

TI 2014-068/III

Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper



# Survival Analysis of very Low Birth Weight Infant Mortality in Taiwan

*Chialin Chang<sup>1</sup>*

*Wei-Chen Chen<sup>1</sup>*

*Michael McAleer<sup>2</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> National Chung Hsing University, Taiwan;

<sup>2</sup> National Tsing Hua University, China; Econometric Institute, Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Tinbergen Institute, the Netherlands; and Complutense University of Madrid, Spain.

Tinbergen Institute is the graduate school and research institute in economics of Erasmus University Rotterdam, the University of Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam.

More TI discussion papers can be downloaded at <http://www.tinbergen.nl>

Tinbergen Institute has two locations:

Tinbergen Institute Amsterdam  
Gustav Mahlerplein 117  
1082 MS Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
Tel.: +31(0)20 525 1600

Tinbergen Institute Rotterdam  
Burg. Oudlaan 50  
3062 PA Rotterdam  
The Netherlands  
Tel.: +31(0)10 408 8900  
Fax: +31(0)10 408 9031

Duisenberg school of finance is a collaboration of the Dutch financial sector and universities, with the ambition to support innovative research and offer top quality academic education in core areas of finance.

DSF research papers can be downloaded at: <http://www.dsf.nl/>

Duisenberg school of finance  
Gustav Mahlerplein 117  
1082 MS Amsterdam  
The Netherlands  
Tel.: +31(0)20 525 8579

# **Survival Analysis of Very Low Birth Weight Infant Mortality in Taiwan\***

**Chialin Chang\*\***

Department of Applied Economics  
Department of Finance Economics  
National Chung Hsing University

**Wei-Chen Chen**

Department of Applied Economics  
National Chung Hsing University

**Michael McAleer**

Department of Quantitative Finance  
National Tsing Hua University  
and  
Econometric Institute  
Erasmus School of Economics  
Erasmus University Rotterdam  
and  
Tinbergen Institute  
The Netherlands  
and  
Department of Quantitative Economics  
Complutense University of Madrid

June 2014

---

\*The authors wish to thank the Taiwan National Health Research Institutes for providing the data, and the National Science Council, Taiwan for financial support. The third author also wishes to acknowledge the Australian Research Council for financial support.

\*\*Corresponding author: [changchialin@nchu.edu.tw](mailto:changchialin@nchu.edu.tw)  
Tel: +886 (04)22840350 ext 309; Fax: +886(04)22860255

## Abstract

This paper examines the determinants of very low birth weight infant (or neonatal) mortality using the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research database from 1997 to 2009. After infants are discharged from hospital, it is not possible to track their mortality, so the Cox proportional hazard model is used to analyze the very low birth weight infant mortality rate. In order to clarify treatment responsibility and to avoid selective referral effects, we use the number of infants treated in the preceding five years to observe the effect of a physician's and hospital's medical experience on the mortality rate of hospitalized minimal birth weight infants. The empirical results show that, given disease control variables, a higher infant weight, higher quality hospitals, increased hospital medical experience, and higher investment in pediatrics can reduce the mortality rate significantly. However, an increased physician's medical experience does not seem to influence significantly the very low birth weight infant mortality rate.

**Key words:** Very low birth weight, Neonatal mortality, Physician's infant experience, Hospital infant experience, Statistical analysis, Cox proportional hazard model, Selective referral, Taiwan National Health Insurance Scheme.

**JEL:** C41, I10, I13, I18.

## 1. Introduction

The survival of newborns (or infants) is widely known to depend on innate genetic factors, the mother's previous medical history, mother's health condition during pregnancy, hospital of birth, postpartum medical conditions, and environmental health. Therefore, the survival rate of infants can be a direct measure of the health of mothers and infants, as well as an indirect measure of medical technology and environmental health.

Based on the definition of the World Health Organization (WHO), very low birth weight (VLBW) refers to a birth weight of less than 1500g. Infants with minimal birth weights frequently suffer from serious complications of respiratory distress syndrome, neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, congenital heart disease, congenital malformations, and retinal disease. Minimal birth weight infants are subject to treatments in the neonatal intensive care unit or neonatal intermediate unit, the cost of which can be a heavy financial burden for families.

Before the introduction of the Taiwan National Health Insurance scheme, economic factors were a priority for families with minimal birth weight infants. After the commencement of the Taiwan National Health Insurance scheme, economic factors became less burdensome for families.

Treating infants is different from treating adult patients. Establishing neonatology and providing physicians with appropriate medical equipment to care for infants indicates that the hospital has suitable quality for infant medical care. Previous studies have found that the establishment of a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) enhanced a hospital's care-giving technology which, in turn, led to reduced deaths of infants and minimal birth weight infants. These studies also found positive correlations among the occurrence of minimal birth weight infants, the number of neonatologists, and the number of beds in the NICU (Buehler et al., 1985; Goodman

et al., 2001; Warner et al., 2004).

In addition to the above effects, the amount of surgery performed can also affect the mortality rate. Much of the literature is based on the complex surgery of coronary artery bypass to explore the relationship between surgical volume and the mortality rate, and treats surgical volume as an indirect measure of physician and hospital medical care quality (Gaynor et al., 2005; Sfekas, 2008; Hsu, 2009). However, there may be an endogeneity problem in the surgical volume and medical treatment effect, namely the higher is the complex surgical volume, the better is the medical treatment quality (or learning effect). Hospitals with better medical care quality also tend to have a lower patient mortality and attract more patients which, in turn, affects the surgical volume.

In this sense, a high surgical volume and lower mortality is not caused by the learning effect but is, in fact, caused by a Selective-Referral Pattern generated sample selection bias. Previous empirical studies have also found that controlling for patient disease severity, and an individual hospital's annual surgical volume on the patient mortality becomes insignificant (Hsu, 2009; Hockenberry et al., 2010; Chien et al., 2003; Holmstrom and Phibbs, 2009).

A physician's or hospital treatment of minimal birth weight infants is referred to as the Patient (or Infant) Volume. Previous research related to minimal birth weight infants, low birth weight infants, or infant volume and medical care quality have all shown a lower mortality rate for hospitals with high infant volume or NICU, with the relationship holding even after controlling for whether an infant is referred (Phibbs et al. (1996), Cifuentes et al. (2002), Rogowski et al. (2004), Bartels et al. (2006), Wung et al. (2005), Phibbs et al. (2007)).

On the patient (or infant) volume calculation, the literature uses the daily number of hospitalizations, average annual infant volume, or current annual infant volumes as

proxy variables. The daily number of hospitalizations is defined as the number of days that infants are hospitalized within a given period. If hospital admissions were to be concentrated within a specific time period, there will be periods without admissions being counted as periods with admissions, thereby causing bias in inflated infant volume. Similarly, the average annual infant volume is affected by extreme values, and may not be able to describe the annual variations.

The current annual infant volume takes into account only infant volume in the current year, and not past experience. Hence, the infant volume is unable to reflect the overall experience of the physician and/or the hospital. Unlike previous studies, this paper uses the infant volume to observe the effect of a physician's and hospital experience on the mortality rate of hospitalized minimal birth weight infants.

This paper uses detailed hospitalization expense files from 1996 to 2009 from the Taiwan National Health Insurance database to observe the number of deaths of hospitalized minimal birth weight infants. The annual infant volume is used to represent the experience of both the physician and the hospital. Linking this to the basic information data file, the hospital evaluation classification and the number of hospital beds are used as explanatory variables to explore whether the physician and hospital characteristics, and infant volume, can affect hospital medical quality for minimal birth weight infants, and have explanatory power for the mortality rate of minimal birth weight infants.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the compilation of the National Health Insurance database, file linking procedures, and variable definitions. Section 3 introduces the empirical model. Section 4 explains the empirical results, and Section 5 gives some conclusions and health policy suggestions.

