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INTRODUCTION

High-resolution B-mode ultrasonography enables accurate assessment of vessel wall characteristics of the carotid arteries in populations at large (1, 2). This technique facilitates evaluation of the lumen diameter, the intima-media thickness, and the presence and extent of plaques of the carotid artery (3-7). B-mode combined with Doppler spectral analysis (duplex) can be used to assess hemodynamically significant stenosis of the carotid artery, which is indicative of advanced atherosclerotic vessel wall disease (8). Furthermore, the atherosclerotic process can be studied in an earlier phase through measurement of intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery. As the common carotid artery remains relatively spared from development of severe atherosclerosis, the applicability of measurement of common carotid intima-media thickness with respect to the atherosclerotic process is conditional on the degree to which increased common carotid intima-media thickness reflects atherosclerotic vessel wall disease in other arteries that are at high risk of atherosclerosis. It is important to answer the question to what extent increased intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery may be regarded as an indicator of generalized atherosclerosis. A limited number of studies on this issue were recently performed. Two large population-based studies in the United States in middle-aged and elderly subjects showed a positive association between common carotid intima-media thickness and atherosclerosis in the carotid bifurcation (9) and in the internal carotid artery (9, 10). We earlier reported on the positive association between common carotid intima-media thickness and atherosclerosis in the abdominal aorta (11) and the arteries of the lower extremities among an older white population in the Netherlands (12).

In this article we report on the association of noninvasive...
sively assessed common carotid intima-media thickness and atherosclerotic abnormalities in the carotid bifurcation and internal carotid artery among the first 1000 participants in the Rotterdam Study.

METHODS
Population
The Rotterdam Study is a single-center, prospective, follow-up study of 7983 men and women aged 55 years or older, investigating the incidence of and risk factors for chronic disabling diseases (13). The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Erasmus University and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. All inhabitants 55 years or older living at one point in time in the Rotterdam suburb of Ommoord were invited to participate. The study comprised an extensive home interview, followed by two visits at the Rotterdam study research center for clinical examinations. Baseline examinations were performed from March 1990 to July 1993. The participation rate of those invited was 78%.

Ultrasonography of the Carotid Arteries
To measure carotid intima-media thickness, ultrasonography of both carotid arteries was performed with a 7.5-MHz linear-array transducer (ATL UltraMark IV, Advanced Technology Laboratories, Bothell, WA). The actual obtained axial resolution is around 0.3 to 0.5 mm, whereas the manufacturer's specification provides an estimate of the axial resolution of 0.5 mm (14). On a longitudinal two-dimensional ultrasound image of the carotid artery, the anterior (near) and posterior (far) wall of the carotid artery were displayed as two bright white lines separated by a hypoechoic space (15). The distance from the leading edge of the first bright line of the far wall (lumen-intima interface) to the leading edge of the second bright line (media-adventitia interface) indicated the intima-media thickness (15, 16). Following the Rotterdam Study ultrasound protocol, a careful search was performed for the lumen-intima interface and the media-adventitia interface of the far wall of the distal common carotid artery (6, 12). When an optimal longitudinal image was obtained, it was frozen on the R wave of the electrocardiogram and stored on videotape. This procedure was repeated three times for both sides. The actual measurements of intima-media thickness were performed off-line. From the videotape, the frozen images were digitized and displayed on the screen of a personal computer using additional dedicated software. This procedure was described in detail elsewhere (6, 16). In short, with a cursor the interfaces of the distal common carotid artery were marked over a length of 10 mm. The beginning of the dilatation of the distal common carotid artery served as a reference point for the start of the measurement. The average of the intima-media thickness of each of the three frozen images was calculated. For each subject a mean far wall intima-media thickness ((left + right)/2) was taken as a measure for current wall thickness. Results from a reproducibility study of intima-media thickness measurements among 80 participants in the Rotterdam Study who underwent a second ultrasound scan of both carotid arteries within 3 months of the first scan were published elsewhere (17). In short, mean differences (standard deviation (SD)) in far wall intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery between paired measurements of sonographers, readers, and visits were -0.005 mm (0.09), 0.080 mm (0.05), and -0.033 mm (0.12), respectively.

The common carotid artery and the carotid bifurcation were evaluated off-line (from tapes) for the presence (yes/no) of atherosclerotic lesions on both the near and the far wall of the carotid artery. Plaques were defined as a focal widening relative to adjacent segments, with protrusion into the lumen composed of either only calcified deposits or a combination of calcification and noncalcified material. No attempt was made to quantify the size or extent of the lesions. A reproducibility study among 166 participants on the assessment of plaques in the carotid bifurcation revealed a K of 0.59 for the left carotid artery, of 0.65 for the right carotid artery, and of 0.60 for plaques in either side. The findings were statistically significant (p < 0.001) and indicate a moderate agreement.

