 131:1-9.
- 12. Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- 13. Streuli I, Fraisse T, Pillet C, Ibecheole V, Bischof P, de Ziegler D. Serum antimullerian hormone levels remain stable throughout the menstrual cycle and after oral or vaginal administration of synthetic sex steroids. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 90:395-400.
- 14. Tsepelidis S, Devreker F, Demeestere I, Flahaut A, Gervy C, Englert Y. Stable serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone during the menstrual cycle: a prospective study in normo-ovulatory women. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:1837-40.
- 15. Freeman EW, Gracia CR, Sammel MD, Lin H, Lim LC, Strauss JF, 3rd. Association of anti-mullerian hormone levels with obesity in late reproductive-age women. *Fertil Steril.* 2007; 87:101-6.
- 16. Park HT, Cho GJ, Ahn KH, Shin JH, Kim YT, Hur JY, Kim SH, Lee KW, Kim T. Association of insulin resistance with anti-Mullerian hormone levels in women without polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS). *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2010; 72:26-31.
- Cortet-Rudelli C, Pigny P, Decanter C, Leroy M, Maunoury-Lefebvre C, Thomas-Desrousseaux P, Dewailly D. Obesity and serum luteinizing hormone level have an independent and opposite effect on the serum inhibin B level in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:281-7.
- Skalba P, Cygal A, Madej P, Dabkowska-Huc A, Sikora J, Martirosian G, Romanik M, Olszanecka-Glinianowicz M. Is the plasma anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) level associated with body weight and metabolic, and hormonal disturbances in women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2011; 158:254-9.

- 19. Dewailly D, Gronier H, Poncelet E, Robin G, Leroy M, Pigny P, Duhamel A, Catteau-Jonard S. Diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS): revisiting the threshold values of follicle count on ultrasound and of the serum AMH level for the definition of polycystic ovaries. *Hum Reprod*. 2011; 26:3123-9.
- 20. Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 21. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2004; 81:19-25.
- 22. Balen AH, Laven JS, Tan SL, Dewailly D. Ultrasound assessment of the polycystic ovary: international consensus definitions. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2003; 9:505-14.
- 23. Health BNIo. National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). The practical guide: identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. *NIH publication* 00-4084 2000.
- 24. Dobbelsteyn CJ, Joffres MR, MacLean DR, Flowerdew G. A comparative evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as indicators of cardiovascular risk factors. The Canadian Heart Health Surveys. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001; 25:652-61.
- 25. Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27:1487-95.
- 26. Pimenta N, Santa-Clara H, Fragoso IJ. Comparison of body composition and body fat distribution of patients following a cardiac rehabilitation program and sedentary patients. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2010; 29:1163-80.
- 27. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:332-9.
- 28. Tucker MA, Meadows AT, Boice JD, Jr., Stovall M, Oberlin O, Stone BJ, Birch J, Voute PA, Hoover RN, et al. Leukemia after therapy with alkylating agents for childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1987; 78:459-64.
- 29. Pagano L, De Rosa G, Voso MT, Marra R, Testa A, Leone G. Prevalence of obesity in young adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Int J Clin Lab Res.* 1994; 24:117-9.
- Blijdorp K, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M, Pieters R, Boot A, Sluimer J, van der Lelij AJ, Neggers S. The limited screening value of insulin-like growth factor-I as a marker for alterations in body composition in very longterm adult survivors of childhood cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2012; 59:711-6.
- 31. Gradmark AM, Rydh A, Renstrom F, De Lucia-Rolfe E, Sleigh A, Nordstrom P, Brage S, Franks PW. Computed tomography-based validation of abdominal adiposity measurements from ultrasonography, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry and anthropometry. *Br J Nutr.* 2010; 104:582-8.
- 32. Buyuk E, Seifer DB, Illions E, Grazi RV, Lieman H. Elevated body mass index is associated with lower serum antimullerian hormone levels in infertile women with diminished ovarian reserve but not with normal ovarian reserve. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95:2364-8.
- 33. Santollo J, Eckel LA. Estradiol decreases the orexigenic effect of neuropeptide Y, but not agouti-related protein, in ovariectomized rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2008; 191:173-7.
- 34. Messina MM, Boersma G, Overton JM, Eckel LA. Estradiol decreases the orexigenic effect of melaninconcentrating hormone in ovariectomized rats. *Physiol Behav*. 2006; 88:523-8.
- 35. Clegg DJ, Brown LM, Woods SC, Benoit SC. Gonadal hormones determine sensitivity to central leptin and insulin. *Diabetes*. 2006; 55:978-87.
- 36. Isik S, Ozcan HN, Ozuguz U, Tutuncu YA, Berker D, Alimli AG, Akbaba G, Karademir MA, Guler S. Evaluation of ovarian reserve based on hormonal parameters, ovarian volume, and antral follicle count in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:261-9.
- 37. Kjaer K, Hagen C, Sando SH, Eshoj O. Epidemiology of menarche and menstrual disturbances in an unselected group of women with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus compared to controls. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1992; 75:524-9.
- Garris DR, Garris BL. Diabetes (db/db) mutation-induced ovarian involution: progressive hypercytolipidemia. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2003; 228:1040-50.

- Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, Eijkemans MJC, Broekmans FJ, Steegers EAP, Hokken-Koelega ACS, Fauser BCJM, de Jong FH, Schipper I, Laven JSE. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels in healthy females, a nomogram ranging from infancy to adulthood. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012; 97:4650-4655.
- 40. Overbeek A, van den Berg MH, Kremer LC, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Tissing WJ, Loonen JJ, Versluys B, Bresters D, Kaspers GJ, et al. A nationwide study on reproductive function, ovarian reserve, and risk of premature menopause in female survivors of childhood cancer: design and methodological challenges. *BMC Cancer*. 2012; 12:363.
- 41. Plante BJ, Cooper GS, Baird DD, Steiner AZ. The impact of smoking on antimullerian hormone levels in women aged 38 to 50 years. *Menopause*. 2010; 17:571-6.

|                              | Normal we | ight subjects        | Overwei | ght subjects          | Obese   | subjects             |  |
|------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|--|
|                              | BMI <     | 25 kg/m <sup>2</sup> | BMI 25  | -30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> | BMI ≥3  | 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> |  |
|                              | n         | =127                 | n       | =44                   | n=20    |                      |  |
| Age at diagnosis (years)     | 6.2 (     | 0–16.2)              | 7.5 (0  | ).4–16.1)             | 6.3 (1  | .5–15.1)             |  |
| Age at follow up (years)     | 26.3 (1   | 7.7–49.2)            | 28.8 (1 | 7.7–49.1)             | 27.8 (1 | 7.9–50.0)            |  |
| Follow up time (years)       | 18.5 (3   | 3.2–43.6)            | 21.2 (2 | 2.3–43.5)             | 18.4 (5 | 5.1–48.8)            |  |
| Diagnosis n (%)              |           |                      |         |                       |         |                      |  |
| ALL & T-NHL                  | 33        | 8 (26)               | 12      | 2 (27)                | 7       | (35)                 |  |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia      | 5         | 5 (4)                | 2       | 2 (5)                 |         | 0                    |  |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma  | 9         | 9 (7)                | 1       | I (2)                 | 2       | (10)                 |  |
| Hodgkin lymphoma             | 1         | 1 (9)                | 5       | 5 (11)                |         | 2 (10)               |  |
| Bone tumour                  | ç         | 9 (7)                | 4 (9)   |                       | 1 (5)   |                      |  |
| Wilms tumour                 | 21 (17)   |                      | 9 (21)  |                       | 1 (5)   |                      |  |
| Neuroblastoma                | 13        | 13 (10)              |         | 4 (9)                 |         | 1 (5)                |  |
| Germ cell tumour             | 1         | 1 (1)                |         | 1 (2)                 |         | 0                    |  |
| Malignant mesenchymal tumour | 12 (9)    |                      | 2 (5)   |                       | 3 (15)  |                      |  |
| Brain tumour                 | 6         | 5 (5)                | 2       | 1 (9)                 | 3 (15)  |                      |  |
| Other                        |           | 7 (6)                |         | 0                     |         | 0                    |  |
| Therapy n (%)                | n (%)     | TCD (Gy)             | n (%)   | TCD (Gy)              | n (%)   | TCD (Gy)             |  |
| Abdominal radiotherapy       | 13 (10)   | 25 (10–50)           | 3 (7)   | 12                    | 1       | 12                   |  |
| Total body irradiation       | 7 (6)     | 8 (7–12)             | 6 (14)  | 23 (20–60)            | 1       | 40                   |  |
| AAD score                    |           |                      |         |                       |         |                      |  |
| 0                            | 56        | 5 (44)               | 27      | 7 (61)                | 10      | (50)                 |  |
| 1                            | 15        | 15 (12)              |         | 3 (7)                 | 1       | (5)                  |  |
| 2                            | 18        | 18 (14)              |         | 7 (16)                |         | 1 (5)                |  |
| 3                            | 30        | ) (24)               | 6 (14)  |                       | 6 (30)  |                      |  |
| 4                            | 2         | 4 (3)                | 0       |                       | 1 (5)   |                      |  |
| ≥5                           | 4 (3)     |                      | 1 (2)   |                       | 1 (5)   |                      |  |

Supplementary Table I | Baseline characteristics of normal weight, overweight and obese subjects.

Data are expressed as median (range) or frequencies (%).

ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; T-NHL=T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; TCD=total cumulative dose; AAD=alkylating agent dose.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors

cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determi

## ABSTRACT

**Study question:** Are genetic polymorphisms, previously identified as being associated with age at menopause in the healthy population, associated with ovarian reserve and predicted age at menopause in adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer?

**Summary answer:** The CT genotype of rs1172822 in the *BRSK1 gene* is associated with lower serum anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels and a younger predicted age at menopause in adult survivors of childhood cancer.

What is known already: Gonadotoxicity is a well-known late side effect of chemotherapy and radiotherapy in adult survivors of childhood cancer. In the healthy population, several genetic polymorphisms are associated with age at natural menopause. Currently, data on the impact of previously identified variants in gene loci associated with ovarian reserve in adult long-term survivors of childhood cancer are lacking.

**Study design, size, duration:** We performed a pilot study in a single-centre cohort of adult female Caucasian childhood cancer survivors (n=176).

**Participants/materials, setting, methods:** We determined serum AMH levels (a marker of ovarian reserve) in adult survivors of childhood cancer (n=176) and studied single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) previously reported to be associated with age at natural menopause: *BRSK1* (rs1172822), *ARHGEF7* (rs7333181), *MCM8* (rs236114), *PCSK1* (rs271924), *IGF2R* (rs9457827) and *TNF* (rs909253). Association analysis was performed using the additive genetic model. Linear regression was conducted to assess the effect of significant polymorphisms in two previously published menopause prediction models.

**Main results and the role of chance:** The CT genotype of rs1172822 in the *BRSK1* (BR serine/threonine kinase 1) gene was negatively associated with serum AMH levels in our cohort (odds ratio=3.15, 95% confidence interval 1.35–7.32, p=0.008) and significantly associated with the predicted age at menopause (p=0.04). The other five SNPs were not associated with serum AMH levels.

**Limitations, reasons for caution:** This is a pilot study showing preliminary data which must be confirmed. To confirm our findings and enlarge the project, a nationwide genome-wide association (GWA) project on the ovarian reserve in female survivors of childhood cancer should be performed, including a replication cohort.

Wider implications of the findings: Our findings support the hypothesis that previously identified genetic polymorphisms associated with age at menopause in healthy women may have an effect on the onset of menopause in female survivors of childhood cancer. Our study highlights a new aspect of the influences on the ovarian reserve after childhood cancer, which should be investigated further in a nationwide GWA study. Eventually, this information can help us to improve counselling on fertility preservation prior to cancer treatment based on genetic factors in individual patients.

## INTRODUCTION

A well-known long-term side effect of cancer treatment in survivors of both adult and childhood cancer is gonadotoxicity [1,2]. The extent of gonadal damage depends on the treatment modality and the total cumulative dose [2-5]. In addition, the multi-drug approach may have a cumulative toxic effect on reproductive function [6,7].

Along with these iatrogenic variables, the genetic variation of individuals together with environmental influences (e.g. smoking) determines an individual's reproductive health. Hence, fertility can be regarded as a complex trait that is a result of the interplay between a person's genetic make-up and the environmental disruptors to which one was exposed.

In the general population, the age at natural menopause varies between 40 and 60 years, with a mean of 50–51 years, and is largely heritable [8,9]. Associations between age at menopause and variants of genes involved in DNA repair, DNA maintenance and immunity have been previously identified in large genome-wide association (GWA) studies [10-12].

Serum anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) has been found to be a marker of the ovarian reserve. It is produced by granulosa cells of small, growing follicles in the ovary and is measurable in blood [13]. It has been described as a useful serum marker of ovarian reserve in mice [14], healthy women [13] and adult survivors of childhood cancer [2]. Recently, serum AMH levels were found to be highly predictive for the onset of menopause in healthy women [15-17]. Two nomograms to predict the age at menopause have been reported [18,19]: in these studies, AMH and age were significantly correlated with the time to menopause, and therefore included as predictors in the prediction models.

Variation of long-term toxicity in childhood cancer survivors (CCS) who received the same treatment suggests that, besides environmental factors, genetic variation may influence the impact of late effects. In male adult CCS, genetic polymorphisms in the oestrogen receptor were associated with an increased risk of azoospermia [20]. Data on the impact of previously identified variants in gene loci associated with the ovarian reserve in adult long-term CCS are lacking. Since these genes may have an additive influence on the ovarian reserve (together with the effects of gonadotoxic treatment regimens), the aim of this pilot study was to evaluate whether the genetic polymorphisms known to be associated with menopause were associated with serum AMH levels, and together could predict age at menopause in adult long-term CCS.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

This retrospective study was performed in a single-centre cohort of female adult CCS treated at the Erasmus MC–Sophia Children's Hospital from October 1962 to May 2001. Participants were 18 years and younger at the time of diagnosis, 18–50 years old at the time of follow-up and in continuous complete remission. They had completed treatment at least 5 years ago. Participants were recruited during their regular visit at the late effects outpatient clinic. Only Caucasian females

were included in order to minimize ethnic influences on the study outcome. Full details of cancer treatment were collected from the late effects clinic database and medical records, i.e. type and total cumulative doses of chemotherapy; site, field and cumulative dose of radiotherapy; extent of surgery; conditioning regimen prior to stem-cell transplantation; complications and relapses. The alkylating agent dose (AAD) score can be used to sum all alkylating agents that are known to be gonadotoxic. We calculated this score by determining the drug dose tertile distribution in our entire cohort of survivors independently and adding the tertile scores (1, 2 and 3) for each of the alkylating agents given to a particular patient, as previously used in CCS [21,22] (Supplementary Table I). An AAD score of zero was assigned to patients not exposed to alkylating agents. A general health screening, including extensive history taking and physical examination, was performed. An official written informed consent was obtained from every patient that agreed to participate according to the standards of the Institutional Review Board.

#### Measurement of ovarian reserve

As most of our patients were of premenopausal age, a marker that is consistent during the menstrual cycle and representative of the ovarian reserve is needed. AMH is strongly correlated with the antral follicle count and is relatively constant during and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to FSH [23-26]. Therefore, AMH is a sensitive serum marker for the ovarian reserve and a proxy for menopause.

Serum samples were taken randomly during the menstrual cycle, in pregnant survivors as well as in survivors taking oral contraceptive pills or hormone replacement therapy. Serum AMH levels were measured with an in-house double-antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay [13,14]. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were <10 and <5%, respectively [13,14].

#### Genotyping

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected based on a literature search of previous candidate gene studies and more recently published GWA studies (for minor allele frequencies (MAFs): see Table I). We genotyped seven previously identified polymorphisms associated with age at menopause (*BRSK1* (rs1172822) [11], *ARHGEF7* (rs7333181) [11], *MCM8* (rs236114) [11], *PCSK1* (rs271924) [12], *SRD5A1* (rs494958) [12], *IGF2R* (rs9457827) [12] and *TNF* (rs909253) [12]) in the samples from long-term CCS.

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using standard DNA extraction methods. 1–2 ng of genomic DNA was dispensed into 384-well plates using a Caliper Sciclone ALH3000 pipetting robot (Caliper LS, Mountain View, CA, USA). Genotypes were determined using Sequenom iPLEX genotyping and Taqman Allelic Discrimination assays. All primers and probes are available on request.

Multiplex PCR assays were designed for the Sequenom iPLEX genotyping using Assay Designer on the website (https://mysequenom.com/tools/genotyping/default.aspx). For this, sequences containing the SNP site and at least 100bp of flanking sequence on either side of the SNP were used. As previously described [11], 2 ng of genomic DNA was amplified in a 5 µl reaction containing 1x Taq PCR Buffer (Sequenom), 2mM MgCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 µM each dNTP, 100 nM each PCR primer and 0.5 U Taq (Sequenom). The reaction was incubated at 94°C for 20 seconds, 56°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, followed by 3 minutes at 72°C. Excess dNTPs were then removed from the reaction by incubation with 0.3 U shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Sequenom) at 37°C for 40 minutes followed by 5 minutes at 85°C to deactivate the enzyme. Single primer extension over the SNP was carried out using a final concentration of 0.731  $\mu$ M to 1.462  $\mu$ M for each extension primer (depending on the mass of the probe), iPLEX termination mix (Sequenom), 10x iPLEX Buffer Plus and iPLEX enzyme (Sequenom) and subsequently cycled using the following programme: 94°C for 30 seconds followed by 94°C for 5 seconds, 5 cycles of 52° for 5 seconds and 80°C for 5 seconds, the previous three steps being repeated 40 times, then 72°C for 3 minutes. This reaction was then desalted by the addition of 6 mg clear resin (Sequenom) followed by mixing (15 minutes) and centrifugation (5 minutes, 3000 rpm) to settle the contents of the tube. The extension product was then spotted onto a 384-well spectroCHIP using the SEQUENOM MassARRAY Nanodispenser RS1000 before analysis on the MassARRAY Compact System (Sequenom). Data were collected using SpectroACQUIRE 3.3.1.13 and clustering was called using TYPER Analyser 4.0.3.18 (Sequenom). Additionally, to ensure data quality, the genotypes for each subject were also checked manually.

Genotypes for rs236114 and rs909253 were generated using Taqman Allelic Discrimination (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA). Primer and probe sequences were optimized using the SNP assay-by-design service of Applied Biosystems (for details see www.appliedbiosystems. com). Reactions were performed on the Taqman Prism 7900HT 384-well format.

|               | Locus   | Chr | MAF CCS    | MAF CCS    | MAF Previous studies (Stolk  |
|---------------|---------|-----|------------|------------|------------------------------|
|               |         |     | AMH≥1 μg/L | AMH<1 μg/L | et al, 2009, He et al, 2010) |
| rs7333181 (A) | ARHGEF7 | 13  | 0.11       | 0.13       | 0.12                         |
| rs1172822 (T) | BRSK1   | 19  | 0.35       | 0.48       | 0.39                         |
| rs236114 (T)  | МСМ8    | 20  | 0.19       | 0.18       | 0.21                         |
| rs494958 (T)  | SRD5A1  | 5   | 0.15       | 0.15       | 0.16                         |
| rs271924 (T)  | PCSK1   | 5   | 0.32       | 0.34       | 0.38                         |
| rs9457827 (T) | IGF2R   | 6   | 0.07       | 0.07       | 0.06                         |
| rs909253 (G)  | TNF     | 6   | 0.33       | 0.32       | 0.34                         |

Table I | Minor allele frequencies (MAFs) in previous studies and the present study.

MAF=minor allele frequency; CCS=childhood cancer survivors Chr=chromosome; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone. All SNPs except rs494958 are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

#### Statistical analysis

The SNPs were tested for deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) by comparing the observed and expected genotype frequencies using the chi-square test. AMH levels were studied as continuous measures and were divided in two groups based on levels considered to be clinically relevant and acting as a proxy for the ovarian reserve: serum AMH levels below 1 µg/L versus AMH levels equal to or above 1 µg/L. A chi-squared test was used to analyse the associations between the SNPs and the two AMH groups.

Association analyses were carried out and the additive genetic model was tested. First, we pooled heterozygous and homozygous carriers of the risk alleles under a dominant inheritance model. Linear regression was performed to calculate the effect of carrying the risk allele on continuous log-transformed AMH levels. Secondly, logistic regression was performed to calculate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the three genotypes (carriers of zero, one or two risk alleles) to assess risk, adjusted for age at AMH assessment, the AAD score and the total dose of radiotherapy to the pelvis. Analyses were not performed when the number of patients per genotype subgroup was below n=5. In view of the multiple comparisons, p-values of  $\leq 0.0083$  (0.05/6 SNPs) were considered to be significant.

We estimated the age at menopause based on serum AMH levels using two prediction models of the age at menopause based on a Dutch cohort and an Iranian cohort [18,19]. These prediction models are based on age and serum AMH levels. Subsequently, the effect of significant SNPs on this predicted age of menopause was evaluated using a linear regression model, adjusted for AAD score and abdominal radiotherapy dose. P-values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago IL, USA).

## RESULTS

The cohort of CCS consisted of 238 women, of whom 5 died during follow-up, 6 were non-responders, 6 refused participation, 3 emigrated, 3 were disabled after treatment and 2 patients were >50 years. From the remaining 213 eligible subjects, serum AMH levels and DNA for genotyping were available in 192 survivors. Sixteen non-Caucasian survivors were excluded. Finally, 176 Caucasian women were included. The median age at diagnosis was 5.4 years (range 0.1–16.8 years) in participants, and was comparable with the median age of survivors who discontinued follow-up and those in whom serum AMH levels or DNA samples were not available (median 6.7 years, range 0.8–14.0 years, p=0.98). Neither the type of malignancy (p=0.08) nor the frequency of relapse (15% versus 10%; p=0.48), or the treatment with alkylating agents (54% versus 47%, p=0.48) or radiotherapy (34% versus 29%; p=0.44) differed between participants and non-participants, respectively.

The median age at time of cessation of treatment was 6.9 years (range 0.1–17.8 years). The median age at follow-up was 24.8 years (range 18.0–46.2 years). Fifty-six survivors (32%) received chemotherapy and radiotherapy, 104 (59%) chemotherapy only, 4 (2%) radiotherapy only, and 12 (7%) surgery only. Twenty-three survivors (13%) were in second remission after relapse and six (3%) were in remission after a second malignancy. Eighty-nine survivors (51%) were treated with alkylating agents. Twelve survivors (7%) were treated with abdominal irradiation or total body irradiation.

At the time of inclusion, 48 of 176 survivors (27.3%) had regular menstrual cycles, whereas 15 survivors (8.5%) had oligo- or amenorrhoea. Three (1.7%) survivors were pregnant. In 6 survivors (3.4%), data on their menstrual cycle at time of screening were not available. All other survivors used oral contraceptive pills (55.1%) or hormonal replacement therapy (n=7: 4.0%) at the time of follow-up.

Table II presents the characteristics of the 176 female CCS. Survivors were divided into two groups on the basis of AMH levels: a level below 1  $\mu$ g/L (61/176: 35%) was considered to be low; a level equal to or above 1  $\mu$ g/L (115/176: 65%) was considered to be normal. Age at diagnosis, age at end of treatment, diagnosis and age at follow-up were not significantly different between the two AMH groups. As expected, significantly more survivors in the low AMH group were treated with abdominal irradiation or total body irradiation, and significantly more survivors in the normal AMH group were not treated with the known gonadotoxic alkylating agents. The median serum AMH level in all survivors was 1.8  $\mu$ g/L (range < 0.1–14.3  $\mu$ g/L).

|   | CCS all          | CCS AMH<1 µg/L   | CCS AMH≥1 µg/L   | p*    |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
|   | n=176            | n=61             | n=115            |       |
|   | Median (range)   | Median (range)   | Median (range)   |       |
| Age at diagnosis (yrs)                    | 5.4 (0.1–16.8)   | 6.1 (0.2–15.4)   | 5.2 (0.1–16.8)   | 0.221 |
| Age at discontinuation of treatment (yrs) | 6.9 (0.1–17.8)   | 7.8 (1.2–17.0)   | 6.4 (0.1–17.8)   | 0.130 |
| Age at follow-up (yrs)                    | 24.8 (18.0–46.2) | 26.4 (18.0–42.6) | 24.4 (18.0–46.2) | 0.061 |
| Follow-up time (yrs)                      | 17.4 (4.0–41.3)  | 17.3 (4.0–38.8)  | 17.5 (5.0–41.3)  | 0.528 |
| Diagnosis n (%)                           |                  |                  |                  |       |
| Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia             | 66 (37.5)        | 25 (41.0)        | 41 (35.7)        | 0.488 |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia                   | 4 (2.3)          | 3 (3.9)          | 1 (0.9)          | 0.087 |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma               | 8 (4.5)          | 4 (6.6)          | 4 (3.5)          | 0.352 |
| T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma               | 7 (4.0)          | 2 (3.3)          | 5 (4.3)          | 0.731 |
| Hodgkin lymphoma                          | 13 (7.4)         | 7 (11.5)         | 6 (5.2)          | 0.132 |
| Osteosarcoma                              | 4 (2.3)          | 2 (3.3)          | 2 (1.7)          | 0.515 |
| Renal tumour                              | 24 (13.6)        | 9 (14.8)         | 15 (13.0)        | 0.754 |
| Ewing sarcoma                             | 4 (2.3)          | 1 (1.6)          | 3 (2.6)          | 0.682 |
| Neuroblastoma                             | 17 (9.7)         | 4 (6.6)          | 13 (11.3)        | 0.312 |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma                          | 7 (4.0)          | 3 (4.9)          | 4 (3.5)          | 0.643 |
| Langerhans cell histiocytosis             | 6 (3.4)          | 0 (0.0)          | 6 (5.2)          | 0.070 |
| Brain tumour                              | 2 (1.1)          | 0 (0.0)          | 2 (1.7)          | 0.302 |
| Other                                     | 14 (8.0)         | 1 (1.6)          | 13 (11.3)        | 0.025 |
| Therapy n (%)                             |                  |                  |                  |       |
| Abdominal radiotherapy (30 Gy (15–45))    | 7 (4.0)          | 6 (9.8)          | 1 (0.9)          | 0.004 |
| Total body irradiation (8 Gy 7–12))       | 5 (2.8)          | 5 (8.2)          | 0 (0.0)          | 0.002 |
| AAD score (%)                             |                  |                  |                  |       |
| 0   | 87 (49.4)        | 23 (37.7)        | 64 (55.7)        | 0.027 |
| 1   | 25 (14.2)        | 11 (18.0)        | 14 (12.2)        | 0.301 |
| 2   | 23 (13.1)        | 7 (11.5)         | 16 (13.9)        | 0.634 |
| 3   | 32 (18.2)        | 15 (24.6)        | 17 (14.8)        | 0.116 |
| 4   | 4 (2.3)          | 3 (4.9)          | 1 (0.9)          | 0.089 |
| 5–7                                       | 5 (2.8)          | 2 (3.2)          | 3 (2.6)          | 0.807 |

Table II | Characteristics of female Caucasian patients who survived childhood cancer.

\*P-values indicate the difference in serum AMH levels in survivors with AMH<1 μg/L and AMH≥1 μg/L. Values are absolute numbers (%) or medians (range). AAD score=alkylating agent dose score; CCS=childhood cancer survivors; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone.

All polymorphisms were in HWE except for rs494958 (*SRD5A1*) (Table I). Consequently, this polymorphism was not used for comparison of allele frequencies and haplotype distributions in this study.

| Genotype  | CCS AMH<1 µg/L | CCS AMH≥1 µg/L | OR    | (95% CI)   | р     |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|------------|-------|
|           | n (%)          | n (%)          |       |            |       |
| rs7333181 |                |                |       |            |       |
| GG        | 48 (78.7)      | 91 (79.8)      | (ref) | _          | -     |
| GA        | 10 (16.4)      | 20 (17.5)      | 1.14  | 0.46-2.83  | 0.777 |
| AAª       | 3 (4.9)        | 3 (2.6)        |       |            |       |
| rs1172822 |                |                |       |            |       |
| CC        | 11 (18.0)      | 45 (40.5)      | (ref) | _          | -     |
| CT        | 41 (67.2)      | 54 (48.6)      | 3.15  | 1.35–7.32  | 0.008 |
| TT        | 9 (14.8)       | 12 (10.8)      | 3.45  | 1.06-11.27 | 0.040 |
| rs236114  |                |                |       |            |       |
| CC        | 38 (69.1)      | 71 (65.7)      | (ref) | _          | -     |
| СТ        | 14 (25.5)      | 33 (30.6)      | 0.96  | 0.44-2.11  | 0.919 |
| TT⁰       | 3 (5.5)        | 4 (3.7)        | -     | _          | -     |
| rs271924  |                |                |       |            |       |
| AA        | 27 (44.3)      | 49 (43.4)      | (ref) | _          | -     |
| AT        | 27 (44.3)      | 55 (48.7)      | 0.80  | 0.39–1.63  | 0.802 |
| TT        | 7 (11.5)       | 9 (8.0)        | 1.40  | 0.40-4.91  | 0.602 |
| rs9457827 |                |                |       |            |       |
| CC        | 54 (88.5)      | 99 (86.8)      | (ref) | _          | -     |
| СТ        | 6 (9.8)        | 14 (12.3)      | 0.75  | 0.24-2.40  | 0.633 |
| ΤT        | 1 (1.6)        | 1 (0.9)        | -     | -          | -     |
| rs909253  |                |                |       |            |       |
| AA        | 28 (48.3)      | 51 (45.5)      | (ref) | -          | -     |
| AG        | 23 (39.7)      | 49 (43.8)      | 0.80  | 0.38–1.69  | 0.563 |
| GG        | 7 (12.1)       | 12 (10.7)      | 1.46  | 0.47-4.49  | 0.510 |

Table III | Distribution of genotypes associated with age at menopause and minor allele frequencies (MAFs) in 176 Caucasian CCS.

CCS=childhood cancer survivors; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone (μg/L), OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval; MAF=minor allele frequency; AAD score=alkylating agent dose score; RT=radiotherapy. Multivariate analysis adjusted for age at AMH measurement, AAD score and abdominal radiotherapy. <sup>a</sup>Analysis was not performed because of small number of patients (<5 per subgroup).

After correction for multiple testing, women with the CT genotype of rs1172822 had a significantly increased risk for a low serum AMH level (OR: 3.15, 95% CI: 1.35–7.32, p=0.008), while there was a trend towards a higher risk of a low serum AMH level in women with the TT genotype (OR: 3.45, 95% CI: 1.06–11.27, p=0.04) (Table III) after correction for the age at AMH measurement, AAD score and

abdominal irradiation. None of the other SNPs was associated with an increased risk for a low AMH. Using a carrier model, the T-allele of rs1172822 showed a borderline significant association with continuous serum AMH levels ( $\beta$ : -0.16, 95% Cl: -0.62 to -0.06, p=0.017, Table IV). This association may not be as significant as the analysis of the subgroups owing to the small number of patients (n=21) homozygous for the minor allele (T). Therefore, a linear regression of AMH levels and the three genotypes (CC, CT, TT) has been performed. A significant negative association between AMH and the heterozygote CT genotype of rs1172822 has been observed ( $\beta$ : -0.63, 95% Cl: -1.02 to 0.24, p=0.002), while no significant association between the TT genotype and AMH has been found ( $\beta$ : -0.47, 95% Cl: -1.06 to 0.13, p=0.123).

| SNP (minor allele) | Beta (Log AMH) | 95% CI         | р        |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| rs7333181 (A)      | 0.06           | -0.22 to 0.51  | 0.431    |
| Age AMH            | -0.24          | -0.08 to -0.02 | 0.001    |
| AAD score          | -0.22          | -0.36 to -0.08 | 0.002    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.34          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |
| rs1172822 (T)      | -0.16          | -0.62 to -0.06 | 0.017    |
| Age AMH            | -0.23          | -0.08 to -0.02 | 0.001    |
| AAD score          | -0.19          | -0.32 to -0.06 | 0.006    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.34          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |
| rs236114 (T)       | 0.07           | -0.15 to 0.49  | 0.291    |
| Age AMH            | -0.24          | -0.08 to -0.02 | 0.001    |
| AAD score          | -0.22          | -0.35 to -0.08 | 0.002    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.36          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |
| rs271924 (T)       | 0.05           | -0.17 to 0.39  | 0.445    |
| Age AMH            | -0.23          | -0.08 to -0.02 | 0.001    |
| AAD score          | -0.21          | -0.35 to -0.08 | 0.002    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.34          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |
| rs9457827 (T)      | -0.05          | -0.65 to 0.31  | 0.483    |
| Age AMH            | -0.23          | -0.08 to -0.02 | 0.001    |
| AAD score          | -0.20          | -0.34 to -0.07 | 0.004    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.34          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |
| rs909253 (G)       | -0.01          | -0.30 to 0.25  | 0.862    |
| Age AMH            | -0.21          | -0.07 to -0.02 | 0.004    |
| AAD score          | -0.17          | -0.32 to -0.04 | 0.014    |
| RT abdomen (Gy)    | -0.35          | -0.11 to -0.05 | < 0.0001 |

Table IV | Distribution of allelic frequencies associated with age at menopause.

Cl=confidence interval; AAD score=alkylating agent dose score; RT=radiotherapy; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone.

We evaluated whether rs1172822 was associated with the predicted age at menopause using two menopause prediction models [18,19]. The rs1172822 polymorphism was negatively associated with predicted age at menopause using the Dutch population model ( $\beta$ : -0.17, 95% CI: -1.18 to -0.02, p=0.044) after adjustment for AAD score and abdominal radiotherapy. However, the effect of treatment with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy seemed to be larger than the effect of the polymorphism rs1172822 on the predicted age at menopause (Table V). When we predicted the age at menopause based on the Iranian population model, we did not find a significant correlation with rs1172822 ( $\beta$ : -0.15, 95% CI: -5.94 to 0.42, p=0.088) (Table V). When performing analyses without adjustment for confounders, the rs1172822 was borderline significant associated with predicted age at menopause in the Dutch population model ( $\beta$ : -0.64, 95% CI: -1.29 to 0.01, p=0.054) and was still not associated with the age in the Iranian population model ( $\beta$ : -2.99, 95% CI -6.12 to 0.15, p=0.062).

|  | OR    | 95% CI         | р     |
|--|-------|----------------|-------|
| Method of Broer <i>et al</i> (Dutch population) [18] |       |                |       |
| rs1172822 (T)  | -0.17 | -1.18 to -0.02 | 0.044 |
| AAD score  | -0.22 | -0.56 to -0.08 | 0.010 |
| Abdominal RT (Gy)                                    | -0.27 | -0.18 to -0.05 | 0.001 |
| Method of Tehrani et al (Iranian population) [19]    |       |                |       |
| rs1172822 (T)  | -0.15 | -5.94 to 0.42  | 0.088 |
| AAD score  | -0.16 | -2.56 to 0.04  | 0.058 |
| Abdominal RT (Gy)                                    | -0.17 | -0.74 to 0.01  | 0.055 |

Table V | Predicted age at menopause and BRSK1 polymorphism.

OR=odds ratio; CI=confidence interval; AAD=alkylating agent dose; RT=radiotherapy.

# DISCUSSION

We evaluated the importance of SNPs, which had previously been shown to be associated with the age at natural menopause in healthy women, to the ovarian reserve in female CCS. We found that the CT genotype of rs1172822 of the *BRSK1* gene was negatively associated with serum AMH levels after correction for multiple testing. Moreover, the T-allele of rs1172822 was associated with the predicted age at menopause of the Dutch population model.

Serum AMH levels were used as a proxy for the ovarian reserve. As most of our patients were in the premenopausal age range, we needed a marker that does not fluctuate during the menstrual cycle and is representative for the ovarian reserve. AMH is strongly correlated with the antral follicle count and is relatively constant during and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to FSH [23-26]. Although some studies did report variations during the cycle [27-30], the differences were small in amplitude and similar to the reported minor variability between cycles, which suggests that AMH production is independent of gonadotropins. Therefore, AMH is a useful serum marker for the ovarian reserve.

Moreover, two studies showed that serum AMH levels can be used to predict the age at menopause [18,19], and therefore serum AMH levels were used in our study. An AMH level of 1 µg/L has been used as a cut-off value to identify a decreased ovarian reserve. Several studies have tried to identify a cut-off value for AMH to classify decreased fertility, poor response after IVF and non-pregnancy, and these studies have been reviewed [31]. The mean of these AMH cut-off values is approximately 1 µg/L and we used this value as it is the best available estimate. However, we also performed our quantitative analyses with AMH levels and found a borderline significant association with the minor allele (T) of rs1172822. This association may not be as significant as the analysis of the subgroups owing to the small number of patients (n=21) homozygous for the minor allele (T). Therefore, a linear regression of AMH levels and the three genotypes (CC, CT, TT) has been performed. A highly significant negative association between AMH and the heterozygote CT genotype of rs1172822 has been observed ( $\beta$ : -0.63, 95% CI: -1.02 to -0.24, p=0.002), which supports the negative association between rs1172822 and AMH levels.

A low serum AMH level in adult female CCS was associated with the CT genotype of rs1172822, which is consistent with previous findings in healthy women in which this polymorphism was associated with a decrease in the age at menopause of 4 months per T-allele [11,12]. Rs1172822 is a polymorphism in the BR serine/ threonine kinase 1 gene (BRSK1), which is located on chromosome 19q13.4, intron 17 and encodes an AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-related kinase. It is highly expressed in the human forebrain [32] and moderately expressed in mammalian ovaries [33]. Interestingly, the downstream targets of BRSK1 are several members of the family of AMPKrelated kinases phosphorylate tau, a microtubule-associated protein that regulates the stability of the microtubule network [32]. This includes the maternal embryonic leucine zipper kinase (MELK2; chromosome 9) that is highly expressed in spermatogonia and oocytes [34,35]. BRSK1 is specifically activated by phosphorylation, together with 12 other AMPKs, including MELK, through the serine/threonine protein kinase 11, named STK11 or LKB1 [36]. LKB1 (19p13.3) is considered a master regulator of cell polarity (by regulating cytoskeletal dynamics) and, being the only identified protein with this activity, it is expressed in mouse oocytes [37]. Mutation of LKB1 affects epithelial, neuronal and oocyte polarity, thereby influencing cell growth. More recently, BRSK1 was found to be essential for centriole duplication and therefore plays an important role in cell-cycle progression [38]. BRSK1 is therefore important for cells to function normally. Recent hypotheses concerning an association between genome-stability genes and ageing have been proposed: recent studies link specific deficiencies in genome maintenance to symptoms of premature ageing, which are likely related to a tissue-specific spectrum of DNA lesions caused by the unique metabolic profile of each particular organ or tissue [39]. This may explain the involvement of BRSK1 in the ovarian ageing process, possibly resulting in reduced AMH levels.

In the present study, only one of the six previously identified polymorphisms in the healthy population was associated with AMH levels in adult female CCS. These findings suggest that the effects of gonadotoxic treatment exceed the influence of these genetic polymorphisms. However, most of these SNPs have a low MAF (Table I). The lower the MAF, the higher the number of survivors needed to achieve sufficient power to observe an influence on AMH levels. Therefore, final conclusions regarding these associations cannot be drawn given the relatively small effect size

of those polymorphisms and the large effect of alkylating agents and pelvic radiotherapy on the ovarian reserve in a small sample of CCS. Our observations should be investigated further in a larger sample.

Rs1172822 is significantly associated with the predicted age at menopause of the Dutch population model by Broer *et al* [18]. This is in line with a previous report that the rs1172822 polymorphism is associated with the age at menopause in the healthy population [11]. Still, the effect of treatment with alkylating agents or pelvic radiotherapy seemed to be stronger than the effect of the rs1172822 polymorphism on the predicted age at menopause. We found no association between rs1172822 and the predicted age at menopause using the Iranian population model: the different genetic background of the women in the Iranian population model is most likely the cause. Indeed, the rs1172822 MAF in Asian subjects is ten-fold lower than in the European population [40].

We realize that our pilot study only shows preliminary data. To confirm our findings and enlarge the study, a nationwide GWA project on the ovarian reserve in female CCS should be performed, including a replication cohort.

In conclusion, we showed that the CT genotype of rs1172822 of the *BRSK1* gene in female adult CCS is associated with a decreased serum AMH level and lower predicted age at menopause, based on a Dutch population model. These findings support the idea that previously identified polymorphisms which are associated with age at menopause in healthy women may also have an effect on the onset of menopause in female CCS. This pilot study appears to show a new aspect of the influence of genetic variants on the ovarian reserve following treatment of childhood cancer and should be investigated further in a nationwide GWA study. Eventually, this information can help us to improve counselling on fertility preservation prior to cancer treatment, based on genetic factors in individual patients.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Lie Fong S, Lugtenburg PJ, Schipper I, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Sonneveld P, Laven JS. Anti-mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in women after chemotherapy and radiotherapy for haematological malignancies. *Hum Reprod.* 2008; 23:674-8.
- 2. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- 3. van Dorp W, van Beek RD, Laven JS, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012; 18:12-28.
- Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Kasper C, Mulder J, Green D, Nicholson HS, et al. Premature menopause in survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2006; 98:890-6.
- van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 6. Wallace WH, Anderson RA, Irvine DS. Fertility preservation for young patients with cancer: who is at risk and what can be offered? *Lancet Oncol.* 2005; 6:209-18.
- van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; 92:3869-74.
- 8. Schlessinger D, Herrera L, Crisponi L, Mumm S, Percesepe A, Pellegrini M, Pilia G, Forabosco A. Genes and translocations involved in POF. *Am J Med Genet*. 2002; 111:328-33.
- 9. Conway GS, Payne NN, Webb J, Murray A, Jacobs PA. Fragile X premutation screening in women with premature ovarian failure. *Hum Reprod.* 1998; 13:1184-7.
- 10. Stolk L, Perry JR, Chasman DI, He C, Mangino M, Sulem P, Barbalic M, Broer L, Byrne EM, et al. Meta-analyses identify 13 loci associated with age at menopause and highlight DNA repair and immune pathways. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:260-8.
- 11. Stolk L, Zhai G, van Meurs JB, Verbiest MM, Visser JA, Estrada K, Rivadeneira F, Williams FM, Cherkas L, et al. Loci at chromosomes 13, 19 and 20 influence age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:645-647.
- 12. He C, Kraft P, Chasman DI, Buring JE, Chen C, Hankinson SE, Pare G, Chanock S, Ridker PM, et al. A largescale candidate gene association study of age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Hum Genet*. 2010; 128:515-27.
- 13. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 15. van Disseldorp J, Faddy MJ, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Peeters PH, van der Schouw YT, Broekmans FJ. Relationship of serum antimullerian hormone concentration to age at menopause. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 93:2129-34.
- 16. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Gracia CR. Anti-mullerian hormone as a predictor of time to menopause in late reproductive age women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:1673-80.
- 17. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Boorman DW, Gracia CR. Contribution of the rate of change of antimullerian hormone in estimating time to menopause for late reproductive-age women. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 98:1254-9 e2.
- Broer SL, Eijkemans MJ, Scheffer GJ, van Rooij IA, de Vet A, Themmen AP, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, et al. Anti-mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 96:2532-9.