## **2. Data and Variables**

The paper provides a statistical analysis of survival rate of minimal birth weight infants hospitalized for the first time. In analyzing the factors affecting the minimal birth weight infant mortality, the most important variables are infant weight classification, hospital infant survival time, infant discharge status, a physician's experience, hospital infant experience, hospital evaluation classification, and the number of hospital beds. The data are obtained from the Taiwan National Health Insurance database, which provides five sub-data files: (1) medical institution basic information file (HOSB); (2) medical institution hospital bed master file (BED); (3) medical personnel basic information file (PER); (4) exclusive doctor certificate master file (DOC); and (5) detailed hospitalization expense file (DD).

As the National Health Insurance database does not allow an applicant to access an external database, it is not possible to determine an infant's survival time after discharge.<sup>1</sup> According to the hospitalization medical service point list media reporting format and form completion instruction, as provided by the website of the Taiwan National Health Insurance Administration, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Executive Yuan, the transfer code records the infant discharge condition noted as alive or deceased.<sup>2</sup> This variable is noted in the 23<sup>rd</sup> column of the detailed hospitalization expense file in the National Health Insurance database, and the information for this field is required. Although an infant's survival time after discharge are unknown, the survival status at discharge is available. In addition, to clarify the accountability of the medical treatment and to avoid the effect of selective referral pattern (which indicates an infant's referral to a physician or hospital with superior medical treatment quality), this paper eliminates data on minimal birth weight infants who are referred immediately after birth.

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://w3.nhri.org.tw/nhird//faq\\_e.htm](http://w3.nhri.org.tw/nhird//faq_e.htm)

<sup>2</sup>[http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=20&menu\\_id=710&WD\\_ID=710&webdata\\_id=3638](http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=20&menu_id=710&WD_ID=710&webdata_id=3638)

From the disease code of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases, revision nine (ICD9), which is recorded in the column of the diagnostic code of the detailed hospitalization expense file list (DD), we have the weight classification of every hospitalized infant (see reference Table 1 for the selection of an infant's International Statistical Classification of Diseases). The disease classification code divides weights into groups of under 500g, 500g to 749g, 750g to 999g, 1000g to 1249g, and 1250g to 1499g. This paper uses data that are based on infants who are hospitalized immediately after birth, and whose disease code is recorded in the first column of Table 1.

According to the hospitalization medical service point list media reporting format and form completion instruction of the National Health Insurance Administration, the detailed hospitalization expense file list (DD) and recorded main surgery (process) are optional rather than required.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, not every infant has documented information for this field. Thus, this paper controls an infant's disease severity based on a diagnostic code instead of a main surgery (process) code. In order to clarify the accountability of an infant's medical treatment effect, only minimal birth weight infants who are cared for by only one physician from admission to discharge are included in the study.

From the detailed hospitalization expense file (DD), we have the codes of physicians and medical institutions for infants. Furthermore, from the medical personnel basic information file (PER) and exclusive doctor certificate master file (DOC), we can link this information to a physician's gender, DOB, and certificate issue date. Furthermore, from the medical institution basic information file (HOSB)

---

<sup>3</sup>[http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=20&menu\\_id=710&WD\\_ID=811&webdata\\_id=3638](http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=20&menu_id=710&WD_ID=811&webdata_id=3638)

and the medical institution hospital beds master file (BED), we can link the above to hospital ownership, classification level, and the number of hospital beds.

During compilation of the above sub-databases, phenomena such as unknown infant gender, infants treated by more than one physician, death on the day of birth (treated as stillbirth), referral on the first day of admission, unknown discharge status, seeking medical treatment from non-pediatricians, pediatric surgery, neonatology, physician's unknown gender, a non-pediatric certified exclusive physician, and an inability to link a medical institution's hospital beds to infants, all affect the number of observations (refer to Diagram 1 for the sample screening process). In summary, if the file of hospital beds is not linked, there are 15,223 infants, 663 physicians, and 140 hospitals entering the final analysis whereas, if the file of hospital beds is linked, there are 9,593 infants, 455 physicians and 98 hospitals.

The dependent variable in this paper is survival time, the date of admission minus the date of discharge, excluding the day of discharge. Each sample observation also records the discharge status to determine whether an infant's survival time is restricted data. Referral codes 1 (treatment and discharge) and 3 (transfer to outpatient treatment) are defined as discharge status alive, but the survival time after discharge is unknown, and hence is treated as restricted data. Referral code 4 (death) is defined as discharge status death, representing an event's occurrence. The explanatory variables include infant's characteristics ( $Z_{\text{patient}}$ ), physician's characteristics ( $Z_{\text{prsn}}$ ), and hospital characteristics ( $Z_{\text{hosp}}$ ), which are explained below and in Table 2.

Table 3 presents the sample descriptive statistics, and is given according to the order of  $Z_{\text{patient}}$  (infant's characteristics),  $Z_{\text{prsn}}$  (physician's characteristics), and  $Z_{\text{hosp}}$  (hospital characteristics). The mean infant hospitalization is 49 days, maximum hospitalization is 779 days, and minimum hospitalization is one day. During the period of study, the number of deaths is 1,952 infants, which is about 2%. Infants with

weights between 1250g and 1499g number 4,087, or about 43%; with weights between 1000g and 1249g number 2,658, or about 28%; with weights between 750g and 999g number 1,698, or about 18%; with weights between 500g and 749g number 1,014, or about 11%; and with weights of less than 500g number 151, or about 2%.

Infants suffering from respiratory diseases number 6,083, representing approximately 63% of the sample observations; infants suffering from neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage number 920, representing approximately 10% of the sample observations; infants suffering from retinal disease number 955, representing approximately 10% of the sample observations; infants suffering from congenital heart disease number 2,355, representing approximately 25% of the sample observations; and infants suffering from necrotizing enterocolitis number 378, representing approximately 4% of the sample observations. After linking to the hospital beds file, there are 3,444 infant boys and 3,288 infant girls in the paper card samples.

Infants treated by male physicians number 6,058, representing approximately 63% of the sample observations; and infants treated by female physicians number 3,535, representing approximately 37% of the sample observations. At the time of treatment, the mean physician seniority is 4,114 days and the mean age is 15,700 days. For physicians with five years of infant experience, the mean is 51 and the standard deviation is 46.

Infants staying in the medical center number 6,585, representing approximately 69% of the sample observations; infants staying in the regional hospital number 2,465, representing approximately 26% of the sample observations; infants staying in the district hospital number 543, representing approximately 5% of the sample observations; infants staying in private hospitals number 7,551, representing approximately 79% of the sample observations; infants staying in the medical center

of private hospitals number 4,937, representing approximately 51% of the sample observations; infants staying in the medical center of public hospitals number 1,648, representing approximately 17% of the sample observations; infants staying in private regional hospitals number 2,124, representing approximately 22% of the sample observations; and infants staying in public regional hospitals number 341, representing approximately 4% of the sample observations.

For the sample period, the total number of hospital beds is 1,087, with a standard deviation of 913 beds. The mean acute newborn intensive care beds number 28, with a standard deviation of 36 beds. For hospitals with five years of infant experience, the mean is 187 infants with standard deviation of 162 infants.

