

References

- Aaltonen, K., Kujala, J., Lehtonen, P., & Ruuska, I. (2010). A stakeholder network perspective on unexpected events and their management in international projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 3(4), 564-588.
- Aaltonen, K., & Sivonen, R. (2009). Response strategies to stakeholder pressures in global projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(2), 131-141.
- Abma, T. A. (1996). *Responsief evalueren: Discoursen, controversen en allianties in het postmoderne*. Delft: Eburon.
- Advisory Committee VBI. (2008). *Sneller en beter: Advies Commissie Versnelling Besluitvorming Infrastructurele Projecten*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- Akintoye, A., & MacLeod, M. J. (1997). Risk analysis and management in construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 15(1), 31-38.
- Allen, P. M. (1998). Evolving complexity in social science. In G. Altmann, & W. A. Koch (Eds.), *Systems: New paradigms for the human sciences* (pp. 3-38). New York: De Gruyter.
- Allison, G. T. (1983). Public and private management: Are they fundamentally alike in all unimportant respects? In J. L. Perry, & K. L. Kraemer (Eds.), *Public management: Public and private perspectives* (pp. 15-29). Palo Alto: Mayfield Publishing Company.
- Amenta, E., & Poulsen, J. D. (1994). Where to begin: A survey of five approaches to selecting independent variables for qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 23(1), 22-53.
- Anderson, R., Crabtree, B. F., Steele, D. J., & McDaniel, R. R. (2005). Case study research: The view from complexity science. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(5), 669-685.
- Anguera, R. (2006). The Channel Tunnel - An ex-post economic evaluation. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 40(4), 291-315.
- Annema, J. A., Koopmans, C., & Van Wee, B. (2007). Evaluating transport infrastructure investments: The Dutch experience with a standardized approach. *Transport Reviews*, 27(2), 125-150.
- Arts, G. A. M., Dicke, W. M., & Hancher, L. (Eds.). (2010). *New perspectives on investment in infrastructures*. The Hague & Amsterdam: Scientific Council for Government Policy & Amsterdam University Press.
- Assaf, S. A., & Al-Hejji, S. (2006). Causes of delay in large construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 349-357.
- Atkin, B., & Skitmore, M. (2008). Editorial: Stakeholder management in construction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(6), 549-552.
- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: Cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon: It's time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6), 337-342.
- Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., & Ward, S. (2006). Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 687-698.
- Aus, J. P. (2009). Conjunctural causation in comparative case-oriented research. *Quality & Quantity*, 43(2), 173-183.
- Baccarini, D. (1996). The concept of project complexity - A review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 14(4), 201-204.
- Badcock, P. (2009). Tough times for Dutch metro projects. *International Railway Journal*, 49(1), 30-32.
- Bakker, R. M., Cambré, B., Korlaar, L., & Raab, J. (2011). Managing the project learning paradox: A set-theoretic approach toward project knowledge

References

- transfer. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(5), 494-503.
- Bakker, R. (2012). *Evaluatie als fundering voor beleid*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken en Koninkrijksrelaties.
- Balthasar, A. (2006). The effects of institutional design on the utilization of evaluation: Evidence using qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Evaluation*, 12(3), 353-371.
- Barnes, M., Matka, E., & Sullivan, H. (2003). Evidence, understanding and complexity: Evaluation in non-linear systems. *Evaluation*, 9(3), 265-284.
- Bar-Yam, Y. (1997). *Dynamics of complex systems*. Reading: Addison-Wesley.
- Bateson, N. (1984). *Data construction in social surveys*. London: Allen & Unwin.
- Batty, M. (2010). Complexity in city systems: Understanding, evolution and design. In G. de Roo, & E. A. Silva (Eds.), *A planner's encounter with complexity* (pp. 99-122). Surrey: Ashgate.
- Befani, B. (2013). Between complexity and generalization: Addressing evaluation challenges with QCA. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 269-283.
- Befani, B., Ledermann, S., & Sager, F. (2007). Realistic evaluation and QCA: Conceptual parallels and an empirical application. *Evaluation*, 13(2), 171-192.
- Befani, B., & Sager, F. (2006). QCA as a tool for realistic evaluations: The case of the Swiss environmental impact assessment. In B. Rihoux, & H. Grimm (Eds.), *Innovative comparative methods for policy analysis: Beyond the quantitative-qualitative divide* (pp. 263-284). New York: Springer.
- Berg-Schlosser, D., De Meur, G., Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) as an approach. In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 1-18). London: Sage.
- Bertelsen, S. (2003). Construction as a complex system. *Proceedings of the International Group for Lean Construction*, 11.
- Bhaskar, R. ([1975]2008). *A realist theory of science*. London: Routledge.
- Bhaskar, R., & Hartwig, M. (2010). *The formation of critical realism: A personal perspective*. London: Routledge.
- Bing, L., Akintoye, A., Edwards, P. J., & Hardcastle, C. (2005). The allocation of risk in PPP/PFI construction projects in the UK. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(1), 25-35.
- Blackman, T., Wistow, J., & Byrne, D. S. (2013). Using qualitative comparative analysis to understand complex policy problems. *Evaluation*, 19(2), 126-140.
- Blomquist, T., Hällgren, M., Nilsson, A., & Söderholm, A. (2010). Project-as-practice: In search of project management research that matters. *Project Management Journal*, 41(1), 5-16.
- Boeije, H. (2010). *Analysis in qualitative research*. London: Sage.
- Boh, W. F. (2007). Mechanisms for sharing knowledge in project-based organizations. *Information and Organization*, 17(1), 27-58.
- Boisot, M., & McKelvey, B. (2011). Complexity and organization-environment relations: Revisiting Ashby's law of requisite variety. In P. Allen, S. Maguire, & B. McKelvey (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of complexity and management* (pp. 279-298). London: Sage.
- Boons, F. A. A., Van Buuren, M. W., Gerrits, L. M., & Teisman, G. R. (2009). Towards an approach of evolutionary public management. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 231-249). New York: Routledge.
- Boons, F. A. A., Spekkink, W. A. H., & Jiao, W. (2014). A process perspective on industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18(3), 341-355.
- Booth, C., & Richardson, T. (2001). Placing the public in integrated transport planning. *Transport Policy*, 8(2), 141-149.
- Bovaird, T. (2004). Public-private partnerships: From contested concepts to prevalent practice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 70(2), 199-215.
- Bressers, N. E. W. (2011). *Co-creating innovation: A systemic learning evaluation of knowledge and innovation programmes*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Bressers, N. E. W., Van Twist, M. J. W., & Ten Heuvelhof, E. F. (2013). Exploring the temporal dimension in policy evaluation studies. *Policy Sciences*, 46(1), 23-37.
- Bristow, A. L., & Nellthorpe, J. (2000). Transport project appraisal in the European Union. *Transport Policy*, 7(1), 51-60.
- Browne, D., & Ryan, L. (2011). Comparative analysis of evaluation techniques for transport policies.

- Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 31(3), 226-233.
- Bryman, A. (2004). *Social research methods*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2006). The design and implementation of cross-sector collaborations: Propositions from the literature. *Public Administration Review*, 66(S1), 44-55.
- Buijs, M. J., Eshuis, J., & Byrne, D. S. (2009). Approaches to researching complexity in public management. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 37-55). New York: Routledge.
- Bult-Spiering, M., & Dewulf, G. P. M. R. (2006). *Strategic issues in public-private partnerships: An international perspective*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Busscher, T. (2014). *Towards a programme-oriented planning approach: Linking strategies and projects for adaptive infrastructure planning*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Byrne, D. S. (1998). *Complexity theory and the social sciences: An introduction*. London: Routledge.
- Byrne, D. S. (2001). *Understanding the urban*. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Byrne, D. S. (2002). *Interpreting quantitative data*. London: Sage.
- Byrne, D. S. (2003). Complexity theory and planning theory: A necessary encounter. *Planning Theory*, 2(3), 171-178.
- Byrne, D. S. (2005). Complexity, configurations and cases. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 22(5), 95-111.
- Byrne, D. S. (2009). Complex realist and configurational approaches to cases: A radical synthesis. In D. S. Byrne, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 101-119). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D. S. (2011a). *Applying social science: The role of social research in politics, policy and practice*. Bristol: The Policy Press.
- Byrne, D. S. (2011b). Exploring organizational effectiveness: The value of complex realism as a frame of reference and systematic comparison as a method. In P. Allen, S. Maguire, & B. McKelvey (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of complexity and management* (pp. 131-141). London: sage.
- Byrne, D. S. (2013). Evaluating complex social interventions in a complex world. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 217-228.
- Byrne, D. S., & Callaghan, G. (2013). *Complexity theory and the social sciences: The state of the art*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Callaghan, G. (2008). Evaluation and negotiated order: Developing the application of complexity theory. *Evaluation*, 14(4), 399-411.
- Cantarelli, C. C. (2011). *Cost overruns in large-scale transport infrastructure projects: A theoretical and empirical exploration for the Netherlands and worldwide*. Delft: Trail Research School.
- Cantarelli, C. C., Flyvbjerg, B., & Buhl, S. L. (2012). Geographical variation in project cost performance: The Netherlands versus worldwide. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 24, 324-331.
- Cantarelli, C. C., Flyvbjerg, B., Van Wee, B., & Molin, E. J. E. (2010). Lock-in and its influence on the project performance of large-scale transportation infrastructure projects: Investigating the way in which lock-in can emerge and affect cost overruns. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 37(5), 792-807.
- Cantarelli, C. C., Molin, E. J. E., Van Wee, B., & Flyvbjerg, B. (2012). Characteristics of cost overruns for Dutch transport infrastructure projects and the importance of the decision to build and project phases. *Transport Policy*, 22, 49-56.
- Cantarelli, C. C., Van Wee, B., Molin, E. J. E., & Flyvbjerg, B. (2012). Different cost performance: Different determinants? The case of cost overruns in Dutch transport infrastructure projects. *Transport Policy*, 22, 88-95.
- Caren, N., & Panofsky, A. (2005). TQCA: A technique for adding temporality to qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 34(2), 147-172.
- Carter, B., & Sealey, A. (2009). Reflexivity, realism and the process of casing. In D. S. Byrne, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 69-83). London: Sage.
- Castellani, B. (2014). Map of the complexity sciences. Retrieved from http://www.art-sciencefactory.com/complexity-map_febo9.html.
- Chan, A. P. C., Chan, D. W. M., & Ho, K. S. K. (2003). An empirical study of the benefits of construction partnering in Hong Kong. *Construction Management and Economics*, 21(5), 523-533.

