



Clinical manifestations of leprosy after BCG vaccination: An observational study in Bangladesh



Renate A. Richardus^a, C. Ruth Butlin^b, Khorshed Alam^b, Kallyan Kundu^b, Annemieke Geluk^c, Jan Hendrik Richardus^{a,*}

^a Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

^b Rural Health Program, The Leprosy Mission International Bangladesh, Nilphamari, Bangladesh

^c Department of Infectious Diseases, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 December 2014

Received in revised form 16 January 2015

Accepted 5 February 2015

Available online 18 February 2015

Keywords:

Leprosy

BCG

Contacts

M. leprae

Prevention

Prophylaxis

ABSTRACT

Background: Although BCG is used as a vaccine against tuberculosis, it also protects against leprosy. Previous evaluation over 18 years of an intervention of two doses BCG for 3536 household contacts of leprosy patients showed that 28 (23%) out of 122 contacts diagnosed with leprosy, developed symptoms 2–10 months after vaccination. This study describes contacts of leprosy patients in Bangladesh who developed leprosy within 12 weeks after receiving a single BCG dose.

Methods: A cluster RCT in Bangladesh aims to study the effectiveness of the BCG vaccine versus BCG in combination with single dose rifampicin (SDR) given 2 to 3 months after BCG, in the prevention of leprosy among contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients. During the first 1.5 years of this ongoing trial we identified contacts who developed leprosy within the first 12 weeks after receiving BCG vaccination, the timeframe before SDR is given.

Results: We identified 21 contacts who developed leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG vaccination among 5196 vaccinated contacts (0.40%). All 21 cases presented with paucibacillary (PB) leprosy, including children and adults. About half of these cases had previously received BCG vaccination as indicated by the presence of a BCG scar; 43% presented with signs of nerve function impairment and/or Type 1 (reversal) reaction, and 56% of the index patients had multibacillary (MB) leprosy.

Conclusion: An unexpectedly high proportion of healthy contacts of leprosy patients presented with PB leprosy within 12 weeks after receiving BCG vaccination, possibly as a result of boosted cell-mediated immunity by homologues of *Mycobacterium leprae* antigens in BCG. Various immunological mechanisms could underlie this phenomenon, including an immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS). Further studies are required to determine whether BCG vaccination merely altered the incubation period or actually changed the course of the infection from self-limiting, subclinical infection to manifest disease.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Mycobacterium bovis Bacillus Calmette–Guérin (BCG) remains the only available vaccine against tuberculosis (TB) today. It is routinely administered to infants in many countries worldwide and confers significant protection against severe forms of TB, mostly miliary and meningeal in young infants. BCG-induced immunity has been shown to decline with time and is generally thought to last no more than 10–15 years, differs between ages and endemic

areas, and offers poor protection against contagious pulmonary TB in adulthood [1–4].

Despite being known primarily as a vaccine against TB, BCG also protects against leprosy (caused by *Mycobacterium leprae*), especially when given to household contacts of leprosy patients [5,6]. In fact, to date, BCG has been shown to be the best available vaccine for prevention of leprosy, superior to other mycobacterium containing vaccines, including combination vaccines with BCG and *M. leprae* specific vaccines [7,8]. The rationale for the use of BCG as a vaccine against leprosy relies on the occurrence of many highly homologous antigens present in the *M. bovis* genome (the progenitor for the BCG vaccine) and the *M. leprae* and the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genomes [9,10], which induce cross-reactive, protective immune responses to *M. leprae* following BCG vaccination.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 10 7038473.

E-mail address: j.richardus@erasmusmc.nl (J.H. Richardus).

Because of BCG's protective effects against leprosy, Brazil has officially recommended BCG since the early 1970s for household contacts of leprosy cases, as a boost to routine BCG vaccination in new-borns as a TB prophylactic vaccine. Since 1991, the Brazilian Ministry of Health has advised two doses of BCG to be administered to both current household contacts and contacts of index cases who were diagnosed within the previous five years. This policy was assessed in a cohort study of 3536 contacts of 1161 leprosy patients in Brazil [11], showing that the protection conferred by a booster BCG vaccination was 56% and was not substantially affected by previous BCG vaccination. Among the 122 new cases detected during 18 years of contact follow-up, leprosy was diagnosed in 28 of these contacts (23%) relatively soon after vaccination (2–10 months). Due to incomplete follow-up, the study needs to be interpreted with caution, and in particular the increased risk of tuberculoid leprosy in the first months after BCG vaccination needs further substantiation.

