Employment and the associated impact on quality of life in people diagnosed with schizophrenia
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Abstract: A systematic review was conducted to assess the employment rate of people with schizophrenia. Additionally, information from the selected studies concerning factors associated with employment and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) was examined. Employment rates ranged from 4% to 56.4%. The studies differed considerably in design, patient settings, and methods of recruitment. The most frequently reported factors associated with employment were negative and cognitive symptoms, age of onset, and duration and course of the disease. Individual characteristics associated with unemployment were older age, lower education, and sex (female). Additionally, environmental factors, eg, the availability of welfare benefits and vocational support programs, seemed to play a role. Generally, being employed was positively associated with HRQoL. However, the causal direction of this association remained unclear, as studies on the bidirectional relationship between employment and HRQoL were lacking.
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Introduction

On a patient level, employment plays a central role in providing financial income and nonfinancial gains, including social identity, social contacts and support, a means of structuring and occupying time, activity and involvement, and a sense of personal achievement.¹ People with long-term mental illness, including schizophrenia, are sensitive to the negative effects of unemployment, and experience barriers to work, due to stigma, prejudice, and discrimination.¹ Apart from these individual disadvantages, unemployment in people with schizophrenia is economically costly from a societal point of view. The estimated total societal cost of schizophrenia was 6.7 billion pounds in England for the year 2004–2005.² Half of these costs were due to unemployment, absence from work, and premature mortality, amounting to 3.4 billion pounds.

Studies reporting on employment in people with schizophrenia show diverging figures. However, generally employment rates are low. Marwaha and Johnson were the first authors to publish a review on schizophrenia and employment.³ They concluded that there is a wide variation in reported employment rates. Additionally, their study showed that employment is correlated with positive outcomes in social functioning, symptom levels, quality of life (QoL), and self-esteem, but a clear causal relationship was not demonstrated.³

Increasingly, treatment models have focused on the subject of employment in people with schizophrenia. These treatment models strive, among other goals, to increase the individual’s own subjective view of well-being and satisfaction with his or her life. This development is in line with the shift in mental health services from an emphasis on treatment focused on reducing symptoms, based on a narrow notion...
of health and disease, to a more holistic approach that takes both well-being and functioning into consideration. However, for people with schizophrenia, there is still a lack of information on the subject of employment and associated health-related QoL (HRQoL).

A previous study has shown that being employed is associated with a markedly better HRQoL for people with schizophrenia. However, the relationship between being employed and HRQoL may be bidirectional. It is known that the type of employment plays a crucial role in the effect on HRQoL. For instance, having a voluntary job, in general, means a low income. This may result in relatively poor living conditions and inability to afford social activities. Consequently, this may lead to a diminished HRQoL. In addition, although intuitively being employed may lead to increased HRQoL, the converse reasoning is also defendable, that is, having a higher HRQoL indicates being happier, presumably resulting in better capacities to perform daily activities such as a (paid) job. So, there is still a need to further explore the association between employment and HRQoL in schizophrenia.

Firstly, this review assessed the prevalence of employment in people with schizophrenia. Next, we aimed to identify factors associated with being employed in this population. Lastly, we examined the interrelation between being employed and HRQoL that was assessed in the studies under review.

**Methods**

A literature search was conducted to identify the employment rate in people with schizophrenia. We applied a broad definition of employment as having any job, whether full-time or part-time, and whether competitive or supported employment. In addition, we screened the selected papers for factors associated with being employed and for the interrelationship between being employed and HRQoL.

**Search strategy**

A systematic literature search was performed in PubMed and PsychINFO, including studies from 2000 until July 1, 2013, including information on employment in representative (groups of) adults diagnosed with schizophrenia. We applied a broad search strategy for identifying studies. Consequently, no criteria concerning study design were applied. The search terms included the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and Field terms “schizophrenia”, “employment”, “unemployment”, “paid work”, and “work capacity” (Supplementary material). The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement was followed for the reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

**Selection strategy**

The selection of the abstracts was independently performed by two researchers. Discrepancies concerning inclusion or exclusion of an abstract were resolved by discussing the eligibility criteria during plenary meetings. The selection criteria were:

1. Studies published in 2000 or later
2. Studies performed in Western countries, or in Japan or Hong Kong
3. Studies explicitly including people diagnosed with schizophrenia
4. Studies for which information on (un)employment or work was given in the Abstract
5. Case studies, editorial letters, comments, or conference proceedings were excluded
6. Studies reporting on vocational rehabilitation therapy and/or vocational support programs were excluded.

