# Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

**Classification of Findings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlate Code</th>
<th>Correlate Name</th>
<th>Number of Studies on this Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S 10</td>
<td>SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1</td>
<td>Support career</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.1</td>
<td>Earlier support received</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.2</td>
<td>Change in support received</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.4</td>
<td>Later support received</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2</td>
<td>Current social support received</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.1</td>
<td>Amount of current support received</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.2</td>
<td>Sources of current support</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.3</td>
<td>Kind of social support received</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3</td>
<td>Attitudes to social support received</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.1</td>
<td>Perceived need for social support</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.2</td>
<td>Perceived availability of social support</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.3</td>
<td>Satisfaction with social support received</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 1: Happiness queries used

Appendix 2: Statistics used

Appendix 3: About the World Database of Happiness

Appendix 4: Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness

Appendix 5: Related Topics

Cite as: Veenhoven, R.: Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

World Database of Happiness. Internet: www.eur.nl/fsrw/research/happiness

Erasmus University Rotterdam, 2003, Netherlands
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

**Study**

**CRNIC 1984**

**Reported in:** K.A. Crnic, M.T. Greenberg, N.M. Robinson, A.S. Ragozin

**Population:** Mother-child pairs, followed 18 months after birth, USA, 1981-82

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** Attrition at T2: 10%, at T3: 14%

**N:** 105

**Measured Correlate**

**Class:** Earlier support received  
**Code:** S 10.1.1

**Measurement:** 2-item index of closed questions on the quantity of support from neighbourhood and community and the parent's perceived satisfaction with the support available. Satisfaction was rated on a 4-point scale, ranging from very satisfied to very dissatisfied (adapted scale from Henderson e.a., 1981).

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

**Happiness Query**  
O-QLS/c/sq/v/5/a

**Statistics**  
rpc=+

**Happiness:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support:</td>
<td>+.35 (01)</td>
<td>+.13 (ns)</td>
<td>0 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support:</td>
<td></td>
<td>+.13 (ns)</td>
<td>0 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**rpc controlled for:**

1. demographic covariates (age, education, number of children, receipt of public assistance, infant birth status (premature or full term));
2. stress
3. intimate support

T1: child 1 month, T2: child 8 months, T3: child 18 months
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**Study**

**ORMEL 1980**

*Reported in:* Ormel, H.

Moeite met leven of een moeilijk leven (Difficulty in living or a difficult life)

Dissertation 1980, University of Groningen, the Netherlands, publisher: Konstapel, Groningen, the Netherlands.

*Population:* 15-60 aged, general public, followed 12 month, The Netherlands, 1967-77

*Sample:* 

*Non-Response:* 18%

*N:* 296

---

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Earlier support received  
Code: S 10.1.1

*Measurement:* Obtained support rated bij the interviewer on a 3 point scale. Assessed at T2(1976)

*Measured Values:* 

*Error Estimates:* 

*Remarks:* 

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/c</td>
<td>r=+.20</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r=+.18</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>ABBEY 1985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported in:</td>
<td>Abbey, A. and Andrews, F.M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modeling the psychological determinants of life quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population:</td>
<td>Tranquilizer users, Detroit, USA, 1984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>Non-probability purposive-quota sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Response:</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:</td>
<td>675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Measured Correlate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class:</th>
<th>Current social support received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Code:</td>
<td>S 10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement:</th>
<th>Question on 'how much some one person loved, respected and was understanding the respondent'. 5-point scale ranging from 'not at all to a great deal'.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured Values:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Estimates:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-ACO/cw/mq/*/6/a</td>
<td>r=+.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness & Social Support: Received
Correlate Code: S 10

Study: CRNIC 1984
Reported in: K.A. Crnic, M.T. Greenberg, N.M. Robinson, A.S. Ragozin
Maternal stress and social support: effects on the mother-infant relationship from

Population: Mother-child pairs, followed 18 months after birth, USA, 1981-82
Sample: Non-Response: Attrition at T2: 10%, at T3: 14%
N: 105

Measured Correlate
Class: Current social support received Code: S 10.2
Measurement: 4-item index of closed questions on the quantity of support
sources of intimates (spouse, etc) and the parent's perceived
satisfaction with the support available. Satisfaction was rated on
a 4-point scale, ranging from very satisfied to very dissatisfied
(adapted from a scale developed by Henderson e.a., 1981).

Measured Values:
Error Estimates:
Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query: O-QLS/c/sq/v/5/a  Statistics: rpc=+  Remarks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness:</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support: T1:</td>
<td>+.37 (01)</td>
<td>+.37 (01)</td>
<td>0 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support: T2:</td>
<td></td>
<td>+.37 (01)</td>
<td>0 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support: T3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+.30 (05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rpc controlled for
1. demographic covariates (age, education, number of children, receipt of public
assistance, infant birth status (premature or full term)); 2. stress

T1: child 1 month, T2: child 8 months,
T3: child 18 months
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### Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reported in:</th>
<th>GORDO 1975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gordon, R.M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The effects of interpersonal and economic resources upon values and the quality of life.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unpublished PhD dissertation, Temple University, Pennsylvania, USA, 1975</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Population: | Undergraduate students, Temple University, Pennsylvania, USA, 1973 |
| Sample: | |
| Non-Response: | 346 |

### Measured Correlate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class:</th>
<th>Amount of current support received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Code:</td>
<td>S 10.2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement:</th>
<th>Direct question: &quot;I receive a lot of help, care and concern now&quot;. Rated on a 9-point scale ranging from 1='not at all, never, lowest' to 9='completely, always, highest'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Measured Values:

### Error Estimates:

### Remarks:

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-HL/g/sq/n/9/a</td>
<td>r=+.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rpc=+.28</td>
<td>rpc controlled for self perceived receipt of: love</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Below is a list of types of aid parents sometimes receive from their children. Please indicate how many times during the past year you received each kind of aid from all your children who no longer live with you.

a) Advice on a decision you had to make
b) Help during an illness
c) Financial assistance (gift or loan)
d) Gifts other than money
f) Help with some household task
f) Help with transportation

test at 220.221

Intergenerational Exchange and Subjective Well-being Among the Elderly
Lee, Gary R.