The COLEP study in Bangladesh showed that the use of a single dose of rifampicin (SDR) in contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients reduced the overall incidence of leprosy in the first two years by 57% [12]. Furthermore, this study showed that the effect of SDR depended on the BCG status of the contact: If the contact had received BCG vaccination as part of a childhood vaccination program (as established by the presence of a BCG-scar), the protective effect of SDR was 80% [13]. And if not, the protective effect of BCG alone was 57%.

In view of the above findings regarding BCG vaccine and SDR in contacts of leprosy patients, a cluster randomized controlled trial was initiated in Bangladesh in 2012 with the aim to study the effectiveness of the BCG vaccine versus BCG in combination with SDR given 2 to 3 months after BCG, in the prevention of leprosy among contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients [14]. In this trial special attention is given to the occurrence of clinical manifestations of leprosy in the first 12 weeks after the contacts received BCG vaccination, the timeframe before SDR is given. Here we report the occurrence of 21 cases of leprosy (among 5196 vaccinated contacts) during this first period after BCG vaccination and describe the characteristics of these patients and their disease symptoms. Furthermore, the possible underlying immunological mechanisms and implications for public health practice are discussed.

2. Methods

The study is part of the MALTALEP trial [14] that is currently conducted in the districts of Nilphamari, Rangpur, Thakurgaon and Panchagarh in northwest Bangladesh. Leprosy patients are recruited into the trial through the Rural Health Program (RHP) of The Leprosy Mission International Bangladesh (TLMIB), located in Nilphamari; a referral centre specialized in the detection and treatment of leprosy. The population of the four districts is around 7000,000 (2011 census [15]) and approximately 600 new leprosy patients were detected per year between 2011 and 2013. The population in the four districts is mainly rural, but also includes six main towns.

The MALTALEP trial is a cluster randomized controlled trial. The aim is to study the effectiveness of the BCG vaccine alone versus BCG in combination with single-dose rifampicin (SDR) in the prevention of leprosy among contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients. Full details of the trial protocol were described previously [14]. In summary, contact groups of approximately 15 persons are established for each of the 1300 newly diagnosed leprosy patients (index cases) included in the trial, which will result in roughly 20,000 contacts in total. The contact groups are divided randomly over the two arms of the trial with approximately 10,000 contacts each. Contacts who have been diagnosed with leprosy in the past,

are diagnosed at the intake examination (i.e. co-prevalent cases) or are clinically considered to be leprosy suspects at intake examination, are excluded from the trial. All contacts are screened by trained and experienced health workers at intake, to ensure they had no apparent signs of leprosy at the time of intake. After written informed consent was obtained, BCG was administered to all subjects (i.e. healthy contacts) followed by SDR 8–12 weeks later in the intervention group. Subsequent follow-up takes place one year and two years after intake. The primary outcome is the occurrence of clinical leprosy within two years of intake. Individuals who are suspected to have leprosy at any of the follow-up time points or who present to a health clinic between follow-ups are sent to the specialized leprosy hospital in Nilphamari or a local clinic for confirmation of their disease by a specialist clinician and for treatment. Intake for the trial was started in August 2012 and is expected to be completed in 2015.

In this paper we report on incidental observations during the ongoing trial of all new leprosy cases among healthy contacts who were diagnosed within 12 weeks after receiving BCG (and before receiving SDR) between December 2012 and May 2014. We present demographic and clinical data of the patients as recorded in our database as a routine procedure for the purpose of the trial.

