Quantitative Measurement of HBeAg in Chronic Hepatitis B: A Comparison Between a Radioimmunoassay, a Fluorescence ELISA and a Chemiluminescence ELISA
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The presence of the hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) in peripheral blood of chronic hepatitis B patients is a widely accepted marker of active replication of the hepatitis B virus. HBeAg determination during interferon therapy is a useful guide for the therapeutic regimen.

The aim of the study was to compare the suitability of an HBeAg radioimmunoassay (RIA, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL, USA), the IMx-HBeAg assay (IMx, Abbott Laboratories) and the HBeAg/anti-HBe Amerlite assay (Amerlite, Johnson & Johnson Clinical Diagnostics, Cardiff, UK) for semiquantitative monitoring of HBeAg during therapy. HBeAg levels in serum samples obtained before and during interferon therapy were measured using an in-house standard calibrated against the Paul Ehrlich Institute HBeAg reference preparation (PEI standard).

When serial dilutions of pretreatment serum samples were assayed by the three methods, radioimmunoassay was found to be highly sensitive although it had a very limited working range (0.5 to 12 PEI U/ml). A broader linear working range was observed for Amerlite (0.5 to 50 PEI U/ml) and the IMx assay (0.5 to 100 PEI U/ml). The intra-assay and interassay variations did not differ significantly. Since the IMx assay was less susceptible to sample variation and had a broad working range, semiquantitative measurement of HBeAg in one diluted and one undiluted sample by this assay may justifiably be introduced as routine procedure. Routine semiquantitative HBeAg measurement may improve individual dose adjustments and thus the success of interferon therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Several antiviral drugs and therapeutic regimens for treatment of chronic hepatitis B have been studied [Main and Thomas, 1990; De Man et al., 1991]. The aim of any antiviral therapy is to suppress viral replication, leading eventually to eradication of the virus. Therapy is monitored frequently by measuring hepatitis B virus DNA (HBV-DNA) by various hybridization methods. The DNA methods are expensive and usually require radioisotope facilities. Therefore, the measurement of an HBV-(antigen) marker by means of an ELISA-type method would be more convenient [Perrillo et al., 1993]. Hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg), which is a marker of active viral replication, is used to monitor antiviral therapy. HBeAg seroconversion to anti-HBe is generally considered as an indicator of transition to a state of viral latency accompanied by normalisation of aminotransferase levels [Perrillo, 1988]. HBeAg seroconversion reduces the risk of developing cirrhosis and decompensated liver disease [Fattovich et al., 1996].

In view of the serious side effects and the high costs of treatment, clinicians tend to prescribe antiviral therapy for a minimum period. In earlier studies on interferon treatment of chronic hepatitis B radioimmunoassay was used for semiquantitative determination of HBeAg [Schalm et al., 1986]. The data from this assay were of value in avoiding discontinuation of therapy just before HBeAg seroconversion [Janssen et al., 1992].

Recently, two new HBeAg assays which can also be adapted to quantify HBeAg have been introduced: the IMx system (Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, IL, USA) and the Amerlite-HBeAg/anti-HBe (Johnson & Johnson, Clinical Diagnostics, Cardiff, UK). The aim of the present study was to compare the suitability of RIA, IMx and the Amerlite methods for semiquantitative measurement of HBeAg levels during interferon therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Assay Systems

The Abbott (rDNA) HBe RIA is a sandwich radioimmunoassay in which beads are coated with human poly-
Results

Reference Preparations

A dilution series of the PEI standard reference preparation in FCS within the range of the three tests was chosen and assayed in the same run as a twofold dilution series of the IR (Fig. 1). The dilution curves for the PEI standard and the IR were obtained with the RIA and the IMx run in parallel. In the Amerlite assay, dilution characteristics of the PEI standard and IR sample diverged. In comparison to RIA and IMx, tenfold concentrations of the PEI standard and IR had to be used to obtain signals within the range of the Amerlite assay. Due to the choice of fetal calf serum, even extensively diluted samples showed a limited signal above the cut-off level in the Amerlite assay. Fetal calf serum was nevertheless used throughout the study to avoid the need for large quantities of human serum diluent. Furthermore, HBeAg seroconversion was established in undiluted samples to utilize the highest sensitivity of the assay.

Intra-Assay and Interassay Variation

For assessment of the reproducibility of each assay the IR was assayed six times within the same run and once in six different runs of each assay. There were no significant differences between the three assays. The intra-assay and interassay reproducibilities ranged from 2% to 16% (Table I).

Dynamic Range

Four serum samples with high levels of HBeAg were diluted in 4-fold, 5-fold or 10-fold steps and assayed by Amerlite, RIA and IMx, respectively (Fig. 2). For each assay the extent of the individual dilution step was obtained from pilot experiments which were intended to determine the minimal number of dilutions needed to select a fixed dilution for longitudinal observations during interferon therapy. From these curves the actual working range can be deduced. It was found that the lower and upper limits of the HBeAg level which can be detected were between 0.5 and 12 PEI U/ml for RIA, 0.5 and 100 PEI U/ml for IMx and 0.5 and 50 PEI U/ml for Amerlite.