To determine the eligibility of the full-text articles, the same selection criteria were used. Additional exclusion criteria were:

7. Duplication of source data (ie, studies using samples of other included studies were excluded)
8. Unavailability of the full-text publication
9. Studies including selective samples, eg, the homeless, the convicted, or convenience samples, were excluded
10. Studies including study samples with <100 participants.

First, we presented the prevalence rates of employment in people with schizophrenia, derived from the review. In order to systematically describe the factors associated with employment, a simplified framework was used based on the model of Wilson and Cleary, which integrates health aspects with functional status and HRQoL. The basic domains of functional status that are commonly measured are physical”, “social”, “role”, and “psychological functioning”. We defined being employed (or unemployed) as part of the functional status. The symptoms are reflected in the disease characteristics of the disorder – these may reflect physical impairment as well as patients’ emotional or cognitive state. Schizophrenia can be described as a syndrome characterized by:

- Positive symptoms
- Negative symptoms
- Cognitive symptoms
- Affective symptoms.
Symptoms of schizophrenia are generally described in terms of a positive and a negative dimension. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) is, among other instruments, applied for measuring the severity of positive and negative symptoms. There are positive symptoms that indicate the occurrence of delusions and hallucinations, while negative symptoms indicate lack of motivation, reduction in spontaneous speech, and social withdrawal. Additionally, there may be a cognitive dimension (difficulties in memory, attention, and executive functioning) and a dimension of affective dysregulation (depression and manic symptoms).

Additionally, the disorder can be described in terms of the age at onset of the disease, the treatment setting, and the duration and course of the disease in terms of remission.

Finally, the functional status may be affected by the co-occurrence of substance and alcohol abuse. Substance abuse has been found to be substantially more prevalent among people with schizophrenia. However, prevalence rates of co-occurring dependence or abuse in people with schizophrenia may vary between countries. Substance abuse may worsen the impact of schizophrenia, leading to heightened social adjustment problems.

The adapted model takes into account that characteristics of the individual as well as “characteristics of the environment” might impact the experience of symptoms, functional status, and HRQoL, and their relationships. Examples of individual characteristics are age, sex, personality, and individual expectations. With regard to being employed, specifically, motivation and “work readiness” are examples of characteristics of the individual that are probably of importance in finding and maintaining a paid job. Examples of environmental characteristics are social and vocational support, and social security programs. Finally, stigma is also a factor known to diminish the HRQoL of people with schizophrenia, even after recovery of the person.

Overall QoL is preferably measured with a generic preference-based instrument. In this way, the impact of a condition on HRQoL can be assessed in terms that are relevant to any individual.

Data extraction

Employment rates from the publications were independently extracted by two researchers. Additional data that were collected were the design of the study, the country, the sample size, exclusion criteria, the study setting, demographic information of the study population, clinical measures (eg, PANSS), length of illness, and comorbidity. Additionally, findings concerning associations with employment and concerning employment and HRQoL were recorded.

Results

A total of 789 records were derived from the databases. After removal of duplicates, 701 abstracts were screened. In the search, six review studies were identified. Studies cited in these reviews that were not identified in our search and that met our selection criteria were added (Figure 1).

In total, 43 studies were eligible for analyzing the prevalence of employment (Table 1).

Employment rate

Employment rates ranged from 4% to 50.4%. Generally, employment rates reported in the studies were based on different definitions of employment, eg, the employment in some studies was limited to competitive employment, while in other studies, respondents with supported or sheltered employment were counted too, and in a number of studies, students and/or housewives and those who had volunteer work were also counted as being employed. Additionally, in a number of studies, the definitions of employment that were applied were not reported. Five studies reported only unemployment figures. The studies differed considerably in design, patient settings, and methods of recruitment. Information on the time frame that was used for measuring employment was generally lacking. In the majority of studies, no distinction was made between respondents with schizophrenia, and schizophreniform or schizoaffective disorders. In addition, respondents may have been diagnosed on the basis of different criteria. This fragmented information limited a direct comparison of employment rates reported in these studies. Consequently, these results did not allow performance of a meta-analysis for estimating an average employment rate among people with schizophrenia.

