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The prevalence of clinical signs and neuropathological findings of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is high in Down's syndrome (DS). In the general population, the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) ε4 isoform is an important risk marker for AD. We studied the allelic frequencies of ApoE in 26 DS cases fulfilling clinical diagnostic criteria for AD and in 26 DS controls matched for age, sex, and premorbid level of mental retardation. A meta-analysis of data available in the literature was used for comparison with allele frequencies in other AD and control populations. ApoE type 2, 3, or 4 allele frequencies were not significantly different in AD–DS cases and DS controls. The ApoE ε4 frequency in DS cases with AD (0.14; CI, 0.06–0.26) was significantly lower than in any other AD population studied so far and it is within the range of nondemented controls from the general population. These findings suggest that ApoE ε4 does not significantly affect the pathogenesis of AD in DS patients.


Prevalence estimates of dementia in populations with Down's syndrome (DS) amount to 8, 55, and 75% in the fifth, sixth, and seventh decades, respectively [1]. In virtually all cases of DS over 40 years of age senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles characteristic of Alzheimer's disease (AD) are present within one or more regions of the brain [2]. Increased expression of the β-amyloid precursor gene located on chromosome 21 is thought to underlie the development of these abnormalities in trisomy 21 [2].

In the general population, the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) ε4 isoform is an important risk marker for developing AD, and in familial forms of AD ε4 ApoE confers an earlier onset of dementia [3]. These observations fostered the hypothesis that ApoE rather than β-amyloid plays a central role in the pathogenesis of AD [3]. Previous observations on the frequency of ε4 ApoE in DS yielded figures ranging from 0.03 to 0.22, suggesting that trisomy 21 itself does not segregate with a specific ApoE genotype [4–7]. However, neither of these reports specified whether the DS subjects studied showed clinical signs of AD. If an ApoE ε4-driven process of paired helical filament formation has a primary role in causing clinical and neuropathological signs of AD [8], ApoE genotype can be expected to modulate the risk or age of onset of dementia in DS as well. To investigate this hypothesis, we compared the allelic frequencies of ApoE between DS cases fulfilling clinical diagnostic criteria for AD and nondemented DS controls. A meta-analysis of data available in the literature was used for comparison with allele frequencies in control and AD populations from the general population.

Materials and Methods
Subjects were ascertained through eight institutions for persons with mental retardation (specified in the footnotes). Patients were included as AD–DS cases according to the...
following criteria: (1) a diagnosis of DS based on karyotyping; (2) a clinical diagnosis of dementia based on evidence for memory disturbances combined with aphasia, apraxia, changes in the original level of spatial or temporal orientation, or personality changes, all based on longitudinal observations in daily circumstances, interfering with work or usual social activities or personal relationships, not occurring exclusively during the course of delirium; (3) a score of 20 or more or increase of 7 points or more on the cognitive subscale of the Dementia Questionnaire for Mentally Retarded Persons [9]; (4) an estimated time since onset of symptoms of at least 1 year; (5) absence of abnormalities on medical, psychiatric, neurological, and laboratory examination explaining the mental deterioration; especially severe hearing loss and hypothyroidism were excluded. Subjects were classified as DS control on the basis of (1) a karyotype-verified diagnosis of DS; (2) absence of dementia, indicated by absence of changes in functioning in daily circumstances in the period preceding inclusion. Subjects were classified as AD cases or controls by physicians who knew the patients for years before the beginning of this study. Cases and controls were matched for sex, age, and for premorbid level of functioning on the basis of scores on a Dutch standard instrument measuring adaptive functioning, used in all participating institutions [10]. Approval for the study was obtained from the ethics review committees of all participating institutions (see footnotes). In all participants consent was obtained from relatives and if possible from the subjects themselves. Resistance of participants to venapuncture, either in words or actions, was interpreted as withdrawal of consent leading to exclusion from the study.

Genomic DNA samples were prepared from peripheral white blood cells. ApoE genotyping was performed in the coordinating center on coded samples without knowledge of the clinical diagnosis. About 1 to 2 µg of DNA was isolated from 20 ml EDTA-blood. ApoE genotype was determined using a modification of the method of Hixson and collaborators [11] to visualize ApoE genotypes on agarose gel the 3'-primer was elongated with a 20-nucleotide AT tail (Reymer PWA, Groenemeyer BE, van de Burg R, Kastelein JJP, unpublished data). Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out in standard buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-OH, pH 9.0, 0.01% gelatin, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton X-100) with 0.5 µM concentration of primer, 100 µM dNTP, and 0.2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. After amplification (without dimethyl sulfoxide; 30 seconds at 94°C, 1 minute at 60°C, 1 minute at 70°C, 30 cycles with 0.5 units Supertaq [Sphaero-Q, UK]) and digestion with 4 units CfoI (Promega, USA) 1.5 hour at 37°C PCR/digestion fragments could be separated on a 5% agarose gel (Aagarose MP, Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) and visualized by ethidium bromide staining (Reymer PWA, Groenemeyer BE, van de Burg R, Kastelein JJP, unpublished data). Prior power calculations showed that a minimum of 50 alleles should be studied in each group to detect at least a twofold excess of ApoE ε4 in AD-DS compared with DS controls, with an α- and β-error of 0.05 and 0.10, respectively.