Population: 60+ aged, living near children, USA, Washington State, 1975
Sample: Probability simple random sample
Non-Response: 25.1%
N: 403

Measured Correlate

Class: Amount of current support received
Code: S 10.2.1

Measurement:

Below is a list of types of aid parents sometimes receive from their children. Please indicate how many times during the past year you received each kind of aid from all your children who no longer live with you.

- Advice on a decision you had to make
- Help during an illness
- Financial assistance (gift or loan)
- Gifts other than money
- Help with some household task
- Help with transportation

Measured Values: Mean 3.6 SD 3.3

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query Statistics Remarks
M-ACO/u/mq/n/4/a r=-.17 males

Beta=-.0 beta controlled for
- education
- marital status
- self rated health
- age
- number of children
- interaction
- aid given

r=+.02 females

Beta=+.1 beta controlled for
- education
- marital status
- self rated health
- age
- number of children
- interaction
- aid given
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>MITCH 1985</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported in:</td>
<td>Mitchell, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family helping behavior and the elderly: a two-dimensional approach.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free inquiry in creative sociology, vol. 13, 1985, p. 21-26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population:</td>
<td>65+ aged, with children, USA, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Response:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:</td>
<td>334 blacks, 1813 whites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measured Correlate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class:</th>
<th>Amount of current support received  Code: S 10.2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measurement:</td>
<td>Perceived active help received from (grand)children for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Running errands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Money matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1 = don't do, 2 = do. Total range = 3-6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measured Values:

Error Estimates:

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=+.22</td>
<td>p&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Study**  
**ORMEL 1980**

*Reported in:* Ormel, H.  
Moeite met leven of een moeilijk leven (Difficulty in living or a difficult life)  
Dissertation 1980, University of Groningen, the Netherlands, publisher: Konstapel, Groningen, the Netherlands.

*Population:* 15-60 aged, general public, followed 12 month, The Netherlands, 1967-77

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 18%

*N:* 296

---

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Amount of current support received  
*Code:* S 10.2.1

*Measurement:* Obtained support rated bij the interviewer on a 3 point scale. Assessed at T2 (1976)

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/c</td>
<td>r=+.20 p&lt;.01</td>
<td>T2 happiness by T2 support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r=+.18 p&lt;.01</td>
<td>T3 happiness by T2 support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Study**  
**SCHUL 1985A**

*Reported in:*  
Schulz, R. & Decker, S.  
Long term adjustment to physical disability: The role of social support, control and self-blame.  

*Population:*  
40+ aged, spinal-cord-injured, non-institutionalised, Portland Oregon, USA, 198?

*Sample:*  

*Non-Response:*  
4%

*N:*  
100

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:*  
Amount of current support received  
Code: S 10.2.1

*Measurement:*  
11-item index of closed questions, indicating how much support the subject got from up to 5 assisting persons.

*Errors Estimates:*  

*Remarks:*  

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

*Happiness Query*  
A-BB/u/mq/v/3/a

*Statistics*  
$r = +.42$

*Remarks*  

Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

Study
AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in:
Austrom, D.R.
The consequences of being single
Peter Lang Publ., New York, 1984

Population:
23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample:
Non-Response: 45%
N: 1038

Measured Correlate
Class: Sources of current support Code: S 10.2.2
Measurement: Factor analysis based on the following closed questions on problems over the past year:
1. having too many responsibilities
2. not having people you can depend on
3. too many demands on your time
4. having problems communicating with others
5. problems with children
6. problems with spouse/ex-spouse
7. conflicts with people who are close.
Rated on a 6-point scale: never / a few times a year / about once a month / a few times a month / once or twice a week / several times a week.

Measured Values:
Error Estimates:
Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query Statistics Remarks
O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a r=-.34 ALL Ss (married (including not formally married cohabitating Ss (considered as married)) and non-married):

β = -.01 after control for gender, age, household income, marital status, being in love, desire to change dating patterns or marital status, social support (1. instrumental: problems managing money, problems deciding how to spend money, not enough money to do things, unsatisfying job, not enough money to get by on; 2. expressive: no close companions, unsatisfactory sex life, dissatisfied with marital status, not enough close friends, having no one to show love/affection, feeling too dependent on others, not having children, having no one to understand problems) and satisfaction with: job and financial situation, friendships, love
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relationships and living situation.

NON-MARRIED Ss ONLY:

- males:  \( r = -.23 \)  \( \beta = -.13 \)
- females:  \( r = -.34 \)  \( \beta = +.05 \)

Beta's controlled for the same variables as above, except gender and marital status.
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Study: AUSTR 1984/2

Reported in: Austrom, D.R.
The consequences of being single
Peter Lang Publ., New York, 1984

Population: 23-59 aged English speaking, Toronto and Ontario, Canada, 198?

Sample: Non-Response: 45%
N: 1038

Measured Correlate

Class: Sources of current support Code: S 10.2.2

Measurement: Factor analysis based on the following single closed questions on problems over the past year:
1. not having a close companion
2. not having people you can depend on
3. not having a satisfactory sex life
4. communicating with others
5. dissatisfied with marital status (single, married)
6. not having enough close friends
7. not having someone who shows love and affection
8. feeling too dependent on others
9. not having children
10. not having someone who understands problems.
Rated on a 6-point scale: never / a few times a year / about once a month / a few times a month / once or twice a week / several times a week.

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query Statistics Remarks
O-SLW/u/sq/n/11/a \( r = -0.50 \) ALL Ss (including not formally married cohabitating Ss (considered as married)) and non-married:

\( \beta = -0.16 \) after control for: gender, age, household income, marital status, being in love, desire to change dating pattern or marital status, social support (1. instrumental: problems managing money, deciding how to spend money, not enough money to do things, unsatisfying job, not enough money to get by on; 2. interpersonal demands: too many responsibilities, no one to depend on, too many demands on time, problems communicating, problems with children, problems with spouse/ex-
spouse, conflicts with those who are close), and satisfaction with: job and financial situation, friendships, love relationships and living situation.