Factors associated with employment

Disease characteristics

Positive symptoms

Marwaha et al examined employment status change during a 2-year European naturalistic study of people with schizophrenia. The researchers found that more positive psychotic symptoms were predictive of job loss.

Negative symptoms

Our review included three studies that reported on associations of negative symptoms and employment. Cökk et al reported significantly higher PANSS negative symptoms scores in
unemployed respondents in comparison with employed respondents. In addition, regression analyses showed that the PANSS negative symptoms score was an independent predictor of unemployment. Bobes et al. found that presence of poor rapport and social withdrawal (items of negative PANSS) increased the risk of unemployment. Marwaha et al. found that besides characteristics of the onset and duration of the disorder, more severe negative symptoms (PANSS subscale) were significantly negatively associated with the chance of getting work during a 2-year follow-up study.

Cognitive symptoms
Associations of cognitive symptoms and employment were reported in three studies in our review. Giugliaro et al. reported significantly higher scores of verbal memory and more awareness of illness in respondents with competitive employment in comparison with unemployed subjects. In a longitudinal study, Holthausen et al. found more problems of vocational functioning and significant lower chances of competitive employment in persons with cognitive deficits. Hofer et al. found that poorer cognitive scores were associated with a reduced probability of competitive employment.

Treatment setting
Another, more indirect indicator of disease severity may be the setting in which people receive care, ie, in- or outpatient care. Most studies included both in- and outpatient treated people. A number of studies did not provide information...
Table 1: Studies reporting on employment in people diagnosed with schizophrenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Single/multicenter</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>In-/outpatients</th>
<th>Study population characteristics</th>
<th>Employed (%)</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdel-Baki et al.</td>
<td>Cohort Baseline: 142 Baseline + FU: n=111, 2-yr FU: n=97, 5-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>2,175</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Schizophrenic and other psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>Baseline: In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Baseline: Age (median): 24.4 yrs Males: 71.1% Length of illness: close to illness onset Alcohol/substance abuse: 27.5% (at some point) PANSS: NR</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>Employment not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso et al.</td>
<td>Cohort Baseline: 9,340</td>
<td>3-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>Denmark, France, Greece, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, UK</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Age (mean): Outpatients 40 yrs Males: 57.9% Length of illness: 11.3 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>Paid employment, not further specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascher-Svanum et al.</td>
<td>Cohort Baseline: 2,175 Baseline + 3-yr FU: n=111</td>
<td>3-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Baseline: Age (mean): 42.1 yrs Males: 61.4% Length of illness: 21.6 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: 27.1% PANSS reg: 18.3 PANSS pos: 16.1</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>Paid employment, not further specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbi et al.</td>
<td>RCT Baseline: 409</td>
<td>3-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>UK, Italy, the Netherlands, Germany</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Treatment by forensic psych services, alcohol/ drug dependence, moderate/severe mental handicap</td>
<td>NR. Probably outpatients</td>
<td>Baseline: Age (mean): 41.5 yrs Males: 59.9% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
<td>Unemployed Not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bobes et al.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional Baseline: 1,462</td>
<td>3-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Outpatients receiving commonly used oral antipsychotic treatment</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.7 yrs (range 18-74 yrs) Males: 60.9% Length of illness: 15.5 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS reg: 20.6 PANSS pos: 14.8</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td>Active 9.8% Sick leave 6.1% Disability pension 47.5% unemployed 17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer et al.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional Baseline: 113</td>
<td>3-yr FU: n=78</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Single-center</td>
<td>Mental retardation, unstable condition, 2 months before inclusion</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 38.6 yrs Males: 69.9% Length of illness: 13.9 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>Employment not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Single/ multicenter</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>In-/outpatients</th>
<th>Study population characteristics</th>
<th>Employed (%)</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caron et al13</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Physical illness, neurological disorders, acute symptoms, treatment through mental health services &lt; 2 yrs</td>
<td>Outpatients + probably &lt;10% Inpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.3 yrs Males: 76% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>Patients with income from paid employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cechnicki et al14</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Presence of acute symptoms</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 39.9 yrs Males: 49% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>2% full time; 5.4% part time</td>