References

- Chan, H., & Levitt, R. E. (2011). To talk or to fight? Effects of strategic, cultural, and institutional factors on renegotiation approaches in public-private concessions. In R. W. Scott, R. E. Levitt, & R. J. Orr (Eds.), *Global projects: Institutional and political challenges* (pp. 310-350). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Checkland, P. (1981). *Systems thinking, systems practice*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Chinyio, E., & Olomolaiye, P. (Eds.). (2010). *Construction stakeholder management*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Chung, D., Hensher, D. A., & Rose, J. M. (2010). Toward the betterment of risk allocation: Investigating risk perceptions of Australian stakeholder groups to public-private-partnership tollroad projects. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 30(1), 43-58.
- Cicmil, S., Williams, T. M., Thomas, J., & Hodgson, D. (2006). Rethinking project management: Researching the actuality of projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 675-686.
- Cilliers, P. (1998). *Complexity and postmodernism: Understanding complex systems*. London: Routledge.
- Cilliers, P. (2000a). Knowledge, complexity, and understanding. *Emergence*, 2(4), 7-13.
- Cilliers, P. (2000b). What can we learn from a theory of complexity? *Emergence*, 2(1), 23-33.
- Cilliers, P. (2001). Boundaries, hierarchies and networks in complex systems. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 5(2), 135-147.
- Cilliers, P. (2002). Why we cannot know complex things completely. *Emergence*, 4(1/2), 77-84.
- Cilliers, P. (2005a). Complexity, deconstruction and relativism. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 22(5), 255-267.
- Cilliers, P. (2005b). Knowledge, limits and boundaries. *Futures*, 37(7), 605-613.
- Colander, D., & Kupers, R. (2014). *Complexity and the art of public policy: Solving society's problems from the bottom up*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Committee PFI. (2008). *Op de goede weg en het juiste spoor: Advies van de Commissie Private Financiering van Infrastructuur*. Den Haag: Commissie Private Financiering van Infrastructuur.
- Committee Veerman. (2009). *Bouwen aan verbinding: De Noord/Zuidlijn Amsterdam. Advies van de onafhankelijke Commissie Veerman over de toekomst van de Noord/Zuidlijn te Amsterdam*.
- Cooper, K. G., Lyneis, J. M., & Bryant, B. J. (2002). Learning to learn, from past to future. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(3), 213-219.
- CPB, & NEI. (2000a). *Evaluatie van grote infrastructuurprojecten: Leidraad voor kosten-batenanalyse: Deel 1 hoofdrapport*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat & Ministerie van Economische Zaken.
- CPB, & NEI. (2000b). *Evaluatie van grote infrastructuurprojecten: Leidraad voor kosten-batenanalyse: Deel 2 capita selecta*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat & Ministerie van Economische Zaken.
- CPB, & NEI. (2000c). *Evaluatie van infrastructuurprojecten: Leidraad voor kosten-batenanalyse*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat & Ministerie van Economische Zaken.
- Cronqvist, L. (2004). Presentation of Tosmana: Adding multi-value variables and visual aids to QCA. *Compass Working Paper, WP 2004-20*.
- Cronqvist, L. (2011). *Tosmana: Tool for small-n analysis 1.3.2.0*. Trier: University of Trier.
- Cronqvist, L., & Berg-Schlosser, D. (2009). Multi-value QCA (mvQCA). In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 69-86). London: Sage.
- Cruz, C. O., & Marques, R. C. (2013). Flexible contracts to cope with uncertainty in public-private partnerships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(3), 473-483.
- Da Costa, A. F., Pegado, E., Ávila, P., & Coelho, A. R. (2013). Mixed-methods evaluation in complex programmes: The national reading plan in Portugal. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 39, 1-9.
- Da Cruz, N. F., & Marques, R. C. (2012). Mixed companies and local governance: No man can serve two masters. *Public Administration*, 90(3), 737-758.
- Da Cruz, N. F., Simões, P., & Marques, R. C. (2013). The hurdles of local governments with PPP contracts in the waste sector. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 31(2), 292-307.
- D'Alessandro, L., Bailey, S. J., & Giorgino, M. (2014). PPPs as strategic alliances: From technocratic to multidimensional risk governance. *Managerial Finance*, 40(11), 1095-1111.
- Davidson, E. J. (2005). *Evaluation methodology basics: The nuts and bolts of sound evaluation*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

- Davies, R. (2004). Scale, complexity and the representation of theories of change. *Evaluation*, 10(1), 101-121.
- De Bruijn, J. A., & Leijten, M. (2008). Management characteristics of mega-projects. In H. Priemus, B. Flyvbjerg, & B. van Wee (Eds.), *Decision making on mega-projects: Cost-benefit analysis, planning and innovation* (pp. 23-39). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- De Bruijn, J. A., Ten Heuvelhof, E. F., & In't Veld, R. J. (2010). *Process management: Why project management fails in complex decision making processes*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- De Brux, J. (2010). The dark and bright sides of renegotiation: An application to transport concession contracts. *Utilities Policy*, 18(2), 77-85.
- De Hoo, S. C. (1982). *Besluitvorming en rijkswege-naanleg: Analyse van het besluitvormingsproces over rijkswegeprojecten*. Leiden: Sociologisch Instituut Rijksuniversiteit Leiden.
- De Jong, W. M., & Geerlings, H. (2003). Exposing weaknesses in interactive planning: The remarkable return of comprehensive policy analysis in the Netherlands. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 21(4), 281-291.
- De Jong, W. M., & Geerlings, H. (Eds.). (2004). *A roadmap for infrastructure appraisal*. Delft: Delft University Press.
- De Meur, G., Rihoux, B., & Yamasaki, S. (2009). Addressing the critiques of QCA. In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 147-166). London: Sage.
- De Roo, G. (2010). Being or becoming? That is the question! Confronting complexity with contemporary planning theory. In G. de Roo, & E. A. Silva (Eds.), *A planner's encounter with complexity* (pp. 19-40). Surrey: Ashgate.
- De Schepper, S., Dooms, M., & Haezendonck, E. (2014). Stakeholder dynamics and responsibilities in public-private partnerships: A mixed experience. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(7), 1210-1222.
- Delhi, V. S. K., Mahalingam, A., & Palukuri, S. (2012). Governance issues in BOT based PPP infrastructure projects in India. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 2(2), 234-249.
- Dennard, L. F., Richardson, K. A., & Morçöl, G. (2005). Guest editors' introduction. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 7(1), v-viii.
- Dennard, L. F., Richardson, K. A., & Morçöl, G. (Eds.). (2008). *Complexity and policy analysis: Tools and concepts for designing robust policies in a complex world*. Goodyear: ISCE Publishing.
- Dewulf, G. P. M. R., & Castaño, J. M. (2013). The Netherlands. In K. Verhoest, N. Carbonara, V. Lember, O. H. Petersen, W. Scherrer, & M. van den Hurk (Eds.), *COST action TU1001 public private partnerships in transport: Trends & theory (P3T3) - 2013 discussion papers part 1: Country profiles* (pp. 55-68). Brussels: COST Office.
- Dimitriou, H. T. (2014). What constitutes a 'successful' mega transport project? *Planning Theory & Practice*, 15(3), 389-392.
- Dimitriou, H. T., Ward, E. J., & Wright, P. G. (2013). Mega transport projects - Beyond the 'iron triangle': Findings from the OMEGA research programme. *Progress in Planning*, 86, 1-43.
- Dubois, A., & Gadde, L. E. (2002). Systematic combining: An abductive approach to case research. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(7), 553-560.
- Duşa, A., & Thiem, A. (2014). *A package for qualitative comparative analysis: Version 1.1-2*.
- Easton, G. (2010). Critical realism in case study research. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(1), 118-128.
- Edelenbos, J., & Klijn, E. H. (2006). Managing stakeholder involvement in decision making: A comparative analysis of six interactive processes in the Netherlands. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(3), 417-446.
- Edelenbos, J., & Klijn, E. H. (2009). Project versus process management in public-private partnership: Relation between management style and outcomes. *International Public Management Journal*, 12(3), 310-331.
- Edelenbos, J., Klijn, E. H., & Kort, M. B. (2009). Managing complex process systems: Surviving at the edge of chaos. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 172-192). New York: Routledge.
- Edelenbos, J., & Teisman, G. R. (2008). Public-private partnerships on the edge of project and process management: Insights from Dutch practice: The Sijtwende spatial development project. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 26(3), 614-626.

