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I. L. Bonta¹ and S. Ben-Efraim²
¹Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, and ²Department of Human Microbiology, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

(Received 31 March 1990; accepted 3 June 1990)

1. Summary

Antitumor properties and participation in inflammatory events are important characteristics of activated macrophages. We show here that both antitumor cytostatic function of macrophages and participation of these cells at inflammatory sites are controlled by two main groups of mediators: cytokines (IL-1, TNFα) and eicosanoids (prostanoids and leukotrienes). These two groups of mediators represent a complex system of mutual interactions in regulation of their production and activities.

Multiple sets of experiments with murine macrophages are discussed in favor of the views that PGE₂ and lipoxygenase products oppose each other's actions, and that the regulating role of PGE₂ in the secretions of cytokines are of pivotal importance in antitumor cytostasis of macrophages in vitro. Such observations can be extended to a situation ex vivo, showing that human macrophages harvested from inflammatory sites have markedly augmented cytostatic expression. It thus appears that the antitumor cytostatic function of macrophages is related to the production of inflammatory mediators by these cells. Accordingly, it might be that occurrence of inflammation in tumor-bearing individuals plays a role in the promotion of antitumor activity of macrophages.

Key words: Macrophages; Antitumor cytostasis; Mediator of inflammation; Eicosanoid; Cytokine

Correspondence to: I. L. Bonta, Dept. of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Erasmus University, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands

2. Introduction

The concept that phagocytic cells play a role in the defense of the organism against foreign intruders was first elaborated by Metchnikoff in 1901 [1]. Later [2], Metchnikoff claimed also that macrophages are activated in response to an inflammatory challenge. Such activated macrophages were found to exhibit high antitumor cytotoxic response [3].

Extensive work was done with the aim to determine the mechanism(s) of macrophage activation. A recent review [4] summarizes the data on cytotoxic activities of macrophages. The macrophage can react nonspecifically against a wide array of cells, including tumor cells. The antitumor potential of quiescent macrophages is hardly appreciable, but with activated macrophages the expression of cytostatic and/or cytocidal effects toward tumor cells is rather pronounced [3, 4]. Macrophage-mediated antitumor cytostasis can be defined as the inhibition of tumor cell division and can be distinguished from the cytocidal effect [4]. The antitumor function of macrophages may comprise two mechanisms, existing separately or concomitantly: (a) cell-to-cell contact between macrophages and tumor cells; (b) discharge of factors which attack target cells. Among these factors, interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor (TNFα) are now recognized as mediators of inflammation [5]. Furthermore, IL-1 is implicated in regulating the discharge of PGE₂ and of leukotrienes (LTs) [6], which are eicosanoid mediators of inflammation. The modulatory role of PGE₂ in opposing the effect of LTs on the involvement of macrophages in the inflammato-
ry process was proposed several years ago [7]. Similarly, interactions between eicosanoids and cytokines (IL-1, TNFα), contribute to the regulation of macrophage and monocyte functions [4]. Because the activation state of the macrophage is of pivotal importance for the role of this cell in both events (inflammation and defence against tumors), the mediators of inflammation which are involved in the activation of macrophages may constitute the link between the two events.

This minireview is based mainly on the data obtained in our laboratories during the last six years on the role of macrophage inflammatory mediators (cytokines and eicosanoids) in the expression of antitumor cytostatic activity, with special emphasis on the interactions between various macrophage products. This in view of the fact that cytokines and eicosanoids (leukotrienes and prostaglandins) regulate their own and each other's release. It is not within the scope of this article to discuss all the vast literature on the various activities of macrophages and/or inflammatory mediators. In this context, the reader is referred to extensive, detailed reviews published in recent years [4-6].

