Changes in Bone Mineral Density, Body Composition, and Lipid Metabolism during Growth Hormone (GH) Treatment in Children with GH Deficiency*
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ABSTRACT
Adults with childhood onset GH deficiency (GHD) have reduced bone mass, increased fat mass, and disorders of lipid metabolism. The aim of the present study was to evaluate bone mineral density (BMD), bone metabolism, body composition, and lipid metabolism in GHD children before and during 2-3 yr of GH treatment (GHRx). Forty children with GHD, mean age 7.9 yr, participated in the study of bone metabolism and body composition; and an additional group of 17 GHD children, in the study of lipid metabolism. Lumbar spine BMD, total body BMD, and body composition were measured with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. Volumetric BMD (bone mineral apparent density, BMAD) was calculated to correct for bone size. BMD, BMAD, lean tissue mass, bone mineral content, fat mass, and percentage body fat were expressed as SD scores (SDS), in comparison with normative data of the same population. Lumbar spine BMD and BMAD and total body BMD were all decreased at baseline. All BMD variables increased significantly during GHRx, lumbar spine BMD SDS, already after 6 months of treatment. Lean tissue mass SDS increased continuously. Bone mineral content SDS started to increase after 6 months GHRx. Fat mass SDS decreased during the first 6 months of GHRx and remained stable thereafter. Biochemical parameters of bone formation and bone resorption did not differ from normal at baseline and increased during the first 6 months of GHRx. Serum 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D increased continuously during GHRx, whereas PTH and serum calcium remained stable. Lipid profile was normal at baseline. Atherosclerotic index decreased and apolipoprotein A1 (Apo-A1) had increased after 3 yr of treatment.

In conclusion, children with GHD have decreased bone mass. BMD, together with height and lean tissue mass, increased during GHRx. GHRx had a beneficial effect on lipid metabolism. (J Clin Endocrinol Metab 82: 2423–2428, 1997)
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In the present study, lumbar spine and total body BMD, lumbar spine BMD corrected for estimated bone volume, bone metabolism, body composition, and lipid metabolism were evaluated in GHD children before and during 2-3 yr of GHRx.

Patients and Methods

Patients
Forty children (24 boys and 16 girls) participated in the study. The mean age at the start of treatment was 7.9 yr (range 0.4–16.9). In 2 children, younger than 4 yr, no BMD measurements were performed. In these young children, it is difficult to lie still to perform the measurement. One of them became 4 yr old after 2 yr of treatment, and then BMD measurements were performed.

All patients had decreased height velocity. The children had height SDS scores (SDS) below 2, compared with Dutch reference values (14), except three patients. These children had a craniofacial dysmorphia, low height velocity, and height SDS below –1.

Eighteen children (14 boys and 4 girls, mean age 8.7 yr) had classic GHD, defined as 2 different GH provocation test peaks less than 5 μg/L and insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1 and IGF-binding protein 3 (IGF-BP3) below the mean of age- and sex-matched healthy controls (15). The other 22 patients (10 boys and 12 girls, mean age 7.4 yr) were categorized as nonclassic GHD. The diagnosis of 16 children was partial GHD, defined as GH provocation peaks between 5–10 μg/L and IGF-1 and IGF-BP3 below the mean, or GH provocation peaks between 10–15 μg/L and IGF-1 and IGF-BP3 below 2 SD of normal. Five children formed a special group: 2 of them had GH provocation peaks more than 15 μg/L but IGF-1 and IGF-BP3 below 2 SD, and 2 had GH peaks between 10–15 μg/L and IGF-1 and IGF-BP3 that were 1.5 SD below normal. One girl had GH peaks between 10–15 μg/L but normal IGF-1. These 5 children
had high IGF-1 response during a test after stimulation with GH. One girl with intrauterine growth retardation, without catch-up growth, had normal GH peaks and IGF-1 of \(-1.1\) and a very low height SDS (\(-4.6\) sd). Sixteen children had GHDF of unknown origin. Eighteen had GHDF of known origin: 8 had a malformation in the central nervous system, 2 had a syndrome with GHDF (1 Prader-Willi and 1 Robinow), 7 had an intracerebral tumor (5 craniopharyngioma, 1 germinoma, and 1 astroctyoma), and 1 boy had received radiation therapy for a rhabdomyosarcoma of his left ear. Two children had Noonan syndrome, and 4 were born after intrauterine growth retardation.

Eight children had multiple pituitary hormone deficiencies and received hormonal replacement therapy. All patients had normal thyroid function before and during treatment.

