

- 17 Koob, G. F., Le, H. T. and Creese, I. (1987) *Neurosci. Lett.* 79, 315–320
- 18 Woolverton, W. L. (1986) *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 24, 531–535
- 19 Calne, S. B. and Koob, G. F. (1993) *Science* 260, 1814–1816
- 20 Gawin, F. H. and Kleber, H. D. (1986) *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 43, 107–113
- 21 Weiss, F., Markou, A., Lorang, M. T. and Koob, G. F. (1992) *Brain Res.* 593, 314–318
- 22 Dwoskin, L. P., Peris, J., Yasuda, R. P., Philpott, K. and Zahniser, N. R. (1988) *Life Sci.* 42, 255–262
- 23 Weiss, F., Paulus, M. P., Lorang, M. T. and Koob, G. F. (1992) *J. Neurosci.* 12, 4372–4380
- 24 Ng, J. P., Hubert, G. W. and Justice, J. B., Jr (1991) *J. Neurochem.* 56, 1485–1492
- 25 Vance, M. L., Evans, W. S. and Thorner, M. O. (1984) *Ann. Intern. Med.* 100, 78–91
- 26 Ho, K. Y. and Thorner, M. O. (1988) *Drugs* 36, 67–82
- 27 Hubner, C. B. and Koob, G. F. (1990) *Neuropsychopharmacology* 3, 101–108
- 28 Markou, A. and Koob, G. F. (1992) *Neuropsychopharmacology* 7, 213–224
- 29 Dackis, C. A. and Gold, M. S. (1985) *Lancet* i, 1151–1152
- 30 Giannini, A. J., Baumgartel, P. and Dimarzio, L. R. (1987) *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 27, 267–270
- 31 Giannini, A. J. and Billet, W. (1987) *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 27, 549–554
- 32 Tennant, F. S. and Sagharian, A. A. (1987) *Arch. Intern. Med.* 147, 109–112
- 33 Kumor, K., Sheber, M. and Jaffe, J. (1989) *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 33, 829–837
- 34 Krenzler, H. R. and Bauer, L. O. (1992) *Br. J. Addict.* 87, 1537–1548
- 35 Weddington, W. W. et al. (1991) *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* 17, 137–152
- 36 Pulvirenti, L. and Koob, G. F. (1994) *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 47, 819–822
- 37 Gillin, J. C., Pulvirenti, L., Withers, N., Golshan, S. and Koob, G. F. (1994) *Biol. Psychiatry* 35, 843–849
- 38 Malcom, R., Hutto, B. R., Phillips, J. D. and Ballenger, J. C. (1991) *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 52, 39–40
- 39 Hoyer, D. and Bodecke, H. W. G. M. (1993) *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 14, 270–275
- 40 Hjorth, S. et al. (1983) *Psychopharmacology* 81, 89–99
- 41 Karobath, M. et al. (1988) in *Progress in Catecholamine Research: Central Aspects* (Belmaker, H., Sandler, M. and Dahlstrom, A., eds), pp. 19–26, Liss
- 42 Kehr, W. (1984) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 97, 111–119
- 43 Ackerman, J., Johansen, P. A., Clark, D. and White, F. J. (1993) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 265, 963–970
- 44 Piercey, M. F., Hoffman, W. E., Vogelsang, G. D. and Travis, M. (1987) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 254, 391–396
- 45 Svensson, K. et al. (1991) *Naunyn-Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol.* 344, 263–274
- 46 Clark, D. et al. (1991) *Psychopharmacology* 105, 381–392
- 47 Carlsson, A. (1983) *J. Neural Transm.* 60, 205–223
- 48 Pulvirenti, L., Smith, D. and Koob, G. F. (1994) *Psychopharmacology* 113, 518–520
- 49 Clark, D., Hjorth, S. and Carlsson, A. (1985) *J. Neural Transm.* 62, 171–207
- 50 Coward, D. et al. (1989) *Psychopharmacol. Bull.* 25, 393–397
- 51 Coward, D. et al. (1990) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 252, 279–285
- 52 Baronti, F. et al. (1992) *Neurology* 42, 1241–1243
- 53 Filip, V., Marsalek, M., Halkova, E. and Karen, P. (1992) *Psychiatry Res.* 41, 9–16
- 54 Tamminga, C. A. et al. (1992) *J. Neural Transm.* 88, 165–175
- 55 Peacock, L. and Gerlach, J. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 237, 329–340
- 56 Exner, M. and Clark, D. (1992) *Behav. Pharmacol.* 3, 609–619
- 57 Callahan, P. M. and Cunningham, K. A. (1993) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 266, 585–592

#### Chemical names

**SDZ208911:** N-[(8 $\alpha$ )-2,6-dimethylergoline-8-yl]-2,2-diethylpropanamide

**SDZ208912:** N-[(8 $\alpha$ )-2-chloro-6-methylergoline-8-yl]-2,2-diethylpropanamide

#### Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by NIDA grants DA08467 and DA04398 and by Istituto Superiore di Sanita', Roma. The authors are grateful to Professor S. Puglisi-Allegra for critical discussion. This is manuscript NP-8589 of The Scripps Research Institute.

## The current endothelin receptor classification: time for reconsideration?

Willem A. Bax and Pramod R. Saxena

The possible involvement of endothelins in a variety of diseases has attracted the attention of many pharmacologists in search of a novel therapeutic approach. The rapid development of endothelin research has resulted in the molecular characterization and pharmacological recognition of ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors, and in the development of compounds selective for these receptors. However, the characterization of receptors in various assays has shown that a number of effects are mediated by receptors that do not fit the present criteria for ET<sub>A</sub> or ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. In this article, **Willem Bax and Pramod Saxena** address endothelin receptors in general, and atypical receptors in particular.