For the meta-analysis of ApoE allele frequencies in controls and AD patients from the general population, a computer search in Medline for the period August 1993 to October 1994 was performed using "apolipoprotein E" and "Alzheimer's disease" as search terms. Retrieved articles or letters to the editor, excluding abstracts, in which original research was described were identified. We only included studies if (1) well-described diagnostic criteria for AD were used, (2) data presentation was in such a manner that ε4 ApoE allele frequencies could be calculated, and (3) the AD group contained at least 25 patients.

Allele frequencies were estimated by counting alleles and calculating sample proportions. Comparisons of genotype frequencies and allele frequencies were made using the χ² statistic or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Differences in age of onset and duration of symptoms according to the presence of the ε4 allele in AD-DS cases were analyzed statistically by the unpaired t test.

Results
A total of 52 subjects with DS were included in the study. There were no significant differences between the AD-DS cases and DS controls in age, sex, and premorbid level of functioning (Table 1). The frequency of the most common genotype (ApoE 3/3) was similar in both groups. The ApoE type 2, 3, and 4 allele frequencies were not significantly different in AD-DS cases and DS-control subjects (see Table 1). Age of onset in AD-DS with (mean ± SD, 54.1 ± 7.9 years) and without ε4-alleles (54.6 ± 6.5 years) was not significantly different (p = 0.86). Also the duration of symptoms in ε4-carriers (3.6 ± 1.7 years) was similar to those without the ε4-allele (4.9 ± 2.7 years) (p = 0.25).

The results of the meta-analysis of studies performed in non-DS populations showed increased ApoE ε4 allele frequencies in all cohorts with AD, which were most prominent in those with familial onset and with late-onset of AD (Table 2 and Fig). The ApoE ε4 frequency found in the AD-DS cases is significantly lower than in any other AD population studied so far, and it is within the range of published general population data on nondemented subjects (see Table 2 and Fig) [12].

Discussion
Since the pivotal observations of the Duke University group on the association between the ApoE ε4 allele and AD [6, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23], numerous studies have replicated this finding in various populations of AD patients with different hereditary components, ages of onset, and ethnic backgrounds (see Fig for references). The ε4 ApoE allele frequency in the AD-DS cases described here is particularly interesting because it is the first clinically diagnosed AD population of reasonable size in which such low figures were found. In our population of AD-DS cases of ApoE ε4 frequency was only slightly higher than in nondemented DS controls.
### Table 1. Patient Characteristics, ApoE Genotypes, and Allele Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DS Controls</th>
<th>AD–DS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr) (SD, range)</td>
<td>55.0 (8.6, 41–70)</td>
<td>54.5 (7.4, 42–71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/Women</td>
<td>10/16</td>
<td>10/16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of retardation</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Profound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04 (0.00–0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.62 (0.41–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.35 (0.17–0.56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.65 (0.44–0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04 (0.00–0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.15 (0.04–0.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04 (0.00–0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.12 (0.03–0.30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele frequencies</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.12 (0.04–0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.79 (0.65–0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>€4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.10 (0.03–0.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ApoE = apolipoprotein E; DS = Down's syndrome; AD = Alzheimer's disease; CI = confidence interval.

### Table 2. ApoE €4 Allele Frequencies in Different AD and Control Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>ApoE €4 Allele Frequency (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early onset familial AD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early onset sporadic AD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late onset familial AD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late onset sporadic AD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondemented controls&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS controls&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD–DS cases&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Results from meta-analysis (see Materials and Methods and Fig).
<sup>b</sup>Taken from Menzel and co-workers [12] (see also Fig). This group served as reference for comparison of apolipoprotein E (ApoE) €4 allele frequencies in the other groups using the χ² statistic.
<sup>c</sup>This study (see also Fig and Table 1).
<sup>d</sup>χ² = 136.7, df = 1, p < 0.0001.
<sup>e</sup>χ² = 40.6, df = 1, p < 0.0001.
<sup>f</sup>χ² = 118.5, df = 1, p < 0.0001.
<sup>g</sup>χ² = 320.3, df = 1, p < 0.0001.

AD = Alzheimer's disease; CI = confidence interval; DS = Down's syndrome.

and it was similar to that in nondemented controls from the general population [12]. Several explanations may account for this unexpected observation.

