Investigations with GMC2021 in experimental models predictive of antimigraine activity and coronary side-effect potential
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Abstract

Several acutely acting antimigraine drugs, including sumatriptan and other second generation 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor agonists, have the ability to constrict porcine carotid arteriovenous anastomoses as well as the human isolated coronary artery. These two experimental models seem to serve as indicators, respectively, for the therapeutic and coronary side-effect potential of the compounds. Using these two models, we have now investigated the effects of GMC2021 (3-[2-(dimethylanimo)ethyl]-5-[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]oxy[1H]indole oxalate, a close analogue of sumatriptan. GMC2021 (30, 100, 300 and 1000 \(\mu\)g \cdot kg\(^{-1}\), i.v.) decreased the total carotid blood flow by exclusively decreasing arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow; capillary blood flow to the skin and ears was moderately increased. The mean ± S.E.M. dose of GMC2021 eliciting a 50% decrease (ED\(_{50}\)) in the porcine carotid arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow was found to be 1.1 ± 0.3 \(\mu\)mol \cdot kg\(^{-1}\) and the highest dose (1000 \(\mu\)g \cdot kg\(^{-1}\)) produced a 67 ± 4% reduction. The carotid haemodynamic effects of GMC2021 were reduced by the selective 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor antagonist, GR127935 (N-[methoxy-3-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyloxy)-2'-methy-4'-(5-methy-2'-oxadiaz-3-y)-1,1-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide hydrochloride), which completely antagonizes porcine carotid haemodynamic responses to sumatriptan (ED\(_{50}\): 0.16 \(\mu\)mol \cdot kg\(^{-1}\), i.v.). Compared to sumatriptan (pD\(_2\): 6.12 ± 0.15, \(F_{\text{max}}\): 31.3 ± 12.3% of contractions to 100 mM K\(^+\)), GMC2021 was less potent in constricting the human isolated coronary artery (pD\(_2\): 5.45 ± 0.2, \(F_{\text{max}}\): 21.0 ± 4.8% of contractions to 100 mM K\(^+\)). The above results suggest that GMC2021 constricts carotid arteriovenous anastomoses partly by a 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor and partly by another, probably novel, receptor and that GMC2021 should be able to abort migraine headaches in patients, with perhaps a less propensity for coronary side effects.
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1. Introduction

Recent progress in the field of migraine has led to the introduction of sumatriptan, the first of a completely new class of compounds, designated as 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor agonists (for details, see Humphrey et al., 1990; Saxena and Ferrari, 1992). Sumatriptan, which effectively aborts migraine headaches (The Subcutaneous Sumatriptan International Study Group, 1991; Ferrari and Saxena, 1993), constricts large cranial and extracranial blood vessels (see Saxena and Tfelt-Hansen, 1993), including porcine carotid arteriovenous anastomoses (Den Boer et al., 1991a, 1992). The constriction of porcine arteriovenous anastomoses by sumatriptan is mediated via the 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor, because this effect is antagonized by methiothepin, but not by ketanserin (Saxena et al., 1986; Hoyer et al., 1994). Evidence is now emerging that this vascular 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptor may be identical to the recombinant 5-HT\textsubscript{1D}, most probably 5-HT\textsubscript{1D\(\alpha\)}, receptor. Thus, the mRNA for the 5-HT\textsubscript{1D\(\beta\)} receptor is present in cranial blood vessels (Hamel et al., 1993) and the vasoconstriction by sumatriptan, which has a high affinity for 5-HT\textsubscript{1D} receptors (Peroutka and McCarthy, 1989; Beattie et al., 1994), is antagonized by GR127935, a selective
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2. Materials and methods

2.1. Systemic and carotid haemodynamics in anaesthetized pigs

2.1.1. General

After an overnight fast, 12 domestic pigs (Yorkshire × Landrace; 10–15 kg) were anaesthetized with azaperone (160 mg, i.m.), midazolan hydrochloride (5 mg, i.m.) and metomidate (200 mg, i.v.), intubated and connected to a respirator (BEAR 2E, BeMeds AG, Baar, Switzerland) for intermittent positive pressure ventilation with a mixture of room air and oxygen. Respiratory rate, tidal volume and oxygen supply were adjusted to keep arterial blood gas values within physiological limits (pH: 7.35–7.48; pCO₂: 35–48 mm Hg; pO₂: 100–120 mm Hg). Anaesthesia was maintained with a continuous i.v. infusion of pentobarbitone sodium at 20 mg·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹. With this anaesthetic regimen, arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow is considerably higher than that in pigs in a conscious state or under thiopentone anaesthesia (Den Boer et al., 1993).

