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ABSTRACT

It has long been established that hyperthermia increases the therapeutic benefit of radiation and chemotherapy in cancer treatment. During the last few years there have been substantial technical improvements in the sources used to apply and measure heat, which greatly increases enthusiasm for the clinical use of hyperthermia. These advances are converging with a better understanding of the physiological and molecular effects of hyperthermia. Therefore, we are now at a juncture where the parameters that will influence the efficacy of hyperthermia in cancer treatment can be optimised in a more systematic and rational manner. In addition, the novel insights in hyperthermia’s many biological effects on tumour cells will ultimately result in new treatment regimes. For example, the molecular effects of hyperthermia on the essential cellular process of DNA repair suggest novel combination therapies, with DNA damage response targeting drugs that should now be clinically explored. Here, we provide an overview of recent studies on the various macroscopic and microscopic biological effects of hyperthermia. We indicate the significance of these effects on current treatments and suggest how they will help design novel future treatments.

Introduction

Hyperthermia is an anti-cancer treatment in which tumours are heated using an exogenous energy source. Heat can directly kill cancer cells, but also greatly synergises with radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy to increase the therapeutic window [1,2]. Although the effect of heat on the body has been studied for many decades, if not centuries, ‘modern’ hyperthermia has only been applied in the clinic since the 1980s. Early biological and physical studies revealed that the various physiological and cellular changes induced by hyperthermia are dose dependent [3]. Therefore, heat treatment can be defined by the temperature that is applied: hyperthermia (39–45 °C), with temperatures <42 °C further defined as mild temperature hyperthermia, and thermal ablation (>45 °C). A second distinction in hyperthermia treatment is based on which part of the body is heated. Whole-body hyperthermia, as the name suggests, subjects the complete body to increased temperature. In regional hyperthermia an isolated part of the body, such as a body cavity, limb or organ, is heated, and during local hyperthermia only the tumour is heated [4].

To understand how hyperthermia is applied nowadays, it is essential to realise that this treatment has an extensive history. Although the modern varieties of hyperthermia application can be traced back to the 1960s, elevated temperatures as a single modality have been employed to treat cancer for a much longer time, and can even be dated as far back as 5000 BC [5]. In the 18 th and 19 th centuries, hyperthermia treatment started to be more evidence based. The use of heat then was based on the observation that tumours from patients started to shrink when the patients suffered from febrile diseases such as malaria or erysipelas. As a result, the surgeon Fehleisen started to infect cancer patients with bacteria, thus causing erysipelas, with the aim of eliminating tumours. Around 1900, William B. Coley developed special toxins, Coley’s toxins, to achieve the same effect, and he performed many studies on its effectiveness [6]. The following years were extremely important for the modern use of hyperthermia. It was the period in which exogenous sources such as heated water baths started to be used to increase the temperature of gynaecological tumours [7]. Moreover, radiotherapy was introduced around the same time, and when clinicians and researchers started combining it with heat, they found that hyperthermia increased sensitivity to radiation in tumours [8]. This breakthrough stimulated an increase in the number of both clinical and fundamental studies from the 1950s until the present day. To this day, mild hyperthermia is used as a sensitiser for radiotherapy, but also for chemotherapy.

Initially, research on hyperthermia revolved around finding an optimal treatment temperature and time, or in short, an optimal thermal dose. These translational studies focused on creating a therapeutic window with maximum benefits of hyperthermia with minimal side effects. However, those early studies assumed that hyperthermia was only effective if it would directly kill cells, which only occurred when...
temperatures exceeded at least 42°C. This made hyperthermia less feasible and thus less attractive in a clinical setting, causing a dampened enthusiasm for the treatment [9]. As a result, there was a strong demand to improve hyperthermia technology, and a second line of hyperthermia research, focused on the physics of heating, quickly emerged. As of now, this field of research continues to improve not only the hyperthermia application techniques, but also the method of locally depositing heat and measuring it in the patient [10]. The measuring tools aid clinicians in treating their patients optimally and it helps them document treatment outcomes for clinical research. Another line of hyperthermia research that has raised enthusiasm for cancer treatment employing heat has a biological and fundamental nature. Biological research strives to understand the biological mechanisms of hyperthermia at every temperature. Although this type of research may not always be directly applicable to clinical treatment per se, it will ultimately result in revolutions in the way we use hyperthermia in the clinic, by exploitation of the many cellular and physiological effects that hyperthermia has in tumours (Figure 1) [5].