Endothelin was discovered and recognized as a potent vasoconstrictor peptide only six years ago<sup>1</sup>. Three distinct endogenous endothelin isoforms endothelin 1 (ET-1), ET-2 and ET-3 are cleaved from the endothelin precursors big-ET-1, big-ET-2 and big-ET-3 by an endothelin converting enzyme. Increased concentrations of endothelins have been observed after myocardial infarction, in atherosclerosis, (pulmonary) hypertension, migraine and many other diseases<sup>2</sup>. Although recent advances towards the elucidation of the molecular structure of endothelin converting enzymes<sup>3</sup> will undoubtedly be followed by the development of inhibitors of endothelin converting enzymes, efforts have so far primarily been directed to the development of endothelin receptor antagonists for clinical purposes. Indeed ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors were cloned<sup>4,5</sup>, and selective ligands for these receptors have been recognized.

### The current criteria for endothelin receptor classification

In general, receptor classification should be based on three criteria<sup>6,7</sup>: (1) gene nucleotide and amino acid sequence of the receptor protein, (2) receptor–effect coupling, and (3) interaction between receptors and agonists or antagonists. Endothelin receptors are currently classified by the consensus view of the subcommittee of the International Union of Pharmacology (IUPHAR), primarily

**W. A. Bax**,  
Research Fellow, and  
**P. R. Saxena**,  
Professor and Chairman,  
Department of  
Pharmacology, Laboratory  
for Human Pharmacology,  
Cardiovascular Research  
Institute (COEUR), Faculty  
of Medicine and Health  
Sciences, Erasmus  
University Rotterdam,  
PO Box 1738, 3000 DR  
Rotterdam,  
The Netherlands

according to the potency order of endothelin isopeptides, but also according to the potency of some antagonists. In so-called type I responses, ET-1 is more potent than ET-3, whereas in type II responses, both isopeptides have similar potency. In studies applying techniques to express cDNA for the currently known endothelin receptors, it was established that the type I and type II responses correspond to ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors, respectively<sup>8</sup>.

#### Gene nucleotide and amino acid sequence of the receptor protein

ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors have approximately 63% amino acid homology. Southern blots of the human genomic DNA, using cDNA probes for the ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptor under low stringency, revealed only two signals, probably corresponding to human ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptor genes. Thus, it appeared that other endothelin receptors, if existent, would probably have a considerably different amino acid sequence<sup>9</sup>. However, it should be noted that although amino acid homology may be indicative of pharmacological similarity, this is not a general prerequisite. For example, 5-HT<sub>1B</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1DB</sub> receptors have a clearly different pharmacological profile for a number of compounds, despite a 96% amino acid homology in the transmembrane region. By contrast, 5-HT<sub>1DB</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1Dt</sub> receptors are pharmacologically almost indistinguishable, but have a relatively moderate 77% amino acid homology in the transmembrane region<sup>7</sup>.

Recently, the identification of cDNA for a receptor with relatively high affinity for ET-3 was reported in *Xenopus* dermal melanophores. This receptor had approximately

50% amino acid homology with ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>10</sup>. However, it is not yet certain whether it actually represented the putative ET<sub>C</sub> receptor, which is highly selective for ET-3 and has been reported in pharmacological studies in bovine endothelial cells<sup>11</sup>, or whether it represented the *Xenopus* variant of, for example, ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. Because of the scarcity of functional correlates and the lack of selective ET<sub>C</sub> receptor ligands other than ET-3, ET<sub>C</sub> receptors will not be discussed in further detail.

#### Second messenger mechanisms

Both ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors have been described to be coupled to phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PtdInsP<sub>2</sub>) hydrolysis via G protein-coupled phospholipase C, and to the generation of inositol phosphates (InsP) and diacylglycerol, resulting in an increased concentration of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> (Ref. 8). In transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells, it was observed that ET<sub>A</sub> receptors induced accumulation of cAMP, whereas ET<sub>B</sub> receptors inhibited forskolin-stimulated cAMP production<sup>12</sup>. However, the stimulation of adenylate cyclase, mediated by ET<sub>A</sub> receptors, was less efficient than the stimulation of InsP formation, which raises questions about the physiological relevance of adenylate cyclase as a second messenger system in these cells<sup>12</sup>.

Less is known about transduction of receptors that do not resemble the ET<sub>A</sub> or ET<sub>B</sub> type. In the follicular membranes of *Xenopus laevis* oocytes, Kumar and co-workers<sup>13</sup> observed endothelin receptors that resemble human ET<sub>A</sub> receptors in their affinity for ET-1, ET-3 and sarafotoxin

**Table 1. The affinity (nM) of endothelin receptor ligands for endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors**

Ligand	ET <sub>A</sub>		ET <sub>B</sub>			Refs
	K <sub>i</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub>	K <sub>i</sub>	IC <sub>50</sub>	K <sub>d</sub>	
ET-1	3.5, 0.58, 0.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.16, 0.29	0.95, 0.12	1.6, 0.06, 0.44	0.003	4, 9, 57–61
ET-3	1000, 83, 900 <sup>a</sup>	5.0, 150	2.0, 0.13	1.6, 0.06, 0.11	0.014	4, 9, 57–61
Sarafotoxin S6b	52 <sup>a</sup>					4
Sarafotoxin S6c	2800	1300	0.29	0.3, 0.12	0.24	58–61
[Ala <sup>311,13</sup> ]ET-1		398		0.25	20	59, 61
BQ123	25, 17	13, 63	31 000, 11 100	>10 000, >100 000	285	57–61
FR139317	1 <sup>a</sup>	6.3, 13	7300 <sup>a</sup>	20 000, >100 000		59, 60, 62
BQ788		1300		1.2		28
Ro462005		200, 360		160, 530		59, 60
Bosentan	6.5		343			63
SB209670	0.4	2.0	15	32		59, 64
BMS182874	63	1600	55 000	>10 000		59, 65
97139	1		1000			66

Data obtained in cell lines transfected with human or bovine endothelin receptors. For BQ788 and 97139, only radioligand binding data obtained in membranes are available [ET<sub>A</sub>: SK-N-MC human neuroblastoma cell line (BQ788), and A7r5 rat aortic smooth muscle cells (97139); ET<sub>B</sub>: human Girardi heart cells]. In Ref. 59, pIC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated to approximate IC<sub>50</sub> values. ET-1, endothelin 1; ET-3, endothelin 3.

