Cytogenetic Evidence That Carcinoma In Situ is the Precursor Lesion for Invasive Testicular Germ Cell Tumors
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ABSTRACT: A cytogenetic study of two cases of carcinoma in situ of the testis (CIS) and their adjacent invasive tumors, one a nonseminomatous germ cell tumor (NS) and one a seminoma (SE), revealed similarities in chromosomal pattern between the CIS and the invasive lesion in the same patient. These findings present for the first time cytogenetic evidence that CIS of the testis and its adjacent germ cell tumor are clonally related, which suggests that the CIS is indeed the precursor lesion of the invasive tumor.

INTRODUCTION
Testicular germ cell tumors (TGCTs) are thought to be derived from dysplastic germ cell precursors (gonocytes), which progress to carcinoma in situ (CIS) [1]. This assumption is supported by the frequent observation of CIS in the testicular parenchyma surrounding invasive cancer [2], as well as by the development of invasive TGCT in patients where CIS had been diagnosed previously [3, 4]. Thus CIS is considered the precursor for all subtypes of TGCTs of adolescents and adults, with the possible exception of spermatocytic seminoma [1].

CIS cells have a characteristic morphology ([5] for review) and their ploidy varies from peritriploid to pentaploid [6-10]. Cytogenetic data of CIS are limited to only three cases, reported by us [11]. Karyotyping of CIS is troublesome, because tissue culturing has not been successful thus far, and direct harvesting is difficult because of the small number of tumor cells that can be obtained from the seminiferous tubules. Cytogenetics of CIS is important to understand the progression of CIS to invasive tumor and to shed light on the pathogenesis of TGCTs.

In two cases, one seminoma (SE) and one nonseminomatous TGCT (NS), we succeeded in karyotyping both the invasive tumor and the CIS in the surrounding parenchyma.

CASE REPORTS
Case I
A 40-year-old patient presented with a mass in his right testis. Histologic examination of the orchiectomy specimen showed a NS with the following components: embryonal carcinoma, immature teratoma, mature teratoma, and yolk sac tumor. The parenchyma adjacent to the tumor contained CIS. Remarkably, in one of the seminiferous tubules a trophoblastic giant cell was found in continuity with the CIS.

Case II
A 30-year-old patient presented with a mass in his right testis. Histologic examination of the orchiectomy specimen showed a SE with scattered trophoblastic giant cells. The parenchyma was largely atrophic. Within the seminiferous tubules there was extensive CIS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
In both cases, after frozen section diagnosis material from the tumor and the parenchyma was separately processed for karyotyping.

Short-term culturing and harvesting of the invasive NS and direct harvesting of the invasive SE were performed as described [12, 13]. To obtain CIS cells the method for direct harvesting of SE was also applied to the remaining parenchyma, surrounding the invasive tumor, which on light microscopy showed CIS.
Figure 1  Representative karyotypes of the CIS (a) and invasive NS (b) of Case I with the following karyotype
descriptions, a) CIS, 69,XX,−Y,−5,−6,+7,+8,−10,−11,+i(12)(p10)×2,−14,−18,−20,+21,+22,+22,+mar; b) NS, 66,
XXY,−5,−6,−10,−11,+i(12)(p10)×2,−13,−18,+21.
Figure 2  One of the two karyotypes of the CIS (a) and a representative karyotype of the invasive SE (b) of Case II with the following karyotype descriptions. a) CIS, 68,XY,-X,-1,-2,add(2)(q?),-3,add(3)(q27),-5,-5,-6,-8,-8,-8,-9,-10,add(11)(q14),+i(12)(p10),-13,-14,-14,-15,i(15)( q10),add(16)(q13),-17,-18,-18,+19, +add(19)( q13), +add(19)( q13),-20,-22,+mar1,+14mar; b) SE, 73,XXY, +add(1)(p13),-4,-5,+add(7)(q31),+8,der(9)(9;11)(p13;p11),-11,-11,+12,+i(12)(p10)x2,-13,+del(14)(q11q13),i(15)(q10),-16,add(16)(q13),-18,+19,-20,+21,+22,+2mar.
Table 1 Modal composite karyotypes of CIS and their invasive TGCTs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Carcinoma in situ</th>
<th>Invasive tumor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>67-69.XXY,—5,—6,+8,—10,—11,+i(12)(p10)x2, —18,—20,+21,+22[cp3]</td>
<td>61-91.XXY,+Y,—5,—6,—10,—11,+i(12)(p10)x2, —13,—18,+21,+22[cp10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Clonal structural abnormalities add(2)(q)? i(15)[q10] add(19[q13] mar1</td>
<td>62-73.XXY,+add(1)[p13],—3,—4,—5,+add(7) (q31),del(9)<a href="p13">p13</a>;p11),—11,—11,+12, +i(12)(p10)x2,—13,i(15)[q10],—16.add(16) (q13),—17,—18,+19,+21,+2—6mar[cp6]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chromosomes were GPC banded (G-banding using Pancreatine [Sigma, P3292, 0.1% in Hanks’ solution] and Giemsa). A modal composite karyotype has been created according to ISCN 1991 [14]. However, the karyotypic descriptions are expressed in relation to the triploid level, to make specific over- and underrepresentation of chromosomes, an important feature of the chromosomal pattern of TGCTs, better visible and comparable [15].

