Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia and Chromosome 15q26: Determination of a Candidate Region by Use of Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization and Array-Based Comparative Genomic Hybridization
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Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) has an incidence of 1 in 3,000 births and a high mortality rate (33%–58%). Multifactorial inheritance, teratogenic agents, and genetic abnormalities have all been suggested as possible etiologic factors. To define candidate regions for CDH, we analyzed cytogenetic data collected on 200 CDH cases, of which 7% and 5% showed numerical and structural abnormalities, respectively. This study focused on the most frequent structural anomaly found: a deletion on chromosome 15q. We analyzed material from three of our patients and from four previously published patients with CDH and a 15q deletion. By using array-based comparative genomic hybridization and fluorescent in situ hybridization to determine the boundaries of the deletions and by including data from two individuals with terminal 15q deletions but without CDH, we were able to exclude a substantial portion of the telomeric region from the genetic etiology of this disorder. Moreover, one patient with CDH harbored a small interstitial deletion. Together, these findings allowed us to define a minimal deletion region of ∼5 Mb at chromosome 15q26.1-26.2. The region contains four known genes, of which two—NR2F2 and CHD2—are particularly intriguing gene candidates for CDH.

Received December 9, 2004; accepted for publication February 21, 2005; electronically published March 4, 2005.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. A. de Klein, Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus MC, Postbus 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.deklein@erasmusmc.nl

* These authors contributed equally to this article.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Karyotype</th>
<th>CDH</th>
<th>Other Abnormalities</th>
<th>Deletion Size (Mb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46,XY,r(1;14),inv(6),del(15)(q26)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Genital anomalies; IUGR</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>46,XY,r(15)(p11q26)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysmorphic features; cardiac, renal, genital, and limb abnormalities; IUGR</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46,XY,r(15)(p11q26)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysmorphic features; cardiac abnormalities; IUGR</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46,XX,der(15)(t;3;15)(q29;q26.1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysmorphic features; cardiac and limb abnormalities; two-vessel umbilical cord; IUGR</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46,XY,r(15)(p11q26.1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysmorphic features; genital and limb abnormalities; IUGR</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46,XX,der(15)(t;8;15)(q24.1;q26.1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hydrocephaly; dysmorphic features; cardiac, renal, limb, and spine abnormalities; IUGR</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>46,XX,del(15)(q25q26.3)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dysmorphic features; renal and limb abnormalities; IUGR</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>46,XX,r(15)(p11.1q26.3)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mental retardation; mild dysmorphic features; IUGR</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>46,XX,del(15)(q26)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE.—Sources for patients 1–3 and 8, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; patient 4, Rosenberg et al. 1992 (case 2); patient 5, de Jong et al. 1989; patient 6, Chen et al. 1998; patient 7, Schlembach et al. 2001; patient 9, J. Wauters, University Hospital Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium.

a Left-sided, Bochdalek-type CDH (if present).

b IUGR = intrauterine growth retardation (birth weight <3rd percentile).

trauterine growth retardation (all patients had birth weights <3rd percentile), and multiple other congenital anomalies, such as cardiac and renal abnormalities. In addition to the seven patients with CDH, we analyzed data from two patients with mental retardation and 15q deletions but without CDH manifestation. Genomic DNA was extracted from cultured cells (from patients 1, 2, 3, 4, and 9) or paraffin-embedded tissue (from patients 5 and 6).

To delineate the possible candidate region, array-based comparative genomic hybridization (array CGH) was performed using the 1-Mb Human BAC Array (Spectral Genomics) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. A dye-swap experimental strategy was used as an additional internal control. Fluorescent signals on the arrays were visualized using the ScanArray Express HT scanner. Images were analyzed with Spectral Ware 2 (Spectral Genomics). Results for patients 1, 3, 4, 7, and 8 are shown in figure 1.