- 19. Tehrani FR, Shakeri N, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Azizi F. Predicting age at menopause from serum antimullerian hormone concentration. *Menopause*. 2011; 18:766-70.
- 20. Romerius P, Giwercman A, Moell C, Relander T, Cavallin-Stahl E, Wiebe T, Hallden C, Giwercman YL. Estrogen receptor alpha single nucleotide polymorphism modifies the risk of azoospermia in childhood cancer survivors. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2011; 21:263-9.
- 21. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:2677-85.
- 22. Tucker MA, Meadows AT, Boice JD, Jr., Stovall M, Oberlin O, Stone BJ, Birch J, Voute PA, Hoover RN, et al. Leukemia after therapy with alkylating agents for childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1987; 78:459-64.
- 23. Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- 24. La Marca A, Stabile G, Artenisio AC, Volpe A. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone throughout the human menstrual cycle. *Hum Reprod*. 2006; 21:3103-7.
- 25. Streuli I, Fraisse T, Pillet C, Ibecheole V, Bischof P, de Ziegler D. Serum antimullerian hormone levels remain stable throughout the menstrual cycle and after oral or vaginal administration of synthetic sex steroids. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 90:395-400.
- 26. Tsepelidis S, Devreker F, Demeestere I, Flahaut A, Gervy C, Englert Y. Stable serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone during the menstrual cycle: a prospective study in normo-ovulatory women. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:1837-40.
- 27. Robertson DM, Hale GE, Fraser IS, Hughes CL, Burger HG. Changes in serum antimullerian hormone levels across the ovulatory menstrual cycle in late reproductive age. *Menopause*. 2011; 18:521-4.
- 28. Sowers M, McConnell D, Gast K, Zheng H, Nan B, McCarthy JD, Randolph JF. Anti-Mullerian hormone and inhibin B variability during normal menstrual cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 2010; 94:1482-6.
- 29. Streuli I, Fraisse T, Chapron C, Bijaoui G, Bischof P, de Ziegler D. Clinical uses of anti-Mullerian hormone assays: pitfalls and promises. *Fertil Steril.* 2009; 91:226-30.
- Wunder DM, Bersinger NA, Yared M, Kretschmer R, Birkhauser MH. Statistically significant changes of antimullerian hormone and inhibin levels during the physiologic menstrual cycle in reproductive age women. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 89:927-33.
- 31. Broer SL, Mol BW, Hendriks D, Broekmans FJ. The role of antimullerian hormone in prediction of outcome after IVF: comparison with the antral follicle count. *Fertil Steril*. 2009; 91:705-14.
- 32. Kishi M, Pan YA, Crump JG, Sanes JR. Mammalian SAD kinases are required for neuronal polarization. *Science*. 2005; 307:929-32.
- 33. GeneAtlas. Available from: genatlas.medecine.univ-paris5.fr.
- Lizcano JM, Goransson O, Toth R, Deak M, Morrice NA, Boudeau J, Hawley SA, Udd L, Makela TP, et al. LKB1 is a master kinase that activates 13 kinases of the AMPK subfamily, including MARK/PAR-1. *EMBO J*. 2004; 23:833-43.
- 35. Thelie A, Papillier P, Pennetier S, Perreau C, Traverso JM, Uzbekova S, Mermillod P, Joly C, Humblot P, et al. Differential regulation of abundance and deadenylation of maternal transcripts during bovine oocyte maturation in vitro and in vivo. BMC Dev Biol. 2007; 7:125.
- 36. Bright NJ, Carling D, Thornton C. Investigating the regulation of brain-specific kinases 1 and 2 by phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:14946-54.
- 37. Szczepanska K, Maleszewski M. LKB1/PAR4 protein is asymmetrically localized in mouse oocytes and associates with meiotic spindle. *Gene Expr Patterns*. 2005; 6:86-93.
- Alvarado-Kristensson M, Rodriguez MJ, Silio V, Valpuesta JM, Carrera AC. SADB phosphorylation of gammatubulin regulates centrosome duplication. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009; 11:1081-92.
- 39. Lans H, Hoeijmakers JH. Genome stability, progressive kidney failure and aging. Nat Genet. 2012; 44:836-8.
- 40. dbSNP. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp.
| Alkylating Agent                               | First tertile | Second tertile | Third tertile  |
|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Oral cyclophosphamide, mg/m <sup>2</sup>       | 1–4,800       | 4,801-8,800    | 8,801–45,990   |
| Parenteral cyclophosphamide, mg/m <sup>2</sup> | 1–2,000       | 2,001-4,600    | 4,601–21,300   |
| lfosfamide, mg/m²                              | 1-12,000      | 12,001–45,000  | 45,001–102,000 |
| Busulfan, mg/m²                                | 1–320         | 321-436        | 437–940        |
| Lomustine, mg/ <sup>2</sup>                    | 1–450         | 451-600        | 601–1,050      |
| Melphalan, mg/m²                               | 1–138         | 139–180        | 181–320        |
| Mitoxine, mg/m²                                | 1–42          | 43–60          | 61–160         |
| Procarbazine, mg/m <sup>2</sup>                | 1–3,500       | 3,501–5,600    | 5,601–19,200   |
| Thiotepa, mg/m <sup>2</sup>                    | 1–392         | 393-800        | 801-1,200      |

Supplementary Table I | Tertile distribution of alkylating agents in cumulative dose.

**CHAPTER Recommendations for primary ovarian** insufficiency surveillance for female childhood cancer survivors: a report from the international late effects of childhood cancer guideline harmonization group

W van Dorp, MM Hudson, LC Kremer, RL Mulder, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink, MH van den Berg, JL Levine, E van Dulmen-den Broeder, N di lorgi, WH Wallace & R Haupt; on behalf of the IGHG and PCSF female gonadal toxicity working group

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function in *preparation* in the cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal

### Abstract

Female childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents and/or ovarian irradiation have an increased risk of primary ovarian insufficiency (POI). Clinical practice guidelines are necessary to warrant that survivors receive optimum care, and thereby improve optimal treatment of late effects of cancer treatment. However, surveillance recommendations vary among the existing long-term follow-up guidelines, resulting in a hampered implementation of screening. The present guideline offers international harmonized POI surveillance recommendations for female childhood cancer survivors treated up to age 18 years. Evidence-based methods were applied to develop the international harmonized recommendations. The recommendations were formulated by an international multidisciplinary guideline panel and categorized according to a 4-level colour grading schema adapted from existing level of evidence criteria. The harmonized POI surveillance recommendations are based on a transparent process and are intended to facilitate care for childhood cancer survivors.

### Introduction

Currently, long-term survival of childhood cancer exceeds 80% for some cancer types following advances in the treatment regimens over the last decades [1-3]. Consequently, due to the increasing numbers of survivors, it has become increasingly apparent that a significant proportion of these survivors experience chronic health sequelae resulting from cancer, its treatment or both [4-6]. Of particular concern is the substantially elevated risk of gonadal dysfunction among female survivors, especially after treatment with alkylating agents and/or radiation to fields that include the ovaries [7]. Among female survivors, the risk of non-surgical primary ovarian insufficiency (POI) is increased as compared to their siblings, with a cumulative incidence of ~8% by the age of 40 years [8]. POI is associated with infertility, but also with serious other sequelae due to the deprivation of oestrogen levels, such as osteoporosis, cardiovascular disorders, impaired well-being and compromised sexual health [9]. Because of these risks, it has been acknowledged that survivors at risk and health care providers need guidance for awareness of cancer- and treatment-related health risks, and may benefit from long-term surveillance for POI in order to treat patients appropriately.

Development of clinical practice guidelines for long-term POI surveillance is warranted [10-13]. Already, a number of clinical practice guidelines have been developed by various North American and European groups to facilitate early detection and treatment of POI [14-18]. These guidelines differ with regards to definition of at risk populations, surveillance modality and frequency, and recommendations for interventions. As a result of the guideline differences, the implementation of screening may be hampered across a wide spectrum of clinical settings. Recognizing the importance of a global consensus, an international effort was organized to harmonize existing late effects screening recommendations for survivors of childhood cancer [19]. The current effort of the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group represents the summary of the evidence of- and recommendations for POI surveillance in childhood cancer survivors treated up to age 18 years.

### Methods

Detailed information of the international guideline harmonization effort and methodology has been provided elsewhere [19]. The POI surveillance recommendations were prepared by representatives from the North American Children's Oncology Group (COG) [14], the Dutch Childhood Oncology Group (DCOG) [15], the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) [16] and the United Kingdom Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group (UKCCLG) [17]. The current effort covers guidelines that were developed following systematic evaluation of the quality of the late effects literature, linking cancer treatment with adverse outcomes compared with expert opinions. The expert members represented all relevant disciplines, ranging from the paediatric oncology/ haematology, radiotherapy, gynaecology and endocrinology, and survivorship care providers to guideline methodologists and epidemiologists in their efforts.

First, we evaluated concordances and discordances across the COG, DCOG, SIGN and UKCCLG guidelines [14-17]. In order to achieve consensus, clinical questions were formulated within the expert team to address areas of discordance for POI surveillance. Systematic electronic literature searches were performed in March 2013, and evidence summaries were generated to answer the relevant clinical questions. When evidence was lacking for childhood cancer survivors, we carefully extrapolated evidence from other populations, if applicable. In the case of concordance, we extracted and evaluated the evidence cited by the guidelines.

Considering the heterogeneity in definitions used to describe ovarian dysfunction, we proposed standardized definitions which were integrated into our literature review and final formulation of recommendations. Childhood cancer survivors were defined as individuals treated for cancer up to age 18 years, regardless of current age. POI was defined as a clinical situation developing in any adult female up to 40 years of age, characterized by: (1) absence of menses for at least 4 months, and (2) two elevated serum follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels in the menopausal range (cut-off depends on the used assay) [20]. We defined the hard outcome POI as 'having both criteria', while the surrogate outcome was defined as having at least one of the two POI criteria (amenorrhoea, elevated serum FSH levels). Delayed puberty was defined as the absence of initiation of puberty (Tanner stage 2 breast development) in girls 13 years or older. Amenorrhoea was defined as the absence of menstrual cycles for at least 4 months [21]. Irregular menstrual cycles were defined as cycles <21 days or >35 days [22]. Ovarian radiation was defined as radiotherapy involving the ovaries (lumbar, sacral, whole spine, flank/hemi-abdomen extending below iliac crest, whole abdomen, inverted Y, pelvic, vaginal, bladder, iliac, total body irradiation (TBI)).

As agreed by the International Guideline Harmonization Group, the guality of the evidence and strength of the recommendations were determined according to a revised version of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) criteria and the Applying classification of recommendations and level of evidence criteria of the American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) [23,24]. The quality of the evidence was graded as previously published [19]. Briefly, it was defined as: A, high level of evidence (i.e. consistent evidence from well performed and high quality studies or systematic reviews with a low risk of bias, and direct, consistent and precise results); B, moderate to low level of evidence (i.e. evidence from studies or systematic reviews with few important limitations); and C, very low level of evidence (i.e. evidence from studies with serious flaws, only expert opinion or standards of care). The strength of the recommendations was categorized using the 4-color schema adapted from the ACC/AHA [23]. Green represents a strong recommendation (high quality evidence), using anchor terms such as 'is recommended', and with a low degree of uncertainty. Yellow (moderate quality evidence) and orange (weak quality evidence) represent moderate level recommendations, using terms such as 'is reasonable' and 'may be reasonable', respectively. Red is used to recommend against a particular intervention, with harms outweighing benefits. Final recommendations were based on this scientific knowledge combined with other considerations such as clinical judgments, decisions about thresholds and costs. The yellow/orange recommendations represent a higher degree of uncertainty, meaning that other factors, such as the clinical expertise, patient preferences, relevant risk factors and costs, need to be considered in the final decision-making process for the individual patient [25]. The international POI surveillance recommendations will be critically appraised by independent external experts in the field and patient representatives. The recommendations will be updated within two years.

#### Search strategy and selection criteria

In order to identify all available evidence concerning the risk of POI after childhood cancer, specific risk groups, and the treatment of POI, we performed multiple searches in MEDLINE (through PubMed), and the Cochrane Central Library of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) using the following main search terms "childhood", "adolescent", "neoplasm", "female", "survivor", "alkylating", "antimetabolites", "platin", "radiation", "primary ovarian insufficiency", "FSH", "oestradiol", "AMH", "antral follicle count", "puberty induction", "hormone replacement therapy", "final height", "sexual development", "bone density", cardiovascular diseases", "secondary neoplasms" from 1993 until March 2013 (search strategies are provided in Appendix I). If not included initially, cross-references picked up during the review procedure were also selected. In addition, references supporting the existing recommendations were extensively discussed with experts in the field to determine if there was any additional evidence. Only papers published in English were reviewed. The final selection of studies was determined on the basis of relevance to the broad scope of this guideline.

### Results

Concordances and discordances among the POI surveillance recommendations of the COG, DCOG, SIGN and UKCCLG are provided in Table I. There was concordance across guidelines for the following statements: (1) the use of Tanner stage as surveillance modality in childhood cancer survivors, (2) to refer childhood cancer survivors to a specialist in case abnormalities were identified, (3) to consider hormone replacement therapy in childhood cancer survivors with POI. For concordant areas, we extracted the evidence cited by the guidelines and determined the levels of evidence.

As illustrated in Table I, there were also areas of discordance that required more detailed investigation of the available literature. The evidence summaries for the following discordant areas are presented in Appendix II: POI risk after alkylating agents, antimetabolites, platinum compounds, ovarian radiation; POI risk by alkylating agents dose, antimetabolites dose, platinum compounds dose, ovarian radiation dose; POI risk by age at treatment; antral follicle counts (AFC) and anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) for surveillance of POI; FSH, oestradiol, AFC and AMH for prediction of POI; frequency of screening in survivors; risk of deterioration in POI risk during follow-up.

The conclusions of the evidence and the final recommendations are summarized in Table II and Table III, respectively. The rationale for the grading of evidence and subsequent recommendations are provided below, categorized as per clinical question.

|                           | North American Children's  | Dutch Childhood     | UK Children's Cancer and                | Scottish Intercollegiate                | Concordant/ |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------|---|---|-------------|
|                           | Oncology Group   | Oncology Group      | Leukaemia Group                         | Guidelines Network                      | Discordant  |
|                           | Who  | needs surveillance? |   |   |             |
| At risk                   |  | All survivors       |   |   |             |
| Alkylating agents         | Yes  | Not specified       | Yes                                     | Yes                                     | Discordant  |
| Carboplatin, cisplatin    | Yes  | Not specified       | Yes                                     | Not specified                           | Discordant  |
| Non-classical alkylators* | Yes  | Not specified       | Yes                                     | Not specified                           | Discordant  |
| RT involving ovaries**    | Yes  | Not specified       | Yes                                     | Yes                                     | Discordant  |
| RT involving brain***     | Yes  | Not specified       | Yes                                     | Yes                                     | Discordant  |
| Oophorectomy              | Yes  | Not specified       | Not specified                           | Not specified                           | Discordant  |
| High risk                 | Higher doses alkylating agents                                     | Not specified       | Not specified                           | Not specified                           | Discordant  |
|                           | Combination alkylating agents                                      |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Alkylating agents + RT ovaries/ RT brain                           |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | ≥40 Gy RT involving brain  |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Pre-pubertal: ≥10 Gy RT ovaries                                    |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Pubertal: ≥5 Gy RT ovaries   |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | ≥18 Gy RT involving brain: precocious puberty                      |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Unilateral oophorectomy  |                     |   |   |             |
| Highest risk              | MOPP >3 cycles   | Not specified       | >24 Gy cranial RT                       | ≥30 Gy cranial RT                       | Discordant  |
|                           | Busulfan >600 mg/m²  |                     | delayed puberty                         | delayed puberty                         |             |
|                           | Cyclophosphamide >7.5 g/m <sup>2</sup><br>Cyclophosphamide for HCT |                     | <24 Gy cranial RT<br>precocious puberty | <30 Gy cranial RT<br>precocious puberty |             |
|                           | Alkylating agents + pelvic RT/TBI                                  |                     |   | High-dose busulfan                      |             |
|                           | Pre-pubertal: ≥15 Gy RT ovaries                                    |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Pubertal: ≥10 Gy RT ovaries  |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Unilateral oophorectomy + pelvic RT                                |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | /alkylating agents/TBl   |                     |   |   |             |
|                           | Bilateral oophorectomy   |                     |   |   |             |

Table I | Concordances and discordances among POI surveillance recommendations.

|   | North American Children's                                    | Dutch Childhood                | UK Children's Cancer and   | Scottish Intercollegiate            | Concordant/    |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------|
|   | Oncology Group   | Oncology Group                 | Leukaemia Group  | Guidelines Network                  | Discordant     |
|   | What surveill  | ance modality should b         | e used?  |                                     |                |
| Tanner staging                            | Yes  | Yes                            | Yes  | Yes                                 | Concordant     |
| Height                                    | Yes (testing precocious puberty)                             | As general<br>recommendation   | Yes  | As general<br>recommendation        | Discordant     |
| Weight                                    | Yes (testing precocious puberty)                             | As general<br>recommendation   | As general<br>recommendation   | As general<br>recommendation        | Discordant     |
| Menstrual/pregnancy history               | Yes  | Yes                            | Yes  | Not specified                       | Discordant     |
| Inspection external genitals              | No   | Yes                            | Not specified  | Not specified                       | Discordant     |
| FSH                                       | Yes  | Yes                            | Not specified  | Not specified                       | Discordant     |
| LH  | Yes  | Yes                            | Not specified  | Not specified                       | Discordant     |
| Oestradiol                                | Yes  | Yes                            | Not specified  | Not specified                       | Discordant     |
|   | At what frequency  | y should surveillance be       | performed?   |                                     |                |
| Tanner staging<br>(until sexually mature) | Every 1 yr   | Every visit<br>(all survivors) | Every 0.5 yr (all survivors)<br>3-4 times a year<br>(if cranial RT)  | 3-4 times a year<br>(if cranial RT) | Discordant     |
| Height                                    | Yearly until sexually mature<br>(testing precocious puberty) | Not applicable                 | Every 0.5 yr (all survivors,<br>until growth spurt<br>established )<br>3-4 times a year<br>(if cranial RT) | Not applicable                      | Discordant     |
| Weight                                    | Yearly until sexually mature<br>(testing precocious puberty) | Not applicable                 | Not applicable   | Not applicable                      | Not applicable |
| Menstrual/pregnancy history               | Every 1 yr   | Every visit<br>(all survivors) | When appropriate   | Not applicable                      | Discordant     |
|   |  |                                |  |                                     |                |

International POI surveillance recommendations for CCS | 117

7

Table I | Continued.

| Table I   <i>Continued</i>                   |  |   |                          |                           |                      |
|--|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|  | North American Children's                            | Dutch Childhood   | UK Children's Cancer and | Scottish Intercollegiate  | Concordant/          |
|  | Oncology Group                                       | Oncology Group  | Leukaemia Group          | <b>Guidelines Network</b> | Discordant           |
|  | At what frequency sh                                 | ould surveillance be perfo                              | rmed? (Continued)        |                           |                      |
| Inspection external genitals                 | Not applicable                                       | Every visit (all<br>survivors, when<br>sexually mature) | Not applicable           | Not applicable            | Not applicable       |
| FSH  | Baseline at age 13 yr<br>and as clinically indicated | As clinically indicated                                 | Not applicable           | Not applicable            | Partly<br>concordant |
| LH   | Baseline at age 13 yr<br>and as clinically indicated | As clinically indicated                                 | Not applicable           | Not applicable            | Partly<br>concordant |
| Oestradiol                                   | Baseline at age 13 yr<br>and as clinically indicated | As clinically indicated                                 | Not applicable           | Not applicable            | Partly<br>concordant |
|  | What should be d                                     | lone when abnormalities a                               | re identified?           |                           |                      |
| Refer to specialist                          | Yes  | Yes   | Yes                      | Yes                       | Concordant           |
| Consider hormonal therapy                    | Yes  | Yes   | Yes                      | Yes                       | Concordant           |
| Consider assisted<br>reproductive technology | Yes  | Not specified   | Yes                      | Yes                       | Discordant           |
|  |  |   |                          |                           |                      |

UK=United Kingdom; RT=radiotherapy; MOPP=mustargen, oncorin, procarbazine, prednisone; HCT=haematopoietic cell transplantation; TBI=total body irradiation; yr=year, FSH=folkide-strimulating hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone.

Table II | Conclusions of evidence for POI surveillance for female childhood cancer survivors.

|     | Who needs POI surveillance?  |             |
|-----|--|-------------|
| PC  | I risk in childhood cancer survivors   |             |
| -   | Increased risk after alkylating agents and procarbazine  | Level A     |
| -   | Increased risk after increasing doses alkylating agents and procarbazine   | Level A     |
| -   | Alkylating threshold dose  | No evidence |
| -   | Increased risk after ovarian irradiation   | Level A     |
| -   | Increased risk after increasing doses ovarian radiation  | Level A     |
| -   | Ovarian radiation threshold dose   | No evidence |
| -   | Increasing risk after alkylating agents + ovarian radiation compared to either treatment in same dose alone                | Level B     |
| -   | Increased risk after treatment at older age  | Level B     |
| -   | Risk after platinum compounds  | No evidence |
| -   | Risk after antimetabolites   | No evidence |
| -   | Increased risk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism after radiation to the field that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis | Level C     |
|     | What surveillance modalities should be used?   |             |
| Dia | agnostic value clinical exam, endocrine measurements, ovarian ultrasound in childhood cancer                               | survivors   |
| 0   | Diagnostic value for weight, height, menstrual cycle history, Tanner stage   | Level A     |
| -   | FSH and oestradiol   | Level B     |
| -   | Anti-Müllerian hormone   | No evidence |
| _   | Antral Follicle Count  | No evidence |
| Pro | ognostic value endocrine measurements, ovarian ultrasound in childhood cancer survivors                                    |             |
| -   | FSH and oestradiol   | No evidence |
| -   | Anti-Müllerian hormone   | No evidence |
| _   | Antral Follicle Count  | No evidence |
|     | At what frequency and for how low should surveillance for POI be performed?  |             |
| _   | The risk of deterioration in gonadal function continues to increase with longer follow-up                                  | Level A     |
|     | What should be done when abnormalities are found?  |             |
| 0   | Refer to specialist  | Level A     |
| 0   | Consider hormonal therapy (hormone replacement therapy)  | Level A     |

Level A=high level of evidence; Level B=moderate/low level of evidence; Level C=very low level of evidence.

O Evidence extracted from the existing guidelines: COG [14], DCOG [15], SIGN [16], and UKCCLG [17]

- Evidence as presented in the evidence summaries (Appendix II).

Table III | Harmonized recommendations for POI surveillance for childhood cancer survivors.

### General recommendation

Survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy\* and/or ovarian radiation\* and their providers should be aware of the risk of primary ovarian insufficiency and its implications for future fertility.

### Who needs POI surveillance and what surveillance modality should be done?

Monitoring of growth and pubertal development (height, weight, Tanner stage) *is recommended* for prepubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy\* and/or ovarian radiation\*<sup>#</sup>.

Counselling regarding the risk of primary ovarian insufficiency and its implications for future fertility *is* <u>recommended</u> for post-pubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy\* and/or ovarian radiation\*.

Laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol *is recommended* for pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty<sup>®</sup>.

Laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol *is recommended* for post-pubertal females who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting primary ovarian insufficiency or who desire assessment about potential for future fertility. Hormone replacement therapy should be discontinued prior to laboratory evaluation when applicable<sup>§</sup>.

Laboratory evaluation with AMH <u>may be reasonable</u> for female survivors 25 years or older who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting primary ovarian insufficiency or who desire assessment about potential for future fertility.

#### What should be done when abnormalities are identified?

Referral to paediatric endocrinology/gynaecology *is recommended* for pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty<sup>®</sup>.

Referral to gynaecology/(reproductive) endocrinology *is recommended* for post-pubertal females who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting primary ovarian insufficiency.

Treatment with sex steroid replacement therapy (transdermal or oral oestrogens plus progestin) *is recommended* in pre-pubertal and post-pubertal females diagnosed with primary ovarian insufficiency.

#### What should be done when potential for future fertility is questioned?

Referral to gynaecology/(reproductive) endocrinology and laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol <u>is</u> <u>recommended</u> for post-pubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy\* and/or ovarian irradiation\* without signs and symptoms of primary ovarian insufficiency who desire assessment about potential for future fertility.

\*Gonadotoxic chemotherapy: alkylating agents and procarbazine; Ovarian radiation: radiation treatment fields involving the ovaries (lumbar, sacral, whole spine, flank/hemiabdomen extending below iliac crest, whole abdomen, inverted Y, pelvic, vaginal, bladder, iliac, TLI, TBI); \*Expert opinion: at least annually, with increasing frequency as clinically indicated based on growth and pubertal progress; \*Expert opinion: at least for girls of 11 years of age and older; \*Expert opinion: this assessment should be performed after ending oral contraceptive pill use, preferably after four months without oral contraceptive pills (based on: amenorrhoea=no menstrual cycle for at least four months).

### Who needs POI surveillance?

### Evidence in childhood cancer survivors

There is evidence from large cohort studies that the risk of POI in female childhood cancer survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation is increased [8,26-34]. Importantly, there appears to be no clear cut-off for a safe alkylating agent dose. It is well known that women

exposed to higher alkylating agents doses have an increased risk of POI [8,27,29], but previous studies have scored alkylating agent doses differently, which resulted in the inability to compare doses and define a cut-off score.

Similarly, the working group agreed that there is an increasing risk of POI with increasing ovarian radiation doses, but a clear cut-off for a safe radiation dose could not be defined. Ovarian radiation at an older age confers a greater dose-related risk, with increased risk resulting from a smaller preexistent primordial follicle pool [26,31]. Mathematic modelling based on data on the rate of oocyte decline suggests that the sterilizing dose is 20.3 Gy in infants, 18.4 Gy at age 10 years, and 16.5 Gy at age 20 years [31]. Moreover, treatment with a combination of ovarian radiation and alkylating agents increases the risk of POI compared to either treatment in same dose alone [8,27].

While some studies have reported no influence of age at treatment [8,33,34], others have found an increased risk of POI in individuals treated at a younger age [28,32]. As described above, the increased risk seems to result from a smaller primordial pool at older age. As a result of the inconsistency of the literature, no recommendations could be made regarding surveillance intensity by age at exposure. Evidence suggests that survivors treated with radiation to fields that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary-axis, the risk hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism is increased [35]. Since this guideline focusses on POI surveillance, no recommendations for POI surveillance have been formulated in childhood cancer survivors treated with radiation to fields that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary-axis. In addition, due to lack of data, no recommendations could be made regarding surveillance after treatment with antimetabolites and platinum compounds.

### **Recommendations**

Based upon the evidence and consensus, we recommend awareness of the risk of POI and its complications for future fertility after completion of therapy in female childhood cancer survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation (strong recommendation). Subsequently, we recommend intensive surveillance for females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation (strong recommendation).

### What surveillance modality should be used?

### Evidence in childhood cancer survivors

There is agreement across the existing guidelines on the use of detailed history and physical examination with specific attention for failure to initiate or progress through puberty and POI symptoms. Importantly, since POI presents with amenorrhoea, standard laboratory evaluation is not recommended as the primary surveillance in female survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation. FSH and oestradiol are important for diagnosing POI since these markers are part of the definition itself [21,36]. Antral follicle count (AFC) by transvaginal ultrasound is the most established method for assessing ovarian reserve in adult women, but is not part of the current clinical criteria of POI [37,38]. In survivors of childhood cancer, the additive value of AFC on the current clinical criteria of POI has not been studied. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) correlates well with AFC [39-41], and would be more convenient for young survivors and easier to use in daily practice. Unlike FSH and oestradiol, AMH remains relatively constant during

and between menstrual cycles [36,42]. In addition, from the age of 25 years onwards, AMH correlates inversely with age, implying that AMH is applicable as marker of ovarian reserve in women of this age and older [43,44]. There is a wide range of AMH levels in healthy young adult women, but very low AMH levels are indicative of ovarian failure. In survivors of childhood cancer, AMH has been frequently used as marker of ovarian reserve [33,38,45], but, to our knowledge, no studies have been performed in which AMH has been used as marker of POI. Still, AMH might be useful to distinguish women with POI who have little to no follicles left from those who are at risk for POI, but still have a reasonably sized follicle pool (AFC  $\geq$ 3) [36]. Furthermore, AMH could be useful to assess the follicle pool at baseline prior to ovarian senescence [36]. AMH may be of additive value for childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents and/or ovarian radiation.

### **Recommendations**

Detailed history and physical examination with specific attention for POI symptoms, e.g. amenorrhoea, but also irregular cycles (as first sign of the development of POI) and failure to initiate or progress through puberty, is recommended during routine follow-up in all childhood cancer survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation (strong recommendation). If abnormalities are found during physical examination, laboratory examination is recommended in pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty, as part of the current clinical criteria of POI (strong recommendation). In post-pubertal females who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting POI (irregular menstrual cycles), or who desire assessment about potential future fertility, laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol is recommended (strong recommendation). Based on expert opinion, this assessment should be performed after discontinuing hormone replacement or oral contraceptive therapy (preferably after four months without hormone substitution) when applicable. Based on the evidence in the general population, laboratory evaluation with AMH may be reasonable for female survivors 25 years or older who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting POI (moderate recommendation).

# At what frequency and for how long should surveillance be performed? *Evidence in childhood cancer survivors*

In the general population, in girls puberty starts between the age of 8 to 10 years on average [46,47]. The variability is importantly influenced by ethnic differences [46]. By the age of 11 years, most girls have a Tanner stage 2 breast development. To date, there is no evidence describing the frequency of surveillance in female childhood cancer survivors. As data to support changes in POI risk during the fertile life span is lacking, no recommendations could be made for how long surveillance should be performed. However, POI surveillance can obviously be discontinued when the average menopausal age of 50–51 years has been reached [48,49].

### **Recommendations**

Due to lack of data in childhood cancer survivor cohorts, recommendations regarding initiation and frequency of surveillance are largely based on consensus. In order to identify girls with delayed or arrested puberty because of gonadal failure, monitoring of pubertal development is recommended

for pre-pubertal females treated with alkylating agents and/ or ovarian radiation (**strong recommendation**). Based on expert opinion, this surveillance should be performed at least annually, with increasing frequency as clinically indicated based on growth and pubertal progress. Moreover, for girls of 11 years of age and older, laboratory evaluation is recommended in pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty.

## What should be done when abnormalities are identified? *Evidence in childhood cancer survivors*

Because of the health problems induced by oestrogen deprivation in patients with POI, sex steroid replacement therapy is used to replace oestrogens and establishes normal long-term bone health and cardiovascular health [50,51]. Progesterone therapy is also needed to avoid an unopposed oestrogen effect and maintain endometrial health in women with a uterus.

Sex steroid replacement therapy differs for survivors who are pre-pubertal and those who experience gonadal failure after menarche. Timing and tempo of oestrogen substitution in the pre-pubertal patient is crucial to ensure normal pubertal development and an acceptable final height, which should be managed by a provider with expertise in paediatric endocrine development.

Sex steroid replacement therapy can be provided as oral as well as transdermal preparation [52]. Oral sex steroid replacement therapy is the standard method used to normalize oestrogen levels, in order to attain normal long-term bone- and cardiovascular health. Childhood cancer survivor studies comparing sex steroid replacement therapy methods are lacking. Transdermal sex steroid replacement therapy in Turner patients seems to have a comparable or even an increased positive impact on final height, bone and cardiovascular health compared with oral sex steroid replacement therapy [53-56]. However, it is not possible to extent these data to childhood cancer survivors as Turner syndrome patients have often been treated with growth hormone during childhood, in contrast to childhood cancer survivors, which may also affect bone mineral density and body composition [57]. Moreover, it is impossible to generalize treatment benefits for all patients with POI disregarding aetiology and timing.

### **Recommendations**

The recommendations outlined in the current paper are for primary surveillance and do not address all the investigative steps necessary for the diagnosis of POI. As such, paediatric endocrinology/ gynaecology consultation is recommended for pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty (**strong recommendation**). In addition, gynaecology/endocrinology/reproductive endocrinology consultation is recommended for post-pubertal females who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggesting POI (**strong recommendation**).

Evidence is insufficient to recommend the optimal sex steroid replacement therapy method for childhood cancer survivors with POI. Nevertheless, treatment with sex hormone replacement therapy (either oral or transdermal oestrogens plus progestin) is recommended in pre-pubertal and post-pubertal females diagnosed with POI (strong recommendation).

# What should be done when potential for future fertility is questioned? *Evidence in childhood cancer survivors*

Direct measurement of the ovarian reserve is not possible, since markers of oocyte quality and methods to directly determine the number of primordial follicles have yet to be identified [36]. However, the size of the primordial follicle pool is reflected by the number of early, growing follicles. AMH is a product of these growing ovarian follicles, and can be used as an indirect marker of the ovarian reserve in women aged 25 years onwards [43,44]. Subsequently, there is evidence for reduced AMH levels in childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents, procarbazine and/ or ovarian radiation [38,58-61].

### **Recommendations**

Although POI presents with amenorrhoea, survivors with regular menstrual cycles treated with gonadotoxic therapy are still at risk of a decreased ovarian reserve, and may therefore be at risk of reduced fertility. Referral to gynaecology/endocrinology/reproductive endocrinology including laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol is recommended for post-pubertal females treated with alkylating agents, procarbazine and/or ovarian radiation without signs and symptoms of POI who desire assessment about potential for future fertility (strong recommendation). Based on the evidence in the general population, laboratory evaluation with AMH may be reasonable for female survivors 25 years and older as a measure of ovarian reserve (moderate recommendation).

### Discussion

Because of the rapid growing childhood cancer survivor population, chronic health sequelae that can significantly impact the overall quality and quantity of survival have become apparent, and thus require our attention [4,62]. In female childhood cancer survivors, gonadal dysfunction is one of the major long-term side effects of treatment. Damage to the ovaries causes follicle loss and may therefore result in POI, which results in infertility, but is also associated with osteoporosis and cardiovascular disorders [9]. We present the international harmonized primary ovarian insufficiency surveillance recommendations for childhood cancer survivors treated up to age 18 years. The subsequent recommendations are derived from knowledge gained from extensive scientific review of the available literature, strict standards used to grade the supporting evidence and additional expert consensus. These recommendations are intended to positively influence health outcomes, and to facilitate consistent international follow-up care for female childhood cancer survivors.

It is obviously clear that female childhood cancer survivors treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation are at increased risk of POI. Although there is evidence that a higher treatment dose is associated with an increased risk of POI, there is virtually no information on a safe cut-off dose. This might at least partly be explained by the complexity of factors influencing ovarian reserve. A maximum of 6–7 million oogonia is attained by 16–20 weeks gestation, and decreases from that stage onwards [63-67]. During the reproductive lifespan, only 400 to 500 will be selected to ovulate, and the primary follicles will eventually be depleted to a point at menopause when only

a few hundred remain [68]. Because of this primordial pool depletion during life, age at treatment and its corresponding primordial pool size seem important for the determination of a safe treatment dose. Important limitations of previous studies on the impact of alkylating agents- and ovarian radiation dosages were the use of different scoring models, resulting in the impossibility to compare study results. Therefore, we recommend in future studies to use generally approved methods to comprehensively calculate treatment modalities and doses.

Elaborating on the latter, some survivors may develop POI at relatively low gonadotoxic treatment doses while others do not appear to be effected, suggesting that other factors, such as age at treatment, individual susceptibility, dose, combination, but also genetic variation may play a role in the determination of the pre-treatment primordial follicle pool. In both the general female population [69-72] as well as in female childhood cancer survivors [45], polymorphisms have been identified that were associated with (post-treatment) ovarian reserve, even independently from administrated gonadotoxic cancer treatment. Genetic variation, when further established, could advance our understanding of the pathogenesis of treatment-related POI, and may improve patient-tailored counselling and surveillance throughout life. In general, it is of importance to realize that every chemotherapeutic agent is potentially gonadotoxic, depending on age at treatment, individual susceptibility and genetic background, dose and combination.

There is agreement across the COG, DCOG, SIGN, and UKCCLG guidelines that POI surveillance at late effects clinics should include weight, height, Tanner stage, and, if applicable, menstrual history. In case of clinical symptoms of POI, such as irregular menstrual cycles, we recommend laboratory evaluation with FSH and oestradiol. It is noteworthy that these markers should be measured during the early follicular phase in survivors who are still having a menstrual cycle and do not use oral contraceptive pills (OCP), since these markers vary throughout the cycle and during OCP use. Less is known about the diagnostic and predictive value of antral follicle count and AMH levels for diagnosing POI in childhood cancer survivors. Both are not yet included in the definition of POI, but seem of importance in predicting the decrease of ovarian reserve and finally POI over time, since FSH is a relatively late marker of gonadal dysfunction since it starts to increase above normal ranges after severe loss of ovarian reserve [73].

There is agreement across the COG, DCOG, SIGN, and UKCCLG guidelines that, if abnormalities are found during POI surveillance, one should be referred to a specialist; if the survivor is prepubertal to a paediatric endocrinologist/gynaecologist, and in case of a post-pubertal survivor to a gynaecologist/(reproductive) endocrinologist. When POI has been diagnosed, sex steroid replacement therapy is recommended. Less evidence is available on the effect of various sex steroid replacement therapy methods on bone and cardiovascular health in childhood cancer survivors. In Turner patients, oral sex steroid replacement therapy seems to have a larger effect on bone- and cardiovascular health compared to oral sex steroid replacement therapy, but studies in childhood cancer survivors are needed to study this phenomenon since Turner patients used growth hormone influencing bone health. In addition, although it is known that POI reduces the risk of radiation-associated breast cancer [74,75], the effect of sex steroid replacement therapy on breast cancer risk in childhood cancer survivors is unknown. We recommend counselling childhood cancer survivors who received gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation regarding their risk of infertility by medical specialists experienced in fertility after childhood cancer. Although AFC by transvaginal ultrasound is the most established method for assessing ovarian reserve in adult women [37,38], AMH correlates well with it and is superior to basal FSH, oestradiol, and inhibin B in healthy women [76,77]. AMH can be easily determined in postpubertal women, since its serum levels are stable during and between menstrual cycles, in contrast to the cyclic fluctuations observed in serum FSH levels [78]. In children, AMH levels rise during infancy, whereas AMH levels show only minor fluctuations during childhood and adolescence, finally decreasing from the age of 25 years onwards. In addition, the negative AMH-FSH correlation in pre-pubertal girls supports the notion that AMH is a quantitative marker of ovarian follicles even in young girls [79]. Moreover, AMH has been found to be highly predictive for the onset of menopause [73,80,81]. Recent studies have shown that several years prior to menopausal transition, serum AMH levels were low or undetectable and were more predictive of the onset of menopause compared to estimates based on chronological age (82-84). Nomograms predicting the age at menopause have been successfully reported [82,83]. AMH levels have been reported to be decreased in both adult and paediatric survivors of childhood cancer after gonadotoxic therapy [38,58-61], but also in adult and paediatric patients with newly diagnosed cancer before therapy has started [84,85]. AMH shows promise to be useful as a predictor of ovarian reserve and timing of onset of menopause in adult patients with cancer, but studies in childhood cancer survivors are needed to identify the predictive value of AMH on POI and fertility by correlation with AFC, pregnancy outcome and time to pregnancy. Therefore, AMH may be reasonable in women of 25 years and older as measure of ovarian reserve.

An important result of the harmonization process is the demonstration of a research agenda, based upon the identification of key gaps in knowledge (Table IV). The agenda may serve as the impetus for collaborative research aimed at improving POI surveillance and care of at risk childhood cancer survivors. According to our findings, future studies should focus on the identification of threshold doses of both alkylating agents and ovarian radiation, and the influence of other determinants such as genetic variation, which should finally be integrated in a risk model. Furthermore, the prognostic and predictive value of AFC and AMH on POI after childhood cancer is unclear. Longitudinally studies are recommended to identify the effect of both markers.

The POI surveillance harmonization endeavour was strengthened by our evidence-based approach, reliance on standardized definitions for outcomes of interest, transparent presentation of the quality of the available evidence and the strength of recommendations, and the multidisciplinary approach necessary to derive a consensus for screening. Importantly, we have identified key gaps in knowledge regarding safe alkylating agent and ovarian radiation dose and surveillance frequency and modalities. These gaps should be approached in a systematic comprehensive manner preferably by international guided efforts and collaborative opportunities under the umbrella of international groups such as SIOP and Pancare [86,87]. The implementation of the harmonized recommendations will be an ongoing process. Countries, with or without existing long-term follow-up guidelines for childhood cancer survivors, can use the current harmonized guidelines as basis for an evidence-based national policy. The current international harmonization effort was developed to increase collaborative research to ultimately optimize the quality of care for, and minimize the burden of disease of childhood cancer survivors.

Table IV | Gaps in knowledge and future directions for research.

- Safe alkylating agents dose with regards to the risk of POI in childhood cancer survivors.
- Safe ovarian radiation dose with regards to the risk of POI in childhood cancer survivors.
- Diagnostic value of AMH to detect POI in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Value of AMH to predict POI in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Diagnostic value of AFC to detect POI in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Value of AFC to predict POI in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Value of FSH and oestradiol to predict POI in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Lifetime risk of POI in childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents and/or ovarian radiation.
- Potential recovery of ovarian dysfunction in female childhood cancer survivors treated with alkylating agents and/or ovarian radiation.
- Utility of FSH, oestradiol, AFC and AMH on predicting fertility in female childhood cancer survivors.
- Efficacy of oral versus transdermal oestrogen replacement for puberty induction on final height and sexual development in female childhood cancer survivors with gonadal failure.
- Efficacy of oral versus transdermal hormone replacement therapy on bone health, cardiovascular health, and mental health in female childhood cancer survivors with POI.
- Risk of secondary malignancies in female childhood cancer survivors treated with HRT.
- Risk of secondary malignancies in female childhood cancer survivors using HRT and treated with breast irrradiaton.
- Examination of the role of genetic susceptibility on subsequent POI risk in survivors treated with alkylating
  agents or ovarian radiation.

POI=primary ovarian insufficiency; AMH=anti-Müllerian hormone; AFC=antral follicle count; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; HRT=hormone replacement therapy.

### References

- 1. Curry HL, Parkes SE, Powell JE, Mann JR. Caring for survivors of childhood cancers: the size of the problem. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006; 42:501-8.
- 2. Gatta G, Zigon G, Capocaccia R, Coebergh JW, Desandes E, Kaatsch P, Pastore G, Peris-Bonet R, Stiller CA, et al. Survival of European children and young adults with cancer diagnosed 1995-2002. *Eur J Cancer*. 2009; 45:992-1005.
- 3. Howlader N NA, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2008. . Bethesda, MD, National Cancer Institute, 2011.
- Diller L, Chow EJ, Gurney JG, Hudson MM, Kadin-Lottick NS, Kawashima TI, Leisenring WM, Meacham LR, Mertens AC, et al. Chronic disease in the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort: a review of published findings. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:2339-55.
- 5. Geenen MM, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Kremer LC, van den Bos C, van der Pal HJ, Heinen RC, Jaspers MW, Koning CC, Oldenburger F, et al. Medical assessment of adverse health outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:2705-15.
- 6. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- Barton SE, Najita JS, Ginsburg ES, Leisenring WM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Sklar CA, Robison LL, Diller L. Infertility, infertility treatment, and achievement of pregnancy in female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013; 14:873-81.
- 8. Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Kasper C, Mulder J, Green D, Nicholson HS, et al. Premature menopause in survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2006; 98:890-6.
- 9. De Vos M, Devroey P, Fauser BC. Primary ovarian insufficiency. Lancet. 2010; 376:911-21.
- 10. Bero LA, Grilli R, Grimshaw JM, Harvey E, Oxman AD, Thomson MA. Closing the gap between research and practice: an overview of systematic reviews of interventions to promote the implementation of research findings. The Cochrane Effective Practice and Organization of Care Review Group. *BMJ*. 1998; 317:465-8.
- 11. Grimshaw JM, Russell IT. Effect of clinical guidelines on medical practice: a systematic review of rigorous evaluations. *Lancet*. 1993; 342:1317-22.
- 12. Woolf SH, Grol R, Hutchinson A, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Clinical guidelines: potential benefits, limitations, and harms of clinical guidelines. *BMJ*. 1999; 318:527-30.
- 13. Institute of Medicine. Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2011.
- 14. Children's Oncology Group: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood a, and young adult cancers. Version 3.0, 2008. Available online: http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org/.
- 15. Dutch Childhood Oncology Group: Richtlijn follow-up na kinderkanker meer dan 5 jaar na diagnose. SKION DHA, the Netherlands, 2010. Available online: http://www.skion.nl/.
- 16. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network: Long term follow up of survivors of childhood cancer. A national clinical guideline Aohwsau. Available online: http://www.sign.ac.uk/guidelines/fulltext/132/index.html.
- 17. United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group Late Effects Group: Therapy based long term follow up practice statement Aohwcou. Available online: http://www.cclg.org.uk/treatment-research/guidelines.
- Landier W, Bhatia S, Eshelman DA, Forte KJ, Sweeney T, Hester AL, Darling J, Armstrong FD, Blatt J, et al. Development of risk-based guidelines for pediatric cancer survivors: the Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines from the Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Committee and Nursing Discipline. J Clin Oncol. 2004; 22:4979-90.
- Kremer LC, Mulder RL, Oeffinger KC, Bhatia S, Landier W, Levitt G, Constine LS, Wallace WH, Caron HN, et al. A worldwide collaboration to harmonize guidelines for the long-term follow-up of childhood and young adult cancer survivors: a report from the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013; 60:543-9.
- 20. Conway GS. Premature ovarian failure. Br Med Bull. 2000; 56:643-9.