3. Eicosanoids and cytokines regulate their own and each other's release

Eicosanoids, products of arachidonic acid (AA) metabolism, feed back onto the macrophage and modulate their own release. The observation that IL-1 interferes with the release of lipoxygenase products [8], renders the situation even more complicated. Table 1 is an attempt to provide a guide in the complexity of events. The most salient points can be highlighted as follows. The earlier knowledge that the release of LTB₄ is enhanced by stimulation of calcium flux by A23187 and reduced by inhibitors of lipox-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Autoregulation of mediator release from macrophages.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Released product</td>
<td>Effect of exogenous compound on release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptidoleukotrienes* (LTC₄ or LTD₄)</td>
<td>IL-1 [8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTB₄*</td>
<td>A23187 [10, 11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE₂</td>
<td>LTC₄, LTD₄ [12, 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGL₃b</td>
<td>LTD [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A23187 [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxA₂c</td>
<td>LTD [12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A23187 [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL₁d</td>
<td>LTB₄ [16]; TNFα [18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPS [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indomethacin, piroxicam, ibuprofen [15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFαd</td>
<td>LPS [19]; low conc. PGE₂e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Basal level is below detection level; A23187-induced stimulation of calcium flux is used to promote release for investigating regulatory events. b Measured as the metabolite 6-keto-PGF₁α. c Measured as TxA₂. d LPS-stimulated release is used to measure regulatory influences. e < 10 ng/ml. f > 10 ng/ml. Inhibition of release of cyclooxygenase products by aspirin, indomethacin, etc., is textbook knowledge and omitted from this table.
ygenase, has now been extended with the observation that PGE₂ counteracts the discharge of lipox-
genase products. This effect of PGE₂ is mediated through elevation of intracellular cyclic AMP, which reduces the amount of free AA available to different enzymes, including lipoxynegase. Nonsteroidal anti-
inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), through removal of the regulatory effect of PGE₂, promote the release of lipoxynegase metabolites [9-11]. Furthermore, LTs were shown to promote the release of cycloo-
exynegase metabolites, PGE₂ included [12, 13]. In the view that PGE₂ would in turn inhibit the release of LTs [10], the action of the latter is a homeostatic self-
limiting process. PGE₂-induced elevation of in-
tracellular cyclic AMP and the mimicry of this event by exogenous dibutyril cAMP decreases not only the calcium flux enhancement of LTB₄, but also that of cyclooxygenase metabolites [14]. According-
ly, the eicosanoid secreting function of macrophages is positively related to the activity of the lipox-
exynegase pathway and in negative relation to the syn-
thesis viz. discharge of the cyclooxygenase metabo-
lite PGE₂: LTs enhance the release of eicosanoids (thus mimicking the effect of A23187), whereas PGE₂ suppresses this event. The concept of PGE₂

and lipoxynegase metabolites being each other’s op-
ponents in macrophage function takes on new dimensions in the light of recent findings which show that LPS-stimulated secretion of IL-1, itself a stimulator of PGE₂ production [15], is promoted by LTB₄ and LTD₄ [16]. NSAIDs were reported to en-
hance the discharge of IL-1, conceivably through removing endogenous PGE₂ [15]. But others showed that PGE₂ has no effect on IL-1 production, but interferes with the bioassay of IL-1 [17]. Besides the homeostatic negative feedback that involves ei-
cosanoids controlling their own release and their mutual interaction with the release of IL-1, it appears that there is a regulatory circle between cytokines as well. At least, TNFα was shown to enhance the release of IL-1 [18], whereas LPS stimulates the produ-
cion not only of IL-1, but also of TNFα [19]. Howev-
er, PGE₂ has a dual effect on TNFα secretion, low doses having a stimulatory influence and high concentra-
tions suppressing this event [20]. This would indicate that PGE₂ regulation of TNFα secretion is dissimilar to PGE₂ control of IL discharge. Howev-
er, the low concentrations of PGE₂, having caused increased production of TNFα were not examined for their effect on IL-1 secretion. Accordingly, a dual

Fig. 1. Solid arrows, stimulatory influences; broken arrows, inhibitory influences. (a) Lipoxygenase products either added exogenously to macrophages or produced in abundance following indomethacin treatment, enhance cytostasis. PGE₂ counteracts the release of lipox-
exynegase products and accordingly inhibits the cytostatic function. This effect of PGE₂ is mediated through elevation of intracellular cyclic

AMP which reduces the amount of arachidonic acid (AA) available to different enzymes, including lipoxynegase. Thus, PGE₂ reduces the release of LTB₄ and other leukotrienes. Indomethacin, through removal of PGE₂, promotes the synthesis of lipoxynegase products and accordingly enhances cytostasis. The indomethacin-enhanced cytostasis is counteracted by NDGA-induced inhibition of lipoxynegase. For original papers see [9, 10, 23]. (b) Stimulation of calcium flux by A23187 enhances the cytostatic function of macrophages toward tumor cells. This effect of A23187 is mediated via release of LTB₄, which promotes cytostasis. The specific lipoxynegase inhibitor AA861 counteracts both the release of LTB₄ and cytostasis. Calcium flux stimulates phospholipase activity, resulting in enlarged amounts of free AA. Mimicry of endogenous cyclic AMP by exogenous dibutyril cyclic AMP (db-cAMP) counteracts the effect of the calcium iono-

phore. In similarity to indomethacin-enhanced cytostasis, also the calcium flux-enhanced cytostasis requires a promoted release of lipox-
exynegase products. For original papers see [10, 11, 22].
effect of PGE$_2$ on IL-1 secretion cannot be excluded. Furthermore, macrophages also release cytostatic factors other than IL-1 or TNF$_\alpha$ [21]. It is not known whether the release of these other factors is under the control of eicosanoids. Nevertheless, to understand the cytokine-eicosanoid regulation of the macrophage cytostatic function, the network as discussed above may serve as a blueprint, as will be shown in the sections which follow.