3. Results

A total of 21 contacts (0.40%) were diagnosed with leprosy within 12 weeks after receiving BCG vaccination, out of 5196 contacts who had received BCG and were screened after 8–12 weeks.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the healthy contacts who developed leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG vaccination. Of these contacts, 10 (48%) were male and 11 (52%) female. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the contacts who received BCG vaccination but who did not develop leprosy. The differences between the groups do not show statistical significance ($P > 0.05$) due to the low number of contacts with leprosy, but some of the observed group characteristics are worth noting. The male-female distribution is also nearly equal in this group (47% and 53%, respectively). The average age at registration was 29 years (range: 10–70 years) among the contacts who developed leprosy, and 28 years (range: 5–90 years) in the group of contacts who did not develop leprosy. There were 8 children (≥ 5 to < 16 years of age) who developed leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG vaccination, representing 38% of the new cases. Among the contacts who did not develop leprosy, 34% were children. Nine (43%) of the new patients were household contacts to the index patient, sharing either the same kitchen or roof, or both. The remaining 12 (57%) were direct neighbours of the index patient. In the group of contacts who did not develop leprosy, 31% were household contacts of the index patient, a lower proportion. Nine contacts who developed leprosy (43%) were known to be blood relatives to the index patient, 3 were other relatives (unclear if blood relative or not), or in-laws. In the group of contacts who did not develop leprosy, 25% were blood relatives to the index patient. Twelve (57%) contacts developing leprosy had probably received BCG for the first time or no sufficient response was induced upon initial vaccination, since no BCG scar was observed. The other 9 (43%) had a BCG scar and were thus revaccinated. In the group of contacts that did not develop leprosy, the proportion with a BCG scar was higher (56%). These differences are also apparent in the proportion of leprosy among household contacts (0.55%) and neighbours (0.34%), blood related (0.69%) and not blood related relatives (0.30%), and those with (0.31%) and without (0.53%) a BCG scar (Table 2).

The average time from BCG to first suspicion of leprosy by the field staff was 9 weeks (range: 3–11 weeks) (Table 1). Two of these contacts came to a clinic on their own initiative before the planned follow-up time, because they detected leprosy patches themselves

Table 1
Characteristics of new cases of leprosy among contacts within 12 weeks of BCG vaccination.

Contact no.	Age	Sex	Blood relation to index [*]	Contact level ^{**}	BCG scar (Y/N)	Time from BCG to patient first noticing patch (in weeks)	Time from BCG to first suspicion of leprosy by clinician (in weeks)	Smear result (BI)	Classification (Ridley Jopling)	Nerve involvement (Y/N)	Reversal reaction (Y/N)
1	24	F	N	H	Y	Unknown	10	Negative	TT	N	N
2	10	F	N ⁺	N	Y	10	10	Negative	TT	N	N
3	11	F	Y	H	N	Unknown	9	Refused	BT	N	N
4	40	M	N	N	Y	Unknown	10	Negative	BT	N	N
5	60	F	Y	N	N	Unknown	10	Negative	BT	Y	N
6	70	M	N	N	N	2	10	Negative	TT	N	N
7	12	F	Y	H	Y	7	9	Refused	TT	N	N
8	40	F	N	N	N	10	10	Negative	BT	N	N
9	55	M	Y	N	N	Unknown	9	Negative	BT	Y	Y
10	34	M	Y	N	N	4	9	Negative	BT	N	Y
11	12	F	N ⁺	H	Y	Unknown	10	Negative	BT	Y	N
12	35	F	Y	H	N	11	11	Negative	TT	N	N
13	38	M	N	N	N	4	9	Negative	BT	N	N
14	27	F	N	N	Y	4	9	Negative	BT	N	Y
15	15	F	N	N	Y	2	9	Negative	BT	Y	N
16	16	M	Y	H	Y	Unknown	9	Negative	I	N	N
17	12	M	Y	H	Y	10	10	Negative	I	N	N
18	12	M	N ⁺	H	N	4	10	Negative	I	N	N
19	12	M	N	N	N	4	10	Negative	BT	Y	N
20	22	M	Y	H	N	3	3	Negative	BT	Y	N
21	60	F	N	N	N	4	9	Negative	TT	N	Y

^{*} Blood related contact: child (son/daughter), parent (father/mother), brother or sister; ^{*}Other relative: unclear if blood related or not.

^{**} H: household contact; sharing either the same roof or kitchen, or both; N: neighbour living next door to patient's house.

Table 2
Characteristics of contacts with leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG vaccination, compared to those contacts who received BCG vaccination but who did not develop leprosy.