References

- Edelenbos, J., & Van Buuren, M. W. (2005). The learning evaluation: A theoretical and empirical exploration. *Evaluation Review*, 29(6), 591-612.
- Edelenbos, J., & Van Eeten, M. (2001). The missing link: Processing variation in dialogical evaluation. *Evaluation*, 7(2), 204-210.
- Editor. (2014, 6 oktober). Partijen A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein zwijgen over kostenconflict. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Editors. (2014, 8 juli). Aannemers worstelen met A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Edland, A., & Svenson, O. (1993). Judgment and decision making under time pressure: Studies and findings. In O. Svenson, & A. J. Maule (Eds.), *Time pressure and stress in human judgment and decision making* (pp. 27-40). New York: Plenum Press.
- Elder-Vass, D. (2005). Emergence and the realist account of cause. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 4(2), 315-338.
- El-Gohary, N. M., Osman, H., & El-Diraby, T. E. (2006). Stakeholder management for public private partnerships. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(7), 595-604.
- Engwall, M. (2003). No project is an island: Linking projects to history and context. *Research Policy*, 32(5), 789-808.
- EVA-TREN. (2008). *Deliverable 5: Guidelines for ex-ante and ex-post evaluation*. Brussels: European Commission.
- Eversdijk, A. W. W. (2013). *Kiezen voor publiek-private samenwerking*. Den Haag: Boom|Lemma.
- Eversdijk, A. W. W., & Korsten, A. F. A. (2008). De bestuurskundige mythe van verbindend PPS-management: De Tweede Coentunnel als illustratie. *Bestuurswetenschappen*, 62(3), 29-56.
- Eversdijk, A. W. W., & Korsten, A. F. A. (2009). Concessionele publiek-private samenwerkingsrelaties: Feiten en ficties bij op DBFM gebaseerde infrastructurele projecten. *Bestuurswetenschappen*, 63(3), 25-44.
- Eversdijk, A. W. W., Nagelkerke, M. C. J., Sewbalak, C., Van den Blink, E., & Rodenburg, C. (2011). *Evaluatie DBFM aanbestedingen: Ervaringen delen werkt*. Utrecht: Rijkswaterstaat Dienst Infrastructuur.
- Fischer, F., & Forester, J. (Eds.). (1987). *Confronting values in policy analysis: The politics of criteria*. London: Sage.
- Fischer, F., & Forester, J. (Eds.). (1993). *The argumentative turn in policy analysis and planning*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Fiss, P. C. (2007). A set-theoretic approach to organizational configurations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4), 1180-1198.
- Fiss, P. C., Cambré, B., & Marx, A. (Eds.). (2013). *Configurational theory and methods in organizational research*. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Flood, R. L. (1999a). Knowing of the unknowable. *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, 12(3), 247-256.
- Flood, R. L. (1999b). *Rethinking the fifth discipline: Learning within the unknowable*. London: Routledge.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2007a). Cost overruns and demand shortfalls in urban rail and other infrastructure. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 30(1), 9-30.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2007b). Policy and planning for large-infrastructure projects: Problems, causes and cures. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 34(4), 578-597.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2008). Public planning of mega-projects: Overestimation of demand and underestimation of costs. In H. Priemus, B. Flyvbjerg, & B. van Wee (Eds.), *Decision-making on mega-projects: Cost-benefit analysis, planning and innovation* (pp. 120-144). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009a). Optimism and misrepresentation in early project development. In T. M. Williams, K. Samsel, & K. J. Sunnevåg (Eds.), *Making essential choices with scant information: Front-end decision making in major projects* (pp. 147-168). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009b). Survival of the unfittest: Why the worst infrastructure gets built - And what we can do about it. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 25(3), 344-367.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Bruzelius, N., & Rothengatter, W. (2003). *Megaprojects and risk: An anatomy of ambition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2002). Underestimating costs in public works projects: Error or lie? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 68(3), 279-295.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2003). How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 23(1), 71-88.

- Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2004). What causes cost overrun in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 24(1), 3-18.
- Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2005). How (in)accurate are demand forecasts in public work projects? The case of transportation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 71(2), 131-146.
- Forester, J. (1999). *The deliberative practitioner: Encouraging participatory planning processes*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Forrer, J., Kee, J. E., Newcomer, K. E., & Boyer, E. (2010). Public-private partnerships and the public accountability question. *Public Administration Review*, 70(3), 475-484.
- Forss, K., Marra, M., & Schwartz, R. (Eds.). (2011). *Evaluating the complex: Attribution, contribution, and beyond*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Friese, S. (2013). *ATLAS.ti 7: User guide and reference*. Berlin: Scientific Software Development GmbH.
- Fritzsche, E. (2014). Making hermeneutics explicit: How QCA supports an insightful dialogue between theory and cases. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 17(4), 403-426.
- Geerlings, H., & De Jong, W. M. (2004). *Wegen voor beoordeling: Een onderzoek naar de afweging van grootschalige infrastructuur*. Delft: TRAIL Research School.
- Geraldi, J., Maylor, H., & Williams, T. M. (2011). Now, let's make it really complex (complicated): A systematic review of the complexities of projects. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 31(9), 966-990.
- Gerrits, L. M. (2008). *The gentle art of coevolution: A complexity theory perspective on decision making over estuaries in Germany, Belgium and the Netherlands*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Gerrits, L. M. (2011). A coevolutionary revision of decision making processes: An analysis of port extensions in Germany, Belgium and the Netherlands. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 35(3), 309-339.
- Gerrits, L. M. (2012). *Punching clouds: An introduction to the complexity of public decision-making*. Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Gerrits, L. M., & Marks, P. K. (Eds.). (2012). *Compact I: Public administration in complexity*. Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Gerrits, L. M., & Marks, P. K. (2014). Vastgeklonken aan de Pyra: Een pad-afhankelijkheidsanalyse van de onvermijdelijke keuze voor de dalende flitstrein. *Bestuurskunde*, 23(1), 55-64.
- Gerrits, L. M., & Marks, P. K. (OnlineFirst). The evolution of Wright's (1932) adaptive field to contemporary interpretations and uses of fitness landscapes in the social sciences. *Biology & Philosophy*, doi:10.1007/s10539-014-9450-2.
- Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S. (2013). Critical realism as a meta-framework for understanding the relationships between complexity and qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 12(2), 166-182.
- Gerrits, L. M., & Verweij, S. (Accepted). Qualitative comparative analysis as a method for evaluating complex cases: An overview of literature and a stepwise guide with empirical application. *Zeitschrift für Evaluation*.
- Geyer, R., & Rihani, S. (2010). *Complexity and public policy: A new approach to 21st century politics, policy and society*. New York: Routledge.
- Giezen, M. (2012). *Navigating mega projects through complexity and uncertainty: Strategic and adaptive capacity in planning and decision-making*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Goverde, H. (2012). Rijkswaterstaat, on the horns of a dilemma. *Journal of Political Power*, 5(2), 333-351.
- Grant-Muller, S. M., MacKie, P., Nellthorp, J., & Pearman, A. (2001). Economic appraisal of European transport projects: The state-of-the-art revisited. *Transport Reviews*, 21(2), 237-261.
- Greene, J. C. (1988). Communication of results and utilization in participatory program evaluation. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 11(4), 341-351.
- Greene, J. C. (2001). Dialogue in evaluation: A relational perspective. *Evaluation*, 7(2), 181-187.
- Greiman, V. A. (2013). *Megaproject management: Lessons on risk and project management from the Big Dig*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Gribbin, J. (2004). *Deep simplicity: Chaos, complexity and the emergence of life*. London: Penguin Science.
- Grimsey, D., & Lewis, M. K. (2004). *Public private partnerships: The worldwide revolution in infrastructure provision and project finance*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Grimsey, D., & Lewis, M. K. (2005). Are public private partnerships value for money? Evaluating alternative approaches and comparing academic

References

- and practitioner views. *Accounting Forum*, 29(4), 345-378.
- Grofman, B., & Schneider, C. Q. (2009). An introduction to crisp set QCA with a comparison to binary logistic regression. *Political Research Quarterly*, 62(4), 662-672.
- Gross, M. E., & Garvin, M. J. (2011). Structuring PPP toll-road contracts to achieve public pricing objectives. *Engineering Project Organization Journal*, 1(2), 143-156.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1989). *Fourth generation evaluation*. London: Sage.
- Hadžikadić, M. (2014). Editor's introduction. *Journal on Policy and Complex Systems*, 1(1), 1-1.
- Hak, T., Jaspers, F., & Dul, J. (2013). The analysis of temporally ordered configurations: Challenges and solutions. In P. C. Fiss, B. Cambré, & A. Marx (Eds.), *Configurational theory and methods in organizational research* (pp. 107-127). Bingley: Emerald.
- Hamersma, M., Tillema, T., Sussman, J., & Arts, J. (2014). Residential satisfaction close to highways: The impact of accessibility, nuisances and highway adjustment projects. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 59(1), 106-121.
- Hammersley, M. (2008). Causality as conundrum: The case of qualitative inquiry. *Methodological Innovations Online*, 2(3).
- Hammersley, M. (2009). Challenging relativism: The problem of assessment criteria. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 15(1), 3-29.
- Han, S. H., Yun, S., Kim, H., Kwak, Y. H., Park, H. K., & Lee, S. H. (2009). Analyzing schedule delay of mega project: Lessons learned from Korea Train Express. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 56(2), 243-256.
- Harvey, D. L. (2009). Complexity and case. In D. S. Byrne, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 15-38). London: Sage.
- Hayashi, Y., & Morisugi, H. (2000). International comparison of background concept and methodology of transportation project appraisal. *Transport Policy*, 7(1), 73-88.
- Haynes, P. (2001). Complexity, quantification and the management of policy. *Social Issues*, 1(2).
- Haynes, P. (2003). *Managing complexity in the public services*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Healey, P. (1997). *Collaborative planning: Shaping places in fragmented societies*. Vancouver: UBC Press.
- Hecht, H., & Niemeier, D. A. (2002). Comparing transportation project development efficiencies: The California Department of Transportation and the California county sales tax agencies. *Transport Policy*, 9(4), 347-356.
- Heeres, N., Tillema, T., & Arts, J. (2012). Integration in Dutch planning of motorways: From 'line' towards 'area-oriented' approaches. *Transport Policy*, 24, 148-158.
- Hertogh, M. J. C. M., Baker, S., Staal-Ong, P. L., & Westerveld, E. (2008). *Managing large infrastructure projects: Research on best practices and lessons learnt in large infrastructure projects in Europe*. Baarn: AT Osborne.
- Hertogh, M. J. C. M., & Westerveld, E. (2010). *Playing with complexity: Management and organisation of large infrastructure projects*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Hicks, A. (1994). Qualitative comparative analysis and analytical induction: The case of the emergence of the social security state. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 23(1), 86-113.
- Hino, A. (2009). Time-series QCA: Studying temporal change through Boolean analysis. *Sociological Theory and Methods*, 24(2), 247-265.
- Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2007). Public-private partnerships: An international performance review. *Public Administration Review*, 67(3), 545-558.
- Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2009). PPPs: The passage of time permits a sober reflection. *Economic Affairs*, 29(1), 33-39.
- Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C. (2013). Introduction: Public-private partnerships in turbulent times. In C. Greve, & G. A. Hodge (Eds.), *Rethinking public-private partnerships: Strategies for turbulent times* (pp. 1-32). New York: Routledge.
- Hoezen, M. E. L. (2012). *The competitive dialogue procedure: Negotiations and commitment in inter-organisational construction projects*. Enschede: University of Twente.
- Holvoet, N., & Dewachter, S. (2013). Multiple paths to effective national evaluation societies: Evidence from 37 low- and middle-income countries. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 34(4), 519-544.
- Hoorens, S., & Oortwijn, W. (2005). *Richting een beleidsevaluatie cultuur in Nederland: Identificatie van knelpunten en oplossingsrichtingen*. Leiden: RAND Europe.
- Hsieh, T., Lu, S., & Wu, C. (2004). Statistical analysis of causes for change orders in metropolitan public