This review aims to illustrate the importance of biological research to mild hyperthermia, since hyperthermia in this temperature range is the most prominent in the clinic. To demonstrate the broad potential of heating tumours, we present an overview of the biological effects of mild hyperthermia and describe their relevance in current treatment regimes. Moreover, we will describe how the biological research continues to influence the way we think about hyperthermia by presenting examples of treatment innovations that are not, or not yet, clinically applied, but exploit one or more biological effects of heat.

**Macroscopic effects of hyperthermia**

When a tumour is heated, a number of physiological changes occur. One of the earliest recognised physiological changes induced by hyperthermia is its effect on the vascular system. Hyperthermia causes an increase in blood flow in the heated area, and by expanding the vessels the heat improves their permeability (Figure 1A) [11–13]. Most of the physiological changes upon hyperthermia treatment are secondary to effects on tumour blood flow [14]. In fact, blood flow is one of the predominant factors governing tumour response to heating [15,16]. It is a potent cooling mechanism which thus influences the delivery of heat to a tissue. Blood flow in tumours is also a principal factor responsible for the micro-environmental conditions within tumours, and since cells under oxygen-deprived and highly acidic conditions are more responsive to the effects of heat [17,18], blood flow will play a major role in influencing the cellular heat response, and subsequently that of the tumour.

How quickly the macroscopic changes of hyperthermia are seen and their degree of change generally depends on the time and temperature of heating. As soon as heat is applied to a tumour the blood flow increases, but these effects are cancelled upon treatment with temperatures that surpass 44.5°C [11,19–25]. However, the degree of increase and how long it is maintained is very heterogeneous depending on both the temperature applied and the tumour model used.
Beyond 44.5°C, however, it should be noted that at least one study found that this did not occur until a temperature of 44.5°C was achieved [22]. In addition, temperatures beyond 44.5°C will directly kill endothelial cells, and this will cause vascular damage, resulting in haemorrhage that accentuates the already decreased perfusion [11,28]. In some examples the inherent vascular damage in the tumour can be so severe that a total vascular shut down occurs, even at temperatures as low as 42.5°C [25]. After heating, flow typically returns to normal at lower temperatures, but continues to decrease in those tumours where vascular damage was already initiated [19,22,24,25].

As mentioned above, the tumour vasculature is structurally and functionally abnormal and thus fails to meet the demands of the growing tumour mass, which is why tumours are characterised by regions of oxygen and nutrient deprivation, high lactate levels and extracellular acidosis [27,29]. Heat-induced vascular changes will further modify the micro-environmental parameters, although the mechanism is also dependent on the applied temperature. High temperatures cause vascular collapse which will further reduce oxygen and nutrient delivery to tumour cells. This is reflected by an escalation in energy deprivation [30], lactic acid accumulation [31], acidity [30], and hypoxia [32,33]. For lower temperatures the increase in perfusion is associated with an increased oxygen delivery [32,34–37], but this greater availability of oxygen leads to an increased consumption of oxygen [14,38,39]. Even though an enhanced oxygen consumption could be expected to decrease the diffusion distance of oxygen and thus elevate the level of tumour hypoxia, this has not been shown.

Although the macroscopic effects of hyperthermia can be classified as well-studied, there is controversy about the improvement in oxygenation to how long those effects last. Some studies have shown that this improvement can last for up to 24 h after heating at mild temperatures [34,36], and this has been suggested as one of the reasons why hyperthermia enhances radiation administered clinically in a fractionated schedule [40]. However, this prolonged improvement in tumour oxygenation is difficult to explain, because the physiological changes that are likely to account for improved oxygenation with mild heat treatments are unlikely to be maintained after the blood flow increase has ceased. More consistent with those physiological effects, other studies have shown that tumour oxygenation actually rapidly returns to normal when the heating at mild temperatures ceases [35]. Nonetheless, the several macroscopic effects of hyperthermia have established a central position in its modern use.

The heat shock response
Heat activates a cellular mechanism that defends against protein stress. This heat shock response consists of a rapid production of heat shock proteins (HSPs), a specific group of proteins that chaperone denatured proteins and thereby prevent formation of toxic protein aggregates (Figure 1B) [45–47]. This defence mechanism is not limited to the response to heat, but is also activated by several other forms of stress, such as hypoxia and infection, and is therefore of vital importance for life [47]. However, when it comes to treating cancers with mild hyperthermia, the heat shock response has an undesirable side effect: it causes tumours to become thermostolerant. Thermostolerance is a phenomenon that can be described as insensitivity to heat treatments within 48–72 h after the initial treatment. It has a pivotal role in the hyperthermia field because it demonstrates the importance of properly scheduling hyperthermia sessions for patients.