- 21. Nelson LM. Clinical practice. Primary ovarian insufficiency. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360:606-14.
- 22. Munster K, Schmidt L, Helm P. Length and variation in the menstrual cycle--a cross-sectional study from a Danish county. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 1992; 99:422-9.
- 23. Gibbons RJ, Smith S, Antman E, American College of C, American Heart A. American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association clinical practice guidelines: Part I: where do they come from? *Circulation*. 2003; 107:2979-86.
- 24. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, Guyatt GH, Harbour RT, Haugh MC, et al. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ*. 2004; 328:1490.
- 25. van der Weijden T, Boivin A, Burgers J, Schunemann HJ, Elwyn G. Clinical practice guidelines and patient decision aids. An inevitable relationship. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2012; 65:584-9.
- 26. Chemaitilly W, Mertens AC, Mitby P, Whitton J, Stovall M, Yasui Y, Robison LL, Sklar CA. Acute ovarian failure in the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2006; 91:1723-8.
- 27. Chiarelli AM, Marrett LD, Darlington G. Early menopause and infertility in females after treatment for childhood cancer diagnosed in 1964-1988 in Ontario, Canada. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1999; 150:245-54.
- 28. Byrne J, Fears TR, Gail MH, Pee D, Connelly RR, Austin DF, Holmes GF, Holmes FF, Latourette HB, et al. Early menopause in long-term survivors of cancer during adolescence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1992; 166:788-93.
- 29. Gracia CR, Sammel MD, Freeman E, Prewitt M, Carlson C, Ray A, Vance A, Ginsberg JP. Impact of cancer therapies on ovarian reserve. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 97:134-40 e1.
- 30. Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Kelsey TW. The radiosensitivity of the human oocyte. Hum Reprod. 2003; 18:117-21.
- 31. Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Saran F, Kelsey TW. Predicting age of ovarian failure after radiation to a field that includes the ovaries. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005; 62:738-44.
- Jadoul P, Anckaert E, Dewandeleer A, Steffens M, Dolmans MM, Vermylen C, Smitz J, Donnez J, Maiter D. Clinical and biologic evaluation of ovarian function in women treated by bone marrow transplantation for various indications during childhood or adolescence. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 96:126-33 e3.
- 33. Rendtorff R, Hohmann C, Reinmuth S, Muller A, Dittrich R, Beyer M, Wickmann L, Keil T, Henze G, et al. Hormone and Sperm Analyses after Chemo- and Radiotherapy in Childhood and Adolescence. *Klin Padiatr*. 2010; 222:145-9.
- 34. Stillman RJ, Schinfeld JS, Schiff I, Gelber RD, Greenberger J, Larson M, Jaffe N, Li FP. Ovarian failure in long-term survivors of childhood malignancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1981; 139:62-6.
- 35. Martin I VC, Pavia C, Callejo J, Estella J, Cruz O. Gonadal function and puberty assessment in a cohort of Spanish pediatric survivors of childhood cancer. *Endocrinologist*. 2009; 19:133-43.
- Visser JA, Schipper I, Laven JS, Themmen AP. Anti-Mullerian hormone: an ovarian reserve marker in primary ovarian insufficiency. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2012; 8:331-41.
- 37. Broekmans FJ, Soules MR, Fauser BC. Ovarian aging: mechanisms and clinical consequences. *Endocr Rev*. 2009; 30:465-93.
- Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- Nelson SM, Messow MC, McConnachie A, Wallace H, Kelsey T, Fleming R, Anderson RA, Leader B. External validation of nomogram for the decline in serum anti-Mullerian hormone in women: a population study of 15,834 infertility patients. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011; 23:204-6.
- 40. van Rooij IA, Broekmans FJ, te Velde ER, Fauser BC, Bancsi LF, de Jong FH, Themmen AP. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels: a novel measure of ovarian reserve. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:3065-71.
- 41. Hansen KR, Hodnett GM, Knowlton N, Craig LB. Correlation of ovarian reserve tests with histologically determined primordial follicle number. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95:170-5.
- 42. Sowers M, McConnell D, Gast K, Zheng H, Nan B, McCarthy JD, Randolph JF. Anti-Mullerian hormone and inhibin B variability during normal menstrual cycles. *Fertil Steril.* 2010; 94:1482-6.
- 43. Kelsey TW, Wright P, Nelson SM, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. A validated model of serum anti-mullerian hormone from conception to menopause. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e22024.

- 44. Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, de Rijke YB, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Roes EM, Peters WH, Hokken-Koelega AC, et al. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels in healthy females: a nomogram ranging from infancy to adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:4650-5.
- 45. Van Dorp W, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Stolk L, Pieters R, Uitterlinden AG, Visser JA, Laven JS. Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; 28:1069-76.
- 46. Herman-Giddens ME, Slora EJ, Wasserman RC, Bourdony CJ, Bhapkar MV, Koch GG, Hasemeier CM. Secondary sexual characteristics and menses in young girls seen in office practice: a study from the Pediatric Research in Office Settings network. *Pediatrics*. 1997; 99:505-12.
- 47. Herman-Giddens ME, Kaplowitz PB, Wasserman R. Navigating the recent articles on girls' puberty in Pediatrics: what do we know and where do we go from here? *Pediatrics*. 2004; 113:911-7.
- 48. te Velde ER, Pearson PL. The variability of female reproductive ageing. Hum Reprod Update. 2002; 8:141-54.
- 49. Murabito JM, Yang Q, Fox C, Wilson PW, Cupples LA. Heritability of age at natural menopause in the Framingham Heart Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005; 90:3427-30.
- 50. Rees MC. HRT in premature menopause. BMJ. 2008; 337:a1668.
- 51. Rees MC. Premature menopause: Hormone replacement therapy is indeed indicated. BMJ. 2008; 336:1148.
- 52. Davenport ML. Moving toward an understanding of hormone replacement therapy in adolescent girls: looking through the lens of Turner syndrome. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2008; 1135:126-37.
- Crofton PM, Evans N, Bath LE, Warner P, Whitehead TJ, Critchley HO, Kelnar CJ, Wallace WH. Physiological versus standard sex steroid replacement in young women with premature ovarian failure: effects on bone mass acquisition and turnover. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2010; 73:707-14.
- 54. Langrish JP, Mills NL, Bath LE, Warner P, Webb DJ, Kelnar CJ, Critchley HO, Newby DE, Wallace WH. Cardiovascular effects of physiological and standard sex steroid replacement regimens in premature ovarian failure. *Hypertension*. 2009; 53:805-11.
- 55. Nabhan ZM, Dimeglio LA, Qi R, Perkins SM, Eugster EA. Conjugated oral versus transdermal estrogen replacement in girls with Turner syndrome: a pilot comparative study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2009; 94:2009-14.
- 56. Torres-Santiago L, Mericq V, Taboada M, Unanue N, Klein KO, Singh R, Hossain J, Santen RJ, Ross JL, et al. Metabolic effects of oral versus transdermal 17beta-estradiol (E(2)): a randomized clinical trial in girls with Turner syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2013; 98:2716-24.
- 57. Gravholt CH, Hjerrild BE, Naeraa RW, Engbaek F, Mosekilde L, Christiansen JS. Effect of growth hormone and 17beta-oestradiol treatment on metabolism and body composition in girls with Turner syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2005; 62:616-22.
- 58. Lie Fong S, Lugtenburg PJ, Schipper I, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Sonneveld P, Laven JS. Anti-mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian function in women after chemotherapy and radiotherapy for haematological malignancies. *Hum Reprod*. 2008; 23:674-8.
- 59. van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2007; 92:3869-74.
- 60. Bath LE, Wallace WH, Shaw MP, Fitzpatrick C, Anderson RA. Depletion of ovarian reserve in young women after treatment for cancer in childhood: detection by anti-Mullerian hormone, inhibin B and ovarian ultrasound. *Hum Reprod.* 2003; 18:2368-74.
- 61. Hamre H, Kiserud CE, Ruud E, Thorsby PM, Fossa SD. Gonadal function and parenthood 20 years after treatment for childhood lymphoma: a cross-sectional study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2012; 59:271-7.
- 62. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- 63. Vaskivuo TE, Tapanainen JS. Apoptosis in the human ovary. Reprod Biomed Online. 2003; 6:24-35.
- 64. Baker TG. A Quantitative and Cytological Study of Germ Cells in Human Ovaries. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 1963; 158:417-33.
- 65. Forabosco A, Sforza C. Establishment of ovarian reserve: a quantitative morphometric study of the developing human ovary. *Fertil Steril.* 2007; 88:675-83.
- 66. McGee EA, Hsueh AJ. Initial and cyclic recruitment of ovarian follicles. Endocr Rev. 2000; 21:200-14.

- 67. Speroff L FM. Clinical Gynecologic Endocrinology And Infertility. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
- 68. Gougeon A. The biological aspects of risks of infertility due to age: the female side. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique*. 2005; 53 Spec No 2:2S37-45.
- 69. He C, Kraft P, Chasman DI, Buring JE, Chen C, Hankinson SE, Pare G, Chanock S, Ridker PM, et al. A largescale candidate gene association study of age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Hum Genet*. 2010; 128:515-27.
- 70. He C, Kraft P, Chen C, Buring JE, Pare G, Hankinson SE, Chanock SJ, Ridker PM, Hunter DJ, et al. Genome-wide association studies identify loci associated with age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:724-8.
- 71. Stolk L, Perry JR, Chasman DI, He C, Mangino M, Sulem P, Barbalic M, Broer L, Byrne EM, et al. Meta-analyses identify 13 loci associated with age at menopause and highlight DNA repair and immune pathways. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:260-8.
- 72. Stolk L, Zhai G, van Meurs JB, Verbiest MM, Visser JA, Estrada K, Rivadeneira F, Williams FM, Cherkas L, et al. Loci at chromosomes 13, 19 and 20 influence age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:645-7.
- 73. van Disseldorp J, Faddy MJ, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Peeters PH, van der Schouw YT, Broekmans FJ. Relationship of serum antimullerian hormone concentration to age at menopause. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 93:2129-34.
- 74. Kenney LB, Yasui Y, Inskip PD, Hammond S, Neglia JP, Mertens AC, Meadows AT, Friedman D, Robison LL, et al. Breast cancer after childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004; 141:590-7.
- 75. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, Dahler EC, van't Veer MB, Noordijk EM, Crommelin MA, Aleman BM, Broeks A, et al. Roles of radiation dose, chemotherapy, and hormonal factors in breast cancer following Hodgkin's disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2003; 95:971-80.
- 76. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 77. Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- Hehenkamp WJ, Looman CW, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, Broekmans FJ. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels in the spontaneous menstrual cycle do not show substantial fluctuation. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006; 91:4057-63.
- 79. Hagen CP, Aksglaede L, Sorensen K, Mouritsen A, Andersson AM, Petersen JH, Main KM, Juul A. Individual serum levels of anti-Mullerian hormone in healthy girls persist through childhood and adolescence: a longitudinal cohort study. *Hum Reprod.* 2012; 27:861-6.
- 80. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Gracia CR. Anti-mullerian hormone as a predictor of time to menopause in late reproductive age women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:1673-80.
- 81. Freeman EW, Sammel MD, Lin H, Boorman DW, Gracia CR. Contribution of the rate of change of antimullerian hormone in estimating time to menopause for late reproductive-age women. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 98:1254-9 e2.
- 82. Broer SL, Eijkemans MJ, Scheffer GJ, van Rooij IA, de Vet A, Themmen AP, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Te Velde ER, et al. Anti-mullerian hormone predicts menopause: a long-term follow-up study in normoovulatory women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; 96:2532-9.
- 83. Tehrani FR, Shakeri N, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Azizi F. Predicting age at menopause from serum antimullerian hormone concentration. *Menopause*. 2011; 18:766-70.
- 84. Brougham MF, Crofton PM, Johnson EJ, Evans N, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a marker of gonadotoxicity in pre- and postpubertal girls treated for cancer: a prospective study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; 97:2059-67.
- 85. Lawrenz B, Fehm T, von Wolff M, Soekler M, Huebner S, Henes J, Henes M. Reduced pretreatment ovarian reserve in premenopausal female patients with Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin-lymphoma-evaluation by using antimullerian hormone and retrieved oocytes. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 98:141-4.
- 86. http://www.pancare.eu.
- 87. http://www.siop-online.org/.

### Appendix I | Search strategies

### POI risk after treatment with alkylating agents, antimetabolites, platinum compounds (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- 1. (leukemia OR leukemi\* OR leukaemi\* OR (childhood ALL) OR AML OR lymphoma OR lymphom\* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin\* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcoma\* OR sarcoma, Ewing's OR Ewing\* OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom\* OR wilms\* tumor OR wilms\* OR nephroblastom\* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblastom\* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom\* OR teratoma OR teratom\* OR hepatoma\* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastom\* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom\* OR PNET\* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom\* OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor\* OR brain tumour\* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor\* OR brain cancer\* OR brain neoplasm\* OR intracranial neoplasm\*) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute\*)
- 2. infant OR infan\* OR newborn OR newborn\* OR new-born\* OR baby OR baby\* OR babies OR neonat\* OR perinat\* OR postnat\* OR child OR child\* OR schoolchild OR school child OR school child OR school child OR kid OR kid OR kids OR toddler\* OR adolescent OR adoles\* OR teen\* OR boy\* OR girl\* OR minors OR minors\* OR underag\* OR under ag\* OR juvenil\* OR youth\* OR kindergar\* OR puberty OR puber\* OR pubescen\* OR prepubescen\* OR prepubescen\* OR pediatrics OR pediatric\* OR paediatric\* OR peadiatric\* OR schools OR nursery school\* OR preschool\* OR preschool\* OR reschool\* OR reschool\* OR schoolage OR schoolage\* OR schoolage\* OR infancy OR schools, nursery OR infant, newborn OR young adult[mh] OR adult[mh] OR young adult OR young woman OR young women OR female
- 3. female[tiab] OR females OR girl OR girls OR girlfriend OR girlhood
- 4. Survivor OR survivors OR Long-Term Survivors OR Long Term Survivors OR Long-Term Survivor OR Survivor, Long-Term OR Survivors, Long-Term OR survivor\* OR surviving
- 5. Antineoplastic agents, alkylating\* OR antineoplastic alkylating agents OR alkylating agents, antineoplastic OR antineoplastic drugs, alkylating OR antineoplastic or alkylating OR
- 6. premature menopause\* OR early menopause\* OR menopausal status\* OR ovarian failure\* OR premature ovarian failure\* OR acute ovarian failure\* OR imminent ovarian failure\* OR ovarian insufficiency\* OR ovarian function\* OR ovarian damage\* OR Gonadotropin-Resistant Ovary Syndrome\* OR Female Genital Diseases\* OR Female infertility\* OR primary ovarian insufficiency\* OR gonadotoxicity OR gonado toxicity\* OR gonadal damage\* OR hypergonadotropic amenorrhoea\* OR gonad dysfunction\* OR gonadal function\* OR gonadal effects\* OR ovarian reserve\* OR gonadal hormone deficiency\*

### POI risk after treatment with ovarian radiation, and hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism risk after treatment with cranial radiation (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- (leukemia OR leukaemi\* OR leukaemi\* OR (childhood ALL) OR AML OR lymphoma OR lymphom\* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin\* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcom\* OR sarcoma, Ewing's OR Ewing\* OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom\* OR wilms tumor OR wilms\* OR nephroblastom\* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblastom\* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom\* OR teratoma OR teratom\* OR hepatoma OR hepatom\* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastom\* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom\* OR PNET\* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom\* OR meningioma OR meningiom\* OR glioma OR gliom\*) OR (pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology) OR (childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor\* OR brain tumour\* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor\* OR central nervous system tumour\* OR brain cancer\* OR brain neoplasm\* OR intracranial neoplasm\*) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute\*)
- 2. female[tiab] OR females OR girl OR girls OR girlfriend OR girlhood
- 3. (Ovary OR Pelvis OR Lesser Pelvis OR Abdomen OR Spine OR Lumbosacral Region OR Urinary Bladder OR Vagina OR Ilium OR Hypothalamus OR Hypothalamus, Middle OR Hypothalamus, Anterior, OR Hypothalamus Posterior OR Pituitary Gland, Posterior OR Skull OR Orbit OR Orbits OR Eye OR Ear OR Nasopharynx) AND Radiotherapy OR Cranial Irradiation OR Whole-Body Irradiation
- 4. premature menopause\* OR early menopause\* OR menopausal status\* OR ovarian failure\* OR premature ovarian failure\* OR acute ovarian failure\* OR imminent ovarian failure\* OR ovarian insufficiency\* OR ovarian function\* OR ovarian damage\* OR Gonadotropin-Resistant Ovary Syndrome\* OR Female Genital Diseases\* OR Female infertility\* OR primary ovarian insufficiency\* OR gonadotoxicity OR gonado toxicity\* OR gonadal damage\* OR hypergonadotropic amenorrhoea\* OR gonad dysfunction\* OR gonadal function\* OR gonadal effects\* OR ovarian reserve\* OR gonadal hormone deficiency\* OR hypogonadism OR hypogonadotropic hypogonadism\* OR hypogonadism

## Diagnostic value of AFC and AMH, and predictive value of FSH, estradiol, AFC, AMH for POI (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- 1. (leukemia OR leukemi\* OR leukaemi\* OR (childhood ALL) OR AML OR lymphoma OR lymphom\* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin\* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcoma OR sarcoma, Ewing's OR Ewing\* OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom\* OR wilms tumor OR wilms\* OR nephroblastom\* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblastom\* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom\* OR teratoma OR teratom\* OR hepatoma OR hepatom\* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastom\* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom\* OR PNET\* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom\* OR meningioma OR meningiom\* OR glioma OR gliom\*) OR (pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology) OR (childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor\* OR brain tumour\* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor\* OR central nervous system tumour\* OR brain cancer\* OR brain neoplasm\* OR intracranial neoplasm\*) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute\*)
- 2. female[tiab] OR females OR girl OR girls OR girlfriend OR girlhood
- 3. Follicle Stimulating Hormone, Human\* OR Follicle Stimulating Hormone\* OR Gonadotrophs OR Estradiol OR Estrogen OR Anti-Mullerian Hormone\* OR Ovarian Follicle\* OR Antral Follicle Count\* OR AFC
- 4. premature menopause OR early menopause OR menopausal status OR ovarian failure OR premature ovarian failure OR acute ovarian failure OR imminent ovarian failure OR ovarian insufficiency OR ovarian function OR ovarian damage OR Gonadotropin-Resistant Ovary Syndrome OR Female Genital Diseases OR Female infertility OR primary ovarian insufficiency OR gonadotoxicity OR gonado toxicity OR gonadal damage OR hypergonadotropic amenorrhoea OR gonad dysfunction OR gonadal function OR gonadal effects OR ovarian reserve OR gonadal hormone deficiency

### POI treatment before puberty (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- 1. (leukemia OR leukemi\* OR leukaemi\* OR (childhood ALL) OR AML OR lymphoma OR lymphom\* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin\* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcom\* OR sarcoma, Ewing's OR Ewing\* OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom\* OR wilms tumor OR wilms\* OR nephroblastom\* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblastom\* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom\* OR teratoma OR teratom\* OR hepatoma OR hepatom\* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastom\* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom\* OR PNET\* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom\* OR meningioma OR meningiom\* OR glioma OR gliom\*) OR (pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology) OR (childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor\* OR brain tumour\* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor\* OR central nervous system tumour\* OR brain cancer\* OR brain neoplasm\* OR intracranial neoplasm\*) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute\*)
- 2. female[tiab] OR females OR girl OR girls OR girlfriend OR girlhood
- 3. Puberty, delayed\* OR puberty induction\* OR puberty OR estrogen OR estradiol OR Hormone Replacement Therapy\* OR Estrogen Replacement Therapy\*
- 4. Final height\* OR body height\* OR sexual development\* OR disorders of sex development\*

### POI treatment comparison: oral versus transdermal (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- 1. (premature menopause OR early menopause OR menopausal status OR ovarian failure OR premature ovarian failure OR acute ovarian failure OR imminent ovarian failure OR ovarian insufficiency OR ovarian function OR ovarian damage OR Gonadotropin-Resistant Ovary Syndrome OR Female Genital Diseases OR Female infertility OR primary ovarian insufficiency OR gonadotoxicity OR gonadot toxicity OR gonadal damage OR hypergonadotropic amenorrhoea OR gonad dysfunction OR gonadal function OR gonadal effects OR ovarian reserve OR gonadal hormone deficiency)
- 2. estrogen OR estradiol OR Hormone Replacement Therapy\* OR Estrogen Replacement Therapy\*
- 3. Bone density\* OR bone and Bones\* OR blood coagulation\* OR coagulation protein disorders\* OR blood coagulation disorders\* OR thrombosis OR venous thrombosis\* OR cardiovascular diseases\* OR mental health\* OR personal satisfaction\* OR quality of life

### Risk of secondary malignancies after POI treatment (humans, search from March 1993 to March 2013):

- 1. (leukemia OR leukaemi\* OR leukaemi\* OR (childhood ALL) OR AML OR lymphoma OR lymphom\* OR hodgkin OR hodgkin\* OR T-cell OR B-cell OR non-hodgkin OR sarcoma OR sarcom\* OR sarcoma, Ewing's OR Ewing\* OR osteosarcoma OR osteosarcom\* OR wilms tumor OR wilms\* OR nephroblastom\* OR neuroblastoma OR neuroblastom\* OR rhabdomyosarcoma OR rhabdomyosarcom\* OR teratoma OR teratom\* OR hepatoma OR hepatom\* OR hepatoblastoma OR hepatoblastom\* OR PNET OR medulloblastoma OR medulloblastom\* OR PNET\* OR neuroectodermal tumors, primitive OR retinoblastoma OR retinoblastom\* OR meningioma OR meningiom\* OR glioma OR gliom\*) OR (pediatric oncology OR paediatric oncology) OR (childhood cancer OR childhood tumor OR childhood tumors)) OR (brain tumor\* OR brain tumour\* OR brain neoplasms OR central nervous system neoplasm OR central nervous system neoplasms OR central nervous system tumor\* OR central nervous system tumour\* OR brain cancer\* OR brain neoplasm\* OR intracranial neoplasm\*) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute) OR (leukemia, lymphocytic, acute
- 2. female[tiab] OR females OR girl OR girls OR girlfriend OR girlhood OR woman OR women
- 3. estrogen OR estradiol OR Hormone Replacement Therapy\* OR Estrogen Replacement
- 4. Neoplasms, Second Primary\*, Secondary malignancy\* OR secondary tumor\* OR secondary

| Who needs s<br>Overbeeket al. Chem  | surveillance?<br>botheaw-related late adverse effects on ovarian function in fen   | ale survivos of childhood cancer and cancer in the reproductive age (Cochr  | ne review)   |   |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up   | Participants   | Treatment   | Main outcomes  | Ad di tional remarks  |
| Systematic review<br>Diagnosis 1945-2008<br>Follow-up:<br>Range 7–43 years<br>(not stated if<br>this is follow-up | N=24 studies, (6–405 patients per study)<br>8. Childhood cancer survivors.<br>N=9 studies, n=395 participants; median age at diagnosis<br>4.1–12.9 yrs, age at follow-up 12.6–32.3 yrs.<br>Hoddikin lymbhoma:<br>N=101 studies; n=1 301 hodokin lymnhoma particibants: | All patients in 24 included studies exposed to chemotherapy. 13 studies<br>reported on risk factors (using multivariate analyses)<br><b>Alkylating agents:</b><br>In 22/24 studies chemotherapy regimens contained alkylating agents<br>(12 multivariate).<br>Antimetabolites (methotrexate):   | Prevalence of amenorrhea CCS (15 studies):<br>0–19.1%<br>Prevalence of high FSH (12 studies on FSH levels):<br>21.1–74.4%<br>No pooling of data because of unexplained heterogeneity.  | Risk of bias in the included studies:<br>- Selection bias: 66%<br>- Attrition bias: 39%<br>- Detection bias: unclear (no study reported blinding of<br>investigator)<br>- Confounding bias: 42%<br>- Reporting bias: 42%                      |
| from diagnosis or<br>treatment)   | median age at diagnosis 8–37 yrs, median age at follow-up<br>21–44 yrs.<br><b>Acute myeloid leukaemia:</b><br>N=5 studies; n=22 participants; median age at diagnosis:<br>10.3–30.9 yrs, median age at FU 15.4 yrs (other study not<br>reported).                      | In 4/24 studies chemotherapy regimens contained methotrexate (1<br>multivariate).<br><b>Platinum compounds:</b><br>In 0/24 studies chemotherapy regimens contained plathnum compounds.<br><b>Redotherapy:</b><br>In 6 of 24 studies patients were treated with radiation to the field that<br>in 3 of 24 studies patients were treated with radiation to the field that<br>includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis (1 multivariate). | Risk factors for ovarian dysfunction/ premature menopause<br>with multivariate analyses (13 studies): alkylating agents<br>(Busuffanversus no Busuffan: 081 3.7) (dose dependent),<br>older age at diagnosis (no specific age reported), Hodgkiń's<br>olderszen (no effect maszure: reported).<br>In one multivariate study, methotrexate was associated with<br>increased prevalence of iatrogenic ovarian fallure. | <i>Mote:</i> both univariate and multivariable analyses have been<br>performed. We only reported risk factors in multivariate<br>analyses, and only included variables: amenomea, FSH,<br>oestratiol, and only included studies on adult CCS. |
| Conclusions<br>Evidence for clinical c  | question 1 (risk of POI for alkylating agents):  |   |  |   |
| In a systematic revie <sup>-</sup><br>Evidence for clinical c   | ew 2 of 5 studies reporting on risk factors in female CCS, alkyl atin<br>question 2 (risk of POI for <b>antimetabolites</b> ):   | g agents were significantly associated with reduced ovarian function as com   | pared to survivors treated without alkylating agents in multivari  | te analyses. This association was dose-dependent (1/1 study).   |
| In a systematic revie<br>Evidence for clinical of   | ew 1 of the studies (Lantinga et al, 2006) reporting on risk factor<br>mustion 3 (risk of POI for nearing commonde)  | in female survivors of childhood cancer, antimetabolites (MTX) were signifi   | cantly associated with reduced risk of POI as compared to survivo  | s treated without antimetabolites in multivariate analyses.   |
| Effect of platinum co   | ompounds not evaluated in this study.  |   |  |   |
| Evidence for clinical<br>In a systematic reviev<br>reporting on risk fact   | question 4 (influence of age at treatment on risk of POI):<br>w1 of 3 studies reporting on risk factors in female CCS, older ag<br>tors in female CCS, no association between age at diagnosis and   | at diagnosis (no specific age reported) was significantly associated with re<br>reduced ovarian reserve was observed in multivariate analyses.  | duced ovarian function as compared to survivors who were youn  | er at diagnosis in multivariate analyses. In 2 of 3 studies   |
| Evidence for clinical u   | question 5 (risk of POI for radiation to the field that includes t   | ne ovaries):  |  |   |
| In a systematic revie<br>includes the ovaries   | ew, 2 of 2 studies reporting on risk factors in female CCS also trea<br>in multivariate analyses. (0R 19.7 (95% Cl 5.2-72.8), 0R 10.95 (   | ed with chemotherapy, radiation to the field that includes the ovaries was s<br>5% Cl 0.98-212.23, p=0.05, 0R 13.47, Cl 1.987-90.485), other effect measu   | ignificantly associated with reduced ovarian function as comparres not reported).  | d to survivors treated without radiation to the field that  |
| Evidence for clinical<br>In a systematic revievent<br>survivors treated with                                      | question 6 (tisk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism for <b>radiat</b> .<br>2w, 1 of the studies (Martin et al, 2009) reporting on fisk factors ,<br>thout radiation to the subdiaphragmatic region and brain in mul  | on to the field that includes the HP axis):<br>1 female (CS also treated with chemotherapy, radiation to the subdiaphragr<br>ivariate analyses.   | natic region and brain irradiation (as combined factor) were sign  | ficantly associated with ovarian insufficiency as compared to   |

\*List of abbreviations. N=number, yrs=years; GC=childhood cancer survivors; F5H=follicle-stimulating hormone; POI=primary ovarian insufficiency; HP axis=Hypothalamic-pituitary axis.

Appendix II | Evidence summaries for discordant areas among the existing POI surveillance recommendations.

| Chemaitilly et al. Acu   | ute ovarian failure in the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin E  | ndocrinol Metab. 2006;91(5):1723—8.  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants  | Treatment  | Main outcomes  | dditional remarks  |
| Retrospective;<br>multicentre, survey;<br>1970–1986<br>Follow-up: 0–5<br>years after diagnosis<br>(median unknown) | 3.390 CCS with and without AOF <21 yrs at diagnosis<br>Exclusion criteria: cranial radiotherapy >30 Gy, tumour in<br>area of hyporhalamus or pituitary, bilateral oophore ctomy,<br>incomplete radiation records  | Alkylating agents:<br>1,6843, 390 (49.7%)<br>Antimetabolites:<br>Included, but percentage not reported.<br>Platinum compounds:<br>Included, but percentage not reported.<br>Abdominal/pelvic radiotherapy:<br>3323, 390 (11.6%)<br>3333, 390 (11.6%)<br>Cumulative doses of RT to ovaries vere calculated and grouped as follows:<br><100, 100–999, 1000–1999, and 2000.69/+   | Outcome definition:<br>Self-reportet: primary amenorthoea or secondary<br>amenorthoea <5 years after cancer diagnosis.<br>215/ 3.390 (6.3%)<br>Univariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>≥ 12 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>Multivariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>= 215 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>Multivariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>= 12 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>Multivariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>= 12 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>Multivariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>= 12 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>Multivariate analysis age at diagnosis:<br>= 12 yrs: 0R 1.8 (1.4–2.4)<br>= 00 exishin relation (Cg)<br>= 00 exishi ratiation (Cg)<br>= 0000: 0R 920 (1.52.2) = 3043.2)<br>Age at diagnosis 13–20 yr:<br>= procentabraine: 0R 2.0 (1.2–8.3)<br>= 1-99: 0R 1.2 (1.5.4–9.2)<br>= 000-1990: 0R 1.2 (1.5.4–9.5)<br>= 2000: 0R 1.71.2 (5.8.4–9.5) | imitation: A0F defined as no spontaneous menses within<br>y after cancer diagnosis and never spontaneous menses<br>all self-reported).<br>Addian follow-up >2.5 yr (only stated: follow-up 0–5 years)<br>Aedian follow-up >2.5 yr (only stated: follow-up 0–5 years) |
| Conclusions  |   |  |  |  |
| Evidence for clinical c  | question 1 (risk of POI for alkylating agents):   |  |  |  |
| In female CCS, cyclop<br>In female CCS, cyclop<br>In female CCS procart<br>In female CCS procart                   | phosphamide was significantly associated with AOF in children d<br>phosphamide was non-significantly associated with AOF in child<br>bazine was significantly associated with AOF in children diagnos<br>bazine was significantly associated with AOF in children diagnos | agnosed with cancer between 13–20 years of age as compared to survivors to<br>ren diagnosed with cancer between 0–12 years of age as compared to survivors treated we<br>ed with cancer between 0–12 years of age as compared to survivors treated we<br>with cancer between 13–20 years of age as compared to survivors treated<br>the streated age as compared to survivors treated<br>and with cancer between 13–20 years of age as compared to survivors treated<br>age and the streated age as compared to survivors treated<br>age age as compared to survivors treated<br>age age as compared to survivors treated<br>age age age age age age age age age age | cared without cyclophosphamide (OR 4.9 95% (C 2.8 –9.2) in m<br>xs treated without cyclophosphamide (OR 1.2 95% CI 0.7–2.1)<br>without procarbazine (OR 3.2 (1.3–7.3) in multivariate analyses<br>without procarbazine (OR 2.6 ( $1.4-4.7$ ) in multivariate analyse   | tivariate analyses.<br>n multivariate analyses.  |
| Evidence for clinical o  | question 2-3 (risk of POI for antimetabolites or platinum compo-  | :(spun   |  |  |
| Effects not evaluated  | 1 in this study.  |  |  |  |
| Evidence for clinical c  | question 4 (influence of age at treatment on risk of POI):  |  |  |  |
| In female CCS, age at  | t diagnosis between 13–20 yr was significantly associated with $\mu$  | OF as compared to children aged 0–12 at diagnosis (OR 1.8 (1.4–2.4) in univ  | ariate analyses.   |  |
| Evidence for clinical c  | question 5 (risk of POI for radiation to the field that includes the  | ovaries):  |  |  |
| In female CCS, radiat<br>In female CCS, higher<br>100–999: OR 17.2 (6.   | tion to the ovaries (1–2000 Goy) was significantly associated with $r$ does of radiation to the ovaries were significantly associated w is $-49.5$ , $1000-1999$ ; OR $00.9$ (29.1–323.5); $\geq 2000$ ; OR $171.2$ (   | A0F as compared to survivors treated without radiation to the ovaries in mul<br>rith a higher risk of A0F (0–12 yr: 1–99cGy: OR 3.7 (1.6–10.2); 100–999: OR 3<br>55.8–609.8) in multivariate analysis.   | tivariate analyses.<br>\0 (3.4−26.5); 1000−1999: 0R 55.3 (22.3−157.8); ≥2000: 0R 9   | 0.1 (352.9–3043.2); 13–20 yr: 1–99 cGy: 0R 2.9 (1.2–8.3);  |
| Evidence for clinical c  | question 6 (risk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism for radiatic  | on to the field that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis):  |  |  |
| Effect of radiation to   | fields that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis not evaluat  | ed in this study.  |  |  |

7

\* List of abbreviations. Yrs=years; CCS=childhood cancer survivors; AOF=acute ovarian failure; OR=odds ratio.

| Jadoul et al 2011. Cli   | nical and biologic evaluation of ovarian function i   | n women treated by bone marrow transplanta  | stion for various indications during childhood or adolescence. Fertil Steril 2011;96(1):126–133.  |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants  | Treatment   | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Cross-sectional,<br>single-centre study<br>Treatment era<br>not reported<br>Years of FU from BMT | 35 (of 59 eligible) females >16 yrs, who<br>underwent BMT at age, <19 yrs, in complete<br>remission for >3 yrs<br>23 (66%) diagnosed with a malignancy,<br>112 (34%) diagnosed with a benign disease. | Alkylating agents:<br>35 (100%): busulfan +<br>cyclophosphamide, busulfan + melfalan,<br>cyclophosphamide only, melfalan only<br>Antimetabolites:<br>0 (0,0%) | Outcome definition:<br>Absence of pubertal development or progression and secondary amenorhea, confirmed by<br>menopausal FSH levels.<br>Whole Cohort<br>16/35 (45.7%) persistent ovarian function, but 85% low AMH levels (<1.2 ug/L).   | Authors' Conclusion:<br>- After BMT orarian function is impaired in the majority of women<br>even without clinical signs of ovarian failure (as judged by AMH)<br>- This impairment is mainly related to older age at BMT (>10y)<br>and TBI   |
| Mean (range) 15.5y<br>(3.3–33.7)<br>FU from diagnosis no   | 66% pre-menarcheal at BMT<br>Mean age at BMT (range): 9.8 +/-5.2y<br>ft (1.2-19.0)<br>Maan and struckin 3.5 3.4.7.7 bir (14.6.4.6.4)  | Platinum compounds:<br>0 (0.0%)   | Persistent ovarian function:<br>BMT for malignancy 8/23 (35%) v BMT benign disease 8/12 (67%) (p=0.07).<br>After 10y post-BMT 5/21 (24%) v 7/12 respectively, significant (p=0.047).  | Comments<br>— Multivariate analyses: only p-values shown.<br>The citonation fills most stated: Single fraction TBI gen erally has<br>master submosce affect no maritan function.  |
|  | mean ge and study to a new sub row from BME.<br>Mean gears of following from BME.<br>15.5+/-5.5y (3.3-33.7)   | Radiotherapy involving ovaries:<br>18 (51.4%) TBI (4–126y)<br>BMT:<br>19 (54%) allogeneic; 16 (46%) autologous  | Clinically proven ovarian failure and hormone measurement:<br>Prevalence POI post-BMT.<br>21 (60.0%) (immediate 19, subsequently 2)<br>35 (100%) low oestradiol and high FSH<br>35 (100%) low AMH 0.16–1.03 microg/L (median 0.5).  | <ul> <li>Previous care access conversion noncoon.</li> <li>Previous canale or other radiation noncoon.</li> <li>Small numbers but all had hormonal assessment</li> <li>No separate analyses for group with BMT for malignant disease</li> <li>We only reported risk factors in multivariate analyses</li> </ul> |
|  |   | All patients with malignancy had appropriate previous CT for their disease.   | AMH 0.25–2.83 microg/L (median 0.90)<br>No significant difference in AMH levels between patients treated for a malignant disease and those<br>transplanted for a benign pathology.  |   |
|  |   |   | Persistence of ovarian function by treatment (not analysed for malignant disease separately):<br>TBI + alkylating agents:4/18 (22%)<br>Alkylating agents only:<br>12/17(71%) (p<0.005); this remained significant at 10 yrs post-BMT (p=0.01)   |   |
|  |   |   | Multivariate regression analysis: independent negative effect of TBI on ovarian failure ( $p$ =0.014) AMH levels and pregnancy N/S difference (other variables within the model: not reported).   |   |
|  |   |   | Age and menarcheal status:<br>Multivariate regression analysis: independent protective effect of young age at BMT (p=0.004).<br>100% girls > 10y at BMT with TBI had inreversible premature ovarian failure vs. 40% girls <10y at<br>BMT spontaneous puberty (other variables in the model not reported). |   |
|  |   |   | Age at evaluation and time since BMT: Not significant (p-value not reported).   |   |
| Conclusions  |   |   |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q  | question 1 (risk of POI for alkylating agents):   |   |   |   |
| Effect of alkylating ag  | gents not evaluated in multivariate analyses in thi   | is study.   |   |   |

| iim comnolinds).                               | au componing.  |  |
|--|--|--|
| –3 (risk of POI for antimetabolites or platinu | a visual of the second summariant of the second second |  |
| Evidence for clinical duestion 2–              | FILMENTER IN ANTIMAN ANTIMATE                          |  |

Effect of antimetabolites not evaluated in this study.

Evidence for clinical question 4 (influence of age at treatment on risk of POI):

In female CCS treated with a bone marrow transplantation (conditioning: TBI and alkylating agents), older age at transplantation is significantly associated with ovarian failurer. POI in multivariate analysis (p=0.004).

Evidence for clinical question 5 (risk of POI for radiation to the field that includes the ovaries):

In female CCS treated with a bone marrow transplantation (conditioning: TBI and/or alkylating agents). TBI was significantly associated with ovarian failure in multivariate analyses (p=0.014).

Evidence for clinical question 6 (risk of hypogonadotopic-hypogonadism for radiation to the field that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis):

Effect of TBI on hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism not evaluated in this study.

List of abbreviations. Yis=yeas: FU=Gollow up; TB=total body iradiation; BMT=bone marrow tansplantation; FSH=Gollide-stimulating hormone; GT=chemotherapy; v=wersus; POI=primary ovariam insufficiency; MS=not significant.

| Sklar et al 2006. Premi  | iture Menopause in Survivors of Childhood Cancer: A Report fro  | m the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2006;98(13):890  | )–6.  |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants  | Treatment  | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Retrospective,<br>multicentre survey:<br>self-report<br>1970 – 1989<br>Vears of FU:<br>up study: 2000–01<br>→FU from diagnosis.<br>14–30 yrs | 2.819 female (CS from total cohort of 6,079 females alive,<br>> 18y of age at Nov. 2000.<br>Median age at clagnosis 7 yrs (range 0-20 yrs), median age<br>at study. 29 yrs (range 18-50 yrs).<br>Diagnoses N (%):<br>Leukaemia 1,0.25(36); HL 404 (14); tumours of bone 324(11);<br>kidney 297(11); biain 137(5); sarcomas. 271(10); NBI 154(5).<br>Exclusion criteria (n=1,801); diagnosis associated with<br>ovarian dysfunction, primary ame northoea, menses ceased<br><5% from diagnosis, AGF (6%), questionnaire completed by<br>other than participant.<br>N=1,065 siblings<br>Controls:<br>N=1,065 siblings<br>Sibling set of CCS cohort with spontaneous<br>menstuation<br>Median age: not reported. | Surgery only: 287 (10%)<br>CT only: 287 (10%)<br>RT only: 287 (10%)<br>CT strip: 487 (17%)<br>Surgery-HT: 388 (20%)<br>Surgery-HT: 381 (20%)<br>Surge | Outcome definition:<br>Self-reported: if subjects had not experienced a spontaneous<br>menses for >6 months and other causes, e.g. pregnancy, use<br>of agents such as injectable progesterone and GnRH – a have<br>been excluded.<br>Premature menopause (<40yrs):<br>- 15% (RR 1.6, 59% CI: 104–107, p<0.001) compared<br>to shifings.<br>- Surgical PM ns different in CCS and shifings (RR 13.21,<br>95% CI: 326–53.51, p<0.001)<br>Sector 22–123).<br>- Non-surgical PM is multivariate analyses:<br>Attained age RR 1.15, 95% CI: 109–121, p< 0.001<br>Risk-factors non-surgical PM in multivariate analyses:<br>Attained age RR 1.15, 95% CI: 109–1247, p=0.04)<br>- RT 100–995 G6; RR 3, 0, 95% CI: 1.22–28.04)<br>- RT 100–999 G6; RR 1.41, 95% CI: 1.22–28.04)<br>- RT 100–999 G6; RR 1.41, 95% CI: 2.23–12.56, p=0.001<br>- RT 2.000 G6; RR 1.14, 195% CI: 2.23–12.56, p=0.001<br>- HZ = 1000-995 G8; RI 3.41–44.14, p<0.001<br>- HC minimum ovarian RT):<br>- Novarian RT R2, 95% CI: 1.22–52.34, p=0.02<br>- 2.900 G6; RR 1.14, 195% CI: 1.22–52.54, p=0.02<br>- 1–99 C6; RR 1.14, 195% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–99 C6; RR 1.14, 195% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 195% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–52.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 1–90 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 1.22–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 2.23–54, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 2.23–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 2.23, 95% CI: 2.25.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 11, 95% CI: 2.25–55.44, p=0.02<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 114, 114, 115% CI<br>- 2100 C6; RR 1.14, 126% CF<br>- 2100 | Aurthors Conclusion<br>Risk factors for nonurgical PM 8% in CCS compared to 0.3% in<br>siblings.<br>Bisk factors for nonsurgical PM attained age, HL, exposure to<br>increasing dosse of A and/ or RT to ovaries (any doss).<br>The highest risk of nonsurgical PM was associated with<br>treatment including abdominopelvic RT and AA<br>Comments<br>Excellent large study with some limitations:<br>Excellent large study with some limitations:<br>Excellent large study with some limitations:<br>Excellent large study with some limitations:<br>a Self-reported<br>- Among non-mempausal women 20% of survivors and 24%<br>subjects results were almost identical to entire cohort)<br>subjects results were almost identical to entire cohort) |
| Conclusions  |   |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical qu   | estion 1 (risk of POI for alkylating agents):   |  |   |   |

| In female CC, alkylating agents were significantly associated with premature menopause as compared to survivors treated without alkylating agents in multivariate analyses.<br>In female CCS, higher doses of alkylating agents were significantly associated with a higher risk of premature menopause as compared to survivors treated without alkylating agents in multivariate analyses (AA score 1–2: RR 2.30, 95% CI 1.08–4.90; AA score 3: RR 5.78, 95% CI 2.90–11.55).  |
|---|
| Evidence for clinical question 2–3 (risk of POI for antimetabolities or platinum compounds):  |
| Effect of antimetabolities not evaluated in this study.   |
| Evidence for clinical question 4 (imfluence of age at treatment on risk of POI):  |
| In female CCS, age at diagnosis was not associated with premature menopause in multivariate analyses (effect measure not reported).   |
| Evidence for clinical questions f (risk of POI for radiation to the field that includes the ovaries):   |
| In female CCS, radiotherapy to the ovaries was significantly associated with premature menopause compared to survivors treated without radiation to the ovaries in multivariate analysis.<br>In female CCS, higher doses of fadiation to the ovaries were significantly associated with a higher risk of premature menopause (1–99 cGy: RR 4.30, 95% CI 1.20–15.47; 100–999 cGy: RR 5.70, 95% CI 2.8.15–4.26.70).<br>In female survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma, radiotherapy to the ovaries was significantly associated with a higher risk of premature menopause compared to Hodgkin lymphoma survivors treated without radiation to the ovaries in multivariate analysis, and increased with dosage (no ovarian RT but diagnosed with HL compared to other diagnoses: RR 9.18, 95% CI 1.22–25.24; 1–99 cGy: RR 1.44, 16, 75% CI 2.75–47.265 = 1000 cGy: RR 1.02, 95% CI 2.81–26.70). |
| Evidence for clinical question 6 (risk of hypogonadotopic-hypogonadism for radiation to the field that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis):   |
| Effect of radiation to fields that includes the hypothalamic – pituitary axis not evaluated in this study.  |

\* List of abbreviations. FU=Gollow-up; yrs=yeas; CCS=childhood cancer survivors; n=number; HL-hodgkin lymphoma; Nbl=neuroblastoma; ACF=acute ovarian failure; CI=chemotherapy; RT=radiotherapy; SCI=stem cell transplantation; PM=premature menopause; AA=Alkylating agents; GnRH a=gonadorophin-releasing hormone analogue.

| Chiarelli et al. Early r  | menopause and Infertility in Females after Treatment for Childho  | d Cancer diagnosed in 1964–1988 in Ontario, Canada. Am J Epidemiol 199   | 9;150(3):245–54.  |   |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up   | Participants  | Treatment  | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Retrospective cohort<br>study<br>1964–1988<br>Followup > 5 yrs<br>6 followup > 5 yrs<br>- 10/rs: 25 2%<br>- 16–20/rs: 26 3%<br>- 21–30/yrs: 23 6% | t 719 from total cohort of 1, 581 female childhood cancers<br>survivors.<br>Median age: 28 yr (range 18–49).<br>Excluded: sterilising surgery   | Alkylating agents: 150 (21%).<br>Antimetabolites: not reported. Platinum compounds: not reported.<br>Radiotherapy involving ovaries: 134 (21%)<br>Alkylating agents + radiotherapy involving ovaries: 71 (10%).<br>Ri: <20 Gy, 20–35 Gy, abdominal pelvic.<br>G: AA (number of Alkylating<br>agents, number of months).<br>AA<: 1–13 low, 14–21 medium, >22 high risk. | Outcome definition:<br>Menopausal status based on Telephone questionnaire:<br>"Have you ever used hormonal supplement pills?"<br>"Bay ou ever used hormonal supplement pills?"<br>63 women (8.8%) menopausal after treatment (29 (46%)<br>surgical menopause).<br>Risk of menopause in multivariate analyses:<br>Alkyl ating agents and abdominal-pelvic RT vs. non-sterilizing<br>surgery. RB 2.58 (95% G1 1.41–5.80)<br>alkyl ating agents vs. non-sterilizing surgery:<br>RB 0.77 (95% G1 0.34–1.97)<br>Other treatments vs. non-sterilizing surgery:<br>RB 0.77 (95% G1 0.34–1.65)<br>Other treatments vs. non-sterilizing surgery:<br>RB 0.77 (95% G1 0.24–1.65)<br>Abdominal-pelvic RT vs. non-sterilizing surgery:<br>RB 0.77 (95% G1 0.29–3.59)<br>- 2000 GGy: RR 1.02 (95% G1 0.29–3.59) | Subset not representative for cohort.<br>Based on telephone questionnaire<br>No controls<br>Were patients treated with BMT or TBI included? |
|   |   |  | <ul> <li>1-13: RR 1.13 (95% C: 0.41-3.09)</li> <li>14-21: RR 1.00 (95% C: 0.52-6.92)</li> <li>≥21: RR 3.08 (95% CI: 1.15-8.21)</li> </ul>   |   |
| Conclusions   |   |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical c   | question 1 (risk of POI for alkylating agents):   |  |   |   |
| In female CCS, high d<br>In female CCS, low to  | doses of alkylating agents were significantly associated with mer<br>5 median doses of alkylating agents were non-significantly assoc   | apause compared to survivors treated with non-sterilizing surgery in multiv<br>ated with menopause compared to survivors treated with non-sterilizing su   | variate analyses (AA-score ≥21: RR 3.08, 95% CI: 1.15–8.21).<br>urgery only in multivariate analyses (AA-score 1–13: RR 1.13, 95  | % CI: 0.41–3.09).   |
| Evidence for clinical c   | question 2–4 (risk of POI for antimetabolites, platinum compo   | unds or age at treatment):   |   |   |
| Effect of antimetabo  | lites not evaluated in this study.  |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical c   | question 5 (risk of POI for radiation to the field that includes t  | e ovaries):  |   |   |
| In female CCS, high a<br>In female CCS, <200<br>0.57–3.25).<br>In female CCS, alkylat   | doses abdominal-pelvic radiotherapy (≥3500 cGy) were significa<br>00 cGy to 3499 cGy abdominal-pelvic radiotherapy were non-sign<br>ting agents combined with abdominal-pelvic radiotherapy was s | thy associated with menopause compared to survivors treated with non-sto<br>frantly associated with menopause compared to survivors treated with non<br>gnificantly associated with menopause compared to survivors treated with   | eilizing surgery in multivariate analyses (RR 3.27, 95% Ct: 157-<br>n-sterilizing surgery, in multivariate analyses (<2000 Gyr. RR 1,<br>1 non-sterilizing surgery in multivariate analyses (RR 2.58, 95%)  | 6 81).<br>2, 95% Ct 0.29–3.59; 2000–3499 cGy: RR 1.36, 95% Ct:<br>1: 1.14–5.80).  |
| Evidence for clinical c   | question 6 (risk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism for radiatic  | n to the field that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis):   |   |   |
| Effect of radiation to  | fields that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis not evaluate   | d in this study.   |   |   |
| * List of abbreviation  | ns. Yrs=years; CT=chemotherapy; AA=alkylating agents; RT=rac  | iotherapy; BMT=bone marrow transplantation; TBI=total body irradiation.  |   |   |

| Wallace et al. The rad                               | liosensitivity of the human oocyte. Hum Reprod 2003;18(1):1  | 117–121.  |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants   | Treatment   | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Retrospective study                                  | Two cohorts (n=27):  | Cohort 1: CT +TBI, 14.4 Gy in 8 fractions over 2 days, leukaemia ( $1^{\rm st}$ or second remission), median 11.5 vrs (4.9–15.1), no shields to the ovaries.  | Cohort 1: POI 6/8<br>Cohort 2: POI 18/19  | Not based on exact radiation dose received by each ovary,<br>homogeneous, small sample. |
| Cohort 1:<br>Treatment era not<br>reported, Scotland | Cohort 1 (n=8)<br>Median age: 17.1 yr (15.4–21.5), leukaemia, Soutand<br>Cohort 3 (n=10): intra-abdominal tumour | Control 2: whole abdominal RT (30 Gy, 16–26 fractions), surgery and CT.<br>The structure of the structure of th | ease on Faddy-Gosden mathematical model :<br>1050 (Dose of radiation required to destroy 50% of the<br>norman-1 on Governey and the second |   |
| Cohort 2: treatment<br>1966—1975, UK                 |  |   | · (n / / / / / / /  |   |
| Years of follow-up no<br>reported.                   | ot   |   |   |   |
| Conclusions  |  |   |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q                              | question 1-4 (risk of POI for <b>alkylating agents, antimetab</b> o  | olites, platinum compounds, age at treatment):  |   |   |
| Effect of alkylating ag                              | gents not evaluated in this study.   |   |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q                              | uestion 5 (risk of POI for radiation to the field that include   | es the ovaries):  |   |   |
| In female survivors of                               | f childhood cancer, a dose of 1.99 Gy to the abdomen is requi  | ired to destroy 50% of the oocytes based on the Faddy–Gosden mathematical   | al model.   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q                              | question 6 (risk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism for rad  | liation to the field that includes the hypothalamic–pituitary axis):  |   |   |

Effect of radiation to fields that includes the hypothalamic-pituitary axis not evaluated in this study.