Prior to September 2003, the National Health Insurance scheme used paper cards. The government required all patients to use their own health insurance cards, and hence did not allow infants to use their mother's health insurance cards for medical treatment. However, after September 2003, the National Health Insurance scheme switched to Integrated Circuit (IC) cards. Therefore, within the first 31 days of birth, infants could use their mother's health insurance IC cards if hospitalization were needed immediately after birth.<sup>4</sup> In short, before September 2003, an infant's gender is indicated on the detailed hospitalization expense file, but only a mother's gender is recorded after September 2003. Hence, this paper divides the samples into groups of paper cards, IC cards, and a mixed sample of paper and IC cards.

### **3 Empirical Model**

In order to analyze the factors affecting the mortality rate of minimal birth weight infants, this paper uses the Cox proportional hazard model. Let  $T$  be the

---

<sup>4</sup>[http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=6&menu\\_id=817&WD\\_ID=198&webdata\\_id=2678](http://www.nhi.gov.tw/webdata/webdata.aspx?menu=6&menu_id=817&WD_ID=198&webdata_id=2678)

random variable of survival time. The cumulative distribution function of survival time is  $\Pr(T \leq t) = F(t)$ , representing the cumulative probability of survival until time  $t$ . The survival function can be defined as  $\Pr(T \geq t) = 1 - F(t) = S(t)$ , representing the probability of survival over time  $t$ . The hazard function is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(t) &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(t \leq T \leq t + \Delta t \mid T \geq t)}{\Delta t} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(t \leq T \leq t + \Delta t)}{\Pr(T \geq t) \Delta t} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t + \Delta t) - F(t)}{S(t) \Delta t} = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)}. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

The hazard function represents the probability density function of an immediate event occurring under the condition that the given sample observation value can survive at least until time  $t$ , and can be solved from the following equation:

$$h(t) = \frac{d(-\ln S(t))}{dt} = \frac{\frac{d(-S(t))}{dt}}{S(t)} = \frac{-(-f(t))}{S(t)} = \frac{f(t)}{S(t)}. \tag{2}$$

The proportional hazard model is given in equation (3), which can be used for the analysis of the determinants affecting the probability of survival over time  $t$ :

$$h(t, Z) = h_0(t) \exp[Z\beta]. \tag{3}$$

In equation (3),  $Z$  is the dependent variable,  $\beta$  is the unknown parameter to be estimated, and  $h_0(t)$  is the baseline hazard function. Without any assumption on

$h_0(t)$ , the proportional hazard model is a semi-parametric model, which can be estimated by the likelihood method (see Cox, 1972).

Under the assumption of a non-repetitive survival time for each observation (that is, without tied data), the likelihood function can be written as follows:

$$l_p(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{\exp(Z_i \beta)}{\sum_{j \in R(t_i)} \exp(Z_j \beta)} \right]^{c_i} \quad (4)$$

where  $c_i = 1$  (uncensored) represents the sample event occurring during the observation period,  $c_i = 0$  (censored) represents no sample event occurring during the observation period, and  $R(t_i)$  is the risk set, representing all the sample observations remaining alive until time  $t_i$ . In equation (4), the sample observation value is one and is unable to provide any information when  $c_i = 0$ . Hence, for  $c_i = 1$ , and arranging the sample observations in ascending order based on the survival time, equation (4) can be rearranged as follows:

$$l_p(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left[ \frac{\exp(Z_{(i)} \beta)}{\sum_{j \in R(t_{(i)})} \exp(Z_j \beta)} \right]. \quad (5)$$

In equation (5),  $k$  represents the number of survival times after arranging the order: for example, for a total of 10 infants, if an event occurred for seven people and the survival time is not repeated, then  $k = 7$ ; if the survival time is repeated for two infants and not the other five, then  $k = 6$ . In equation (5)  $t_{(i)}$  represents the sorted survival time and  $R(t_{(i)})$  represents the risk set, which denotes all sample observations still surviving until time  $t_{(i)}$ . Hence, the risk set includes the censored sample. Taking logarithms of equation (5) leads to the following:

$$L_p(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ Z_i \beta - \ln \left[ \sum_{j \in R(t(i))} \exp(Z_j \beta) \right] \right\}, \quad (6)$$

which may be optimized to obtain estimates of  $\beta$  as  $\hat{\beta}$ .

When the survival times of the sample observations are repeated (tied), equation (6) no longer applies for estimation. Hence, Breslow (1974) suggested the partial likelihood function in equation (7):

$$l_{Breslow}(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\exp(Z_{(i)} + \beta)}{\left[ \sum_{j \in R(t(i))} \exp(Z_j \beta) \right]^{d_i}}. \quad (7)$$

Efron (1977) suggested the partial likelihood function in equation (8):

$$l_{Efron}(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\exp(Z_{(i)} + \beta)}{\prod_{s=1}^{d_i} \left[ \sum_{j \in R(t(i))} \exp(Z_j \beta) - \frac{s-1}{d_i} \sum_{j \in D(t(i))} \exp(Z_j \beta) \right]} \quad (8)$$

where  $k$  represents the number of sorted survival times,  $d_i$  denotes the number of sample observations when the survival time is  $t_{(i)}$  and the event occurred, and  $Z_{(i)+} = \sum_{j \in D(t(i))} Z_j$  represents the sample set when the survival time  $D(t(i))$  equals  $t_{(i)}$

and the event occurred. For example, suppose the survival time for A and B is three days and the event (death) occurs on the third day, then  $Z_{(i)+} = Z_A + Z_B$ . Therefore, the numerator in equations (7) and (8) is  $\exp[(Z_A + Z_B)\beta]$  and  $d_i = 2$ . On the other hand, equations (7) and (8) can revert to equation (5) if only one event occurs for  $k$  survival times (that is,  $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_k = 1$ ).

The primary difference between Breslow and Efron for samples with the same survival times is in the setting of the denominator. Breslow gives the same weight to

each sample observation with the same survival time if the event occurred, while Efron gives different weights. The Breslow estimating equation is given in equation (9) below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{e^{Z_A\beta} \times e^{Z_B\beta}}{[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + e^{Z_C\beta} + e^{Z_D\beta} + e^{Z_E\beta}]^2} \\
 &= \frac{e^{Z_A\beta}}{[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + e^{Z_C\beta} + e^{Z_D\beta} + e^{Z_E\beta}]} \times \frac{e^{Z_B\beta}}{[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + e^{Z_C\beta} + e^{Z_D\beta} + e^{Z_E\beta}]}, \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

while the Efron estimating equation is given in equation (10):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{e^{Z_A\beta} \times e^{Z_B\beta}}{\left\{e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + \dots + e^{Z_E\beta} - \frac{1-1}{2}[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta}]\right\} \times \left\{e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + \dots + e^{Z_E\beta} - \frac{2-1}{2}[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta}]\right\}} \\
 &= \frac{e^{Z_A\beta}}{[e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta} + e^{Z_C\beta} + e^{Z_D\beta} + e^{Z_E\beta}]} \times \frac{e^{Z_B\beta}}{\left[\frac{1}{2}(e^{Z_A\beta} + e^{Z_B\beta}) + e^{Z_C\beta} + e^{Z_D\beta} + e^{Z_E\beta}\right]}. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

From equations (9) and (10), Efron gives weights of 1/2 to both the A and B observations with the same survival times, while Breslow gives both values a weight of one. As the denominator in equation (10) is smaller than that in (9), the likelihood value of the Efron estimator is greater than that of the Breslow estimator. Therefore, the greater is the number of observations with the same survival time, the larger will be the likelihood of Efron relative to that of Breslow. As there are observations with the same survival times in the data set, in order to have improved estimates, estimation will be based mainly on the Efron procedure.

The dependent variable in survival analysis is categorized according to the survival times when the event occurs, or when no event occurs, in which case the specific observation will be registered as a censored survival time. The proportional

hazard model is different from the linear regression model where each explanatory variable can change the dependent variable separately. On the contrary, in a proportional hazard model, the effect of each explanatory variable depends on the hazard ratio.