To further delineate the deleted region and to deter-

Figure 1  
Array CGH results. a, Patient 1, with CDH and del(15) interstitial deletion. b, Patient 3, with CDH and r(15)(p11q26). c, Patient 4, with CDH and der(15)(t;3;15)(q29;q26.1). d, Patient 7, with CDH and del(15)(q25q26.3). e, Patient 8, without CDH and with r(15)(p11.1q26.3).
Figure 2  FISH results.  

a, Patient 1: partial metaphase, probe D15Z4 (red signal) at chromosome 15 centromeric locus and probe RP11-11424 (green signal) at 15q26.3.  
b, Patient 2: interphase, probe RP11-369K8 (red signal) and RP11-253B9 (green signal) near the chromosome 5 centromeric region at 5p13.2.  
c, Patient 3: partial metaphase and interphase, deletion probe RP11-143C19 (green signal) and normal probe RP11-64K10 (red signal) at 15q23.  
d, Patient 4: metaphase spread, gain of chromosome 3q29; probe RP1-196F4 (red signal) (3qtel) present on der(15) and normal signal probe D15Z4 (yellow/red signal) at the centromeric region of chromosome 15. The der(15) contains both signals.  
e, Patient 4: partial metaphase, deletion probe RP11-183E24 (green signal) at 15q26.2 and normal probe D15Z4 (yellow/red signal).  
f, Patient 6: interphase, deletion probe RP11–57P19 (red signal) and normal probe D15Z4 (green signal). Patients 1–6 all have CDH.

mine the deletions in patients 4, 5, and 9, ~110 BAC clones were selected from the University of California Santa Cruz (UCSC) and Ensembl genome browsers to cover the distal part of chromosome 15. Using the appropriate BAC clones, we performed FISH on metaphase chromosomes from patients 1, 3, and 4 (fig. 2a and 2c–2e). Interphase FISH was performed on nuclei extracted from paraffin-embedded tissues from patients 2, 5, and 6 (fig. 2b and 2f; data for patient 5 not shown). Only genomic DNA was available from patients 7 and 8, so the size of the deletion in these patients was determined using only array CGH. FISH slides were analyzed using the Axioplan 2 Imaging microscope (Zeiss), and images were collected using the Isis Software System (Metasystems). Combining FISH and array CGH data, we were able to approximately determine the breakpoints in all patients (fig. 3). In patient 1, the interstitial deletion found by array CGH was confirmed and was narrowed to a 6-Mb deletion between BAC clones RP11-79A7 and RP11-616M17. In patient 2, the deletion extended from a region distal to RP11-79A7; in patient 3, it extended from a region distal to RP11-300G22. The proximal breakpoint in patient 4 lies within BAC clone RP11-617F23. In patient 5, the break occurs distal to RP11-300G22. In patient 6, the most distal probe tested that was present on the deleted chromosome 15 was RP11-79A7. The terminal deletion in patient 7 occurs distal to RP11-360F18. The proximal breakpoints of the deletion interval in the two patients without CDH were similarly determined (fig. 3). In the first patient without CDH, who had a ring chromosome 15, the most distal BAC clone tested that was present on the ring chromosome was RP11-120N1. In the second patient without CDH, the most distal BAC clone present was RP11-262P8. Combining all data, we determined the smallest common deletion interval in patients with CDH to be at 15q26.1-26.2 (which we have termed the “CDH region”). This region is ~5 Mb in size and is bordered by BAC clones RP11-79A7 and RP11-80F4.

CDH is unlike many genetic disorders, for which candidate genes can be determined by using linkage analyses of familial cases, because the vast majority of CDH cases occur de novo. For this type of disorder, the best way to determine which genes are involved is by analyzing a large number of patients for common aberrations by use of different high-resolution genetic methodologies, such as FISH or array CGH. This strategy has already been used successfully to identify genes involved in CHARGE syndrome (MIM 214800) (Vissers et al. 2004) and Cornelia de Lange syndrome (CdLS [MIM 122470]) (Krantz et al. 2004; Tonkin et al. 2004).

Isolated reports of distal chromosome 15 deletions
Figure 3. Schematic representation of the critical CDH region, with partial ideogram of chromosome 15q. Patients (Pt) 1–7 have CDH; patients 8 and 9 do not have CDH. BAC clones that were tested by array CGH and FISH are listed. The black dots inside boxes indicate that probes have been tested only on the array and not by FISH. The smallest common overlapping deletion interval involved in CDH is denoted by the large red square.

