Effects of Repetitive Exposure to Pain and Morphine Treatment on the Neonatal Rat Brain
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Abstract

Background: Untreated exposure to pain in preterm neonates might damage the vulnerable premature brain and alter development. Pain treatment is limited because analgesic agents may also have adverse neurodevelopmental consequences in newborns. Objective: To study the effects of neonatal pain and morphine treatment on the developing brain in a neonatal rat model. Methods: Newborn rats were randomly assigned to: treatment with formalin injections (group 1), saline injections (group 2) and controls receiving no injections (group 3). Treatment was given on postnatal days 1–3 (model A), 1–5 (model B) and 10–12 (model C). Brains were studied histologically and protein expression was evaluated (protein kinase C epsilon and doublecortin). Effects of preemptive morphine treatment were studied in the same models (models A+M and B+M). Results: Formalin injections resulted in increased apoptotic scores in models A and B. Saline injections increased the number of degenerative cells only in model B. Morphine showed protective effects in formalin-treated animals of model A+M and saline-treated animals of model B+M only. In model C, no neurodegenerative effects were detected. The protein expression of doublecortin showed a pain-related upregulation in the thalamus region, whereas protein kinase C epsilon expression was upregulated in the cortex. Conclusions: Severe inflammatory pain and pain caused by repetitive injections in neonatal rats may cause major changes in the developing brain during the first week of life. Morphine may only protect the newborn brain against these changes in specific situations.
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Introduction

Neonatal intensive care treatment is associated with frequent and intense moments of distress due to ventilatory support and frequent painful procedures [1, 2]. The developing brain of preterm newborns is very vulnerable and can be harmed by various environmental factors and stressors during a sensitive time of development [3, 4]. Repetitive, untreated pain and distress may harm the premature brain and have short and long-term effects [5–7]. Although adequate analgesia might prevent effects of pain in these vulnerable patients, the use of analgesics is still under debate [1, 8]. Clinical trials have not provided sufficient evidence for routine use of morphine in premature neonates [9–11] and rodent studies have shown widespread apoptotic neurodegeneration in the first week of life after administration of many substances with GABA\textsubscript{A}-enhancing and/or NMDA receptor-blocking properties [8, 12].

Both neuroprotective and destructive roles of morphine have been suggested in adults. Apoptotic cell death of neuronal and glial cells was found only after high doses and prolonged use of morphine [13]. Both neonatal prolonged morphine treatment and repetitive inflammatory pain lead to behavioral changes and abnormal learning in adult rats, while these effects are reduced when pain and morphine treatment are combined [14, 15]. Preemptive morphine attenuates increased hot plate thresholds, reduced place preference conditioning and reduced ethanol preferences in long-term behavioral rodent studies after neonatal pain exposure [14, 16]. Interacting effects of hippocampal gene expression are found after the combination of stress and morphine exposure in neonatal mice [17].

The hypothesis of the current study is that exposure to pain results in degeneration of cells in the neonatal rat brain and that preemptive treatment of these animals with morphine protects their brain. The negative effects of pain are hypothesized to be absent in older animals. The effects of pain and preemptive morphine on the brain of newborn and more mature rats were histologically evaluated.

In addition, expression of two important molecular markers, protein kinase C epsilon (PKC\textepsilon) and doublecortin (DCX), was studied in neonatal rat brains. PKC contributes to stabilization of synaptic connections [18]. DCX is necessary for regular neuronal migration and differentiation in the cerebral cortex [19].

Methods

Outcome

The primary outcome of this study is the effect of pain, morphine and their combination on neurodegeneration measured as the number of apoptotic cells. Furthermore, the protein expression of PKC\textepsilon and DCX in different brain regions after formalin and saline injections was studied by Western blotting.

Experimental Animal Model

One-day-old Wistar rat pups (Tierexperimentelle Einrichtungen der Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany) were used for these studies. Newborn rats from several litters were randomly assigned to different treatment groups. All experiments were performed in accordance with the German Animal Welfare Act and the guidelines of the Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, and were approved by the local animal protection authority in Berlin (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales, Berlin, Germany). The experiments adhered to the guidelines of the committee for Research and Ethical Issues of the IASP.