Assume that we have already estimated the regression parameter,  $\hat{\beta}$ , and there is only one explanatory variable, for example, gender, defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Gender} = 1, & \text{female} \\ \text{Gender} = 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The definition of the hazard ratio is given in equation (11):

$$HR(\text{Gender}, \hat{\beta}) = \frac{h_0 \exp[\hat{\beta}(1)]}{h_0 \exp[\hat{\beta}(0)]} = \exp(\hat{\beta}). \quad (11)$$

If  $\exp(\hat{\beta}) = 2$ , the sudden infant mortality rate of females is twice that of males. Notice that  $h_0(t)$  in the numerator and denominator cancel each other, so that the sudden infant mortality is proportional. As the proportional relationship does not change over time, the model in equation (11) is called the proportional hazard model.

Similarly, if there exists a sequential explanatory variable, such as age (in years), then the hazard ratio is given in equation (12):

$$HR(\text{Age}, \hat{\alpha}) = \frac{h_0(t) \exp[\hat{\alpha}(x + c)]}{h_0(t) \exp[\hat{\alpha}(x)]} = \exp(\hat{\alpha}c). \quad (12)$$

If  $\exp(\hat{\alpha}c) = 1.2$ , for every  $c$  years the age increases, the sudden infant mortality rate is 1.2 times that of the initial value, which is an increase of 20% in the sudden infant mortality rate. For further details on the definition and estimation of the Cox

proportional hazard model, as well as the meaning of the hazard ratio see, for example, Hosmer et al. (2008).

This paper extends the Cox proportional hazard model, as follows:

$$h(t, Z) = h_0(t) \exp[\alpha Z_{\text{patient}} + \beta Z_{\text{prsn}} + \gamma Z_{\text{hosp}}]. \quad (13)$$

There are three types of dimensions of explanatory variables in the model in equation (13):  $Z_{\text{patient}}$  represents the infant's characteristics,  $Z_{\text{prsn}}$  represents the physician's characteristics, and  $Z_{\text{hosp}}$  represents the hospital characteristics. An infant's characteristics include weight and disease category; a physician's characteristics include gender, seniority, age, and infant experience; and hospital characteristics include the hospital infant experience, evaluation classification (medical center and regional hospital), ownership effect (private or public hospital), cross effect of evaluation classification and ownership (medical center and private hospital, regional and private hospital), number of acute infant intensive care beds, and the hospital's total number of beds. As above, this paper adopts the Efron partial likelihood function for estimating the unknown parameters of the proportional hazard model.

As weight classification has a more stable relationship than the disease category control variable, in order to understand the determining factors of the mortality rate of minimal birth weight infants, this paper has four research model settings: (i) the basic model (without the disease control variables); (ii) the basic model with the disease control variables; (iii) the basic model with the number of hospital beds; and (iv) the basic model with the disease control variables and the number of hospital beds.

#### 4. Empirical Results

Tables 4 to 7 show the results from Efron estimation, in which all the coefficient values are converted to hazard ratios. As described in Section 2 above, prior to September 2003, the Taiwan National Health Insurance scheme used paper cards. The government required all patients to use their own health insurance cards, and did not allow infants to access medical services using their mothers' insurance cards. As of September 2003, the National Health Insurance scheme switched to IC cards, so that infants who required hospitalization immediately after birth could use their mother's insurance cards to access medical services during their first 31 days. As the sample observations in this paper include both paper card and IC card data, the empirical results below will show the estimates for the paper card sample, IC card sample, and a mixed sample of paper and IC cards.

The Cox proportional hazard model involves alterations in the settings of the dependent variable, which is based on sudden decreases in the mortality rate. The results for the basic model (without the disease control variables) are presented in Table 4, the basic model with the disease control variables is presented in Table 5, the basic model and the number of hospital beds is presented in Table 6, and the basic model with the disease control variables and the number of hospital beds is presented in Table 7. The empirical results are presented for the mixed and paper card samples. The difference between the mixed and paper card samples is that the paper card sample has an additional infant gender variable.

With regard to the setting of the infant experience variable, this paper uses the number of infants treated over a given period as infant experience. There is no significant difference in the results after infant experience reaches five years, such that the first five years are significant but not thereafter. Hence, in reporting the empirical results, the physician's and hospital infant experience both refer to infant experience over a period of five years.

The definition and determination of experience in treating infants by both a physician and a hospital, hereafter termed “physician’s experience” and “hospital experience”, respectively, can be problematic. Virtually all previous studies in the literature have used one year of data, and hence have defined infant experience as the number of infants treated by a physician or a hospital during the same year. Such a definition of contemporaneous experience is severely restricted. The data set in this paper allows “experience” to be defined for up to 13 years. There is a balance required between increasing the number of years of infant experience and the concomitant loss of an equivalent number of years from the sample set. Preliminary empirical analysis showed that 2, 3, 4 and 5 years of infant experience were preferred statistically to a single year of experience, but that 6 years of infant experience was not statistically superior to 5 years. In the interests of a parsimonious balance between a practical and realistic measure of infant experience and the substantial loss of data in defining such infant experience, this paper defines both a physician’s and also a hospital’s infant experience as based on 5 years of treatment of infants.

Table 4 shows the empirical results for the basic model, with the second column giving the mixed sample estimates and the third column giving the paper card estimates. The mixed sample estimates show that the heavier is the infant’s weight, the higher is the significant drop in the sudden mortality rate. For example, the sudden infant mortality rate for weights between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0285 times that of weights below 500g. This result is consistent with the empirical findings in Cifuentes et al. (2002), Wung et al. (2005), and Phibbs (2007). The physician’s gender as male is insignificant, but the physician’s seniority and age are significant, with seniority lowering the sudden mortality rate, and age increasing the sudden mortality rate.

For hospital characteristics, only the medical center is significant, whereby the sudden mortality rate of an infant living in the medical center is 0.75 times that of an

infant not living in the medical center. The infant experience of a physician and hospital are significant, with a hazard ratio of 0.999, which represents a decrease of 0.1% in the sudden mortality rate for each increase in infant experience.

The third column in Table 4 gives the paper card estimates results, wherein sudden infant mortality rate for weights between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0244 times that of weights less than 500g. For male infants, the sudden mortality rate is 1.406 times that of female infants, which is consistent with the empirical findings in Cifuentes et al. (2002), Rogowski et al. (2004), and Phibbs et al. (2007). A physician's seniority (increase in experience) can decrease the sudden mortality rate, while an increase in the physician's age (physical decline) can increase the sudden mortality rate. Infants living in the medical center can significantly decrease the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.71 times that of not living in the medical center. The infant experience of physicians and hospital is significant, with a hazard ratio about 0.999, which represents a decrease of 0.1% in the sudden mortality rate for each additional infant. The hospital infant experience and mortality rate have a significant negative relationship, which is consistent with the empirical findings in Phibbs et al. (1996), Rogowski et al. (2004), Bartels et al. (2006), and Phibbs et al. (2007).

Table 5 gives the empirical results for the basic model with the disease control variables in the second column for the mixed sample estimates, and in the third column for the paper card estimates. After adding the disease control variables, the mixed sample estimates show that a higher infant weight can significantly decrease the sudden mortality rate: for example, the sudden mortality rate for weights between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0294 times that of weights less than 500g. Infants treated by a male physician can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1.082 times that of infants treated by a female physician. The effect of a physician's seniority (increase in experience) and age (physical decline) on the mortality rate is

statistically significant and negative. Infants living in the medical center can significantly decrease the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.87 times that of infants not living in the medical center. Staying in the medical center of public hospitals can also significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.875 times that of infants staying in a non-medical center and non-public hospital. The effect of physicians with infant experience becomes insignificant, and a hospital with infant experience significantly lowers the sudden mortality rate by 0.1% for each additional infant.

