Vectorcardiographic monitoring to assess early vessel patency after reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction
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Reperfusion therapy has lowered mortality in patients suffering from acute myocardial infarction. Failure to reperfuse is associated with an increased short- and long-term mortality. In a prospective study we used dynamic vectorcardiography to monitor 96 patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with reperfusion therapy to non-invasively assess coronary patency. The results from continuous monitoring were compared to those obtained from angiography. By using trend-analysis of QRS vector difference and ST vector magnitude, we were able to correctly identify 58 of the 70 patients (83%) with a reperfused infarct-related artery, and 19 of the 26 patients (73%) with a persistently occluded artery demonstrated at an early angiogram (diagnostic accuracy 80%). In patients with high-grade collateral flow to the infarct-related area, the results of the vectorcardiographic monitoring and of angiography showed the largest disagreement, whereas the accuracy of vectorcardiographic monitoring was high: 88% among patients without collaterals. The present results suggest that QRS complex and ST segment vectorcardiographic monitoring is a useful tool for assessing early coronary artery patency, and that dynamic vectorcardiography may help in identifying candidates for emergency coronary angiography.

Introduction

Reperfusion therapy has lowered mortality in patients suffering from acute myocardial infarction[1-3]. The beneficial effect seems related to reperfusion of the ischaemic myocardium. Patients with an open infarct-related artery after thrombolytic therapy do not benefit from further acute intervention[4-6] but failure to acutely reopen the infarct-related artery is associated with a significantly higher risk of short- and long-term mortality[7-9]. Although the association between infarct artery patency and long-term mortality is less prominent if corrected for left ventricular function[10], patients with persistent occlusion of the infarct-related artery represent a high risk subset who may be eligible for additional measures including more aggressive treatment regimens or rescue angioplasty. Therefore, accurate determination of vessel patency is important during the acute phase of a myocardial infarction. Coronary angiography is costly and carries some risk. Thus, accurate non-invasive detection of patency should be valuable. Standard 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG) has a moderate diagnostic accuracy if one uses >50% recovery of summed ST segment deviation to identify patency. Similar results have been reported using a lower limit of 20% ST recovery[11,12]. Superior accuracy of non-invasive determination of coronary artery patency has been reported using continuous 12-lead electrocardiographic monitoring of ST segment changes[13]. In a previous pilot study we used dynamic vectorcardiography to non-invasively assess coronary artery patency in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with reperfusion therapy[14]. The present article reports the findings from a prospective, blind study comparing dynamic vectorcardiography with coronary angiography to detect coronary patency after reperfusion therapy for acute myocardial infarction. The primary objective of the study was to assess the diagnostic accuracy of dynamic vectorcardiology using predefined criteria for determining vessel patency and using coronary angiography as a reference. Secondary objectives were to determine whether QRS monitoring, ST monitoring or both provide the most useful information for non-invasive detection of patency, and to define possible improvements in the criteria for future studies.

Methods

VECTORCARDIOGRAPHY

We used a computerized system for on-line, dynamic recording and analysis of QRS complex and ST segment changes (MIDA1000, Ortivus Medical, Täby, Sweden).
The method, which has been described in detail elsewhere\textsuperscript{15}, involves the use of eight electrodes placed according to Frank\textsuperscript{16} to continuously monitor and analyse the three orthogonal leads X, Y and Z. The signals are averaged over 1-min periods to obtain mean vectorcardiographic complexes. All calculations are done in real time and the results are presented on a computer screen. Changes for every patient are related to the initial 1-min mean complex for that patient. The results are presented as continuously updated trend curves on the computer screen. The two vectorcardiographic parameters studied were QRS vector difference and ST vector magnitude (Fig. 1). The QRS vector difference at any given time can be described as the total change within the QRS complex from start of recording until that point in time. The ST vector magnitude is the deviation from zero of the ST segment 20 ms after termination of the QRS complex, measured and summated for all three leads.