Catheters were placed in the inferior vena cava via the left femoral vein for the administration of drugs and in the aortic arch via the left femoral artery for the measurement of arterial blood pressure (P23 Dc pressure transducer; Statham, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico) and the withdrawal of arterial blood for determining blood gases (ABL-510, Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). The common carotid arteries, external jugular veins and vagus nerves were identified and both vagi and the accompanying cervical sympathetic nerves were cut between two ligatures. Another catheter was placed in the right external jugular vein for the withdrawal of venous blood samples, while the right common carotid artery was dissected free and a needle was inserted against the direction of blood flow for the administration and uniform mixing of radioactive microspheres. Blood flow was measured in the right common carotid artery with a flow probe (internal diameter: 2.5 mm) connected to a sine-wave electromagnetic flow meter (Transflow 601-system, Skalar, Delft, Netherlands). Heart rate was measured with a tachograph (7P4 Grass Instrument Company, Quincy, Mass, USA) triggered by electrocardiogram signals.

Arterial blood pressure, heart rate and carotid blood flow were continuously monitored on a model 7 Grass polygraph. During the experiment body temperature was kept at about 37°C and the animals were continuously infused with saline to compensate for fluid losses.

2.1.2. Distribution of carotid blood flow

The distribution of common carotid blood flow was determined with 15 ± 1 (S.D.) μm diameter microspheres labelled with either ¹⁴Ce, ¹¹¹Sn, ⁹⁵Nb, ¹⁰₃Ru or ⁴⁶Sc (NEN Company, Dreieich, Germany). For each measure-
ment a suspension of about 200,000 microspheres, labelled with one of the isotopes, was mixed and injected into the carotid artery. At the end of the experiment, the animal was killed and the heart, kidneys, lungs and the different cranial tissues were dissected out, weighed and put in vials. The radioactivity in these vials was counted for 5–10 min in a γ-scintillation counter (Packard, Minaxi autogamma 5000), using suitable windows for discriminating the different isotopes. All data were processed by a set of specially designed programs (Saxena et al., 1980), using a personal computer.

The fraction of carotid blood flow distributed to the different tissues was calculated by multiplying the ratio of tissue and total radioactivities by the total common carotid blood flow at the time of the injection of microspheres. Since little or no radioactivity was detected in the heart and kidneys, all microspheres trapped in lungs reached this tissue from the venous side after escaping via carotid arteriovenous anastomoses. Therefore, the amount of radioactivity in the lungs was used as an index of the arteriovenous anastomotic fraction of carotid blood flow (Johnston and Saxena, 1978; Saxena and Verdouw, 1982).

2.1.3. Experimental protocol

The experiments were started after a stabilization period of about 1 h. Thereafter, the animals were divided into two groups which received slow i.v. injections of either saline (5 ml; n = 6) or GR127935 (0.5 mg·kg⁻¹; n = 6) over a period of 3–4 min. After another 15 min, baseline values of heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, carotid blood flow and its distribution as well as arterial and jugular venous blood gases were measured. Subsequently, both groups of animals received cumulative i.v. doses of GMC2021 (30, 100, 300 and 1000 μg·kg⁻¹) every 20 min. Fifteen minutes after each dose of GMC2021, all haemodynamic variables were assessed again.

2.2. Human isolated coronary artery

As described previously (Bax et al., 1993), the right epicardial coronary artery was obtained (via the Rotterdam Heart Valve Bank, Bio Implant Services/Eurotransplant Foundation) from 5 'heart beating' organ donors (3 males and 2 females between the ages of 4 and 52 years with mean ± S.E.M. 35 ± 8 years), who died of cerebrovascular accident less than 24 h before the tissue was brought to the laboratory. Vessel segments containing macroscopically visible atherosclerotic lesions were excluded from the study and no attempt was made to denude endothelium.