Hemodynamically significant stenosis of the carotid artery was ultrasonographically assessed using a 7.5-MHz sector transducer in combination with a 5-MHz pulsed Doppler (18). For reasons of feasibility, only the right carotid artery was evaluated for stenosis. Interpretation of velocity profiles was done on-line according to standard criteria based on the systolic peak velocity, the end-diastolic velocity, and presence of spectral broadening (19). The right internal carotid artery was categorized as being normal (0% reduction of lumen diameter) or having minimal lesions (1 to 15% reduction), moderate stenosis (16 to 49% reduction), or severe stenosis (≥50% reduction).

Data Analysis
The present analysis is based on findings in the first 1000 participants of the Rotterdam Study. In 12 subjects ultrasonography of the carotid arteries could not be performed due to technical or logistical reasons. In 19 subjects, extreme tortuosity of the right internal carotid artery prevented reliable measurements of stenosis. Data on atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation were not available for 140 subjects.

For 31 subjects measurement of common carotid intima-media thickness at either the left or the right carotid artery could not be performed from the stored images because of
The study population consisted of 600 women with a mean age of 68.9 years (SD: 8.0) and 388 men with a mean age of 68.6 years (SD: 7.7). The distribution of common carotid intima-media thickness is given in Figure 1 for men and women and the left and right sides. The mean common carotid intima-media thickness was 0.76 mm (SD: 0.19) for women and 0.80 mm (SD: 0.19) for men. The prevalence of plaques in the common carotid artery and in the carotid bifurcation in women was 11.3% and 48.1%, respectively. For men, prevalences of 18.3% and 56.9% were found. Hemodynamically significant stenosis (≥ 50%) was found in 0.7% of the women and 2.6% of the men.

An increase of 1 SD of common carotid intima-media thickness (0.19 mm) doubled the risk of plaques in the carotid bifurcation: odds ratio 2.0 (95% CI: 1.6, 2.4). A gradual increased risk of atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation was found with increasing common carotid intima-media thickness (Table 1). The risk of atherosclerotic plaques in the bifurcation in subjects with an intima-media thickness above 0.89 mm (upper quintile) relative to those with an intima-media thickness below 0.63 mm (lowest quintile) was 6.0 (95% CI: 3.5, 10.2). The findings for men and women were 5.0 (95% CI: 2.1, 11.7) and 7.1 (95% CI: 3.5, 14.4), respectively.

An increase in common carotid intima-media thickness of 1 SD was associated with a 40% increased risk of hemodynamically significant stenosis of the right internal carotid artery (≥ 50% lumen reduction): odds ratio 1.4 (95% CI: 1.0, 2.0). For internal carotid artery stenosis an odds ratio of 2.8 (95% CI: 0.5, 15.7) was found comparing the upper and the lower intima-media thickness quintile.

Findings from analyses on the association between left and right common carotid intima-media thickness and ipsilateral and contralateral atherosclerotic lesions are presented in Table 1. There are no clear differences in direction of the associations, although the strength of the associations do vary. Compared to the analyses in which both left and right intima-media thickness measurements were averaged, the magnitude of the association for left and right intima-media thickness separately was considerably reduced (see Table 1). The odds ratio of stenosis of the right internal carotid artery associated with a SD increase in left common carotid intima-media thickness was 1.5 (95% CI: 1.1, 1.9). For the right common carotid intima-media thickness, an odds ratio of 1.1 (95% CI: 0.7, 1.6) was found.

Figure 2 indicates that the presence of atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation gradually increases with increasing common carotid intima-media thickness without clear evidence for the presence of a cutoff point. Among subjects with a common carotid intima-media thickness of 1.0 mm or larger (i.e., 9% of the study population), the prevalence of atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation was 70% or higher. Similarly, the presence of atherosclerotic lesions in the near and far walls of the common carotid artery gradually increased with increasing far wall common carotid intima-media thickness (see Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The findings in this population-based study of elderly subjects indicate that an increased common carotid intima-media thickness is significantly associated with atherosclerotic lesions at other sites of the carotid artery, such as the carotid bifurcation and the right internal carotid artery. Associations were stronger when information on intima-media thickness from both the left and the right side was combined.