<td>Employment not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chwastiak et al15</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>First episode or history of only 1 episode; unstable medical condition, treatment refractory/resistance, schizoaffective disorder; mental retardation/other cognitive disorder; cardiac disorders</td>
<td>Both inpatients and outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.6 yrs Males: 75% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS neg: 20.1 PANSS pos: 18.4</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>Employment rate = earned income or non-competitive employment activities (volunteer work; workshop; prevocational programs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compton et al16</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Other diagnoses than schizophrenia, schizophreniform disorder and schizoaffective disorder were excluded Participating in clinical drug trial within 30 days prior to enrollment</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 42.9 yrs Males: 64.3% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: 27.4% PANSS: NR</td>
<td>Not working: 83%</td>
<td>Not further specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuyún Carter et al17</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1,635</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Clinical unstable, Patients with other employment than competitive were excluded</td>
<td>In- and outpatients (93.5%)</td>
<td>Age (mean): 42.3 yrs Males: 61.2% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: 26.3% PANSS neg: 18.3 PANSS pos: 18.5</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>Employment not specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giugliariso et al18</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Single-center</td>
<td>Clinical unstable, Patients with other employment than competitive were excluded</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.1 yrs Males: 57.9% Length of illness: 14.3 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS neg: 19.6 PANSS pos: 14.5</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>Competitive employment: Job paid min wage or higher; not contracted with a social service agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>In- and Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td>Other Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gureje et al&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>NR (Sample derived from data of the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing)</td>
<td>In- and Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 38.7 yrs Males: 66.5% Length of illness: 14.8 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>Unemployed: 76.3% On disability/sickness pension 79%</td>
<td>No definition reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansson et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Spain, the Netherlands, UK, Sweden, Germany, Switzerland</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 42.2 yrs Males: 66.2% Length of illness:15.9 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>Unemployed 36.0%</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry et al&lt;sup&gt;30&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cohort FU (mean FU 6.9 yrs)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Single-center Drug/alcohol-induced psychosis</td>
<td>In- and Outpatients</td>
<td>Baseline:</td>
<td>Age (mean): 21.9 (baseline range 14–30 yrs) Males: 77% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS neg: NR PANSS pos: NR</td>
<td>36.3% (part time or full time) Unemployed/ Government benefits</td>
<td>Employment not specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hofer et al&lt;sup&gt;40&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Multicenter Clinical unstable: suffering from any other axis I disorder, including substance abuse</td>
<td>Outpatients disorders</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.2 yrs Males: 60% Length of illness: 11.2 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: 0% PANSS neg: 15.7 PANSS pos: 11.2</td>
<td>8.6% (full time) 7.1% (part time)</td>
<td>Supported employment 12.1% training 7.9% unemployed 65.7%</td>
<td>Competitive employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holthausen et al&lt;sup&gt;51&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cohort 2-yr FU</td>
<td>the Netherlands</td>
<td>Multicenter Severe mental retardation, systemic or neurological illness</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Baseline:</td>
<td>Age (mean): 23.6 yrs Males: 74.8% Length of illness: onset within 2 yrs before inclusion Alcohol/substance abuse: 68% PANSS: NR</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>Competitive employment or studying with a scholarship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honkonen et al&lt;sup&gt;60&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cohort 3-yr FU</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Multicenter NR. Included 3 cohorts of persons with schizophrenia 3 years after discharge from psychiatric hospital (discharged 1986, 1990, and 1994). Representing patients with long-term schizophrenia</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Age (mean): 39.2 Males: 66.5% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR PANSS: NR</td>
<td>Overall after 3 yrs: 4% Part-time or full-time competitive employment (including self-employed, farmers, farmers’ wives)</td>
<td>(Continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Single/multicenter</td>
<td>Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>In/Outpatients</td>