In order to investigate age dependency of painful stimuli, 3 different time points with corresponding experimental models were investigated (table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>N (n)</th>
<th>Experimental Model</th>
<th>Preoperative Treatment</th>
<th>Pain Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1 (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3 (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5 (n=5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Newborn rats were randomly assigned to injections of 5 μl of 10% formalin in all 4 paws (group 1, severe pain), injections with 5 μl of 0.9% sodium chloride (saline) in all 4 paws (group 2, mild pain) and compared to controls receiving no injections at all (group 3).

Injections were given either from postnatal day 1 to day 3 (P1–P3; model A) or from day 1 until day 5 (P1–P5; model B). A third group of animals received injections at days P10, P11 and P12 (model C). Animals used for molecular studies received a single injection on P3. The rat pups were sacrificed on day P4, P6 or P13, respectively. Apart from these treatments, animals from all groups received equal care (i.e. daily handling, separation from the mother, etc.).

Analgesic Treatment with Morphine

To evaluate the effects of analgesic therapy on pain-induced neuronal degeneration, the preemptive effect of morphine was investigated. Animals received morphine sulfate subcutaneously (500 μg/kg, 0.05 mg morphine/ml solution) 30 min before formalin or saline injections. To evaluate the neurotoxic effects of morphine itself, a control group was included that received only subcutaneous morphine on consecutive postnatal days (models A+M and B+M; table 1). For histology and Western blotting, see online supplementary material (for all online supplementary material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000341769).

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, Calif., USA) was used to analyze the data. Values are presented as means ± SEM. Groups were compared using one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests and exact tests. Statistical significance was determined at p < 0.05.
Results

Histology
Model A
Animals received either formalin (group 1, n = 7) or saline (group 2, n = 10) in both hind and forepaws during days P1–3, perfusion at day P4. Animals of model A were pretreated with 500 µg morphine/kg. Controls received morphine once a day without further interventions.

Model B
Animals received either formalin (group 1, n = 8) or saline (group 2, n = 11) in both hind and forepaws during days P1–5, perfusion at day P6. Animals of model B were pretreated with 500 µg morphine/kg. Controls received morphine once a day without further interventions.

Model C
Animals were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (group 1), or injection of 5 µl 0.9% saline (group 2), or controls (no injection, group 3) during days P1–3, perfusion at day P4. Animals of model A were pretreated with 500 µg morphine/kg. Controls received morphine once a day without further interventions. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (group 1), or injection of 5 µl 0.9% saline (group 2), or controls (no injection, group 3) during days P1–3, perfusion at day P4. Animals of model A were pretreated with 500 µg morphine/kg. Controls received morphine once a day without further interventions.

Effect of Postnatal Time/Age: Model C
Animals were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13. Animals of model C were again divided into 3 groups and received either 5 µl formalin 10% (n = 5) or 5 µl saline (n = 4) in both hind and forepaws during days P10–12, perfusion at day P13.

Pretreatment with Morphine: Pain Models A+M and B+M
The effects of pretreatment with 500 µg/kg subcutaneous morphine before formalin or saline injections, as

Table 1. Timetable of different histological and molecular experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>P6</th>
<th>P7</th>
<th>P8</th>
<th>P9</th>
<th>P10</th>
<th>P11</th>
<th>P12</th>
<th>P13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>¥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model A+M</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>¥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>¥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B+M</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td>¥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model C</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>¥</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model D</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model D+M</td>
<td>XM1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The schedules A–D were used. Different frequencies of injections and variable postnatal ages were examined. X = Intervention; ¥ = perfusion; XM1 = intervention with 500 µg morphine/kg.

1 Injection of 5 µl formalin 10% (group 1), or injection of 5 µl 0.9% saline (group 2), or controls (no injection, group 3) during days P1–3, perfusion at day P4. 2 Animals of model A were pretreated with 500 µg morphine/kg. Controls received morphine once a day without further interventions. 3 Injection of 5 µl formalin 10% (group 1), or injection of 5 µl 0.9% saline (group 2), or controls (no injection, group 3) during day P10–12, perfusion at day P13. 4 Injection of 5 µl formalin 10% and controls (no injection) on day P3, sacrificed afterwards on the same day. 5 500 µg morphine/kg + injection of 5 µl formalin 10%, and controls, 500 µg morphine/kg on day P3, sacrificed afterwards on the same day.
Fig. 1. de Olmos cupric silver staining (a, b) and TUNEL staining (c, d) of formalin-treated animals (b, d) compared to control animals (a, c). Formalin pain induces cell death in the immature rat brain. Neurodegenerative changes in the brains of P4 rats who were subjected to subcutaneous formalin injections for 3 days and sacrificed on P4. In the injured frontal cortex from an animal subjected to formalin pain over 3 days, degenerated cells appear as small dark dots in 70-µm silver-stained sections (×40). d TUNEL staining performed in a 10-µm-thick cortical section confirms DNA fragmentation within degenerating cells (×20).