NON-MARRIED Ss ONLY:
- males: \( r = -0.49 \), \( \beta = -0.03 \)
- females: \( r = -0.58 \), \( \beta = -0.39 \)

Beta's controlled for the same variables as above, except gender and marital status.
## Study

**BANKO 1981**  
*Reported in:* Bankoff, E.A.  
Effects of friendship support on the psychological well-being of widows.  
*Population:* Widowed women in grief, USA, 198?  
*Sample:*  
*Non-Response:* 50%  
*N:* 447  
*Measured Correlate*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Sources of current support Code: S 10.2.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement:</strong></td>
<td>Direct questions on seven kinds of perceived support by three categories of friends:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Married friends

2. Widowed/single friends

3. Neighborhood friends

*Measured Values:*  
*Error Estimates:*  
*Remarks:*  Crisis loss phase: (Husband died less than 18 months ago. Ss reports to be still in intense grief. N=126)  
Transition loss phase: (Husband died 2-5 years ago. Ss report grieving to a limited extent. N=321)
### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/e</td>
<td>$r = +.16$ ns</td>
<td><strong>Crisis loss phase</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r = ns$</td>
<td><strong>Transition phase</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Crisis loss phase**: (Husband died less than 18 months ago. Ss reports to be still in intense grief. N=126)
- **Transition phase**: (Husband died 2-5 years ago. Ss report grieving to a limited extent. N=321)

When kinds* of support are considered separately only 'intimacy support' by married friends in the crisis loss phase is significantly related to happiness ($r = +.28$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$r = ns$</td>
<td><strong>Crisis loss phase</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r = ns$</td>
<td><strong>Transition phase</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When kinds of support are considered separately the following significant relations exist:

- In the crisis loss phase group, 'guidance' by widowed friends is related to happiness ($eta = +.25$, controlled for 'intimacy from married friends') and
- In the transition phase group 'intimacy' from widowed friends is related to happiness ($eta = +.15$, controlled for 'guidance from neighbors')

- **Crisis loss phase**
- **Transition phase**

When kinds* of support are considered separately in the transition group 'guidance' from neighbors is significantly related to happiness ($r = +.24$).

- Also in the transition group 'dependability' from neighbors is related to happiness ($eta = +.20$, controlled for 'intimacy from widowed friends' and 'Guidance from neighbors')

*The following kinds of support were considered:
- Guidance
- Approval for new social life style
- Social companionship
- Intimacy
- Attention
- Dependability
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Correlate Code: S 10

Study

BLAND 1990

Reported in: Blandford, A.A. & Chappell, N.L.
Subjective Well-being Among Native and Non-Native Elderly Persons: Do

Population: 50+aged, Winnipeg, Canada, 1985
Sample:
Non-Response: 15% Natives
N: 390

Measured Correlate

Class: Sources of current support Code: S 10.2.2
Measurement: Number of caregivers

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query: O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/d
Statistics: B = .58, p < .01
Remarks: Controlled by:
1. Male Sex
2. Married
3. Widowed
4. Age
5. Monthly income
6. Perceived health
7. Days in bed
8. Family size
9. Functional ability
10. Satisfaction with family relationships
11. Social contacts
12. Number of children
13. Number of siblings
14. Interaction of ethnicity with ability & marital status & days ill
**Study**

**LEVIT 1986**

*Reported in:* Levitt, M.J.; Weber, R.A. and Clark, M.

Social Network Relationships as Sources of Maternal Support and Well-being

*Developmental Psychology, Vol.22 no.3, 1986, p.310-316*


*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 20%

*N:* 43

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Sources of current support  
*Code:* S 10.2.2

*Measurement:* Kahn and Antonucci (1984) Network Questionnaire. With regard to child-care the mother was asked to indicate those who helps her to care for the baby on regular basis, who would be willing to babysit on short notice, whom she could turn to for advice about her baby, with whom she would be comfortable leaving her infant.

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=.03</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perceived child-care assistance from:
- Husband +.28  (05)
- Mother -.09  (ns)
- Father -.04  (ns)
- Siblings +.01  (ns)
- Other family members +.33  (05)
- Friends -.22  (ns)
- Children -.20  (ns)
- Mother-in-law +.08  (ns)
- Father-in-law +.16  (ns)
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

Correlate Code: S 10

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a  r=+.02  ns

Perceived child-care assistance from:
- Husband                     +.38  (05)
- Mother                      -.04  (ns)
- Father                      -.01  (ns)
- Siblings                    +.08  (ns)
- Other family members        +.20  (ns)
- Friends                     -.18  (ns)
- Children                    -.07  (ns)
- Mother-in-law               +.11  (ns)
- Father-in-law               +.02  (ns)
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Correlate Code: S 10

Study: LEVIT 1986

Reported in: Levitt, M.J.; Weber, R.A. and Clark, M.
Social Network Relationships as Sources of Maternal Support and Well-being


Sample:
Non-Response: 20%
N: 43

Measured Correlate

Class: Sources of current support
Code: S 10.2.2

Measurement:

1. Emotional support: yes/no response on whether spouse
   - is in whom she confides
   - reassures her
   - makes her feel respected
   - would care for her if she were ill
   - is to whom she can talk when she is upset, nervous or depressed.

2. Child-care support: yes/no response on whether spouse
   - helps on regular basis
   - would be willing to babysit on short notice
   - she could turn to for advice about her baby
   - she would be comfortable leaving her infant.

Measured Values:

Error Estimates:

Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query    Statistics    Remarks
A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a    r=+.42    p<.01
O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a    r=+.46    p<.01
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

**Study**

LEVIT 1986

**Reported in:** Levitt, M.J.; Weber, R.A. and Clark, M.

Social Network Relationships as Sources of Maternal Support and Well-being

**Developmental Psychology, Vol.22 no.3, 1986, p.310-316**

**Population:** Mothers of 13-months infants, Florida, U.S.A., 1984

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 20%

**N:** 43

**Measured Correlate**

**Class:** Sources of current support

**Code:** S 10.2.2

**Measurement:** Kahn and Antonucci (1984) Network Questionnaire. Respondents are confronted with a diagram of three concentric circles with herself in the centre. She is asked to place (by initial) in the inner circle those individuals who are "so close that it is hardly to imagine to live without them", "not quite so close but still very important" in the second circle and "not quite as close but still important" in the third. For the first ten persons listed mothers were asked to indicate those in whom she confides, who reassure her, who make her feel respected, who would care for her if she were ill, with whom she can talk if she is upset, nervous or depressed.