<td>Study population characteristics</td>
<td>Employed (%)</td>
<td>Remark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karadayi et al¹⁷</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Single-center</td>
<td>Hospitalized/having relapse within the last 3 months; severe physical disorder</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 31.5 yrs males: 59.8% Length of illness: 9.9 yrs Alcohol/substance abuse: 2% PANSS neg: 13.0 PANSS pos: 11.2</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>Employment was a part-time or full-time working activity with payment or attending school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulkarni et al²⁰</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Baseline: Age (mean): 33.8 yrs males: 62% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>Paid employment, not further specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline +</td>
<td>276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-yr FU 16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambert et al²⁷</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>2,960</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Bipolar disorder, substance induced psychosis or psychosis due to medical condition</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 42.3 yrs males: 49.4% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: 19.7% PANSS: NR</td>
<td>42.6%</td>
<td>Full or part time (not further specified) or attending school/ university</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackell et al²⁶</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR. Probably</td>
<td>Age (mean): 39.6 yrs males: 72.6% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: 5% PANSS: NR</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>Paid employment, not further specified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>outpatients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha et al¹⁰</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>1,086</td>
<td>UK, Germany,</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Continuously in hospital for the previous 12 months; currently homeless</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Refer to Marwaha et al¹⁰</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>Full- or part-time jobs, including sheltered employment and voluntary work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-yr FU</td>
<td></td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha et al²¹</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>France, Germany,</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Continuously in hospital for the previous 12 months; currently homeless</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Age (mean): 40.8 yrs males: 61.5% Length of illness: 14.4 Abuse: alcohol 2.9%; substance 1.1% PANSS neg: 15.8 PANSS pos: 12.4</td>
<td>21.5% (never employed 7.9%)</td>
<td>Full- or part-time jobs, including sheltered employment and voluntary work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td>UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mausbach et al²⁵</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR (patients with Jewish background participating in genetic studies)</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 50.5 yrs (range 21-78 yrs) males: 62.9% Length of illness: NR Alcohol/substance abuse: NR</td>
<td>31.9% (sheltered employment 7.1%)</td>
<td>Employed is nonsheltered job for at least 20 hr per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>patients in residential treatment setting (10.6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean):</td>
<td>Males:</td>
<td>Length of illness:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCreadie et al</td>
<td>Cross-sectional 316</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 45 yrs</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Length of illness: 8 yrs</td>
<td>Abuse: alcohol 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic et al</td>
<td>Cross-sectional 320</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): NR</td>
<td>Males: NR</td>
<td>Length of illness: NR</td>
<td>Alcohol/substance abuse: NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middelboe et al</td>
<td>Cross-sectional 418</td>
<td>Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Iceland</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Age (mean): 39 yrs</td>
<td>Males: 65%</td>
<td>Length of illness: 15 yrs</td>
<td>Alcohol/substance abuse: NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mueser et al</td>
<td>RCT Baseline + FU</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Probably outpatients</td>
<td>Mean age: 29.6 yrs (range 19-55)</td>
<td>Males: 66.1%</td>
<td>Length of illness: NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay et al</td>
<td>Cross-sectional 181</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>No history of outpatient treatment for psychosis or prior hospitalization for psychosis more than 3 months before the admission</td>
<td>Inpatients at inclusion</td>
<td>Aged 18-40 yrs</td>
<td>Age (mean): 23.36 yrs</td>
<td>Males: 74%</td>
<td>Length of illness: NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnick et al</td>
<td>RCT Baseline</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Age (mean): 41</td>
<td>Males: 73.8%</td>
<td>Length of illness: NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
on the treatment setting. Ten studies included only persons treated in an outpatient setting. Employment rates varied considerably, from 9.5% to 42.6%. No study was found exclusively focusing on inpatients. Only in the study of Ye et al. were figures of employment rates for in- and outpatients separately presented. Both the baseline and follow-up employment rates in persons treated as outpatients were almost four times higher in comparison with the rates among inpatients.