4. Lipoxygenase pathway favors cell-to-cell contact cytostasis

Increasing evidence has accumulated during the last few years indicating that the antitumor activity of macrophages in a cell-to-cell contact system is regulated by eicosanoid products [9, 11, 22, 23]. These results were obtained by co-culturing macrophages with target cells. A pitfall of this method might be the release by macrophages of a soluble factor which inhibits uptake of thymidine by target cells while not affecting their rate of proliferation [24]. However, we used as target cells the murine tumor cell lines MOPC-315 plasmacytoma and P815 mastocytoma, which were susceptible only to direct contact with macrophages but not to their soluble products. The role of leukotrienes in promotion of antitumor cytostasis by macrophages is illustrated in Fig. 1a and b. Treatment of macrophages with indo- methacin (which promotes LTB$_4$ production [10]), or exogenous addition of LTs (LTD$_4$ or LTB$_4$) to co-cultures of macrophages and tumor cells enhanced the antitumor cytostasis by macrophages, whereas a lipooxygenase inhibitor (NDGA) prevented the antitumor activity (Fig. 1a). Stimulation of calcium flux by the calcium ionophore A23187 enhanced both cytostatic function of macrophages and release of LTB$_4$, whereas both release of LTB$_4$ and cytostasis were prevented by the specific lipooxygenase inhibitor AA861 (Fig. 1b). On the other hand, mimicry of endogenous cyclic AMP (increased production related to increase in prostaglandin biosynthesis) by exogenous dibutyl cyclic AMP (db-cAMP), counteracts the effect of A23187 in induction of cytostasis.

5. Interactions between cytokines and eicosanoids on tumor cell growth

The antitumor activity of macrophage cytokines IL-1 and of TNF$_\alpha$ is well established [3, 25, 26]. We found that the murine WEHI-3B tumor was highly sensitive to IL-1 [27] and less sensitive to TNF$_\alpha$. The availability of a tumor-cell sensitive to cell-free macrophage cytokines, allowed us to investigate interactions between cell-free macrophage cytokines and eicosanoids in inhibiting tumor cell growth (Table 2).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Preconditioning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous$^a$</td>
<td>Simultaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound$^b$</td>
<td>Prior$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 + PGE$_2$</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 + PGI$_2$</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1 + LTC$_4$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF$_\alpha$</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF$_\alpha$ + PGE$_2$</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF$_\alpha$ + PGI$_2$</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Compounds added simultaneously with WEHI-3B tumor cells to culture medium. $^b$ IL-1: 1000 U/ml; TNF$_\alpha$: 100 U/ml; PGE$_2$: 10$^{-6}$ M; PGI$_2$: 4 × 10$^{-8}$ M; LTC$_4$: 10$^{-7}$ M. PGE$_2$ alone has a slight cytostatic effect. LTC$_4$ and PGI$_2$ alone had no effect at the concentrations used. Higher concentrations of PGI$_2$ markedly inhibited growth. $^c$ Inhibition calculated by comparison with $^d$H-thymidine incorporation in corresponding cultures of untreated tumor cells: rating of inhibition: 0 – 19%, 0; 20 – 39%, +; > 40%, +++. $^d$ Tumor cells were first incubated for 1 h with the compound and then washed before resuming in culture medium. Prior treatment with either one of the cytokines did not precondition to subsequent exposure to the same cytokine or to the other cytokine. Data on simultaneous treatment with IL-1 and eicosanoids are from reference 27; other data from reference 28.
The cytostatic activity of HrIL-1 toward WEHI-3B tumor cells was enhanced by PGE$_2$ or prostacyclin (PGI$_2$), whereas simultaneous addition of LTC$_4$ prevented cytostasis by IL-1 [9]. A similar synergistic effect was found between HrTNF$_{a}$ and PGE$_2$, but not between TNF$_{a}$ and PGI [28]. Prior treatment with either IL-1 or TNF$_{a}$ rendered the tumor cells susceptible to PGE$_2$, whereas only prior contact with IL-1 rendered the cells susceptible to PGI$_2$ [28]. Therefore, whereas exogenous addition of prostaglandins was shown to inhibit the release of IL-1 [15, 16], these two products interact synergistically in enhancing antitumor cytostasis, when they are added as cell-free compounds to tumor-cell cultures. Moreover, exogenous addition of a leukotriene, LTC$_4$, antagonized the cytostatic activity of IL-1, whereas endogenous release of leukotrienes favors cell-to-cell cytostasis [9, 11, 22, 23] and production of IL-1 [16]. In contrast to IL-1, TNF$_{a}$