The third column in Table 5 gives the paper card estimates with the disease control variables. A heavier infant's weight can significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, and a male infant can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate to 1.339 times that of a female infant. Treatment of an infant by a male physician can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1,137 times that of an infant treated by a female physician. A physician's seniority significantly lowers the sudden infant rate, but the physician's age is insignificant. An infant living in a medical center can significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.811 times that of a infant not living in a medical center. Staying in the medical center of a public hospital can also significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.858 times that of an infant staying in a non-medical center and non-public hospital. A physician's experience becomes insignificant, but a hospital's experience can significantly lower the sudden mortality rate by 0.1% for a unit increase in infant experience.

Table 6 uses the basic model and the hospital bed control variable to examine whether the addition of a disease control variables cause the physician's and hospital infant experience to become insignificant. The second and third columns show the estimates of the mixed sample, while the fourth and fifth columns show the estimates of the paper card sample. All the mixed sample results are similar for the total number of hospital beds and the acute infant intensive care beds, as follows: a heavier infant's

weight can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate; a physician's seniority and age are significant and negative; staying in a medical center can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate; and a physician's infant experience, physician's gender, and the hospital bed control variable are not significant; and the hospital infant experience can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate at the 10% level of significance.

The results in the fourth and fifth columns in Table 6 for the paper cards sample show the common points for the total number of hospital beds and acute infant intensive care beds, as follows: the heavier is the infant's weight, the lower is the sudden mortality rate; a male infant significantly increases the sudden mortality rate, a male physician significantly increases the sudden mortality rate, and a medical center significantly lowers the sudden mortality rate. A physician's and hospital's infant experience, and the hospital bed variable, are not significant, and a physician's seniority and age are also insignificant.

Table 7 provides the empirical results of the basic model, and control for the disease variables and number of hospital beds. The second and third columns show the estimates of the mixed sample, while the fourth and fifth columns give the estimates of the paper cards. Both the mixed and paper samples show that, regardless of the total number of hospital beds or acute infant intensive care beds as the control variable, the infant experience of both physicians and hospitals become insignificant.

The mixed sample total hospital beds variable gives the results of hospital beds as a control, as shown in the second column. A heavier infant's weight can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate: for example, the sudden mortality rate for an infant's weight between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0277 times that of a weight of less than 500g. A male physician can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1.132 times that of a female physician. Both a physician's seniority and age are significant, but the former (increase in experience) can reduce the sudden

mortality rate while the latter (physical decline) can increase the sudden mortality rate. Moreover, the sudden mortality rate of staying in the medical center is 0.649 times that of staying in a non-medical center.

The mixed sample results for acute infant intensive care beds are based on the hospital bed control variable, and are shown in the third column. The sudden mortality rate of infant's weights between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0276 times that of weights less than 500g; the sudden mortality rate for a male physician is 1.092 times that of a female physician; both a physician's seniority and age are significant and negative; and the sudden mortality rate of staying in a medical center is 0.677 times that of staying in a non-medical center.

The difference between the second and third columns of the mixed sample estimates is the total number of hospital beds, which can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate; acute infant intensive care beds can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate; and the sudden mortality rate can be lowered by 0.2% with each increase in acute infant intensive care beds.

The total number of hospital beds results for the paper card sample are based on the hospital beds control variable, and are shown in the fourth column. A heavier infant's weight can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate: for example, the sudden mortality rate of infant's weights between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0273 times that of weights less than 500g. A male infant can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1.357 times that of a female infant. A male physician can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1.175 times that of a female physician. An infant staying in a medical center can significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, which is 0.689 times that of staying in a non-medical center. The physician's age is not significant.

The results for the paper card sample acute infant intensive care beds rely on the hospital beds control variable, and are shown in the fifth column. The sudden mortality rate of an infant's weight between 1250g and 1499g is 0.0272 times that of a weight less than 500g. A male infant can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate, which is 1.357 times that of a female infant. The sudden mortality rate of an infant treated by a male physician is 1.149 times that of a female physician. A physician's seniority and age are not significant. The sudden mortality rate of staying in a medical center is 0.709 times that of staying in a non-medical center.

For the estimates of the paper card sample with the addition of the hospital bed control variable, the total number of hospital beds is not significant. The acute infant intensive care beds can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate by 0.2% for each acute newborn intensive care bed.

An infant staying in a medical center (higher hospital evaluation classification) and a hospital's investment increase in neonatology (measured as the number of acute infant intensive care beds) both reduce the sudden mortality rate, which is consistent with the empirical findings in Phibbs et al. (1996), Cifuentes et al. (2002), and Phibbs et al. (2007).

These empirical results show that, in a model with disease control variables but excluding a hospital bed variable, the hospital experience can explain the medical care quality for minimal birth weight infants, but the physician's infant experience cannot do so. With both the disease control variables and hospital bed variable in the model, neither a physician's infant experience nor hospital infant experience has explanatory power. Using acute infant intensive care beds to capture investment in neonatology, the greater is the investment, the better is the medical care quality for minimal birth weight infants. For the basic model with control for disease and hospital beds, regardless of a mixed sample or a paper card sample, the acute infant intensive care

beds can significantly reduce the sudden mortality rate. The hospital evaluation classification means that the medical center and the sudden mortality rate have a negative relationship, and the medical center has useful explanatory power for the sudden mortality rate of minimal birth weight infants. In short, the medical center is, not surprisingly, a critical factor in measuring medical quality.

## **5. Conclusion**

This paper used data for minimal birth weight infants from 1997 to 2009 in the Taiwan National Health Insurance database as the research subjects, and the Cox proportional hazard model to conduct an empirical analysis on the sudden mortality rate of minimal birth weight infants hospitalized for the first time. The main explanatory variables included the physician's and hospital infant experience, the physician's gender, seniority and age, the hospital's evaluation classification and ownership, and the number of hospital beds.

As discussed above, prior to September 2003, the Taiwan National Health Insurance scheme used paper cards and did not allow infants to access their mothers' health insurance for medical services. However, after September 2003, the National Health Insurance scheme switched to IC cards so that, within the first 31 days of birth, infant could access their mother's health insurance IC cards for medical treatment. This paper showed the empirical analysis of paper card and mixed sample (that is, paper and IC cards), and provided the paper card effects of the infant gender variable.

In summary, the main conclusions of the empirical analysis are as follows:

1. Infant characteristics: increases in weight classification can steadily and significantly lower the sudden mortality rate, and male infants have higher sudden mortality rates than do female infants.

2. Physician characteristics: physicians with infant experience have a negative correlation with the sudden mortality rate for the basic model with no control variable. In contrast, physicians with infant experience have no significant effect if diseases and the number of hospital beds are subject to control. In all the estimates for the mixed samples, a physician's seniority (or increase in experience) and age (physical decline) are both significant, and have negative effects on the sudden mortality rate. In the mixed sample, under disease control, male physicians can increase the sudden mortality rate. Incorporation of the disease control variables and the number of hospital beds in the paper card sample can significantly increase the sudden mortality rate for male physicians.
3. Hospital characteristics: hospitals with infant experience have a negative relationship with the sudden mortality rate, and an increase in acute infant intensive care beds can significantly lower the sudden mortality rate for the basic model with control for the disease variable. Hospital infant experience does not significantly lower the sudden mortality rate if both the disease and the number of hospital beds are controlled. The medical center and the sudden mortality rate have a significant negative relationship.