The nature of the intima-media thickness is still a point of discussion, that is, whether increased common carotid intima-media thickness itself reflects atherosclerosis. Increased common carotid intima-media thickness may merely reflect an adaptive response of the vessel wall to changes in shear stress and tensile stress (20). Atherosclerosis is viewed as a disorder that is restricted to the intimal layer of the arterial vessel wall (21), and ultrasound imaging can not discriminate between the intimal layer and the media layer of the vessel wall. Yet, several studies compared the precise location of the interfaces as seen with high resolution B-mode ultrasound with the histologic layers of the arterial wall. Results from these studies showed that the distance between the lumen-intima interface and the media-adventitia interface at the far wall as seen with ultrasound truly reflects intima-media thickness (15, 22, 23). Unfortunately, the issue at what level intima-media thickness is predominantly determined by an atherosclerotic component was not addressed. From other studies it seems likely that above a certain level (e.g., 1.0 mm), intima-media thickness is indicative of atherosclerosis (24, 25). Our findings of a gradual positive association between common carotid
FIGURE 1. Distribution of common carotid intima-media thickness for men (top row) and women (bottom row) for the left and right sides.
TABLE 1. The association (odds ratio (95% CI) between left and right common carotid intima-media thickness and ipsilateral and contralateral atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile of common carotid intima-media thickness</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2</th>
<th>Q3</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>Q5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right CCA vs. right BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.9)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1, 3.1)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.8, 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right CCA vs. left BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.5)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.7, 2.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2, 3.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.4, 4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left CCA vs. right BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0, 2.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.2)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2, 3.4)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.2, 6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left CCA vs. left BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6, 1.7)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.8, 2.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.5, 4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left + right CCA vs. right BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.6)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.5)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.1, 3.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.7, 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left + right CCA vs. left BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7, 1.9)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8, 2.2)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8, 2.4)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.0, 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left + right CCA vs. left + right BIF</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9, 2.4)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0, 2.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.2, 3.3)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.5, 10.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adjusted for age and gender.
CCA, common carotid artery; BIF, carotid bifurcation.

intima-media thickness and plaques at the far wall of the common carotid artery (see Figure 2), however, do not lend support to the presence of a clear cutoff level.

Evidence to indicate that intima-media thickness is a measure of atherosclerosis comes from several cross-sectional studies which consistently showed that increased intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery is associated with unfavorable levels of cardiovascular risk factors (4-6, 26-28). The observation that progression of common carotid intima-media thickness over time is associated with risk factors for atherosclerosis (29), and the results from a very limited number of trials on the efficacy of lipid-lowering drugs to reduce progression of intima-media thickness, which showed a reduced progression of (common) carotid intima-media thickness in the treated group compared to the placebo group (30-33), further strengthen the view that common carotid intima-media thickness is a measure of atherosclerosis.

Recent findings from the Cardiovascular Health Study showed a strong association between maximum common carotid intima-media thickness and severity of internal carotid atherosclerosis (10). Results from the Atherosclerosis
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FIGURE 2. Common carotid intima-media thickness and prevalence (standard error) of atherosclerotic lesions in the carotid bifurcation and the near and far walls of the distal common carotid artery (CCA).
Risk In Communities (ARIC) study demonstrated positive, but modest associations between common carotid intima-media thickness and intima-media thickness at other sites of the carotid artery (9). In earlier reports, we showed that an increased common carotid intima-media thickness is associated with atherosclerosis at other sites, such as in the abdominal aorta (11) and in the arteries of the lower extremities (12). Prospective findings from the Kuopio Ischemic Heart Disease Risk Factor study showed that an increased common carotid intima-media thickness predicts myocardial infarction (34). These results, including the present findings, lend support to the view that noninvasively assessed intima-media thickness of the distal common carotid artery may provide an indicator of generalized atherosclerosis and cardiovascular risk (35).

In the present study the magnitude of the association between ipsilateral and contralateral abnormalities with left and right common carotid intima-media thickness was considerably reduced compared to the association found for the average of left and right far wall common carotid intima-media thicknesses. Most of the variability in common carotid intima-media thickness can be attributed to the presence of focal atherosclerotic abnormalities. Combining the information from both the left and the right carotid artery probably results in an estimate for carotid atherosclerosis with enhanced precision, and subsequently strong associations are found. The question as to whether the far wall intima-media thickness of the common carotid artery is the most appropriate marker for studies on determinants of change in intima-media thickness over time, and on intima-media thickness as a predictor of morbidity and mortality can not be satisfactorily answered, yet. Such information is urgently awaited.

Ultrasonographic assessment of carotid intima-media thickness provides a promising approach to study the presence and progression of atherosclerosis. Through measurement of intima-media thickness, the atherosclerotic process can be studied in an early phase as well as in an advanced phase in nonhospitalized and asymptomatic subjects (36). It enables evaluation of the natural history and the determinants of the presence and progression of atherosclerosis in population-based studies. However, although likely, conclusive evidence of a causal link between increased common carotid intima-media thickness and subsequent cardiovascular events is still awaited. The same applies to data regarding changes, for example, reductions in intima-media thickness and subsequent changes in cardiovascular risk. There is a clear need for these data (37, 38). Given the attention presently paid to intima-media thickness and its meaning in basic, clinical, and epidemiologic studies, such data will become available soon.

In conclusion, the findings of the present study provide evidence that increased common carotid intima-media thickness is an indicator of atherosclerosis at other sites of the carotid artery. These findings further support the view that noninvasively assessed intima-media thickness of the distal common carotid artery may provide an indication of generalized atherosclerosis.
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