\* List of abbreviations. N=number, yr(s)=year(s); CT=chemotherapy; TB)=total body irradiation; RT=radiotherapy; POI=primary ovarian insufficiency.

| Wallace et al. Predict  | ing age of ovarian failure after radiation to the field that include   | s the ovaries. Int J Radiation Oncology Biol Phys 2005;62(3):738–744.  |   |   |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up                     | Participants   | Treatment  | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Retrospective study   | Two cohorts (n=27):  | Cohort 1: CT +TBI, 14.4 Gy in 8 fractions over 2 days, leukaemia<br>(1ª or second remission), no shields to the ovaries.   | Cahort 1: P01 6/8 (reported previously)<br>Cohort 2: P01 13/19 (reported previously)  | Small sample $n=27$ , mathematical model.   |
| Cohorts previously<br>described in Wallace<br><i>et al</i> , 2003       | Cohort 1 (n= 8)<br>Median age at treatment 11.5 yrs (4.9–15.1), median age at<br>assessment: 17.1 (15.4–21.5), leukaemia, Sottland   | Cohort 2: whole abdominal RT (30 Gy, 16–26 fractions), surgery and CT,<br>8 pts no CT, remaining 11 vincristine/adriamycin/actinomycin D.  | Based on Faddy-Gooden mathematical model (estimation):<br>Effective sterilizing dose (POI occurs immediately after treatment in 97.5% | <ul> <li>estimation</li> <li>cohort 1: TBI, cohort 2: abdominal</li> <li>irradiation (comparable?)</li> </ul> |
| Cohort 1:<br>Treatment era not  | Cohort 2 ( $n=19$ ): intra-abdominal tumour, median age at treatment 4 vrs (1 3–13 1). median age at   |  | or patients):<br>At birth: 20.3 Gy; at 10 yrs: 18.4 Gy; at 20 yrs: 16.5 Gy; at 30 yrs: 14.3 Gy  |   |
| reported, Scotland  | stated.  |  | Dy/day x=-y[0.0595 + 3.716 / (11.780 + y)]<br>X=age, y(x)=population at age x, y(0)=population at birth                               |   |
| Cohort 2: treatment<br>1966—1975, UK                                    |  |  | Surviving % oocyte population=log(10) g(z)=2-0.15z. Z= dose (6y)  |   |
| Years of follow-up no<br>reported.                                      | Ę  |  | Using average oocyte population at $x(chron) -> calculating age at me nopause.$   |   |
|   |  |  | 95% CI=(age at menopause) $\pm$ (1.96x5D) (see table for age at POI below)  |   |
| Conclusions   |  |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q   | uestion 1–3 (risk of POI for <b>alkylating agents, antimetabolit</b>   | s, platinum compounds):  |   |   |
| Effect of alkylating ag   | jents not evaluated in this study.   |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q   | uestion 4 (influence of age at treatment on risk of POI):  |  |   |   |
| In female CCS, increas  | sing age at treatment is associated with a higher risk for POI.  |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q   | uestion 5 (risk of POI for <b>radiation to the field that includes t</b>   | e ovaries):  |   |   |
| In female CCS, a dose<br>In female CCS, a dose<br>In female CCS, a dose | of 20.3 Gy to the ovaries at birth is associated with POI in 97.59<br>of 18.4 Gy to the ovaries at 10 years of age is associated with PC<br>of 16.5 Gy to the ovaries at 20 years of age is associated with PC | of the patients, based on the Faddy–Gosden mathematical model.<br>I in 97.5% of the patients, based on the Faddy–Gosden mathematical mode<br>I in 97.5% of the patients, based on the Faddy–Gosden mathematical mode |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q   | luestion 6 (risk of hypogonadotropic-hypogonadism for <b>radiat</b> i  | on to the field that includes the hypothalamic–pituitary axis):  |   |   |
| Effect of radiation to  | fields that includes the hypothalamic—pituitary axis not evaluat   | ed in this study.  |   |   |
| * List of abbreviation  | s. N=number; yr(s)=year(s); CT=chemotherapy; TBI=total bod   | y irradiation; RT=radiotherapy; POI=primary ovarian insufficiency.   |   |   |

142 | Chapter 7

# What surveillance modality should be used and at what frequency should surveillance be performed?

- What is the diagnostic value of AMH to detect POI in female childhood cancer survivors?
- What is the diagnostic value of (transvaginal vs abdominal) ovarian ultrasound (antral follicle count) to detect POI in female childhood cancer survivors?
- Does the risk of POI increase after a certain follow-up time in female childhood cancer survivors?
- What is the predictive value of FSH/oestradiol/AMH/AFC to predict POI in female childhood cancer survivors?
- Does the risk of POI change as the length of follow-up time increases in female childhood cancer survivors?
- Is recovery possible after chemotherapy or radiation in female childhood cancer survivors?
- What is the value of FSH/oestradiol/AMH/antral follicle count to predict fertility in female childhood cancer survivors?

No studies identified in childhood cancer survivors or in other populations.

| Nabhan et al. Conjug   | ated oral versus transdermal estrogen reple   | acement in girls with Turner syndrome: a pilot comparative  | study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009.  |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants  | Intervention  | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Randomized trial<br>2009   | Pre-pubertal GH-treated (treatment<br>for at least 6 months) girls with Turner<br>Syndrome  | Group A:<br>TDE2: 0.025 mg patch twice a week for 6 months followe<br>by a 0.0375 mg patch twice a week for the second 6<br>months.                                       | Bone health<br>ed TD E2 resulted in greater increase in group A compared to group B in:<br>- spine bone mineral control (0.0-0.0 x 3.8±0.0 g, p=0.04)<br>- snine BMINI (1.7+0 11 x 0.16+11 r.1+11 x = 0.04)   | <ul> <li>Small number of patients</li> <li>Girls with Turner syndrome comparable to CCS?</li> <li>All on GH treatment</li> <li>Bise: not blinded</li> </ul> |
| Follow-up: 1 year  | N=12  | Group R.  | - spine BMD Zscore (0.7±0.1 vs. 0.3±0.1, P=0.03)  |   |
|  | Age at inclusion: 14.0 ± 1.7 yrs<br>(range 11.3 – 17.1)<br>Follow-up: 1 year  | Conjugated oral oestrogen: 0.3 mg every day for the first 6 months followed by 0.3 mg alternating with 0.625 mg every day for the second 6 months                         | <ul> <li>Puberty induction</li> <li>TD E2 resulted in greater increase in group A compared to group B in:</li> <li>– uterine length (4.13 vs. 1.38 cm, P&lt;0.003)</li> <li>– uterine volume (22.2 vs. 4.0 ml, P&lt;0.02)</li> <li>No effect of karyotype (P=0.138 for length; P=0.24 for volume) or duration of GH therapy (P=0.45 for length; P=0.21 for volume) on uterine growth was observed.</li> </ul> |   |
|  |   |   | <ul> <li>At study end, 66% in group A had a mature uterus vs. 0% in group B.</li> <li>Breast Tanner stage increased with a trend toward greater breast development in group A (P=0.09) (effect measure not reported).</li> <li>No significant differences in growth velocity (5.9±0.8 in TD vs 4.7±0.8 in conjugated oral group, p=0.44).</li> </ul>  |   |
|  |   |   | CVD surrogates<br>No significant differences in other parameters examined were seen (high–density lipo-protein: 41±3 vs<br>60±3 mg/dl, p=0.49), low–density lipoprotein (82±9 vs 81±9, p=0.53), triglycerides (121±13 vs 69±13,<br>p=0.47), BMI SD score, fat mass, percent fat mass, fat free mass (effect measures not reported).   |   |
| Conclusions  |   |   |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical  | question 14 (effect of different methods a  | of puberty induction in children on final height and sexu   | ual development):   |   |
| In pre-pubertal girls<br>(5.9±0.8 in transder<br>In pre-pubertal girls<br>(uterine length 4.13 | diagnosed with Turner syndrome and treat mal HR7 vs 4.7 $\pm$ 0.8 in conjugated oral groudiagnosed with Turner syndrome and treatversus 1.98 cm, $p$ <0.003, and uterline volur | ed with growth homone for at least 6 months, one year tre<br>tp, p=0.44).<br>ed with growth homone for at least 6 months, one year tre<br>me 22.2 versus 4.0 mJ, p<0.02). | eatment with transdermal HRT was not significantly associated with a difference in growth velocity compared to c<br>eatment with transdermal HRT was significantly associated with a faster uterine maturation compared to one yea  | one year oral conjugated oestrogens<br>ar oral oestrogens   |
| Evidence for clinical  | question 15 (effect of different methods o  | of HRT in young women with POI on bone health, cardio   | vascular health and mental health):   |   |
| In pre-pubertal girls<br>(BMD 0.12±0.01 vs.<br>In pre-pubertal girls<br>triglyceride) comparé  | diagnosed with Turner syndrome and treat 0.06 $\pm$ 0.01 g/cm <sup>2</sup> , P<=0.004; BMC 9.0 $\pm$ 0. diagnosed with Turner syndrome and treated to one year oral oestrogens. | ed with growth hormone for at least 6 months, one year tre 9 vs. 5.8±0.9 g, $P=0.04$ ).<br>ed with growth hormone for at least 6 months, one year tre                     | atment with transdermal HRT was significantly associated with an increased spine bone mass compared to one y<br>eatment with transdermal HRT was not significantly associated with a difference in CVD surrogate markers (high-   | year oral oestrogens<br>density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein,   |

\* List of abbreviations. Ammber, 6H-growth hormone; v(s)=pear(s). TD=transdemal; E2=cestratiol; BMD=bone minerel density, BMI=body mass index; S=standard deviation; HRT=hormone replacement therapy; CVD=cardiovascular disease; CCS=hildhood cancer survivors.
| Crafton of al Physic  | lonical versus standard sev steroid renlarement in vound wom   | en with nemature ovarian failure effects on hone mass acruisition and tur  | rnnuer Clin Endocrinol (Ovf) 2010-73(6):707–714  |   |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up   | Participants   | ter mar permater vorman andre stress on our mar availant on and  | Main outcomes  | Additional remarks  |
| Open-label<br>randomized<br>controlled trial<br>2002–2006<br>Follow-up:<br>1 year per treatment<br>(1+1=2 yrs)                      | N = 18 POF.<br>Tumer n=7, post-chemotherapy n=4, Idiopathic n=7.<br>Median age at start: 25 years<br>Compared to total group, Tumer girls mean age 23 years<br>versus 29 years.  | <b>Treatment plan:</b><br>12 months therapy A + 2 months wash out, and 12 months therapy B<br>(or first B then A).<br><b>Treatment A:</b><br>Transfermal oestradiol 100 ug week 1 and 150 ug week 2-4 +<br>progesterone (200 mg vaginal pessaries or 10 mg orally) twice daily for<br>week 3-4<br>meek 3-4<br><b>Treatment B:</b><br>Ethinyl oestradiol 30 ug + norethisterone 1.5 mg die for weeks 1-3<br>followed by 1 week pill free. | Lumbar spine BMD z score (C):<br>+0.17 (0.7–0.27)<br>+0.07 (0.07–0.18)<br>P<0.01<br>Freatment pSSR (A) vs. sHRT (B) in the same subject: lumber<br>spine BMD z score increases by 0.09 (–0.06 – +0.25) but<br>P=0.2<br>Femoral neck BMD z score (C)<br>+0.12 (–0.05 – +0.29)<br>+0.12 (–0.05 – +0.29)<br>Hol (–0.16 – +0.08)<br>Not significant<br>Foral hip BMD z score (C)<br>-0.04 (–0.16 – +0.08)<br>Not significant<br>Bore markers (BALP PINP, CrossLaps)<br>Increase in ALP and PINP and decrease of CrossLaps<br>Decrease of all markers | <ul> <li>5 mail number of patients, but crossover design overcornes this slightly.</li> <li>More gifts in the study had onset of POF pre puberty rather than post puberty.</li> <li>Heneogreeneous diagnoses / patient population</li> <li>Hereogreeneous diagnoses / patient population</li> <li>No information on results by type of participant</li> <li>No information on results by type of participant</li> <li>Hereogreeneous diagnoses / patient population</li> <li>No information on results by type of participant</li> <li>Hereogreeneous diagnoses / patient population</li> <li>No information on results by type of participant</li> <li>Here dia dx of tolerance of the research protocol rather than the intervention)</li> <li>Short follow up period to show changes in DEXA (12 months)</li> <li>Short follow up period to show changes in DEXA (12 months)</li> <li>Lee of 30ug OCS as sHT population show changes in DEXA (12 months)</li> <li>Lee of 30ug OCS are show changes in DEXA (12 months)</li> <li>Here the result intervention)</li> <li>Teatment "since a number of 20ug pills available. But still used due to breakthrough bleeding But questionmaire to dhoose the most commonly prescribed method of SSR in UK</li> <li>Patch reaction / intolerance accounted for 3 drop outs</li> </ul> |
|   |  |  | Significant at 3, 6 and 12 months for ALP and PINP<br>Only significant at 3 and 6 for CrossLaps  |   |
|   |  |  | FSH and LH were similarly suppressed (P>0.05)  |   |
| Conclusions<br>Evidence for clinical  | question 14 (effect of different methods for puberty induc   | tion in children on final height and sexual development):  |  |   |
| Effect on final heigh   | it and sexual development not evaluated in this study.   |  |  |   |
| Evidence for clinical   | question 15 (effect of different methods of HRT in young w   | omen with POI on bone health, cardiovascular health and mental heal  | alth):   |   |
| In women diagnose<br>(BMD z score +0.17;<br>In women diagnose<br>(BMD z score pSSR: -<br>In women diagnoser<br>(BMD z score pSSR: - | ed with POI (including 4 cases with POI after childhood cancer (: $C: 0.003 - 0.18$ , $P \sim 0.01$ ).<br>$C: 0.100 - 0.27$ vs. $+0.07$ ; CI: $-0.03 - 0.18$ , $P \sim 0.01$ ).<br>ed with POI (including 4 cases with POI after childhood cancer<br>+0.12 (-0.05 - +0.29), SHRT: $+0.11 (-0.04 - +0.25$ , NN).<br>-0.04 (-0.16 - +0.08), SHRT: $+0.03 (-0.08 - +0.13)$ , NS). | reatment), one year treatment with transdermal HRT was significantly asso<br>reatment), one year treatment with transdermal HRT was not significantly<br>reatment), one year treatment with transdermal HRT was not significantly  | ociated with an increased lumbar spine bone mineral density cor<br>associated with a difference in femoral neck bone mineral densi<br>associated with a difference in total hip bone mineral density co  | pared to one year onal conjugated oestrogens<br>y compared to oral conjugated oestrogens<br>npared to oral conjugated oestrogens  |
| * List of abbreviation<br>therapy; pSSR=physic  | Ins. N=number; POF=premature ovarian failure; BMD=bone I<br>ological sex hormone replacement; FSH=follicle-stimulating I   | nineral density; BALP=bone alkaline phosphatase; PINP=type I collagen N-<br>iormone; LH=lunteinizing hormone.  | -terminal propeptide; CrossLaps=bone resorption ; COCP=comb  | ned oral contraceptive pill=sHRT: standard hormone replacement  |

| Langrish et al. Cardic                              | ovascular effects of physiological and standard sex steroid replac  | ement regimens in premature ovarian failure. Hypertension 2009; 53(5):805   | -811.   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up | Participants  | Intervention  | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks   |
| Open-label<br>randomized<br>controlled trial        | N=18POF.<br>Turner n=7,<br>post-chemotherapy n=4, Idiopathic n=7.   | Treatment plan:<br>12 months therapy A + 2 months wash out, and 12 months therapy B<br>(or first B then A).   | 24-hour systolic and diastolic BP:<br>Treatment A associated with lower BP systolic and diastolic at<br>3 (P<0.03) or (P<0.03) and 12 months (P=0.03) compared<br>for treatment B, 41 2 months costolic 7 3 much (95% Cr                        | <ul> <li>Small number of patients, but crossover design overcomes<br/>this slightly</li> <li>More grid in the study had onset of POF pre puberty rather<br/>than nost numberty.</li> </ul>   |
| 2002-2006   | Median age at start: 25 years   | Treatment A:<br>Transformal nestradial 100 no week 1 and 150 no week 2–4 +  | 2.5–12.0) and diastolic 7.4 mmHg (95% CI: 3.9–11.0) lower.  | <ul> <li>Heterogeneous population</li> <li>No information on results by type of participant</li> </ul>   |
| Follow-up:<br>1 year per treatment<br>(1+1=2 yrs)   | Compared to total group, Tumer girls mean age 23 years<br>t versus 29 years.  | progesterone (200 mg vaginal pesantes or 10 mg orally) twice daily for<br>week 3-4  | Treatment A associated with lower plasma angiotensin II ( $P=0.007$ ) and serum creatinine concentrations ( $P=0.015$ ) compared to treatment B (no effect measures reported).  | <ul> <li>High dropout rate (48%)</li> <li>Short follow up period. Using surrogate markers of CVD.</li> <li>Boot 200 CVD as sHBM probably high rate than's standard memory of the combose of choice short sho</li></ul> |
|   |   | rreatment b.<br>Ethinyl oestradiol 30 ug + norethisterone 1.5 mg die for weeks 1–3<br>followed by 1 week pill free.                                       | No significant difference in aldosterone concentrations<br>between treatment arms.<br>No significant difference in PRA (p=0.180), BMI (p=0.146),<br>serum urea nitrogen (p=0.083), sodium (p=0.402), and<br>potassium concentrations (p=0.895). | uredument since anomero or 2009 pince anoance. Tous un<br>used due to breakthrough bleeding. But questionnaire to<br>choose the most commonly prescribed method of STR IUK<br>- Patch reaction / intolerance accounted for 3 drop outs<br>- All participants were normotensive   |
|   |   |   | FSH and LH were similarly suppressed (P>0.05).  |  |
| Conclusions   |   |   |   |  |
| Evidence for clinical                               | question 14 (effect of different methods for puberty induction  | n in children on final height and sexual development):  |   |  |
| Effect on final heigh                               | t and sexual development not evaluated in this study.   |   |   |  |
| Evidence for clinical                               | question 15 (effect of different methods of HRT in young wo   | nen with POI on bone health, cardiovascular health and mental health)   |   |  |
| In women diagnosed<br>24 hours diastolic blo        | d with POI (including 4 cases with POI after childhood cancer tre<br>ood pressure benefit 7.4 mmHg; CI 3.9–11 mm Hg), angiotensin | thment), one year treatment with transdermal HRT was significantly associat ogen II (effect measures not reported, $p=0.007$ ) and serum creatinine conce | ed with a decrease in blood pressure (mean $24$ hours systolic blo ntrations (effect measures not reported, $p=0.015$ ) compared to   | od pressure benefit 7.3 mmHg; CI: 2.5–12 mm Hg and mean<br>ral conjugated oestrogens.  |

\* List of abbreviations. Yis=years; n=number; PGE=premature ovarian failure; BP=blood pressure; PRA=plasma renin activity; BMI=body mass index; F5H=follicle-stimulating hormone; LH=Iuteinizing hormone; C0CP=combined oral contraceptive pill; sHRI=standard hormone replacement therapy; p5SR=physiological sex hormone replacement.

146 | Chapter 7

| Torres-Santiago L et   | al. Metabolic effects of oral versus transdermal 178–estra   | diol (e.2): a randomized clinical trial in girls with turner syndrome. J Clin Er   | indocrinol Metab. 2013;98(7):2716—24.   |   |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Study design<br>Treatment era<br>Years of follow-up  | Participants   | Intervention   | Main outcomes   | Additional remarks  |
| Follow-up: 1 year  | Nearly final height previously GH-freated<br>(treatment discontinued for at least 6 months/1.5 yrs)<br>girls with Tumer Syndromout)<br>M=40/41 (1 early drop out)<br>Age at inclusion: 16.7 ± 1.7 yrs (range 13 – 20)<br>Follow-up: 1 year     | Group A:<br>10 17BE2: starting dose 0.0375 mg patch twice a week<br>Group B:<br>coal 17B-E2: starting dose 0.5 mg daily<br>E2 doses were titrated between visits in the first 3 months in order to<br>achieve E2 levels within the normal range<br>Group A:<br>O 17BE2: 0.05 0.075 or 0.1 mg patch twice a week<br>Group B:<br>O 17BE2: 0.1 mg patch twice a week<br>Area ge dose:<br>Group A:<br>D 17BE2: 0.1 mg patch twice a week<br>Group B:<br>Group B:<br>O 17BE2: 0.1 mg patch twice a week | CVD surrogates<br>No significant differences between Group A) and B) in:<br>$-$ weight gain after 6( $+10 \pm 0.6$ sv $+1.1\pm 0.6$ kg, $P=58$ )<br>$-$ and 12 months( $+1.9\pm 0.6$ sv $+1.1\pm 0.6$ kg, $P=37$ )<br>- BMI gain after 6<br>$(+0.2\pm 0.28 + 0.05\pm 0.38$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> , $P=97$ )<br>$+0.6\pm 0.237$ sv $+1.03\pm 0.37$ kg, $P=-36$ )<br>$+1.0\pm 0.27$ vs $+1.03\pm 0.37$ kg, $P=-36$ )<br>- free fat mass gain after 6<br>$(+1.0\pm 0.27$ vs $+1.03\pm 0.37$ kg, $P=-96$ )<br>and 12 months( $+1.57\pm 0.4$ vs $+1.03\pm 0.37$ kg, $P=-54$ )<br>- percent fat mass decrease after 6<br>$(+1.0\pm 0.27$ vs $+1.1\pm 0.63\%$ , $P=-30$ ) and 12 months ( $-0.9\pm 0.9\%$ vs $-0.3\pm 0.37$ kg, $P=-5$ );<br>- bedominal fat mass decrease after 6<br>$(2.2\pm 0.65\%$ vs $-1.1\pm 0.63\%$ , $P=-30$ ) and 12 months ( $-0.9\pm 0.9\%$ vs $-0.3\pm 0.07$ kg $-0.3\pm 0.08\%$ b $= 39$ ).<br>No significant differences in other biodhemical surrogates examined were<br>seen (high-density lipoprotein, low-density lipoprotein, triglycerides<br>insulin, fasting glucose, HSCRP) (effects to be calculated as a mixed mode<br>was used) nor in substrate oxidation rates (Lipd oxidation REE)<br><b>Bone health</b><br>No significantly different between Group A) and B) in:<br>- total body BND 2-score ( $+0.20\pm 0.07$ vs $-0.12\pm 0.07$ , $P=0.91$ )<br>No significant differences in oxtercolcin between Group A) and B) in:<br>$-$ spine BND 2-score ( $-0.20\pm 0.07$ vs $0.13\pm 0.07$ , $P=0.91$ ) | <ul> <li>Small number of patients, but sufficient to satisfy the power calculation based on the main outcome, i.e. differential effect on body composition.</li> <li>Girls with Tumer syndrome comparable to CCS?</li> <li>not clear the method of randomization</li> <li>Bias: not bilinded.</li> <li>Short follow up period. Using surrogate markers of CVD.</li> </ul> |
| Conclusions  |  |  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q  | uestion 14 (effect of different methods of puberty indu  | uction in children on final height and sexual development):  |   |   |
| Effect of different me   | thods of puberty induction in children on final height and   | sexual development not studied.  |   |   |
| Evidence for clinical q  | question 15 (effect of different methods of HRT in youn  | g women with POI on bone health, cardiovascular health and mental  | l health):  |   |
| In young women dia<br>0.19±0.07, P=0.91,<br>In young women dia,<br>and 12 months (+1.6<br>(-0.9±0.8% vs -0.35; | gnosed with Turner syndrome and previously treated with respectively.<br>respectively.<br>gnosed with Turner syndrome and previously treated with<br>$77\pm0.4$ vs + 103\pm0.37 kg, $P=54$ ), percent fat mass decre<br>$\pm 0.86$ , $P=39$ ). | growth hormone, one year treatment with transdermal HRT or oral therap<br>growth hormone, one year treatment with transdermal HRT or oral therap<br>ass after 6 (-1 63±0.47% vs -0.54±0.47%, P=.14) and 12 months (-0.64   | py exerted similar beneficial effects on total body and spine BMD Z–score (-<br>py exerted similar beneficial effects on cardiovascular surrogates as free fat<br>t±0.56% vs -0.14±0.56 kg, P=-5) and abdominal fat mass decrease after 6   | 0.29±0.09 vs.+0.22±0.09, P=0.23 and 0.20±0.07 vs.<br>mass gain after 6 (+1.0±0.37 vs.+1.03±0.37 kg, P=96)<br>22±0.65% vs1.1±0.63%, P=.39) and 12 months   |
| * List of abbreviation   | s. GH=growth hormone; n=number, E2=oestradiol; CVD   | =cardiovascular disease; BMI=body mass index; HsCRP=highly sensitive   | c-reactive protein; REE=resting energy expenditure ; BMD=bone mineral d د   | ensity; CCS=childhood cancer survivors.   |
| Does HRT in pos<br>the breast comp<br>No studies identified in   | st pubertal female childhood cancer survi<br>hared to those not exposed? (if not, staten<br>1 childhood, adolescent and young adult cancer survivors   | vors increase the risk of secondary malignancies con<br>nent based on existing guidelines)<br>or in other populations.   | mpared to not HRT users? If yes, is there a difference b  | etween females treated with RT involving  |

7



CHAPTER **Obesity independently influences** gonadal function in very long-term adult male survivors of childhood cancer

cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants W van Dorp & K Blijdorp, JSE Laven, R Pieters, FH de Jong, SMF Pluijm, AJ van der Lely, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink, SJCMM Neggers

after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function *Submitted* ildhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal

# Abstract

**Background:** Although obesity is associated with gonadal dysfunction in the general population, gonadotoxic treatment might diminish the impact of obesity in childhood cancer survivors (CCS). We aimed to evaluate whether altered body composition is associated with gonadal dysfunction in male CCS, independently of gonadotoxic cancer treatment.

**Methods:** Data of 351 male CCS who visited the late effects clinic were analysed. Median age at diagnosis was 5.9 years (0–17.8) and median age at follow-up was 25.6 years (18.0–45.8). Main outcome measures were total and free testosterone, sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), inhibin B and FSH. Potential determinants were BMI, waist circumference, waist-hip ratio and body composition measures, determined by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry.

**Results:** Free testosterone was significantly decreased in survivors with obesity (BMI  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) ( $\beta$  –1.8, 95% CI -3.2;-0.3, p=0.015), high fat percentage ( $\beta$  -1.2, 95% CI: -2.0;-0.4, p=0.004), and high waist circumference (>102 cm) ( $\beta$  -2.0, 95% CI: -3.7;-0.3, p=0.020). Survivors with high fat percentage ( $\geq$ 25%) had significantly lower inhibin B and inhibin B/FSH ratios compared to survivors with normal total fat percentage after adjustment for confounders (inhibin B:  $\beta$  -25, p=0.047; inhibin B / FSH ratio:  $\beta$  -34%, p=0.041).

**Conclusion:** Obesity is associated with an impaired gonadal function in male survivors of childhood cancer, independent of the irreversible effect of the previous cancer treatment. Lifestyle counselling is recommended in CCS to partly improve gonadal function, but longitudinal studies are needed to confirm the positive effect of weight loss.

## Introduction

Today, 70–80% of children with cancer reach 5 years survival thanks to the increased survival of childhood cancer [1]. Because of the increasing number of survivors, the late effects of cancer treatment became more apparent. It has been shown that almost 75% of the survivors have one or more late treatment effects, while almost 60% have two or more late effects. This means that a remarkable number of survivors suffer from a combination of late treatment effects [2,3]. Two of the major and well-described late effects are obesity and gonadal dysfunction.

In the general population, obesity prevalence has increased dramatically [4]. It has harmful effects on human health by increasing the risk of diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, stroke, and cancer [5]. In the general male population, obesity is associated with gonadal dysfunction and infertility [6,7]. Although contradictory results have been reported, most studies found a negative association between obesity or underweight and sperm quality or quantity [6,8,9]. Obesity is known to be an important long-term effect of treatment for childhood cancer and occurs in 9–50% of long-term survivors, mainly depending on former treatment [10].

Gonadal dysfunction has been observed in both male and female childhood cancer survivors [11,12]. Especially former treatment with total body irradiation, abdominal irradiation and alkylating agents have previously been reported to be gonadotoxic, as represented by a low inhibin B level [12], which is considered a reliable first screening marker for spermatogenesis [13]. Inhibin B is produced by the Sertoli cells and has an inhibitory effect on the pituitary FSH-secretion [14]. Its production depends on the interaction of Sertoli cells with the germinal epithelium in the seminiferous tubules. Therefore, a low level of inhibin B is a reflection of dysfunction of the tubular compartment of the testis. In men, obesity is associated with decreased sperm quantity, motility and morphology [6,8,15], which might be caused by insulin resistance-related damage to the seminiferous tubules, affecting Sertoli cell function. Reduced testosterone levels might be explained by increased aromatization of androgens in the adipose tissue leading to higher circulating oestradiol levels [7,16,17]. Additionally, low testosterone levels are thought to be the result of decreased sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) binding activity caused by increased insulin levels. Additionally, leptin and other adipocytederived hormones might directly affect Leydig cell function [7].

Although it is known that obesity is associated with impaired gonadal function in the general male population, this association might be different in male survivors of childhood cancer since it seems likely that the cancer treatment had such a detrimental impact that effects of obesity would be absent. We hypothesized that obesity independently influences gonadal dysfunction in cancer survivors. Therefore, we aimed to determine the association between body composition and gonadal function, independently of former gonadotoxic cancer treatment in a large representative single-centre cohort of very long-term adult male childhood cancer survivors.

# Methods

### Patients

A retrospective single-centre cohort study was performed among male survivors who visited our outpatient clinic for long-term childhood cancer survivors. Inclusion criteria were: age  $\geq$ 18 years, male sex, history of childhood cancer, cancer diagnosis between 1964 and 2005, and determination of BMI and reproductive hormones at the same moment at least 5 years after cessation of cancer treatment. Survivors treated with testosterone and/or growth hormone therapy were excluded from this analysis. Informed consent from every patient that visited the outpatient clinic was obtained according to standards of the Institutional Review Board (IRB).

### **Outcome measures**

Peripheral serum samples were stored at -20° C until analysis. Inhibin B was used as a surrogate marker for gonadal function, since the correlation between inhibin B and sperm concentration has been reported to be strong in two publications about subsets of our cohort of childhood cancer survivors (r=0.54, r=0.76, respectively, p<0.001) [12,13]. Inhibin B levels were measured using kits purchased from Serotec (Oxford, United Kingdom). Within-assay and between-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were <9%, and <15%, respectively. Serum follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH) and SHBG were determined with the Immulite assay (Siemens DPC, Los Angeles, CA). Within-assay and between-assay CV were <6% and <9%, <5% and 11%, and 4% and 5%, for FSH, LH and SHBG, respectively. Serum total testosterone levels were determined using coated-tube radioimmunoassays (Siemens DPC). Intra-assay and inter-assay variation coefficients were 3% and 4.5%. The reference values of LH, FSH, inhibin B, SHBG and total testosterone for male adults in our institute are 1.5–8.0 (U/L), 2.0–7.0 U/L, 150–400 ng/L, 10–70 nmol/L and 10–30 nmol/L, respectively [12,18]. Free testosterone was calculated from total testosterone and SHBG [19].

## **Obesity variables**

Follow-up data of the most recent visit included measurement of the following variables: weight, height, body mass index (BMI) calculated from height and weight [20], and waist-hip ratio (WHR), as measured by waist circumference divided by hip circumference [21]. Lean body mass (kg) and percentage of body fat were measured in a subset of survivors by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA, Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA). Visceral fat percentage was calculated from intra-abdominal fat (kg) and total fat (kg), as measured by DXA [22]. Visceral fat percentage, waist and WHR were not analysed in the subset of survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy, because of the inferior value as markers for obesity in this subgroup [23]. Obesity was defined as BMI  $\geq$  30, total fat percentage  $\geq$  25% or waist circumference > 102 cm [24,25].

## **Potential confounders**

Data concerning treatment protocols, disease and patient characteristics were retrieved from the local database and were completed using the medical records where necessary. Follow-up time was defined as time since cessation of treatment. Among patients exposed to alkylating agents, the

alkylating agent dose (AAD) score was calculated by determining the drug dose tertile distribution in our entire cohort of survivors and adding the tertile scores (1, 2 or 3) for each of the alkylating agents given to a particular patient as previously described by Green *et al* [26,27]. An AAD score of zero was assigned to patients not exposed to any alkylating agent.

## Statistics

To evaluate the differences in hormone levels between BMI categories, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. To examine the associations between obesity variables and reproductive hormone levels, we used univariate as well as multiple linear regression analyses. In multiple linear regression models, age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status (yes or no), and treatment factors (total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and AAD score) were included as confounders, based on what is known from previous studies describing the influence of treatment factors on gonadal reserve [7,12,28]. The analyses were performed in several steps. First, BMI and body composition were entered as continuous variables. Additionally, BMI was divided in 4 categories: BMI ≥30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (obese), BMI 25-30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (overweight), BMI 18.5-25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (normal weight) and BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (underweight) (The National Institute of Health Website) and added to the model as dummy variables with normal weight as reference category. Total fat percentage and waist circumference were divided in 2 categories: total fat ≥25% (obese) versus total fat <25% (non-obese) and waist circumference >102 cm (obese) versus waist circumference  $\leq$ 102 cm (non-obese). Associations were expressed as standardized regression coefficients because this measure allows direct comparison of the strengths of associations between different determinants. The distribution of inhibin B/FSH ratio was normalised by <sup>10</sup>log transformation to improve the plots of the residual analyses and expressed as percentage in- or decrease compared to the reference category. P-values <0.05 (two-tailed) were considered statistically significant. SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

# Results

#### Survivors

Data on reproductive hormone levels in combination with BMI were available in 351 out of 562 male survivors (Table I) after exclusion of survivors treated with testosterone supplementation (n=10) and GH treatment (n=3). At follow-up, 11 used thyroxin and thyroid function had been stable for at least 2 years in all subjects. Median age at diagnosis was 5.9 years (0–17.8) and median age at follow-up was 25.6 years (18.0–45.8). Median follow-up time since cessation of treatment was 17.5 years (5.0–43.0). Baseline characteristics of included subjects and of all male survivors are depicted in Table I, to illustrate the representativeness of our study group. Compared to excluded survivors, age at diagnosis was significantly lower (p<0.001) and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia, Wilms tumour and neuroblastoma survivors were overrepresented, while brain tumour survivors were underrepresented in this cohort. In- and excluded survivors were comparable with regard to treatment factors, including dosages of abdominal irradiation, total body irradiation and AAD score.

Table I | Baseline characteristics of survivors included in this study.

|                                | Included su          | irvivors n=351   | All male su      | rvivors n=562 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Age at diagnosis (years)       | 5.9 (                | 0-17.8)          | 7.0 (            | 0–18.0)       |
| Age at follow up (years)       | 25.6 (1              | 8.0–45.8)        |                  | NA            |
| Follow up time (years)         | 17.5 (               | 5.0–43.0)        |                  | NA            |
| Diagnosis n (%)                |                      |                  |                  |               |
| ALL & T-NHL                    | 11                   | 3 (32)           | 15               | 3 (27)        |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia        | 1                    | 2 (3)            | 1                | 9 (3)         |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma    | 40                   | D (11)           | 57               | ' (10)        |
| Hodgkin lymphoma               | 34                   | 4 (10)           | 60               | ) (11)        |
| Bone tumour                    | 1                    | 8 (5)            | 2                | 7 (5)         |
| Wilms tumour                   | 40                   | D (11)           | 5                | 1 (9)         |
| Neuroblastoma                  | 2                    | 1 (6)            | 2                | 5 (4)         |
| Germ cell tumour               | (                    | 5 (2)            | 1                | 0 (2)         |
| Malignant mesenchymal          | 2                    | 28 (9)           |                  | 8 (9)         |
| Brain tumour                   | 19 (5)               |                  | 78 (14)          |               |
| Other                          | 20 (5)               |                  | 3                | 4 (6)         |
| Therapy n (%)                  | n (%) TCD (Gy) n (%) |                  | n (%)            | TCD (Gy)      |
| Abdominal radiotherapy         | 24 (7)               | 30(15–64)        | 34 (6) 30 (11–64 |               |
| Total body irradiation         | 13 (4)               | 12 (6–20)        | 23 (4)           | 12 (6–19.5)   |
| Cranial radiotherapy           | 31 (9)               | 25 (25–48)       | 65 (12)          | 25 (10–40)    |
| Brain tumour irradiation (BRT) | 28 (8)               | 36 (10–108)      | 56 (10)          | 40 (18–108)   |
| AAD score                      |                      | 20(0) 50(10-100) |                  |               |
| 0                              | 139 (40)             |                  | 260 (46)         |               |
| 1                              | 44                   | 4 (13)           | 60               | ) (11)        |
| 2                              | 58                   | 8 (17)           | 72               | 2 (13)        |
| 3                              | 80                   | 5 (25)           | 13               | 1 (23)        |
| 4                              | 1                    | 4 (4)            | 2                | 0 (4)         |
| ≥5                             | 1                    | 0 (3)            | 1                | 9 (3)         |

Data are expressed as median (range) or frequencies (%). ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; T-NHL=T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; AAD score=alkylating agent dose score; TCD=total cumulative dose; NA=not applicable.

## **Endocrine function**

Median inhibin B of the total group was 139 ng/L (interquartile range (IQR) 66–221). Median inhibin B/FSH ratio was 30.8 (IQR 6.6–65.7). The spearman correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) between inhibin B and inhibin B/FSH ratio was 0.93 (p<0.001). Median total testosterone was 15.7 nmol/L (IQR 12.9–19.0). Median free testosterone was 10.4 nmol/L (IQR 8.7–12.6) and median SHBG was 26.8 nmol/L (IQR 20.3-33.8). Twenty subjects (6%) were defined as obese by BMI ( $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), 98

(28%) as overweight (BMI 25–30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), 14 (4%) as underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and 219 (62%) had normal weight. In Table II, hormone levels are presented per BMI category. Subjects with BMI <18.5 appeared to have lower inhibin B levels (median 104 ng/L) than normal weight subjects (140 ng/L); however this difference did not reach significance. Obese subjects had significantly lower total and free testosterone levels than normal weight subjects (13.8 versus 16.2, p=0.010 and 9.4 versus 10.5, p=0.040). Overweight subjects had significantly lower SHBG levels than normal weight subjects (23.7 versus 28.3, p=0.001).

Table II | Median levels of inhibin B, inhibin B/FSH ratio, total & free testosterone and SHBG in the total group and different BMI categories.

|                             | Total group      | BMI ≥30           | BMI 25-30         | BMI 18.5-25      | BMI <18.5        |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                             | n=351            | n=20              | n=98              | n=219            | n=14             |
| inhibin B (ng/L)            | 139 (66–221)     | 125 (46–199)      | 137 (69–230)      | 140 (67–209)     | 104 (20–188)     |
| FSH (U/L)                   | 5.1 (3.1–11.3)   | 3.8 (2.9–9.0)     | 5.2 (3.2–10.1)    | 5.2 (3.2–11.9)   | 5.0 (3.8–22.6)   |
| inhibin B/FSH ratio         | 30.8 (6.6–65.7)  | 31.9 (9.4–63.0)   | 29.2 (7.3–76.1)   | 32.3 (7.3–63.3)  | 25.4 (0.7–49.5)  |
| Total testosterone (nmol/L) | 15.7 (12.9–19.0) | 13.8 (10.3–16.3)* | 14.8 (12.3–18.5)  | 16.2 (13.2–19.7) | 17.6 (13.7–19.8) |
| Free Testosterone (nmol/L)  | 10.4 (8.7–12.6)  | 9.4 (7.6–10.8)*   | 10.3 (8.8–12.9)   | 10.5 (8.7–12.7)  | 11.5 (8.4–12.9)  |
| SHBG (nmol/L)               | 26.8 (20.3–33.8) | 21.9 (15.0–29.3)  | 23.7 (18.0–30.2)* | 28.3 (22.0–36.4) | 35.8 (29.2–40.7) |
| LH (U/L)                    | 3.7 (2.6–5.5)    | 3.9 (2.2–5.4)     | 3.5 (2.5–4.9)     | 3.8 (2.7–5.7)    | 3.1 (1.5–7.0)    |

Data are expressed as median (interquartile ranges). BMI=body mass index, expressed as kg/m<sup>2</sup>; SHBG=sex hormone-binding globulin; FSH=follicle stimulating hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone. \*P<0.05 as compared to survivors with BMI 18.5–25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (analysis of variance – ANOVA).