S6c, but exhibit an atypically low affinity for BQ123. Activation of these receptors, which were considered to be a subtype of  $ET_A$  receptors ( $ET_{AX}$ ), resulted in mobilization of  $Ca^{2+}$ , which was blocked by treatment that uncouples gap junctions. By contrast,  $Ca^{2+}$  mobilization induced by expressed human  $ET_A$  receptors was not sensitive to such treatment. In a further study, [ $^{125}I$ ]ET-1 binding to human brain endothelial cells revealed a high- and a low-affinity binding site<sup>14</sup>. The high-affinity binding site had the order of affinity  $ET-1 > ET-2 > \text{sarafotoxin S6b} > ET-3$ , which resembled the  $ET_A$  receptor and also matched the order of potency for  $InsP$  accumulation in these cells. The order of affinity for the unidentified low-affinity binding site ( $\text{sarafotoxin S6b} > ET-2 > ET-1 = ET-3$ ) did not match the order of potency for  $InsP$  accumulation. Other second messenger systems were not examined in the latter study.

### Pharmacological characterization of endothelin receptors

The present classification of endothelin receptors relies largely on data obtained in functional or radioligand binding experiments<sup>8</sup>. In addition to the frequently applied potency order of ET-1 and ET-3, a number of synthetic compounds has been identified with selectivity for  $ET_A$  or  $ET_B$  receptors (Table 1).

The pharmacological characterization of endothelin receptors is hampered by several pitfalls:

(1) Endothelin peptides can be internalized after binding to the receptor<sup>15</sup>, and hence may not be available for ligand-receptor competition.

(2) The formation of ligand-receptor complexes with different dissociative behaviours depending on the ligand used may result in complicated receptor kinetics<sup>16,17</sup>.

(3) Endothelin receptors may downregulate<sup>18</sup> or desensitize rapidly, possibly resulting in a differentially altered response to various endothelin peptides<sup>19</sup>.

### Responses mediated by endothelin receptors

#### Typical $ET_A$ receptors

$ET_A$  receptors have often been found to mediate contractile responses in isolated smooth muscle preparations. The involvement of  $ET_A$  receptors was typically established on the basis of the relative order of potency of ET-1 and ET-3, and on the inability of  $ET_B$  receptor-selective compounds (for example, sarafotoxin S6c or [ $Ala^{1,3,11,15}$ ]ET-1) to act as agonists. Moreover, both BQ123 and FR139317 were generally used as  $ET_A$  receptor antagonists. Typical examples of preparations with  $ET_A$  receptors that mediate contractions include the rat<sup>20</sup> and guinea-pig<sup>21</sup> aorta (Table 2).

#### Typical $ET_B$ receptors

$ET_B$  receptors were originally considered as 'vasodilator receptors' in contrast to the vasoconstrictor  $ET_A$  receptors. Warner and colleagues<sup>22</sup> showed that ET-3 and ET-1 were equipotent as vasodilators, whereas ET-1 had been shown

**Table 2. Examples of studies in which the response was concluded to be mediated via an endothelin  $ET_A$  receptor**

Species	Tissue and response	Characterization criteria		Ref.
		Agonist	Antagonist against ET-1	
Rat	Thoracic aorta contraction	ET-1 > ET-3; [ $Ala^{1,3,11,15}$ ]ET-1: no effect	$pA_2$ BQ123: 6.93	20
Rabbit	Carotid artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3;	$pA_2$ BQ123: 6.8	30
		[ $Ala^{1,3,11,15}$ ]ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6c: no effect		30
		ET-1 > ET-3; sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	$pK_b$ BQ123: 7.5	24
Guinea-pig	Pulmonary artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3	$pA_2$ FR139317: 6.65	67
		Sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	$pK_b$ BQ123: 6.7	21
	Aorta contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	$pK_b$ BQ123: 7.1	21
		ET-1 > ET-3;	$pA_2$ BQ123: 7.4	49
		[ $Ala^{1,3,11,15}$ ]ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6c: no effect		49
	Iliac artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3; sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	$pK_b$ BQ123: 6.6–7.2 $pA_2$ FR139317: 5.82	68 68
Goat	Cerebral artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3	$pK_b$ BQ123: 7.43	34
Human	Coronary artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3	$pA_2$ BQ123: 6.4–7.47	52
	Omental artery contraction	ET-1 > ET-3	$pA_2$ BQ123: 7.09	26
	Pulmonary artery contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	$pK_b$ BQ123: 6.2–6.8	21

ET-1, endothelin 1; ET-3, endothelin 3.