**PATIENT SERIES**

Patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction admitted to any of the participating centres, the coordinating centre excepted (Göteborg), were eligible for inclusion. Inclusion criteria were: (1) Chest pain lasting
for >30 min but less than 4 h with a strong suspicion of acute myocardial infarction, (2) ST segment elevation >1 mm in >1 lead or ST segment depression of the precordial leads V₁–V₄ consistent with posterior wall damage, and (3) thrombolytic treatment started within 4 h of onset of chest pain or acute angioplasty performed within 4 h of onset of chest pain. Patients with a QRS duration ≥0.12 s, third degree AV-block or idioventricular rhythm on admission were excluded. Cardiac catheterization was performed as close as possible to 90 min from the start of thrombolysis. At least 30 min of vectorcardiographic monitoring should precede angiography. The choice of thrombolytic drug regimen and adjunctive therapy as well as the decision to perform angioplasty was at the discretion of the local investigator.

DATA HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

Patients were monitored by vectorcardiography for at least 12 but preferably 24 h. The vectorcardiographic recordings were stored on floppy disks and sent to Östra Hospital in Göteborg for central analysis. The time of the first injection of contrast medium into the infarct-related artery (generally the first artery to be injected) was noted. In Göteborg, the vectorcardiographic recordings were copied and blanked so that only data up to the time of the first injection of contrast medium were available to the interpreter. The complete, original recordings were kept safe by an independent person who was not a member of the study group. All coronary angiograms were analysed centrally (Thoraxcenter) by one experienced angiographer who was unaware of the results of the vectorcardiographic evaluation.

ASSESSMENT OF VECTORCARDIOGRAPHIC RECORDINGS

Patients with third degree AV block or who had idioventricular rhythm for more than 6 of the first 24 h and/or more than 30 min of the first 2 h of vectorcardiographic recordings were excluded from the study.

Based on previous experience the following changes were assumed to reflect the perfusion state of the infarct-related artery[14,17]. First, a rate of increase of QRS vector difference of ≥0.10 μV.s⁻¹ from 30 min before angiography to first injection of contrast medium was considered indicative of a patent coronary artery corresponding to TIMI-grade 2–3, while <0.10 μV.s⁻¹ was thought to reflect an occluded coronary artery corresponding to TIMI 0–1. Secondly, a rate of decline of ST vector magnitude (straight line) of ≥0.83 μV.min⁻¹ from 30 min before angiography to first injection of contrast medium was considered indicative of a patent coronary artery corresponding to TIMI-grade 2–3, while <0.83 μV.min⁻¹ was thought to reflect an occluded coronary artery corresponding to TIMI 0–1. The rate of increase in the QRS vector difference and the rate of decline in the ST vector magnitude were determined by drawing a straight line from the time of first injection of contrast medium to the point on the trend curve 30 min earlier.

A blind evaluation of the overall trend curve up to the time of the first injection of contrast medium was also part of the protocol. This evaluation not only took into consideration the rate of increase of the QRS vector difference and the rate of decline of the ST vector magnitude, but also considered changes in these two parameters immediately prior to angiography in relation to the time of onset of chest pain, the start of thrombolysis and the start of vectorcardiographic recording. The evaluation, performed by one experienced observer, classified the vectorcardiographic recording as indicating either 'probably patent artery' or 'probably closed artery' at the time of first injection of contrast medium. Percent ST recovery was defined as the difference between the maximum ST vector magnitude at any preceding time during the recording and the ST vector magnitude immediately before the angiography, divided by the maximum ST vector magnitude × 100.

ANGIOGRAPHIC EVALUATION

The cineangiograms were evaluated for the infarct-related segment. TIMI grade flow in the infarct-related segment (TIMI 0–3)[18] and collateral blood flow (none, minimal, moderate, large)[19] to the infarct area. TIMI flow grade 0–1 was used to define an occluded coronary artery, TIMI flow grade 2–3 to define an open artery.

STATISTICS

Proportions were compared using a χ²-test. The positive predictive value was obtained by dividing the number of accurate positive predictions by the total number of positive findings. The negative predictive value was obtained by dividing the number of accurate negative predictions by the total number of negative findings. Diagnostic accuracy was defined as the number of correct assessments divided by the total number of assessments performed and was expressed as a percentage. Values are given as mean ± standard deviation.