Response-categories yes/no.

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=-.13 ns</td>
<td>Perceived emotional support from:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Husband +.34 (05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Mother +.09 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Father +.06 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Siblings +.00 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Other family members +.02 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Friends -.34 (05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Children -.10 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Mother-in-law +.07 (ns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Father-in-law +.05 (ns)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Negative relationship with support from friends due to greater friend-support in bad marriages.
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

Correlate Code: S 10

\[ r_{pc} = +.17 \]
\[ r_{pc} \text{ controlled for satisfaction with spouse.} \]

Perceived emotional support from:
- Husband \(+.35 (05)\)
- Mother \(+.04 (ns)\)
- Father \(+.08 (ns)\)
- Siblings \(+.12 (ns)\)
- Other family members \(-.15 (ns)\)
- Friends \(-.32 (05)\)
- Children \(+.15 (ns)\)
- Mother-in-law \(-.02 (ns)\)
- Father-in-law \(-.02 (ns)\)

Negative relationship with support from friends due to greater friend-support in bad marriages.

\[ r_{pc} = +.17 (ns) \]
\[ r_{pc} \text{ controlled for satisfaction with spouse.} \]
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

**Study**

**BANKO 1981**

*Reported in:* Bankoff, E.A.

Effects of friendship support on the psychological well-being of widows.


*Population:* Widowed women in grief, USA, 198?

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* 50%

*N:* 447

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Kind of social support received  Code: S 10.2.3

*Measurement:*

Single direct questions about social support by friends (married friends, widowed/single friends and neighborhood friends):

1. **Emotional support:** "How much can you depend on your..... friends for support and comfort, when you are feeling down".

2. **Guidance:** "How much can you depend on your....friends for information and advice about what to do or who to see about problems you are experiencing as a widowed person."

3. **Approval for starting to lead an active social life:** "How much do your.....friends approve of you as a widowed person having (or wanting) an active social life."
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4. Social companionship:
- the frequency of recreation, entertainment or social activities.
- number of accompanying network members.

5. Intimacy: the frequency with which the widows have discussed their important personal problems.

6. Attention: amount of contact widows have had with their friends.

7. Dependability: the extent to which the widows felt they could depend on their friends in case of emergency.

8. Overall support

Measured Values:
Error Estimates:

Remarks: Crisis loss phase: (Husband died less than 18 months ago. Ss reports to be still in intense grief. N=126)
Transition loss phase: (Husband died 2-5 years ago. Ss reports grieving to limited extend. N=321)

Observed Relation with Happiness
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/e</td>
<td>Beta= ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase, Controlled for social companionship. When split up for support from different kinds of friends not any significant relation either.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta= ns</td>
<td>Transition phase, Controlled for social companionship and dependability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta= ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase, Controlled for social companionship and approval of lifestyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta=+.2 p&lt;.05</td>
<td>Transition phase, Controlled for social companionship, When split up for specific kinds of friends only guidance from widowed friends is significantly related to happiness in the crisis loss phase group. In the transition group only guidance from neighbours is related to happiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta=-.2 ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase, Controlled for social companionship.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta= ns</td>
<td>Transition phase, Controlled for social companionship, guidance and dependability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r=+.28 p&lt;.05</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r=+.22 p&lt;.001</td>
<td>Transition phase, When split up for support from different kinds of friends not any significant relation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta= ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase, Controlled for social companionship and approval of lifestyle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Transition phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Transition phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Crisis loss phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>-.1 p&lt;.05</td>
<td>Transition phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>.13 p&lt;.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>.07 p&lt;.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Findings on Happiness & Social Support: Received

Study

**PEIL 1984**

*Reported in:* Peil, M.
African urban life: components of satisfaction in Sierra Leone

*Population:* Adult, general public, urban areas (Freetown, Bo and Kenema), Sierra Leone, 1981

*Sample:* 5% (on some items up to 60%)

*N:* 640

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Attitudes to social support received  Code: S 10.3

*Measurement:* Direct question: "Is it important for people living in town to keep up contacts with their rural kin or is it better to concentrate on their family in town? Why?"

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-SLW/u/sq/l/7/a | DMt=       | Happiness level in Mt' (0-10):
|                 |            | rural: information males: 7.5 females: 7.2
|                 |            | help me: 7.0     help them: 7.3
|                 |            | norm: 6.7        other: 8.2
|                 |            | town: help: 7.6  demand: 6.9
|                 |            | unknown: 8.5     other: 7.1
|                 |            | males: 7.6       females: 6.8
|                 |            | 6.9             8.0
|                 |            | 7.9
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**Study**

**CHERL 1975**

*Reported in:* Cherlin, A. & Reeder, L.G.
The dimensions of psychological well-being. A critical review.
Sociological Methods & Research, 1975, vol.4, p. 189-214

*Population:* Adults, general public, Los Angeles County, USA, 1972-73

*Sample:*


**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Perceived need for social support  Code: S 10.3.1

*Measurement:* Closed question: 'During the past year did you ever feel that you could use some help in dealing with emotional problems or family troubles?'
never/ not very often/ sometimes/ often

*Measured Values:*

*Error Estimates:*

*Remarks:*

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

*Happiness Query*  |  *Statistics*  |  *Remarks*
---|---|---
A-BB/cm/mg/v2/a  |  r=.25  p<.001  |  Computed for 1973 data only.