- works. *International Journal of Project Management*, 22(8), 679-686.
- Hu, Y., Chan, A. P. C., Le, Y., & Jin, R. (2013). From construction megaproject management to complex project management: Bibliographic analysis. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000254.
- Hudson, J., & Kühner, S. (2013). Qualitative comparative analysis and applied public policy analysis: New applications of innovative methods. *Policy and Society*, 32(4), 279-287.
- Hwang, B., Zhao, X., & Gay, M. J. S. (2013). Public private partnership projects in Singapore: Factors, critical risks and preferred risk allocation from the perspective of contractors. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(3), 424-433.
- Ibrahim, A. D., Price, A. D. F., & Dainty, A. R. J. (2006). The analysis and allocation of risks in public private partnerships in infrastructure projects in Nigeria. *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*, 11(3), 149-163.
- Innes, J. E., & Booher, D. E. (2010). *Planning with complexity: An introduction to collaborative rationality for public policy*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Irfan, M., Khursid, M. B., Anastasopoulos, P., Labi, S., & Moavenzadeh, F. (2011). Planning-stage estimation of highway project duration on the basis of anticipated costs, project type, and contract type. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(1), 78-92.
- Ismail, S. (2011). A systematic review of research on private finance initiative (PFI) and public private partnership (PPP). *International Journal of Economics, Management & Accounting*, 19(3), 33-60.
- Jackson, S. F., & Kolla, G. (2012). A new realistic evaluation analysis method: Linking coding of context, mechanism, and outcome relationships. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33(3), 339-349.
- Jacobs, J. (1992). *Systems of survival: A dialogue on the moral foundations of commerce and politics*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Jacobson, C., & Choi, S. O. (2008). Success factors: Public works and public-private partnerships. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 21(6), 637-657.
- Jeffares, S., Sullivan, H., & Bovaird, T. (2013). Beyond the contract: The challenge of evaluating the performance(s) of public-private partnerships. In C. Greve, & G. A. Hodge (Eds.), *Rethinking public-private partnerships: Strategies for turbulent times* (pp. 166-187). New York: Routledge.
- Jones, R., & Noble, G. (2008). Managing the implementation of public-private partnerships. *Public Money & Management*, 28(2), 109-114.
- Jooste, S. F., & Scott, R. W. (2012). The public-private partnership enabling field: Evidence from three cases. *Administration & Society*, 44(2), 149-182.
- Jordan, E., Gross, M. E., Javernick-Will, A. N., & Garvin, M. J. (2011). Use and misuse of qualitative comparative analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1159-1173.
- Kaliba, C., Muya, M., & Mumba, K. (2009). Cost escalation and schedule delays in road construction projects in Zambia. *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(5), 522-531.
- Kärnä, S., Junnonen, J., Manninen, A., & Julin, P. (2013). Exploring project participants' satisfaction in the infrastructure projects. *Engineering Project Organization Journal*, 3(4), 186-197.
- Kaufman, R., Keller, J., & Watkins, R. (1996). What works and what doesn't: Evaluation beyond Kirkpatrick. *Performance + Instruction*, 35(2), 8-12.
- Ke, Y., Wang, S. Q., Chan, A. P. C., & Cheung, E. (2009). Research trend of public-private partnership in construction journals. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 135(10), 1076-1086.
- Ke, Y., Wang, S. Q., Chan, A. P. C., & Lam, P. T. I. (2010). Preferred risk allocation in China's public-private partnership (PPP) projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(5), 482-492.
- Kerseboom, J. (2008). *Leren van evalueren: Over het niet-gebruik van beleidsinformatie*. *Bestuurskunde*, 17(2), 41-46.
- KiM. (2008a). *De rol van kosten-batenanalyse in de besluitvorming*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- KiM. (2008b). *Wikken en wegen: Het afwegen van investeringen in transportinfrastructuur en van de veranderende rol van de overheid*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- KiM. (2009). *Na het knippen van het lint: Het ex-post evalueren van infrastructuur*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- KiM. (2010). *Nut en noodzaak: Het afwegen van kosten en baten: Een bijdrage aan snellere en betere besluitvorming bij infrastructuurprojecten*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- KiM. (2013). *Werkprogramma 2013*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- KING. (2009). *Omgaan met cultuur in megaprojecten*. Rotterdam: Programma Kennis in het Groot.

References

- Klijin, E. H. (2009). Public-private partnerships in the Netherlands: Policy, projects and lessons. *Economic Affairs*, 29(1), 26-32.
- Klijin, E. H. (2010). Public-private partnerships: Deciphering meaning, message and phenomenon. In G. A. Hodge, C. Greve, & A. E. Boardman (Eds.), *International handbook on public-private partnerships* (pp. 68-80). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Klijin, E. H., Edelenbos, J., & Hughes, M. (2007). Public-private partnership: A two-headed reform. A comparison of PPP in England and the Netherlands. In C. Pollitt, S. van Thiel, & V. M. F. Homburg (Eds.), *New public management in Europe: Adaptation and alternatives* (pp. 71-89). Basingstoke: Palgrave MacMillian.
- Klijin, E. H., Edelenbos, J., Kort, M. B., & Van Twist, M. J. W. (2006). *Management op het grensvlak van publiek en privaats: Hoe managers omgaan met dilemma's in complexe ruimtelijke PPS-projecten*. Den Haag: Lemma.
- Klijin, E. H., Edelenbos, J., Kort, M. B., & Van Twist, M. J. W. (2008). Facing management choices: An analysis of managerial choices in 18 complex environmental public private partnership projects. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 74(2), 251-278.
- Klijin, E. H., Steijn, A. J., & Edelenbos, J. (2010). The impact of network management on outcomes in governance networks. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1063-1082.
- Klijin, E. H., & Teisman, G. R. (2000a). Governing public-private partnerships: Analysing and managing the processes and institutional characteristics of public-private partnerships. In S. P. Osborne (Ed.), *Public-private partnerships: Theory and practice in international perspective* (pp. 85-102). London: Routledge.
- Klijin, E. H., & Teisman, G. R. (2000b). Managing public-private partnerships: Influencing processes and institutional context of public-private partnerships. In O. van Heffen, W. J. M. Kickert, & J. J. A. Thomassen (Eds.), *Governance in modern society: Effects, change and formation of government institutions* (pp. 329-348). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Klijin, E. H., & Teisman, G. R. (2003). Institutional and strategic barriers to public-private partnership: An analysis of Dutch cases. *Public Money & Management*, 23(3), 137-146.
- Klijin, E. H., & Teisman, G. R. (2005). Public-private partnerships as the management of co-production: Strategic and institutional obstacles in a difficult marriage. In G. A. Hodge, & C. Greve (Eds.), *The challenge of public-private partnerships: Learning from international experience* (pp. 95-116). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Klijin, E. H., & Van Twist, M. J. W. (2007). Publiek-private samenwerking in Nederland: Overzicht van theorie en praktijk. *Management & Organisatie*, 61(3/4), 156-170.
- Knowledge Centre PPP. (2008). *DBFM-handboek: Een verkenning van contractonderdelen*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Financiën.
- Koliba, C., Zia, A., & Lee, B. H. Y. (2011). Utilizing computer simulation models to manage complex governance networks. *The Innovation Journal*, 16(1), 1-26.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M. (2005). The formation of public-private partnerships: Lessons from nine transport infrastructure projects in the Netherlands. *Public Administration*, 83(1), 135-157.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M. (2008). Public-private partnership and mega-projects. In H. Priemus, B. Flyvbjerg, & B. van Wee (Eds.), *Decision-making on mega-projects: Cost-benefit analysis, planning and innovation* (pp. 189-212). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Klijin, E. H. (2004). *Managing uncertainties in networks: A network approach to problem solving and decision making*. London: Routledge.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Leijten, M. (2005a). Hoe verkoop ik een spoorweg? De lessen van het privatiseringsstreven bij de Betuweroute, HSL-Zuid en Zuiderzeelijn. *Beleid en Maatschappij*, 32(3), 139-154.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Leijten, M. (2005b). Privatizing railroads: The problematic involvement of the private sector in two Dutch railway projects. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 27(2), 181-199.
- Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Leijten, M. (2007). How to sell a railway: Lessons on the privatization of three Dutch railway projects. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 7(3), 201-222.
- Kort, M. B., Verweij, S., & Klijin, E. H. (Accepted). In search for effective public-private partnerships: An assessment of the impact of organizational form and managerial strategies in urban regeneration