Coronary artery segments (approximately 4 mm each) were suspended on stainless steel hooks in 15 ml organ baths containing Krebs bicarbonate solution (composition in mM: NaCl 118, KCl 4.7, CaCl₂ 2.5, MgSO₄ 1.2, KH₂PO₄ 1.2, NaHCO₃ 25 and glucose 8.3; pH 7.4), aerated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at 37°C. They were allowed to equilibrate for at least 30 min, with change of solution twice at a 15 min interval. Changes in tension were recorded with a Harvard isometric transducer. The vessel segments, stretched to a stable tension of about 15 mN, were exposed to 30 mM K⁺ twice. Subsequently, the functional integrity of the endothelium was verified by observing relaxation to substance P (1 nM) after precontraction with prostaglandin F₂α (1 μM). After washout, the tissue was exposed to 100 mM K⁺ to determine the maximum contractile response to K⁺. After another 30 min equilibration period, a concentration response curve to either GMC2021 or sumatriptan (n = 5 each) was constructed. Contractile responses to GMC2021 and sumatrip-

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>GMC2021 (μg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats·min⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>94 ± 2</td>
<td>93 ± 2 (−1 ± 0)</td>
<td>91 ± 2 (−3 ± 0)</td>
<td>90 ± 2 (−4 ± 1)</td>
<td>89 ± 2 (−5 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>96 ± 6</td>
<td>95 ± 6 (−1 ± 1)</td>
<td>93 ± 6 (−3 ± 1)</td>
<td>92 ± 6 (−5 ± 1)</td>
<td>90 ± 5 (−7 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>98 ± 3</td>
<td>94 ± 4 (−5 ± 1)</td>
<td>88 ± 4 (−11 ± 1)</td>
<td>79 ± 3 (−20 ± 1)</td>
<td>69 ± 2 (−30 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>102 ± 6</td>
<td>101 ± 7 (−1 ± 2)</td>
<td>99 ± 8 (−3 ± 4)</td>
<td>96 ± 8 (−6 ± 4)</td>
<td>91 ± 8 (−11 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriovenous difference in oxygen saturation (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>11.6 ± 2.7 (9 ± 10)</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.9 (14 ± 12)</td>
<td>14.6 ± 2.1 (47 ± 8)</td>
<td>18.9 ± 2.9 (102 ± 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 1.4 (7 ± 6)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.6 (8 ± 10)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.6 (4 ± 12)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.8 (25 ± 14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage changes from baseline in each variable is given in parentheses. All values have been presented as means ± S.E.M. * P < 0.05 vs. baseline; * P < 0.05 vs. corresponding dose in the saline-pre-treated group.
tan were expressed as a percentage of the contraction induced by 100 mM K⁺.

2.3. Ethical approval

The protocols for the two parts of the investigation were approved by the joint Ethical Committees of the Erasmus University Rotterdam and the University Hospital Rotterdam 'Dijkzigt', dealing with the use of animals and humans in scientific experiments.

2.4. Data presentation and statistical analysis

All data have been expressed as means ± S.E.M. The significance of the changes (from baseline values) induced by the different doses of GMC2021 was evaluated with Duncan's new multiple range test, once an analysis of variance (randomized block design) had revealed that the samples represented different populations. The changes caused by GMC2021 in saline- and GR127935-pre-treated groups at corresponding doses were compared by using a Student's t-test. Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05 (two-tailed). In the saline-pre-treated group, the dose of GMC2021 eliciting a 50% decrease (ED₅₀) in arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow was calculated using linear regression analysis.