Results

Between September 1990 and April 1992 a total of 127 patients were included at the five participating centres. For various reasons, 31 of these patients were excluded from further analysis (monitoring period preceding the angiography <30 min; n = 20; vectorcardiographic recording started later than 4 h from onset of symptoms; n = 7; computer problems; n = 4). Therefore, 96 patients were available for evaluation, 77 men and 19 women with a mean age of 57 ± 10 years. Clinical data and therapy are presented in Table 1. The infarct-related artery was the right coronary artery in 45 patients (47%), the left anterior descending artery in 39 patients (41%) and the left circumflex artery in 11 patients (12%). In one case the infarct-related artery could not be determined; this patient was considered to have a patent artery. The
Table 1  Cardiovascular history and treatment (n=96)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous AMI</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina pectoris</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous CABG/PTCA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolytic drug used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APSAC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt-PA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt-PA + ProUK</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK + ProUK</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary angioplasty</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjunctive therapy during initial 4 h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i.v. nitroglycerin</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium antagonist</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (min) from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>onset of chest pain to start of thrombolysis</td>
<td>168 ± 82 (range 24–335)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start of thrombolysis to start of VCG</td>
<td>15 ± 22 (range 52–82)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start of thrombolysis to first injection of contrast</td>
<td>105 ± 34 (range 43–249)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>start of VCG to first injection of contrast</td>
<td>90 ± 40 (range 8–239)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMI=acute myocardial infarction; CABG=coronary artery by-pass grafting; PTCA=percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; APSAC=anisoylated plasminogen-streptokinase activator complex; rt-PA=recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator; SK=streptokinase; ProUK=pro-urokinase; UK=urokinase; i.v. intravenous; VCG=vectorcardiographic monitoring.

Table 2  Patency assessment by vectorcardiography using ST- and/or QRS changes in relation to angiographic results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>90 min angiographic patency</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All patients (n=96)</td>
<td>Patients with* collaterals (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCG monitoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ST and/or QRS)</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic accuracy of VCG</td>
<td>77/96=80%</td>
<td>12/20=60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In three patients it was not possible to assess the presence or absence of collaterals. VCG=vectorcardiography.

The mean initial ST vector magnitude of the patient population was 255 ± 169 μV (range 37–1046 μV) and the maximal ST vector magnitude at any time prior to angiography was 332 ± 203 μV (range 71–1065 μV).

PATENCY

The acute angiogram revealed an occluded coronary artery in 26 patients (28%), of which 20 had a TIMI 0 grade flow and six a TIMI 1 grade flow. In 70 patients (73%) the infarct-related artery was patent, with 12 patients having TIMI 2 grade flow and 58 patients TIMI 3. The results from the blinded evaluation of the vectorcardiographic recordings are given in Table 2. The results are presented for both the total population and separately for patients with or without collaterals. The overall diagnostic accuracy using vectorcardiographic monitoring, considering both ST and QRS changes was 80%. Of the 70 patients with a patent artery, 58 were correctly classified and of the 26 patients with an occluded artery, 19 were correctly classified. Thus, vectorcardiography had a sensitivity of 73% and a specificity of 83% for detection of persistent occlusion. The positive predictive value for patency was 89%, the negative predictive value 61% and thus the positive and negative predictive value for occlusion were 89 and 61%, respectively. The prospectively established limits for rate of increase of QRS vector difference, rate of decrease of
Table 3 Results of vectorcardiographic monitoring using fixed
definitions of ST vector magnitude decline or QRS vector
difference evolution in relation to angiographic findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>90 min angiographic patency (n=92)</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST VM decline/30 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.83 μV . min⁻¹ (open)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.83 μV . min⁻¹ (closed)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS vector difference/30 min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.50 μV Vs . min⁻¹ (open)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.50 μV Vs . min⁻¹ (closed)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50% (open)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50% (closed)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST recovery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20% (open)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20% (closed)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In four patients the rate of evolution/decline of the QRS vector
difference and the ST vector magnitude could not be accurately
computed according to the protocol.

ST vector magnitude and % ST recovery, in relation
to angiographic results are given in Table 3. An ST
recovery of >50% at the time of angiography correctly
identified 22 of 26 patients with a non-patent artery.