- partnerships using fsQCA. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*.
- Kreukels, A. M. J., & Spit, T. J. M. (1990). Public-private partnerships in the Netherlands. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, 81(5), 388-392.
- Kumaraswamy, M. M., & Zhang, X. Q. (2001). Government role in BOT-led infrastructure development. *International Journal of Project Management*, 19(4), 195-205.
- Kwak, Y. H., Chih, Y. Y., & Ibbs, C. W. (2009). Towards a comprehensive understanding of public private partnerships for infrastructure development. *California Management Review*, 51(2), 51-78.
- Landini, S., & Occelli, S. (2012). Editorial: Innovative public policy - The role of complexity science. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 14(4), vii-xiii.
- Larson, E. (1997). Partnering on construction projects: A study of the relationship between partnering activities and project success. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 44(2), 188-195.
- Lawther, W. C., & Martin, L. (2014). Availability payments and key performance indicators: Challenges for effective implementation of performance management systems in transportation public-private partnerships. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 19(3), 219-234.
- Ledermann, S. (2012). Exploring the necessary conditions for evaluation use in program change. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33(2), 159-178.
- Lee, J. (2008). Cost overrun and cause in Korean social overhead capital projects: Roads, rails, airports, and ports. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 134(2), 59-62.
- Leendertse, W., & Arts, J. (2013). Managing public infrastructure networks - On the horns of several dilemmas. In O. J. Klakegg, K. H. Kjølle, C. G. Mehaug, N. O. E. Olsson, A. T. Shiferaw, & R. Woods (Eds.), *Proceedings from the 7th Nordic conference on construction economics and organisation 2013: Green urbanisation - Implications for value creation* (pp. 191-202). Trondheim: Akademika Publishing.
- Leeuw, F. L. (2009). Evaluation policy in the Netherlands. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 2009(123), 87-102.
- Lehtiranta, L., Kärnä, S., Junnonen, J., & Julin, P. (2012). The role of multi-firm satisfaction in construction project success. *Construction Management and Economics*, 30(6), 463-475.
- Lehtonen, M. (2014). Evaluating megaprojects: From the 'iron triangle' to network mapping. *Evaluation*, 20(3), 278-295.
- Lei, D., & Hitt, M. A. (1995). Strategic restructuring and outsourcing: The effect of mergers and acquisitions and LBOs on building firm skills and capabilities. *Journal of Management*, 21(5), 835-859.
- Lenferink, S. (2013). *Market involvement throughout the planning lifecycle: Public and private experiences with evolving approaches integrating the road infrastructure planning process*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Lenferink, S. (2014). *Marktbetrokkenheid bij infrastructuurontwikkeling: 10 lessen voor het plan- en aanbestedingsproces*. Nijmegen: Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen.
- Lenferink, S., Arts, J., Tillema, T., Van Valkenburg, M., & Nijsten, R. (2012). Early contractor involvement in Dutch infrastructure development: Initial experiences with parallel procedures for planning and procurement. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 12(1), 1-42.
- Lenferink, S., Tillema, T., & Arts, J. (2013a). Public-private interaction in contracting: Governance strategies in the competitive dialogue of Dutch infrastructure projects. *Public Administration*, 91(4), 928-946.
- Lenferink, S., Tillema, T., & Arts, J. (2013b). Towards sustainable infrastructure development through integrated contracts: Experiences with inclusiveness in Dutch infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(4), 615-627.
- Leung, M., Ng, S. T., & Cheung, S. (2004). Measuring construction project participant satisfaction. *Construction Management and Economics*, 22(3), 319-331.
- Lieberson, S. (2004). Comments on the use and utility of QCA. *Qualitative Methods*, 2(2), 13-14.
- Linder, S. H. (1999). Coming to terms with the public-private partnership: A grammar of multiple meanings. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 43(1), 35-51.
- Little, R. G. (2011). The emerging role of public-private partnerships in megaproject delivery. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 16(3), 240-249.
- Losch, A. (2009). On the origins of critical realism. *Theology and Science*, 7(1), 85-106.
- Lousberg, L. H. M. J. (2012). *Sturen op haalbaarheid en relatie: Interventies om disfunctionele conflicten bij*

References

- publiek private samenwerking in ruimtelijke ontwikkelingsprojecten te voorkomen*. Delft: Technische Universiteit Delft.
- Love, P. E. D., Holt, G. D., Shen, L. Y., Li, H., & Irani, Z. (2002). Using systems dynamics to better understand change and rework in construction project management systems. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(6), 425-436.
- Love, P. E. D., Smith, J., Simpson, I., Regan, M., & Olatunji, O. (OnlineFirst). Understanding the landscape of overruns in transport infrastructure projects. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, doi:10.1068/b130102p.
- Mackie, J. L. (1980). *The cement of the universe: A study of causation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Magnussen, O. E., & Olsson, N. O. E. (2006). Comparative analysis of cost estimates of major public investment projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(4), 281-288.
- Mahoney, J. (2008). Toward a unified theory of causality. *Comparative Political Studies*, 41(4/5), 412-436.
- Manavazhi, M. R., & Adhikari, D. K. (2002). Material and equipment procurement delays in highway projects in Nepal. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(8), 627-632.
- Mantel, S. J., Meredith, J. R., Shafer, S. M., & Sutton, M. M. (2005). *Core concepts of project management*. New York: Wiley.
- March, J. G. (1994). *A primer on decision making: How decisions happen*. New York: The Free Press.
- Marra, A. (2007). The EU policy towards PPPs: A new institutional economics perspective. *Competition and Regulation in Network Industries*, 8(3), 261-281.
- Marra, M. (2011). Some insights from complexity science for the evaluation of complex policies. In K. Forss, M. Marra, & R. Schwartz (Eds.), *Evaluating the complex: Attribution, contribution, and beyond* (pp. 283-313). New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Marsden, G., & Stead, D. (2011). Policy transfer and learning in the field of transport: A review of concepts and evidence. *Transport Policy*, 18(3), 492-500.
- Marshall, S. (2009). *Cities, design & evolution*. London: Routledge.
- Marx, A. (2005). Systematisch comparatief case onderzoek en evaluatieonderzoek. *Tijdschrift voor Sociologie*, 26(1), 95-113.
- Marx, A., Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2014). The origins, development, and application of qualitative comparative analysis: The first 25 years. *European Political Science Review*, 6(1), 115-142.
- Maylor, H., Brady, T., Cooke-Davies, T., & Hodgson, D. (2006). From projectification to programmatication. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 663-674.
- McDaniel, R. R., & Driebe, D. J. (Eds.). (2005a). *Uncertainty and surprise in complex systems: Questions on working with the unexpected*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- McDaniel, R. R., & Driebe, D. J. (2005b). Uncertainty and surprise: An introduction. In R. R. McDaniel, & D. J. Driebe (Eds.), *Uncertainty and surprise in complex systems: Questions on working with the unexpected* (pp. 3-12). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- McDaniel, R. R., Jordan, M. E., & Fleeman, B. F. (2003). Surprise, surprise, surprise! A complexity science view of the unexpected. *Health Care Management Review*, 28(3), 266-278.
- Meek, J. W. (2010). Complexity theory for public administration and policy. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 12(1), 1-4.
- Meek, J. W. (2014). Editorial: Complexity theory and administrative learning - Adaptive practices in complex governance systems. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 16(1), 1-6.
- Meek, J. W., & Marshall, K. S. (Eds.). (2014). *Compact II: Administrative strategies for complex governance systems*. Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Merrrow, E. W. (1988). *Understanding the outcomes of megaprojects: A quantitative analysis of very large civilian projects*. Santa Monica: RAND Corporation.
- Metze, M. (1997). *Slag om de Betuweroute: Het spel langs de lijn*. Amsterdam: Balans.
- Metze, M. (2010). *Veranderend getij: Rijkswaterstaat in crisis*. Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Balans.
- Miller, C. R., & Lambert, B. (2014). Seventy-five years of transportation administration becoming public administration. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 19(4), 310-315.
- Ministries of I&M, EL&I, & BZK. (2011). *MIRT projectenboek 2012*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Ministries of I&M, EL&I, & BZK. (2012). *MIRT projectenboek 2013*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Ministries of V&W, VROM, EZ, & LNV. (2007). *MIRT projectenboek 2008*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.

- Ministries of V&W, VROM, EZ, LNV, & WWI. (2010). *MIRT projectenboek 2011*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- Ministry of Finance. (2012). *Voortgangrapportage DBFM(O) 2012*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Financiën.
- Ministry of I&M. (2013). *MIRT projectenboek 2014*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Mistarihi, A., Al Refai, M. S., Al Qaid, B. A., & Qeed, M. A. (2012). Competency requirements for managing public private partnerships (PPPs): The case of infrastructure projects in Jordan. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 7(12), 60-73.
- Mistarihi, A., Hutchings, K., & Shacklock, A. (2013). Differing opinions do not spoil friendship: Managing public-private partnership (PPP) infrastructure projects in Jordan. *Public Administration and Development*, 33(5), 371-388.
- Mitchell, M. (2009). *Complexity: A guided tour*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mjøset, L. (2009). The contextualist approach to social science methodology. In D. S. Byrne, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 39-68). London: Sage.
- Morçöl, G. (2001). What is complexity science? Post-modernist or postpositivist? *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 3(1), 104-119.
- Morçöl, G. (2008). Complexity of public policy and administration: Introduction to the special issue. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 32(3), 305-313.
- Morçöl, G. (2012). *A complexity theory for public policy*. New York: Routledge.
- Morçöl, G., Teisman, G. R., & Gerrits, L. M. (2014). Complexity, governance, and networks: Introduction to the inaugural issue. *Complexity, Governance & Networks*, 1(1), 1-4.
- Morgan, G. (2006). *Images of organization*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Mowles, C. (2014). Complex, but not quite complex enough: The turn to the complexity sciences in evaluation scholarship. *Evaluation*, 20(2), 160-175.
- Müller-Seitz, G., & Schüßler, E. (2013). From event management to managing events: A process perspective on organized and unexpected field-level events. In J. Koch, & J. Sydow (Eds.), *Organisation von temporalität und temporärem: Managementforschung* 23 (pp. 193-226). Wiesbaden: Springer.
- Municipality of Amsterdam. (2006). *Noord/Zuidlijn kwartaalverslag inclusief auto-onderdoorgang en busstation CS: 1e kwartaal 2006*. Amsterdam: Gemeente Amsterdam.
- Municipality of Amsterdam. (2013). *Noord/Zuidlijn: Verslag nr. 76: 1e kwartaal 2013*. Amsterdam: Gemeente Amsterdam.
- Næss, P., Flyvbjerg, B., & Buhl, S. L. (2006). Do road planners produce more 'honest numbers' than rail planners? An analysis of accuracy in road-traffic forecasts in cities versus peripheral regions. *Transport Reviews*, 26(5), 537-555.
- Neele, J. (2003). Waardse alliantie: Succesvolle samenwerking tussen opdrachtgever en bouwer in de Betuweroute. *Tijdschrift voor Bouwkostenkunde & Huisvestingseconomie*, 22(3), 8-11.
- Ng, A., & Loosemore, M. (2007). Risk allocation in the private provision of public infrastructure. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(1), 66-76.
- Nieuwenhuis, G. (2008). *De Betuweroute: Goederen sporen van zee naar Zevenaar*. Alkmaar: De Alk.
- Nijkamp, P., Van der Burch, M., & Vindigni, G. (2002). A comparative institutional evaluation of public-private partnerships in Dutch urban land-use and revitalisation projects. *Urban Studies*, 39(10), 1865-1880.
- Odeck, J. (2004). Cost overruns in road construction - What are their sizes and determinants? *Transport Policy*, 11(1), 43-53.
- Odeh, A. M., & Battaineh, H. T. (2002). Causes of construction delay: Traditional contracts. *International Journal of Project Management*, 20(1), 67-73.
- OECD. (2012). *Recommendation of the council on principles for public governance of public-private partnerships*. OECD.
- OECD Global Science Forum. (2009). *Applications for complexity science for public policy: New tools for finding unanticipated consequences and unrealized opportunities*. Paris: OECD.
- Olander, S., & Landin, A. (2005). Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(4), 321-328.
- Olsen, W. (2014). Comment: The usefulness of QCA under realist assumptions. *Sociological Methodology*, 44(1), 101-107.
- O'Toole, L. J., Meier, K. J., & Nicholson-Crotty, S. (2005). Managing upward, downward and outward: Networks, hierarchical relationships and performance. *Public Management Review*, 7(1), 45-68.