Index of Positive Affects:  r = +.01 (ns)
Index of Negative Affects:  r = +.40 (001)
### Study

**BRIM 1974**  
*Reported in:* Brim, J.A.  
Social network correlates of avowed happiness.  
*Population:* Females Feminists and controls. Seattle, USA, 197?

### Measured Correlate

*Class:* Perceived availability of social support  
*Measurement:* 3-item index of closed questions: 1. Would ask him/her for the loan of a sizeable amount of money.  
2. Would risk personal danger to help her.  
3. Would pick her up at the airport late at night.  
The questions were answered for each social network member.

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| O-HL/c/sq/n9/a   | r=+        | Married females : r = -.05 (ns)  
Unmarried females : r = +.43 (007) |
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED  
Correlate Code: S 10

**Study**  
FORTI 1983

*Reported in:* Th. J. Forti, M. S. Hyg  
A Documented Evaluation of Primary Prevention through Consultation  
Community Mental Health Journal, 1983, p 290-304

*Population:* Catholic nuns, re-organized cloister, followed 4 years, Louisiana, USA, 1977-1981

*Sample:*

*Non-Response:* T1: 18%, T2: 14%, T3: 23%

*N:* T1: 146, T2: 154, T3: 137

---

**Measured Correlate**

*Class:* Perceived availability of social support  
Code: S 10.3.2

*Measurement:* Single closed question: 'Compared to other religious communities, how do you rate yours on the way sisters help each other?', rated on a 4-point scale: (1) Better than all; (2) Better than most; (3) About the same as most; (4) Not as good as most. (order reversed)

*Measured Values:*

*Error Estimates:*

*Remarks:*

---

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

*Happiness Query*  
O-DT/u/sq/t/7/a

*Statistics*  
r = +.22  
p < .01

*Remarks*  
Only assessed at T1
### Study

**HENLE 1967**

*Reported in:* Henley, B. & Davis, M.S.  
Satisfaction and dissatisfaction: A study of the chronically-ill aged patient.  

**Population:** 60+ aged chronically-ill, followed three years, USA, 1959-62

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 24% not available because of death, mental deterioration, unknown address or r

**N:** 167 (dropout at T2 46%)

### Measured Correlate

**Class:** Perceived availability of social support  
Code: S 10.3.2

**Measurement:** Question: 'If you were sick in bed at home for a short time, is there someone you could count on for help?'

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLL/g/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>G=+.35</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Study

**HENLE 1967**

**Reported in:** Henley, B. & Davis, M.S.
Satisfaction and dissatisfaction: A study of the chronically-ill aged patient.

**Population:** 60+ aged chronically-ill, followed three years, USA, 1959-62

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 24% not available because of death, mental deterioration, unknown address or r

**N:** 167 (dropout at T2 46%)

---

### Measured Correlate

**Class:** Perceived availability of social support  
**Code:** S 10.3.2

**Measurement:** Question: 'If you were sick in bed at home for a short time, is there someone you could count on for help?'

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

---

### Observed Relation with Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-SLL/g/sq/v/4/a</td>
<td>G=+.35</td>
<td>p&lt;.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Study: MAKAR 1962

Reported in: Makarczyk, W.
Factors affecting life satisfaction among people in Poland.

Population: Adults, general public, students and peasants excluded, Poland, 1960

Sample:
Non-Response: 5%
N: 2387

Measured Correlate

Class: Perceived availability of social support  Code: S 10.3.2

Measurement: Single question: ‘Can you count on other people in a crisis?’
0 no
1 yes

Measured Values:
Error Estimates:
Remarks:

Observed Relation with Happiness

Happiness Query  Statistics  Remarks
O-SLW/u/sq/v/5/a  T=.18  p<.001
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>HEADE 1981</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reported in:</td>
<td>Headey, B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Quality of Life in Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Indicators Research, 1981, Vol. 9, 155-181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population:</td>
<td>Adults, general public, Australia 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>Probability sample (unspecific)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Response:</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N:</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measured Correlate**

| Class: | Satisfaction with social support received Code: S 10.3.3 |
| Measurement: | Index of two single questions on satisfaction with: |
| | a: How fairly you get treated |
| | b: Respect and recognition you get |
| Measured Values: | Both items scored on 1-9 rating scale, summation by average. |
| Error Estimates: | M = 6.8 SD = 1.1 |
| Remarks: | Both items scored on the same rating scale as the question on happiness. |

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-DT/u/sqt/v/9/a</td>
<td>r=.53</td>
<td>p&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both items scored on the same rating scale as the question on happiness.
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

**Study**

**LEVIT 1986**  
*Reported in:* Levitt, M.J.; Weber, R.A. and Clark, M.  
Social Network Relationships as Sources of Maternal Support and Well-being  

**Population:** Mothers of 13-months infants, Florida, U.S.A., 1984

**Sample:**

**Non-Response:** 20%

**N:** 43

**Measured Correlate**

**Class:** Satisfaction with social support received  
**Code:** S 10.3.3

**Measurement:** Kahn and Antonucci (1984) Network Questionnaire.

The mother was asked to indicate on a 7-point scale her degree of satisfaction with the amount of help received from her:  
- husband  
- mother  
- father

Responses ranged from (7) "completely satisfied" to (1) "completely dissatisfied".

**Measured Values:**

**Error Estimates:**

**Remarks:**

**Observed Relation with Happiness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Happiness Query</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a</td>
<td>r=.38 (01)</td>
<td>Husband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-.09 (ns)</td>
<td>Mother</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+.01 (ns)</td>
<td>Father</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perceived help satisfaction from:

| Husband | +.41 (01) |
| Mother  | +.03 (ns) |
| Father  | -.23 (ns) |
Appendix 1  Queries on Happiness used in reported Studies

Happiness Query Code  Full Text

A-BB/cm/mq/v/2/a  Selfreport on 10 questions:

During the past few weeks, did you ever feel ....?" (yes/no)
A Particularly excited or interested in something?
B So restless that you couldn't sit long in a chair?
C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done?
D Very lonely or remote from other people?
E Pleased about having accomplished something?
F Bored?
G On top of the world?
H Depressed or very unhappy?
I That things were going your way?
J Upset because someone criticized you?