2.5. Chemical compounds

Apart from the anaesthetics, azaperone, metomidate (both from Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium), midazolan hydrochloride (Hoffmann La Roche, Mijdrecht, Netherlands) and pentobarbitone sodium (Apharmo, Arnhem, Netherlands), the compounds used in this study were: prostaglandin F₂α (Tris salt) and substance P acetate (both purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA); GMC2021 (3-[2-(dimethylanimo)ethyl]-5-[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]oxy][1H]indole oxalate; Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Groningen, Netherlands), sumatriptan succinate, GR127935 (N-[methoxy-3-(4-methyl-1-piperazinyl)phenyl]-2'-methyl-4'-(5-methyl-1, 2,4-oxadiazol-3-yl)[1,1-biphenyl]-4-carboxamide hydrochloride (both gifted by Dr. Helen Connor, Glaxo Group Research, Ware, UK) and heparin sodium (Leo Pharmaceutical Products, Weesp, Netherlands) to prevent clotting of the catheters. GR127935 was solubilized according to the instructions of the manufacturer by heating the dispersion in distilled water to about 70°C and then allowing to cool down to room temperature. For in vitro experiments, all compounds (substance P, prostaglandin F₂α, sumatriptan and GMC2021) were dissolved in distilled water, while for in vivo experiments sumatriptan and GMC2021 were dissolved in physiological saline and all doses refer to the respective salts.

3. Results

3.1. Systemic and carotid haemodynamics in anaesthetized pigs

3.1.1. Systemic haemodynamics

As shown in Table 1, in the saline-pre-treated animals GMC2021 elicited a slight decrease in heart rate (maximum change: -5 ± 1%), but arterial blood pressure decreased more substantially (maximum decrease: 30 ± 1%).
While pre-treatment of the animals with GR127935 (0.5 mg·kg⁻¹) did not modify the effect of GMC2021 on heart rate, the effect on arterial blood pressure was significantly reduced (Table 1).

### 3.1.2. Arterio-jugular venous oxygen saturation difference

In the saline-pre-treated group, the arterio-jugular venous oxygen saturation difference increased significantly after the highest dose (1000 µg·kg⁻¹) of GMC2021.

#### Table 2

**Absolute values (mm Hg · ml⁻¹ · min⁻¹) of total carotid vascular resistance and its fractionation into arteriovenous anastomotic and capillary (nutrient) parts at baseline and after cumulative doses of GMC2021 in animals pre-treated with either saline (n = 6) or GR127935 (0.5 mg·kg⁻¹; n = 6)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>GMC2021 (µg·kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>300</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total carotid</strong> resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.08 (3 ± 1)</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.09 (7 ± 2)</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.11 (15 ± 5)</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.14 (29 ± 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.17 (1 ± 3)</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.22 (7 ± 8)</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.28 (13 ± 13)</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.29 (19 ± 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arteriovenous anastomotic</strong> resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.12 (10 ± 6)</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.14 (24 ± 6)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.22 (51 ± 15)</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.37 (125 ± 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.55</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.42 (9 ± 6)</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.54 (16 ± 7)</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.56 (22 ± 11)</td>
<td>2.10 ± 0.56 (35 ± 18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capillary (nutrient)</strong> resistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.66</td>
<td>4.43 ± 0.80 (−12 ± 6)</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.54 (−31 ± 5)</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.55 (−39 ± 4)</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.38 (−52 ± 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR127935</td>
<td>3.46 ± 0.40</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.37 (13 ± 5)</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.55 (−9 ± 8)</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.94 (1 ± 16)</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.85 (−4 ± 14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage changes from baseline in each variable is given in parentheses. All values have been presented as means ± S.E.M. *P < 0.05 vs. baseline; **P < 0.05 vs. corresponding dose in the saline-pre-treated group.
Pre-treatment with GR127935 antagonized the effect of GMC2021 (Table 1).