References

- Owens, J., Ahn, J., Shane, J. S., Strong, K. C., & Gransberg, D. D. (2012). Defining complex project management of large U.S. transportation projects: A comparative case study analysis. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 17(2), 170-188.
- Papadopoulos, T. (2012). Public-private partnerships from a systems perspective: A case in the English National Health Service. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 29(4), 420-435.
- Patton, M. Q. (2008). *Utilization-focused evaluation*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (2011). *Developmental evaluation: Applying complexity concepts to enhance innovation and use*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Pattyn, V. (2014). Why organizations (do not) evaluate? Explaining evaluation activity through the lens of configurational comparative methods. *Evaluation*, 20(3), 348-367.
- Pattyn, V., & Brans, M. (2013). Outsource versus in-house? An identification of organizational conditions influencing the choice for internal or external evaluators. *The Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 28(2), 43-63.
- Pattyn, V., & Verweij, S. (2014). Beleidsevaluaties tussen methode en praktijk: Naar een meer realistische evaluatiebenadering. *Burger, Bestuur & Beleid*, 8(4), 260-267.
- Pawson, R., & Tilley, N. (1997). *Realistic evaluation*. London: Sage.
- PBL, & KiM. (2010). *Met de kennis van nu: Leren van evalueren: Een casestudy: A5 Verlengde Westrandweg*. Den Haag: Uitgeverij PBL.
- Pel, B. (2009). The complexity of self-organization: Boundary judgments in traffic management. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 116-133). New York: Routledge.
- Pestman, P. K. (2001). *In het spoor van de Betuweroute: Mobilisatie, besluitvorming en institutionalisering rond een groot infrastructureel project*. Amsterdam: Rozenberg Publishers.
- Peters, D. (2010). Digging through the heart of reunified Berlin: Unbundling the decision-making process for the Tiergarten-tunnel mega-project. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 10(1), 89-102.
- Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (2006). *Hard facts, dangerous half-truths and total nonsense: Profiting from evidence-based management*. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Piperca, S., & Floricel, S. (2012). A typology of unexpected events in complex projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 5(2), 248-265.
- Pollitt, C. (2009). Complexity theory and evolutionary public administration: A sceptical afterword. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 213-230). New York: Routledge.
- Polydoropoulou, A., & Rouboutsos, A. (2009). Evaluating the impact of decision-making during construction on transport project outcome. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 32(4), 369-380.
- Poon, S. K., Chan, J., Poon, J., & Land, L. K. (2013). Patterned interactions in complex systems: The role of information technology for re-shaping organizational structures. *Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Information Systems*.
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. ([1973]1984). *Implementation: How great expectations in Washington are dashed in Oakland; Or, why it's amazing that federal programs work at all, this being a saga of the economic development administration as told by two sympathetic observers who seek to build morals on a foundation of ruined hopes*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Priemus, H. (2007a). Decision-making on large infrastructure projects: The role of the Dutch parliament. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 30(1), 71-93.
- Priemus, H. (2007b). Development and design of large infrastructure projects: Disregarded alternatives and issues of spatial planning. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 34(4), 626-644.
- Priemus, H. (2010). Besluitvorming infrastructuur: Sneller, maar ook beter? Commissie-Elverding versus Commissie-Duivesteijn. In S. Majoor (Ed.), *Voorbij de beheersing? Bijdragen aan de stadsontwikkeling in Amsterdam* (pp. 70-81). Amsterdam: Project Management Bureau Amsterdam.
- Prigogine, I. (1997). *The end of certainty: Time, chaos, and the new laws of nature*. New York: The Free Press.
- Projectbureau A2 Maastricht. (2012). *Jaarverslag 2011*. Maastricht: Projectbureau A2 Maastricht.
- Projectbureau A2 Maastricht. (2014). *Jaarverslag 2013*. Maastricht: Projectbureau A2 Maastricht.

- Provalis Research. (2013). *QDA miner lite 1.3*.
- Ragin, C. C. (1987). *The comparative method: Moving beyond qualitative and quantitative strategies*. Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (1992). Casing and the process of social inquiry. In C. C. Ragin, & H. S. Becker (Eds.), *What is a case? Exploring the foundations of social inquiry* (pp. 217-226). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (1999). Using qualitative comparative analysis to study causal complexity. *Health Services Research, 34*(5), 1225-1239.
- Ragin, C. C. (2000). *Fuzzy-set social science*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (2008a). *Redesigning social inquiry: Fuzzy sets and beyond*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C. C. (2008b). *User's guide to fuzzy-set/qualitative comparative analysis*. Tucson: Department of Sociology, University of Arizona.
- Ragin, C. C. (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis using fuzzy sets (fsQCA). In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 87-122). London: Sage.
- Ragin, C. C. (2014). Comment: Lucas and Szatrowski in critical perspective. *Sociological Methodology, 44*(1), 80-94.
- Ragin, C. C., & Amoroso, L. M. (2011). *Constructing social research: The unity and diversity of method*. London: Sage.
- Ragin, C. C., & Davey, S. (2009). *Fuzzy-set/qualitative comparative analysis 2.5*. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- Ragin, C. C., & Rihoux, B. (2004). Replies to commentators: Reassurances and rebuttals. *Qualitative Methods, 2*(2), 22-24.
- Ragin, C. C., Shulman, D., Weinberg, A., & Gran, B. (2003). Complexity, generality, and qualitative comparative analysis. *Field Methods, 15*(4), 323-340.
- Ragin, C. C., & Sonnett, J. (2005). Between complexity and parsimony: Limited diversity, counterfactual cases, and comparative analysis. In S. Kropp, & M. Minkenberg (Eds.), *Vergleichen in der politikwissenschaft* (pp. 180-197). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Ragin, C. C., & Strand, S. I. (2008). Using qualitative comparative analysis to study causal order: Comment on Caren and Panofsky (2005). *Sociological Methods and Research, 36*(4), 431-441.
- Rantala, K., & Hellström, E. (2001). Qualitative comparative analysis and a hermeneutic approach to interview data. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 4*(2), 87-100.
- Reed, M., & Harvey, D. L. (1992). The new science and the old: Complexity and realism in the social sciences. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 22*(4), 353-380.
- Reijniers, J. J. A. M. (1994). Organization of public-private partnership projects: The timely prevention of pitfalls. *International Journal of Project Management, 12*(3), 137-142.
- Rescher, N. (1995). *Luck: The brilliant randomness of everyday life*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Rescher, N. (1998). *Complexity: A philosophical overview*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Reynaers, A. (2014). *It takes two to tangle: Public-private partnerships and their impact on public values*. Amsterdam: VU University Amsterdam.
- Reynaers, A., & De Graaf, G. (2014). Public values in public-private partnerships. *International Journal of Public Administration, 37*(2), 120-128.
- Reynaers, A., & Verweij, S. (2014). Kritisch kijken naar kansen: De schaduwzijden van DBFMO. *ROMagazine, 32*(4), 32-34.
- Rhodes, M. L., Murphy, J., Muir, J., & Murray, J. A. (2011). *Public management and complexity theory: Richer decision-making in public services*. New York: Routledge.
- Rigden, S. R. (1983). Project management and the construction of the Hong Kong mass transit railway. *International Journal of Project Management, 1*(3), 136-141.
- Rihoux, B. (2003). Bridging the gap between the qualitative and quantitative worlds? A retrospective and prospective view on qualitative comparative analysis. *Field Methods, 15*(4), 351-365.
- Rihoux, B. (2006). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related systematic comparative methods: Recent advances and remaining challenges for social science research. *International Sociology, 21*(5), 679-706.
- Rihoux, B. (2013). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), anno 2013: Reframing the Comparative Method's seminal statements. *Swiss Political Science Review, 19*(2), 233-245.
- Rihoux, B., Álamos-Concha, P., Bol, D., Marx, A., & Rezsöhazy, I. (2013). From niche to mainstream method? A comprehensive mapping of QCA