**Table 3.** Examples of studies in which the response was concluded to be mediated via an endothelin ET<sub>B</sub> receptor

Species	Tissue and response	Characterization criteria		Ref.
		Agonist	Antagonist <sup>a</sup>	
Rat	Aorta relaxation	IRL1620	BQ123: no effect (IRL1620)	69
Rabbit	Pulmonary artery contraction	ET-1 = ET-3;	BQ123: no effect (ET-1, ET-3, [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1)	30
		[Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6c		30
		ET-1 = ET-3; BQ3020	BQ123: no effect (BQ3020)	70
		ET-1 = sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123 and PD124893: no effect (ET-1)	43
	Jugular vein contraction		pA <sub>2</sub> BQ788: 8.4 (BQ3020)	29
		ET-1 = ET-3;	BQ123: no effect (ET-1, ET-3, [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1)	30
	Saphenous vein contraction	[Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6c		30
		ET-1 = ET-3; sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: no effect (sarafotoxin S6c, ET-1)	24
Guinea-pig	Bronchus contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: no effect (sarafotoxin S6c, ET-1)	21
	Trachea contraction	IRL1620		71
		ET-1 = ET-3	FR139317: no effect (ET-1, ET-2, ET-3)	67
Pig	Pulmonary artery relaxation	[Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1		72
		BQ3020		70
Canine	Coronary artery constriction	Sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: no effect (sarafotoxin S6c)	44
Human	Bronchus contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: no effect (sarafotoxin S6c, ET-1)	21

<sup>a</sup>The agonist against which the antagonist was studied is indicated between brackets. ET-1, endothelin 1; ET-2, endothelin 2; ET-3, endothelin 3.

to be 20-fold more potent as a vasoconstrictor<sup>23</sup>. Thus, the involvement of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors was first established on the basis of equipotency of ET-1 and ET-3. Later, it was shown that ET<sub>B</sub> receptors were also involved in smooth muscle contraction in blood vessels such as the rabbit saphenous vein<sup>24</sup> and in the guinea-pig bronchus<sup>21</sup>. In these tissues, BQ123 failed to inhibit the contractile responses, and sarafotoxin S6c was observed to produce contraction. Other studies used [Ala<sup>1,3,11,15</sup>]ET-1, IRL1620 and BQ3020 as agonists (Table 3). Until recently, only IRL1038 was available as a selective ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist<sup>25,26</sup>. Unfortunately, the affinity for ET<sub>B</sub> receptors was reported to be highly variable between batches, and data obtained with this compound should be considered with caution<sup>27</sup>. However, the recent development of the potent and selective ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist BQ788 provided a novel tool to study the involvement of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>28</sup> (Table 3).

#### Mixed ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptor populations

In the guinea-pig trachea, BQ123 was a weak antagonist of ET-1-induced contraction, sarafotoxin S6c was a partial agonist and the contractile effect of sarafotoxin S6c was resistant to antagonism by BQ123 (Ref. 21). Thus, it was concluded that both ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors mediated contractile responses in the guinea-pig trachea<sup>21</sup>. Vasoconstriction in the isolated perfused rat kidney was also

mediated by both ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>29</sup>. In this preparation, BQ123 and FR139317 caused only partial attenuation of ET-1-induced contractions, whereas the nonselective ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist PD145065 completely abolished the responses to ET-1 (Ref. 29).

Even in blood vessels such as the rabbit saphenous vein<sup>24</sup> and pulmonary artery<sup>30</sup> that had previously been considered to contract via ET<sub>B</sub> receptors exclusively, a coexisting ET<sub>A</sub> receptor mediating vasoconstriction has been demonstrated by showing that BQ123 attenuated part of the concentration-response curve to ET-1 in both vessels, despite an observed equipotency of ET-1 and ET-3 (Refs 31,32). The presence of ET<sub>A</sub> receptors in the rabbit pulmonary artery has been confirmed by radioligand membrane-binding studies<sup>32</sup> (Table 4).

#### Atypical endothelin responses observed using ET<sub>A</sub> receptor-selective compounds

A number of preparations exhibited an agonist order of potency of ET-1 > ET-3, which would imply the involvement of ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. However, BQ123 was found to inhibit ET-3-induced contractions substantially more potently than ET-1-induced contractions, suggesting the presence of different receptors<sup>20</sup> (Table 5). Pierre and Clarke<sup>33</sup> suggested that the BQ123-sensitive contractions to ET-3 in the rat isolated renal artery were mediated via ET<sub>A</sub> receptors, whereas the relatively BQ123-insensitive

**Table 4. Examples of studies in which the response was concluded to be mediated via a mixed population of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors**

Species	Tissue and response	Characterization criteria		Ref.
		Agonist	Antagonist <sup>a</sup>	
Rat	Kidney perfusion	ET-1 = ET-3 = sarafotoxin S6b = sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: little effect (ET-1, sarafotoxin S6b)	73
		Sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123 and FR139317: partial inhibition (ET-1)	29
			PD145065: complete inhibition (ET-1)	29
Rabbit	Pulmonary artery contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c > ET-1	BQ123: antagonist (high concentrations ET-1)	32
		Sarafotoxin S6c: no effect <sup>b</sup>	pA <sub>2</sub> BQ123: 6.6 (ET-1) <sup>b</sup>	32
	Saphenous vein contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c > ET-1 = ET-3	10 $\mu$ M BQ123: antagonist (high concentrations ET-1)	32
Guinea-pig	Trachea contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c	BQ123: no effect (sarafotoxin S6c)	21
			pK <sub>b</sub> BQ123: 6.2 (ET-1)	21
Human	Internal mammary artery contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c: partial agonist	BQ123 and FR139317: antagonists (ET-1)	51

<sup>a</sup>The agonist against which the antagonist was studied is indicated between brackets. <sup>b</sup>Response determined after 30 min pretreatment with sarafotoxin S6c. ET-1, endothelin 1; ET-3, endothelin 3.