First, difficulties in diagnosing AD in the general population are well established and diagnosing this condition in individuals with mental retardation is even more difficult than in persons with normal intelligence and psychosocial functioning [41]. Applying diagnostic criteria designed for populations without mental retardation may elicit numerous false-positive classifications if used in a DS population. This may lead to underestimation of real differences in €4 allele frequencies between AD–DS and DS controls. However, to minimize the risk of bias we studied institutionalized patients, which enabled us to use criteria relying heavily on long-standing, careful observations in daily life, using comparison with former actual levels of psychosocial functioning, rather than applying a single clinical and neuropsychological assessment. Also careful exclusion of various conditions other than AD that can impair the level of functioning in persons with DS should have minimized diagnostic misclassifications. Second, excess mortality in AD–DS cases carrying €4 alleles may have obscured hypothetical true differences between cases and controls. However, we found no effects of the €4 allele presence on disease duration, rendering this explanation unlikely. Moreover, the €4 allele frequencies in AD–DS cases and in DS controls reported here are within the range of those found in
Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) ε4 allele frequencies and 95% confidence intervals in different studies ordered according to the type of population studied and, within a category, to study size. First authors and reference are indicated on the left and the number of alleles studied on the right. AD = Alzheimer's disease; DS = Down's syndrome.
other populations with trisomy 21 [4-7]. The possible relation between ApoE phenotypes and dementia in DS remained unclear in these previous studies, because the mental status of the subjects was not evaluated.

That we were unable to detect significant differences in $\epsilon 4$ allele frequency between demented and non-demented persons with DS, between patients with early and late onset of dementia, and between AD--DS cases with short and long duration of dementia symptoms is consistent with the view that the clinical and neuropathological signs of AD in trisomy 21 should mainly be attributed to increased formation of $\beta$-amyloid. Apparently ApoE $\epsilon 4$ does not further increase the high risk of developing AD in DS. Despite the fact that ApoE is present in senile plaques in AD--DS [42], the $\epsilon 4$ isotype of this protein appears neither to influence the clinical expression (this study) nor the neuropathological features of this disease in DS [4, 5, 7], suggesting that the relative contribution of either ApoE- or $\beta$-amyloid-driven processes in the pathogenesis of AD differs between populations with trisomy 21 and those with a normal karyogram. This difference provides additional support for the idea that AD is an etiologically heterogeneous disorder, which is also exemplified by the presence of sporadic forms of AD, autosomal dominant forms with mutations in the chromosome 21 amyloid precursor protein (APP) gene, and familial forms of AD with linkage to chromosomes 14 and 19, and to another unidentified locus [43].

A population with such a high risk for developing AD, as the DS population studied here, is extremely suitable to study putative protective factors for not developing AD. Several studies suggest that $\epsilon 2$ ApoE may reduce the risk of developing AD [23, 24, 26, 40]. This observation led to the hypothesis that ApoE genotypes may modulate the risk for AD by differentially affecting the rate of phosphorylation of $\tau$ to form neurofibrillary tangles [3]. According to this hypothesis $\epsilon 4$ ApoE would stimulate tangle formation, whereas $\epsilon 2$ would protect against neurofibrillary degeneration. However, we found no excess $\epsilon 2$ alleles in our DS controls, not even among the 14 elderly nondemented DS individuals over 55 years of age (0.15 $\epsilon 2$ alleles; confidence interval [CI], 0.04–0.35). Our study was initially designed to study differences in $\epsilon 4$ allele frequencies, and given the low prevalence of $\epsilon 2$ alleles, the power of our study may have been too limited to draw any conclusion concerning the absence of a protective allele $\epsilon 2$ effect in this specific population.

Apart from these considerations relating to the pathogenesis of AD, the present results may also have practical implications. When the first reports on the excess of $\epsilon 4$ alleles in AD appeared, several authors suggested that ApoE genotyping could be a tool for identifying individuals with increased risk for developing AD [28, 30, 35, 44, 45] although preliminary analyses already predicted that the practical usefulness of ApoE genotyping for such a purpose will be poor in the general population [46, 47]. Based on our present results it can be concluded that ApoE genotyping for diagnostic purposes will certainly not be feasible in DS populations. In a similar manner, it has been speculated that manipulation of the ApoE-driven pathogenetic pathways may offer new therapeutic opportunities [17, 40, 44, 48], whereas the present results strongly suggest that it is not useful to pursue such efforts in AD--DS patients.

In conclusion, whatever the relative contribution of either ApoE or $\beta$-amyloid in the pathogenesis of AD may be in the general population, the absence of excess of $\epsilon 4$ alleles in AD--DS patients as documented in our study suggests that the role ApoE $\epsilon 4$ plays in causing AD in individuals with DS is of minor importance. This conclusion may have implications for future studies into diagnostic and therapeutic efforts in AD--DS and it reinforces the idea that AD is an etiologically heterogeneous disorder.
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