References

- applications in journal articles from 1984 to 2011. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66(1), 175-184.
- Rihoux, B., & De Meur, G. (2009). Crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (csQCA). In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 33-68). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., & Grimm, H. (2006a). Conclusion - Innovative comparative methods for policy analysis: Milestones to bridge different worlds. In B. Rihoux, & H. Grimm (Eds.), *Innovative comparative methods for policy analysis: Beyond the quantitative-qualitative divide* (pp. 287-296). New York: Springer.
- Rihoux, B., & Grimm, H. (Eds.). (2006b). *Innovative comparative methods for policy analysis: Beyond the quantitative-qualitative divide*. New York: Springer.
- Rihoux, B., & Lobe, B. (2009). The case for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Adding leverage for thick cross-case comparison. In D. S. Byrne, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of case-based methods* (pp. 222-242). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (Eds.). (2009a). *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques*. London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., & Ragin, C. C. (2009b). Introduction. In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. xvii-xxv). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., Ragin, C. C., Yamasaki, S., & Bol, D. (2009). Conclusions - The way(s) ahead. In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 167-178). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., Rezsöbazy, I., & Bol, D. (2011). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) in public policy analysis: An extensive review. *German Policy Studies*, 7(3), 9-82.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2004). *Ondernemingsplan: Een nieuw perspectief voor Rijkswaterstaat: Doorpakken, wel degelijk*. Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2008). *Ondernemingsplan agenda 2012: We pakken door!* Den Haag: Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2011). *Ondernemingsplan 2015: Eén Rijkswaterstaat, elke dag beter!* Den Haag: Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2012). *Rijkswaterstaat: About us*. Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2013a). *Annual review Rijkswaterstaat: 2012*. Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2013b). *PPP in the Netherlands: Upcoming dealflow*. Rijkswaterstaat.
- Rijkswaterstaat. (2014). *Annual report Rijkswaterstaat: 2013*. Den Haag: Rijkswaterstaat.
- Ring, P. S., & Perry, J. L. (1985). Strategic management in public and private organizations: Implications of distinctive contexts and constraints. *Academy of Management Review*, 10(2), 276-286.
- Rittel, H. W. J., & Webber, M. M. (1973). Dilemma's in a general theory of planning. *Policy Sciences*, 4(2), 155-169.
- Robson, C. (2002). *Real world research: A resource for social scientists and practitioner-researchers*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.
- Rogers, P. J. (2008). Using programme theory to evaluate complicated and complex aspects of interventions. *Evaluation*, 14(1), 29-48.
- Rogers, P. J. (2011). Implications of complicated and complex characteristics for key tasks in evaluation. In K. Forss, M. Marra, & R. Schwartz (Eds.), *Evaluating the complex: Attribution, contribution, and beyond* (pp. 33-52). New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Room, G. (2011). *Complexity, institutions and public policy: Agile decision-making in a turbulent world*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Roumboutsos, A., & Anagnostopoulos, K. P. (2008). Public-private partnership projects in Greece: Risk ranking and preferred risk allocation. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(7), 751-763.
- Rousseau, D. M. (2006). Is there such a thing as 'evidence-based management'? *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2), 256-269.
- Rubinson, C. (2013). Contradictions in fsQCA. *Quality & Quantity*, 47(5), 2847-2867.
- Sager, F. (2007). Making transport policy work: Polity, policy, politics and systematic review. *Policy & Politics*, 35(2), 269-288.
- Sager, F., & Andereggen, C. (2012). Dealing with complex causality in realist synthesis: The promise of qualitative comparative analysis. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33(1), 60-78.
- Sakal, M. W. (2005). Project alliancing: A relational contracting mechanism for dynamic projects. *Lean Construction Journal*, 2(1), 67-79.

- Sanderson, J. (2012). Risk, uncertainty and governance in megaprojects: A critical discussion of alternative explanations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 432-443.
- Sanderson, I. (2000). Evaluation in complex policy systems. *Evaluation*, 6(4), 433-454.
- Sanderson, I. (2002). Evaluation, policy learning and evidence-based policy making. *Public Administration*, 80(1), 1-22.
- Sayer, A. (1992). *Method in social science: A realist approach*. London: Routledge.
- Sayer, A. (2000). *Realism and social science*. London: Sage.
- Schaeffer, P. V., & Loveridge, S. (2002). Toward an understanding of types of public-private cooperation. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(2), 169-189.
- Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C. (2006). Reducing complexity in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Remote and proximate factors and the consolidation of democracy. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(5), 751-786.
- Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C. (2007). *Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) und fuzzy sets: Ein lehrbuch für anwender und alle, die es werden wollen*. Opladen & Farmington Hills: Verlag Barbara Budrich.
- Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C. (2010). Standards of good practice in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and fuzzy sets. *Comparative Sociology*, 9(3), 397-418.
- Schneider, C. Q., & Wagemann, C. (2012). *Set-theoretic methods for the social sciences: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schwartz-Shea, P., & Yanow, D. (2012). *Interpretive research design: Concepts and process*. New York: Routledge.
- Selsky, J. W., & Parker, B. (2005). Cross-sector partnerships to address social issues: Challenges to theory and practice. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 849-873.
- Short, J., & Kopp, A. (2005). Transport infrastructure: Investment and planning. Policy and research aspects. *Transport Policy*, 12(4), 360-367.
- Sibeon, R. (1999). Anti-reductionist sociology. *Sociology*, 33(2), 317-334.
- Siemiatycki, M. (2009). Delivering transportation infrastructure through public-private partnerships: Planning concerns. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 76(1), 43-58.
- Siemiatycki, M. (2011). Public-private partnership networks: Exploring business-government relationships in United Kingdom transportation projects. *Economic Geography*, 87(3), 309-334.
- Simon, H. A. (1962). The architecture of complexity. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 106(6), 467-482.
- Skamris Holm, M. K., & Flyvbjerg, B. (1997). Inaccuracy of traffic forecasts and cost estimates on large transport projects. *Transport Policy*, 4(3), 141-146.
- Smith, N. J., Merna, T., & Jobling, P. (2014). *Managing risk in construction projects*. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell.
- Smithson, M., & Verkuilen, J. (2006). *Fuzzy set theory: Applications in the social sciences*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Smits, K. C. M. (2013). *Cross culture work: Practices of collaboration in the Panama Canal expansion program*. Delft: Next Generation Infrastructures.
- Smyth, H. J., & Edkins, A. (2007). Relationship management in the management of PFI/PPP projects in the UK. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(3), 232-240.
- Smyth, H. J., & Morris, P. W. G. (2007). An epistemological evaluation of research into projects and their management: Methodological issues. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(4), 423-436.
- Söderholm, A. (2008). Project management of unexpected events. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(1), 80-86.
- Soetenhorst, B. (2011). *Het wonder van de Noord/Zuidlijn: Het drama van de Amsterdamse metro*. Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Bert Bakker.
- Spekkink, W. A. H. (OnlineFirst). Building capacity for sustainable regional industrial systems: An event sequence analysis of developments in the Sloe Area and Canal Zone. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.08.028.
- Stern, E., Stame, N., Mayne, J., Forss, K., Davies, R., & Befani, B. (2012). *Broadening the range of designs and methods for impact evaluations: Report of a study commissioned by the Department for International Development*. London: Department for International Development.
- Tang, L., Shen, Q., & Cheng, E. W. L. (2010). A review of studies on public-private partnership projects in

- the construction industry. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(7), 683-694.
- Tanner, S. (2014). QCA is of questionable value for policy research. *Policy and Society*, 33(3), 287-298.
- TCI. (2004a). *Onderzoek naar infrastructuurprojecten: Grote infrastructuurprojecten: Inzichten en aandachtspunten (achtergrondstudies)*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- TCI. (2004b). *Onderzoek naar infrastructuurprojecten: Hoofdrapport*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- TCI. (2004c). *Onderzoek naar infrastructuurprojecten: Reconstructie Betuweroute: De besluitvorming uitvergroet*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- TCI. (2004d). *Onderzoek naar infrastructuurprojecten: Reconstructie HSL-Zuid: De besluitvorming uitvergroet*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- Teisman, G. R. (2005). *Publiek management op de grens van chaos en orde: Over leidinggeven en organiseren in complexiteit*. Den Haag: Academic Service.
- Teisman, G. R. (2010). Infrastructure investments on the edge of public and private domains. In G. A. M. Arts, W. M. Dicke, & L. Hancher (Eds.), *New perspectives on investment in infrastructures* (pp. 319-346). The Hague & Amsterdam: Scientific Council for Government Policy & Amsterdam University Press.
- Teisman, G. R., & Gerrits, L. M. (2014). The emergence of complexity in the art and science of governance. *Complexity, Governance & Networks*, 1(1), 17-28.
- Teisman, G. R., & Klijn, E. H. (2002). Partnership arrangements: Governmental rhetoric or governance scheme? *Public Administration Review*, 62(2), 197-205.
- Teisman, G. R., & Klijn, E. H. (2008). Complexity theory and public management: An introduction. *Public Management Review*, 10(3), 287-297.
- Teisman, G. R., Van Buuren, M. W., & Gerrits, L. M. (Eds.). (2009). *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments*. New York: Routledge.
- Teisman, G. R., Westerveld, E., & Hertogh, M. J. C. M. (2009). Appearances and sources of process dynamics: The case of infrastructure development in the UK and the Netherlands. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 56-75). New York: Routledge.
- Thiem, A. (2013). Clearly crisp, and not fuzzy: A reassessment of the (putative) pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 25(2), 197-207.
- Thiem, A. (2014). Navigating the complexities of qualitative comparative analysis: Case numbers, necessity relations, and model ambiguities. *Evaluation Review*, 38(6), 487-513.
- Thiem, A. (2015). Parameters of fit and intermediate solutions in multi-value qualitative comparative analysis. *Quality & Quantity*, 49(2), 657-674.
- Thiem, A., & Duşa, A. (2013a). Boolean minimization in social science research: A review of current software for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Social Science Computer Review*, 31(4), 505-521.
- Thiem, A., & Duşa, A. (2013b). QCA: A package for qualitative comparative analysis. *The R Journal*, 5(1), 87-97.
- Thiem, A., & Duşa, A. (2013c). *Qualitative comparative analysis with R: A user's guide*. New York: Springer.
- Tillema, T., & Arts, J. (2009). *Road infrastructure planning in the Netherlands: Problems and trends for increasing sustainability*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Ton, G. (2012). The mixing of methods: A three-step process for improving rigour in impact evaluations. *Evaluation*, 18(1), 5-25.
- Toor, S., & Ogunlana, S. O. (2010). Beyond the 'iron triangle': Stakeholder perception of key performance indicators (KPIs) for large-scale public sector development projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 28(3), 228-236.
- Torres, R. T., & Preskill, H. (2001). Evaluation and organizational learning: Past, present, and future. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 22(3), 387-395.
- Uprichard, E., & Byrne, D. S. (2006). Representing complex places: A narrative approach. *Environment and Planning A*, 38(4), 665-676.
- Van den Brink, M. A. (2009). *Rijkswaterstaat on the horns of a dilemma*. Delft: Eburon.
- Van der Meer, F. B., & Edelenbos, J. (2006). Evaluation in multi-actor policy processes: Accountability, learning and co-operation. *Evaluation*, 12(2), 201-218.
- Van Gils, M. K. A., Gerrits, L. M., & Teisman, G. R. (2009). Non-linear dynamics in port systems: Change events at work. In G. R. Teisman, M. W. van Buuren, & L. M. Gerrits (Eds.), *Managing complex governance systems: Dynamics, self-organization and coevolution in public investments* (pp. 76-96). New York: Routledge.