Contact characteristics	Contacts with leprosy		Contacts without leprosy		All contacts	Contacts with leprosy ^{***}
	N	% ^{***}	N	% ^{***}		
Number	21	–	5175	–	5196	0.40
Male	10	48	2426	46.9	2436	0.41
Female	11	52	2749	53.1	2760	0.40
<16 years	8	38	1742	33.7	1750	0.46
≥16 years	13	62	3433	66.3	3446	0.38
Household contact [*]	9	43	1620	31.3	1629	0.55
Neighbour ^{**}	12	57	3555	68.7	3567	0.34
Blood related	9	43	1301	25.1	1310	0.69
Not blood related or unknown	12	57	3874	74.9	3886	0.30
BCG scar	9	43	2906	56.2	2915	0.31
No BCG scar	12	57	2269	43.8	2281	0.53
Average age at registration	29 years	28 years				

^{*} Household contact: sharing either the same roof or kitchen, or both.

^{**} Neighbour next to patient.

^{***} χ^2 test: none of the differences in percentages between the two groups are statistically significant ($P > 0.05$).

^{***} Contacts that developed leprosy in each subgroup as a percentage of the total number of contacts in the same subgroup.

(3 and 9 weeks after BCG). When asking the contacts how long after having received BCG the patch had appeared, 7 contacts could not provide a clear answer as to when they first discovered a patch or they had only noticed it at follow-up time point when the staff pointed it out. The remaining 14 recalled having first seen the patch between 2 and 11 weeks after receiving BCG, although few could recollect an accurate date. All contacts with leprosy were classified as paucibacillary (PB). According to the Ridley–Jopling classification [16], 6 (29%) contacts were classified as tuberculoid (TT), 12 (57%) as borderline tuberculoid (BT), and 3 (14%) as indeterminate (I). Six contacts (29%) presented with nerve involvement, but only one had disability (partial foot drop). This contact (No. 9 in Table 1) asserted that the foot drop was present before BCG vaccination, but it was not noted by the staff at contact registration time. Possibly he was a co-prevalent case incorrectly registered at intake. The fact that he did not recover on steroids indicates that it was possibly a late-stage nerve function impairment. All known skin smears were negative, two contacts refused skin smears (because of young age).

Of the 21 contacts who developed leprosy after BCG, 4 (19%) had Type 1 (or reversal) reaction requiring steroids on initial presentation, including the patient described above with neuritis and partial foot drop. Three other patients (14%) who had no nerve involvement presented with a red, hot, swollen, anaesthetic patch indicating a mild Type 1 reaction. One of these had a second episode of reaction during the study requiring steroids and responded well. In July 2014, 6 of the contacts completed multidrug therapy without having any signs of reaction. Others were still on treatment.

Table 3 shows the characteristics of the 18 index patients of the contacts diagnosed with leprosy in the first 12 weeks after BCG vaccination. In the case of two index patients, multiple contacts were found with leprosy within 12 weeks (2 and 3 contacts, respectively). Of the remaining 16 index patients each had one contact that developed leprosy. The average age at registration of the index patient was 33 years (of which 3 index cases were younger than 16 years). This resembles closely the average age (35 years) of all new patients that were registered by the Rural Health Program

Table 3
Characteristics of the index cases according to new cases found among healthy contacts (see Table 1 for serial number of the new cases).

Index patient no.	Contact patient no.	Sex	Age	Classification (PB/MB)	Classification (Ridley–Jopling)	Smear result (BI)	Duration of symptoms before diagnosis (in months)	No. of contacts found at intake who ever had leprosy	No. of co-prevalent cases (contacts found with leprosy at intake)
1	1	M	23	MB	BL	4	36	1	0
2	2	F	55	PB	BT	0	12	0	0
3	3	F	30	MB	BT	0	72	0	0
4	4	M	26	PB	BT	0	Not available	0	0
5	5	F	13	PB	BT	0	12	0	0
6	6	M	29	PB	BT	0	12	0	0
7	7	F	16	PB	BT	Not taken	24	1	0
8	8	M	19	PB	BT	0	24	1	0
9	9	M	61	MB	BT	0	36	0	0
10	10	M	27	MB	LL	6	12	0	0
11	11	F	50	MB	BT	0	84	0	0
12	12	M	9	MB	BT	0	5	0	0
13	13	F	45	MB	BT	0	84	0	0
	14								
	15								
14	16	M	27	MB	BT	0	12	0	0
15	17	F	65	PB	BT	0	12	0	0
	18								
16	19	F	51	MB	BT	0	120	0	0
17	20	F	11	MB	BT	0	Not available	3	1
18	21	F	40	PB	BT	0	48	0	0