ET-1-induced contractile responses were mediated via non-ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. Although this is a plausible explanation with regard to the antagonist, it is yet unclear why ET-3 recognizes an ET<sub>A</sub> receptor that is not recognized by ET-1. Similarly, in the goat cerebral artery, contractile responses induced by sarafotoxin S6b were antagonized more potently by BQ123 than those induced by ET-1 (Ref. 34) (Table 5). It has been argued that the reversibility of receptor binding of ET-1 is different from that of ET-3 or sarafotoxin S6b, and that this could account for the differences in antagonist potencies against these agonists<sup>35</sup>. However, this does not explain the biphasic antagonism of sarafotoxin S6b-induced contractions of the human saphenous vein by BQ123 (Ref. 36), or the biphasic displacement of binding with 30 pM [<sup>125</sup>I]sarafotoxin S6b by BQ123 in the media of human coronary arteries<sup>37</sup>. Furthermore, it should be noted that in other investigations, the antagonist potency did not differ between these particular agonists<sup>38-40</sup>, or was even higher against ET-1 than against the other agonist<sup>41,42</sup>. Thus, although the possibility of interference by complex endothelin receptor kinetics<sup>15-19</sup> should not entirely be disregarded, it appears that ET-1 on the one hand, and sarafotoxin S6b and ET-3 on the other hand, may exert their effects via different receptors that do not fit the current classification of ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> receptors.

#### **Atypical endothelin responses observed using ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective compounds**

Warner and colleagues<sup>43</sup> observed an ET<sub>B</sub> receptor that mediated constriction of the rabbit pulmonary artery and rat stomach strip that was relatively insensitive to the non-selective endothelin receptor antagonist PD142893. By

contrast, PD142893 potentially antagonized the ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-mediated vasodilator effect in the perfused mesentery, which indicated receptor heterogeneity among ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>43</sup>. In addition, a study in swine pulmonary blood vessels revealed differences between ET<sub>B</sub> receptors mediating contraction and endothelium-dependent relaxation<sup>35</sup>. Only receptors mediating endothelium-dependent relaxation were sensitive to antagonism by the ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist IRL1038 (Ref. 25). However, as mentioned above, it should be noted that questions have arisen over the use of IRL1038 as an ET<sub>B</sub> receptor antagonist<sup>27</sup>, and these experiments need verification using alternative antagonists with affinity for ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. Radioligand binding studies in canine coronary artery membranes also indicated the possibility of ET<sub>B</sub> receptor subtypes<sup>44</sup>. These binding sites had either high or low affinity for both ET-1 and ET-3. In addition, the high-affinity ET<sub>B</sub> site showed high affinity for sarafotoxin S6c, but not for BQ123, whereas the low-affinity ET<sub>B</sub> site had moderate affinity for both sarafotoxin S6c and BQ123. Coronary vasoconstriction induced by sarafotoxin S6c was insensitive to BQ123, indicating involvement of the high-affinity ET<sub>B</sub> site. No functional correlate for the low-affinity ET<sub>B</sub> site is known at present<sup>44</sup>. In the rat left atrium, equipotent contractile responses to ET-1, ET-2, ET-3 and sarafotoxin S6b were observed, indicating the involvement of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. However, the ineffectiveness of the ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists [Ala<sup>1,3,11,15</sup>]ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6c would suggest the involvement of receptors other than conventional ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>45</sup>. Similarly, *Xenopus laevis* liver membranes revealed a binding site with identical affinity for ET-1 and ET-3. As expected for ET<sub>B</sub> receptors, BQ123 was ineffective in displacing

**Table 5. Examples of studies in which the response was concluded to be mediated by a single or mixed receptor population consisting of receptors characterized as partly atypical or as subtypes of endothelin ET<sub>A</sub> and/or ET<sub>B</sub> receptors**

Species	Tissue and response	Observations	Refs
<b>ET<sub>A</sub> receptor-selective compounds</b>			
Rat	Aorta contraction	BQ123 more potent versus ET-3 than versus ET-1; [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1: no effect	20
	Vas deferens increased twitch	BQ123 and PD142893 more potent versus ET-3 and sarafotoxin S6b than versus ET-1; sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	56, 74
Goat	Cerebral artery contraction	BQ123 more potent versus sarafotoxin S6b than versus ET-1	34
Human	Small omental vein contraction	BQ123 more potent versus ET-3 than versus ET-1	26
		BQ123 more potent versus high than versus low concentrations of ET-3	26
		IRL1038 no effect against ET-1 <sup>a</sup> ; sarafotoxin S6c: no effect	26
	Coronary artery contraction	BQ123 more potent versus ET-3 than versus ET-1	52
		BQ123 and FR139317 more potent versus sarafotoxin S6b than versus ET-1; [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1: no effect	53
	Saphenous vein contraction	BQ123 more potent versus sarafotoxin S6b than versus ET-1	36
		BQ123 more potent versus high than versus low concentrations of sarafotoxin S6b	36
	Umbilical artery contraction	BQ123 more potent versus sarafotoxin S6b than versus ET-1	54
<b>ET<sub>B</sub> receptor-selective compounds</b>			
Rat	Stomach strip contraction	Contraction to sarafotoxin S6c (more potent than ET-1) weakly antagonized by PD142893	43
	Perfused mesentery dilatation	Dilatation to sarafotoxin S6c (equipotent ET-1) strongly antagonized by PD142893	43
	Atrium contraction	ET-1, ET-3, sarafotoxin S6b equipotent; sarafotoxin S6c and [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1 no effect	45
Pig	Pulmonary vein contraction <sup>a</sup>	Isopeptide nonselective receptor, resistant to IRL1038	25
	Pulmonary artery relaxation <sup>a</sup>	Isopeptide nonselective receptor, sensitive to IRL1038	25
	Coronary artery contraction	Sarafotoxin S6c-sensitive receptor recognizes ET-3, but not ET-1 or sarafotoxin S6b	48
		Sarafotoxin S6c and [Ala <sup>1,3,11,15</sup> ]ET-1 sensitive receptor [pK <sub>b</sub> BQ123: ≈ 5 (ET-1)], and another receptor resistant to BQ123	49

<sup>a</sup> The receptor affinity and selectivity for ET<sub>B</sub> receptors of IRL1038 have been described to be highly variable. Therefore, these data must be interpreted with caution<sup>27</sup>.