- Van Gils, M. K. A., Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2011). A2 Maastricht: Meerwaarderecreatie door brede aanpak: Werk met werk maken boven de snelweg. *ROMagazine*, 29(9), 29-33.
- Van Ham, H., & Koppenjan, J. F. M. (2001). Building public-private partnerships: Assessing and managing risks in port development. *Public Management Review*, 3(4), 593-616.
- Van Ham, H., & Koppenjan, J. F. M. (Eds.). (2002). *Publiek-private samenwerking bij transportinfrastructuur: Wenkend of wijkend perspectief?* Utrecht: Lemma.
- Van Marrewijk, A., Clegg, S. R., Pitsis, T. S., & Veenswijk, M. (2008). Managing public-private megaprojects: Paradoxes, complexity, and project design. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), 591-600.
- Van Valkenburg, M., & Nagelkerke, M. C. J. (2006). Interweaving planning procedures for environmental impact assessment for high level infrastructure with public procurement procedures. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 6(3), 250-273.
- Varone, F., Rihoux, B., & Marx, A. (2006). A new method for policy evaluation? Longstanding challenges and the possibilities of qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). In B. Rihoux, & H. Grimm (Eds.), *Innovative comparative methods for policy analysis: Beyond the quantitative-qualitative divide* (pp. 213-236). New York: Springer.
- Verbraeken, H., & Weissink, A. (2014, 7 oktober). Nieuwe Botlek-brug zorgt voor grootste kostenoverschrijding A15-project. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Verhees, G. (2013). *Publiek-private samenwerking: Adaptieve planning in theorie en praktijk*. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Verweij, S. (2012a). Management as system synchronization: The case of the Dutch A2 passageway Maastricht project. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 14(4), 17-37.
- Verweij, S. (2012b). Systemsynchronisatie bij gebiedsontwikkeling Avenue2 Maastricht: Overheid en markt doen beide waar ze goed in zijn. *ROMagazine*, 30(9), 30-32.
- Verweij, S. (2013). Ingewikkeld? Kijk goed om je heen! Sociale complexiteit in de uitvoering vraagt om een blik naar buiten. *Infra*, 2(2), 40-43.
- Verweij, S. (2015a). Achieving satisfaction when implementing PPP transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis of the A15 highway DBFM project. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 189-200.
- Verweij, S. (2015b). Voorsorteren op de belofte van DBFM: Het juist managen en evalueren van de complexiteit in DBFM-transportinfrastructuurprojecten. *Verkeerskunde*, 66(2), 16-17.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2011). MIRT is niet vanzelf sneller en slimmer. *ROMagazine*, 29(5), 32-33.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2012a). Assessing the applicability of qualitative comparative analysis for the evaluation of complex projects. In L. M. Gerrits, & P. K. Marks (Eds.), *Compact 1: Public administration in complexity* (pp. 93-117). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2012b). Systematische kwalitatieve vergelijkende analyse. *Kwalon*, 17(3), 25-33.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2013). Understanding and researching complexity with qualitative comparative analysis: Evaluating transportation infrastructure projects. *Evaluation*, 19(1), 40-55.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2014). Managing unplanned events in large infrastructure projects: Results from an in-depth comparative case evaluation. In J. W. Meek, & K. S. Marshall (Eds.), *Compact II: Administrative strategies for complex governance systems* (pp. 81-108). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., & Gerrits, L. M. (2015). How satisfaction is achieved in the implementation phase of large transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis into the A2 tunnel project. *Public Works Management & Policy*, 20(1), 5-28.
- Verweij, S., Klijn, E. H., Edelenbos, J., & Van Buuren, M. W. (2013). What makes governance networks work? A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 14 Dutch spatial planning projects. *Public Administration*, 91(4), 1035-1055.
- Verweij, S., Van Meerkerk, I. F., Koppenjan, J. F. M., & Geerlings, H. (2014). Institutional interventions in complex urban systems: Coping with boundary issues in urban planning projects. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization*, 16(1), 7-23.
- Verweij, S., Van Meerkerk, I. F., & Korthagen, I. A. (2015). Reasons for contract changes in implementing Dutch transportation infrastructure projects: An empirical exploration. *Transport Policy*, 37(1), 195-202.
- Vickerman, R. (2007). Cost-benefit analysis and large-scale infrastructure projects: State of the art and

References

- challenges. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 34(4), 598-610.
- Vink, M. P., & Van Vliet, O. (2009). Not quite crisp, not yet fuzzy? Assessing the potentials and pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 21(3), 265-289.
- Vink, M. P., & Van Vliet, O. (2013). Potentials and pitfalls of multi-value QCA: Response to Thiem. *Field Methods*, 25(2), 208-213.
- Vis, B. (2007). States of welfare or states of workfare? Welfare state restructuring in 16 capitalist democracies, 1985-2002. *Policy & Politics*, 35(1), 102-122.
- Wagenaar, H. (2006). Democracy and prostitution: Deliberating the legalization of brothels in the Netherlands. *Administration & Society*, 38(2), 198-235.
- Waldrop, M. M. (1992). *Complexity: The emerging science at the edge of order and chaos*. New York: Simon & Schuster Paperbacks.
- Walter, A. I., & Scholz, R. W. (2007). Critical success conditions of collaborative methods: A comparative evaluation of transport planning projects. *Transportation*, 34(2), 195-212.
- Walton, M. (2014). Applying complexity theory: A review to inform evaluation design. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 45, 119-126.
- Ward, S., & Chapman, C. (2003). Transforming project risk management into project uncertainty management. *International Journal of Project Management*, 21(2), 97-105.
- Ward, S., & Chapman, C. (2008). Stakeholders and uncertainty management in projects. *Construction Management and Economics*, 26(6), 563-577.
- Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2001). *Managing the unexpected: Assuring high performance in an age of complexity*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Weick, K. E., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2007). *Managing the unexpected: Resilient performance in an age of uncertainty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Weihe, G. (2008a). Ordering disorder - On the perplexities of the partnership literature. *The Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 67(4), 430-442.
- Weihe, G. (2008b). Public-private partnerships and public-private value trade-offs. *Public Money & Management*, 28(3), 153-158.
- Weihe, G. (2009). *Public-private partnerships: Meaning and practice*. Copenhagen: Copenhagen Business School.
- Weiss, R. S. (1994). *Learning from strangers: The art and method of qualitative interview studies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Welde, M., & Odeck, J. (2011). Do planners get it right? The accuracy of travel demand forecasting in Norway. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 11(1), 80-95.
- Westhorp, G. (2012). Using complexity-consistent theory for evaluating complex systems. *Evaluation*, 18(4), 405-420.
- Wettenhal, R. (2003). The rhetoric and reality of public-private partnerships. *Public Organization Review*, 3(1), 77-107.
- Whitty, S. J., & Maylor, H. (2009). And then came complex project management (revised). *International Journal of Project Management*, 27(3), 304-310.
- Williams, M. (2009). Social objects, causality and contingent realism. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 39(1), 1-18.
- Williams, M. (2011). Contingent realism - Abandoning necessity. *Social Epistemology*, 25(1), 37-56.
- Winter, M., Smith, C., Morris, P. W. G., & Cicmil, S. (2006). Directions for future research in project management: The main findings of a UK government-funded research network. *International Journal of Project Management*, 24(8), 638-649.
- Wolf-Branigin, M. (2013). *Using complexity theory for research and program evaluation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- WRR. (1994a). *Besluiten over grote projecten*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- WRR. (1994b). *Grote projecten in Nederland: Een analyse van het tijdsbeslag van twintig besluitvormingsprocessen*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- WRR. (1994c). *Versnelling juridische procedures grote projecten*. Den Haag: Sdu Uitgevers.
- Wuisman, J. J. M. (2005). The logic of scientific discovery in critical realist social scientific research. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 4(2), 366-394.
- Yamasaki, S., & Rihoux, B. (2009). A commented review of applications. In B. Rihoux, & C. C. Ragin (Eds.), *Configurational comparative methods: Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and related techniques* (pp. 123-146). London: Sage.
- Yang, C. (2007). Primary influential factors in the management of public transport projects in Taiwan. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 34(1), 1-11.

- Yescombe, E. R. (2007). *Public-private partnerships: Principles of policy and finance*. Burlington: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Yescombe, E. R. (2013). PPPs and project finance. In P. de Vries, & E. B. Yehoue (Eds.), *The Routledge companion to public-private partnerships* (pp. 227-246). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Yin, R. K. (2013). Validity and generalization in future case study evaluations. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 321-332.