3.1.3. Carotid haemodynamics

As shown in Fig. 2 (absolute values) and Fig. 3 (upper panels; percentage change from baseline values), GMC2021 (30, 100, 300 and 1000 \( \mu \text{g kg}^{-1} \), i.v.) elicited a dose-dependent decrease in both the total carotid and arteriovenous anastomotic blood flows, but the total capillary fraction was increased. The \( \text{ED}_{50} \) of GMC2021 in decreasing arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow in the 6 saline-pre-treated animals was found to be 467 ± 128 \( \mu \text{g kg}^{-1} \) (1.1 ± 0.3 \( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1} \)) and with the highest dose (1000 \( \mu \text{g kg}^{-1} \)) the decrease amounted to be 67 ± 4%. In animals pre-treated with GR127935 (0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), where the baseline values of arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow were less than in saline-pre-treated animals due to its partial agonist action (see De Vries et al., 1996), the GMC2021-induced decreases in the total carotid blood flow and its arteriovenous anastomotic fraction were reduced (the decrease in arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow by the highest dose was 29 ± 8%) and the GMC2021-induced increase in the capillary blood flow was completely blocked (see Fig. 3; upper panels). In similar recent experiments, sumatriptan decreased the total carotid and arteriovenous anastomotic blood flows, but the increase in the capillary blood flow was not statistically significant (De Vries et al., 1996). The \( \text{ED}_{50} \) of sumatriptan in decreasing arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow was 63 ± 17 \( \mu \text{g kg}^{-1} \) (0.16 ± 0.04 \( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1} \)) and the highest dose used (300 \( \mu \text{g kg}^{-1} \)) decreased arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow by 76 ± 4%. In animals pretreated with GR127935, the effects of sumatriptan were substantially reduced (0.25 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or completely blocked (0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (De Vries et al., 1996; Fig. 3, lower panels).

The effects of GMC2021 on the total carotid vascular resistance and its fractionation into arteriovenous and capillary parts are shown in Table 2. GMC2021 increased the total carotid and, particularly, the arteriovenous anastomotic resistance, but decreased the capillary resistance. The effects of GMC2021 were much reduced (total carotid...
and its arteriovenous anastomotic fraction) or completely blocked (capillary resistance) in animals pre-treated with GR127935. The distribution of carotid blood flow to the head tissues in the saline-pre-treated animals is depicted in Fig. 4. GMC2021 did not significantly modify the fraction of carotid blood flow distributed to the brain, eyes, fat, muscles, bones or salivary glands, but skin and ear fractions increased significantly. The increase in skin and ears with the highest dose of GMC2021 were 97 ± 49% and 334 ± 87%, respectively and these effects were completely antagonized in animals pre-treated with GR127935 (Fig. 5).

3.2. Human isolated coronary artery

3.2.1. Effect of substance P and K +
Coronary vessel segments relaxed to substance P (1 nM) after precontraction with prostaglandin F2α (1 μM) by 63 ± 18% of the contractile responses to prostaglandin F2α. K + (100 mM) caused a mean contractile response of 33.7 ± 5.6 mN.

3.2.2. Effect of sumatriptan and GMC2021
As shown in Fig. 6, the human isolated coronary artery contracted to sumatriptan (pD2: 6.12 ± 0.15; Emax: 31.3 ± 12.3% of contractions to 100 mM K +) more potently than to GMC2021 (pD2: 5.45 ± 0.20; Emax: 21.0 ± 4.8% of contractions to 100 mM K +).

4. Discussion

4.1. Systemic haemodynamic changes
GMC2021 produced small decreases in heart rate (5 ± 1% after the highest dose of 1000 μg·kg⁻¹), both in saline- and GR127935-pre-treated animals. While it is recognized that this small change may not be drug-related, it has to be pointed out that a similar bradycardic effect has often been reported with sumatriptan (Feniuk et al., 1989; Den Boer et al., 1991a, 1992; De Vries et al., 1996); in similar experiments no changes in heart rate occurred after four consecutive bolus injections of saline (Den Boer et al., 1991a). The mechanism involved in the rather small decrease in heart rate by sumatriptan (or GMC2021) is not clear, but may be related to presynaptic inhibition of sympathetic neurons (Humphrey et al., 1988, 1990) or central 5-HT1A receptor activation (Dreter et al., 1989; Saxena and Villalón, 1990). However, in any case, bradycardia following the use of sumatriptan in patients seems to be of little clinical relevance (Saxena and Tfelt-Hansen, 1993).