HSPs have been recognised to play a role in the development of thermostolerance for a long time, because they are thought to protect tumour cells from protein denaturation induced by hyperthermia [48–51]. Moreover, tumours sometimes have a constitutively high level of HSPs that protect them from innately higher levels of protein stress, causing the tumours to be fully dependent on these high levels. Therefore, specific HSP inhibitors have been developed and have found their way into the clinic [52]. Because of their availability and clinical use, employing these types of drugs together with hyperthermia should be considered in order to increase the effective therapeutic window and prevent thermostolerance. Although the detailed effects on thermostolerance are still rather unclear, the combination of heat and HSP inhibitors does increase cell sensitivity towards hyperthermia [53]. It has also been proposed that activation of the heat shock response is inhibited by acute acidification, and indeed, sensitivity of cells towards heat is increased when it is combined with drugs that lower the pH in the cell, such as lonidamine, especially when cells were slightly acidic already [54].

However, as will be described below, HSPs also occupy an important role in cancer immunology and in that context their role is actually thought to be beneficial for the patient. Therefore it will be essential to thoroughly investigate the implications of combining different variants of HSP inhibitors with hyperthermia on treatment efficacy, thermostolerance, and immunological effects, before applying them in a clinical setting.

Cellular membrane and drug uptake
One of the ways hyperthermia contributes to sensitising cells towards chemotherapeutics, is by increasing fluidity of the cytoplasmic membrane (Figure 1C). The cytoplasmic membrane consists of a phospholipid bilayer and proteins, and it forms the outer layer of the cell. Interactions between

[11,26]. The primitive construction and poor organisation of tumour vessels results in them being more permeable than normal tissue vessels [27]. As flow increases in response to heat, fluid will begin to leak out of the vessels into the extracellular space [25]. This oedema will eventually increase interstitial fluid pressure which subsequently causes vascular compression and a decrease in perfusion. This could explain the observations that vascular perfusion starts to decrease after about 30 min of heating the tumour to 42.5°C and above [19,23–25]. However, it should be noted that at least one study found that this did not occur until a temperature of 44.5°C was achieved [22]. In addition, temperatures beyond 44.5°C will directly kill endothelial cells, and this will cause vascular damage, resulting in haemorrhage that accentuates the already decreased perfusion [11,28]. In some examples the inherent vascular damage in the tumour can be so severe that a total vascular shut down occurs, even at temperatures as low as 42.5°C [25]. After heating, flow typically returns to normal at lower temperatures, but continues to decrease in those tumours where vascular damage was already initiated [19,22,24,25].

As mentioned above, the tumour vasculature is structurally and functionally abnormal and thus fails to meet the demands of the growing tumour mass, which is why tumours are characterised by regions of oxygen and nutrient deprivation, high lactate levels and extracellular acidosis [27,29]. Heat-induced vascular changes will further modify the micro-environmental parameters, although the mechanism is also dependent on the applied temperature. High temperatures cause vascular collapse which will further reduce oxygen and nutrient delivery to tumour cells. This is reflected by an escalation in energy deprivation [30], lactic acid accumulation [31], acidity [30], and hypoxia [32,33]. For lower temperatures the increase in perfusion is associated with an increased oxygen delivery [32,34–37], but this greater availability of oxygen leads to an increased consumption of oxygen [14,38,39]. Even though an enhanced oxygen consumption could be expected to decrease the diffusion distance of oxygen and thus elevate the level of tumour hypoxia, this has not been shown.