### Influence of obesity and body composition on free testosterone

In univariate analysis, free testosterone was significantly inversely associated with BMI, total fat percentage, waist and WHR (Table III). After adjustment for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, TBI, abdominal radiotherapy and AAD score, free testosterone was significantly inversely associated with BMI ( $\beta$  -0.1, p=0.029), total fat percentage ( $\beta$  -0.08, p=0.002), waist circumference ( $\beta$  -0.06, p=0.001) and WHR ( $\beta$  -0.1, p=0.002), but not with visceral fat percentage. Survivors with obesity, defined by BMI  $\geq$ 30 ( $\beta$  -1.8, p=0.015), total fat percentage  $\geq$ 25% ( $\beta$  -1.2, p=0.004) or waist circumference >102 cm ( $\beta$  -2.0, p=0.020) had significantly lower free testosterone than survivors without obesity. For total testosterone, similar, but stronger associations were observed (Supplementary Table I).

### Influence of obesity and body composition on SHBG

SHBG levels were significantly inversely associated with BMI and all measures of body composition in univariate and multivariate analysis: BMI, including age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, TBI, abdominal radiotherapy and AAD score: BMI ( $\beta$  –1.0, p<0.001), total fat percentage ( $\beta$ -0.5, p<0.001), lean body mass ( $\beta$ -0.3, p=0.003), waist circumference ( $\beta$ -0.3, p<0.001), WHR ( $\beta$  -0.4, p<0.001) and visceral fat percentage ( $\beta$  -3.2, p<0.001). Survivors with obesity, defined by BMI  $\geq$  30 ( $\beta$  -7.6, p=0.003), total fat percentage  $\geq$  25% ( $\beta$  -6.6, p<0.001) or waist circumference >102 cm ( $\beta$  -7.6, p<0.001) had significantly lower SHBG than survivors without obesity (Table IV).

|                                       | Free testosterone n=341 (224) <sup>a</sup> |                 |         |       |                  |       |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|-------|------------------|-------|
|                                       |  | Univariate mode | el      | N     | Iultivariate mod | el    |
|                                       | β  | 95% CI          | р       | β     | 95% CI           | р     |
| Body mass index                       | -0.15                                      | -0.23; -0.06    | 0.001   | -0.1  | -0.2; -0.01      | 0.029 |
| Obesity (BMI $\ge$ 30)                | -2.0                                       | -3.5; -0.6      | 0.006   | -1.8  | -3.2; -0.3       | 0.015 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-30)                | -0.4                                       | -1.1; 0.4       | 0.364   | 0.04  | -0.7; 0.8        | 0.927 |
| Underweight (BMI <18.5)               | -0.2                                       | -2.0; 1.7       | 0.872   | -0.7  | -2.4; 1.1        | 0.462 |
| Total fat percentage                  | -0.11                                      | -0.16; -0.06    | <0.001  | -0.08 | -0.13; -0.03     | 0.002 |
| Total fat percentage ≥25%             | -1.6                                       | -2.4; -0.8      | <0.001  | -1.2  | -2.0; -0.4       | 0.004 |
| Lean body mass (kg)                   | 0.01                                       | -0.04; 0.07     | 0.624   | -0.01 | -0.06; 0.05      | 0.861 |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup> | -0.08                                      | -0.12; -0.05    | < 0.001 | -0.06 | -0.10; -0.02     | 0.001 |
| Waist >102 cm <sup>b</sup>            | -2.6                                       | -4.4; -0.9      | 0.003   | -2.0  | -3.7;-0.3        | 0.020 |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>ь</sup>        | -0.15                                      | -0.21; -0.09    | < 0.001 | -0.1  | -0.17; -0.04     | 0.002 |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>  | -0.21                                      | -0.50; 0.08     | 0.159   | 0.12  | -0.21; 0.45      | 0.479 |

Table III | The influence of body mass index (BMI) and measures of body composition on free testosterone.

Cl=confidence interval; BMl=body mass index; <sup>a</sup>number of available DXA scans; <sup>b</sup>survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from this analysis (n=21). Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses. Model was adjusted for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose score.

#### Influence of obesity and body composition on inhibin B

Inhibin B was significantly inversely associated with total fat percentage and WHR and positively associated with lean body mass in univariate analysis (Table V). After adjustment for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, TBI, abdominal radiotherapy and AAD score, inhibin B was independently and inversely associated with total fat percentage ( $\beta$  -1.9, p=0.010) and WHR ( $\beta$  -2.1, p=0.042), but not with lean body mass. Survivors with obesity, defined by total fat percentage  $\geq 25\%$  ( $\beta$  -25, p=0.047) had significantly lower inhibin B than survivors without obesity.

In univariate analysis, inhibin B/FSH ratios were significantly inversely associated with total fat percentage and WHR and positively associated with lean body mass (Supplementary Table II). After adjustment for confounders, the inhibin B/FSH ratio was significantly inversely associated with total fat percentage ( $\beta$  (%) -2.8, p=0.018), but not with WHR or lean body mass. Survivors with obesity, defined by total fat percentage  $\geq 25\%$  ( $\beta$  (%) -34, p=0.041) had significantly lower inhibin B/FSH ratio than survivors without obesity.

Table IV | The influence of body mass index and measures of body composition on sex hormone-binding globulin.

|                                       | SHBG n=344 (225) <sup>a</sup> |                |        |      |                 |        |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|------|-----------------|--------|
|                                       | ι                             | Jnivariate mod | el     | М    | ultivariate mod | lel    |
|                                       | β                             | 95% Cl         | р      | β    | 95% CI          | р      |
| Body mass index                       | -0.9                          | -1.2; -0.6     | <0.001 | -1.0 | -1.3; -0.7      | <0.001 |
| Obesity (BMI ≥30)                     | -6.3                          | -11.4; -1.2    | 0.016  | -7.6 | -12.5; -2.6     | 0.003  |
| Overweight (BMI 25–30)                | -5.2                          | -7.9; -2.5     | <0.001 | -5.7 | -8.4;-3.1       | <0.001 |
| Underweight (BMI <18.5)               | 4.2                           | -2.3;10.6      | 0.205  | 3.6  | -2.6; 9.9       | 0.254  |
| Total fat percentage                  | -0.5                          | -0.6; -0.3     | <0.001 | -0.5 | -0.7; -0.3      | <0.001 |
| Total fat percentage ≥25%             | -6.7                          | -9.7; -3.7     | <0.001 | -6.6 | -9.6; -3.5      | <0.001 |
| Lean body mass (kg)                   | -0.3                          | -0.5; -0.1     | 0.004  | -0.3 | -0.5; -0.1      | 0.003  |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup> | -0.3                          | -0.4; -0.2     | <0.001 | -0.3 | -0.5; -0.2      | <0.001 |
| Waist >102 cm <sup>b</sup>            | -5.7                          | 12.0; 0.6      | 0.076  | -7.6 | -13.6; -1.5     | 0.014  |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>ь</sup>        | -0.3                          | -0.5; -0.1     | 0.004  | -0.4 | -0.7; -0.2      | <0.001 |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>  | -1.9                          | -3.0; -0.9     | <0.001 | -3.2 | -4.3; -2.1      | <0.001 |

Cl=confidence interval; BMI=body mass index; SHBG=sex hormone-binding globulin; <sup>a</sup>number of available DXA scans; <sup>b</sup>survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from this analysis (n=21). Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses. Model was adjusted for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose score.

|                                       | inhibin B n=340 (226) <sup>a</sup> |                |       |                |             |       |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------------|-------|
|                                       | ι                                  | Inivariate mod | el    | Multivariate m |             | el    |
|                                       | β                                  | 95% CI         | р     | β              | 95% CI      | р     |
| Body mass index                       | -0.2                               | -3.0; 2.6      | 0.863 | -1.3           | -4.0; 1.3   | 0.317 |
| Obesity (BMI ≥30)                     | -7.2                               | -54;39         | 0.761 | -10.3          | -52; 31.0   | 0.626 |
| Overweight (BMI 25–30)                | 5.0                                | -20; 30        | 0.689 | 0.4            | -22; 23     | 0.971 |
| Underweight (BMI <18.5)               | -3.7                               | -61; 53        | 0.897 | 2.8            | -48; 54     | 0.914 |
| Total fat percentage                  | -2.2                               | -3.7; -0.6     | 0.006 | -1.9           | -3.3; -0.5  | 0.010 |
| Total fat percentage ≥25%             | -30                                | -57; -4        | 0.026 | -25            | -49; -0.4   | 0.047 |
| Lean body mass (kg)                   | 2.3                                | 0.5; 4.0       | 0.011 | 0.1            | -1.6; 1.8   | 0.882 |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup> | -1.0                               | -2.1; 0.2      | 0.106 | -1.0           | -2.1; 0.1   | 0.087 |
| Waist >102 cm <sup>b</sup>            | -44                                | -101; 14       | 0.135 | -44            | -97; 8      | 0.096 |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>ь</sup>        | -2.1                               | -4.1; -0.2     | 0.034 | -2.1           | -4.1; -0.07 | 0.042 |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>  | -5.2                               | -14.1; 3.8     | 0.254 | -0.6           | -9.8; 8.7   | 0.905 |

Table V | The influence of body mass index (BMI) and measures of body composition on serum inhibin B.

Cl=confidence interval; BMl=body mass index; anumber of available DXA scans; survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from this analysis (n=21). Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses. Model was adjusted for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose score.

## Discussion

Although it is known that obesity is associated with impaired gonadal function in the general male population, this association might be different in male survivors of childhood cancer since it seems likely that the cancer treatment had such a detrimental impact that effects of obesity would be absent. However, our study observed that obesity itself has an additive negative effect on gonadal function in survivors of childhood cancer, independent of previous gonadotoxic cancer treatment and therefore lifestyle intervention might be beneficial.

In the current study, an inverse association between BMI and testosterone was found which is in line with previous reports in the general population [6,7,15-17]. However, most previous studies are based on BMI as marker for obesity, while it was recently shown that BMI is an inaccurate measure for total body fat since obesity was underestimated in 39% of the general population and in 52% of childhood cancer survivors [29,30]. Waist circumference, representing the amount of intra-abdominal fat, is a slightly better marker, but the most accurate method for total body fat measurement is dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) [31]. In the present study, we were able to include data of total body fat measured by DXA in a substantial subset of the survivors and found that obesity, represented by total fat percentage, was an independent risk factor for low total and free testosterone.

Although some studies reported no or a different association, a negative association between BMI and inhibin B was reported several times in the general population [7,8,32]. The current study clearly describes an independent relationship between obesity, represented by high total fat percentage or high waist hip-ratio, and low inhibin B levels in male survivors of childhood cancer. Additionally, low inhibin B levels were observed in underweight subjects. However, no significant relation between underweight and inhibin B levels was found, probably due to the small number of subjects in this BMI category.

We hypothesize that obesity negatively affects gonadal function in male survivors of childhood cancer. This may be due to reduced androgen levels, which can be induced by higher aromatase activity in overweight and obese men. P450 aromatase cytochrome converts testosterone to oestradiol, is expressed at high levels in white adipose tissue and is responsible for the key step in the biosynthesis of oestrogens [31,33]. Unfortunately, oestradiol levels were not available in our male survivors, but we showed that testosterone levels were low in obese men, which supports this hypothesis. Both LH and FSH remained normal, which is probably because of the phenomenon that plasma oestradiol levels substantially suppress LH levels in men [34].

Recently, in female childhood cancer survivors, we also found that obesity and insulin resistance are associated with a reduced ovarian function as reflected by decreased anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels and reduced follicle counts [35]. In previous studies regarding men, a negative or no association between obesity and insulin resistance with gonadal function has been found. A previous study among male survivors of adult cancer showed that total and free testosterone levels were significantly negatively associated with insulin levels [36]. Unfortunately, no data of fasting insulin were available in our cases. However, it is well known that insulin resistance is a major determinant of decreased SHBG levels, by its inhibition of SHBG production in the liver [37]. We

found a strong relationship between SHBG and obesity, which is probably secondary to insulin resistance. The negative influence of obesity on gonadal function might thus be secondary to insulin resistance, which relation has previously been investigated in animal studies. Hyperglycemic conditions in diabetic rats affected Sertoli cell function directly, due to atrophy of the seminiferous tubules, followed by depletion of germ cells, or indirectly due to damage to the Leydig cells affecting testosterone dependent sperm production [38,39]. In the present study we hypothesized that obesity negatively influences male reproductive capacity, based on knowledge from previous studies in the general population. However, due to the cross-sectional design we cannot exclude that in childhood cancer survivors hypogonadism may be a determinant rather than a consequence of obesity, but based on literature, the first hypothesis seems to be more likely. We were not able to include sperm analyses in the survey. Nevertheless, the correlation between inhibin B values and sperm analyses was reported to be strong (r=0.54, r=0.76, respectively, p<0.001) [12,13], indicating that it is justified to use inhibin B as a surrogate marker for gonadal function. Moreover, we measured testosterone to provide complete information on reproductive hormone status, and also found a significant negative correlation between total fat percentage and free testosterone.

In conclusion, obesity itself is associated with an impaired gonadal function in male survivors of childhood cancer, independent of the effect of the previous cancer treatment. We recommend counselling male survivors on lifestyle in order to at least partly improve their gonadal function. However, longitudinal studies are needed to confirm the positive effect of weight loss on gonadal function.

# References

- 1. Stiller C e. Childhood Cancer in Britain: Incidence, survival, mortality: Oxford University Press: Oxford; 2007.
- 2. Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Kawashima T, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Friedman DL, Marina N, Hobbie W, et al. Chronic health conditions in adult survivors of childhood cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355:1572-82.
- 3. Geenen MM, Cardous-Ubbink MC, Kremer LC, van den Bos C, van der Pal HJ, Heinen RC, Jaspers MW, Koning CC, Oldenburger F, et al. Medical assessment of adverse health outcomes in long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:2705-15.
- 4. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US adults, 1999-2008. JAMA. 2010; 303:235-41.
- 5. Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, Amarsi Z, Birmingham CL, Anis AH. The incidence of co-morbidities related to obesity and overweight: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 9:88.
- 6. Aggerholm AS, Thulstrup AM, Toft G, Ramlau-Hansen CH, Bonde JP. Is overweight a risk factor for reduced semen quality and altered serum sex hormone profile? *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:619-26.
- Chavarro JE, Toth TL, Wright DL, Meeker JD, Hauser R. Body mass index in relation to semen quality, sperm DNA integrity, and serum reproductive hormone levels among men attending an infertility clinic. *Fertil Steril.* 2010; 93:2222-31.
- Jensen TK, Andersson AM, Jorgensen N, Andersen AG, Carlsen E, Petersen JH, Skakkebaek NE. Body mass index in relation to semen quality and reproductive hormones among 1,558 Danish men. *Fertil Steril*. 2004; 82:863-70.
- 9. Hammoud AO, Wilde N, Gibson M, Parks A, Carrell DT, Meikle AW. Male obesity and alteration in sperm parameters. *Fertil Steril.* 2008; 90:2222-5.
- Oeffinger KC, Mertens AC, Sklar CA, Yasui Y, Fears T, Stovall M, Vik TA, Inskip PD, Robison LL. Obesity in adult survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2003; 21:1359-65.
- 11. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- 12. van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 13. Pierik FH, Vreeburg JT, Stijnen T, De Jong FH, Weber RF. Serum inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998; 83:3110-4.
- 14. Shalet SM. Normal testicular function and spermatogenesis. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 53:285-8.
- 15. Al-Ali BM, Gutschi T, Pummer K, Zigeuner R, Brookman-May S, Wieland WF, Fritsche HM, Aziz A. Body mass index has no impact on sperm quality but on reproductive hormones levels. *Andrologia*. 2012:in press.
- 16. Muller M, den Tonkelaar I, Thijssen JH, Grobbee DE, van der Schouw YT. Endogenous sex hormones in men aged 40-80 years. *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2003; 149:583-9.
- 17. Mohr BA, Guay AT, O'Donnell AB, McKinlay JB. Normal, bound and nonbound testosterone levels in normally ageing men: results from the Massachusetts Male Ageing Study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2005; 62:64-73.
- 18. Pierik FH, Burdorf A, de Jong FH, Weber RF. Inhibin B: a novel marker of spermatogenesis. *Ann Med*. 2003; 35:12-20.
- 19. de Ronde W, van der Schouw YT, Muller M, Grobbee DE, Gooren LJ, Pols HA, de Jong FH. Associations of sex-hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) with non-SHBG-bound levels of testosterone and estradiol in independently living men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2005; 90:157-62.
- 20. Health BNIo. National Institutes of Health (NIH), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). The practical guide: identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. *NIH publication* 00-4084 2000.

- 21. Dobbelsteyn CJ, Joffres MR, MacLean DR, Flowerdew G. A comparative evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as indicators of cardiovascular risk factors. The Canadian Heart Health Surveys. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001; 25:652-61.
- 22. Pimenta N, Santa-Clara H, Fragoso IJ. Comparison of body composition and body fat distribution of patients following a cardiac rehabilitation program and sedentary patients. *Rev Port Cardiol*. 2010; 29:1163-80.
- 23. van Waas M, Neggers SJ, Raat H, van Rij CM, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Abdominal radiotherapy: a major determinant of metabolic syndrome in nephroblastoma and neuroblastoma survivors. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e52237.
- 24. Website ASoBP. Available from: http://www.asbp.org/siterun\_data/about\_asbp/position\_statements/ doc7270523281295654373.html.
- 25. Website TNIoH. Available from: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/obesity/bmi\_tbl.htm.
- 26. Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:332-9.
- 27. Tucker MA, Meadows AT, Boice JD, Jr., Stovall M, Oberlin O, Stone BJ, Birch J, Voute PA, Hoover RN, et al. Leukemia after therapy with alkylating agents for childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1987; 78:459-64.
- 28. van Beek RD, Smit M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Jong FH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Weber RF, Pieters R, et al. Inhibin B is superior to FSH as a serum marker for spermatogenesis in men treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy during childhood. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:3215-22.
- 29. Shah NR, Braverman ER. Measuring adiposity in patients: the utility of body mass index (BMI), percent body fat, and leptin. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e33308.
- 30. Blijdorp K, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Pieters R, Boot AM, Delhanty PJ, van der Lely AJ, Neggers SJ. Obesity is underestimated using body mass index and waist-hip ratio in long-term adult survivors of childhood cancer. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e43269.
- 31. Cabler S, Agarwal A, Flint M, du Plessis SS. Obesity: modern man's fertility nemesis. *Asian J Androl*. 2010; 12:480-9.
- 32. Meeker JD, Godfrey-Bailey L, Hauser R. Relationships between serum hormone levels and semen quality among men from an infertility clinic. *J Androl*. 2007; 28:397-406.
- 33. Roth MY, Amory JK, Page ST. Treatment of male infertility secondary to morbid obesity. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab.* 2008; 4:415-9.
- 34. Raven G, de Jong FH, Kaufman JM, de Ronde W. In men, peripheral estradiol levels directly reflect the action of estrogens at the hypothalamo-pituitary level to inhibit gonadotropin secretion. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006; 91:3324-8.
- 35. van Dorp W, Blijdorp K, Laven J, Pieters R, Visser JA, Van der Lely AJ, Neggers SJ, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M. Decreased ovarian function is associated with obesity in very long-term female survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2013; 168:905-12.
- 36. Greenfield DM, Walters SJ, Coleman RE, Hancock BW, Eastell R, Davies HA, Snowden JA, Derogatis L, Shalet SM, et al. Prevalence and consequences of androgen deficiency in young male cancer survivors in a controlled cross-sectional study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007; 92:3476-82.
- 37. Plymate SR, Jones RE, Matej LA, Friedl KE. Regulation of sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) production in Hep G2 cells by insulin. *Steroids*. 1988; 52:339-40.
- 38. Cameron DF, Rountree J, Schultz RE, Repetta D, Murray FT. Sustained hyperglycemia results in testicular dysfunction and reduced fertility potential in BBWOR diabetic rats. *Am J Physiol*. 1990; 259:E881-9.
- 39. Komaki K, Ohno Y, Aoki N. Gonadal hormones and gonadal function in type 2 diabetes model OLETF (Otsuka Long Evans Tokushima Fatty) rats. *Endocr J*. 2005; 52:345-51.

|  | Total testosterone n=351 (226) <sup>a</sup> |                |        |      |                 |         |
|--|---|----------------|--------|------|-----------------|---------|
|  | L   | Jnivariate mod | el     | М    | ultivariate mod | del     |
|  | β   | 95% Cl         | р      | β    | 95% CI          | р       |
| Body mass index                            | -0.4  | -0.5; -0.2     | <0.001 | -0.3 | -0.5; -0.2      | <0.001  |
| Obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | -3.8  | -6.1; -1.4     | 0.002  | -3.7 | -6.0; -1.4      | 0.002   |
| Overweight (BMI 25-30 kg/m²)               | -1.5  | -2.7; -0.2     | 0.019  | -1.1 | -2.3; 0.1       | 0.075   |
| Underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²)              | 0.5   | -2.2; 3.3      | 0.713  | 0.05 | -2.6; 2.7       | 0.972   |
| Total fat percentage                       | -0.2  | -0.3; -0.2     | <0.001 | -0.2 | -0.3; -0.1      | <0.001  |
| Total fat percentage ≥25%                  | -3.4  | -4.7; -2.1     | <0.001 | -2.9 | -4.2; -1.6      | <0.001  |
| Lean body mass (kg)                        | -0.04                                       | -0.1; 0.1      | 0.441  | -0.1 | -0.2; 0.03      | 0.169   |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup>      | -0.2  | -0.2; -0.1     | <0.001 | -0.1 | -0.2; -0.1      | < 0.001 |
| Waist circumference >102 cm <sup>b</sup>   | -4.5  | -7.4; -1.6     | 0.003  | -4.1 | -6.9; -1.2      | 0.005   |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>ь</sup>             | -0.3  | -0.4; -0.2     | <0.001 | -0.2 | -0.3; -0.1      | < 0.001 |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>       | -0.6  | -1.0; -0.1     | 0.020  | -0.4 | -0.9; 0.2       | 0.198   |

Supplementary Table I | Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses illustrating the influence of body mass index and measures of body composition on total testosterone levels.

Cl=confidence interval; BMl=body mass index; anumber of available DXA scans; survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from this analysis (n=21). Model was adjusted for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose score.

Supplementary Table II | Univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses illustrating the influence of obesity on inhibin B/FSH ratio.

|  | Inhibin B/FSH ratio n=341 (226) <sup>a</sup> |                |       |       |                 |       |
|--|--|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|
| -  | U  | Inivariate mod | el    | M     | ultivariate mod | del   |
|  | β (%)  | 95% CI         | р     | β (%) | 95% CI          | р     |
| Body mass index                            | 2.1  | -3.2; 7.7      | 0.440 | 0.3   | -4.2; 4.9       | 0.912 |
| Obesity (BMI $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 2.9  | -57; 149       | 0.949 | 1.5   | -50; 106        | 0.968 |
| Overweight (BMI 25-30 kg/m²)               | 4.6  | -35; 67        | 0.852 | -1.2  | -33; 45         | 0.951 |
| Underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²)              | -50  | -83; 46        | 0.202 | -45   | -77; 30         | 0.171 |
| Total fat percentage                       | -4.0   | -6.8; -1.2     | 0.006 | -2.8  | -5.0; -0.5      | 0.018 |
| Total fat percentage ≥25%                  | -46  | -67; -12       | 0.014 | -34   | -55; -1.7       | 0.041 |
| Lean body mass (kg)                        | 5.1  | 1.7; 8.5       | 0.003 | -0.4  | -3.1; 2.3       | 0.753 |
| Waist circumference (cm) <sup>b</sup>      | -1.9   | -3.9; 0.2      | 0.076 | -1.5  | -3.3; 0.4       | 0.113 |
| Waist circumference >102 cm <sup>b</sup>   | -56  | -84; 24        | 0.122 | -53   | -80; 12         | 0.088 |
| Waist – hip ratio <sup>ь</sup>             | -3.2   | -5.2; -0.2     | 0.037 | -2.2  | -4.4; 0.9       | 0.141 |
| Visceral fat percentage <sup>b</sup>       | -13  | -26; 2         | 0.091 | -3    | -16; 13         | 0.711 |

FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; CI=confidence interval; BMI=body mass index; <sup>a</sup>number of available DXA scans; <sup>b</sup>Survivors treated with abdominal radiotherapy are excluded from this analysis (n=21). Model was adjusted for age at follow-up, age at diagnosis, current smoking status, total body irradiation, abdominal radiotherapy and alkylating agent dose score.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Det after childhood cancer Determinants of cancer Determinants of gonadal function after ch of gonadal **CCHATACPTCER** Dete after childhood cancer Dete of gonadal function after childhood cancer Dete



function nildhood unction Idhood minants function

Gonadal function recovery in very long-term male survivors of childhood cancer

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants W van Dorp & IMM van der Geest, JSE Laven, WCJ Hop,

W van Dorp & IMM van der Geest, JSE Laven, WCJ Hop, SJCMM Neggers, ACH de Vries, R Pieters, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink

of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of *Bur J Cancer 2013;49(6):1280-1286* cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal 180 | Chapter 10

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Although gonadal toxicity has been reported, no data are available on recovery of gonadal function in very long-term survivors of childhood cancer. Inhibin B is a novel reliable serum marker which has been shown to be of value in childhood cancer survivor studies to identify risk groups for impaired gonadal function, but consecutive long-term follow-up studies using serum inhibin B as a marker are not available.

**Objective:** To evaluate possible recovery of gonadal dysfunction over time in adult male survivors of childhood cancer.

**Methods:** In this retrospective study, adult male long-term childhood cancer survivors (n=201) who visited our outpatient late effects clinic were included and we used inhibin B as a surrogate marker for gonadal function.

**Results:** Median age at diagnosis was 5.9 years (range 0.0–17.5) and discontinuation of treatment was reached at a median age of 8.2 years (range 0.0–20.8). Inhibin B levels were first measured after a median follow-up time of 15.7 years (range 3.0–37.0). Median interval between the first (T1) and second measurement (T2) was 3.3 years (range 0.7–11.3). Median inhibin B level was 127 ng/L (range 5–366) at T1 and 155 ng/L (range 10–507) at T2. The prediction model suggests that inhibin B levels do not normalise in survivors with a very low inhibin B level at T1.

**Conclusions:** Our results suggest that recovery of gonadal function is possible even long after discontinuation of treatment. However, this recovery does not seem to occur in survivors who already reached critically low inhibin B levels after discontinuation of treatment.

## INTRODUCTION

Due to improved survival rates [1], long-term side effects of cancer treatment, such as gonadal dysfunction, became increasingly important. Gonadal dysfunction can be caused by chemotherapy and radiotherapy [2-6]. Specific chemotherapeutics such as alkylating agents and cytosine arabinoside [7,8], total body irradiation (TBI) and local irradiation including the testis [9-11] were found to be highly gonadotoxic.

To assess gonadal function, performing semen analysis is the most direct way to establish a men's fertility potential. However, in adolescents as well as in young adults providing a semen sample for analysis might be difficult. Hence, the use of an alternative first screening method would be of value. Serum inhibin B levels are currently used to assess gonadal function as a first screening [12-16]. Inhibin B is directly produced by Sertoli cells in the seminiferous tubules [17]. Inhibin B levels are strongly associated with sperm quantity and thereby constituting a marker which might identify childhood cancer survivors (CCS) at risk of infertility [18-20].

In survivors of adult Hodgkin lymphoma, interestingly, after well-documented damage of spermatogenesis in some CCS, sperm quality can improve over time after long-term follow-up. Recovery of spermatogenesis has even been described at more than 10 years after treatment [2]. Even after high doses of cyclophosphamide, a slow recovery of spermatogenesis was described [3]. Recovery after low doses of alkylating agents in adulthood has been reported as well [4,5,21]. In survivors of childhood cancer however, only two small studies evaluated the follow-up of gonadal function. In 2 out of 12 survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma, recovery has been observed at 12–15 years following treatment, whereas a second study could not confirm this recovery in 19 survivors at 10 years after treatment [22,23]. To our knowledge, follow-up studies in other subsets of adult and childhood cancer survivors have not been performed.

We performed this study to evaluate recovery of spermatogenesis over time in a large single-centre cohort of male long-term CCS using inhibin B as a surrogate marker and using paired analyses.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subjects

A cross-sectional retrospective single-centre study was performed in our adult late-effects outpatient clinic for long-term CCS. Survivors were in continuous complete remission and older than 18 years. Male survivors, diagnosed between 1964 and 2005, who visited our late-effects outpatient clinic twice or more were included between 2004 and 2010. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Information on the type of disease, patient characteristics, treatment regimens and follow-up data were retrieved from the medical records. Recently, the alkylating agent dose (AAD) score was introduced to determine the effect of high-risk chemotherapy. We calculated this score by determining the drug dose tertile distribution in our entire cohort of survivors and adding the tertile scores (1–2–3) for each of the alkylating agents given to a particular patient as previously used in

childhood cancer survivors by Green *et al* [8,24]. An AAD score of zero was assigned to patients not exposed to alkylating agents. Radiotherapy at high risk for gonadal dysfunction was defined by TBI and testis irradiation.

### Hormones

We obtained peripheral blood samples, which were stored at -20° Celsius until analysis. Inhibin B levels were measured using kits purchased from Serotec Ltd (Oxford, United Kingdom). Within-assay and between-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were <9% and <15%, respectively. Serum FSH and LH were determined with the Immulite assay (Diagnostic Products Corporation (DPC), Los Angeles, CA, United States of America). Within-assay and between-assay CV were <6% and <9%, and <5% and 11% for FSH and LH, respectively. Serum testosterone levels were determined using coated tube radioimmunoassays (DPC). Intra-assay and inter-assay variation coefficients were 3% and 4.5%. The reference values of LH, FSH and testosterone for male adults in our institute are 1.5–8.0 U/L, 2.0–7.0 U/L, 10.0–30.0 nmol/L, respectively [19]. Inhibin B levels between 150 and 400 ng/L were used as reference values; 150 ng/L provides the highest sensitivity and specificity as lower normal value for detecting low semen quality [16].

### Data analysis

Survivor characteristics were expressed as median and range. Since the distribution was not normal, non-parametric paired tests were used. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were used to analyse paired inhibin B levels in both the complete cohort of survivors, as well as in a subgroup excluding subjects with low LH or FSH at first assessment (T1) (n=194/201), as a proxy for hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. Results of both analyses were identical and only the analysis of all survivors was included in this paper. Logistic regression was used to evaluate the probability to reach an inhibin B level  $\geq$  150 ng/L at the second assessment (T2), allowing for age at diagnosis, first inhibin B level, age at T2, interval between discontinuation of treatment and T2, high-risk radiotherapy, AAD score and hypogonadism, represented by a low LH and/or FSH. Whether the effects of the variables AAD score and high-risk radiotherapy depended on the length of the interval between two assessments was evaluated using interaction terms. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago IL, USA).

# RESULTS

Between 2004 and 2010, 217 out of 348 adult male survivors visited our outpatient clinic twice since the remaining 131 survivors only visited the outpatient clinic once. Out of these subjects, inhibin B levels were measured twice in 203 subjects. Two survivors were excluded because of testosterone substitution. Patient characteristics are presented in Table I. The correlation between serum inhibin B levels at T1 and T2 is presented in Supplementary Figure I. Table I | Patient characteristics (n=201)

|   | Median (range)   |
|---|------------------|
| Age at diagnosis (years)                      | 6.0 (0.0–17.5)   |
| Age at stop treatment (years)                 | 8.3 (0.0–20.8)   |
| Age at T1 (years)                             | 22.6 (18.0–43.4) |
| Age at T2 (years)                             | 26.9 (19.0–46.3) |
| Interval between T1 and T2 (years)            | 3.3 (0.7–11.3)   |
| Interval after end of treatment to T1 (years) | 15.7 (3.0–37.0)  |
| Diagnosis                                     | Number (%)       |
| Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia                 | 63 (31.3)        |
| Renal tumour                                  | 28 (13.8)        |
| Hodgkin lymphoma                              | 24 (11.9)        |
| T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma                   | 16 (8.0)         |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma                   | 13 (6.5)         |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma                              | 10 (5.0)         |
| Brain tumour                                  | 8 (4.0)          |
| Neuroblastoma                                 | 6 (3.0)          |
| Osteosarcoma                                  | 6 (3.0)          |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia                       | 6 (3.0)          |
| Ewing sarcoma                                 | 5 (2.5)          |
| Other   | 16 (8.0)         |
| Radiotherapy                                  |                  |
| No irradiation                                | 130 (64.7)       |
| Cranial irradiation                           | 19 (9.5)         |
| Brain irradiation                             | 15 (7.5)         |
| Total body irradiation                        | 6 (3.0)          |
| Testis irradiation                            | 1 (0.5)          |
| Other   | 30 (14.9)        |
| Chemotherapy                                  |                  |
| AAD-score                                     |                  |
| 0   | 78 (38.8)        |
| 1   | 22 (10.9)        |
| 2   | 32 (15.9)        |
| 3   | 55 (27.4)        |
| ≥4  | 14 (7.0)         |

AAD score=Alkylating Agent Dose score; T1=first assessment; T2=second assessment.

A summary of the analyses of hormone levels is presented in Table II. Median inhibin B level at T1 was 127 ng/L (range 5–366), which is below the lower limit of 150 ng/L [16]. At T1, 61% (123/201) had a serum inhibin B level <150 ng/L. In survivors of B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, neuroblastoma, osteosarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma and Hodgkin lymphoma, median serum inhibin B levels were significantly below the normal limit of 150 ng/L. Especially in survivors of rhabdomyosarcoma (median 58 ng/L, range 10–226) and Hodgkin lymphoma (median 46 ng/L, range 10–274), inhibin B levels were dramatically low at T1. Only acute lymphoblastic leukaemia survivors (median 153 ng/L, range 9–296) and survivors of renal tumours (median 160 ng/L, range 13–366) had a median serum inhibin B level  $\geq$ 150 ng/L (Figure I).



Figure I | Inhibin B levels per diagnosis. Median inhibin B levels and interquartile ranges per diagnosis at T1 (first assessment) and T2 (second assessment). ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; T-NHL=T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma; B-NHL=B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. Other diagnoses were not presented due to the small number of survivors.

|                       | T1              | T2              | Change            | р      |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|
| FSH (U/L)             | 4.6 (0.1–65.8)  | 5.0 (0.1–65.7)  | 0.3 (-16–14.5)    | <0.001 |
| LH (U/L)              | 3.4 (0.1–16.3)  | 3.9 (0.1–19.5)  | 0.5 (-6.6–8.4)    | <0.001 |
| Testosterone (nmol/L) | 14.6 (2.8–32.7) | 16.1 (5.7–36.9) | 0.95 (-15.6–15.9) | 0.012  |
| Inhibin B (ng/L)      | 127 (5–366)     | 156 (10–507)    | 20 (-143–265)     | <0.001 |

Table II | Hormone levels during follow-up of all survivors (n=201).

T1=first assessment; T2 second assessment; change T2-T1.

At second assessment (T2), the median inhibin B level in the total group of CCS was 156 ng/L (range 10–507), above the normal level. 47% (94/201) survivors had a serum inhibin B level <150 ng/L. Survivors of rhabdomyosarcoma (median 38 ng/L, range 10–342) and Hodgkin lymphoma (median 41 ng/L, range 10–269) still had dramatically low serum median inhibin B levels at T2, whereas acute lymphoblastic leukaemia survivors (median 193 ng/L, range 10–411) and renal tumour survivors (median 220 ng/L, range 10–371) had the highest serum inhibin B levels (Table III).

Paired analyses showed that the increase of inhibin B levels between T1 (127 ng/L) and T2 (156 ng/L) was significant (p<0.001). Except survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma (p=0.780) and survivors of rhabdomyosarcoma (p=0.688), all survivors showed a significant increase of serum inhibin B levels.

In survivors treated without irradiation, median inhibin B levels were nearby the normal range and these levels increased significantly to normal levels at T2 (median 165, range 10–507, p<0.001). In survivors treated with cranial irradiation, both inhibin B levels at T1 and T2 were within the normal range (T1: median 159, range 10–296; T2: median 188, range 12–411, p=0.038). In survivors treated with brain irradiation, the inhibin B levels at T1 and T2 were far below the normal range. Although the group size was too small to draw firm conclusions, the median inhibin B level of survivors treated with TBI remains far below the normal level (Table III).

We also analysed inhibin B levels in survivors according to AAD score. Survivors with an AAD score of 2 or higher had a median inhibin B level below the normal limit at T1, while survivors with an AAD score of 0 or 1 had normal median inhibin B levels (Table III). At T2, serum inhibin B levels in survivors with an AAD score  $\geq$ 3 remained low, while the levels in survivors with an AAD score=2 recovered up to a normal level. Serum inhibin B levels in survivors who were not treated with alkylating agents or with an AAD score=1 remained within the normal range. Paired analyses showed that survivors treated without alkylating agents had a significant increase in median inhibin B levels. Only survivors with an AAD score=1 or an AAD score  $\geq$ 4 showed no significant change over time. Survivors treated without alkylating agents or with an AAD score <3 reached normal median inhibin B levels.

Table III | Inhibin B in diagnostic and treatment subsets.

|                               | n   | Inhibin B       | Inhibin B        | Change of inhibin B | p^      |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|
|                               |     | First screening | Second screening |                     |         |
| n                             | 201 | 127 (5; 366)    | 156 (10; 507)    | 20 (-143; 265)      | < 0.001 |
| Diagnosis                     |     |                 |                  |                     |         |
| Acute lymphoblastic leukaemia | 63  | 153 (9; 296)    | 193 (10; 411)    | 38 (-143; 265)      | < 0.001 |
| Renal tumour                  | 28  | 160 (13; 366)   | 220 (10; 371)    | 31 (-100; 182)      | 0.005   |
| Hodgkin lymphoma              | 24  | 46 (10; 274)    | 41 (10; 269)     | 0 (-118; 63)        | 0.780   |
| T-cell non- Hodgkin lymphoma  | 16  | 105 (10; 225)   | 152 (23; 391)    | 44 (-44; 223)       | 0.003   |
| B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma   | 13  | 75 (10; 225)    | 123 (10; 279)    | 21 (-70; 115)       | 0.012   |
| Rhabdomyosarcoma              | 10  | 58 (10; 226)    | 38 (10; 342)     | 1 (-40; 116)        | 0.688   |
| Brain tumour                  | 8   | 105 (18; 184)   | 139 (39; 314)    | 29 (-29; 143)       | *       |
| Neuroblastoma                 | 6   | 85 (21; 212)    | 90 (14; 345)     | 11 (-39; 133)       | *       |
| Ewing sarcoma                 | 6   | 39 (15; 299)    | 65 (43; 336)     | 10 (-5; 50)         | *       |
| Osteosarcoma                  | 6   | 86 (38; 339)    | 123 (24; 507)    | 35 (-19; 168)       | *       |
| Acute myeloid leukaemia       | 5   | 145 (10; 195)   | 126 (11; 287)    | 4 (-59; 109)        | *       |
| Other                         | 16  | 109 (5; 206)    | 140 (10; 339)    | 43 (-34; 195)       |         |
| Radiotherapy                  |     |                 |                  |                     |         |
| No irradiation                | 130 | 137 (10; 366)   | 165 (10; 507)    | 26 (-118; 265)      | < 0.001 |
| Cranial irradiation           | 19  | 159 (10; 296)   | 188 (12; 411)    | 38 (-103; 154)      | 0.038   |
| Brain irradiation             | 15  | 88 (10; 171)    | 90 (11; 314)     | 21 (-143; 145)      | 0.050   |
| Total body irradiation        | 6   | 16 (5; 35)      | 15 (10; 53)      | 3 (-11; 18)         | *       |
| Chemotherapy                  |     |                 |                  |                     |         |
| AAD score=0                   | 78  | 152 (13; 366)   | 210 (10; 507)    | 40 (-100; 233)      | < 0.001 |
| AAD score=1                   | 22  | 161 (24; 279)   | 161 (21; 411)    | 21 (-143; 138)      | 0.144   |
| AAD score=2                   | 32  | 127 (9; 296)    | 154 (10; 392)    | 30 (-44; 265)       | < 0.001 |
| AAD score=3                   | 55  | 73 (10; 299)    | 112 (10; 336)    | 7 (-103; 143)       | 0.004   |
| AAD score≥4                   | 14  | 16 (5; 77)      | 15 (10; 57)      | 0 (-44; 15)         | 0.326   |

Data are presented as median (range). ^paired analysis (Wilcoxon signed rank test), \*Analysis was not performed because of small number of patients. AAD score=Alkylating Agent Dose Score.

Using logistic regression, we found that the probability of recovery of serum inhibin B levels was significantly influenced by the first inhibin B level (p<0.001), but was not influenced by age at diagnosis (p=0.390), age at T2 (p=0.440), interval between discontinuation of treatment and T2 (p=0.368), high-risk radiotherapy (p=0.999), AAD score (p=0.297), hypogonadism (p=0.900) and the interaction terms (high-risk radiotherapy x interval between T1 and T2) (p=1.000) and (AAD score x interval between T1 and T2) (p=0.073). Figure II shows the estimated normalisation probabilities of inhibin B levels based on the levels at first screening. This figure shows that survivors with an inhibin B level at first assessment  $\geq$ 105 ng/L have 50% chance to reach normal inhibin B levels, while this

probability of recovery is negligible when the first inhibin B level is below 60 ng/L. The latter group consists of survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma treated with alkylating agents (no radiotherapy) and survivors with an AAD score  $\geq$ 3 (Supplementary Figures II and III).



Figure II | Prediction of recovery. The prediction of the chance of reaching normal inhibin B levels based on inhibin B levels at T1. T1=first assessment of inhibin B.

# DISCUSSION

As yet, large studies on recovery of gonadal function with a very long (>15 years) follow-up based on paired measurements have not been performed in male CCS. We showed that in general, inhibin B levels tend to increase over time in most CCS, suggesting that recovery of gonadal damage can occur long time after survivors discontinued their cancer treatment. However, this increase does not seem to occur in those survivors who already reached critically low serum inhibin B levels long after discontinuation of treatment.

Overall, longitudinal studies regarding the follow-up of gonadal dysfunction in survivors of childhood cancer are scarce. In survivors of childhood Hodgkin lymphoma, two smaller studies were published. In 17 and 19 survivors respectively, recovery after a median follow-up of 10 years in male long-term survivors of childhood Hodgkin lymphoma treated with alkylating agents did not occur, whether they received pelvic radiotherapy or not [22]. In contrast, in survivors of adult Hodgkin lymphoma, recovery of gonadal function after cessation of treatment occurred in a small subset treated with alkylating agents after 5–15 years follow-up [2,4].

We and others have shown that male survivors who have been treated with alkylating agents are at risk for infertility [8,19,25]. In the present study, we used the AAD score to determine the effect of high-risk chemotherapy in a specific survivor. Survivors with an AAD score  $\geq$ 3 did not show recovery of inhibin B levels. Secondly, the chance of recovery of inhibin B did not depend on age at diagnosis in our study.

This study suggests that in the male after initial impairment of function, gonads can recover over time in subsets of CCS even after a very long follow-up time. Little is known about the mechanism of recovery after cancer treatment. We hypothesise that the normalisation of inhibin B, being a proxy for gonadal function, would be due to the slow recovery of spermatogenesis over time. Although this hypothesis was not investigated in long-term survivors, in mice and rats such a recovery process has been shown after Busulfan treatment. At day 96, spermatogenesis fully recovered in many seminiferous tubules and 80% of the rats regained various degrees of fertility at day 120 [26]. It was hypothesised that this recovery was the result of self-renewal of stem spermatogonia and suggested that the asymmetric division of type A spermatogonia and their close contact with the basal lamina and the Sertoli cells may be involved in regulating the number of stem spermatogonia. In mice, ageing does not affect the recovery of spermatogenesis after Busulfan [27], which could be similar to our finding that the probability of normalisation of inhibin B in human survivors was age-independent.