There are important issues in sample selection. Although the empirical results show that the evaluation classification means that the medical center represents higher medical care and/or higher infant experience for neonatology, the medical center has a lower sudden mortality rate compared with hospitals with other evaluation classifications.

This paper excludes all referral infants, but has no control over the mother's referral, the mother's past medical history, and related socio-economic variables, for which data are not available. Based on Wang et al. (2006), using maternal and neonatal research subjects in Taiwan in 2001, the estimation results by quantile

regression show that the mother's past medical history, weeks of pregnancy, and age can affect infant weights. The studies by Phibbs et al. (1996), Cifuentes et al. (2002), Rogowski et al. (2004), and Phibbs et al. (2007) have examined the mother's socio-economic status to control the effects of social and economic variables. Restricted by the nature of the available data, the fact that not every infant has insurance under their mothers' name, the Taiwan National Health Insurance database is unable to connect newborns to their mothers' data, despite the insurance data files containing such information. In order to protect privacy, the National Health Insurance database does not allow linkages from external sites, so it is not possible to obtain information that is related to the maternal socio-economic status.

In all the models based on the paper card samples, minimal birth weight male infants significantly increase the sudden mortality rate. However, due to the adoption of IC cards by the National Health Insurance scheme from September 2003, it is not possible to determine gender from infants seeking medical treatment under their mothers' insurance policies.

Controlling an infant's disease severity is based on the detailed hospitalization expense file records that describe the disease with a clinical diagnosis of the disease severity. Warner et al. (2004) incorporated the clinical disease severity and showed that the estimates may change quantitatively, but that such inclusion will not likely change the qualitative conclusions. Incorporating the disease control variables in the mixed sample, or incorporating the disease control variables and/or number of hospital beds in the paper cards sample, makes the male physician variable significantly increase the sudden infant mortality rate.

This paper lacks information on the mother's past medical history, socio-economic variable, infant gender, disease clinical severity, and how physicians are assigned to minimal weight infants, all of which might affect the empirical

estimates. The limitations of the database are dependent on how the data are stored and linked in the Taiwan National Health Insurance scheme. The addition of an infant's disease clinical severity and information regarding internal hospital resource assignments for infants, providing greater in-depth studies based on related medical resource usage, and medical quality for minimal birth weight infants, would enable greater precision in analyzing the sudden infant mortality rate.

## Reference

- Bartels D.B., D. Wypij, P. Wenzlaff, O. Dammann, and C.F. Poets (2006), “Hospital Volume and Neonatal Mortality Among Very Low Birth Weight Infants”, *Pediatrics*, 117(6), 2206-2214.
- Buehler J.W., C.J.R. Hogue, and S.M. Zaro (1985), “Postponing or Preventing Deaths? Trends in Infant Survival, Georgia, 1974 through 1981”, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 253(24), 3564-3567.
- Chen, Y.-M., M.-T. Lo, Y.-R. Lai and Y.-L. Chen (2009), “Common Diseases of Premature Infants”, *The Journal of Taiwan Pharmacy*, 25(2), 46-52, (in Chinese).
- Chien, L.-N., H.-F. Chu, C.-H. Liu, K.-P. Chung, J.-Y. Tsauo, Y.-Y. Ng and S.-C. Wu (2003), “Association Between Hospital and Surgeon Procedure Volume With Outcome of Total Hip Replacement”, *Taiwan Journal of Public Health*, 22(2), 118-126, (in Chinese).
- Cifuentes J., J. Bronstein, C.S. Phibbs, R.H. Phibbs, S.K. Schmitt, and W.A. Carlo (2002), “Mortality in Low Birth Weight Infants According to Level of Neonatal Care at Hospital of Birth”, *Pediatrics*, 109(5), 745-751.
- Goodman D.C., E.S. Fisher, G.A. Little, T.A. Stukel, and C.-H. Chang (2001), “Are Neonatal Intensive Care Resources Located According to Need? Regional Variation in Neonatologists, Beds, and Low Birth Weight Newborns”, *Pediatrics*, 108(2), 426-431.
- Gaynor M., H. Seider, and W.B. Vogt (2005), “The Volume-Outcome Effect, Scale Economies, and Learning-by-Doing”, *American Economic Review*, 95(2), 243-247.
- Hockenberry J.M., H.-M. Lien, and S.-Y. Chou (2010) “Surgeon and Hospital Volume as Quality Indicators for CABG in Taiwan: Examining Hazard to Mortality and Accounting for Unobserved Heterogeneity”, *Health Services Research*, 45(5), 1168-1187.

- Holmstrom S.T. and C.S. Phibbs (2009), “Regionalization and Mortality in Neonatal Intensive Care”, *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 56(3), 617-630.
- Hosmer D.W., S. Lemeshow and S. May (2008), *Applied Survival Analysis: Regression Modeling of Time to Event Data*, New York, Wiley.
- Hsu P.-F. (2009), “The Volume-Outcome Relationships in Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery”, *Taiwan Journal of Public Health*, 28(1), 69-77 (in Chinese).
- Lien H.-M. (2008), “How to Use National Health Insurance Data to Conduct Health Economics Research”, *Taiwan Economic Review*, 36(1), 115-143, (in Chinese).
- Phibbs C.S., J.M. Bronstein, E. Buxton, and R.H. Phibbs (1996), “The effect of Patient Volume and Level of Care at the Hospital of Birth on Neonatal Mortality”, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 276(13), 1054-1059.
- Phibbs C.S., L.C. Baker, A.B. Caughey, B. Danielsen, S.K. Schmitt and R. Phibbs (2007), “Level and Volume of Neonatal Intensive Care and Mortality in Very-Low-Birth-Weight Infants”, *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 356(21), 2165-2175.
- Rogowski J.A., J.D. Horbar, D.O. Staiger, M. Kenny, and J. Ceppert (2004), “Indirect vs Direct Hospital Quality Indicators for Very Low-Birth-Weight Infants”, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 291(2), 202-209.
- Sfekas A. (2009), “Learning, Forgetting, and Hospital Quality: An Empirical Analysis of Cardiac Procedures in Maryland and Arizona”, *Health Economics*, 18(6), 697-711.
- Wang, S.-M., C.-M. Kuan and J.-C. Lo (2006), “The Effects of Maternal Characteristics and Pregnancy Status on Birth Weights”, *Taiwan Journal of Public Health*, 25(6), 478-481 (in Chinese).
- Warner B., M.J. Musial, T. Chenier and E. Donovan (2004), “The Effect of Birth Hospital Type on the Outcome of Very Low Birth Weight Infants”, *Pediatrics*,

113(1), 35-41.

Wung, S.-H., Y.-T. Huang, C.-H. Yang and T.-H. Lu (2005), "An Empirical Evidence Study between Physician Volume and Quality of Care in Very Low Birth Weight Infants", *Formosan Journal of Medicine*, 9(4), 448-454 (in Chinese).