RESULTS
From Case I three abnormal metaphases could be analyzed from the CIS component and 10 from the invasive tumor (NS). The representative karyotypes of the CIS and its invasive tumor, respectively are shown in Figures 1a and 1b.

From the CIS component of Case II two metaphases of substandard quality were found. From its invasive tumor (SE) six metaphases were analyzed. Figures 2a and 2b show, respectively, one of the two karyotypes of the CIS and a representative karyotype of the invasive tumor.

Table 1 shows the modal composite karyotypes of CIS of Case I and the invasive tumors of both cases. Because of the substandard quality of the metaphases of the CIS of Case II no modal composite karyotype is presented. Only the clonal structural abnormalities in the two metaphases are noted.

Both cases of CIS revealed a peritriploid chromosome pattern; Case I (n = 66) and case II (n = 65; it was impossible to determine the exact chromosome number of the CIS component of Case II). The karyotypes of CIS and the invasive tumor of Case I show an identical modal number of copies of the chromosomes X, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, and 22. Moreover, they have an i(12p) as common structural abnormality. In Case II an i(15q) is present both in the CIS component and its invasive SE. An i(12p) chromosome, present in the invasive SE, might be present in one of the metaphases of the CIS (Fig. 2a).

DISCUSSION
Carcinogenesis of TGCTs starts early in life, probably in utero [1, 16]. Based on immunohistochemical and ultrastructural studies, CIS, derived from premalignant gonocytes [17-19], is supposed to be the precursor of all TGCTs of adolescents and adults except spermatocytic seminoma [1]. Cytogenetic investigations of CIS may support this view and are important to shed light on the pathogenesis of TGCTs and to understand the progression of CIS to invasive tumor.

In the two cases in which we succeeded in karyotyping both the invasive tumor and its adjacent CIS, we observed karyotypical similarities. In Case I, in CIS and its invasive NS, an identical number of modal copies of the chromosomes X, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, and 22, and i(12p) was found. Despite the substandard quality of the two metaphases of CIS in Case II, we found an i(15q) both in the CIS and SE. An i(12p) chromosome might also be present in both components. The karyotypical similarities between CIS and the invasive tumor in both cases demonstrate their clonal relationship and strongly suggest that we karyotyped the CIS that preceded the invasive cancer. As the testicular parenchyma adjacent to the tumor was free of invasive cancer, the abnormal metaphases harvested from the parenchyma must be derived from the CIS which was present in the seminiferous tubules. In our previous cytogenetic comparison of CIS with their invasive tumors (all NS) [11], no clear similarity was observed, except for two copies of i(12p) in both components in one case. It is conceivable that in these cases we failed to karyotype the CIS that was clonally related to the invasive tumor. CIS of the testis in general is very extensive [4] and sometimes heterogeneous [20].

In the present study the karyotypes of the two cases of CIS revealed a peritriploid chromosome pattern. This finding is in keeping with our previous cytogenetic studies [11], as well as with ploidy studies [6-9]. Polyploidization of a dysplastic germ cell precursor resulting in CIS is supposed to be an early event in the carcinogenesis of TGCTs ([21] for review). Noteworthy is the finding of an i(12p) in the CIS component of the three i(12p) positive invasive tumors (both cases in this study and Case 3 in our previous study [11]). This confirms that i(12p) formation is an early event in the oncogenesis of TGCTs (for review [15, 22]), although most likely preceded by polyploidization [23].

In conclusion, our results present for the first time cytogenetic evidence that CIS is clonally related to and is the precursor for invasive TGCTs.
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