in 2013 (data not shown). Among the index patients 8 (44%) were male and 10 (56%) female. In the group of all patients registered in 2013, the percentage of males and females was nearly equal. Of 18 index patients, 8 (44%) were classified as PB and 10 (56%) as MB leprosy. In the group of all patients registered in 2013, these percentages were the other way around, 66% and 34% for PB and MB, respectively. According to the Ridley–Jopling classification, all index patients were BT, except for one borderline lepromatous (BL) and one lepromatous (LL) patient. The bacterial index (BI) for most index patients was negative except for the one BL patient with a BI of 4 and the LL patient with a BI of 6. One patient refused to have a smear taken. In the 16 index patients symptoms were detected at an average of 38 months before diagnosis (range 5 to 120 months). The duration of delay was 18 months (range 1 to 264 months) in the group of patients registered in 2013. At intake six contacts (other than the contacts who were found to have leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG) of four index cases gave a history of leprosy in the past, but no details were available. One family represented an exception to this finding: the father was a smear positive MB case who was released from treatment in 1985 and restarted MB-MDT in 2013, and thus probably was the primary source of infection. One of his sons was the index case at intake of the trial and one of the other sons developed leprosy within 12 weeks after BCG vaccination. In this family there were two more family members with a history of leprosy. The father is included in Table 3 as one of the 3 contacts ever found with leprosy.

4. Discussion

We found that 21 out of 5196 (0.40%) healthy contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients in the ongoing BCG intervention trial in Bangladesh developed clinical evidence of leprosy within 12 weeks after receiving BCG. All these 21 contacts presented with PB forms of leprosy (I, TT and BT), with a nearly equal number of males and females, and including both children and adults. Nearly half (43%) presented with signs of nerve function impairment and/or Type 1 reaction. Among the contacts with leprosy there was a high number with MB index cases (56%) and with a long average duration of

symptoms before diagnosis, possibly indicating that these contacts experienced a high level of exposure over a long time.

The reported prevalence of leprosy in the four districts of north-west Bangladesh in 2013 was 0.74 per 10,000 population and the new case detection rate 0.84 per 10,000 (source: Rural Health Program). Considering the high prevalence of leprosy in this area, it is not surprising that there are many people with subclinical leprosy, some of whom may present clinical signs and symptoms for the first time after receiving BCG. Since all of these 21 cases were tuberculoid forms of leprosy, the increase of *M. leprae*-reactive cellular immunity may result from boosting of cell-mediated immunity by homologues *M. leprae* antigens present in BCG. Alternatively, BCG vaccination has been shown to induce epigenetic reprogramming of innate cells leading to increased cytokine production in response to related and nonrelated pathogens for up to 3 months after vaccination, a phenomenon called trained immunity [17].

Past studies have shown sporadically that BCG may induce clinical expression of leprosy skin lesions in the short term [18,19]. In fact, this phenomenon was discussed as early as 1960, when an editorial in the International Journal of Leprosy addressed 'BCG-induced activations' and referred to two case reports in the French literature in 1958 [18]. Data from the Karonga Prevention Trial between 1986 and 1989 in Malawi indicated that protection against leprosy is afforded by a repeated BCG vaccination, even during the first year after revaccination, but that the case series is too small to confirm early 'induction' of leprosy after BCG [20]. The main reason for paucity of information in literature about this issue is that most trials only include long-term follow-up, often starting 1 year after vaccination. Taking into account in particular the data described for BCG vaccination of contacts in Brazil [11], we anticipated a probable increase in new leprosy patients in the first year after BCG, although we had not expected this to occur as early (within 12 weeks) after BCG vaccination, as was observed in the current study. Düppre et al. [11] hypothesized that the accelerated manifestations of tuberculoid leprosy after BCG vaccination found in their study in Brazil, reflected the influence of BCG in catalyzing the existing antimycobacterial immunity in subjects infected with *M. leprae* before or immediately after BCG vaccination. In line with the Brazilian study, we also found predominantly tuberculoid forms of leprosy.