6. Ex-vivo human inflammatory macrophages

The set of observations presented above led us to conclude that mediators of inflammation have a two-fold implication for the function of macrophages. First, when the balance of eicosanoid production profile of macrophages is tipped in favor of the lipoxygenase pathway, the macrophage becomes activated and displays improved cytostasis [9, 11]. The expression of this function may be even further improved by exposing the macrophage to a leukotriene (LTC$_4$ or LTD$_4$) [22, 23]. Second, the direct antitumor cytostasis of macrophage-derived cytokines (IL-1 and TNF$_{a}$), can be modified by exogenous addition of eicosanoids in absence of macrophages, as published earlier (Ref. 27 and Table 2). Some macrophage-derived cytokines are recognized as mediators of inflammation [5] and as exerting antitumor activity [25, 26]. Therefore, we addressed the question whether macrophages harvested from an inflammatory environment would express more antitumor cytostatic activity than macrophages harvested from an inflammation-free environment [32]. Human macrophages collected from dialysis bags during peritoneal inflammation exhibited markedly enhanced cytostatic activity (Table 3). Improved cytostasis was evident toward three target cell lines: one requiring cell-to-cell contact (MOPC-315), another which is sensitive to both IL-1 and TNF$_{a}$ (WEHI-3B), and a third cell line susceptible to
TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target cell line</th>
<th>Inhibition of tumor-cell growth by macrophages of different environments&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-inflammatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPC-315</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEHI-3B</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L929</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Human peritoneal macrophages were collected from patients on continuous peritoneal ambulatory dialysis (CAPD). <sup>b</sup> Macrophage/target-cell ratio was 100/1, using the coculture method rating of inhibition: 0–19%, 0; 20–39%, +; >40%, ++. <sup>c</sup> LPS, 0.5 μg/ml. For more details see ref. 32.

TNFα only (L929: transformed fibroblast line). Human peritoneal macrophages from an inflammatory environment display reduced basal release of PGE₂, a circumstance that favors the activation of macrophages. Reduction in PGE₂ production was also found to enhance cytosis toward MOPC-315 tumor cells of mouse peritoneal macrophages [9, 23]. The fact that human peritoneal macrophages from an inflammatory environment exhibit cytosis toward murine tumor cells, indicates that species differences play in this event a subordinate role. The present results (Table 3) also show that LPS enhances the cytostatic activity toward WEHI-3B and L929 cells of human macrophages from an inflammatory environment. It is worth mention in this context that LPS is a powerful stimulant of IL-1 discharge from human peritoneal macrophages, particularly those from an inflammatory environment [33], and that LPS induces production of TNFα [19]. Macrophages from inflammatory environments have a low level of cyclic AMP [34], and it is conceivable that their content of cyclic GMP is relatively high. A prevalence of cyclic GMP was recently shown to be associated with the release of TNFα [20].

7. General remarks

It has been well established that inflammatory mediators (cytokines and eicosanoids) regulate their own and each other's release. Our findings discussed here, indicate that they also interact in expression of antitumor cytostatic activity. Thus, indomethacin (a cyclooxygenase inhibitor) promotes antitumor activity of macrophages, not only by inhibiting endogenous production of PGE₂, but also by increasing the production of leukotrienes. The use of tumor lines susceptible to cell-free cytokines IL-1 and TNFα enabled us to show interactions between exogenous cytokines and prostaglandins in the context of antitumor cytostatic activity. It is of interest in this respect that prostaglandins acted synergistically with macrophage cytokines in increasing antitumor activity, whereas an increase in endogenous production of prostaglandins is assumed to be correlated with a decrease in antitumor activity.

These results apply to in vitro or ex vivo systems of determination of antitumor activity. It might be of interest to determine to what extent mutual interactions between macrophage cytokines and eicosanoids play a role in the expression of antitumor effects of macrophages in vivo. Such information is not yet available. Nevertheless, our findings provide a link between the inflammation process and the antitumor activity of macrophages in the antitumor activity of macrophages in tumor-bearing individuals.
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