In both the RIA and the Amerlite assay, increasing amounts of HBeAg gave rise to a prozone effect in some cases. The Amerlite assay had the greatest variation in patient-specific dilution characteristics.

Standardized Serum HBeAg Levels

In an attempt to standardize test results, pretreatment serum samples from 23 HBeAg-positive and HBV-DNA-positive patients and 22 samples obtained from six patients during therapy were assayed by each method. Within each run the IR panel was also assayed. A reference curve was constructed using the test results for the IR; by means of linear regression analysis and after correction for the dilution factor, the HBeAg level was expressed as PEI U/ml for each undiluted sample. Results varied from 23 to 3,609 PEI U/ml (median 1,080
TABLE I. Intra- and Interassay Variation of the Internal Reference (IR) Panel in RIA, IMx and Amerlite Assay*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal reference</th>
<th>RIA (S/N)</th>
<th>IMx (S/N)</th>
<th>Amerlite (S/N)</th>
<th>RIA (S/N)</th>
<th>IMx (S/N)</th>
<th>Amerlite (S/N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-assay</td>
<td>Interassay</td>
<td>Intra-assay</td>
<td>Interassay</td>
<td>Intra-assay</td>
<td>Interassay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>% CV</td>
<td>Mean value</td>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>% CV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* S/N, sample to negative control signal; S.D., standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.
Monitoring HBeAg Levels During Antiviral Therapy

A pretreatment serum sample was titrated for each patient using the dilution series determined for each assay to find the dilution factor which would produce a signal in the upper part of the working range of the assay under study. This fixed dilution was applied to a series of serum samples from that patient. Figure 4 shows representative results for three patients during a 16-week course of interferon. When the antigen level was no longer detectable in the standard dilution, undiluted serum samples were analysed.

DISCUSSION

Clinicians who administer antiviral therapy need a reliable, reproducible and easily applicable quantita-
HBeAg Quantification by Three Assays
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Fig. 4. A–C: HBeAg levels in serum samples from two responding and one nonresponding patient before, during and after interferon therapy. All samples from one patient were run simultaneously. Symbols: RIA, circles; IMx, crosses; Amerlite, x’s.

tive assay for HBeAg to monitor HBeAg levels. The three HBeAg assays described above could be adapted for use as semiquantitative assays. Standardization of test results was one of the objectives of this study. The PEI standard preparation and an internal standard that was calibrated in PEI units by comparison of the signal with that of the PEI standard were noted.

Dilution characteristics of all samples tested were very similar by the IMx. Nonparallel dilution curves were found for RIA and the Amerlite assay. An increase in signal upon dilution of serum samples with high initial HBeAg levels was common for both RIA and Amerlite but is not easily explained.

Several investigators have described heterogeneity of HBeAg in patient serum [Baba et al., 1986; Matsuda and Ohori, 1988]. HBeAg epitopes may be presented by three different proteins with molecular weights ranging from 16 kD to 20 kD [Campillo et al., 1992]. Furthermore, these proteins may be aggregated or be immunocomplexed.

For the three HBeAg assays, anti-HBe antibody sets of different origins (mouse monoclonal, human polyclonal) were used for the adherence of HBeAg to the solid phase and the conjugate. The Amerlite assay may be more susceptible to structural variations of HBeAg than the other assays because two different monoclonal antibodies with very specific epitopes are used. In addition, in Amerlite rDNA-HBeAg is added as assay reagent to allow the detection of anti-HBe in postseroconversion samples.

Therefore, the standard that must as yet be defined for standardization should be based on several sources of HBeAg to diminish at least to some degree sample (patient)-specific characteristics. This study showed that there is a wide range of HBeAg levels in sera. For longitudinal observations during therapy using all three assays, an extended initial dilution series is required to determine a signal in the upper part of the working range of the relevant assay. The dynamic range of the assay determines the number of dilution steps which covers the whole range of possible HBeAg levels in unknown patient samples. The smallest number of dilution steps was found for IMx followed by Amerlite and RIA. Simultaneous assessment of an undiluted specimen and a 1/200 dilution by the IMx assay may cover the widest range, i.e., from 0.5 to about 20,000 PEI U/ml.

The intra-assay variation for all three assays was less than 6.5%. The interassay variation ranged from 5% to 16%. For all three assays the results are satisfactory for longitudinal studies without repeating previous samples under the condition of strict adherence to the range of the assay.

Finally, it should be noted that these HBeAg assays were not designed initially for (semi)quantification. Quantification panels for Amerlite and IMx are still under development. Nevertheless, to help estimate viral activity during interferon therapy in a routine setting these HBeAg assays were adapted and compared. It is concluded that the two assays, IMx and Amerlite, are more suitable for semiquantitative measurement during therapy than the RIA since both assays have a wider dynamic range under conditions of comparable reproducibility. The IMx assay was found to be less susceptible to sample-specific characteristics which
limits the use of extended dilution series to exclude a prozone effect.
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