In contrast to sumatriptan (Den Boer et al., 1991a), GMC2021 produced a decrease in arterial blood pressure. This effect of GMC2021 was reduced in animals pre-treated with GR127935, implying a novel 5-HT1D receptor-mediated mechanism, perhaps within the central nervous system. GMC2021 seems to enter the brain as suggested by hypothermia (+2°C) in the guinea-pig observed with this compound, but not with sumatriptan (K. Svensson, unpublished observations). Since the hypotensive effect of GMC2021 was not completely blocked in GR127935-pre-treated animals, a part of the action may be due to an agonist action on central 5-HT1A receptors; GMC2021 has a substantial affinity for 5-HT1A receptors (pK;: 7.40; K. Svensson, unpublished observations).

4.2. Carotid haemodynamic changes
GMC2021 (30, 100, 300 and 1000 μg·kg⁻¹) elicited a dose-dependent reduction in the total carotid blood flow, which was exclusively due to a decrease in its arteriovenous anastomotic fraction; the arterio-jugular venous oxygen saturation difference, however, increased significantly only with the highest dose. The effects of GMC2021 were qualitatively similar to, but less than those observed with sumatriptan in the same experimental model (Fig. 3; see also Den Boer et al., 1991a; De Vries et al., 1996). The ED50 of GMC2021 and sumatriptan in decreasing arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow were, respectively, 1.1 ± 0.3 μmol·kg⁻¹ (present experiments) and 0.16 ± 0.04 μmol·kg⁻¹ (De Vries et al., 1996). However, in contrast to sumatriptan-induced reductions in the total and arteriovenous anastomotic blood flows, which were completely abolished by 0.5 mg·kg⁻¹ GR127935 (De Vries et al., 1996), the effects of GMC2021 were only partially blocked (see Fig. 3). The partial blockade of the vasoconstrictor effect of GMC2021 cannot be explained by the decrease in the baseline value (see Table 2), due to the agonist action of GR127935 (Pauwels and Colpaert, 1995; Watson et al., 1995; De Vries et al., 1996). While this decrease in the baseline will tend to exaggerate the effects of GMC2021 expressed as percentage change from baseline, the effect expressed in absolute values (i.e. ml·min⁻¹) may in fact appear masked. Moreover, in the face of a similar agonist...
action, GR127935 (0.5 mg·kg$^{-1}$) did completely block the responses to sumatriptan, which was more potent than GMC2021.

Taking into account that sumatriptan (Peroutka and McCarthy, 1989; Schoeffter and Hoyer, 1989; Beattie et al., 1994), GMC2021 (K. Svenssson, unpublished observations) as well as GR127935 (Clitherow et al., 1994; Skingle et al., 1993; Pauwels and Colpaert, 1995; Watson et al., 1989) have high affinities for 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptors, our results suggest that the GMC2021-induced constriction of carotid arteriovenous anastomoses is partly mediated by 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptors, reinforcing the view that 5-HT$_{1A}$-like and 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptors may be identical. Although none of these compounds distinguish between 5-HT$_{1D\alpha}$ and 5-HT$_{1DB}$ subtypes, the presence of the 5-HT$_{1DB}$ mRNA, but not 5-HT$_{1D\alpha}$ receptor mRNA, in human and bovine cerebral arteries (Hamel et al., 1993) indicates that the 5-HT$_{1DB}$ receptor mediates contractile responses in cranial vessels.

The lack of complete blockade of GMC2021-induced porcine carotid arteriovenous anastomotic constriction by GR127935 may imply that, apart from a 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptor, another receptor is involved in this effect of GMC2021. Although the nature of this receptor is not known, it is interesting to recall that, unlike 5-HT or sumatriptan, the effects of ergotamine and dihydroergotamine on porcine arteriovenous anastomoses are also only partially blocked by the 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_{2}$ receptor antagonist, methiothepin (Saxena et al., 1986; Den Boer et al., 1991b). If, indeed, a novel receptor mediates the contraction of carotid arteriovenous anastomoses, it would obviously provide another avenue for drug development against migraine.