Age at onset, course, and duration
Marwaha et al examined predictors of being employed during a 2-year follow-up, using cross-national data derived from Germany, France, and the UK. Later age of illness onset, longer duration of illness, and a continuous illness course were negatively associated with the chance of getting work. Üçok et al reported significantly higher remission rates among employed subjects in comparison with unemployed subjects, and longer duration of remission contributed positively to employment status. Fourteen studies in our review reported on the duration of the illness. A comparison of the employment rates and the duration of the disorder between these studies did not show a consistent pattern of declining rates with longer duration of the disorder. For example, McCreadie reported an employment rate of 8% in study participants, with an average duration of 8 years, while Middelboe et al reported an employment rate of 12% in participants, with an average duration of 15 years.

Alcohol and substance abuse
In the study of Marwaha et al harmful use of alcohol at baseline was a significant predictor of job loss during a 2-year follow up. In our review, 22 studies included information about the proportion of respondents with alcohol or substance abuse. The study of Xie et al included only patients with co-occurring substance abuse. The employment rate was 6% at baseline and increased to 24% at 3-year follow up. In three studies, respondents with alcohol/substance abuse were excluded. Employment rates reported in these studies were 43.1% (1-year follow up), 27.8% (baseline), and 23.3% (1-year follow up), respectively. The figures presented in these studies are difficult to interpret given the different definitions of employment in these studies. In addition, in the study of Spellmann et al no definition of employment was stated.

Characteristics of the individual
Marwaha and Johnson reported on a number of demographic factors related to being employed in people with schizophrenia in their review, e.g., sex, and marital and accommodation status. However, the authors reported that these associations were not consistently replicated between different studies. Among the studies in our review, Üçok et al found that younger age, more education, and sex (male) were independent positive predictors of employment. Hofer et al found that premorbid school functioning was positively correlated with competitive employment, and older age was found to be a significant negative predictor of employment.

Characteristics of the environment
Studies that examined environmental factors and the subject of employment were limited. Marwaha et al conducted a study to compare employment patterns and associated environmental factors between one within three European countries: UK, France, and Germany. Variation in employment rate was found both between countries and within regional sites. The greatest variation was found on the national level, between centers rather than between countries. The authors suggested that social and vocational service factors within and between countries may contribute to this variation. One of these factors may be the availability of vocational services and placements, as was seen in Germany. In two other studies that were performed in Germany, relatively high employment rates were reported. Licinio and Thornicroft et al found that low employment rate among people with schizophrenia in the UK reported by Marwaha et al and Thornicroft et al was not confirmed by the study by Rosen and Garety. In the latter study, an employment rate of 41.2% (excluding 12% students) was reported.

In the study of Thornicroft et al including patients from five different European countries, relatively higher employment rates were found in southern countries (Spain and Italy) in comparison with Denmark and the Netherlands. The authors suggested that differences may be associated with differences in the availability of welfare benefits. Comparative low employment rates (12% in outpatients) in Scandinavian countries were also reported by Middelboe et al. In line with these findings, Giugiaro et al reported a competitive employment rate of 30% in people with schizophrenia treated in an outpatient setting in Italy. Comparable figures were reported for outpatients in Spain (25.9% respectively 27.2%), and Turkey. Two studies were performed in Turkey. In the study of Karadag et al employment was 37.3%. However, the employment rate, including students, reported by Uzun et al was substantially lower (13.8%). This may partly be explained by the relatively older sample of the study population (median age 59 years).
HRQoL
In total, 12 studies were found that investigated the HRQoL of people with schizophrenia. Eight studies used a disease-specific HRQoL instrument: the Subjective Quality of Life (S-QoL) (one study), Heinrichs–Carpenter Quality of Life (QoL) scale (three studies), Lancaster Quality of Life Profile (two studies), and Lehman’s Quality of Life Interview (QOLI) (two studies). Just one of the studies a generic HRQoL instrument was applied the Wisconsin Quality of Life Index (W-QLI) scale, and two studies applied a generic preference-based instrument (EQ-5D™ and Quality of Wellbeing). In nine of the 12 studies, the relationship between employment and HRQoL was studied (Table 2). All but one of the studies found a positive relationship between being employed and HRQoL. In the study by Xie et al which applied Lehman’s QOLI instrument, a nearly significant relationship ($P=0.05$) was established.