We were not able to include sperm analyses in paired analyses. Although the statement has been challenged [28], we and others have shown the reliability of inhibin B levels as a marker for male gonadal function [17,19,20]. Nevertheless, we recommend confirming our data in large longitudinal prospective cohorts in which serum inhibin B levels and sperm analyses were assessed. We have assumed that inhibin B is stable throughout adulthood. It is known that serum levels of inhibin B reach a peak during puberty [29-31], which seems to reflect the androgen-mediated differentiation of the Sertoli cells [32]. Thereafter, it is stable during life time [33]. Furthermore, serum inhibin B levels are relatively stable during daytime as shown in fertile men [34]. Therefore, we can conclude that inhibin B as a marker for spermatogenesis is stable enough to use in our follow-up study.

To our knowledge, we were the first to study the follow-up of gonadal function in male CCS, as measured by paired serum inhibin B levels as a proxy for spermatogenesis. We showed that in general, inhibin B levels are stable or increase over time, suggesting that recovery from gonadal damage may occur long after cessation of treatment for childhood cancer. However, this increase does not occur in survivors with critically low serum inhibin B levels more than 15 years after treatment, such as survivors treated with high dose alkylating agents or total body irradiation. Prospective longitudinal follow-up studies, using large nationwide cohorts and including sperm analyses, are necessary to confirm our results.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin. 2010; 60:277-300.
- 2. Marmor D, Duyck F. Male reproductive potential after MOPP therapy for Hodgkin's disease: a long-term survey. *Andrologia*. 1995; 27:99-106.
- 3. Gurgan T, Salman C, Demirol A. Pregnancy and assisted reproduction techniques in men and women after cancer treatment. *Placenta*. 2008; 29 Suppl B:152-9.
- 4. Pedrick TJ, Hoppe RT. Recovery of spermatogenesis following pelvic irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1986; 12:117-21.
- 5. Viviani S, Ragni G, Santoro A, Perotti L, Caccamo E, Negretti E, Valagussa P, Bonadonna G. Testicular dysfunction in Hodgkin's disease before and after treatment. *Eur J Cancer*. 1991; 27:1389-92.
- van Dorp W, van Beek RD, Laven JS, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012; 18:12-28.
- 7. van Waas M, Neggers SJ, Te Winkel ML, Beishuizen A, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Endocrine late sequelae in long-term survivors of childhood non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2012;23:1626-32.
- Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:332-9.
- 9. Castillo LA, Craft AW, Kernahan J, Evans RG, Aynsley-Green A. Gonadal function after 12-Gy testicular irradiation in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1990; 18:185-9.
- 10. Clement-De Boers A, Oostdijk W, Van Weel-Sipman MH, Van den Broeck J, Wit JM, Vossen JM. Final height and hormonal function after bone marrow transplantation in children. *J Pediatr.* 1996; 129:544-50.
- 11. Ash P. The influence of radiation on fertility in man. Br J Radiol. 1980; 53:271-8.
- 12. Hu YA, Huang YF. [A serum marker of spermatogenesis--inhibin B]. Zhonghua Nan Ke Xue. 2002; 8:57-60.
- 13. Jensen TK, Andersson AM, Hjollund NH, Scheike T, Kolstad H, Giwercman A, Henriksen TB, Ernst E, Bonde JP, et al. Inhibin B as a serum marker of spermatogenesis: correlation to differences in sperm concentration and follicle-stimulating hormone levels. A study of 349 Danish men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1997; 82:4059-63.
- 14. Klingmuller D, Haidl G. Inhibin B in men with normal and disturbed spermatogenesis. *Hum Reprod*. 1997; 12:2376-8.
- 15. Krause W, Bohring C. [Inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. A new dimension in andrology]. *Hautarzt*. 2002; 53:5-10.
- 16. Pierik FH, Burdorf A, de Jong FH, Weber RF. Inhibin B: a novel marker of spermatogenesis. *Ann Med*. 2003; 35:12-20.
- 17. Pierik FH, Vreeburg JT, Stijnen T, De Jong FH, Weber RF. Serum inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998; 83:3110-4.
- 18. Myers GM, Lambert-Messerlian GM, Sigman M. Inhibin B reference data for fertile and infertile men in Northeast America. *Fertil Steril*. 2009; 92:1920-3.
- 19. van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 20. van Beek RD, Smit M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Jong FH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Weber RF, Pieters R, et al. Inhibin B is superior to FSH as a serum marker for spermatogenesis in men treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy during childhood. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:3215-22.
- 21. Dubey P, Wilson G, Mathur KK, Hagemeister FB, Fuller LM, Ha CS, Cox JD, Meistrich ML. Recovery of sperm production following radiation therapy for Hodgkin's disease after induction chemotherapy with mitoxantrone, vincristine, vinblastine, and prednisone (NOVP). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2000; 46:609-17.
- 22. Heikens J, Behrendt H, Adriaanse R, Berghout A. Irreversible gonadal damage in male survivors of pediatric Hodgkin's disease. *Cancer.* 1996; 78:2020-4.

- 23. Ortin TT, Shostak CA, Donaldson SS. Gonadal status and reproductive function following treatment for Hodgkin's disease in childhood: the Stanford experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1990; 19:873-80.
- 24. Tucker MA, Meadows AT, Boice JD, Jr., Stovall M, Oberlin O, Stone BJ, Birch J, Voute PA, Hoover RN, et al. Leukemia after therapy with alkylating agents for childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1987; 78:459-64.
- 25. Tromp K, Claessens JJ, Knijnenburg SL, van der Pal HJ, van Leeuwen FE, Caron HN, Beerendonk CC, Kremer LC. Reproductive status in adult male long-term survivors of childhood cancer. *Hum Reprod*. 2011; 26:1775-83.
- 26. Jiang FX. Behaviour of spermatogonia following recovery from busulfan treatment in the rat. *Anat Embryol* (*Berl*). 1998; 198:53-61.
- 27. Ehmcke J, Joshi B, Hergenrother SD, Schlatt S. Aging does not affect spermatogenic recovery after experimentally induced injury in mice. *Reproduction*. 2007; 133:75-83.
- 28. Rendtorff R, Beyer M, Muller A, Dittrich R, Hohmann C, Keil T, Henze G, Borgmann A. Low inhibin B levels alone are not a reliable marker of dysfunctional spermatogenesis in childhood cancer survivors. *Andrologia*. 2012;44(Suppl. 1):219-225.
- 29. Crofton PM, Evans AE, Groome NP, Taylor MR, Holland CV, Kelnar CJ. Inhibin B in boys from birth to adulthood: relationship with age, pubertal stage, FSH and testosterone. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2002; 56:215-21.
- 30. Andersson AM, Juul A, Petersen JH, Muller J, Groome NP, Skakkebaek NE. Serum inhibin B in healthy pubertal and adolescent boys: relation to age, stage of puberty, and follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, testosterone, and estradiol levels. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1997; 82:3976-81.
- 31. Chada M, Prusa R, Bronsky J, Kotaska K, Sidlova K, Pechova M, Lisa L. Inhibin B, follicle stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone and testosterone during childhood and puberty in males: changes in serum concentrations in relation to age and stage of puberty. *Physiol Res.* 2003; 52:45-51.
- 32. Hero M, Tommiska J, Vaaralahti K, Laitinen EM, Sipila I, Puhakka L, Dunkel L, Raivio T. Circulating antimullerian hormone levels in boys decline during early puberty and correlate with inhibin B. *Fertil Steril*. 2012; 97:1242-7.
- 33. Bohring C, Krause W. Serum levels of inhibin B in men of different age groups. Aging Male. 2003; 6:73-8.
- 34. Jorgensen N, Liu F, Andersson AM, Vierula M, Irvine DS, Auger J, Brazil CK, Drobnis EZ, Jensen TK, et al. Serum inhibin-b in fertile men is strongly correlated with low but not high sperm counts: a coordinated study of 1,797 European and US men. *Fertil Steril*. 2010; 94:2128-34.



Supplementary Figure I | Correlation between inhibin B levels (ng/L) at first screening and inhibin B levels (ng/L) at second screening (r=0.819; p<0.001).



Supplementary Figure II | Prediction model of recovery probability of inhibin B based on first inhibin B level, showing high-risk subgroups based on diagnosis. MOPP=mustargen oncovin procarbazine prednisone.



Supplementary Figure III | Prediction model of recovery probability of inhibin B based on first inhibin B level, showing high-risk subgroups based on Alkylating Agent Dose (AAD) score.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

of gonadal function after childhood cancer Deferminants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after chil



adal function er childhood Determinants adal function er childhood Determinants adal function er childhood

Electroejaculation as a method of fertility preservation in boys diagnosed with cancer: a single-centre experience and review of the literature

after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood MC Adank, W van Dorp, M Smit, NJ van Casteren, JSE Laven, R Pieters, MM van den Heuvel-Eibrink *Submitted* 

# Abstract

**Objective:** To evaluate the feasibility of electroejaculation to perform semen cryopreservation in pubertal boys before gonadotoxic therapy, and to review literature on this topic.

Design: Retrospective cohort study and review of the literature.

Setting: Academic children's hospital.

**Patient(s):** Boys diagnosed with cancer to whom sperm cryopreservation was offered before start of gonadotoxic therapy.

**Intervention(s):** We studied the outcome of electroejaculation, including patients' characteristics, hormone levels, and pre-treatment semen parameters.

Main outcome measure(s): Semen cryopreservation.

**Result(s):** Pre-treatment semen samples were obtained by masturbation in 106/114 boys with cancer (median age 16.5 years, range 10.8–8.9), of which 78/106 were adequate for preservation. In 11 boys electroejaculation was offered, of which 3/11 appeared adequate for preservation. Reviewing all reported electroejaculation cases in children with cancer in the literature, 13/29 (45%) cases were successful. Testosterone levels were significantly higher in patients with successful sperm yield obtained by electroejaculation (testosterone: median 8.3 nmol/L (5.2-42.4) in successful versus median 1.7 nmol/L (0.01-17.9), p=0.006 in unsuccessful harvests).

**Conclusion(s):** Semen cryopreservation should be offered to all pubertal boys. If masturbation fails, electroejaculation can be considered as a useful option for semen cryopreservation, and leads to adequate material for cryopreservation in about half of the cases.

# Introduction

The survival of childhood cancer has considerably increased over the last decades [1]. Because of this prolonged survival, long-term effects of treatment have become more apparent. One of these effects is infertility [2]. Treatment with high doses of alkylating agents, testicular irradiation with doses >1.2 Gy and total body irradiation prior to hematopoietic stem cell transplantation are risk factors for infertility later in life [3].

To preserve fertility, pre-treatment sperm cryopreservation can be offered to boys diagnosed with cancer to give these boys the chance to father their own offspring. However, especially young patients are frequently unable to produce sperm by masturbation. In adults diagnosed with cancer, neurological diseases and paraplegia, electroejaculation has been found to be a useful alternative [4,5]. In children diagnosed with cancer, only limited information on the feasibility and efficacy of electroejaculation is available [4-7]. Here, we provide an overview of semen cryopreservation after the introduction of electroejaculation in boys with cancer. Secondly, we present a narrative literature review on the outcome of electroejaculation in childhood cancer patients.

## Materials and methods

#### **Subjects**

From January 1998 to March 2013 semen cryopreservation was offered to boys above the age of 10 years, with a Tanner stage  $\geq$ G2P2 before the start of their anticancer treatment at the Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital. The electroejaculation procedure was offered from 2003 onwards if sperm production by masturbation was not possible because of motor disabilities or early puberty (primarily), or if no ejaculation was obtained by masturbation after multiple attempts due to stress (secondary). For the current analysis, patients with previous gonadotoxic therapy, as well as patients diagnosed with a brain tumour were excluded. Patients were categorized in five diagnosis groups: leukaemia/non-Hodgkin lymphoma, Hodgkin lymphoma, sarcoma/Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumour (PNET), testicular tumours and other tumours.

The cohort of childhood cancer patients diagnosed from 1995 to 2005 was described previously [8].

#### Methods

Retrospectively, clinical data were retrieved from patient record files. Data were collected on age at diagnosis, type and stage of disease, therapeutic modalities, B-symptoms (fever for three consecutive days, drenching night sweats and weight loss exceeding 10% of body weight in six months), feasibility of ejaculation and masturbation at diagnosis, Tanner stage, testicular volume and reproductive hormone levels. Tanner stage was assessed clinically at diagnosis and classified as pre-pubertal (Tanner stage 1), mid-pubertal (Tanner stage 2–3), or late pubertal (Tanner stage 4–5) [9].

Endpoints were type and quality of ejaculate, semen volume, concentration, sperm count, morphology, progressive motility, pH, vitality, leukocytes (present or not), and number of round cells
as defined by the 5th WHO manual for semen analysis [10], and number of straws cryopreserved. Semen volume of 1.5 ml, total sperm number of  $39x10^6$ /ejaculate, sperm concentration of  $15x10^6$ /ml, total motility of 40%, progressive motility of 32%, vitality (live spermatozoa) of 58%, sperm morphology (normal forms) of 4% and pH  $\geq$ 7.2 are considered normal values [10]. Oligospermia was defined as the total number or concentration of spermatozoa below the lower reference limit ( $39x10^6$ /ejaculate and  $15x10^6$ /ml, respectively). Azoospermia was defined as absence of spermatozoa in the ejaculate [10]. Regardless of meeting the WHO criteria, semen samples were defined to be adequate for cryopreservation if any motile spermatozoa were identified, since ultimately only few motile spermatozoa are needed for assisted reproduction techniques (ART). In order to compare our results with previous studies, and because none of the patients appeared to produce an adequate semen sample after an unsuccessful first attempt, the endpoint 'successful semen cryopreservation' was based on the first attempt.

The electroejaculation procedure was commenced under general anaesthesia as previous described by inserting a transrectal probe in contact with the prostate and seminal vesicles [4,5,7]. The procedure was combined with other procedures for oncological treatment that needed to be performed under general anaesthesia, such as insertion of a central venous access line.

### Serum hormone levels

During the diagnostic phase, before start of anticancer therapy, peripheral blood samples were obtained for analysis of serum hormone levels. Inhibin B levels were measured using kits purchased from Serotec Ltd (Oxford, United Kingdom). Within-assay and between-assay coefficients of variation (CV) were <9%, and <15%, respectively. Serum follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) were determined with the Immulite assay (Diagnostic Products Corporation (DPC), Los Angeles, CA, United States of America). Reference values of LH, FSH, inhibin B and testosterone are: 1.5–8.0 U/L, 2.0–7.0 U/L, 150–400 ng/L and 10.0–30.0 nmol/L respectively [11]. Within-assay and between-assay CV were <6% and <9%, and <5% and 11% for FSH and LH, respectively. Serum testosterone levels were determined using coated tube radioimmunoassays (DPC). Intra-assay and inter-assay variation coefficients were 3% and 4.5%.

#### Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0. Data were presented as median, range or percentages. Mann Whitney U non-parametric tests were used to compare the characteristics of patients with and without successful cryopreservation or electroejaculation. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Literature review

A literature search on electroejaculation was conducted in July 2013 using Embase, PubMed, Medline Ovid SP, Cochrane, Web of Science and Google Scholar. The following key-words and their synonyms were used: male, neoplasms, child, fertility, sperm, electric stimulation and cryopreservation. Studies were eligible for selection if electroejaculation cryopreservation was described, patients were aged between 10–19 years at diagnosis, and the manuscript was published in a peer-reviewed scientific

journal written in the English or Dutch language. After removing duplicates, the authors screened titles and abstracts to select eligible studies. Full text papers were obtained of the selected abstracts, and were excluded if studies did not meet the inclusion criteria. If not included initially, cross-references picked up during the review procedure were also selected. The complete search strategy is available on request.

### Results

Between 1998 and 2013, semen cryopreservation was offered to 114 boys diagnosed with cancer. Cryopreservation attempts by masturbation were reported in 106 boys with cancer (93%) prior to treatment, of which 78 (68%) patients had samples that were adequate for cryopreservation, 18 (16%) patients had immotile spermatozoa, or absence of spermatozoa. Ten patients were not able to produce an ejaculate by masturbation. In the attempt to achieve adequate banking, 61 patients had one attempt, 50 patients two, and three patients three attempts. None of the ten patients with an unsuccessful first attempt were able to produce an adequate consecutive semen sample at a new attempt. In order to compare our results with previous studies, and because none of the patients appeared to produce an adequate semen sample after an unsuccessful first attempt, the endpoint 'successful semen cryopreservation' was based on the first attempt.

Electroejaculation was offered to a selection of 11 patients (10%). Of these, 8 patients were offered electroejaculation primarily because of motor disabilities or early puberty ( $\geq$ G2P2, but small testicular volume and no nocturnal ejaculations); 3 patients were offered electroejaculation secondary because they initially failed to produce adequate semen by masturbation after at least two attempts. Patient characteristics are listed in Table I. Of the 8 patients who received electroejaculation primarily, 2 (25%) produced a semen sample adequate for cryopreservation. In the selected 3 cases with secondary electroejaculation, 1 case produced an adequate semen sample.

Patients with adequate sperm yield retrieved by masturbation or electroejaculation were significantly older at time of diagnosis compared to patients without adequate sperm yield (median 16.6 years (10.8–18.9) versus median 16.0 years (12.0-18.3), p=0.02).

Levels of FSH, LH, inhibin B and testosterone from patients with adequate sperm yield retrieved by masturbation did not significantly differ from those of patients with no adequate sperm yield.

#### Literature review

The literature search on electroejaculation in children with cancer identified 1,979 articles. After removing duplicates, 1,112 reports were screened for title and abstract. Eighteen abstracts met the inclusion criteria and were retrieved in full text for further assessment. Of the selected studies, a cross-reference of related articles, references and citing articles was performed; this yielded no further manuscripts for inclusion (Supplemental Figure I). Ultimately, the literature search revealed four manuscripts (Table II). Including our 11 cases, in total 29 cases were evaluable.

|                   |                                       | Cohort           | Conservative<br>cryopreservation |                  | Electroejaculation |                    |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                   |                                       | n=114            | n=106                            | n=11             | Successful (n=3)   | Unsuccessful (n=8) |
| Age               |                                       | 16.3 (10.8–18.9) | 16.5 (10.8–18.9)                 | 13.7 (12.0–16.0) | 13.8 (12.6–16.0)   | 13.3 (12.0–16.0)   |
| Tanner            | IJ                                    | 4 (2–5)          | 4.5 (3–5)                        | 3 (2–5)          | 3 (2–5)            | 3 (3–5)            |
|                   | Ь                                     | 4 (2–5)          | 4.5 (3–5)                        | 3 (2–5)          | 3 (2–5)            | 3 (2–5)            |
| Testicular volume | Left (ml)                             | 13.5 (6.0–20.0)  | 14.3 (8.0–20.0)                  | 9.5 (6.0–15.0)   | NA                 | 9.5 (6.0–15.0)     |
|                   | Right (ml)                            | 12.8 (6.0–20.0)  | 13.5 (8.0–20.0)                  | 9.5 (6.0–15.0)   | NA                 | 9.5 (6.0–15.0)     |
| Semen analysis    | Volume (x10 <sup>6</sup> ml)          | 1.1 (0.0–4.5)    | 1.1 (0.0–4.5)                    | 0.4 (0.02–3.0)   | 0.4 (0.4–0.4)      | 0.4 (0.02–3.0)     |
|                   | Concentration (x10 <sup>6</sup> / ml) | 14.0 (0.0–323.0) | 15.0 (0.0–323.0)                 | 2.0 (0.1–14.5)   | 2.0 (0.1–5.5)      | 2.0 (0.1–14.5)     |
|                   | Motility (%)                          | 29.0 (0.0–69.0)  | 29.0 (0.0–69.0)                  | 1.0 (0.0–4.0)    | 3.0 (2.0–4.0)      | 0                  |
|                   | Hq                                    | 7.6 (6.4–8.0)    | 7.7 (7.1–8.0)                    | 7.3 (6.4–8.0)    | 7.9                | 7.0 (6.4–8.0)      |

Table I | Characteristics of boys referred for cryopreservation of semen.

NA=not available; n=number. Numbers are depicted as median (range).

| ent.     |
|----------|
| reatm    |
| ding t   |
| prece    |
| ancer    |
| with c   |
| boys 1   |
| EEin     |
| orts of  |
| d repo   |
| es an    |
| ie seri  |
| I   Cas  |
| -        |
| <u>e</u> |

| Tab        | ole II   Case series and reports  | of EE in boys with | n cancer p | preceding treatment. |                 |          |                |   |                |
|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---|----------------|
|            | Author and year                   | Study design       | Age at El  | E Diagnosis          | Tanner<br>stage | Testis V | Test<br>(UI/I) | Semen analysis                                | Adequate yield |
| <u>-</u> - | Schmiegelow <i>et al</i> 1998 [5] | Case report        | 14         | Relapse of pre-B ALL | G3P3            | 20-25 ml | NA             | A: V 0.7 ml; M 1%                             | +              |
|            |                                   |                    |            |                      |                 |          |                | R: C 1.6x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 5%            |                |
| 2.         | Müller <i>et al</i> 2000 [6]      | Cohort study       | 13         | NHL                  | >3              | NA       | NA             | V 0.8ml; C 75x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 38%      | +              |
| ς.         |                                   |                    | 14         | ALL relapse          | >3              | NA       | NA             | V 3.2 ml; C 4.0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 10%    | +              |
| 4          | Hovav <i>et al</i> 2001 [4]       | Cohort study       | 15         | Ewing sarcoma        | NA              | NA       | NA             | R: C 15x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 6%             | +              |
| 5.         |                                   |                    | 15         | Osteosarcoma         | NA              | NA       | NA             | R: C 24x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 53%            | +              |
| 6.         |                                   |                    | 17         | Osteogenic sarcoma   | NA              | NA       | NA             | A: C 0.65x10°/ml; M 0%<br>R: C 9x10°/ml; M 0% |                |
| 7.         |                                   |                    | 18         | TGCT                 | NA              | NA       | NA             | A: C 35x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 33%            | +              |
| °.         | Hagenas <i>et al</i> 2010 [7]     | Cohort study       | 12.7       | HL                   | G5P4            | 8 ml     | 0.0            | V 0.1 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%       | ı              |
| 9.         |                                   |                    | 12.9       | Н                    | P3              | 15 ml    | 8.0            | V 1.3 ml; C 5.5x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 15%    | +              |
| 10.        |                                   |                    | 13.8       | Ewing sarcoma        | G4              | NA       | 4.1            | V 0.1 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%       | ı              |
| 11.        |                                   |                    | 13.9       | Lymphoma             | G3P4            | 8 ml     | NA             | V 0.1 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%       | ı              |
| 12.        |                                   |                    | 14         | Lymphoma             | P5              | 20 ml    | 12.5           | V 0.8 ml; C 99x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 27%     | +              |
| 13.        |                                   |                    | 14.3       | RMS                  | G4              | 15 ml    | 5.2            | V 0.5 ml; C 0.3x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 14%    | +              |
| 14.        |                                   |                    | 14.4       | Osteosarcoma         | P4              | 10 ml    | 13.1           | V 6.1 ml; C 0.1x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%     | ı              |
| 15.        |                                   |                    | 14.5       | Osteosarcoma         | G3P3            | 10 ml    | NA             | V 0.03 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%      | ı              |
| 16.        |                                   |                    | 15         | Н                    | NA              | NA       | 1.4            | V 0.4 ml; C 0.24x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%    | ı              |
| 17.        |                                   |                    | 15.3       | RMS                  | P5              | 15 ml    | 1.6            | V 2.6 ml; C 0.8x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%     | ı              |
| 18.        |                                   |                    | 17.3       | Testicular cancer    | NA              | 8 ml     | 42.4           | V 1.8 ml; C 0.1x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 10%    | +              |
|            |                                   |                    |            |                      |                 |          |                |   |                |

| -               |               |          |              |                 |          |                |   |                |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---|----------------|
| Author and year | Study design  | Age at E | E Diagnosis  | Tanner<br>stage | Testis V | Test<br>(UI/I) | Semen analysis                            | Adequate yield |
| 19. This Study  | Retrospective | 12.7     | Н            | G3P3            | NA       | 3.1            | V 0.4 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%   |                |
| 20.             | cohort study  | 13.0     | НL           | NA              | 6 ml     | 0.6            | C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%             | ,              |
| 21.             |               | 14.6     | HL           | P2-3            | 10 ml    | 1.7            | C 14.5x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%          | ı              |
| 22.             |               | 15.9     | HL           | G3P3            | 9 ml     | 1.4            | V 0.3 ml; C 0.1x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0% | ı              |
| 23.             |               | 16.0     | B-NHL        | G5P5            | NA       | 20.1           | V 0.4 ml; C 2.0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 4% | +              |
| 24.             |               | 12.0     | T-ALL        | NA              | NA       | 17.9           | C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%             | ı              |
| 25.             |               | 13.7     | B-NHL        | NA              | 15 ml    | 3.4            | V 1.9 ml; C 2.0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0% | I              |
| 26.             |               | 12.5     | Osteosarcoma | G3-4 P3-4       | NA       | 7.4            | V 0.4 ml; C 0.1x10 <sup>6</sup> / ml      | +              |
| 27.             |               | 15.0     | ALL          | G5P5            | NA       | 6.0            | V 0.02 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%  | ı              |
| 28.             |               | 13.8     | Н            | G2P2            | NA       | 8.3            | V 0.4 ml; C 5.5x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 2% | +              |
| 29.             |               | 12.0     | HL           | NA              | NA       | 0.4            | V 3.0 ml; C 0x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml; M 0%   | ı              |
| Total           |               | 14.0     |              |                 |          |                |   | 13/29          |

ē hormones; ALL-acute lymphoblastic leukaemia; NHL=non-Hodgkin lymphoma; TGCT =testicular germ cell tumour; HL=Hodgkin lymphoma; RMS=rhabdomyosarcoma; NA=not available. Median age at diagnosis of the boys that underwent electroejaculation was 14.0 years (12.0–18.0), median Tanner stage was 3 (3–5), and median testicular volume of cases with available information (n=13) was 10.0 ml (6.0-20.0). The 29 patients were diagnosed with leukaemia/non-Hodgkin lymphoma (5/9 successful), Hodgkin lymphoma (2/9 successful), sarcoma/PNET (4/9 successful) and testicular tumours (2/2 successful). In total, 13/29 (48%) of these selected patients produced semen samples fulfilling the criteria required for cryopreservation.

Hormone levels at time of cryopreservation were measured in 20/29 patients. Median FSH level was 2.1 UI/L (0.01–6.7), median LH level was 1.45 UI/L (0.01-4.9), median inhibin B level was 249 ng/L (56–320) and median testosterone level 4.7 nmol/L (0.01–4.4). Median semen volume was 0.4x10<sup>6</sup> ml (0.02–6.1), median sperm concentration was 0.3x10<sup>6</sup>/ml (0-99.0) and median sperm motility was 0% (0-53). Electroejaculation was successful in 2/8 cases (25%) to whom it was offered primarily, and in 11/21 cases (52%) to those offered secondary (Table III).

No significant differences in age, Tanner stage and testicular volume between cases with and without adequate sperm yield were found (Table III). Also, hormone levels were similar in the two groups except for testosterone, which was significantly higher in patients with an adequate sperm yield by electroejaculation, i.e. respectively median 8.3 nmol/L (5.2–42.4) versus 1.7 nmol/L (0.01–17.9), p=0.006 (Figure I). FSH, LH and inhibin B levels were not correlated with the semen quality (Table III).

|                        | n  | Successful yield (n=13) (range) | n  | No successful yield (n=16) (range) | р     |
|------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|------------------------------------|-------|
| Age (years)            | 13 | 14.0 (12.5–18.0)                | 16 | 14.2 (12.0–17.0)                   | 0.48  |
| Tanner stage G         | 7  | 3 (2–5)                         | 8  | 3.5 (3–5)                          | 0.40  |
| Tanner stage P         | 8  | 3 (2–5)                         | 8  | 3.5 (2–5)                          | 0.65  |
| Testicular volume (ml) | 4  | 15.0 (8.0–20.0)                 | 9  | 10.0 (6.0–15.0)                    | 0.20  |
| FSH (UI/L)             | 7  | 2.1 (0.01–5.2)                  | 12 | 1.9 (0.5–6.7)                      | 0.83  |
| LH (UI/L)              | 7  | 1.6 (0.01–4.9))                 | 13 | 1.2 (0.5–4.1)                      | 0.50  |
| Inhibin B (ng/L)       | 7  | 277.0 (56.0–299.0)              | 13 | 194.0 (72.0–320.0)                 | 0.75  |
| Testosterone (nmol/L)  | 7  | 8.3 (5.2-42.4)                  | 13 | 1.7 (0.01-17.9)                    | 0.006 |

Table III | Characteristics of semen cryopreservation of patients who underwent electroejaculation (n=29).

n=number; FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone; LH=luteinizing hormone. Numbers are depicted as median (range).

Mann-Whitney U test showed a significant difference for testosterone between the two groups. Semen cryopreservation was defined to be successful if motile spermatozoa were found and subsequently banked.



Figure I | Hormone levels of patients who underwent electroejaculation (n=29); A) testosterone levels, B) inhibin B levels. Lines indicate median values. Semen cryopreservation was defined to be successful if motile spermatozoa were found and subsequently banked.

### Discussion

It has become good clinical practice to offer cryopreservation of semen in pubertal boys with cancer before starting gonadotoxic treatment to allow the possibility to father their genetically own child. Adolescent males with cancer have been reported to be good candidates for sperm banking [12-14]. Currently, according to literature, approximately 77% of boys aged 12–18 years with new or relapsed cancer is offered sperm banking before start of therapy [15], and 28-69% of these patients attempt to cryopreserve semen [15,16], of which approximately 65% is successful [15,17]. A success rate of 74% was found in our cohort.

Electroejaculation is an alternative technique to cryopreserve semen, but so far, information on the success of electroejaculation procedures in children is scarce. Summarizing all available information on reported cases, including the present study, 45% of the procedures resulted in a yield that was sufficient for banking. Although this represents a selected series, this illustrates that electroejaculation is a meaningful sperm harvest alternative for young boys who are not able to produce a semen sample by masturbation. It has to be emphasized, though, that only 1 out of 29 cases produced a sufficient semen sample according to the WHO criteria. Nevertheless, 13/29 patients had semen samples stored, as motile spermatozoa were present and, currently, potential pregnancies can be achieved in ICSI programs with only few functional spermatozoa [18,19]. It is not known whether such programs will ultimately be of help in childhood cancer survivors, since to our knowledge no data on pregnancy outcome of cryopreserved semen of childhood cancer patients are available. In survivors of adult males with cancer approximately 18–46% of ART cycles resulted in pregnancy [20-22] and 75% of the pregnancies resulted in a live birth [20,22]. A registry documenting sperm banking in childhood cancer patients, as well as follow-up data on the usage of cryopreserved sperm and pregnancy outcome of these patients would be of utmost value.

In the selection of children to offer electroejaculation, the efficacy, costs, as well as the medical and psychological burden of electroejaculation should carefully be weighted. For that reason, identifying predictive factors for a successful yield would be of great value. It is obvious that, based on current knowledge in this small series, evidence based guidelines cannot be provided. However, our study suggests that testosterone, but not inhibin B seems to be the most valuable predictor for a successful sperm yield retrieved by electroejaculation. In addition, Tanner stage and age may also be used as parameters for considering electroejaculation. We show that even in cases with early puberty (G2P2), electroejaculation may be feasible, and especially in these patients, testosterone may guide the decision. Additionally, the patients' emotional and sexual development should also play an important role in the decision to offer cryopreservation by electroejaculation.

Unfortunately, pre-pubertal boys with cancer are unable to produce an adequate ejaculate by masturbation or electroejaculation due to immature spermatogenesis. Testicular sperm extraction (TESE), which is the removal of testicular tissue by an incision in the testis in an attempt to retrieve spermatozoa, is used in pubertal boys [23,24], but is still no option for pre-pubertal boys. Retrieving spermatogonial stem cells by testis biopsies in order to preserve fertility in pre-pubertal boys needs to be further developed [24,25]. Issues such as tumour contamination, testis haemorrhage after biopsy, as well as the delay of starting treatment waiting for such procedures should be included in the further development of clinical practice guidelines by paediatric oncologists and fertility preservation experts.

We conclude that all pubertal boys with cancer should be offered semen cryopreservation before gonadotoxic therapy has started from Tanner stage G2P2 onwards. If masturbation fails, electroejaculation can be considered as a useful option for semen cryopreservation, and leads to adequate material for cryopreservation in about half of the cases.

# References

- 1. Hayat MJ, Howlader N, Reichman ME, Edwards BK. Cancer statistics, trends, and multiple primary cancer analyses from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. *Oncologist*. 2007; 12:20-37.
- 2. Dohle GR. Male infertility in cancer patients: Review of the literature. Int J Urol. 2010; 17:327-31.
- 3. Levine J, Canada A, Stern CJ. Fertility preservation in adolescents and young adults with cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; 28:4831-41.
- 4. Hovav Y, Dan-Goor M, Yaffe H, Almagor M. Electroejaculation before chemotherapy in adolescents and young men with cancer. *Fertil Steril*. 2001; 75:811-3.
- Schmiegelow ML, Sommer P, Carlsen E, Sonksen JOR, Schmiegelow K, Muller JR. Penile vibratory stimulation and electroejaculation before anticancer therapy in two pubertal boys. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 1998; 20:429-30.
- 6. Muller J, Sonksen J, Sommer P, Schmiegelow M, Petersen PM, Heilman C, Schmiegelow K. Cryopreservation of semen from pubertal boys with cancer. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 2000; 34:191-4.
- Hagenas I, Jorgensen N, Rechnitzer C, Sommer P, Holm M, Schmiegelow K, Daugaard G, Jacobsen N, Juul A. Clinical and biochemical correlates of successful semen collection for cryopreservation from 12-18-year-old patients: a single-center study of 86 adolescents. *Hum Reprod*. 2010; 25:2031-8.
- van Casteren NJ, Dohle GR, Romijn JC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Weber RF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Semen cryopreservation in pubertal boys before gonadotoxic treatment and the role of endocrinologic evaluation in predicting sperm yield. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:1119-25.
- 9. Tanner JM. Growth and endocrinology of the adolescent. Gardner Le, editor. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1969.
- 10. WHO. WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen fifth edition Geneva, Switzerland 2010.
- 11. Pierik FH, Burdorf A, de Jong FH, Weber RF. Inhibin B: a novel marker of spermatogenesis. *Ann Med*. 2003; 35:12-20.
- 12. Kliesch S, Behre HM, Jurgens H, Nieschlag E. Cryopreservation of semen from adolescent patients with malignancies. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1996; 26:20-7.
- 13. Bahadur G, Ling KLE, Hart R, Ralph D, Riley V, Wafa R, Ashraf A, Jaman N, Oyede AW. Semen production in adolescent cancer patients. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:2654-6.
- 14. Ginsberg JP, Ogle SK, Tuchman LK, Carlson CA, Reilly MM, Hobbie WL, Rourke M, Zhao H, Meadows AT. Sperm banking for adolescent and young adult cancer patients: Sperm quality, patient, and parent perspectives. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:594-8.
- Gan HW, Williamson E, Alexander S, Davar Z, Davies M, Spoudeas H. Sperm cryopreservation in adolescent minors with cancer: A 10-year experience of 222 consecutive patients aged 12-18 years. *Horm Res Paediatr.* 2011; 76:94.
- 16. Klosky JL, Randolph ME, Navid F, Gamble HL, Spunt SL, Metzger ML, Daw N, Morris EB, Hudson MM. Sperm cryopreservation practices among adolescent cancer patients at risk for infertility. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2009; 26:252-60.
- 17. Keene DJB, Sajjad Y, Makin G, Cervellione RM. Sperm banking in the United Kingdom is feasible in patients 13 years old or older with cancer. *J Urol.* 2012; 188:594-7.
- 18. Konc J, Kanyo K, Cseh S. Deliveries from embryos fertilized with spermatozoa obtained from cryopreserved testicular tissue. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2006; 23:247-52.
- 19. Palermo G, Joris H, Devroey P, Van Steirteghem AC. Pregnancies after intracytoplasmic injection of single spermatozoon into an oocyte. *Lancet*. 1992; 340:17-8.
- 20. Agarwal A, Ranganathan P, Kattal N, Pasqualotto F, Hallak J, Khayal S, Mascha E. Fertility after cancer: a prospective review of assisted reproductive outcome with banked semen specimens. *Fertil Steril.* 2004; 81:342-8.
- 21. Lass A, Akagbosu F, Abusheikha N, Hassouneh M, Blayney M, Avery S, Brinsden P. A programme of semen cryopreservation for patients with malignant disease in a tertiary infertility centre: lessons from 8 years' experience. *Hum Reprod.* 1998; 13:3256-61.

- 22. Meseguer M, Molina N, Garcia-Velasco JA, Remohi J, Pellicer A, Garrido N. Sperm cryopreservation in oncological patients: a 14-year follow-up study. *Fertil Steril*. 2006; 85:640-5.
- 23. Furuhashi K, Ishikawa T, Hashimoto H, Yamada S, Ogata S, Mizusawa Y, Matsumoto Y, Okamoto E, Kokeguchi S, et al. Onco-testicular sperm extraction: testicular sperm extraction in azoospermic and very severely oligozoospermic cancer patients. *Andrologia*. 2013; 45:107-10.
- 24. Fujita K, Tsujimura A. Fertility preservation for boys with cancer. Reprod Med Biol. 2010; 9:179-84.
- 25. Keros V, Hultenby K, Borgstrom B, Fridstrom M, Jahnukainen K, Hovatta O. Methods of cryopreservation of testicular tissue with viable spermatogonia in pre-pubertal boys undergoing gonadotoxic cancer treatment. *Hum Reprod.* 2007; 22:1384-95.



Supplementary Figure I | Flowchart of literature search.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

of gonadal function after childhood cancer. Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer.



cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood General discussion and perspectives

cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants The increasing survival of childhood cancer over the last decades stresses the need for awareness for late treatment effects. Gonadal dysfunction is one of the important late effects. The impact of cancer treatment on gonadal function has extensively been studied [1-8]. However, the extent of loss of gonadal function differs between equally treated childhood cancer survivors. Information on determinants of ovarian function in childhood cancer survivors other than previous cancer treatment is largely lacking. Therefore, in this thesis we aimed to identify determinants of gonadal function and its potential recovery after childhood cancer, and, where possible, to evaluate alternative fertility options for survivors of childhood cancer.

# 12.1 | Gonadal function in female survivors of childhood cancer

### Determinants of female gonadal function following childhood cancer treatment

*Baseline gonadal function before treatment*. Pre-treatment gonadal function does determine ovarian reserve after cancer treatment in survivors of adult cancer. In these adults, ovarian reserve was found to be impaired even before cancer treatment [9]. As reported in adults, anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels were reduced in girls with newly diagnosed cancer, even before cancer treatment has started [10]. The cause of these reduced AMH levels is unclear. However, impaired ovarian function was also found in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus and systemic lupus erythematous, suggesting that disease-related health status may affect AMH levels [10-12].

Whether an improved general health status is associated with increasing AMH levels is currently unknown. So far, ovarian reserve was mainly assessed after childhood cancer treatment. It is likely that baseline gonadal function affects post-treatment ovarian reserve. AMH is a reliable marker for the number of primordial follicles in the general population, and pre-treatment AMH has been described as a predictor of ovarian function after breast cancer treatment [13-19]. However, since an impaired health status seems to affect granulosa cell function as well, AMH is a marker of the actual ovarian function, indicative for a reduced primordial follicle pool, or a combination of these two in girls with newly diagnosed cancer. We are currently performing a longitudinal study of AMH levels in girls before and after cancer treatment in order to gain more insight in AMH as potential determinant of ovarian function after childhood cancer. This also has the potential to study the relationship between improving health status and AMH recovery.

*Tumour infiltration of the gonads.* The tumour localisation and subsequent treatment may also affect long-term gonadal function. The most striking examples are primary ovarian tumours, such as germ cell tumours, but also ovarian infiltration, which may occur in patients with for example non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) [20]. The latter condition is very rare. Although chemotherapy alone cures NHL, over half of the NHL patients reported in literature were treated with upfront ovariectomy, because these tumours were thought to be primary ovarian tumours (10). Ovariectomy obviously leads to a reduced ovarian reserve, and might lead to premature menopause [20,21]. We thus recommend to avoid ovariectomy as treatment of ovarian infiltrated NHL. In girls with ovarian tumours with negative tumour markers ( $\alpha$ -fetoprotein (AFP) and human chorionic gonadotropin ( $\beta$ -HCG)), tumour biopsies should be done to avoid unnecessary ovariectomy.

Moreover, the presence of malignant cells in ovarian tissue is of importance for pre-treatment cryopreservation because of the potential recurrence of cancer after re-implantation. The risk of ovarian metastasis depends on cancer type, as presented in Table I [22]. Both paediatric oncologists and gynaecologists should be aware of these risks when cryopreservation is offered to newly diagnosed patients.

| High risk        | Moderate risk                    | Low risk                              |
|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Leukaemia        | Breast cancer                    | Breast cancer                         |
|                  | Stage IV                         | Stage I-II                            |
|                  | Infiltrating lobular subtype     | Infiltrating ductal subtype           |
| Neuroblastoma    | Colon cancer                     | Squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix |
| Burkitt lymphoma | Adenocarcinoma of the cervix     | Hodgkin lymphoma                      |
|                  | Non-Burkitt non-Hodgkin lymphoma | Osteogenic carcinoma                  |
|                  | Ewing sarcoma                    | Non-genital rhabdomyosarcoma          |
|                  |                                  | Wilms tumour                          |

Table I | Risk of ovarian metastasis according to cancer type.

Modified from Dolmans et al, Fertil Steril, 2013.

*Obesity.* In the general population, obesity is associated with subfertility [23-26]. Similarly, we found that obesity is associated with decreased ovarian function in survivors of childhood cancer [27]. Because obesity and gonadal dysfunction are both major late effects of anticancer treatment, survivors and their health care providers should be aware of the potential relationship between obesity and gonadal function. The mechanism behind this association is not fully understood. It has been hypothesized that bilateral ovariectomy and subsequent oestradiol deficiency lead to obesity and insulin resistance, similar to observations in animal models [28,29]. However, adiposity and insulin resistance may also affect granulosa cell function [11,30,31]. We recommend longitudinal intervention studies addressing the impact of weight loss on gonadal function in female survivors of childhood cancer.

*Genetic factors.* In the general population, age at menopause is associated with specific single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) mainly involved in DNA repair [32-35]. We showed that one of these SNPs, rs1172822, was also associated with impaired ovarian reserve and lower predicted age at menopause in adult female survivors of childhood cancer, even independent of previous cancer treatment [36]. In contrast, other candidate SNPs that were relevant in the general population were not associated with ovarian reserve in childhood cancer survivors. However, it should be noted that the power to detect the association with these SNPs was moderate to poor because of low minor allele frequencies requiring larger numbers of cases. Therefore, including larger numbers of cases in future studies is advised. Currently, national and international efforts are being developed to solve

methodological issues by building adequately powered study designs, and still addressing the issue of variation in ethnic backgrounds [37,38], but also bring together expertise of geneticists, paediatric oncologists, fertility experts, epidemiologists and health scientists. Current advances in genetic technologies including genome-wide association studies and whole-genome sequencing, provide opportunities to identify rare variants associated with a premature diminished ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors. It is anticipated that these efforts will aid to the integration of genetic variation in future risk prediction of gonadal dysfunction after childhood cancer.

#### Female fertility after childhood cancer

*Pregnancy outcome.* Impaired fertility is a major issue in female survivors of childhood cancer, and occurs especially after treatment with high doses of alkylating agents and abdominal irradiation [39,40]. Female childhood cancer survivors have a ten-fold risk of developing premature menopause compared to their siblings (8% versus 0.8%) [41]. Still, despite equal efforts to offer fertility counselling and treatment, survivors were less likely than their siblings to receive fertility treatment [39]. This might be caused by either a provider concern about treating cancer survivors for infertility and potential medical comorbidities, or patients' reluctance against taking drugs after previous extensive treatment. Either way, it stresses the importance to gain and spread knowledge about fertility counselling and -treatment after childhood cancer. Literature on this topic, including pregnancy outcome and pregnancy complications of artificially conceived pregnancies is scarce. Recently, the number of oocytes retrieved by in vitro fertilisation (IVF) was found to be decreased in survivors of adult cancer treated with chemotherapy [42]. To our knowledge, data on IVF treatment and pregnancy outcome in adult survivors of childhood cancer is not available yet.

Currently, oocyte donation was suggested as a successful treatment option for infertility in patients with primary ovarian insufficiency, either idiopathic, genetic or after gonadotoxic cancer treatment. Oocyte donation in survivors of childhood cancer has been described in case reports only. All four reported cases had embryo transfers, after which three became pregnant, resulting in two healthy children and one mid-trimester loss of pregnancy [43,44]. We showed that women treated with oocyte donation, including 12 cancer survivors, had an increased risk for pregnancy induced hypertension [45], which is in line with previous studies [46-52]. Therefore, close monitoring of oocyte donation pregnancies is recommended. Even more awareness in childhood cancer survivors who previously received cancer treatment, in particular survivors treated with cardiotoxic therapy, might be needed. However, studies including larger numbers of childhood cancer survivors are needed to evaluate whether these survivors indeed are at increased risk of cardiovascular complications during pregnancy compared to other women treated with oocyte donation.