Although the macroscopic effects of hyperthermia can be classified as well-studied, there is controversy about the improvement in oxygenation to how long those effects last. Some studies have shown that this improvement can last for up to 24 h after heating at mild temperatures [34,36], and this has been suggested as one of the reasons why hyperthermia enhances radiation administered clinically in a fractionated schedule [40]. However, this prolonged improvement in tumour oxygenation is difficult to explain, because the physiological changes that are likely to account for improved oxygenation with mild heat treatments are unlikely to be maintained after the blood flow increase has ceased. More consistent with those physiological effects, other studies have shown that tumour oxygenation actually rapidly returns to normal when the heating at mild temperatures ceases [35]. Nonetheless, the several macroscopic effects of hyperthermia have established a central position in its modern use.
proteins and lipids in the membrane cause it to respond to temperature changes in a very dynamic fashion [55]. Regulation of the membrane fluidity is essential for homeostasis and therefore conserved in many species. It is clear that the membrane plays a considerable role in the stress response, including the response to heat-shock [56–58]. Membrane stress triggers various signalling cascades which transduce their signal to the heat shock transcription factor HSF1, which ultimately increases expression of the HSPs [58,59].

When the membrane becomes more fluid due to heat, its physical permeability for some compounds will increase [60]. This is probably one of the reasons why some chemotherapeutics will be able to pass the cell barrier more effectively when the cells are treated with hyperthermia. For example, several reports show that the concentration of the chemotherapeutic cisplatin increases in the cell when it is treated with hyperthermia [61–63]. Moreover, heat also contributes to structural changes in the membrane by altering the behaviour of membrane-embedded proteins, and this will also increase cellular cisplatin concentrations; this is illustrated by heat facilitating multimerisation of a copper transporter (CTR1) that is responsible for cisplatin uptake [64]. Knowledge about the altered behaviour of the cell membrane in conditions of hyperthermia has mainly been used in regional hyperthermia treatment, where a heated chemotherapeutic is flushed on a specific part of the body such as the peritoneum (HIPEC) or the bladder (HIVEC) [43,65]. However, the temperature-mediated effects on the cell membrane will also play a role with the implementation of thermosensitive liposomes [42].

The effects of hyperthermia on the membrane might be counteracted by an evolutionary conserved adaptation response. The exact mechanism by which cells compensate their membrane fluidity to heat is not known, but recent studies have revealed that the acyl-CoA dehydrogenase down-regulates a lipid desaturase upon heat in the model system Caenorhabditis elegans. This effectively creates a more rigid membrane structure that compensates for the increased fluidity [66,67]. Such an adaptive response might be relevant for the development of thermotolerance, thus it will be of importance to elucidate whether this mechanism also occurs in human cells after hyperthermia. In this context, it is important to realise that the composition of the membrane as well as its reaction to environmental changes can be deregulated in tumours, since this might have consequences for the treatment regime [68]. Ultimately, research done on the subject will deliver new strategies to either mimic or enhance the effects of hyperthermia on the membrane, or to prevent unwanted side effects.

### The immune system and hyperthermia

Fever and hyperthermia are both characterised by increased temperatures, and both contribute to the activation of the immune system (Figure 1D) [69,70]. Treatments of cancer patients by using hyperthermia and stimulating their immune system have always been closely intertwined, as can be exemplified by the fact that William B. Coley is regarded as one of the fathers in the hyperthermia field, but is also seen as one of the pioneers in immunotherapy [6,69]. The many intriguing ways in which hyperthermia stimulates the immune system has great impact on the rationale of hyperthermia treatment in oncology, as is clear from the many reviews on the subject [5,69–73]. Currently, it is thought that there are two closely related ways in which local hyperthermia can modulate the immune system [5]. The first effect of hyperthermia on immunity is localised to the heated tumour, but, secondly, local hyperthermia can also stimulate a systemic antitumour reaction that can strike tumour cells that are distant from the heated tumour [69,70].

Increased temperatures affect both adaptive and innate immunity [70,73]. The way temperature regulates the immune system is not only dependent on the magnitude of the temperature increase that is applied or achieved, but also on the duration [70,72,74]. However, some effects occur quickly and are therefore relevant in hyperthermia treatment. The increased blood flow resulting from mild heating of the tumour can promote attraction of immune cells via improving trafficking between the tumour and the draining lymph nodes [69]. It has also been shown that heat causes changes in adhesion molecules of the tumour vasculature. Specifically, the expression of the glycoprotein intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) is increased via heat-induced increased interleukin 6 (IL-6) signalling. ICAM-1 then attracts effector/memory T-cells [69,75,76]. Hyperthermia not only alters expression of vascular adhesion molecules, but also induces an increased expression of surface molecules on tumour cells. At 39°C, heat increases the MHC class I polypeptide-related sequence A (MICA), a molecule which increases cell sensitivity to natural killer cells [69,77]. At a temperature of 43°C, heat will increase the level of MHC class I molecules, which attract cytotoxic T-cells [69,78]. Febrile temperatures also enhance functions of dendritic cells, and their enhanced antigen-presenting function increases stimulation of T-cells [70,79–81]. It is thought that the heat-mediated increase in membrane fluidity has effects on organising the response of the adaptive immune system, promoting activation of T-cells in areas where the temperature is increased [82–84].