[<sup>125</sup>I]ET-1 labeling of this site, but sarafotoxin S6c was also ineffective, suggesting the presence of a subtype of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>46</sup>.

#### Other atypical endothelin responses

The nature of endothelin receptors that mediate contraction of the porcine coronary artery is still controversial, but part of the receptor population does not appear to correspond to either ET<sub>A</sub> or ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. Ihara and co-workers<sup>47</sup> observed ET-1-induced contractile responses that were sensitive to antagonism by BQ123 (pA<sub>2</sub> = 7.4) and thus considered to be mediated by ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. The small BQ123 nonsensitive part of the concentration-response curve to ET-1 was assigned to be mediated by ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>47</sup>. Further studies agreed on the ET<sub>A</sub> receptor component on the basis of the agonist order of potency, but also observed a receptor that recognized sarafotoxin S6c and ET-3, but not ET-1 and sarafotoxin S6b (Ref. 48). Later, it was shown that the contrac-

tile effects of both sarafotoxin S6c and [Ala<sup>1,3,11,15</sup>]ET-1 were likely to be mediated via the same ET<sub>B</sub> receptor, whereas a non-ET<sub>A</sub>, non-ET<sub>B</sub> type of receptor contributed to the contractile response induced by ET-1 (Ref. 49) (Table 5).

#### Endothelin receptors in human blood vessels

Endothelin receptors mediating contractions in human blood vessels were recently reviewed by Davenport and Maguire<sup>50</sup>. Although the contractile responses may be mediated via typical ET<sub>A</sub> receptors<sup>50</sup> (perhaps in addition to ET<sub>B</sub> receptors<sup>51</sup>), there are several reports focusing on non-ET<sub>A</sub>, non-ET<sub>B</sub> receptors in human blood vessels.

In parallel with the rat aorta<sup>20</sup> and the goat cerebral artery<sup>34</sup> (Table 5), BQ123 has been observed to be more potent against ET-3- and sarafotoxin S6b-induced contractions than against ET-1-induced contractile responses in the human isolated saphenous vein<sup>36</sup>, coronary artery<sup>52,53</sup>, umbilical artery<sup>54</sup> and in small omental veins<sup>26</sup>. Recent data obtained in the human isolated coronary artery

suggest that the same discrepancy between ET-1- and sarafotoxin S6b-induced contractile responses is also observed with other ET<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonists, such as FR139317 (Ref. 53).

It is unclear whether the ET<sub>B</sub> receptor plays a significant role in vasoconstriction of human blood vessels. Indeed, the endogenous ligand ET-3 is a less potent vasoconstrictor agonist than ET-1. Moreover, both ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists BQ3020 and [Ala<sup>1,3,11,15</sup>]ET-1 hardly contracted the human isolated coronary artery<sup>50</sup>. However, sarafotoxin S6c induced contractile responses in some (but not all) coronary artery<sup>50</sup>, internal mammary artery<sup>51</sup> or saphenous vein<sup>55</sup> segments. Although this may be due to a relatively low ET<sub>B</sub> receptor density<sup>50</sup>, these observations could also be related to the isopeptide nonselective ET<sub>B</sub> receptors, with low affinity for the ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists [Ala<sup>1,3,11,15</sup>]ET-1 or sarafotoxin S6c (Refs 45,46).

### Concluding remarks

The above-mentioned studies indicate that the current ET<sub>A</sub> and ET<sub>B</sub> endothelin receptor classification will have to be extended. A number of responses fit the present criteria for the ET<sub>A</sub> receptor, but evidence indicating that ET<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonists are sometimes more potent against ET-3 (Refs 20,26,52,56) or sarafotoxin S6b (Refs 34,36,53,54,56) than against ET-1 suggests further heterogeneity of endothelin receptors. These receptors may be classified as subtypes of the ET<sub>A</sub> receptor, since ET<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonists are moderately or highly potent in these assays and ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists are usually inactive (Table 5). However, since it has only been possible to detect this heterogeneity in assays in which both receptors mediate the same effect, a detailed pharmacological analysis of the individual receptors has not yet been established, and a conclusive classification of these receptors is therefore best postponed.

ET<sub>B</sub> receptors also appear to be heterogeneous since the relaxant but not the contractile responses were antagonized by PD142893. However, only the affinity for the antagonist should be used as a criterium for pharmacological receptor classification, and not whether the receptor mediates contraction or relaxation<sup>6,7</sup>. In view of the effect of ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists and the ineffectiveness of BQ123, it would appear that these receptors may be designated ET<sub>B1</sub> (PD142893-sensitive) and ET<sub>B2</sub> (PD142893-insensitive) receptor subtypes. Whether additional ET<sub>B</sub> receptor subtypes exist, for example, in canine coronary artery<sup>44</sup>, or whether other atypical observations are related to the proposed ET<sub>B1</sub> or ET<sub>B2</sub> receptor subtypes, remains to be resolved (Table 5).