Table 2. Number of apoptotic cells in different brain regions for models A and B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Region</th>
<th>Model A</th>
<th>Model B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Formalin (n = 7)</td>
<td>Saline (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
<td>Mean SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal cortex (lamina II)</td>
<td>10,796 2,375</td>
<td>4,143 696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal cortex (lamina IV)</td>
<td>192 35</td>
<td>163 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate gyrus (lamina II)</td>
<td>2,306 240</td>
<td>1,514 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cingulate gyrus (lamina IV)</td>
<td>265 67</td>
<td>163 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus caudatus</td>
<td>106 25</td>
<td>114 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septum</td>
<td>612 154</td>
<td>503 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal cortex (lamina II)</td>
<td>7,612 932</td>
<td>3,586 851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal cortex (lamina IV)</td>
<td>155 14</td>
<td>133 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrosplenial cortex (lamina II)</td>
<td>1,857 584</td>
<td>914 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrosplenial cortex (lamina IV)</td>
<td>200 28</td>
<td>120 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial thalamus</td>
<td>359 45</td>
<td>229 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral thalamus</td>
<td>555 205</td>
<td>406 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus (V)</td>
<td>216 55</td>
<td>209 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td>878 143</td>
<td>360 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subiculum</td>
<td>555 85</td>
<td>260 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus (CA 1)</td>
<td>253 106</td>
<td>154 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus (gyrus dentatus)</td>
<td>188 76</td>
<td>137 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SEM.
well as of receiving morphine therapy without injections, are shown in figure 3a (receiving injections on days P1–P3, model A+M) and figure 3b (injections on days P1–P5, model B+M).

For the animals in model A+M (injected during P1–P3; fig. 3a), the mean total apoptotic scores were 10,086, 9,971 and 11,657 degenerating cells/mm³ for the animals treated with morphine and formalin, morphine and saline, and only morphine, respectively. Pretreatment with morphine reduced total apoptotic scores in the formalin group (p = 0.01), but not in the control and saline groups (p = 0.065 and p = 0.71). Brains of animals in model B+M (injected during P1–P5) showed mean total apoptotic scores of 17,371, 19,942 and 11,908 degenerating cells/mm³ for the animals treated with morphine and formalin, morphine and saline, and morphine alone, respectively. In this model, morphine significantly reduced the number of degenerating cells only in animals receiving morphine prior to saline injections.

The results of the cupric silver staining were acknowledged by TUNEL-staining (fig. 1).

Protein Biochemistry

PKCε epsilon and DCX protein expressions were studied in the cortex and thalamus (table 1). After formalin injections on day 3 (model D), PKCε epsilon was up-regulated in cortices after 2 h. Prior subcutaneous injection of morphine (model D+M) resulted in a significant reduction of cortical PKCε epsilon concentration compared to the formalin group (fig. 4).

DCX expression was only influenced in the thalamus where protein concentrations were increased by painful stimuli at 2 and 6 h after formalin injections. Again, morphine injections prevented the rise in DCX concentration in the thalamus (fig. 4).

Discussion

Both neonatal pain and opioid exposure are important factors that may influence central nervous system pathways and cell survival. In the current study we show cell death in neonatal rat brains subjected to mild and severe pain. This damage probably consists of neuronal apopto-
sis as shown by TUNEL and de Olmos cupric silver staining [20]. Severe pain also altered the expression of the neurodevelopmentally important proteins PKCε and DCX. Comparable to our results, Juul et al. [17] showed an effect between the severity of stress and the amount of gene expression in the neonatal murine hippocampus. This indicates a dose-dependent relationship between distress and the neuronal damage.