The incidence rate in the Brazilian study in the first year was higher among the contacts without a BCG scar than among those with a scar. We found a similar tendency in our study, although the difference was not very large. Finally, among the contacts who developed leprosy soon after BCG, there was a relative high number of contacts with manifestations of Type 1 reaction, which was not described in the Brazilian study.

Live vaccines, in particular BCG, have a nonspecific beneficial effect on overall mortality when administered early in life, more than can be explained by the targeted infection [21]. In fact children with a scar or a positive skin test resulting from BCG vaccination, exhibit an overall reduction in child mortality of around 50% [22]. In adults, immunization with BCG causes increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF and IL-1 β in response to BCG-related stimuli that is maintained for up to three months after vaccination [23]. The adaptive immune response after BCG vaccination is clearly Th1-skewed and results in *Mtb*- and *M. leprae*-specific, IFN- γ producing CD4⁺ T cells that provide an early response to these mycobacteria and are associated with some degree of protection [24]. However, as is evident from several studies, the IFN- γ response induced by BCG vaccination does not correlate with protection [25–27]. In addition, Th17 helper cells producing IL-17 and IL-22 are produced as well which are beneficial for protection against pathogens at mucosal sites [28].

In 1989, Bagshawe et al. [29] also already hypothesized that prevailing immunity to mycobacterial antigens is largely responsible for clinical manifestations of PB leprosy and that the non-specific immune stimulation induced by BCG vaccination can precipitate clinical signs and symptoms of leprosy in people incubating the disease and cause upgrading of established lesions, especially in indeterminate or borderline leprosy. In the Karimui trial in Papua New Guinea [29], a 47% protection against clinical leprosy by BCG was demonstrated. However, they provided evidence for accelerated manifestation of tuberculoid leprosy in children vaccinated when under 5 years of age. In our study, children less than 5 years old were excluded, but we observed this phenomenon among all other ages.

Among the index cases in our study more than half had MB leprosy, with an average duration of symptoms before diagnosis of over three years, compared with 18 months in all newly registered leprosy patients in the Rural Health Program in 2013. We also found that in the group of 21 contacts that developed leprosy, a higher proportion were blood relative and/or a household contact of the index patient than in the group of contacts that did not develop leprosy. These factors represents a high level of exposure over a long duration and possibly increased susceptibility for leprosy, but definite conclusions on the relationship between level of exposure and chance of contacts to develop leprosy soon after BCG vaccination cannot be drawn until the trial is completed and immunological and gene expression data are available.

Presentation of leprosy as part of an immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) in HIV infected individuals or AIDS patients starting their highly antiretroviral active (HAART) therapy has been described [30,31]. Previously, Dets et al. [30] proposed the case definition for IRIS in leprosy as leprosy and/or Type 1 reaction and erythema nodosum leprosum (ENL or Type 2 reaction) developing within 6 months after initiation of HAART. They found that 89.5% of the leprosy/IRIS cases presented a histopathological diagnosis of TT or BT leprosy. The mean time until onset of IRIS after initiating HAART was 8.7 weeks. Fifty-seven percent of the leprosy patients presented within 8–12 weeks after initiating HAART [31]. Two main forms of leprosy as IRIS occurring in the first few months of HAART were identified [30]. The first type is an inflammatory ‘unmasking’ of a previously untreated *M. leprae* infection, the second (less commonly occurring) is a paradoxical clinical deterioration in pre-existing leprosy during which the

patient developed HAART-associated Type 1 reaction. We propose that a comparable process leads to presentation of clinically apparent leprosy after BCG vaccination of contacts of leprosy patients.

In our trial we found an unexpectedly high proportion of new leprosy patients among apparently healthy household contacts of leprosy patients in the first 12 weeks after receiving BCG vaccination. When all follow-up data of the trial are available, we will compare PB/MB proportions in new cases arising among contacts at different time points after BCG vaccination and in a group without BCG vaccination. If a higher proportion of contacts present with PB leprosy in the first 12 weeks after BCG and later (in the following 1–2 years) a higher proportion of contacts present with MB leprosy, this would support the theory that BCG accelerates the immune response and reveals highly immunologically active forms of subclinical leprosy first. In fact BCG vaccination given to household contacts of leprosy patients could actually identify this important group, who will then receive proper treatment at an early stage. However this does not imply that BCG should be seen as a legitimate diagnostic test for pre-clinical leprosy. Further investigation including analysis of the cytokine/chemokine range induced after BCG vaccination [32], is necessary to understand this phenomenon. Differentiation of the patients through epidemiological and immunological studies will be undertaken, in order to carefully consider the implications of giving BCG vaccination to contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy patients as immunoprophylaxis as part of a leprosy control programme.