The most interesting connection between immunity and the heat-shock response is the function of the increased HSPs, especially that of Hsp70. Like other HSPs, Hsp70 is produced upon heat treatment, but it can be released from cells. In the extracellular environment, Hsp70 will bind various immune cell surface receptors, which will in turn release various pro-inflammatory molecules. In this environment, Hsp70 stimulates dendritic cells and macrophages by acting as a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) [70]. Moreover, Hsp70s can stimulate the adaptive immune system by transferring chaperoned tumour proteins to antigen-presenting cells, which evokes a tumour-specific T-cell response [69,85–87]. This T-cell response presumably has the ability to target all tumour cells, including metastases [88].

Although the role of hyperthermia in immunity has revolutionised the rationale behind using hyperthermia in oncology treatment, the connection has only recently gained more interest with the acknowledgement of the role for...
immunotherapy in oncology [89]. To exploit the effects of hyperthermia on the immune system in the future, it will be especially important to fully understand the temperature dynamics and corresponding effects of the HSPs, since their role provokes the most tumour-specific immune response [90,91]. This is illustrated by the finding that Hsp70 can be used as an anti-cancer vaccine to mimic and maximise the response of hyperthermia [92,93].

Hyperthermia and DNA repair

It has long been known that hyperthermia increases cancer cell sensitivity for agents that cause DNA damage or interfere with DNA metabolism. One of the earliest discoveries and well-studied examples of an agent that causes DNA damage, and synergises with heat, is ionising radiation [94]. However, heat also increases the degree of cell killing caused by certain types of chemotherapy, such as cisplatin and alkylating agents [95]. The mechanism by which hyperthermia sensitises to DNA damaging agents has been extensively studied, but the results are often difficult to interpret because of the use of different temperatures and an overlap in the several DNA damage repair pathways. The many hypotheses that consider what effects hyperthermia has on DNA have recently been reviewed [96].

Many researchers have tried to elucidate the mode of action of hyperthermia on DNA. Some early reports indicated that hyperthermia directly caused DNA damage and claimed that there were more chromosomal aberrations and DNA breaks after hyperthermia treatment [97,98]. Moreover, heating cells to 41.5 °C increased the amount of phosphorylation of histone H2AX (γH2AX), which is considered a marker for DNA double strand breaks [99]. However, there is also a large body of literature that describes hyperthermia as having no direct damaging effect on DNA, but rather interferes with the activity of proteins important for repairing DNA caused by an exogenous agent, such as radiotherapy (Figure 1E) [96].

Identifying specific DNA repair pathways that are targeted by hyperthermia is not straightforward. This task has been attempted by employing a genetic approach, in which the sensitivity towards the combination of exogenously applied DNA damage and heat in wild-type cells is compared to cells that are deficient for a specific repair pathway. If hyperthermia results in inactivation of a repair pathway required for the repair of the induced DNA lesions, then heated wild-type cells will be more sensitive towards the DNA damaging agent than cells that are not heated. In the scenario that the repair deficient cell line is deficient for a pathway that is targeted by hyperthermia, then the cells will not be further sensitised by the applied heat. However, the spectrum of different lesions induced by a single DNA damaging agent, as well as the possibility that hyperthermia targets multiple DNA repair pathways, prevents conclusive interpretation of data obtained using this genetic approach. Indeed, multiple DNA repair pathways, such as base excision repair [66] for single strand DNA lesions and non-homologous end joining for double strand breaks [100], are believed to be inhibited by hyperthermia [96]. However, the results obtained in these studies are often based on experiments done with temperatures above 43 °C, so it remains unclear whether inhibition of these DNA repair pathways add to the effects of mild hyperthermia. There is therefore still significant experimental ground to be covered before the mystery of how mild hyperthermia influences DNA metabolism is solved.