The currently available data are not yet sufficient to provide a conclusively extended endothelin receptor nomenclature. Indeed, additional data on second messenger mechanisms and possibly on the sequence of the corresponding DNA are eagerly awaited, and the use of more agonists and antagonists in functional studies is vital<sup>6,7</sup>. For now, it should be noted that, in particular, the current basic criterion of the potency difference of ET-1

and ET-3 should be applied with the utmost restraint. In some smooth muscle preparations, ET-1 is clearly more potent than ET-3, suggesting the involvement of ET<sub>A</sub> receptors. However, considering the observed antagonist potency differences<sup>20,26,52</sup>, the two peptides may in fact induce contractions via different receptors (Table 5). In other tissues, ET-1 and ET-3 are equipotent, suggesting the involvement of ET<sub>B</sub> receptors. However, ET<sub>B</sub> receptor agonists may in some cases be inactive<sup>45</sup>, and detailed analysis using ET<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonists has been used to demonstrate the presence of an additional ET<sub>A</sub> receptor<sup>31,32</sup>. Given the relatively incomplete understanding of endothelin receptors and the limited number of selective receptor ligands, the use of a wide spectrum of both agonists and antagonists is required for endothelin receptor characterization. The nonpeptide receptor antagonists that are now becoming available will certainly help the process towards a conclusive, more detailed endothelin receptor classification.

### Selected references

- 1 Yanagisawa, M. *et al.* (1988) *Nature* 332, 411-415
- 2 Remuzzi, G. and Benigni, A. (1993) *Lancet* 342, 589-593
- 3 Shimada, K., Takahashi, M. and Tanzawa, K. (1994) *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 18275-18278
- 4 Arai, H., Hori, S., Aramori, I., Ohkubo, H. and Nakanishi, S. (1990) *Nature* 348, 730-732
- 5 Sakurai, T. *et al.* (1990) *Nature* 348, 732-735
- 6 Kenakin, T. P., Bond, R. A. and Bonner, T. I. (1992) *Pharmacol. Rev.* 44, 351-362
- 7 Hoyer, D. *et al.* (1994) *Pharmacol. Rev.* 46, 157-203
- 8 Masaki, T., Vane, J. R. and Vanhoutte, P. M. (1994) *Pharmacol. Rev.* 46, 137-142
- 9 Sakamoto, A. *et al.* (1991) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 178, 656-663
- 10 Karne, S., Jayawickreme, C. K. and Lerner, M. R. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 19126-19133
- 11 Emori, T., Hirata, Y. and Marumo, F. (1990) *FEBS Lett.* 263, 261-264
- 12 Aramori, I. and Nakanishi, S. (1992) *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 12468-12474
- 13 Kumar, C. S., Nuthulaganti, P., Pullen, M. and Nambi, P. (1993) *Mol. Pharmacol.* 44, 153-157
- 14 Stanimirovic, D. B., Yamamoto, T., Uematsu, S. and Spatz, M. (1994) *J. Neurochem.* 62, 592-601
- 15 Resink, T. J., Scott-Burden, T., Boulanger, C., Weber, E. and Bühler, F. R. (1990) *Mol. Pharmacol.* 38, 244-252
- 16 Galron, R. *et al.* (1991) *FEBS Lett.* 283, 11-14
- 17 Vigne, P., Breittmayer, J. P. and Frelin, C. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 245, 229-232
- 18 Clozel, M. *et al.* (1993) *Am. J. Physiol.* 265, C188-C192
- 19 Le Monnier de Gouville, A.-C., Lippman, H., Cohen, G., Caverio, I. and Hyman, A. (1990) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 254, 1024-1028
- 20 Sumner, M. J., Cannon, T. R., Mundin, J. W., White, D. G. and Watts, I. S. (1992) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 107, 858-860
- 21 Hay, D. W. P., Luttmann, M. A., Hubbard, W. C. and Udem, B. J. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 1175-1183
- 22 Warner, T. D., Mitchell, J. A., DeNucci, G. and Vane, J. R. (1989) *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 13 (Suppl. 5), S85-S88
- 23 Yanagisawa, M. *et al.* (1988) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 85, 6964-6967
- 24 Moreland, S., McMullen, D. M., Delaney, C. L., Lee, V. G. and Hunt, J. T. (1992) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 184, 100-106
- 25 Sudjarwo, S. A. *et al.* (1993) *Life Sci.* 53, 431-437
- 26 Riezebos, J., Watts, I. S. and Vallance, P. J. T. (1994) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 111, 609-615
- 27 Urade, Y. *et al.* (1994) *FEBS Lett.* 342, 103
- 28 Ishikawa, K. *et al.* (1994) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 91, 4892-4896
- 29 Wellings, R. P. *et al.* (1994) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 111, 515-520
- 30 White, D. G. *et al.* (1993) *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 22 (Suppl. 8), S144-S148
- 31 Auguet, M., Delafloite, S., Chabrier, P.-E. and Braquet, P. (1993) *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 71, 818-823
- 32 LaDouceur D. M., Flynn, M. A., Keiser, J. A., Reynolds, E. and