In patients with CDH have been described elsewhere (reviewed by Hengstschlager et al. [2004] and Schlembach et al. [2001]), suggesting involvement of this region in the pathogenesis of CDH. In the past year, three new cases of CDH have been reported (Biggio et al. 2004; Hengstschlager et al. 2004; Tonks et al. 2004). However, detailed molecular cytogenetic analyses of these cases are currently unavailable. To find other possible cases to study, we performed systematic FISH analysis on data from 25 patients with CDH, with the use of BAC clones spanning the CDH region. We identified no additional 15q deletions.

With array CGH and systematic FISH analysis performed on the seven patients with CDH and 15q deletions and the two patients without CDH but with 15q deletions, our study is the first to analyze data from multiple patients with CDH in detail and to refine the chromosome 15q critical CDH region to an ∼5-Mb area within 15q26.1-26.2. In all patients, clinical abnormalities resembled the features described for other patients with a 15q deletion or with related syndromes, such as Fryns syndrome (MIM 229850) (Fryns et al. 1979). As can be expected, the r(15) patients, with or without CDH, had phenotypes similar to those seen with ring chromosome 15 syndrome (Butler et al. 1988; Rogan et al. 1996). To our knowledge, CDH has not yet been described as a main feature in ring chromosome 15 syndrome.

In our study, there appears to be a relationship between the number of abnormalities present and the size of the deletion. For example, the first patient, who had the smallest deletion, has few additional congenital defects. All other patients have deletions that are similar in size and have a similar spectrum of anatomical anomalies. In the two patients with an unbalanced translocation, the variation in phenotype could also be due, in part, to the presence of extra material from another chromosome.

The region of the smallest common deletion contains four known genes, none of which have been previously shown to be involved in diaphragm development or diaphragmatic hernia. On the basis of their structure and function, two of these genes are very interesting with respect to a potential relationship with CDH. The first gene, NR2F2 (MIM 107773, also known as “COUP-
TFII”), is a member of the steroid/thyroid hormone receptor subfamily and is involved in retinoic acid metabolism (Kimura et al. 2002). A knockout mouse model of NR2F2 showed that NR2F2−/− mice are not viable and die at E9 in utero because of arrest of cardiac development (Pereira et al. 1999). Heterozygous knockout mice have poor viability in the neonatal period and are smaller than wild-type mice. However, the exact cause of death in these mice is not clear. The second interesting gene is the chromdomain helicase 2 gene (CHD2 [MIM 602119]), a member of the SNF2/RAD54 helicase gene family. Recently, mutations in another member of this family (CHD7) have been found to cause CHARGE syndrome (Vissers et al. 2004). The third gene in the CDH region is the repulsive guidance molecule gene, RGMA (MIM 607362), which is involved in the guidance of growth cones in developing neurons (Brinks et al. 2004). This gene is not known to play a role in diaphragm development, nor has it been described in muscle or lung development. The fourth gene located in the smallest region of overlap is the sialyltransferase 8B gene (SIAT8B [MIM 602546]), which plays a role in the adhesive properties of neural cell adhesion molecules (Angata et al. 1997).

Haploinsufficiency due to the loss of a copy of one of these genes may be enough to result in a diaphragmatic defect. At the present time, the precise mechanism by which a deletion of or within one of these genes or a related gene mutation causes this developmental defect can only be speculated.

Elsewhere, other genes on chromosome 15q have been suggested as being involved in the pathogenesis of diaphragmatic defects. Biggio et al. (2004) suggested that the myocyte enhancer factor 2A gene, MEF2A (MIM 600660), could be involved in the pathogenesis of diaphragmatic defects. MEF2A maps to 15q26.3 and is involved in the differentiation of muscle cells from their precursors. Some genes involved in vitamin A metabolism—for example, RALDH2 (MIM 603687), which maps to 15q21—have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of CDH (Greer et al. 2003). Both MEF2A and RALDH2 are located outside our candidate critical CDH region, which limits their possible role in CDH in our subgroup of patients.

In conclusion, we have mapped a potential critical CDH region to 5 Mb at chromosome 15q26.1-26.2, a region that contains four genes, of which two are especially intriguing candidates in the etiology of diaphragmatic defects. Further research is needed to confirm their exact role in CDH and to determine the pathogenetic mechanism. As a first step, we are performing FISH and mutation analyses of a large group of patients with CDH who have normal karyotypes. In the future, prenatal screening for 15q abnormalities when a diaphragmatic hernia is detected could give better clues for predicting the outcome and could provide more information for genetic counseling.
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