As reported earlier from our laboratory with sumatriptan (Den Boer et al., 1991a; De Vries et al., 1996), GMC2021 conspicuously increased blood flows to the skin and ears. Although these increases were not observed in animals pre-treated with GR127935, it may be argued that the dilatation of the skin and ear arterioles is an indirect consequence of the closure of arteriovenous anastomoses by GMC2021. On the other hand, Schoeffter and Hoyer (1990) have reported 5-HT receptors similar to the 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptor subtype mediate endothelium-dependent relaxations of porcine isolated coronary artery.

4.3. Human isolated coronary artery

GMC2021 contracted the human isolated coronary artery with a pD$_{2}$ of 5.45 and $E_{\text{max}}$ of 21.0% of contractions to 100 mM K$^{+}$. This effect was lower than that of sumatriptan (pD$_{2}$: 6.12; $E_{\text{max}}$: 31.3% of contractions to 100 mM K$^{+}$). It is known that the effects of sumatriptan on the human isolated coronary artery are mediated by a 5-HT$_{1A}$-like receptor (Connor et al., 1989; Bax et al., 1993), which may resemble the 5-HT$_{1DB}$ receptor subtype (Kaumann et al., 1994). In view of the lower pD$_{2}$ on coronary vessels as well as lower pK$_{i}$ value at the 5-HT$_{1DB}$ receptor of GMC2021 than the corresponding values for sumatriptan, it would seem that a 5-HT$_{1DA}$-like receptor is also involved in the coronary vascular effects of GMC2021.

4.4. Clinical perspectives

Over the years it has been shown that a number of drugs effective in aborting migraine headaches, including the ergot alkaloids, ergotamine and dihydroergotamine (Johnston and Saxena, 1978; Schamhardt et al., 1979; Spierrings and Saxena, 1980; Den Boer et al., 1991b), sumatriptan (Den Boer et al., 1991a) as well as several second generation 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptor agonists undergoing clinical evaluation in migraine (e.g., zolmitriptan, BMS-180048 and MK-462; Boulanger et al., 1995; Martin and Dixon, 1995; Saxena et al., 1996), are able to constrict carotid arteriovenous anastomoses, which may open up during the headache phase of migraine (see Heyck, 1969; Saxena, 1990, 1995; Ferrari and Saxena, 1993). It has recently also been found that sumatriptan can constrict dilated arteriovenous anastomoses in the human forearm (Van Es et al., 1995). Since GMC2021 constricted carotid arteriovenous anastomoses, we believe that this drug should also be able to abort migraine headaches in patients. The drug was pharmacodynamically less potent than sumatriptan, both in the experimental model predictive of therapeutic efficacy in migraine and in the model indicative of potential coronary side effects; the efficacy of GMC2021 and sumatriptan in decreasing porcine arteriovenous anastomotic blood flow were almost equal (67 ± 4 and 76 ± 4% by 1000 and 300 μg·kg$^{-1}$, respectively). However, a potential disadvantage of GMC2021 may be its hypotensive action, if also observed during clinical usage.

Further pharmacokinetic evaluation, particularly concerning the duration of action and the extent of oral absorption, two of the short-comings of sumatriptan (see Ferrari and Saxena, 1993; Saxena and Tfelt-Hansen, 1993), will dictate the future development of GMC2021. However, on the basis of experience with other triflated analogues, for example tetralin-based dopamine and serotonin receptor ligands (Sonesson et al., 1993, 1995), it may be expected that GMC2021 should have a good oral bioavailability and duration of action. Indeed, this last possibility is suggested by our preliminary observations that a single i.v. dose of GMC2021 elicited a biphasic reduction in porcine carotid blood flow (initial effect for 5–10 min followed by a later effect between 60 and 120 min).

In conclusion, the results of the present experiments show that GMC2021, a close analogue of sumatriptan, causes constriction of porcine carotid arteriovenous anastomoses (suggestive of therapeutic activity in migraine) and the human isolated coronary artery (possibly indicative of coronary side-effects). The constriction of arteriovenous anastomoses seems to involve a 5-HT$_{1D}$ receptors, but possibly also a novel receptor which could provide a new avenue for developing antimigraine drugs.
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