Interestingly, morphine pretreatment was only related to decreased neurological damage in some of the experiments. Morphine seems to protect against cell degeneration from severe 3 days repeated formalin pain and 5 days repetitive mild pain from saline injections. Morphine could not significantly decrease severe formalin pain after 5 days. Morphine might protect the brain up to a certain limit. Previous animal studies also showed interactive effects between morphine and pain [14–17]. Morphine seems to downregulate specific stress-related changes in gene expression that protect the cell against apoptosis [13]. In older human infants, the beneficial effect of anesthesia for surgery was established decades ago [21].

Opioids and endorphins probably play an important role in modulation and regulation of neuronal differentiation and survival. PKCε participates in the neuroprotective effects of morphine [24], whereas PKCε overexpression increases NMDA-receptor activity leading to excitotoxic cell death [25]. In our study, cortical PKCε was acutely increased by painful stimuli and then dropped to the initial level. PKCε overexpression was lower in the morphine-treated animals. DCX showed a steep rise in concentration in the thalamus region after severe prolonged pain. This DCX overexpression may reflect diffuse migration and an abnormal differentiation of neuroblasts [19, 26], but may also be a protective reaction as a response to pain-related degeneration of neurons [27]. Morphine pretreatment again reduced the DCX levels. This supports the potential protective role of preemptive analgesia in newborn infants. However, further research is needed to elucidate the underlying mechanisms.

Our study has some important limitations. It is difficult to translate the animal data to the human preterm neonate. Pain did not increase neurodegeneration in rats at older ages, suggesting an age-dependent effect. Although the brain of newborn rats is probably comparable to premature born humans, the period of brain spurt oc-
Effects of Pain and Morphine on Neonatal Rat Brain

Formalin-induced pain is a well-worked out nociceptive model in the infant rodent [14]. Unfortunately, we did not measure the amount of pain and cannot discriminate between pain, stress and inflammation. Formalin injections led to a clear nociceptive reaction. After repetitive injections, a severe ongoing inflammation of the paws was seen. After prolonged injections (model B), morphine did not decrease the apoptotic scores any more.

The formalin model simulates ongoing severe distress and probably overestimates the amount of distress related to routine neonatal intensive care unit treatment [29]. Repetitive saline injections probably are a more suitable model for human neonates receiving repetitive painful procedures. Prolonged use of repetitive saline injections showed an enormous potential impact on the developing brain. As an isotonic, sodium chloride solution is not expected to have any toxic effects; neuronal degeneration is caused by repeated pain induced by the injec-

**Fig. 4.** PKCɛ and DCX protein expression levels. **a** Formalin injection leads to a quick rise in PKCɛ concentration after 2 h in the cortex of neonatal rats (model D). **b** The injection of morphine prior to the injection of formalin results in a reduction of PKCɛ concentration in the neonatal rat cortex after 2, 6 and 12 h (model D). **c** Thalamic DCX levels in formalin-treated neonatal rats compared to controls. DCX is influenced by painful events. The formalin pain leads to a steep rise after 2 h, and is still elevated after 6 h compared to control animals. **d** Effect of morphine treatment on DCX in neonatal rats after formalin-induced pain. As PKCɛ, DCX protein expression is lowered due to morphine exposure prior to formalin injections. **b, d** The white bars represent animals who received additional morphine treatment. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; one-way ANOVA (n = 5 per group).
tions itself or by the inflammatory response to the injections. Consistent with the only human study of neonatal pain in relation to brain microstructure development in preterm humans [3], this indicates the extreme vulnerability of the premature brain and the potential danger of frequently repeated pain and stressful stimuli in premature neonates.

Even today, neonatal intensive care treatment is related to inescapable frequent painful procedures and distress, often without analgesic pharmacological therapy [1, 2, 29]. Clinical trials have not shown beneficial effects, but effects such as hypotension and prolonged ventilation of routinely used morphine during artificial ventilation [5, 9–11]. Therefore, opioid treatment is not standard of care nowadays in ventilated newborns. Current neonatal pain treatment focuses on individualized pharmacological and nonpharmacological approaches. Our data provides evidence that morphine has a neuroprotective effect when given prior to painful stimuli and in that way encourages the clinical use of opioids if a newborn is known to suffer from pain. As opioids seem to have different effects in the presence or absence of pain, one of the major clinical challenges is to identify when a preterm infant is actually in pain. It is of great importance for both clinicians and researchers to further explore this important area of research.
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