A retrospective analysis was performed on patients
with an initial ST vector magnitude <150 μV, n=31.
A rate of QRS vector difference evolution of
<0.2 μV . min⁻¹ showed a 100% sensitivity for detecting
persistent occlusion (five of five patients) while specificity was 32%.
The overall diagnostic accuracy was thus 37%.

COLLATERALS

Collateral flow to the infarct-related segment was
absent in 73 patients (78%), minimal in 12 (13%),
moderate in six (6%) and large in two (2%). Collateral
flow could not be assessed in three patients, two of
whom had a patent artery and one an occluded artery at
early angiography. The diagnostic accuracy of vector
cardiographic monitoring was 88% for patients without
collaterals and 60% for patients with collaterals, a
significant difference between groups (P<0.05, Table 2).
Among patients without collaterals <50% ST recovery
correctly identified all 13 patients with an occluded
artery.

Discussion

In the present study, coronary patency was correctly
assessed by vectorcardiographic monitoring in 77 of 96
patients (80%); these results are similar to those reported
using other electrocardiographic techniques. The
results of vectorcardiographic monitoring were more
accurate among patients without collateral flow to the
infarct-related zone. Specifically, in patients with a per-
istent occlusion, but with some degree of collateral flow
to the infarct-related zone, the infarct-related artery was
frequently classified as patent by vectorcardiographic
monitoring. This is consistent with the results obtained
by continuous monitoring with 12-lead ECG. Previous
studies have also indicated that a high degree of ST
recovery is associated with a limitation of infarct size,
preservation of left ventricular function and favourable
prognosis, even if it occurs in patients with an occluded
artery at early angiography. In a recent report, Ito
and co-workers reported a lack of perfusion, as demons-
trated by contrast echocardiography, despite TIMI 3
flow of the infarct-related artery at early angiography.
Thus, in addition to the presence of collaterals, the
absence of perfusion despite adequate flow in the epi-
cardial arteries may explain some of the discrepancy
between angiographic patency assessment and more
physiological markers such as the electrocardiogram.

OTHER NON-INVASIVE METHODS FOR MONITORING
REPERFUSION

Enzymatic methods may detect reperfusion with a
high accuracy but their ability to detect ischaemia or
intermittent, brief episodes of coronary perfusion is
limited. Moreover, despite recent advances, enzym-
ic methods do not have true real-time capacity. The
combined use of clinical information including chest
pain and the results of electrocardiography and enzyme
analysis may further improve the ability to non-
invasively monitor myocardial perfusion. Until now, the
results from this combined approach have varied.
However, none of these investigations have used sophis-
ticated multilead monitoring or highly specific rapid-
assay enzymatic methods. Such a combined approach
may lead to even more accurate assessment of
myocardial perfusion but further studies are required.

CONTINUOUS MONITORING VS POINT MEASUREMENTS

The concept of the rapidly varying perfusion status of
the infarct-related artery is relatively new. With
continuous dynamic monitoring of the electrocardio-
gram sudden changes in the status of infarct vessel
patency can be illustrated and documented. Such
changes have been reported in a large proportion of
patients with acute myocardial infarction. Fixed
measurement of ST vector change over time or QRS
vector difference evolution, on the other hand, are
misleading for some period of time immediately after
any change in vessel perfusion status. Thus, in the
present trial, visual, blind interpretation of the trend
curves illustrating the ST vector magnitude and the QRS
vector difference proved more accurate than the fixed-
rate indices of QRS and ST evolution described in
the protocol. The %ST recovery also gave more accurate
results presumably because the %ST recovery is not
limited to any specific time window but identifies
recovery whenever it occurs prior to angiography. Our
more time-specific indices (i.e. rate of evolution/decline
during the 30 min preceding angiography) may not
Figure 2  A simulation of the results of using vectorcardiograph monitoring to screen patients for acute angiography to identify patients eligible for rescue angioplasty. In one patient with a persistent occlusion it was not possible to assess the presence or absence of collaterals.

accurately detect early reperfusion occurring within 30–45 min after the initiation of thrombolytic therapy.