*Fertility preservation*. In order to preserve fertility in patients who will be treated with gonadotoxic cancer therapy, both gonadal suppression and cryopreservation methods have been used. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone-analogues (GnRH-a), suppressing LH and FSH production, were suggested to protect the ovaries [53-55]. However, to date, it is questionable whether GnRH treatment will work, since primordial follicles are not gonadotropin sensitive. Recently, the local administration of Sphingosine-1-phosphate, an apoptosis inhibitor, was found to reduce ovarian

damage during cytotoxic treatment in rodents, non-human primates, but also recently in human ovarian tissue [56,57]. Although promising, the functionality of the rescued human ovarian follicles needs to be evaluated, as well as the impact of the apoptosis inhibitor. Before puberty, there is no rationale for GnRH-a treatment because of the absence of activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian axis.

Oocyte vitrification in adults and embryo cryopreservation in adults with a partner are potential options for gonadal preservation. However, in most cases the time span available to perform such procedures (i.e. IVF or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI)) is limited. Moreover, the exact number of oocytes that should be harvested to assure a pregnancy ending in a live birth is unknown but will be generally spoken higher than in one cycle might be harvested. Finally, in case of sex steroid sensitive tumours the increase in sex steroids as a result of the initiated IVF treatment is a major point of concern. Adjuvant therapeutic modalities are emerging but are not yet indicated for this specific use and might also constitute an extra risk factor. To summarize, although treatment options have been improved, several uncertainties remain, and requires further evaluation.

In pre-pubertal girls and adolescents, ovarian tissue cryopreservation is an option for gonadal preservation [58]. As mentioned earlier, the risk of re-implanting malignant cells must be considered for all malignant diseases, particular in case of systemic malignant diseases, such as leukaemia (Table I). In patients with leukaemia, metastases were repeatedly detected in ovarian tissue obtained for cryopreservation purposes, while no metastases were found in ovarian tissue from lymphoma patients [59-63]. However, so far, oncological recurrence has been described in two survivors, of cervical cancer and breast cancer, who had their ovarian tissue auto-transplanted. Though, the recurrence may not be related to the transplantation [60,64].

Ovarian cryopreservation, although still experimental, may be offered to pre-pubertal girls with non-ovarian, non-haematological cancer [65-69]. In vitro maturation of ovarian follicles to harvest mature oocytes eliminates the risk of cancer cell re-introduction, but this technique is still technically challenging and not very successful so far [70]. However, the field of fertility preservation is rapidly evolving, and, though based on experimental protocols, ovarian cryopreservation may be considered. To date, the ASCO guideline recommends that ovarian cryopreservation and transplantation procedures should only be performed in centres with the necessary expertise [71]. To provide success rates of cryopreservation, all procedures should be documented and analysed [65].

# 12.2 | Gonadal reserve in male survivors of childhood cancer

In men, semen quality is an important marker of fertility. Inhibin B, a product of the Sertoli cells, seems a reliable first screening marker for sperm quality after childhood cancer treatment [3,4,72]. While Sertoli cells are susceptible to damage by cytotoxic treatment, Leydig cells seem relatively resistant to this treatment, which explains previous studies reporting normal testosterone levels while sperm quality and inhibin B levels were low after treatment with alkylating agents [3,73].

#### Determinants of male gonadal function following childhood cancer treatment

In male survivors of childhood cancer, alkylating agents and testis irradiation are associated with impaired gonadal function [3,4,7,74]. Impaired pre-treatment spermatogenesis [75,76], testicular tumour infiltration [77] as well as genetic variation [78] have been described, though sparsely, as determinants of gonadal dysfunction after childhood cancer. In the general population, obesity determines testicular production of testosterone in a negative manner. It has been hypothesized that low testosterone levels are the result of high aromatase activity, expressed in white adipose tissue, that converts testosterone into oestradiol [79,80]. Consequently normal levels of LH and FSH are expected, because of the negative feedback of oestradiol on the pituitary gland [81]. We found, similar to female childhood cancer survivors, an association between obesity and gonadal dysfunction in male survivors of childhood cancer, independent of previous gonadotoxic cancer treatment [82]. Future longitudinal intervention studies should address the impact of weight loss on recovery of gonadal function.

### Male fertility after childhood cancer

*Fertility.* As reported in female childhood cancer survivors, impaired fertility occurs particularly after treatment with high doses of alkylating agents or abdominal irradiation [3]. The Childhood Cancer Survivor Study showed that young male survivors were less likely to father a child after treatment with cyclophosphamide or procarbazine [7]. IVF and ICSI may be good alternatives available for survivors with reduced fertility.

*Fertility preservation*. In post-pubertal boys with cancer, semen cryopreservation is offered before the start of gonadotoxic treatment [83-85]. If semen retrieval by masturbation is unsuccessful, electroejaculation techniques should be considered [86]. This can be performed in combination with other procedures for which general anaesthesia is required. It is important to know, however, whether these semen samples are sufficient for IVF or ICSI. Recently, a small study showed no differences in pregnancy rates of IVF or ICSI between couples with and without a cancer history [87]. In survivors of adult cancer, half of couples achieved pregnancy and life birth using the cryopreserved semen samples [88].

If semen cryopreservation is unsuccessful, testicular sperm extraction (TESE) might be a reliable option [89,90]. TESE is a procedure to retrieve sperm from the testicular tissue of survivors with ejaculatory azoospermia who may have reduced but preserved fertility [91,92]. Studies on the feasibility of TESE in cancer patients have only been reported after chemotherapy. In these post-treatment azoospermic patients, viable sperm retrieval rates of 37% to 60% have been reported [91,92].

Semen cryopreservation by masturbation, electroejaculation or TESE is not feasible in pre-pubertal boys. In these boys, retrieval of spermatogonial stem cells by testis biopsy might be an option, but this procedure needs to be further developed before clinical implementation [90,93-95]. However, future research needs to ensure the elimination of reintroducing malignant cells. Patients diagnosed with non-solid tumours would be at high risk, since testis-infiltrated tumour cells may be present in the biopsy material [95] in contrast to solid tumours that rarely metastasize to the testes [96]. The

risk of testis haemorrhage after biopsy as well as the potential cancer treatment delay should also be included in the decision whether or not to perform cryopreservation.

Potential recovery of gonadal function. Knowledge on potential recovery of gonadal dysfunction and its determinants in survivors of childhood cancer is important for counselling survivors about their potential fertile life span. Recovery of spermatogenesis has been reported even 5-15 years after initial cancer treatment in adult Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors treated with procarbazine. Testicular function is better in survivors treated with ABVD compared to survivors treated with MOPP, as demonstrated by the return to normal fertility in the vast majority of survivors treated with ABVD [97-103]. We found that inhibin B levels, a serum marker of spermatogenesis, still increase in very long-term survivors of childhood cancer, but only in those survivors without critically low serum inhibin B levels at first screening [104]. Survivors with critically low levels are treated with high dose alkylating agents, testis irradiation or total body irradiation. This suggests that cancer treatment remains an important determinant of recovery. The mechanism of recovery after such a long followup time is not fully understood. Type A dark spermatogonia, which show no proliferative activity under normal conditions, and type A pale spermatogonia, which divide at 16-day interval [105], are less responsive to cytostatics than the rapidly destroyed type B spermatogonia because these other cells have little or no mitotic activity [106]. However, it remains important that threshold cumulative cytostatic doses are not exceeded. Previous studies showed that recovery occurs as long as 3-9 years after therapy [107,108], and our results suggest that even after a longer time period recovery may occur [104].

## 12.3 Gonadal dysfunction surveillance after childhood cancer

As stated above, several determinants may impact a survivor's gonadal function and subsequent fertility after childhood cancer treatment. To endorse early detection of and intervention for late effects resulting from childhood cancer treatment, clinical practice guidelines for long-term follow-up of childhood cancer survivors have been developed in Europe and North America [109-112]. However, the definition of patient risk groups, and surveillance modalities and frequencies differ between these guidelines. This inconsistency leads to uncertainty about which guideline to follow. An international endeavour was initiated to harmonize guidelines for breast cancer survivors [113]. This already resulted in the harmonized recommendations for breast cancer surveillance for female childhood, adolescent and young adult cancer survivors treated with chest irradiation [114]. Following that effort we enforced surveillance recommendations for primary ovarian insufficiency for female childhood cancer survivors by the international Harmonization Working Group. After reviewing all existing literature and guided by expert opinions, the following surveillance recommendations at late effect clinics were formulated:

Table II | Harmonized recommendations for screening female survivors of childhood cancer.

#### **STRONG** recommendations

Counselling regarding the risk of POI and its complications for future fertility is recommended for post-pubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation

Monitoring of pubertal development for pre-pubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation is recommended

Laboratory evaluation (FSH and oestradiol) and referral to paediatric endocrinology/gynaecology of pre-pubertal females who fail to initiate or progress through puberty is recommended

Laboratory evaluation (FSH and oestradiol) and referral to gynaecology/(reproductive) endocrinology is recommended for post-pubertal females who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggestive of POI

Referral to gynaecology/reproductive endocrinology and laboratory evaluation is recommended for post-pubertal females treated with gonadotoxic chemotherapy and/or ovarian radiation without signs and symptoms of primary ovarian insufficiency who desire assessment about potential for future fertility

Treatment with sex steroid replacement therapy is recommended in pre-pubertal and post-pubertal females diagnosed with POI

#### **MODERATE** recommendation

AMH level measurement may be reasonable for female survivors 25 years or older who present with menstrual cycle dysfunction suggestive of POI or who desire assessment about potential for future fertility

Similarly, several clinical practice guidelines for long-term follow-up of male childhood cancer survivors have been developed in Europe and North America [109-112]. As they differ in their recommendations, a harmonized guideline for the surveillance of gonadal dysfunction in male childhood cancer survivors is currently in development.

# 12.4 | General perspectives

Collaboration and centralisation of care and research is necessary to further improve cure rates for children with cancer while on one hand reducing the side effects of therapy and on the other hand to optimize the treatment of for instance iatrogenic infertility. So far, childhood cancer care was provided in five paediatric oncology centres and two transplantation centres in the Netherlands. These centres will be brought together in one national paediatric oncology centre, which is located in the centre of the Netherlands. In order to centralise research, a large nationwide study is currently being performed in 7,000 long-term survivors in the Netherlands, the so-called Dutch Childhood Oncology Group (DCOG) – LATER Q2008. In this study, the prevalence and risk factors of long-term treatment-related complications will be assessed. As a start, the DCOG LATER-VEVO study, initiated by the VUMc, is ongoing. This study will provide valuable information on the reproductive potential long-term survivors of childhood cancer [115]. These efforts are supported by several national grants. Likewise, PanCareLife is an EU Framework 7 Programme in the Health Theme that studies the impact of drug treatment regimens on childhood cancer patient outcomes. This project will focus on fertility in survivors of childhood cancer, including the influence of genetic variation on fertility

outcome (*www.pancarelife.eu*). These large scale studies are expected to increase the knowledge on determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer as partly described in this thesis.

By centralising care, specialised survivorship care can be commenced by a variety of expert providers with different backgrounds including subspecialists as fertility experts, cardiologists and endocrinologists, nurse practitioners and oncologists, committed to understand late effects and up to date on recommendations regarding screening and education. This specialised model of care gives instant credibility to survivorship care to the patients and their families [116], and provides proper fertility counselling to families with a newly diagnosed child.

## 12.5 | Conclusions

This thesis presents determinants, apart from cancer treatment, contributing to gonadal dysfunction in childhood cancer survivors. A thorough knowledge on these clinical and genetic factors should lead to improved understanding of variation in gonadal function after childhood cancer. In the near future, our findings may be used to design a model for predicting gonadal dysfunction after childhood cancer. This enables health care professionals to provide personally tailored counselling to patients and their parents, before and after childhood cancer treatment.

# References

- 1. Lie Fong S, Laven JS, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Schipper I, Visser JA, Themmen AP, de Jong FH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Assessment of ovarian reserve in adult childhood cancer survivors using anti-Mullerian hormone. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:982-90.
- van Casteren NJ, Pieters R, Dohle GR, van Baalen M, Neggers S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Cranial irradiation does not result in pituitary-gonadal axis dysfunction in very long-term male survivors of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leukemia*. 2009; 23:2310-3.
- 3. van Casteren NJ, van der Linden GH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, Hahlen K, Dohle GR, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Effect of childhood cancer treatment on fertility markers in adult male long-term survivors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2009; 52:108-12.
- 4. van Beek RD, Smit M, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Jong FH, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Weber RF, Pieters R, et al. Inhibin B is superior to FSH as a serum marker for spermatogenesis in men treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma with chemotherapy during childhood. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:3215-22.
- 5. van Beek RD, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Hakvoort-Cammel FG, van den Bos C, van den Berg H, Pieters R, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone is a sensitive serum marker for gonadal function in women treated for Hodgkin's lymphoma during childhood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007; 92:3869-74.
- Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:2677-85.
- Green DM, Kawashima T, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Sklar CA, Mertens AC, Donaldson SS, Byrne J, Robison LL. Fertility of male survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:332-9.
- 8. Wallace WH, Thomson AB, Saran F, Kelsey TW. Predicting age of ovarian failure after radiation to a field that includes the ovaries. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2005; 62:738-44.
- 9. Lawrenz B, Fehm T, von Wolff M, Soekler M, Huebner S, Henes J, Henes M. Reduced pretreatment ovarian reserve in premenopausal female patients with Hodgkin lymphoma or non-Hodgkin-lymphoma-evaluation by using antimullerian hormone and retrieved oocytes. *Fertil Steril.* 2012; 98:141-4.
- 10. van Dorp W, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Vries ACH, Pluijm SMF, Visser JA, Pieters R, Laven JSE. Decreased serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; in press.
- 11. Isik S, Ozcan HN, Ozuguz U, Tutuncu YA, Berker D, Alimli AG, Akbaba G, Karademir MA, Guler S. Evaluation of ovarian reserve based on hormonal parameters, ovarian volume, and antral follicle count in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2012; 97:261-9.
- 12. Lawrenz B, Henes J, Henes M, Neunhoeffer E, Schmalzing M, Fehm T, Kitter I. Impact of systemic lupus erythematosus on ovarian reserve in premenopausal women: evaluation by using anti-Muellerian hormone. *Lupus*. 2011; 20:1193-7.
- 13. de Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Antimullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. *Fertil Steril*. 2002; 77:357-62.
- 14. Fanchin R, Taieb J, Lozano DH, Ducot B, Frydman R, Bouyer J. High reproducibility of serum anti-Mullerian hormone measurements suggests a multi-staged follicular secretion and strengthens its role in the assessment of ovarian follicular status. *Hum Reprod.* 2005; 20:923-7.
- 15. Kevenaar ME, Meerasahib MF, Kramer P, van de Lang-Born BM, de Jong FH, Groome NP, Themmen AP, Visser JA. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels reflect the size of the primordial follicle pool in mice. *Endocrinology*. 2006; 147:3228-34.
- 16. Lie Fong S, Visser JA, Welt CK, de Rijke YB, Eijkemans MJ, Broekmans FJ, Roes EM, Peters WH, Hokken-Koelega AC, et al. Serum anti-mullerian hormone levels in healthy females: a nomogram ranging from infancy to adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012; 97:4650-5.
- 17. Sowers M, McConnell D, Gast K, Zheng H, Nan B, McCarthy JD, Randolph JF. Anti-Mullerian hormone and inhibin B variability during normal menstrual cycles. *Fertil Steril*. 2010; 94:1482-6.

- van Rooij IA, Tonkelaar I, Broekmans FJ, Looman CW, Scheffer GJ, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, te Velde ER. Antimullerian hormone is a promising predictor for the occurrence of the menopausal transition. *Menopause*. 2004; 11:601-6.
- 19. Dillon KE, Sammel MD, Prewitt M, Ginsberg JP, Walker D, Mersereau JE, Gosiengfiao Y, Gracia CR. Pretreatment antimullerian hormone levels determine rate of posttherapy ovarian reserve recovery: acute changes in ovarian reserve during and after chemotherapy. *Fertil Steril.* 2012; 99:477-83.
- 20. van Dorp W, Owusuaa C, Laven JS, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Beishuizen A. Characteristics and outcome of pediatric non-hodgkin lymphoma patients with ovarian infiltration at presentation. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013; 60:2054-9.
- 21. van Dorp W, Laven JS, van de Ven CP, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Letter to the Editor: Gonadal function after childhood ovarian surgery. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2013; 48:1990-1.
- 22. Dolmans MM, Luyckx V, Donnez J, Andersen CY, Greve T. Risk of transferring malignant cells with transplanted frozen-thawed ovarian tissue. *Fertil Steril*. 2013; 99:1514-22.
- 23. Metwally M, Ong KJ, Ledger WL, Li TC. Does high body mass index increase the risk of miscarriage after spontaneous and assisted conception? A meta-analysis of the evidence. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:714-26.
- 24. van der Steeg JW, Steures P, Eijkemans MJ, Habbema JD, Hompes PG, Burggraaff JM, Oosterhuis GJ, Bossuyt PM, van der Veen F, et al. Obesity affects spontaneous pregnancy chances in subfertile, ovulatory women. *Hum Reprod*. 2008; 23:324-8.
- 25. Ramlau-Hansen CH, Thulstrup AM, Nohr EA, Bonde JP, Sorensen TI, Olsen J. Subfecundity in overweight and obese couples. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:1634-7.
- 26. Wise LA, Palmer JR, Rosenberg L. Body size and time-to-pregnancy in black women. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; 28:2856-64.
- 27. van Dorp W, Blijdorp K, Laven J, Pieters R, Visser JA, Van der Lely AJ, Neggers SJ, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M. Decreased ovarian function is associated with obesity in very long-term female survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2013; 168:905-12.
- 28. Santollo J, Eckel LA. Estradiol decreases the orexigenic effect of neuropeptide Y, but not agouti-related protein, in ovariectomized rats. *Behav Brain Res.* 2008; 191:173-7.
- 29. Messina MM, Boersma G, Overton JM, Eckel LA. Estradiol decreases the orexigenic effect of melaninconcentrating hormone in ovariectomized rats. *Physiol Behav*. 2006; 88:523-8.
- Cortet-Rudelli C, Pigny P, Decanter C, Leroy M, Maunoury-Lefebvre C, Thomas-Desrousseaux P, Dewailly D. Obesity and serum luteinizing hormone level have an independent and opposite effect on the serum inhibin B level in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2002; 77:281-7.
- 31. Skalba P, Cygal A, Madej P, Dabkowska-Huc A, Sikora J, Martirosian G, Romanik M, Olszanecka-Glinianowicz M. Is the plasma anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) level associated with body weight and metabolic, and hormonal disturbances in women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2011; 158:254-9.
- 32. Stolk L, Perry JR, Chasman DI, He C, Mangino M, Sulem P, Barbalic M, Broer L, Byrne EM, et al. Meta-analyses identify 13 loci associated with age at menopause and highlight DNA repair and immune pathways. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:260-8.
- 33. Stolk L, Zhai G, van Meurs JB, Verbiest MM, Visser JA, Estrada K, Rivadeneira F, Williams FM, Cherkas L, et al. Loci at chromosomes 13, 19 and 20 influence age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:645-7.
- 34. He C, Kraft P, Chasman DI, Buring JE, Chen C, Hankinson SE, Pare G, Chanock S, Ridker PM, et al. A largescale candidate gene association study of age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Hum Genet*. 2010; 128:515-27.
- 35. He C, Kraft P, Chen C, Buring JE, Pare G, Hankinson SE, Chanock SJ, Ridker PM, Hunter DJ, et al. Genome-wide association studies identify loci associated with age at menarche and age at natural menopause. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:724-8.
- 36. Van Dorp W, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Stolk L, Pieters R, Uitterlinden AG, Visser JA, Laven JS. Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2013; 28:1069-76.
- 37. Lao O, van Duijn K, Kersbergen P, de Knijff P, Kayser M. Proportioning whole-genome single-nucleotidepolymorphism diversity for the identification of geographic population structure and genetic ancestry. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2006; 78:680-90.

- 38. Rosenberg NA, Pritchard JK, Weber JL, Cann HM, Kidd KK, Zhivotovsky LA, Feldman MW. Genetic structure of human populations. *Science*. 2002; 298:2381-5.
- Barton SE, Najita JS, Ginsburg ES, Leisenring WM, Stovall M, Weathers RE, Sklar CA, Robison LL, Diller L. Infertility, infertility treatment, and achievement of pregnancy in female survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. *Lancet Oncol.* 2013; 14:873-81.
- 40. Lie Fong S, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Eijkemans MJ, Schipper I, Hukkelhoven CW, Laven JS. Pregnancy outcome in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod*. 2010; 25:1206-12.
- 41. Green DM, Sklar CA, Boice JD, Jr., Mulvihill JJ, Whitton JA, Stovall M, Yasui Y. Ovarian failure and reproductive outcomes after childhood cancer treatment: results from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:2374-81.
- 42. Das M, Shehata F, Son WY, Tulandi T, Holzer H. Ovarian reserve and response to IVF and in vitro maturation treatment following chemotherapy. *Hum Reprod*. 2012; 27:2509-14.
- 43. Larsen EC, Loft A, Holm K, Muller J, Brocks V, Andersen AN. Oocyte donation in women cured of cancer with bone marrow transplantation including total body irradiation in adolescence. *Hum Reprod.* 2000; 15:1505-8.
- 44. Christensen H, Boujida VH. Successful outcome in a high-risk pregnancy with donated oocytes in a woman allografted for chronic myeloid leukemia in childhood. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2006; 85:1018-9.
- 45. van Dorp W, Rietveld JM, Laven JSE, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hukkelhoven CWPM, Schipper I. Treatment and pregnancy outcome of oocyte donation in a Dutch single centre cohort *submitted*. 2013.
- 46. Abdalla HI, Billett A, Kan AK, Baig S, Wren M, Korea L, Studd JW. Obstetric outcome in 232 ovum donation pregnancies. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol*. 1998; 105:332-7.
- 47. Keegan DA, Krey LC, Chang HC, Noyes N. Increased risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension in young recipients of donated oocytes. *Fertil Steril*. 2007; 87:776-81.
- 48. Klein J, Sauer MV. Oocyte donation. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2002; 16:277-91.
- Salha O, Sharma V, Dada T, Nugent D, Rutherford AJ, Tomlinson AJ, Philips S, Allgar V, Walker JJ. The influence of donated gametes on the incidence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Hum Reprod.* 1999; 14:2268-73.
- 50. Soderstrom-Anttila V, Tiitinen A, Foudila T, Hovatta O. Obstetric and perinatal outcome after oocyte donation: comparison with in-vitro fertilization pregnancies. *Hum Reprod*. 1998; 13:483-90.
- 51. Yaron Y, Ochshorn Y, Amit A, Kogosowski A, Yovel I, Lessing JB. Oocyte donation in Israel: a study of 1001 initiated treatment cycles. *Hum Reprod.* 1998; 13:1819-24.
- 52. Stoop D, Baumgarten M, Haentjens P, Polyzos N, Verheyen G, Camus M, Devroey P. Obstetric outcome in donor oocyte pregnancies: a matched-pair analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2012; 10:42.
- 53. Pereyra Pacheco B, Mendez Ribas JM, Milone G, Fernandez I, Kvicala R, Mila T, Di Noto A, Contreras Ortiz O, Pavlovsky S. Use of GnRH analogs for functional protection of the ovary and preservation of fertility during cancer treatment in adolescents: a preliminary report. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2001; 81:391-7.
- 54. Blumenfeld Z, Dann E, Avivi I, Epelbaum R, Rowe JM. Fertility after treatment for Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol.* 2002; 13 Suppl 1:138-47.
- 55. Giuseppe L, Attilio G, Edoardo DN, Loredana G, Cristina L, Vincenzo L. Ovarian function after cancer treatment in young women affected by Hodgkin disease (HD). *Hematology*. 2007; 12:141-7.
- 56. Rodriguez-Wallberg KA, Oktay K. Options on fertility preservation in female cancer patients. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2012; 38:354-61.
- 57. Li F, Turan V, Lierman S, Cuvelier C, De Sutter P, Oktay K. Sphingosine-1-phosphate prevents chemotherapyinduced human primordial follicle death. *Hum Reprod.* 2013.
- Oktay K, Karlikaya G. Ovarian function after transplantation of frozen, banked autologous ovarian tissue. N Engl J Med. 2000; 342:1919.
- Muller A, Keller K, Wacker J, Dittrich R, Keck G, Montag M, Van der Ven H, Wachter D, Beckmann MW, et al. Retransplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue: the first live birth in Germany. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2012; 109:8-13.
- 60. Kim SS. Assessment of long term endocrine function after transplantation of frozen-thawed human ovarian tissue to the heterotopic site: 10 year longitudinal follow-up study. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2012; 29:489-93.

- 61. Oktay K, Turkcuoglu I, Rodriguez-Wallberg KA. Four spontaneous pregnancies and three live births following subcutaneous transplantation of frozen banked ovarian tissue: what is the explanation? *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95:804 e7-10.
- 62. Dolmans MM, Donnez J, Camboni A, Demylle D, Amorim C, Van Langendonckt A, Pirard C. IVF outcome in patients with orthotopically transplanted ovarian tissue. *Hum Reprod*. 2009; 24:2778-87.
- 63. Andersen CY, Rosendahl M, Byskov AG, Loft A, Ottosen C, Dueholm M, Schmidt KL, Andersen AN, Ernst E. Two successful pregnancies following autotransplantation of frozen/thawed ovarian tissue. *Hum Reprod*. 2008; 23:2266-72.
- 64. Rosendahl M, Schmidt KT, Ernst E, Rasmussen PE, Loft A, Byskov AG, Andersen AN, Andersen CY. Cryopreservation of ovarian tissue for a decade in Denmark: a view of the technique. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2011; 22:162-71.
- 65. Wallace WH, Barr RD. Fertility preservation for girls and young women with cancer: what are the remaining challenges? *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010; 16:614-6.
- 66. Donnez J, Dolmans MM, Demylle D, Jadoul P, Pirard C, Squifflet J, Martinez-Madrid B, van Langendonckt A. Livebirth after orthotopic transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue. *Lancet*. 2004; 364:1405-10.
- 67. Hubinont C, Debieve F, Biard JM, Debauche C, Bernard P. Livebirth after cryopreserved ovarian tissue autotransplantation. *Lancet*. 2004; 364:2093.
- 68. Meirow D, Levron J, Eldar-Geva T, Hardan I, Fridman E, Zalel Y, Schiff E, Dor J. Pregnancy after transplantation of cryopreserved ovarian tissue in a patient with ovarian failure after chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 353:318-21.
- 69. Metzger ML, Meacham LR, Patterson B, Casillas JS, Constine LS, Hijiya N, Kenney LB, Leonard M, Lockart BA, et al. Female reproductive health after childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers: guidelines for the assessment and management of female reproductive complications. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013; 31:1239-47.
- 70. Smitz J, Dolmans MM, Donnez J, Fortune JE, Hovatta O, Jewgenow K, Picton HM, Plancha C, Shea LD, et al. Current achievements and future research directions in ovarian tissue culture, in vitro follicle development and transplantation: implications for fertility preservation. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010; 16:395-414.
- Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006; 24:2917-31.
- 72. Pierik FH, Vreeburg JT, Stijnen T, De Jong FH, Weber RF. Serum inhibin B as a marker of spermatogenesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1998; 83:3110-4.
- 73. Behringer K, Mueller H, Goergen H, Thielen I, Eibl AD, Stumpf V, Wessels C, Wiehlputz M, Rosenbrock J, et al. Gonadal function and fertility in survivors after Hodgkin lymphoma treatment within the German Hodgkin Study Group HD13 to HD15 trials. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31:231-9.
- 74. Kenney LB, Cohen LE, Shnorhavorian M, Metzger ML, Lockart B, Hijiya N, Duffey-Lind E, Constine L, Green D, et al. Male reproductive health after childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30:3408-16.
- 75. van Casteren NJ, Dohle GR, Romijn JC, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Weber RF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Semen cryopreservation in pubertal boys before gonadotoxic treatment and the role of endocrinologic evaluation in predicting sperm yield. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:1119-25.
- 76. Trottmann M, Becker AJ, Stadler T, Straub J, Soljanik I, Schlenker B, Stief CG. Semen quality in men with malignant diseases before and after therapy and the role of cryopreservation. *Eur Urol.* 2007; 52:355-67.
- 77. Bahadur G, Ozturk O, Muneer A, Wafa R, Ashraf A, Jaman N, Patel S, Oyede AW, Ralph DJ. Semen quality before and after gonadotoxic treatment. *Hum Reprod.* 2005; 20:774-81.
- 78. Romerius P, Giwercman A, Moell C, Relander T, Cavallin-Stahl E, Wiebe T, Hallden C, Giwercman YL. Estrogen receptor alpha single nucleotide polymorphism modifies the risk of azoospermia in childhood cancer survivors. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2011; 21:263-9.
- 79. Aggerholm AS, Thulstrup AM, Toft G, Ramlau-Hansen CH, Bonde JP. Is overweight a risk factor for reduced semen quality and altered serum sex hormone profile? *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:619-26.
- Chavarro JE, Toth TL, Wright DL, Meeker JD, Hauser R. Body mass index in relation to semen quality, sperm DNA integrity, and serum reproductive hormone levels among men attending an infertility clinic. *Fertil Steril*. 2010; 93:2222-31.

- 81. de Ronde W, ten Kulve J, Woerdeman J, Kaufman JM, de Jong FH. Effects of oestradiol on gonadotrophin levels in normal and castrated men. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2009; 71:874-9.
- van Dorp W, Blijdorp K, Laven JSE, Pieters R, de Jong FH, Pluijm SMF, van der Lely AJ, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Neggers SJMM. Obesity independently influences gonadal function in very long-term adult male survivors of childhood cancer *submitted*. 2013.
- 83. Kliesch S, Behre HM, Jurgens H, Nieschlag E. Cryopreservation of semen from adolescent patients with malignancies. *Med Pediatr Oncol.* 1996; 26:20-7.
- 84. Bahadur G, Ling KLE, Hart R, Ralph D, Riley V, Wafa R, Ashraf A, Jaman N, Oyede AW. Semen production in adolescent cancer patients. *Hum Reprod*. 2002; 17:2654-6.
- 85. Ginsberg JP, Ogle SK, Tuchman LK, Carlson CA, Reilly MM, Hobbie WL, Rourke M, Zhao H, Meadows AT. Sperm banking for adolescent and young adult cancer patients: Sperm quality, patient, and parent perspectives. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:594-8.
- Adank MC, van Dorp W, Smit-Dinkelman M, van Casteren NJ, Laven JSE, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Electroejaculation as a method of fertility preservation in boys diagnosed with cancer: a single center experience and review of the literature. *submitted*. 2013.
- 87. Neal MS, Nagel K, Duckworth J, Bissessar H, Fischer MA, Portwine C, Tozer R, Barr RD. Effectiveness of sperm banking in adolescents and young adults with cancer: a regional experience. *Cancer*. 2007; 110:1125-9.
- 88. van Casteren NJ, van Santbrink EJ, van Inzen W, Romijn JC, Dohle GR. Use rate and assisted reproduction technologies outcome of cryopreserved semen from 629 cancer patients. *Fertil Steril*. 2008; 90:2245-50.
- Furuhashi K, Ishikawa T, Hashimoto H, Yamada S, Ogata S, Mizusawa Y, Matsumoto Y, Okamoto E, Kokeguchi S, et al. Onco-testicular sperm extraction: testicular sperm extraction in azoospermic and very severely oligozoospermic cancer patients. *Andrologia*. 2013; 45:107-10.
- Wang B, Tanaka K, Vares G, Shang Y, Fujita K, Ninomiya Y, Nakajima T, Eguchi-Kasai K, Nenoi M. X-ray-induced radioresistance against high-LET radiations from accelerated heavy ions in mice. *Radiation Research*. 2010; 174:532-6.
- 91. Hsiao W, Stahl PJ, Osterberg EC, Nejat E, Palermo GD, Rosenwaks Z, Schlegel PN. Successful treatment of postchemotherapy azoospermia with microsurgical testicular sperm extraction: the Weill Cornell experience. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29:1607-11.
- 92. Damani MN, Master V, Meng MV, Burgess C, Turek P, Oates RD. Postchemotherapy ejaculatory azoospermia: fatherhood with sperm from testis tissue with intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002; 20:930-6.
- Keros V, Hultenby K, Borgstrom B, Fridstrom M, Jahnukainen K, Hovatta O. Methods of cryopreservation of testicular tissue with viable spermatogonia in pre-pubertal boys undergoing gonadotoxic cancer treatment. *Hum Reprod*. 2007; 22:1384-95.
- 94. Tournaye H. Male fertility preservation. *Hum Reprod*. 2010; 25:i52.
- 95. Struijk RB, Mulder CL, van der Veen F, van Pelt AM, Repping S. Restoring fertility in sterile childhood cancer survivors by autotransplanting spermatogonial stem cells: are we there yet? *Biomed Res Int.* 2013; 2013:903142.
- 96. Garcia-Gonzalez R, Pinto J, Val-Bernal JF. Testicular metastases from solid tumors: an autopsy study. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2000; 4:59-64.
- 97. Viviani S, Santoro A, Ragni G, Bonfante V, Bestetti O, Bonadonna G. Gonadal toxicity after combination chemotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. Comparative results of MOPP vs ABVD. *Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol*. 1985; 21:601-5.
- 98. Waxman JH, Terry YA, Wrigley PF, Malpas JS, Rees LH, Besser GM, Lister TA. Gonadal function in Hodgkin's disease: long-term follow-up of chemotherapy. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1982; 285:1612-3.
- 99. Marmor D, Duyck F. Male reproductive potential after MOPP therapy for Hodgkin's disease: a long-term survey. *Andrologia*. 1995; 27:99-106.
- 100. Howell SJ, Shalet SM. Spermatogenesis after cancer treatment: damage and recovery. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2005:12-7.
- 101. van der Kaaij MA, van Echten-Arends J, Simons AH, Kluin-Nelemans HC. Fertility preservation after chemotherapy for Hodgkin lymphoma. *Hematol Oncol.* 2010; 28:168-79.
- 102. Pedrick TJ, Hoppe RT. Recovery of spermatogenesis following pelvic irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1986; 12:117-21.

- 103. Ortin TT, Shostak CA, Donaldson SS. Gonadal status and reproductive function following treatment for Hodgkin's disease in childhood: the Stanford experience. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1990; 19:873-80.
- van Dorp W, van der Geest IM, Laven JS, Hop WC, Neggers SJ, de Vries AC, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Gonadal function recovery in very long-term male survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013; 49:1280-6.
- 105. Clermont Y. Kinetics of spermatogenesis in mammals: seminiferous epithelium cycle and spermatogonial renewal. *Physiol Rev.* 1972; 52:198-236.
- 106. Schrader M, Muller M, Straub B, Miller K. The impact of chemotherapy on male fertility: a survey of the biologic basis and clinical aspects. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2001; 15:611-7.
- 107. Aass N, Fossa SD, Theodorsen L, Norman N. Prediction of long-term gonadal toxicity after standard treatment for testicular cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* 1991; 27:1087-91.
- 108. Petersen PM, Giwercman A, Skakkebaek NE, Rorth M. Gonadal function in men with testicular cancer. *Semin Oncol.* 1998; 25:224-33.
- 109. Children's Oncology Group: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood a, and young adult cancers. Version 3.0, 2008. Available online: http://www.survivorshipguidelines.org/.
- 110. Dutch Childhood Oncology Group: Richtlijn follow-up na kinderkanker meer dan 5 jaar na diagnose. SKION DHA, the Netherlands, 2010. Available online: http://www.skion.nl/.
- 111. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network: Long term follow up of survivors of childhood cancer. A national clinical guideline Aohwsau.
- 112. United Kingdom Children's Cancer Study Group Late Effects Group: Therapy based long term follow up practice statement Aohwcou.
- 113. Kremer LC, Mulder RL, Oeffinger KC, Bhatia S, Landier W, Levitt G, Constine LS, Wallace WH, Caron HN, et al. A worldwide collaboration to harmonize guidelines for the long-term follow-up of childhood and young adult cancer survivors: a report from the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013; 60:543-9.
- 114. Mulder RL KL, Hudson MM, Bhatia S, Landier W, Levitt G, Constine LS, Wallace WH, van Leeuwen FE, Ronckers CM, Henderson TO, Dwyer M, Skinner R, Oeffinger KC. Recommendations for breast cancer surveillance for female childhood and young adult cancer survivors treated with chest irradiation: a report from the International Late Effects of Childhood Cancer Guideline Harmonization Group. *Lancet Oncology*. 2013; in press.
- 115. Overbeek A, van den Berg MH, Kremer LC, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Tissing WJ, Loonen JJ, Versluys B, Bresters D, Kaspers GJ, et al. A nationwide study on reproductive function, ovarian reserve, and risk of premature menopause in female survivors of childhood cancer: design and methodological challenges. *BMC Cancer*. 2012; 12:363.
- 116. Prasad PK, Bowles T, Friedman DL. Is there a role for a specialized follow-up clinic for survivors of pediatric cancer? *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2010; 36:372-6.

Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants

after childhood cancer Determinants of cancer Determinants of gonadal function after childhood cancer Determinants of gonadal function after c



thildhood arminants function minants function

Summary Samenvatting

## 13.1 | Summary

Each year, 600-700 children are diagnosed with cancer in the Netherlands. Over the last decades, the overall long-term survival of childhood cancer has increased up to ~75%. Due to the expansion of the childhood cancer survivor population, chronic health sequelae resulting from cancer and its treatment have become more prevalent and require our attention. Approximately 75% of the childhood cancer survivors have developed at least one long-term complication of cancer treatment. One-third of these effects has even been classified as severe or life threatening. Chapter 1 provides the background of childhood cancer and its survival, some examples of late effects of cancer treatment including gonadal dysfunction, physiological gonadal function and reserve throughout life. Moreover, it described the background of gonadal dysfunction after childhood cancer. Previous studies in female and male survivors obviously showed that the occurrence of late effects of cancer treatment in survivors depends on former cancer diagnosis, but more importantly on former treatment. In chapter 2, we describe the available literature on endocrine late sequelae after childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma as an example of a childhood cancer type that reached high survival rates and is treated with chemotherapy, radiotherapy or a combination of both. In general, the severity of the endocrine toxicity after childhood Hodgkin lymphoma mainly depends on the type of treatment in both male and female survivors, but other determinants, such as genetic variation and lifestyle, probably explain variation in gonadal damage after equal cancer treatment.

As described above, variation in long-term gonadal function of childhood cancer survivors who received equal treatment regimens suggests that factors other than cancer treatment impact gonadal function after cancer treatment. In order to improve knowledge and understanding of variation in gonadal function after childhood cancer treatment, we studied potential factors other than cancer treatment that may influence gonadal function after childhood cancer. In **chapter 3**, pre-treatment ovarian function was studied in girls with newly diagnosed cancer and compared with that in healthy girls. We found that anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) levels were already reduced before treatment has started. These levels were associated with general health status markers, i.e. temperature, haemoglobin levels and C-reactive protein levels at diagnosis, suggesting that the disease itself or subsequent health status may impact gonadal function.

Ovarian infiltration in girls with non-Hodgkin lymphoma might be important for their ovarian function after childhood cancer. In **chapter 4**, we summarised characteristics and outcome of this rare presentation, and performed a narrative review of all cases reported in literature so far. Albeit treatment should preferably consist of chemotherapy, our literature study showed that in many previously reported cases, (bilateral) ovariectomy was performed as first treatment option, obviously resulting in a decreased ovarian function after treatment. We emphasize that, in case of ovarian tumours with negative tumour markers (alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) and  $\beta$ -human chorionic gonadotropin ( $\beta$ -HCG)), the diagnosis of NHL, and subsequent biopsy, should be considered in order to avoid unnecessary surgery.

Obesity and gonadal dysfunction are both major late effects of childhood cancer treatment. In **chapter 5**, we studied the occurrence of decreased ovarian reserve in obese female survivors of childhood cancer. As previously described in the general population, we observed that obesity and

insulin resistance were associated with impaired ovarian reserve, as reflected by AMH and reduced follicle counts, independent of previous administered gonadotoxic treatment regimens.

Besides clinical determinants, genetic variants may also influence gonadal function after childhood cancer. In the general population, several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were associated with age at menopause. In **chapter 6**, we questioned whether the genetic impact on gonadal function in childhood cancer survivors may be exceeded by gonadotoxic treatment regimens. Interestingly, we found an association between the CT genotype of rs117822 (*BRSK1*) and impaired ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors, independent of previous gonadotoxic cancer treatment.

Since childhood cancer survivor subsets have an increased risk of developing primary ovarian insufficiency (POI), clinical practice guidelines are necessary to warrant that survivors receive optimum care, and thereby improve optimal treatment of late effects of cancer treatment. Accordingly, several nationwide guidelines have been developed, but recommendations vary among the existing guidelines, resulting in uncertainty for both survivors and providers which guideline to follow. Recognizing the importance of a global consensus, an international effort was organized to harmonize existing late effects screening recommendations for survivors of childhood cancer. One of the efforts is the development of recommendations for POI surveillance in childhood cancer survivors, which are described in **chapter 7**. Next to consensus on recommendations, an important result is the demonstration of a research agenda, based upon the identification of key gaps in knowledge.

Oocyte donation has been used as successful last resort treatment option for infertility. One of the most prevalent indications is POI. **Chapter 8** describes treatment- and pregnancy outcome of oocyte donation in patients with POI. We found that younger donor age and acceptors with previous pregnancies were associated with increased pregnancy rates. Oocyte pregnancies are associated with increased risk of pregnancy-induced hypertension compared to IVF pregnancies, stressing the importance of monitoring oocyte donation pregnancies.

As in the female general population, obesity is also associated with decreased fertility in men. **Chapter 9** describes a retrospective study in male survivors of childhood cancer on the association between obesity and gonadal dysfunction. We found an association between obesity, as represented by high total fat percentage, and impaired gonadal function, independent of previous cancer treatment.

**Chapter 10** describes a longitudinal study on potential recovery of gonadal function in male survivors of childhood cancer, using paired inhibin B levels. Several potential determinants of recovery were studied within this chapter. We showed that in general, inhibin B levels increase over time in most survivors, suggesting that recovery of gonadal function may occur even long time after discontinuation of treatment, apart from cases in which critically low serum inhibin B levels are already reached. The latter includes survivors treated with high risk gonadotoxic treatment regimens such as alkylating agents and abdominal irradiation.

In **chapter 11**, the feasibility of electroejaculation in boys with newly diagnosed cancer is described. Because one of the important long-term effects of childhood cancer treatment is infertility, especially after treatment with alkylating agents and testis radiation, fertility

preservation is important to give these boys the chance to father their own offspring. Although semen cryopreservation by masturbation is easy and non-invasive, in particular young patients are frequently unable to produce sperm by masturbation. In these cases, electroejaculation might be an option to obtain motile spermatozoa. Combining literature review and our electroejaculation cases, we concluded that if masturbation fails, electroejaculation can be considered as a useful option for semen cryopreservation, and leads to semen cryopreservation in about half of the cases.

**Chapter 12** provides a general discussion on the combined results of the studies in a broader perspective. We recommend future research and elaborate on replications of our findings. Thereafter, the identified determinants should be integrated into prediction models for gonadal function after childhood cancer treatment.

### 13.2 | Samenvatting

Jaarliiks worden in Nederland 600-700 kinderen gediagnosticeerd met kanker. De overleving van kinderkanker is gedurende de afgelopen decennia gestegen tot ~75%. Door de groeiende populatie van overlevenden zijn de chronische late effecten van kinderkanker en de behandeling ervan zichtbaar geworden. Hierdoor is aandacht voor en onderzoek naar deze late effecten belangrijk geworden. Ongeveer 75% van de overlevenden van kinderkanker ontwikkelt minimaal één laat effect van de vroegere kankerbehandeling. Een derde van deze effecten is ernstig of zelfs levensbedreigend. In hoofdstuk 1 wordt een overzicht gegeven van de incidentie van kinderkanker, de overleving van kinderkanker en de late effecten van de behandeling, waaronder gonadale dysfunctie. Aangezien de focus van dit proefschrift ligt op gonadale dysfunctie na kinderkanker, wordt een overzicht gegeven van de fysiologie van gonadale functie en reserve gedurende het leven, en gonadale dysfunctie als laat effect van de behandeling van kinderkanker. Eerdere studies bij zowel vrouwelijke als mannelijke overlevenden van kinderkanker lieten duidelijk zien dat het voorkomen van late effecten afhankelijk is van de diagnose, maar nog meer van de behandeling. In hoofdstuk 2 geven we een overzicht van de literatuur over endocriene late effecten van de behandeling van het Hodgkin lymfoom als voorbeeld van een kinderkanker type dat een goede overleving kent en behandeld wordt met chemotherapie, radiotherapie of een combinatie van beiden. In het algemeen hangt de ernst van de endocriene late effecten na Hodgkin lymfoom behandeling af van het type behandeling. Echter, andere determinanten, zoals genetische variatie en leefstijlfactoren, verklaren mogelijk de variatie in gonadale schade bij patiënten die een gelijke behandeling gehad hebben.