Nonetheless, when we can identify the effects of hyperthermia on DNA in cancer cells, the information obtained can help the hyperthermia field with questions such as how to minimise side effects and toxicity to healthy tissues, and how to maximise DNA damage load in the tumour. For example, it was discovered that hyperthermia functionally inhibits the DNA repair pathway of homologous recombination [101,102]. This repair pathway acts in the S-phase and G2-phase of the cell cycle to faithfully restore double strand breaks by using an intact copy of broken DNA as a template for repair. When such a double strand break occurs, it triggers a cascade that results in nucleolytic processing of the double strand DNA ends into single strand DNA, which is subsequently coated by the single-strand binding protein RPA. This is then replaced by RAD51 with the help of BRCA2. This RAD51 recombinase protein is believed to be of vital importance for the subsequent search for homologous DNA and the invading of this DNA, and is therefore regarded as the most essential component in homologous recombination. After the missing DNA is restored based on the sequence information of its identical sister chromatid in the cell, the intertwined DNA structure is resolved leaving two whole DNA molecules [103,104]. In the aforementioned study, it was shown that hyperthermia (>40°C) inhibits the accumulation of the RAD51 at sites of DNA damage via targeting the BRCA2 protein for proteasomal degradation [101].

Homologous recombination is involved in repair of breaks caused by radiation treatment, and therefore the finding that hyperthermia inhibits this DNA repair pathway provides at least part of the explanation for hyperthermia’s sensitising potential towards ionising radiation. However, homologous recombination is not only responsible for repairing double strand breaks that result from exogenous sources, but also acts to repair breaks that result from collapsing of replication forks. The finding that hyperthermia inhibits homologous recombination is therefore of particular interest, because it opens up new possibilities of treatments that can be combined with hyperthermia, such as PARP-1 inhibitors [105]. PARP-1 inhibitors are a relatively new class of chemotherapy which cause collapse of replication forks [106]. These PARP-1 inhibitors gained clinical interest because they specifically kill cells that are deficient in homologous recombination, while showing little toxicity to normal cells [106,107]. Thus, PARP-1 inhibitors are a prime example of a precision treatment for tumours of BRCA1/2 mutation carriers, as the tumour cells of these patients, but not their normal cells, are homologous recombination deficient. The demonstration that mild heat phenocopies BRCA deficiency and induces homologous recombination deficiency provides a rational for extending PARP-1 inhibitor treatment outside of the limited group of BRCA mutation carriers. Clinical trials should now be considered in which PARP-1 inhibitors are combined with hyperthermia to locally induce homologous recombination deficiency.
in tumours. This combination may have minimal side effects, because both treatments, which have little toxicity on their own, will only need to be applied temporarily. Therefore, we predict that it will only be a matter of time before this combination therapy will be applied in a clinical setting.

The future of hyperthermia

Hyperthermia has been used in the clinic for decades, and clinical studies have clearly demonstrated that heating tumours has benefit when added to radiation or to chemotherapy [5,108–110]. Moreover, hyperthermia is now readily available to treat a broad range of tumours, similar to radiotherapy and broad-spectrum chemotherapy, but unlike the latter two, hyperthermia has no severe side effects [10,111]. These beneficial features of hyperthermia together with the physiological and molecular effects that we have summarised in this review (Figure 1), illustrate the potential of hyperthermia treatment in oncology.

The impact of hyperthermia in cancer treatment will only increase in the future, as we learn how to more effectively exploit the multiple biological effects of the heat on the tumour. However, the time has already arrived to translate the biological findings about hyperthermia into benefits for cancer patients. The importance of thermal dose has always been recognised in the context of hyperthermia treatment, and finding the optimal thermal dose for each biological effect will result in insights that will enhance the efficacy of the heat, and eventually in one or more doses that fit the treatment regimen envisioned by the practitioner. The possibilities to optimise hyperthermia treatment are greatly aided by the current advantages in the application techniques for hyperthermia: the heating systems have not only become more specialised and effective in heating, but it is now also possible to measure real-time heat deposition by MRI [5]. Although hyperthermia itself is advancing beyond previous possibilities, the collection of possible synergising agents are also emerging. With the expanding interest in the use of proton therapy in oncology, it has been suggested that cells harbouring defects in homologous recombination are more sensitive towards proton irradiation than to photon irradiation [112,113]. Since, as we explained above, heat causes a defect in homologous recombination, there is a rational basis for the combination of hyperthermia with proton therapy. Ultimately, the collective efforts of clinicians, physicists and biologists will result in an effective, versatile and evidence-based use of hyperthermia in oncology that is bound to gain more popularity in the future.
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