**Table 1: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, ICD**

ICD Code	Disease description
76401	Light-for-dates without mention of fetal malnutrition, less than 500g
76402	Light-for-dates without mention of fetal malnutrition, 500-749g
76403	Light-for-dates without mention of fetal malnutrition, 750-999g
76404	Light-for-dates without mention of fetal malnutrition, 1000g-1249g
76405	Light-for-dates without mention of fetal malnutrition, 1250g-1499g
76411	Light-for-dates with signs of fetal malnutrition, less than 500g
76412	Light-for-dates with signs of fetal malnutrition, 500-749g
76413	Light-for-dates with signs of fetal malnutrition, 750-999g
76414	Light-for-dates with signs of fetal malnutrition, 1000-1249g
76415	Light-for-dates with signs of fetal malnutrition, 1250-1499g
76421	Fetal malnutrition without mention “light-for-dates”, less than 500g
76422	Fetal malnutrition without mention “light-for-dates”, 500-749g
76423	Fetal malnutrition without mention “light-for-dates”, 750-999g
76424	Fetal malnutrition without mention “light-for-dates”, 1000-1249g
76425	Fetal malnutrition without mention “light-for-dates”, 1250-1499g
76491	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified, less than 500g
76492	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified, 500-749g
76493	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified, 750-999g
76494	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified, 1000-1249g
76495	Fetal growth retardation, unspecified, 1250-1499g
76501	Extreme immaturity, less than 500g
76502	Extreme immaturity, 500-749g
76503	Extreme immaturity, 750-999g
76504	Extreme immaturity, 1000-1249g
76505	Extreme immaturity, 1250-1499g
76511	Other preterm immaturity, less than 500g
76512	Other preterm immaturity, 500-749g
76513	Other preterm immaturity, 750-999g
76514	Other preterm immaturity, 1000-1249g
76515	Other preterm immaturity, 1250-1499g

**Table 2 : Variables**

<b>Dependent variable</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Survival time	Discharge date minus admission date (excluding the day of discharge)
Discharge status	Death=1, Survival=0
<b>Independent variables</b>	
<b>Infant Characteristics (Z<sub>patient</sub>)</b>	
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	Weight between 1250g-1499g=1, others=0
Weight between 1000g and 1249g	Weight between 1000g-1249g=1, others=0
Weight between 750g and 999g	Weight between 750g-999g=1, others=0
Weight between 500g and 749g	Weight between 500g-749g=1, others=0
Weight less than 500g	Weight less than 500g=1, others=0
Infant's gender	Male=1, Female=0
Respiratory Diseases (ICD9_CM 769-770)	Infants who have this disease=1 , others=0
Fetal and neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage (ICD9_CM 772.1)	Infants who have this disease=1 , others=0
Retinal disorder (ICD9_CM 362)	Infants who have this disease=1 , others=0
Congenital Heart Disease ICD9_CM 745-746.85	Infants who have this disease=1 , others=0
Necrotizing enterocolitis in fetus or infant (ICD9_CM 777.5)	Infants who have this disease=1 , others=0
<b>Physician's Characteristics (Z<sub>prsn</sub>)</b>	
Physician's experience (5 years)	Number of very low birth weight infants a physician has treated in the previous five years.
Physician's gender	Male=1, Female=0
Physician's seniority	Seniority of physicians on the day infants are hospitalized (calculated by day)
Physician's age	Ages of physicians on the day infants are hospitalized (calculated by day)
<b>Hospital Characteristics (Z<sub>hosp</sub>)</b>	
Hospital experience (5 years)	Number of very low birth weight infants the hospital has treated in the previous five years.
Medical center	Medical center=1, others=0
Regional hospital	Regional hospital=1, others=0
Ownership	Private hospital=1, others=0

**Table 2 : Variables (cont.)**

<b>Dependent variable</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Medical center and private hospital	Medical center and private hospital=1, others=0
Regional hospital and private hospital	Regional hospital and private hospital=1, others=0
Medical center and public hospital	Medical center and public hospital =1, others=0
Regional hospital and public hospital	Regional hospital and public hospital, others=0
Number of acute infant intensive care beds	Number of acute infant intensive care beds that medical institutions owned for the year
Total number of beds	Total number of beds that medical institutions owned for the year

**Table 3 : Descriptive Statistics**

<b>Variables</b>	Obs.	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Survival time	9593	49.20	33.41	1	779
Discharge status	9593	0.20	0.40	0	1
<b>Infant Characteristics (Z<sub>patient</sub>)</b>					
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	9593	0.43	0.49	0	1
Weight between 1000 g and 1249 g	9593	0.28	0.45	0	1
Weight between 750g and 999g	9593	0.18	0.38	0	1
Weight between 500g and 749g	9593	0.11	0.31	0	1
Weight less than 500g	9593	0.02	0.12	0	1
Infant's gender _ Male	6732	0.51	0.50	0	1
Respiratory Diseases	9593	0.63	0.48	0	1
Fetal and neonatal intraventricular Hemorrhage	9593	0.10	0.29	0	1
Other retinal disorder	9593	0.10	0.30	0	1
Congenital Heart Disease	9593	0.25	0.43	0	1
Necrotizing enterocolitis in fetus or infant	9593	0.04	0.19	0	1
<b>Physician's Characteristics (Z<sub>prsn</sub>)</b>					
Physician's experience (5 years)	9593	51.08	46.60	0	224
Physician's gender _ Male	9593	0.63	0.48	0	1
Physician's seniority	9593	4114.28	2167.75	61	12191
Physician's age	9593	15700.77	3165.38	9867	25910
<b>Hospital Characteristics (Z<sub>hosp</sub>)</b>					
Hospital experience (5 years)	9593	187.73	162.89	0	604
Medical center	9593	0.69	0.46	0	1
Regional hospital	9593	0.26	0.44	0	1
Ownership _ Private hospital	9593	0.79	0.41	0	1
Medical center and private hospital	9593	0.51	0.50	0	1
Regional hospital and private hospital	9593	0.22	0.42	0	1
Medical center and public hospital	9593	0.17	0.38	0	1
Regional hospital and public hospital	9593	0.04	0.19	0	1
Number of acute infant intensive care beds	9593	28.69	36.84	0	119
Total number of beds	9593	1087.69	913.65	1	3689

**Table 4 : Basic Model**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>IC+ Paper cards</b>	<b>Paper cards</b>
<b>Infant Characteristics (<math>Z_{\text{patient}}</math>)</b>		
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	0.0285** (-36.44)	0.0244** (-29.07)
Weight between 1000g and 1249g	0.0674** (-29.56)	0.0612** (-23.40)
Weight between 750g and 999g	0.215** (-18.02)	0.179** (-15.13)
Weight between 500g and 749g	0.669** (-4.82)	0.548** (-5.38)
Infant's gender _ Male	-	1.406** (7.02)
<b>Physician's Characteristics (<math>Z_{\text{prsn}}</math>)</b>		
Physician's gender _ Male	1.023 (0.55)	1.082 (1.48)
Physician's seniority	1.000** (-5.91)	1.000** (-2.79)
Physician's age	1.000** (4.21)	1.000* (2.44)
Physician's experience (5 years)	0.999* (-2.42)	0.999** (-2.78)
<b>Hospital Characteristics (<math>Z_{\text{hosp}}</math>)</b>		
Medical center	0.750** (-3.43)	0.710** (-3.41)
Medical center and private hospital	1.047 (0.74)	0.993 (-0.08)
Regional hospital and private hospital	1.037 (0.49)	1.037 (0.42)
Hospital experience (5 years)	0.999** (-6.36)	0.999* (-2.15)
LR chi2	3683.2	2234.1
Prob>chi2	0	0
Observations	15223	8677

Notes: 1. Coefficient of the independent variable is the hazard ratio. 2.\* significant at 5%; \*\* significant at 1%. 3. Numbers in parentheses are t-values.