Zoals hierboven beschreven, suggereert de variatie in gonadale dysfunctie na gelijke behandeling van kanker dat andere factoren, naast de behandeling zelf, invloed hebben op gonadale functie na kinderkanker. Om meer kennis over deze variatie te verkrijgen, hebben wij andere potentiële determinanten van gonadale functie bestudeerd. **Hoofdstuk 3** beschrijft de studie naar ovariële functie voorafgaand aan de behandeling van kinderkanker in vergelijking met deze functie bij gezonde meisjes. Anti-Müllers hormoon (AMH) bleek al voor de start van de kankerbehandeling verlaagd. Daarnaast bleek het AMH geassocieerd te zijn met surrogaat markers voor de algemene gezondheidsstatus, zoals temperatuur, hemoglobine en CRP waarden bij diagnose. Dit laatste suggereert dat de ziekte zelf of de algemene gezondheidsstatus als gevolg van de ziekte van invloed zijn op de gonadale functie bij meisjes die gediagnosticeerd worden met kanker, al voor de therapie gestart is.

Ovariële infiltratie bij meisjes met non-Hodgkin lymfoom (NHL) lijkt van belang voor de ovariële functie na therapie. In **hoofdstuk 4** hebben we de karakteristieken en uitkomsten van ovariële infiltratie bij NHL, een zeer zeldzame presentatie, beschreven. Tevens vatten we in dit hoofdstuk de literatuur samen. Ondanks dat NHL in het algemeen behandeld kan worden met chemotherapie laat onze literatuurstudie zien dat (bilaterale) ovariëctomie in veel gevallen de eerste behandelkeuze is. Deze ingreep resulteert vanzelfsprekend in een verminderde ovariële reserve na therapie. Wij benadrukken dat, in geval van ovariële tumoren met negatieve tumormarkers (AFP en β-HCG), NHL

en de daarbij behorende diagnostische biopsie overwogen moeten worden om onnodige chirurgie te voorkomen, en op deze wijze de ovariële reserve te beschermen.

Overgewicht en gonadale dysfunctie zijn beiden belangrijke late effecten van de behandeling van kinderkanker. In **hoofdstuk 5** wordt het vóórkomen van een verminderde ovariële reserve bij obese vrouwelijke overlevenden van kinderkanker beschreven. Zoals al eerder beschreven in de algemene bevolking vonden we dat obesitas en insulineresistentie geassocieerd zijn met een verminderde ovariële reserve, gebaseerd op AMH waarden en het totale aantal follikels in de ovaria. Tevens bleek dat deze invloed van obesitas op de gonadale functie onafhankelijk was van de eerdere kankerbehandeling.

Naast klinische determinanten zou genetische variatie de gonadale functie na kinderkanker kunnen beïnvloeden. In de algemene bevolking zijn verschillende 'single nucleotide polymorphisms' (SNPs) geassocieerd met de leeftijd waarop een vrouw in de menopauze komt. **Hoofdstuk 6** beschrijft de invloed van genetische variatie op de gonadale functie bij overlevenden van kinderkanker, en of deze invloed overschreden wordt door het gonadotoxische effect van de eerdere kankerbehandeling. We vonden een associatie tussen het CT genotype van rs117822 (*BRSK1* gen) en een verminderde ovariële reserve in vrouwelijke overlevenden van kinderkanker, onafhankelijk van voorgaande kankerbehandeling. Dit suggereert dat genetische variatie, naast de gonadotoxische behandeling van kinderkanker, een rol speelt bij de ernst van de gonadale dysfunctie na kinderkanker.

Aangezien een deel van de overlevenden van kinderkanker een verhoogde kans heeft op het ontwikkelen van primaire ovariële insufficiëntie (POI), zijn klinische richtlijnen van belang om ervoor te zorgen dat overlevenden optimale zorg en daarbij optimale behandeling van eventuele late effecten van kankerbehandeling krijgen. Als gevolg hiervan zijn verschillende internationale richtlijnen ontwikkeld, echter blijkt dat deze richtlijnen met bijbehorende aanbevelingen niet overeen komen, waardoor onzekerheid kan ontstaan welke richtlijn gevolgd dient te worden. Om internationaal een éénduidig beleid te kunnen vormen, is een internationale werkgroep opgericht. Eén van de projecten omvat het opstellen van een richtlijn voor het screenen van overlevenden van kinderkanker op POI, zoals beschreven in **hoofdstuk 7.** 

Een deel van de overlevenden van kinderkanker blijkt onvruchtbaar als gevolg van de gonadotoxische behandeling. Eiceldonatie is een belangrijke en succesvolle behandeling van infertiliteit. Eén van de meest voorkomende indicaties is POI. **Hoofdstuk 8** beschrijft de behandel- en zwangerschapsuitkomsten van eiceldonatie bij vrouwen met POI. We vonden dat een jongere leeftijd van de donor en een eerdere zwangerschap van de acceptor geassocieerd waren met een hoger zwangerschapscijfer. Daarnaast zijn eiceldonatiezwangerschappen, in vergelijking met IVF zwangerschappen, geassocieerd met een verhoogde kans op zwangerschap-geassocieerde hypertensie, waardoor het belang van goede monitoring van deze eiceldonatiezwangerschappen benadrukt wordt.

Obesitas is, zoals bij vrouwen, ook bij mannen geassocieerd met een verminderde vruchtbaarheid in de algemene bevolking. **Hoofdstuk 9** beschrijft onze retrospectieve studie naar de associatie tussen obesitas en gonadale functie van mannelijke overlevenden van kinderkanker. We vonden dat obesitas (een hoog totaal vet percentage) geassocieerd was met een verminderde gonadale functie, onafhankelijk van eerdere kankerbehandeling. **Hoofdstuk 10** beschrijft de longitudinale studie naar potentieel herstel van gonadale dysfunctie bij mannelijke overlevenden van kinderkanker, gebruikmakend van inhibine B waarden. Verschillende potentiële determinanten van herstel werden onderzocht in dit hoofdstuk. We vonden dat, in het algemeen, inhibine B waarden gedurende follow-up in de meeste overlevenden stegen, met uitzondering van overlevenden met al kritisch lage inhibine B waarden. Deze laatste groep omvatte overlevenden behandeld met hoog risico gonadotoxische behandeling, zoals alkylerende middelen en abdominale radiotherapie.

In **hoofdstuk 11** wordt de toepasbaarheid van elektro-ejaculatie bij jongens met kinderkanker beschreven. Aangezien infertiliteit één van de belangrijke late effecten is van de behandeling van kinderkanker, vooral na behandeling met alkylerende middelen en/ of bestraling van de testes, is cryopreservatie van semen belangrijk om jongens na de behandeling een kans te geven op het krijgen van een genetisch eigen kind. Alhoewel cryopreservatie van semen via masturbatie gemakkelijk en niet-invasief is, blijkt het, vooral bij jonge patiënten, soms niet succesvol. In deze gevallen zou elektro-ejaculatie een mogelijkheid kunnen zijn om semen te verkrijgen voor cryopreservatie. Na het combineren van de bestaande literatuur en alle casus uit ons eigen cohort, vonden we dat, als de productie van semen via masturbatie niet lukt of niet mogelijk is, elektro-ejaculatie een goed alternatief is voor mid-pubertaire en post-pubertaire patiënten om semen voor cryopreservatie te verkrijgen.

**Hoofdstuk 12** bevat een algemene discussie over de resultaten beschreven in dit proefschrift, waarbij deze resultaten in een breder perspectief geplaatst worden. We doen suggesties voor het opzetten van vervolgstudies, welke uiteindelijk kunnen leiden tot een predictiemodel waarin alle geïdentificeerde determinanten van gonadale dysfunctie samengevoegd kunnen worden. Dit model kan gebruikt worden om gonadale functie na de behandeling van kinderkanker beter te kunnen voorspellen en hierdoor patiënten gedetailleerder te kunnen counselen.

**ADDENDUM Authors and affiliations** List of abbreviations **Bibliography** PhD portfolio About the author Dankwoord
# Authors and affiliations

| M.C. Adank                  | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-<br>Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| R.D. van Beek               | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, and<br>Department of Paediatric Endocrinology, Erasmus MC-Sophia<br>Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
| A. Beishuizen               | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-<br>Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
| M.H. van den Berg           | Division of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Department<br>of Paediatrics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the<br>Netherlands  |  |  |
| K. Blijdorp                 | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-<br>Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands<br>Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine,<br>Erasmus MC University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands |  |  |
| N.J. van Casteren           | Division of Andrology, Department of Urology, Erasmus MC<br>University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
| E. van Dulmen-den Broeder   | Division of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Department<br>of Paediatrics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the<br>Netherlands  |  |  |
| M.M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
| I.M.M. van der Geest        | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-<br>Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |
| R. Haupt                    | Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics Unit, Istituto G. Gaslini, Genova, Italy  |  |  |
| W.C.J. Hop                  | Department of Biostatistics, Erasmus MC University Medical Centre,<br>Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |  |  |
| M.M. Hudson                 | Department of Oncology and Epidemiology and Cancer Control,<br>St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, United States   |  |  |
| C.W.P.M. Hukkelhoven        | The Netherlands Perinatal Registry, Utrecht, the Netherlands   |  |  |
| N. di lorgi                 | Department of Paediatrics, Istituto G. Gaslini, Genova, Italy  |  |  |
| F.H. de Jong                | Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical<br>Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |  |  |
| L.C. Kremer                 | Department of Paediatric Oncology, Emma Children's Hospital/<br>Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands  |  |  |

| J.S.E. Laven               | Division of Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and<br>Gynaecology, Erasmus MC University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, |
|----------------------------|--|
|                            | the Netherlands  |
| A.J. van der Lely          | Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine,<br>Erasmus MC University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |
| J.L. Levine                | Division of Paediatric Oncology/Blood and Marrow Transplantation,  |
|                            | Columbia University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands   |
| S.M.P.F. de Muinck Keizer- | Department of Paediatric Endocrinology, Erasmus MC-Sophia  |
| Schrama                    | Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |
| R.L. Mulder                | Department of Paediatric Oncology, Emma Children's Hospital/   |
|                            | Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Nethenands   |
| S.J.C.M.M. Neggers         | Erasmus MC University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| C. Owusuaa                 | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-<br>Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands            |
| R. Pieters                 | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-  |
|                            | Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| S.M.F. Pluijm              | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology, Erasmus MC-  |
|                            | Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| J.M. Rietveld              | Department of Obstetrics/ Gynaecology, Erasmus MC-University   |
|                            | Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| I. Schipper                | Division of Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and  |
|                            | Gynaecology, Erasmus MC University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |
| M. Smit                    | Division of Andrology, Department of Urology, Erasmus MC   |
|                            | University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands  |
| L. Stolk                   | Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical   |
|                            | Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| A.G. Uitterlinden          | Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical   |
|                            | Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| J.A. Visser                | Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical   |
|                            | Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands   |
| A.C.H. de Vries            | Department of Paediatric Oncology/ Haematology   |
|                            | Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, the  |
|                            | Netherlands  |
| W.H. Wallace               | Department of Paediatric Oncology, Royal Hospital for Sick   |
|                            | Children, Edinburgh, United Kingdom  |

# List of abbreviations

| AAD score | alkylating agent dose score                               |
|-----------|---|
| ABP       | androgen binding protein                                  |
| ABVD      | adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine           |
| AFC       | antral follicle count                                     |
| ALCL      | anaplastic large cell lymphoma                            |
| AFP       | alpha-fetoprotein   |
| AHA       | American Heart Association                                |
| ALL       | acute lymphoblastic leukaemia                             |
| AMH       | anti-Müllerian hormone                                    |
| ARHGEF7   | rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 7 gene             |
| ART       | assisted reproductive technology                          |
| ASCO      | American Society of Clinical Oncology                     |
| AML       | acute myeloid leukaemia                                   |
| Azo       | azoospermia   |
| b-HCG     | beta-human chorionic gonadotropin                         |
| B-NHL     | B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma                               |
| BiOv      | bilateral ovariectomy                                     |
| BL        | Burkitt lymphoma  |
| BM        | bone marrow   |
| BMD       | bone mineral density                                      |
| BMI       | body mass index   |
| B-NHL     | B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma                               |
| BRSK1     | BR serine/ threonine kinase 1 gene                        |
| CCR       | complete clinical remission                               |
| CCS       | childhood cancer survivors                                |
| ChIVPP    | chlorambucil, vinblastine, procarbazine, prednisolone     |
| Chr       | chromosome  |
| CNS       | central nervous system                                    |
| COG       | North American Children's Oncology Group                  |
| COMP      | COPP, methotrexate replaces procarbazine                  |
| COP       | cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine               |
| COPP      | cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisolone |
| CRP       | C-reactive protein  |
| CS        | caesarean section   |
| СТ        | chemotherapy  |
| CV        | coefficients of variation                                 |
| DCOG      | Dutch Childhood Oncology Group                            |
| DLBCL     | diffuse large B-cell lymphoma                             |
| DXA       | dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry                          |
|           |   |

| E2       | oestradiol   |
|----------|--|
| EBVD     | epirubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine                      |
| EE       | electroejaculation   |
| EFS      | event free survival  |
| EM       | early menopause  |
| ET       | embryo transfer  |
| FC       | total follicle count   |
| FSH      | follicle-stimulating hormone   |
| FT4      | free thyroxine   |
| GnRH(-a) | gonadotropin-releasing hormone(-analogues)                           |
| GRADE    | Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation     |
| GWA(S)   | genome-wide association (study)                                      |
| Hb       | haemoglobin  |
| HOMA     | homeostasis model assessment   |
| HL       | Hodgkin's lymphoma   |
| HLH      | Haemophagocytic lymphohystiocytosis                                  |
| HRQoL    | Health Related Quality of Life                                       |
| HRT      | hormone replacement therapy  |
| HWE      | Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium   |
| ICS      | intracytoplasmic sperm injection                                     |
| IGF2R    | insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor gene                           |
| Inh B    | inhibin B  |
| IRB      | Institutional Review Board   |
| IVF      | in vitro fertilisation   |
| LH       | luteinizing hormone  |
| MAF      | minor allele frequency   |
| МСМ8     | minichromosome maintenance complex component 8 gene                  |
| MDP      | doxorubicin, procarbazine, prednisone, vincristine, cyclophosphamide |
| MOPP     | mechlorethamine, vincristine, procarbazine, prednisone               |
| NGF      | non-growing follicle   |
| NHL      | non-Hodgkin lymphoma   |
| OCP      | oral contraceptive pill  |
| OD       | oocyte donation  |
| OEPA     | vincristine, etoposide, prednisolone, doxyrubicin                    |
| Oligo    | oligospermia   |
| PAVe     | procarbazine, alkeran, vinblastine                                   |
| PCOM     | polycystic ovarian morphology  |
| PCOS     | polycystic ovary syndrome  |
| PCSK1    | proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 1 gene                   |
| PIH      | pregnancy-induced hypertension                                       |
| PNET     | Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumour                                     |

# 236 | Addendum

| POI    | primary ovarian insufficiency   |
|--------|---|
| POF    | premature ovarian failure   |
| PR     | pregnancy rate  |
| PRN    | The Netherlands Perinatal Registry  |
| prog   | progesterone  |
| RT     | radiotherapy  |
| SCT    | stem cell transplantation   |
| SDS    | standard deviation score  |
| SHBG   | sex hormone-binding globulin  |
| SIGN   | Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network   |
| SIOP   | International Society of Paediatric Oncology  |
| SMN    | second malignant neoplasm   |
| SNP    | single nucleotide polymorphism  |
| SNS    | sympathetic nervous system  |
| SRD5A1 | steroid-5-alpha-reductase, alpha polypeptide 1 (3-oxo-5 alpha-steroid delta 4-dehydrogenase 1 gene) |
| T-LBL  | T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma   |
| T-NHL  | T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma   |
| T2DM   | type 2 diabetes mellitus  |
| TBI    | total body irradiation  |
| TCD    | total cumulative dose   |
| TESE   | testicular sperm extraction   |
| TLI    | total lymphoid irradiation  |
| TNF    | tumour necrosis factor gene   |
| TSH    | Thyroid-stimulating hormone   |
| TTF    | total fertilisation failure   |
| UKCCLG | United Kingdom Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group  |
| US     | United States   |
| VMB    | vinblastine, bleomycin, methotrexate  |
| WHR    | waist-hip ratio   |

# List of manuscripts related to his thesis

## Chapter 2

**Van Dorp W**, van Beek RD, Laven JSE, Pieters R, de Muinck Keizer-Schrama SMPF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Long-term endocrine side effects of childhood Hodgkin's lymphoma treatment: a review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2012; 18(1):12-28.

## Chapter 3

**Van Dorp W**, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, de Vries ACH, Pluijm SMF, Visser JA, Pieters R, Laven JSE. Decreased anti-Müllerian hormone levels in girls with newly diagnosed cancer. *Hum Reprod* 2013; in press.

#### Chapter 4

**Van Dorp W**, Owusuaa C, Laven JSE, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Beishuizen A. Characteristics and outcome of pediatric non-Hodgkin lymphoma patients with ovarian infiltration at presentation. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013; 60:2054-2059.

#### Chapter 5

**Van Dorp W**, Blijdorp K, Laven JSE, Pieters R, Visser JA, van der Lely AJ, Neggers SJCMM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Decreased ovarian function is associated with obesity in very long-term female survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2013; 168(6):905-912.

#### Chapter 6

**Van Dorp W**, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Stolk L, Pieters R, Uitterlinden AG, Visser JA, Laven JSE. Genetic variation may modify ovarian reserve in female childhood cancer survivors. *Hum Reprod* 2013; 28(4):1069-1076.

## Chapter 7

**Van Dorp W**, Hudson MM, Kremer LC, Mulder RL, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, van den Berg MH, Levine JL, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, di lorgi N, Wallace WH, Haupt R, on behalf of the IGHG and PCSF female gonadal toxicity working group. Recommendations for primary ovarian insufficiency surveillance for female childhood cancer survivors: a report from the international late effects of childhood cancer guideline harmonization group. *Manuscript in preparation*.

## Chapter 8

**Van Dorp W**, Rietveld JM, Laven JSE, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Hukkelhoven CWPM, Schipper I. Treatment and pregnancy outcome of oocyte donation in a Dutch single-centre cohort. *Submitted*.

#### Chapter 9

**Van Dorp W,** Blijdorp K, Laven JSE, Pieters R, de Jong FH, Pluijm SMF, van der Lely AJ, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Neggers SJCMM. Obesity independently influences gonadal function in very long-term adult male survivors of childhood cancer. *Submitted*.

## Chapter 10

**Van Dorp W**, van der Geest IMM, Laven JSE, Hop WCJ, Neggers SJCMM, de Vries ACH, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Gonadal function recovery in very long-term male survivors of childhood cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2013; 49(6):1280-1286.

## Chapter 11

Adank MC, **van Dorp W**, Smit-Dinkelman M, van Casteren NJ, Laven JSE, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Electroejaculation as a method of fertility preservation in boys diagnosed with cancer: a single center experience and review of the literature. *Submitted*.

# **Other manuscripts**

**Van Dorp W**, Laven JSE, van de Ven CP, Pieters R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Letter to the editor: Gonadal function after childhood ovarian surgery. *J Ped Surg* 2013; 48(9):1990-1991.

Van der Geest IMM, **van Dorp W**, Neggers SJCMM, de Vries ACH, Pieters R, Aarsen FK, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Emotional distress in 652 Dutch very long-term survivors of childhood cancer, using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2013; 35(7):525-529.

**Van Dorp W**, Maissan IM, Hapa LR, Creemers JW, van Muyden-Martens JE. [Resuscitation of a pregnant patient – don't hesitate to perform a perimortem caesarean section]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2010; 154:A2370.

Louwers YV, **van Dorp W**, Visser TJ, Hooijkaas H, Tournaye H, Poppe K, Laven JSE. Do patients with PCOS have more thyroid disease? *Submitted*.

Klap BC, den Hoed MAH, te Winkel ML, Pieters R, van Waas M, Neggers SJCMM, Boot AM, Blijdorp K, **van Dorp W**, Pluijm SMF, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. Bone mineral density after childhood cancer, a study in 410 very long term adult survivors of childhood cancer and a review of the literature. *Submitted*.

Van der Geest IMM, **van Dorp W**, Pieters R, Pluijm SMF, Aarsen FK, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM. The distress thermometer: a simple screening tool to select distressed childhood cancer survivors. *Submitted*.

# DCOG LATER-VEVO Study Group (as member):

Van den Berg MH, van Dulmen-den Broeder E, Overbeek A, Kremer LC, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Tissing WJE, Loonen JJ, **van Dorp W**, Versluys AB, Bresters D, Lambalk CB, Kaspers GJL, van Leeuwen FE. Selecting appropriate comparison groups for late effects studies among survivors of childhood cancer: experiences from a Dutch cohort study on female fertility. *Submitted*.

Overbeek A, van den Berg MH, Hukkelhoven CW, Kremer LC, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Tissing WJ, Loonen JJ, Versluys AB, Bresters D, Kaspers GJ, Lambalk CB, van Leeuwen FE, van Dulmen-den Broeder E; **DCOG LATER-VEVO Study Group**. Validity of self-reported data on pregnancies for childhood cancer survivors: a comparison with data from a nationwide population-based registry. *Hum Reprod* 2013; 28(3):819-827.

Overbeek A, van den Berg MH, Kremer LC, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Tissing WJ, Loonen JJ, Versluys B, Bresters D, Kaspers GJ, Lambalk CB, van Leeuwen FE, van Dulmen-den Broeder E; **DCOG LATER-VEVO study group**. A nationwide study on reproductive function, ovarian reseve, and risk of premature menopause in female survivors of childhood cancer: design and methodological challenges. *BMC Cancer* 2012; 12:363.

# PhD portfolio

# Summary of PhD training and teaching activities

| Name PhD student:      | Wendy van Dorp  |
|------------------------|---|
| Erasmus MC Department: | Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Division of Reproductive Medicine |
|                        | Paediatric Oncology and Haematology                           |
| Research schools:      | NIHES   |
|                        | MolMed  |
| PhD period:            | Feb 2010 – Apr 2014   |
| Promotors:             | prof.dr. J.S.E. Laven and prof.dr. R. Pieters                 |
| Supervisor:            | dr. M.M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink                               |

|   | Year | Workload      |
|---|------|---------------|
|   |      | (Hours/ ECTS) |
| 1. PhD TRAINING   |      |               |
| General courses:  |      |               |
| – Methodologie van Patiëntgebonden Onderzoek en Voorbereiding                                     | 2010 | 0.3 ECTS      |
| van Subsidieaanvragen   |      |               |
| <ul> <li>Biomedical English Writing and Communication</li> </ul>                                  | 2011 | 4.0 ECTS      |
| Research skills:  |      |               |
| <ul> <li>Introduction to Data-analysis (Summer Course)</li> </ul>                                 | 2010 | 1.0 ECTS      |
| – Endnote Course  | 2010 | 0.1 ECTS      |
| – The SNP Course VII  | 2010 | 2.0 ECTS      |
| <ul> <li>The Photoshop and Illustrator Workshop for PhD-students</li> </ul>                       | 2011 | 0.3 ECTS      |
| – Biostatistical Methods I: Basic Principles  | 2012 | 5.7 ECTS      |
| (Inter)National Conferences – participation and presentations:                                    |      |               |
| - 11 <sup>th</sup> International Conference on Long-Term Complications of                         | 2010 | 1.0 ECTS      |
| Treatment of Children and Adolescents for Cancer, Williamsburg,<br>Virginia (poster presentation) |      |               |
| - Annual Research meeting of the Department of Obstetrics and                                     | 2011 | 1.0 ECTS      |
| Gynaecology (oral presentation)   |      |               |
| - 3/ <sup>m</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Group for Blood and Marrow                       | 2011 | 1.0 ECTS      |
| Iransplantation, Paris, France (poster presentation)  |      |               |
| – European Symposium on Late Complications after Childhood Cancer                                 | 2011 | 1.0 ECTS      |
| (poster presentation)   |      |               |

| – 67 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the American Society for Reproductive  | 2011      | 1.0 ECTS |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Medicine (poster presentation)  |           |          |
| – 59 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society for Gynecologic Investigation,   | 2012      | 1.0 ECTS |
| San Diego, USA (poster presentation)  |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Wladimiroff Symposium, Erasmus MC (oral presentation)</li> </ul>   | 2012      | 1.0 ECTS |
| – Gynaecongres, Arnhem (oral presentation)  | 2012      | 1.0 ECTS |
| <ul> <li>– 28<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction<br/>And Embryology (oral presentation)</li> </ul> | ı 2012    | 1.0 ECTS |
| – 12 <sup>th</sup> International Conference on Long-Term Complications of   | 2012      | 1.0 ECTS |
| Treatment of Children and Adolescents for Cancer, Williamsburg,   |           |          |
| USA (poster presentation)   |           |          |
| – 44 <sup>th</sup> Congress of the International Society of Paediatric Oncology.  | 2012      | 1.0 ECTS |
| London, England (poster presentation, poster award)   |           |          |
| – 11 <sup>th</sup> PanCare Meeting, Genova, Italy (coordinating meeting of the  | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| International Female Gonadal Dysfunction Harmonisation Work   |           |          |
| Group)  |           |          |
| - 13 <sup>th</sup> International Conference on Long-Term Complications of   | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| Treatment of Children and Adolescents for Cancer, Memphis, USA  |           |          |
| (oral and poster presentations)   |           |          |
| – 95 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of The Endocrine Society, San Francisco, USA  | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| (poster presentations)  |           |          |
| – 12 <sup>th</sup> PanCare meeting, Amsterdam (coordinating meeting of the  | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| International Female Gonadal Dysfunction Harmonisation Work   |           |          |
| Group)  |           |          |
| – 1 <sup>st</sup> Research Retraite, SKION-Prinses Máxima Centrum, 2013   | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| (oral presentation)   |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Najaarsvergadering Vereniging voor Fertiliteitsstudie, 2013</li> </ul>   | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| (oral presentation)   |           |          |
| – KiKa Promovendi dag 2014 (oral presentation)  | 2014      | 1.0 ECTS |
| – 60 <sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Society for Gynecologic Investigation,   | 2014      | 1.0 ECTS |
| Florence, Italy (oral presentation, SGI President's award)  |           |          |
| - Weekly research meetings Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynaecology.   | 2010–2014 | 2.0 ECTS |
| division of Reproductive Medicine   |           |          |
| – Weekly research meetings Dept. Paediatric Oncology and  | 2010-2014 | 2.0 ECTS |
| Haematology   |           |          |
| – Weekly QCAT research meeting during and after Cancer Treatment  | 2010–2014 | 2.0 ECTS |
| – Weekly multidisciplinary gynaecology- endocrinology meeting   | 2010-2014 | 2.0 ECTS |

## Seminars and workshops:

| – Erasmus MC PhD day  | 2010      | 0.2 ECTS |
|---|-----------|----------|
| – Masterclass Kinderendocrinologie, Utrecht   | 2011      | 0.4 ECTS |
| – SKION promovendi dag 2012   | 2012      | 0.4 ECTS |
| – AAV Wetenschapsmiddag 2013  | 2013      | 0.4 ECTS |
| – Erasmus MC PhD day  | 2013      | 0.2 ECTS |
| <ul> <li>Themaweek late effecten (presentation at Dept. of Paediatric<br/>Oncology/ Haematology)</li> </ul> | 2013      | 0.4 ECTS |
| 2. TEACHING ACTIVITIES  |           |          |
| Lecturing:  |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Curriculum Bachelor Medicine, Faculty Medicine and</li> </ul>                                      | 2010-2012 | 5.0 ECTS |
| Health Sciences, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam  |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Curriculum Master Medicine, Faculty Medicine and</li> </ul>  | 2013      | 1.0 ECTS |
| Health Sciences, Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam  |           |          |
| Supervising Master's theses:  |           |          |
| Supervising elective research programs (21 weeks) of medical student  | ts:       |          |
| – Mw. Chantal den Bakker  | 2012      | 1.5 ECTS |
| – Mw. Catherine Owusuaa   | 2013      | 1.5 ECTS |
| – Mw. Annemarijne Adank   | 2013      | 1.5 ECTS |
| Other skills:   |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Collaborator of the DCOG-VEVO study group</li> </ul>   | 2010–2014 | 3.0 ECTS |
| - Coordinator of the International Female Gonadal Dysfunction   | 2012-2013 | 2.0 ECTS |
| Harmonisation Working Group   |           |          |
| <ul> <li>Peer reviewing of articles for scientific journals</li> </ul>                                      | 2012-2014 | 2.0 ECTS |

# About the author



Wendy van Dorp was born on 19<sup>th</sup> June 1984 in Vlaardingen. She grew up in Rotterdam. In 2002, she finished her pre-university education (VWO) at the Sint-Laurenscollege. She studied Medicine in Rotterdam, and obtained her medical degree at the Erasmus University in Rotterdam in 2009. During her 'co-schappen', she worked for 9 weeks in the Aarhus Universitetshospital in Denmark. Wendy worked as a junior resident at the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at the Maasstad Ziekenhuis in Rotterdam. In February 2010 she started as a PhD student at the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, subdivision Reproductive Medicine, under the supervision of prof.dr. J.S.E. Laven, and the department of Paediatric Oncology and Haematology under the supervision of prof.dr. R. Pieters and dr. M.M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink (Erasmus MC).

Wendy van Dorp is geboren op 19 juni 1984 in Vlaardingen. Ze is opgegroeid in Rotterdam. In 2002 behaalde zij haar VWO diploma aan het Sint-Laurenscollege. Ze heeft geneeskunde gestudeerd aan de Erasmus Universiteit in Rotterdam, waar zij haar studie in december 2009 heeft afgerond. Tijdens haar keuze co-schappen heeft zij 9 weken lang meegelopen op de afdelingen Kindergeneeskunde en Obstetrie/Gynaecologie in het Aarhus Universitetshospital in Denemarken. Vervolgens is zij als arts-assistent niet in opleiding (ANIOS) gaan werken in het Maasstad Ziekenhuis in Rotterdam. Op 1 februari 2010 is zij gestart als arts-onderzoeker bij de subafdeling Voortplantingsgeneeskunde van het Erasmus Medisch Centrum Rotterdam en de afdeling Kinderoncologie en Hematologie. Haar promotie onderzoek staat onder supervisie van prof.dr. J.S.E Laven, prof.dr. R. Pieters en dr. M.M. van den Heuvel-Eibrink.

# Dankwoord

Het is overduidelijk: promoveren doe je niet alleen. Daarom ben ik zo blij om op deze plaats iedereen te kunnen bedanken. Ten eerste natuurlijk alle patiënten en overlevenden van kinderkanker voor hun bereidheid om mee te werken aan het onderzoek: zonder hen zou dit onderzoek niet mogelijk zijn (geweest).

Het was ontzettend fijn om te merken dat mijn promotores en copromotor er voor mij waren in die vier jaar waarin ik van de éne op de andere dag 'plotseling' in het onderzoek ondergedompeld werd. Twee verschillende vakgebieden, maar een o zo mooie combinatie.

Professor Laven, beste Joop, de tijd is voorbij gevlogen! Ruim vier jaar geleden kwam ik langs voor een sollicitatiegesprek en vandaag mag ik mijn proefschrift verdedigen. Ik ben je erg dankbaar voor de kansen die je me hebt gegeven, maar ook voor de ruimte die ik kreeg om mezelf te ontwikkelen, als wetenschapper maar ook als persoon. Ik heb veel geleerd van de discussies over mijn onderzoek en genoten van de interessante internationale congressen. Het is zo fijn om een promotor, maar vooral ook om een persoon zoals jij achter je te hebben staan en te weten dat je deur altijd voor mij open stond.

Dr. Van den Heuvel-Eibrink, beste Marry, door jouw enthousiasme en tomeloze inzet heb ik de kinderoncologie op een mooie manier leren kennen. Wetende hoe vol jouw agenda staat, is de snelheid waarmee jij reageert op vragen en manuscripten, waar je ook was, bewonderenswaardig te noemen! Naast mijn eigen projecten gaf je me de kans om betrokken te zijn in zowel nationale en internationale projecten, wat ontzettend leerzaam en leuk is (geweest). Bedankt voor je vertrouwen en betrokkenheid!

Professor Pieters, beste Rob, jij stond aan de zijlijn van mijn traject, maar was daardoor niet minder belangrijk. Ik heb veel mogen leren van jouw kritische, maar verfrissende blik op de artikelen die we bespraken, waar ik je uiteraard graag voor wil bedanken.

Beste leescommissie, professor Themmen, professor Uitterlinden en professor Kaspers, graag wil ik u bedanken voor het plaatsnemen in mijn leescommissie. Professor Themmen, tevens wil ik u bedanken voor de interessante discussies over de endocrinologische aspecten van mijn onderzoek in de afgelopen jaren. Professor Uitterlinden, bedankt voor de goede samenwerking voor het project over ovariële reserve en genetische variatie, wat inmiddels zelfs heeft mogen leiden tot een mooi EU project. Professor Kaspers, bedankt voor het plaatsnemen in mijn commissie en de interesse waarmee u gereageerd heeft op mijn proefschrift. Daarnaast wil ik professor Wallace en dr. Neggers bedanken voor het plaatsnemen in de grote commissie.

Uiteraard wil ik alle mede-auteurs graag bedanken, en in het bijzonder: dr. Schipper, beste Jits, naast de besprekingen op onze subafdeling heb ik ook samen met jou aan een project mogen werken. Jouw relativeringsvermogen werkt aanstekelijk! Dr. Visser, beste Jenny, bedankt voor je interesse en de fijne discussies over AMH, maar ook de snelheid en het enthousiasme waarmee jij reageerde op mijn papers en rebuttals. Professor de Jong, zowel tijdens de gyn-endobesprekingen als tijdens de discussies over onze manuscripten heb ik altijd vol interesse en bewondering van u geleerd. Veel dank hiervoor! Dr. Neggers, beste Sebastian, aanvankelijk leerde ik je kennen tijdens de QCAT besprekingen, maar inmiddels heb ik vooral veel met je samengewerkt binnen onze recente

projecten en de gyn-endobesprekingen. Jouw enthousiasme over de endocrinologie heb je zeker op mij overgebracht!

Dear participants of The Harmonization Guideline Project, with a special thanks to Hamish Wallace, Riccardo Haupt, Melissa Hudson, Leontien Kremer and Renée Mulder. I would like to thank you all for the opportunity to coordinate the Female Guideline Project. I really enjoyed our meetings over the last year. Professor Wallace, it is an honour for me that you agreed to be an opponent today. Thank you so much for the good collaboration! Renée, naast het feit dat jij mijn steun en toeverlaat was als ervaringsdeskundige, vond ik het ook super gezellig om buiten het werk bij te kletsen. Ik hoop dat we dat nog lang zo zullen houden!

Uiteraard wil ik alle collega's van de subafdeling Voortplantingsgeneeskunde en de Kinderoncologie bedanken voor de fijne samenwerking en interesse in de afgelopen jaren.

Beste Jolanda, een speciaal woordje voor jou hoort hier zeker bij. Jij wist het steeds maar weer voor elkaar te krijgen om de niet-matchende agenda's samen te krijgen. Maar vooral ook je gezelligheid en interesse heb ik enorm gewaardeerd. Je bent onmisbaar!

Beste Jacqueline, Anita en Jeanine, bedankt voor jullie hulp bij het regelen van de vele calls, handtekeningen, maar ook voor de interesse en gezelligheid!

Elke donderdagmiddag was het tijd voor onze QCAT bespreking. Andrica, Lizet, Marjolein, Karin, Wing, Manita, Marjo, Lidewij, Saskia G, Saskia P, Marissa, Ivana en Mark, wat was het een leuke tijd! Lizet, samen op congres in Williamsburg en door naar New York! Jouw hyperemesis mocht de pret niet drukken ;). Bedankt voor je tips, tricks en nog zoveel meer in de afgelopen jaren. Die Doppio traditie houden we erin! Marjolein, bedankt voor alle gezelligheid, tijdens maar ook na je promotietijd. Heel veel succes en op naar een mooie toekomst als kinderarts! Manita en Marjo, jullie zijn gewoon onmisbaar. Tijdens de opstartfase van mijn promotietraject hebben jullie mij veel geholpen, waarvoor ik jullie nog altijd dankbaar ben. En de gezelligheid in Memphis was top! Andrica, duizendpoot. Altijd druk in de weer met de zorg voor de patiënten, maar tegelijkertijd ook vol bezig met onderzoek en de kinder LATER poli. Knap hoe je alle balletjes weet hoog te houden. Wing, al deed je geen onderzoek naar late effecten, je statistische kennis en aanwezigheid heb ik altijd erg op prijs gesteld. Succes met het verwezenlijken van je droom en wie weet zie ik je nog eens tijdens een marathon. Ik weet het: dat zal meer aan mij dan aan jou liggen! Lidewij, het is mooi om te zien met hoeveel enthousiasme jij je studie hebt opgezet. Soms lijkt het in die eerste jaren alsof het écht niet opschiet, maar het komt écht goed! Saskia G, het is altijd weer de vraag of en wanneer je in Nederland zal zijn... lerland, Amerika, jij doet de ultieme internationale ervaring op tijdens je promotie. Veel succes! Saskia P, onze epidemiologische kennisbron. Het is heel fijn dat jij onze groep bent komen versterken. Ivana, onze kennismaking gaat alweer terug naar mijn eerste promotiejaar. Het is mooi om te zien hoe jij jezelf ontwikkeld hebt in de afgelopen jaren. lets om trots op te zijn! En nu alweer in de afrondfase van je eigen traject. Succes!

Lieve (oud) collega promovendi van de gynaecologie: Nicole, Melek, Evelyne, Kim, Emilie, Bas, Marit, Jennifer, Leonie, Ruben, Sam, Hein, Robbert, Annelien, Nienke, Manon, Babette, John, Marieke, Zoe, Averil, Nina, Pauline, Charlotte, Irene, Caroline, Jinke, Sylvia, Claudia, Jashvant, Babs, Marijana, Sabine, Anke, Amber, Chantal, Sevilay, Matthijs, Sarah en Fatima, in de afgelopen jaren is de groep veranderd en zijn we naar verschillende locaties gegaan, maar juist daarom is het goed dat de

woensdag 'vaste prik' is geworden, en was het altijd weer gezellig. Het skiweekend is onvergetelijk! Lieve Christine, het einde is in zicht!! Ik hoop dat je je droom gaat verwezenlijken, of dat nou in Rotterdam, Schiedam, of Ede is ;).

Lieve oud Hs-508 'bewoners': Mariel, Annelin, Lin, Sharon, Yvon en, al hebben we nooit 'officieel' met elkaar op de kamer gezeten, Olivier. Onze kamer bestaat niet meer... Toen ik als groentje op 'de kamer' aankwam, voelde ik me vanaf dag één enorm welkom. Het motto was duidelijk: promoveren doe je met elkaar, maar ook buiten de promotieperikelen werd lief en leed gedeeld. Bedankt voor jullie optimisme en nuchtere visies, maar ook voor alle steun op het moment dat ik het nodig had. Inmiddels ben ik de laatste van 'de oude lichting', laten we daar vanavond op drinken! Op naar de vele mooie reünies!

En ook onze huidige 'kamer'/ tuin kan niet vergeten worden. Marit, de positiviteit die jij uitstraalt, vind ik geweldig! Op naar een hele mooie toekomst met jouw man in Nederland! Jeroen, in stilte achter onze 'jungle', nu mijn promotie is afgerond, beloof ik dat ik me meer ga verdiepen in de literatuurgeschiedenis. Wanneer is je volgende lezing?

Door de fijne begintijd in het Maasstad ben ik nóg enthousiaster geworden over het vak. Lieve oud collega's, bedankt voor de fijne tijd vol gezelligheid en collegialiteit! 'Wij van het Maasstad' is nooit helemaal weggegaan. Bedankt! Lieve Sofie, na het Maasstad nu samen in het Erasmus, maar in een hele andere fase. Hoe dan ook: het blijft altijd gezellig. Succes met het afronden van de opleiding! En... Zullen we nu weer eens écht een date plannen?

Lieve Chris, tja, wat moet ik zonder een vriendinnetje als jij? Wat is het fijn om lekker met jou te kunnen sparren over werk, maar ook over andere keuzes die je nu eenmaal moet maken. Relativeren is 'your middle name', al vergeet je dat voor jezelf nog weleens. Mooi moment om ook eens te kunnen zeggen hoe trots ik op jou ben! Lieve Elaine, voor jou is het einde van je promotie gelukkig ook echt in zicht. Inmiddels alweer flink op weg in de huisartsopleiding wat, volgens mij, echt een super goede keuze is geweest. Dat heb je maar mooi gedaan!

Lieve Nyn, wat lijkt het alweer lang geleden dat ik jou leerde kennen. Jij in de keuken, ik achter de bar: wat waren we een topteam ;). Inmiddels lijkt die tijd wel heel lang geleden, maar zie ik jou gelukkig nog steeds! Bedankt voor alle gezelligheid en je altijd luisterende oor in de afgelopen jaren. En dat we 'groot' zijn geworden, blijkt maar mooi: ik ga nu promoveren, maar jij gaat volgende maand trouwen! Ik kijk er nu al naar uit!

Lieve Son, onze eerste ontmoeting gaat héél ver terug: op de basisschool! Ondanks dat we volledig andere wegen zijn ingegaan, hebben we altijd contact gehouden. Al zien we elkaar maanden niet, het voelt altijd weer vertrouwd. Dankjewel voor deze fijne, onvoorwaardelijke vriendschap!

Lieve Karien, onze keuze co-schappen in Aarhus, samen naar dat o zo mooie en grote ziekenhuis, tussen de vriendelijke Denen in onze internationale groep: wat was het een leuke tijd! Die negen weken in Denemarken hebben ervoor gezorgd dat we echt bevriend raakten. Inmiddels ben jij Deyan achterna gereisd, maar gelukkig naar de mooie stad Genève, waar ik graag weer wat vaker ga komen.

Lieve schoonfamilie, bedankt voor jullie interesse in mijn promotie en in mij, en veel dank voor alle gezellige uitstapjes (en uiteraard de daarbij behorende fotoboeken)!

Lieve An en Lo, mijn inmiddels niet meer zo kleine mininichtje ;), ik heb jullie de laatste tijd helaas maar weinig kunnen zien, maar hoop dat daar weer verandering in komt nu 'het boekje' af is! Lieve Mich, jij had hier vandaag gewoon bij moeten zijn. Mis je.

Lieve oma, wat vind ik het bijzonder dat u er vandaag bij kunt zijn. Al was het niet altijd even duidelijk wat ik aan het doen was, ik hoop dat u, net als ik, geniet van deze dag.

Lieve tante Marianne, ondanks dat u al mijn hele leven lang in Genève woont, heb ik altijd die bijzonder band gevoeld. Juist daarom is het voor mij extra bijzonder dat u vandaag bij mijn verdediging kunt zijn.

Lieve Yvonne, partner in crime. Spreekuren, congressen, koffies, discussies over analyses en uitkomsten en alles daaromheen. Ik ben ontzettend blij dat ik met zo'n fijn en positief iemand onderzoek kon doen. Daarom ben ik heel blij dat jij vandaag aan mijn zijde wilt staan. Bedankt voor deze super tijd, en op naar de volgende fase!

Lieve Sharon, het begon allemaal toen ik (een deel van) jouw project over mocht nemen, alweer vier jaar geleden. Jij ging van het Erasmus naar het Maasstad, en ik precies andersom. Ik wil je bedanken voor je luisterend oor in de eerste tijd van mijn promotietraject, maar vooral ook voor alle gezelligheid buiten het werk. Alweer twee jaar geleden stond ik aan jouw zijde, en ik ben ontzettend blij dat jij vandaag ook aan mijn zijde wilt staan!

Lieve pap en mam, dank voor jullie oneindige begrip en steun en het feit dat jullie ons altijd hebben laten inzien dat alles mogelijk is zolang je er zelf maar in gelooft. Nog steeds vind ik het heerlijk om bij jullie 'thuis' te komen en ben ik jullie heel dankbaar voor het feit dat jullie die veilige thuishaven altijd hebben gecreëerd en nog steeds creëren. Ik ben trots en blij om zulke lieve ouders te hebben!

Lief zusje, daar waar ik druk bezig was met m'n studie, ANIOS tijd en later promotie, stortte jij je volledig op het roeien. Dat heeft z'n vruchten afgeworpen! Ongelofelijk trots ben ik op hoe je je ontwikkeld hebt, zowel roeiend als daarbuiten. Menig collega en vriendinnetje heeft meegemaakt hoe actief ik me in kan leven als jij 'n World Cup of WK aan het roeien was en ik de wedstrijden via de live stream (die niet altijd zo live streamde helaas...) op de computer MOEST kijken. Ik ben ontzettend blij met zo'n topper! Allard, ik vind het super dat jij er vandaag ook bij bent. Wie had ooit gedacht dat mijn kleine zusje met een dokter in spé thuis zou komen... ;)

Lieve Paul, ik heb lang getwijfeld of ik het net zo kort en krachtig kon houden als jij ;). Ik ben je zo dankbaar voor je geduld en relativeringsvermogen, en ben ontzettend blij met jou. Eerst samen op vakantie, en daarna op naar het volgende avontuur! X

Wendy