Answer options and scoring:
yes = 1
no = 0

Summation:
- Positive Affect Score (PAS): A+C+E+G+I
- Negative Affect Score (NAS): B+D+F+H+J
- Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS
Possible range: -5 to +5

Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance Scale' (standard version)

A-BB/cw/mq/v/4/c  Selfreport on 8 questions:

In the past few weeks did you ever feel.....?"
A Pleased about having accomplished something
B Upset because someone criticized you
C Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done
D That things are going your way
E So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
F Unhappy or depressed
G Particularly interested in something
H Lonely and remote from other people

Response options:
0 not at all
1 sometimes
2 often
3 very often

Scoring: a = 0........d = 3

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on A, C, D, G
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on B, E, F, H
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Name: Bradburn's Affect Balance Scale (variant)

Selfreport on 9 questions:

"We are interested in the way people are feeling these days. The following list describes some of the ways people feel at different times. Please indicate how often you felt each way during the last week.

"How often last week did you feel .....?"

A  On the top of the world
B  Very lonely or remote from other people
C  Particularly excited or interested in something
D  Depressed or very unhappy
E  Pleased about having accomplished something
F  Bored
G  Proud because someone complimented you on something you had done
H  So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
I  Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why

Answer options:
0  not at all
1  once
2  several times
3  often

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on A, C, E, G
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on B, D, F, H, I
Affect Balance Score (ABS):  PAS minus NAS

Possible range:  -15 tot +12

Name: Bradburn's `Affect Balance Scale' (modified version)

Selfreport on 8 questions:

"Here is a list that describes some of the ways people feel at different times. How often do you feel each of these ways?"

A  Very lonely or remote from other people
B  Depressed or very unhappy
C  Bored
D  So restless you couldn't sit long in a chair
E  Vaguely uneasy about something without knowing why
F  On top of the world
G  Particularly excited or interested in something
H  Pleased about having accomplished something
Answer options:
0 never
1 sometimes
2 often

Summation:
Positive Affect Score (PAS): summed scores on F, G, H
Negative Affect Score (NAS): summed scores on A, B, C, D, E
Affect Balance Score (ABS): PAS minus NAS

Possible range -10 to +6 (transformed to ridits (0 - 1))

Name: Bradburn's 'Affect Balance Scale' (modified version)

 Selfreport on 5 questions, repeated every week during 24 weeks:

M-ACO/cw/mq/*/6/a

A. "How do you feel about your life as a whole?"
   1 terrible
   2
   3
   4
   5
   6
   7 delighted

B. "Taking all things together, how would you say things are these days? Would you say you are........?"
   1 not too happy
   2 pretty happy
   3 very happy

C. "To what extent has your life as a whole been what you wanted it to be?"
   1 not at all,
   2
   3
   4
   5

D. "How much have you really enjoyed your life as a whole?"
   1 not at all,
   2
   3
   4
   5 a great deal

E. "How much has your life as a whole made you feel emotionally upset?"
   1 not at all
   2
   3
   4
   5 a great deal
Findings on Happiness & Social Support: RECEIVED

Summation: Average

Selfreport on 6 questions:

A. On the whole, life gives me a lot of pleasure
B. On the whole, I am very satisfied with my life today
C. Things are getting just worse for me as I get older
D. All in all, I find a great deal of happiness today
E. I have a lot to be sad about
F. Nothing ever turn out for me the way I want it

Scoring
4  strongly agree
3
2
1  strongly disagree

Computation: simple addition. Possible range 6-24

Selfreport on single question:

"Which face comes closest to expressing feeling about life as a whole?".
7 delighted
6 very satisfying
5 satisfying
4 mixed
3 dissatisfying
2 very dissatisfying
1 terrible
- No opinion

Name: Andrews & Withey's "Delighted-Terrible Scale" (modified version)

Selfreport on single question asked twice

'How do you feel about your life as a whole?'
1  terrible
2 very unhappy
3  unhappy
4 mostly dissatisfied
5 mixed feelings
6 mostly satisfied
7 pleased
8  very pleased
9 delighted

Selfreport on single question:

"Taken all things together, how would you say things are these days? Please put a circle around the appropriate number to indicate how happy you are these days.....?"
9 very happy
Findings on Happiness & SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED

5 pretty happy
4
3
2
1 not too happy

(originally rated on a horizontal scale)

O-HL/g/sq/n/9/a Selfreport on single question:

"Generally, how happy are you.....?"
1 not at all
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 completely

(Originally presented on a horizontal line scale)

O-QLS/c/sq/v/5/a Selfreport on single question:

"When you take everything into consideration, your child, your adult life, etc, how would you describe your current life situation.....?"
1 things are very bad right now
2
3
4
5 things are very good

(Response options not fully reported)

O-SLL/g/sq/v/4/a Selfreport on single question:

"In general, how satisfied are you with your way of life.......?"
4 quite satisfied
3 fairly satisfied
2 sometimes dissatisfied
1 usually dissatisfied

O-SLu/c/sq/v/5/d Selfreport on single question:

"How would you describe your satisfaction with life in general at present......?"
1 bad
2 poor
3 fair
4 good
1 excellent

O-SLW/c/sq/n/7/a Selfreport on single question:
"We have talked about various parts of your life, now I want to ask you about your life as a whole. How satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days.....?"