**Table 5 : Basic Model and Disease Control Variable**

Variables	IC+ Paper cards	Paper cards
<b>Infant Characteristics (Z<sub>patient</sub>)</b>		
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	0.0294*** (-36.05)	0.0246*** (-28.88)
Weight between 1000g and 1249g	0.0726*** (-28.61)	0.0650*** (-22.75)
Weight between 750g and 999g	0.258*** (-15.74)	0.207*** (-13.67)
Weight between 500g and 749g	0.745*** (-3.50)	0.586*** (-4.74)
Infant's gender _ Male		1.339*** (5.99)
<b>Physician's Characteristics (Z<sub>prsn</sub>)</b>		
Physician's gender _ Male	1.082* (1.90)	1.137** (2.41)
Physician's seniority	1.000*** (-6.77)	0.999*** (-2.68)
Physician's age	1.000*** (3.91)	1.000 (1.48)
Physician's experience (5 years)	1.000 (-0.66)	0.999 (-1.02)
<b>Hospital Characteristics (Z<sub>hosp</sub>)</b>		
Medical center	0.870** (-2.35)	0.811*** (-2.78)
Medical center and public hospital	0.875** (-2.11)	0.858* (-1.88)
Regional hospital and public hospital	0.996 (-0.04)	0.991 (-0.07)
Hospital experience (5 years)	0.999*** (-4.91)	1.000** (-2.01)
<b>Disease</b>		
Respiratory Diseases	1.060 (1.27)	1.062 (1.02)
Fetal and neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage	1.257*** (4.30)	1.241*** (3.20)
Other retinal disorder	0.0591*** (-16.22)	0.0605*** (-12.99)
Congenital Heart Disease	0.604*** (-10.69)	0.644*** (-7.53)
Necrotizing enterocolitis in fetus or infant	0.616*** (-4.92)	0.678*** (-3.29)
LR chi2	4593.9	2791.8
Prob>chi2	0	0
Observations	15223	8677

Notes: 1. Coefficient of the independent variable is the hazard ratio. 2.\* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%. 3. Numbers in parentheses are t-values.

**Table 6 : Basic Model and Control for the Number of Beds**

Variables	IC+ Paper Cards		Paper card	
	[1]	[2]	[1]	[2]
<b>Infant Characteristics (Z<sub>patient</sub>)</b>				
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	0.0272*** (-30.55)	0.0271*** (-30.57)	0.0267*** (-25.58)	0.0268*** (-25.58)
Weight between 1000g and 1249g	0.0667*** (-24.51)	0.0665*** (-24.53)	0.0665*** (-20.38)	0.0666*** (-20.37)
Weight between 750g and 999g	0.201*** (-15.35)	0.200*** (-15.38)	0.191*** (-12.93)	0.191*** (-12.93)
Weight between 500g and 749g	0.622*** (-4.64)	0.621*** (-4.66)	0.567*** (-4.50)	0.568*** (-4.49)
Infant's gender _ Male			1.447*** (6.91)	1.446*** (6.91)
<b>Physician's Characteristics (Z<sub>prsn</sub>)</b>				
Physician's gender _ Male	1.064 (1.25)	1.067 (1.29)	1.141** (2.27)	1.151** (2.39)
Physician's seniority	1.000*** (-4.43)	1.000*** (-4.72)	1.000 (-1.27)	1.000 (-1.38)
Physician's age	1.000** (2.23)	1.000** (2.40)	1.000 (0.94)	1.000 (0.90)
Physician's experience (5 years)	1.000 (0.34)	1.000 (0.09)	0.999 (-1.25)	0.999 (-1.04)
<b>Hospital Characteristics (Z<sub>hosp</sub>)</b>				
Medical center	0.584*** (-7.33)	0.598*** (-7.20)	0.622*** (-5.27)	0.608*** (-5.90)
Medical center and public hospital	1.004 (0.05)	1.027 (0.36)	1.034 (0.36)	1.036 (0.38)
Regional hospital and public hospital	0.963 (-0.32)	0.950 (-0.44)	0.843 (-1.26)	0.843 (-1.26)
Hospital experience (5 years)	1.000* (-1.74)	1.000* (-1.66)	1.000 (-0.68)	1.000 (-1.01)
<b>Bed</b>				
Total number of beds	0.9995 (1.23)		1.000 (-0.61)	
Number of acute newborn intensive care beds		0.9995 (1.10)		1.001 (0.77)
LR chi2	2526.3	2526	1806	1806.2
Prob>chi2	0	0	0	0
Observations	9593	9593	6732	6732

Notes: 1. Coefficient of the independent variable is the hazard ratio. 2.\* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%. 3. Numbers in parentheses are t-values.

**Table 7 : Basic Model and Control for Disease and the Number of Beds**

Variables	IC+ Paper Cards	Paper card		
	[1]	[2]	[1]	[2]
<b>Infant Characteristics (Z<sub>patient</sub>)</b>				
Weight between 1250g and 1499g	0.0277*** (-30.28)	0.0276*** (-30.30)	0.0273*** (-25.36)	0.0272*** (-25.39)
Weight between 1000g and 1249g	0.0707*** (-23.82)	0.0704*** (-23.85)	0.0706*** (-19.80)	0.0705*** (-19.82)
Weight between 750g and 999g	0.235*** (-13.71)	0.235*** (-13.72)	0.219*** (-11.73)	0.221*** (-11.69)
Weight between 500g and 749g	0.668*** (-3.91)	0.666*** (-3.93)	0.602*** (-4.00)	0.602*** (-4.00)
Infant's gender _ Male			1.357*** (5.70)	1.356*** (5.68)
<b>Physician's Characteristics (Z<sub>prsn</sub>)</b>				
Physician's gender _ Male	1.132** (2.47)	1.092* (1.74)	1.175*** (2.75)	1.149** (2.35)
Physician's seniority	1.000*** (-5.36)	1.000*** (-5.16)	1.000* (-1.78)	1.000 (-1.26)
Physician's age	1.000** (2.18)	1.000** (2.54)	1.000 (0.78)	1.000 (0.87)
Physician's experience (5 years)	1.001 (1.16)	1.000 (0.34)	1.000 (-0.05)	1.000 (-0.44)
<b>Hospital Characteristics (Z<sub>hosp</sub>)</b>				
Medical center	0.649*** (-5.81)	0.677*** (-5.41)	0.689*** (-4.08)	0.709*** (-4.03)
Medical center and public hospital	0.933 (-0.91)	0.964 (-0.49)	0.901 (-1.11)	0.885 (-1.30)
Regional hospital and public hospital	1.030 (0.25)	1.022 (0.19)	0.894 (-0.82)	0.904 (-0.74)
Hospital experience (5 years)	1.000 (-1.63)	1.000 (-0.45)	1.000 (-0.91)	1.000 (-0.29)
<b>Disease</b>				
Respiratory Diseases	1.122** (2.19)	1.124** (2.23)	1.095 (1.46)	1.093 (1.43)
Fetal and neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage	1.257*** (3.57)	1.255*** (3.54)	1.252*** (2.99)	1.256*** (3.04)
Other retinal disorder	0.0492*** (-12.32)	0.0490*** (-12.33)	0.0590*** (-11.19)	0.0573*** (-11.29)
Congenital Heart Disease	0.622*** (-8.40)	0.615*** (-8.56)	0.669*** (-6.25)	0.656*** (-6.48)
Necrotizing enterocolitis in fetus or infant	0.743*** (-2.64)	0.734*** (-2.74)	0.826 (-1.51)	0.813 (-1.63)
Total number of beds	1.000*** (2.60)		1.000 (0.57)	
Number of acute infant intensive care beds		0.998** (-2.08)		0.998** (-2.54)
LR chi2	3112	3109.6	2219.3	2225.5
Prob>chi2	0	0	0	0
Observations	9593	9593	6732	6732

Notes: 1. Coefficient of the independent variable is the hazard ratio. 2.\* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%. 3. Numbers in parentheses are t-values.

**Figure 1 : Sample Selection**