Ethical approval

The national Research Ethics Committee (Bangladesh Medical Research Council) has approved the study protocol (Ref no. BMRC/NREC/2010-2013/1534).

Funding statement

This study was supported by the Order of Malta-Grants-for-Leprosy-Research (MALTALEP), the Q.M. Gastmann-Wichers Foundation, and the Netherlands Leprosy Relief Foundation (NLR; ILEP#: 7020273) together with the Turing Foundation.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge all patients and contacts for participating in this study. Erasmus MC, LUMC and TLMI are part of the IDEAL (Initiative for Diagnostic and Epidemiological Assays for Leprosy) Consortium. The Rural Health Program (RHP) is supported by The Leprosy Mission International (TLMI). We are indebted to the RHP staff for recruitment of study participants and sample collection.

References

- [1] Colditz GA, Brewer TF, Berkey CS, Wilson ME, Burdick E, Fineberg HV, et al. Efficacy of BCG vaccine in the prevention of tuberculosis: meta-analysis of the published literature. *JAMA* 1994;271(9):698–702.
- [2] Lalor MK, Ben-Smith A, Gorak-Stolinska P, Weir RE, Floyd S, Blitz R, et al. Population differences in immune responses to Bacille Calmette-Guérin vaccination in infancy. *J Infect Dis* 2009;199(6):795–800.
- [3] Lalor MK, Floyd S, Gorak-Stolinska P, Ben-Smith A, Weir RE, Smith SG, et al. BCG vaccination induces different cytokine profiles following infant BCG vaccination in the UK and Malawi. *J Infect Dis* 2011;204(7):1075–85.
- [4] Lalor MK, Smith SG, Floyd S, Gorak-Stolinska P, Weir RE, Blitz R, et al. Complex cytokine profiles induced by BCG vaccination in UK infants. *Vaccine* 2010;28(6):1635–41.