Discussion
Our review study showed that employment rates in people with schizophrenia vary between 4.5% and over 50%. There were significant differences between the definitions of employment that were applied in the studies. Nevertheless, unemployment figures are even more difficult to interpret, since these rates may refer to only those looking for work, while other rates may include respondents with long-term disability benefits or pensions. The comparison of employment rates between studies is further limited by differences in study designs, recruitment methods, diagnostic instruments, clinical variables reported, and different time frames for measuring employment. However, generally, employment in people with schizophrenia is relatively low, as was reported in the study of Marwaha et al. In that study, employment rates were presented for people with schizophrenia in the UK (12.9%), France (11.5%), and Germany (30.2%) in comparison with employment rates in the general population of these countries (of 71.0%, 62.2%, and 65.4%, respectively).

Due to the methodological differences between the studies, we were unable to make a valid estimation of an average employment rate.

In addition to employment rates, we screened the papers on factors associated with employment, including the association of employment and HRQoL. The most frequently reported disease characteristics that were negatively associated with employment were negative symptoms and cognitive symptoms. This is in line with the earlier findings reported by of Marwaha and Johnson. Additionally, later age of disease onset, and the duration and continuous course of the disease may play a role in employment status of the person. Finally, employment rates were higher in people treated in an outpatient setting in comparison with rates among inpatients. It is not clear to what extent this finding is related to the severity of the disease. Both individual and environmental characteristics were found to be associated with employment rates. Individual characteristics associated with unemployment were (older) age, lower education, and sex (females). Additionally, employment rates were associated with a number of environmental factors. The large variation of employment rates between countries or regions seem to indicate that environmental characteristics, such as the availability of welfare benefits and the availability of vocational services and sheltered employment, may play an important role. Employment rates seem to be lower in countries with an increased level of social security, as was reported in the study of Thornicroft et al. Relatively higher employment rates were generally found in studies performed in southern European countries. It can be assumed that these higher rates may be associated with dependence on the financial support of family members. These findings are in line with the study of Kilian and Becker, of the macroeconomic indicators of labor force participation of people with schizophrenia. In addition, they found a close relation between general employment rates and employment rates of people with schizophrenia. In another study, a negative association was found between competitive employment and receipt of disability payment. Van der Wel et al reports that studies on the association of unemployment among people with limiting longstanding illness and differences in welfare policies show equivocal results. More research is recommended for assessing associations of welfare policy and employment in patients with severe mental illness.