7  completely satisfied
6
5
4  neutral
3
2
1  completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

"How do you feel about your life as a whole?"
Rated on a seven step quality of life ladder was marked with a smiling face and marked "GOOD'. The bottom marked with a frowning face and the word 'BAD'
[ 7 ] Good, picture of smiling face
[ 6 ]
[ 5 ]
[ 4 ]
[ 3 ]
[ 2 ]
[ 1 ] Bad, picture of frowning face
(Pictures not reproduced here)

Selfreport on single question:

"All things considered, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your life as a whole?"
10  completely satisfied
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0  completely dissatisfied

Selfreport on single question:

"On the whole, are you satisfied with your life.....?"
5  definitely yes
4  rather yes
3  don't know
2  rather no
1  definitely no
-  no reply

On the web you will find an overview of valid queries on happiness and an explanation of the classification used. Go to: www.eur.nl/fsw/research/happiness/hap_quer/hqi_fp.htm. This is the introductory text to the Catalog of Happiness Queries.
## Appendix 2  Statistics used in reported studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| B      | REGRESSION COEFFICIENT (non-standardized)  
Type: test statistic  
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric  
Theoretical range: unlimited  
Meaning:  
\( B > 0 \) « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.  
\( B < 0 \) « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.  
\( B = 0 \) « not any correlation with the relevant correlate. |
| Beta   | (B) STANDARDIZED REGRESSION COEFFICIENT  
Type: test statistic.  
Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happinessl: metric.  
Range: [-1 ; +1]  
Meaning:  
\( \beta > 0 \) « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, higher happiness rating.  
\( \beta < 0 \) « a higher correlate level corresponds with, on an average, lower happiness rating.  
\( \beta = 0 \) « no correlation.  
\( \beta = +1 \) or -1 « perfect correlation. |
| DMt    | DIFFERENCE of MEANS AFTER TRANSFORMATION  
Type: descriptive statistic only.  
Measurement level: Correlate: dichotomous, Happiness: metric  
Theoretical range: [-10; +10]  
Meaning: the difference of the mean happiness (happiness measured at a 0-10 rating scale) between the two correlate levels. |
| G      | GOODMAN & Kruskal's GAMMA  
Type: test statistic  
Measurement level: Correlate: ordinal, Happinessl: ordinal  
Range: [-1; +1]  
Meaning:  
\( G = 0 \) « no rank correlation  
\( G = +1 \) « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness ratings.  
\( G = -1 \) « strongest possible rank correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness ratings. |
| r      | PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENT (Also "Pearson's correlation coefficient" or simply 'correlation coefficient')  
Type: test statistic.  
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric  
Range: [-1; +1] |
Meaning:
r = 0 « no correlation ,
r = 1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with high happiness values, and
r = -1 « perfect correlation, where high correlate values correspond with low happiness values.

R²  COEFFICIENT of DETERMINATION
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlates: all metric, Happiness: metric
Range: [0; 1]

Meaning:
R² = 0 « no influence of any correlate in this study has been established.
R² = 1 « the correlates determine the happiness completely.

rpc  PARTIAL CORRELATION COEFFICIENT
Type: test statistic
Measurement level: Correlate: metric, Happiness: metric
Range: [-1; +1]

Meaning: a partial correlation between happiness and one of the correlates is that correlation, which remains after accounting for the contribution of the other influences, or some of them, to the total variability in the happiness scores.
Under that conditions
rpc > 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with a higher happiness rating,
rpc < 0 « a higher correlate level corresponds with a lower happiness rating,

T  TSCHUPROW’S T
Type: test statistic.
Measurement level: Correlate: nominal, Happiness: ordinal
Range: [0 ; SQRT[[min(r,c)-1]/[max(r,c) -1]], c and r being the numbers of columns resp. rows in a cross tabulation.

Meaning:
T = 0 « no association
T -> 1 « strongest possible association.

NOTE: sometimes the square value is reported instead!

On the web you will find a text explaining the statistics used in more detail. Go to: www.eur.nl/fsw/research/happiness/hap_cor/cor_fp.htm. This is the introductory text to the Catalog of Correlational Findings. An overview of all statistics is in chapter 4.
**Appendix 3: About the World Database of Happiness**

The World Database of Happiness is an ongoing register of scientific research on subjective appreciation of life. It brings together findings that are scattered throughout many studies and provides a basis for synthetic analysis. The research literature is processed as follows:

- **Literature on happiness**
  - **Selection on subject**
  - **Bibliography (1)**
  - **Directory (2)**
  - **Selection of empirical studies**
  - **Happiness Queries (3)**
    - **Testbank**
    - **Abstracting and classification of findings**
  - **Distributional Findings**
    - How happy people are
  - **Correlational Findings**
    - What goes with happiness
  - **o Happiness in Nations (4)**
  - **o Happiness in Publics (6)**


2. **DIRECTORY OF INVESTIGATORS** Names and addresses of most authors on the subject. Enumerates years of publication. Current contents: 5818 names and 3073 addresses. Part of Bibliography.

3. **CATALOG OF HAPPINESS QUERIES** (testbank) Presents all indicators that tap happiness as defined here. Current content: 522 measures, mostly single questions. Queries are classified by focus, time reference, mode of observation, rating and wording.


5. **CATALOG OF HAPPINESS IN PUBLICS** Distributional findings on happiness in special publics within nations, such as aged people. Current contents: 705 studies. Part of Catalog of Correlational Findings.