- Haleen, S. J. (1993) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 196, 209–215
- 33 Pierre, L. and Clarke, K. L. (1994) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 112, 163P
- 34 Salom, J. B., Torregrosa, G., Barberá, M. D., Jover, T. and Alborch, E. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 109, 826–830
- 35 Battistini, B. et al. (1994) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 112, 1244–1250
- 36 Bax, W. A., Bos, E. and Saxena, P. R. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 239, 267–268
- 37 Bax, W. A., Bruinvels, A. T., Van Suylen, R.-J., Saxena, P. R. and Hoyer, D. (1993) *Naunyn-Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol.* 348, 403–410
- 38 Yang, C. M., Yo, Y.-L., Ong, R., Hsieh, J.-T. and Tsao, H.-L. (1994) *Naunyn-Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol.* 350, 68–76
- 39 Samson, W. K. (1992) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 187, 590–595
- 40 D'Orléans-Juste, P., Claing, A., Warner, T. D., Yano, M. and Télémaque, S. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 687–692
- 41 Cardell, L. O., Uddman, R. and Edvinsson, L. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 108, 448–452
- 42 Bonvallet, S. T. et al. (1993) *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 22, 39–43
- 43 Warner, T. D., Allcock, G. H., Corder, R. and Vane, J. R. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 777–782
- 44 Teerlink, J. R., Breu, V., Sprecher, U., Clozel, M. and Clozel, J.-P. (1994) *Circ. Res.* 74, 105–114
- 45 Panek, R. L. et al. (1992) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 183, 566–571
- 46 Nambi, P., Pullen, M. and Kumar, C. (1994) *Neuropeptides* 26, 181–185
- 47 Ihara, M. et al. (1992) *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 20 (Suppl. 12), S11–S14
- 48 Harrison, V. J., Randriantsoa, A. and Schoeffter, P. (1992) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 105, 511–513
- 49 Schoeffter, P. and Randriantsoa, A. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 249, 199–206
- 50 Davenport, A. P. and Maguire, J. J. (1994) *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 15, 9–11
- 51 Seo, B., Oemar, B. S., Siebenmann, R., Von Segesser, L. and Lüscher, T. F. (1994) *Circulation* 89, 1203–1208
- 52 Godfraind, T. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 1201–1205
- 53 Bax, W. A., Aghai, Z., Van Tricht, C. L. J., Wassenaar, C. and Saxena, P. R. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* (in press)
- 54 Bodelsson, G. and Stjernquist, M. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 249, 299–305
- 55 Maguire, J. J. and Davenport, A. P. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 47P
- 56 Warner, T. D., Allcock, G. H., Mickley, E. J. and Vane, J. R. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 110, 783–789
- 57 Sakamoto, A. et al. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* 268, 8547–8553
- 58 Williams, D. L. et al. (1993) *Life Sci.* 53, 407–414
- 59 Buchan, K. W. et al. (1994) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 112, 1251–1257
- 60 Breu, V., Löffler, B.-M. and Clozel, M. (1993) *FEBS Lett.* 334, 210–214
- 61 Stavros, F. D., Hasel, K. W., Okun, I. and Freriks, K. (1993) *J. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol.* 22 (Suppl. 8), S34–S37
- 62 Aramori, I. et al. (1993) *Mol. Pharmacol.* 43, 127–131
- 63 Clozel, M. et al. (1994) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 270, 228–235
- 64 Elliott, J. D. et al. (1994) *J. Med. Chem.* 37, 1553–1557
- 65 Liu, E. C. K., Monshizadegan, H., Brittain, R. J., Rose, P. M. and Webb, M. L. (1994) *FASEB J.* 8, 594
- 66 Mihara, S.-I., Nakajima, S., Matumura, S., Kohnike, T. and Fujimoto, M. (1994) *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 268, 1122–1128
- 67 Cardell, L. O., Uddman, R. and Edvinsson, L. (1992) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 108, 448–452
- 68 Schoeffter, P., Randriantsoa, A., Jost, B. and Bruttel, K. (1993) *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 241, 165–169
- 69 Karaki, H. et al. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 109, 486–490
- 70 Ihara, M. et al. (1992) *Life Sci.* 51, 47–52
- 71 Takai, M. et al. (1992) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 184, 953–959
- 72 Saeki, T., Ihara, M., Fukuroda, T., Yamagiwa, M. and Yano, M. (1991) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 179, 286–292
- 73 Cristol, J.-P., Warner, T. D., Thiemeermann, C. and Vane, J. R. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 108, 776–779
- 74 Eglezos, A. et al. (1993) *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 109, 736–738

## Chemical names

- BQ123:** cyc(DTrp-DAsp-Pro-DVal-Leu)
- BQ3020:** N-acetyl-[Ala<sup>11,15</sup>]endothelin-1(6–21)
- FR139317:** 2(R)-[2(R)-(2(S)-[1-(hexahydro-1H-azepinyl)]carbonyl)amino-4-methylpentanoyl]-amino-3-[3-(1-methyl-1H-indolyl)]propionyl]-amino-3-(2-pyridyl)propionic acid
- IRL1620:** Suc-[Glu<sup>9</sup>,Ala<sup>11,15</sup>]endothelin-1(8–21)
- IRL1038:** [Cys<sup>11,15</sup>]endothelin-1(11–21)
- PD145065:** acetyl-(5H-dibenzyl[*a,d*]cycloheptane-10,11-dihydro-glycine)-L-Leu-L-Asp-L-Ile-L-Ile-L-Trp
- PD142893:** acetyl-(3,3-D-diphenylalanine-L-Leu-L-Asp-L-Ile-L-Ile-L-Trp
- BQ788:** N-cis-2,6-dimethylpiperidinocarbonyl-L-γ-methylleucyl-D-1-methoxy-carbonyltryptophanyl-D-norleucin
- Ro462005:** 4-tert-butyl-N-[6-(2-hydroxy-ethoxy)-5-(3-methoxy-phenoxy)-4-pyrimidinyl]-benzene-sulphonamide
- SB209670:** (+)-(1S,2R,3S)-3-(2-carboxymethoxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-5-(prop-1-yloxy)-indane-2-carboxylic acid
- BMS182874:** 5-(dimethylamino)-N-(3,4-dimethyl-5-isoxazolyl)-1-naphthalenesulphonamide
- 97139:** 27-O-3-[2-(3-carboxy-acryloyl-amino)-5-hydroxyphenyl]acryloyloxy-myricerone sodium salt

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Dr Philippe Schoeffter for critically reading the manuscript. Supported by the Netherlands Heart Foundation, grant 89 252 and 93 146

## Subscription queries

The Editorial Office deals with scientific matters only. Subscription queries cannot be dealt with at this office and should be sent to the appropriate address indicated on the contents page.

## Copyright permission

Copyright permission for figures, tables and boxes from articles published in *TiPS* will be considered by the Editor. Permission to reproduce other items (e.g. text and posters) should be sent directly to the Publisher, Elsevier Trends Journals, 68 Hills Road, Cambridge, UK CB2 1LA.