Several studies suggested that the availability of vocational service factors may contribute to employment in people with schizophrenia. Increased access to rehabilitation services was associated with participation in both competitive and noncompetitive employment. Generally, being employed and HRQoL were positively associated. A possible explanation for the association between being employed and HRQoL that was found in our review was indicated by Brekke et al who described self-esteem as a mediating factor between being employed and HRQoL. A larger social network due to being employed, resulting in a better HRQoL, is being proposed as another potential explanation. Most studies applied a disease-specific HRQoL instrument. This seems in line with the current discussion about the validity of preference-based QoL.
### Table 2 Employment and health-related quality of life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Cross-sectional design (YN)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>In/outpatients</th>
<th>Multi/single-center</th>
<th>HRQoL instrument</th>
<th>Type of HRQoL instrument</th>
<th>Result on relationship employment-HRQoL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alonso et al.11</td>
<td>Y (cohort at baseline and follow-up)</td>
<td>9,340</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>EQ-5D™</td>
<td>Generic, preference-based</td>
<td>Baseline utility scores were significantly higher (P&lt;0.0001) for patients with paid employment compared with patients without. Also, paid employment was associated with greater improvement in utility score in the first and third year after treatment with antipsychotics. Significant relationship between being employed and psychological well-being (based on multiple linear regression analysis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer et al.14</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Single-center</td>
<td>S-QoL 18</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for patients with schizophrenia)</td>
<td>Employed clients had higher QoL scores on the domains of physical health, social relations and support, and a higher overall QoL score.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caron et al.12</td>
<td>Y (cohort at baseline)</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Outpatients &lt; 10% inpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Wisconsin QoL Indox (Cw-QUI)</td>
<td>Generic, descriptive</td>
<td>Employed people had a significant better interviewer-rated HRQoL, self-rated HRQoL, and self-esteem QoL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eklund et al.13</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Single-center</td>
<td>Lancashire Quality of Life Profile</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for patients with severe psychiatric disorders)</td>
<td>Employment status was significantly associated with subjective QoL score (r=0.16, P=0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marwaha et al.13</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Outpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Lehman’s QOLI</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for persons with severe mental illness)</td>
<td>QoL total score was significantly correlated with being historically employed (r=-0.27, P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer et al.16</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Inpatients</td>
<td>QWB</td>
<td>Generic, preference-based</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for patients with schizophrenia)</td>
<td>Patients with competitive paid employment scored significantly higher (P&lt;0.0001) on all domains of the QLS than did patients with other or no vocational activity. On the domains “instrumental activity” and “object activity”, patients with competitive employment scored higher than did patients in other kinds of employment. Who, in turn, scored higher than those not working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenheck et al.12</td>
<td>Y (cohort at baseline)</td>
<td>1,424</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Heinrichs-Carpenter QoL Scale</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for patients with schizophrenia)</td>
<td>Having work is a significant predictor of subjective HRQoL (not specified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thornicroft et al.17</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>NR probably in- and outpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Lancashire Quality of Life Profile</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for patients with severe psychiatric disorders)</td>
<td>No significant correlation (P&gt;0.05) was found between QoL-general life satisfaction score and having a competitive job in the past year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xie et al.18</td>
<td>Y (QoL and employment at follow-up treatment study)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>In- and outpatients</td>
<td>Multicenter</td>
<td>Lehman’s QOLI</td>
<td>Disease-specific (for persons with severe mental illness)</td>
<td>No significant correlation (P&gt;0.05) was found between QoL-general life satisfaction score and having a competitive job in the past year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Cw-QUI, the Wisconsin Quality of Life Index-Canadian version; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; NR, not reported; QLS, quality of life scale; QoL, quality of life; QOL, quality of life interview; QWB, quality of wellbeing; S-QoL, subjective quality of life.
instruments in people with schizophrenia. It is argued that
generic preference-based instruments may not be appropriate
for use in people with schizophrenia.65

The conceptual model of Wilson and Cleary was a
helpful tool to describe the different factors associated with
employment and HRQoL.9 The model has been widely
applied to different patient populations.8 In the current review
we found studies that reported on associations between dis-
case characteristics, individual characteristics and environ-
mental characteristics and employment. Another important
question relates to the association between employment and
HRQoL. That is, while employment may increase QoL, it is
likely that those with higher QoL are more likely to
be employed. Currently, there is little literature adequately
assessing the causal relationship between these two domains,
leading to a methodological gap in the literature. A limitation
of our study is that we focused our literature search primarily
on employment rates rather than on factors associated with
employment. Consequently, we did not perform separate
searches for factors associated with employment. However,
due to the broad approach that was applied for reviewing the
literature, the number of missing studies is assumed to be
limited. Finally, we excluded studies on Individual Placement
and Support and other vocational support programs, as our
primary goal was to assess the general employment rate in
people with schizophrenia. Supported employment programs
are evidence-based practices to enhance people with mental
health disability to return to work. Employment rates of per-
sons with schizophrenia included in supported employment
trials may differ due to specific in- and exclusion criteria.

In conclusion, our review showed a great variation in
employment rates in people with schizophrenia. However,
the overall employment rate was low. Employment rates
varied widely across and within countries. Generally, there
was a positive association between being employed and
HRQoL. Importantly, however, the causal direction of this
association remained unclear. When aiming to improve
employment participation and associated HRQoL among
people diagnosed with schizophrenia, symptoms, functional
status, demographic characteristics of the population, and
environmental factors should be taken into account. A better
understanding of the interrelations of these components could
lead to more integrated and personalized health management,
and outcome optimization, for people with schizophrenia.
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