QRS- VS ST-MONITORING

The vectorcardiographic system uses Frank leads and monitors changes in the ST segment in addition to changes in the QRS complex. The present study indicates only a marginal benefit from monitoring the QRS vector changes in addition to ST changes, namely for patients with minor ST changes i.e. <150% μV in the initial ST vector magnitude. In that specific case, which occurred in almost a third of the patients, a QRS vector difference evolution rate of <0.2 μVs. min⁻¹ was more accurate as a sign of persistent occlusion than any of the ST parameters. More importantly, an evolution rate of the QRS vector difference above 0.20μVs. min⁻¹ excluded patients with persistent occlusion. This finding of our post-hoc analysis requires independent validation before it can be used in making clinical decisions.

Conventional 12-lead ECG has low sensitivity for detecting lateral and posterior ischaemia[50]. The Frank lead system used by the MIDA-monitoring system may be more sensitive to ischaemia in these locations but the results in the present study are in close agreement with the results from studies using conventional 12-lead ECG.

We have previously reported that monitoring of QRS vector difference evolution seems to be more informative than ST vector monitoring alone[14]. The reason for the discrepancy between our previous findings and those of the present study may be that the present trial included patients earlier after the onset of symptoms and the initiation of thrombolytic therapy. In earlier studies we found that the ST vector magnitude in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with intravenous streptokinase or rt-PA, returned to baseline a mean of 15 min before evolution of the QRS vector difference was completed[15,17]. In contrast, the ST vector magnitude in patients not given thrombolysis returned to baseline 1 h and 20 min before the QRS vector difference evolution was completed. Thus, trend analysis of QRS vector difference may expand the time window for electrocardiographic analysis of the dynamic process of development of myocardial ischaemia and necrosis.
VECTORCARDIOGRAPHIC MONITORING TO IDENTIFY FAILED THROMBOLYSIS

Failed thrombolysis is associated with a comparatively high short- and long-term mortality\cite{5,7,8,37}. If thrombolytic failure can be accurately identified, therapeutic interventions such as rescue angioplasty can be targeted to this subset of patients. For patients with successful thrombolysis, who exhibit early reestablishment of coronary flow, a strategy of 'watchful waiting' has been advocated\cite{4,6}. Based on the blind evaluation of the vectorcardiographic recordings from this trial, Fig. 2 simulates the use of vectorcardiographic monitoring in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated at an institution with 24-h catheterization facilities. If the vectorcardiographic recording indicates a 'patent' vessel, angiography should not be performed acutely whereas if the artery is considered 'occluded' angiography should be performed. As this figure shows, vectorcardiographic monitoring would have indicated a need for acute angiography in 31 of 96 patients (32%). These results indicate that vectorcardiographic monitoring may reduce the need for acute coronary angiography by approximately 60–70%, thereby reducing both costs and morbidity associated with acute coronary angiography in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy. Vectorcardiographic monitoring of patients with persistent occlusion will incorrectly classify vessels as 'probably opened' in some cases. Most of these patients, however, (5 of 7 in the present study) will have collaterals supplying the infarct zone. In patients with persistent occlusion, rescue angioplasty has not been shown to provide any definite benefit although the value of rescue angioplasty is being tested prospectively in a multicentre randomized trial. The data presented by Barbash and co-workers indicate that patients with persistent occlusions who have collaterals supplying the infarct zone have a more favourable outcome than patients with total occlusion without collaterals\cite{11}. Continuous electrocardiographic
monitoring may provide a way to more accurately identify subgroups of patients who may benefit from aggressive intervention.

LIMITATIONS OF THE PRESENT STUDY

Given the relatively limited number of patients in the present study, which included only 26 patients with a persistent occlusion, the results must be cautiously interpreted. In addition, while the mean duration from initiation of thrombolytic therapy to start of monitoring was only 15 min, monitoring of a substantial number of patients did not start until considerably later, increasing the uncertainty of the results.

In conclusion, the frequent fluctuations in coronary perfusion\textsuperscript{[8,9]}, the rapid development of coronary re-occlusion and the high frequency of recurrent ischaemia underscore the need for continuous ischaemia monitoring of infarct patients\textsuperscript{[10]}. At present, only electrocardiographic monitoring with ST segment and/or QRS complex analysis seem to meet this need. The vectorcardiographic monitoring system seems to incorporate many of the demands for ischaemia monitoring. The system may also help identify patients who can benefit from more aggressive treatment while saving low-risk candidates from early invasive interventions.
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