- [5] Merle CS, Cunha SS, Rodrigues LC. BCG vaccination and leprosy protection: review of current evidence and status of BCG in leprosy control. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2010;9(2):209–22.
- [6] Setia MS, Steinmaus C, Ho CS, Rutherford GW. The role of BCG in prevention of leprosy: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2006;6(3):162–70.
- [7] Duthie MS, Gillis TP, Reed SG. Advances and hurdles on the way toward a leprosy vaccine. *Hum Vaccines* 2011;7(11):1172–83.
- [8] Richardus JH, Oskam L. Protecting people against leprosy: chemoprophylaxis and immunoprophylaxis. *Clin Dermatol* 2015;33(1):19–25.
- [9] Garnier T, Eiglmeier K, Camus JC, Medina N, Mansoor H, Pryor M, et al. The complete genome sequence of *Mycobacterium bovis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003;100(13):7877–82.
- [10] Cole ST, Eiglmeier K, Parkhill J, James KD, Thomson NR, Wheeler PR, et al. Massive gene decay in the leprosy bacillus. *Nature* 2001;409(6823):1007–11.
- [11] Duppre NC, Camacho LA, da Cunha SS, Struchiner CJ, Sales AM, Nery JA, et al. Effectiveness of BCG vaccination among leprosy contacts: a cohort study. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2008;102(7):631–8.
- [12] Moet FJ, Pahan D, Oskam L, Richardus JH. Effectiveness of single dose rifampicin in preventing leprosy in close contacts of patients with newly diagnosed leprosy: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2008;336(7647):761–4.
- [13] Schuring RP, Richardus JH, Pahan D, Oskam L. Protective effect of the combination BCG vaccination and rifampicin prophylaxis in leprosy prevention. *Vaccine* 2009;27(50):7125–8.
- [14] Richardus RA, Alam K, Pahan D, Feenstra SG, Geluk A, Richardus JH. The combined effect of chemoprophylaxis with single dose rifampicin and immunoprophylaxis with BCG to prevent leprosy in contacts of newly diagnosed leprosy cases: a cluster randomized controlled trial (MALTALEP study). *BMC Infect Dis* 2013;13:456.
- [15] Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Bangladesh population & housing census. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics; 2011. Available from (<http://www.bbs.gov.bd>) [cited 2013 9 September].
- [16] Ridley DS, Jopling WH. Classification of leprosy according to immunity. A five-group system. *Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis* 1966;34(3):255–73.
- [17] Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, Joosten LA, Ifrim DC, Saeed S, et al. Bacille Calmette-Guerin induces NOD2-dependent nonspecific protection from reinfection via epigenetic reprogramming of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109(43):17537–42.
- [18] Wade HW. BCG-Induced Activations. *Int J Lepr* 1960;28(2):179–81.
- [19] Lwin K, Sundaresan T, Gyi MM, Bechelli LM, Tamondong C, Garbajosa PG, et al. BCG vaccination of children against leprosy: fourteen-year findings of the trial in Burma. *Bull World Health Organ* 1985;63(6):1069–78.
- [20] Karonga_Prevention_Trial_Group. Randomised controlled trial of single BCG, repeated BCG, or combined BCG and killed *Mycobacterium leprae* vaccine for prevention of leprosy and tuberculosis in Malawi. Karonga Prevention Trial Group. *Lancet* 1996;348(9019):17–24.
- [21] Aaby P, Kollmann TR, Benn CS. Nonspecific effects of neonatal and infant vaccination: public-health, immunological and conceptual challenges. *Nat Immunol* 2014;15(10):895–9.
- [22] Roth A, Sodemann M, Jensen H, Poulsen A, Gustafson P, Weise C, et al. Tuberculin reaction, BCG scar, and lower female mortality. *Epidemiology* 2006;17(5):562–8.
- [23] Sallam MA, Attia EA, Soliman MS. Assessment of serum level of interleukin-1b and interleukin-12 in leprosy: impact of previous Bacillus Calmette Guerin vaccination. *Arch Dermatol Res* 2014;306(2):189–95.
- [24] Desel C, Dorhoi A, Bandermann S, Grode L, Eisele B, Kaufmann SH. Recombinant BCG Δ ureC hly* induces superior protection over parental BCG by stimulating a balanced combination of type 1 and type 17 cytokine responses. *J Infect Dis* 2011;204(10):1573–84.
- [25] Goldsack L, Kirman JR. Half-truths and selective memory: interferon gamma, CD4(+) T cells and protective memory against tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* 2007;87(6):465–73.
- [26] Kagina BM, Abel B, Scriba TJ, Hughes EJ, Keyser A, Soares A, et al. Specific T-cell frequency and cytokine expression profile do not correlate with protection against tuberculosis after Bacillus Calmette-Guerin vaccination of newborns. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;182(8):1073–9.
- [27] Mittrucker HW, Steinhoff U, Kohler A, Krause M, Lazar D, Mex P, et al. Poor correlation between BCG vaccination-induced T cell responses and protection against tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104(30):12434–9.
- [28] Kleinnijenhuis J, Quintin J, Preijers F, Benn CS, Joosten LA, Jacobs C, et al. Long-lasting effects of BCG vaccination on both heterologous Th1/Th17 responses and innate trained immunity. *J Innate Immun* 2014;6(2):152–8.
- [29] Bagshawe A, Scott GC, Russell DA, Wigley SC, Merianos A, Berry G. BCG vaccination in leprosy: final results of the trial in Karimui, Papua New Guinea, 1963–79. *Bull World Health Organ* 1989;67(4):389–99.
- [30] Dets P, Lockwood DN. Leprosy presenting as immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome: proposed definitions and classification. *Lepr Rev* 2010;81:59–68.
- [31] Kar HK, Sharma P, Bhardwaj M. Borderline tuberculoid leprosy with upgrading Type 1 reaction in a HIV seropositive patient, after antiretroviral therapy: an immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome. *Lepr Rev* 2009;80(1):85–8.
- [32] Smith SG, Lecher S, Blitz R, Loch C, Dockrell HM. Broad heparin-binding haemagglutinin-specific cytokine and chemokine response in infants following *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG vaccination. *Eur J Immunol* 2012;42(9):2511–22.