## Appendix 4  Further Findings in the World Database of Happiness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Category's</th>
<th>Category Name</th>
<th>Number of Studies in this Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A 1</td>
<td>ACTIVITY LEVEL (how much one does)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 2</td>
<td>ACTIVITY PATTERN (what one does)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 3</td>
<td>AFFECTIVE LIFE</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 4</td>
<td>AGE</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 5</td>
<td>AGGRESSION</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 6</td>
<td>ANOMY</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 7</td>
<td>APPEARANCE (good looks)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 8</td>
<td>ATTITUDES</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 9</td>
<td>AUTHORITARIANISM</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3</td>
<td>BODY</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 1</td>
<td>CHILDREN 1:</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 10</td>
<td>CREATIVENESS</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 11</td>
<td>CULTURE (Arts and Sciences)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 2</td>
<td>CHILDREN: WANT FOR (Parental aspirations)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 3</td>
<td>CHILDREN: HAVING (parental status)</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 4</td>
<td>CHILDREN's CHARACTERISTICS</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 5</td>
<td>CHILDREN: RELATION WITH</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 6</td>
<td>CHILDREN: REARING (parental behavior)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 7</td>
<td>COMMUNAL LIVING</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 8</td>
<td>CONCERNS</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 9</td>
<td>COPING</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 1</td>
<td>DAILY JOYS &amp; HASSLES</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 1</td>
<td>EDUCATION</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 2</td>
<td>EMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3</td>
<td>ETHNICITY</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 4</td>
<td>EXPRESSIVE BEHAVIOR</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 1</td>
<td>FAMILY OF ORIGIN (earlier family for adults, current for young)</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 2</td>
<td>FAMILY OF PROCREATION</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 3</td>
<td>FAMILY OF RELATIVES</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 4</td>
<td>FARMING</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 5</td>
<td>FREEDOM</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 6</td>
<td>FRIENDSHIP</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 1</td>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G 2</td>
<td>GRIEF</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 10</td>
<td>HOPE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 11</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: COMPOSITION</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 12</td>
<td>HOUSEHOLD: WORK</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 13</td>
<td>HOUSING</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 2</td>
<td>HANDICAP</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 3</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: ATTITUDES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 5</td>
<td>HAPPINESS CAREER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 6</td>
<td>HAPPINESS: CURRENT LEVEL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 8</td>
<td>HEALTH-BEHAVIOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 9</td>
<td>HELPING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 1</td>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 2</td>
<td>INSTITUTIONAL LIVING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 3</td>
<td>INTELLIGENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 4</td>
<td>INTERESTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 5</td>
<td>INTERVIEW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 6</td>
<td>INTIMACY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1</td>
<td>LANGUAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 10</td>
<td>LOCAL ENVIRONMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 11</td>
<td>LOTTERY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 12</td>
<td>LOVE-LIFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 2</td>
<td>LEADERSHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 3</td>
<td>LEISURE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 4</td>
<td>LIFE APPRAISALS: OTHER THAN HAPPINESS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 5</td>
<td>LIFE-CHANGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 6</td>
<td>LIFE-EVENTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 7</td>
<td>LIFE-GOALS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 8</td>
<td>LIFE HISTORY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 9</td>
<td>LIFE STYLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 1</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: MARITAL STATUS CAREER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 10</td>
<td>MIGRATION: MIGRANT WORK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 11</td>
<td>MILITARY LIFE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 12</td>
<td>MODERNITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 13</td>
<td>MOOD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 2</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: CURRENT MARITAL STATUS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 3</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 4</td>
<td>MARRIAGE: PARTNER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 5</td>
<td>MEANING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 6</td>
<td>MEDICAL TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 7</td>
<td>MENTAL HEALTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 8</td>
<td>MIGRATION: OTHER COUNTRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 9</td>
<td>MIGRATION: MOVING WITHIN COUNTRY (residential mobility)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 1</td>
<td>NATIONALITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 2</td>
<td>NATION: TIME &amp; PLACE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 3</td>
<td>NATIONAL CHARACTER (modal personality)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 4</td>
<td>NATION'S CONDITION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 6</td>
<td>ATTITUDES TO THE NATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 7</td>
<td>LIVABILITY OF THE NATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 8</td>
<td>NUTRITION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O 1</td>
<td>OCCUPATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O 2</td>
<td>ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 1</td>
<td>PERSONALITY: HISTORY</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 10</td>
<td>POSSESSIONS</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 12</td>
<td>PROBLEMS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 13</td>
<td>PSYCHO-SOMATIC COMPLAINTS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 2</td>
<td>PERSONALITY: CHANGE</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 3</td>
<td>PERSONALITY: CURRENT ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 4</td>
<td>PERSONALITY: CURRENT TRAITS</td>
<td>392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 5</td>
<td>PERSONALITY: LATER</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 6</td>
<td>PHYSICAL HEALTH</td>
<td>286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 7</td>
<td>PLANNING</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 8</td>
<td>POLITICS</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 9</td>
<td>POPULARITY</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 1</td>
<td>RELIGION</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 2</td>
<td>RESOURCES</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 3</td>
<td>RETIREMENT</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 4</td>
<td>ROLES</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 1</td>
<td>SCHOOL</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10</td>
<td>SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 11</td>
<td>SOCIAL SUPPORT: Provided</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 12</td>
<td>SPORTS</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 13</td>
<td>STIMULANTS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 14</td>
<td>SUICIDE</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 15</td>
<td>SUMMED EFFECTS ON HAPPINESS</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 2</td>
<td>SELF-IMAGE</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 3</td>
<td>SEX-LIFE</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 4</td>
<td>SLEEP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 5</td>
<td>SOCIAL MOBILITY</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 6</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION: PERSONAL CONTACTS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 7</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION: VOLUNTARY ASSOCIATIONS</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 8</td>
<td>SOCIAL PARTICIPATION: TOTAL (personal + associations)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 9</td>
<td>SOCIAL STATUS (Socio-Economic Status)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1</td>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>THERAPY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 3</td>
<td>TOLERANCE</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 1</td>
<td>VALUE CAREER</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 2</td>
<td>VALUES: CURRENT PREFERENCES (own)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 3</td>
<td>VALUES: CLIMATE (current values in environment)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 4</td>
<td>VALUES: SIMILARITY (current fit with others)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V 5</td>
<td>VICTIM</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 1</td>
<td>WAR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 2</td>
<td>WORK CAREER</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 3</td>
<td>WORK CONDITIONS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 4</td>
<td>WORK-ATTITUDES</td>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 5</td>
<td>WORK-PERFORMANCE (current)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W 6</td>
<td>WORRIES</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>UNCLASSIFIED</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 5 Related Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This Topic</th>
<th>Related Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Classification Page 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>In Subject List on Appendix 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10 SOCIAL SUPPORT: RECEIVED</td>
<td>S 11 SOCIAL SUPPORT: Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1 Support career</td>
<td>I 6 INTIMACY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.1 Earlier support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.2 Change in support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.1.4 Later support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2 Current social support received</td>
<td>M 3.2.4 Mutual support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.1 Amount of current support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.2 Sources of current support</td>
<td>P 12 PROBLEMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.2.3 Kind of social support received</td>
<td>M 7.5.1 Perceived need for treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3 Attitudes to social support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.1 Perceived need for social support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.2 Perceived availability of social support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 10.3.3 Satisfaction with social support received</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

End of Report