Thirty-two patients were prepubertal (14 with classic and 18 with nonclassic GHDF), 5 had Tanner stage 1 (2 with classic and 3 with nonclassic GHDF), 2 had Tanner stage 3 (1 with classic and 1 with nonclassic GHDF), and 1 had Tanner stage 4 (with classic GHDF). Three children (1 with classic and 2 with nonclassic GHDF) entered puberty during the study period.

In the above mentioned children and 17 other children (10 boys and 7 girls, mean age 7.9 yr, sd 3.1), lipid metabolism was measured at baseline and during GH therapy. Of these 17 children, 6 patients had classic and 11 had nonclassic GHDF. Eight had idiopathic GHDF and 9 had GHDF of known origin: 4 had a malformation in the central nervous system, 1 had an optic glioma, 1 had a pituitary microadenoma, 2 had hydrocephalus, and 1 had neurofibromatosis. The child with the optic glioma had multiple pituitary deficiencies. Fifteen were prepubertal, 1 had Tanner stage 1, and 1 had Tanner stage 2. Lipid profile was evaluated at baseline in 55 children, after 1 yr, in 45 children; after 2 yr, in 33 children; and after 3 yr therapy, in 16 children.

All patients were treated with daily sc injections of biosynthetic human GH (2 IU/m² body surface). The five children of the group of the IGF-1 response test received 3 IU/m².

Informed consent was obtained from the parents of the patients.

Methods

Anthropometry, BMD and body composition measurements, and assessment of biochemical bone parameters were performed at baseline and 6 months, 1 yr, and 2 yr after onset of GHRx. BMD and body composition measurements at 6 months missed in one patient. Height was measured with a Harpenden stadiometer. Height was compared with age- and sex-matched reference values (14) and expressed as (SDS). Body mass index was calculated as weight/(height)² (kg/m²) and compared with age- and sex-matched reference values (17) and expressed as SDS.

BMD (g/cm²) of the lumbar spine and total body was measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (Lunar, DPX/L, PED, Lunar Radiation Corporation, Madison, WI). The coefficient of variation has been reported as 1.04% for lumbar spine and 0.64% for total body (18). The coefficient of variation (n) for lumbar spine was 1.1 (0.2 %) in our setting. The width of the vertebrae, measured by DXA, was used to calculate lumbar spine volumetric BMD, bone mineral apparent density (BMDA), with the model BMDA = BMD \times [\frac{4}{(\pi \times \text{width})}] (19). BMD and BMDA results were compared with our age- and sex-matched Dutch reference values (n = 500, 4–20 yr old) (20) and expressed as SDS. With the total body measurement by DXA, the body composition was measured as lean tissue mass (g), fat mass (g), and bone mineral content (g). Total tissue mass is the sum of these three variables. Percentage body fat is given for total tissue mass. The coefficients of variation have been reported as 2.2% for fat mass, 1.1% for lean tissue mass, and 0.6% for bone mineral content (18). Body mineral content, lean tissue mass, fat mass, and percentage body fat were compared with our age- and sex-matched Dutch reference values (4–20 yr old) and expressed as SDS (21).

Bone age was assessed yearly by one investigator (M. Engels), using an x-ray of the left hand, according to the Tanner-Whitehouse radius-ulna-short bones method (22).

Blood samples were taken in the morning for the assessment of calcium, phosphate, alkaline phosphatase, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D, PTH, osteocalcin, the carboxyterminal propeptide of type I collagen (PICP), cross-linked telopeptide of collagen I (ICTP), and IGF-1. Osteocalcin and intact PTH were measured by RIA (Incastar Corporation, Stillwater, MN); 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D by RIA by Immuno Diagnostic Systems (Boldon, UK). PICP and ICTP was measured with an RIA kit (Orion Diagnostica, Espoo, Finland) with coefficients of variation of 4–6% and 4–8%, respectively. Our own reference values for osteocalcin, PICP, and ICTP (respectively n = 25, n = 82, and n = 88) were used for prepubertal children. Reference values for the older children were derived from other studies that used the same assays (23–25). For measurements of IGF-1 (nmol/L), kits from Med-Genx Diagnostics, Fleurus, Belgium, were used. IGF-1 sex- and age-matched reference values were based on 600 samples of a healthy Dutch population (15). In the first morning, void of urine, the ratio of hydroxyproline and creatinine (OHP/creat) and the ratio of calcium and creatinine (CA/creat) were evaluated.

Fasting blood samples were obtained yearly for the assessment of TG, total cholesterol (TC), LDL, HDL, very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL), free fatty acids (FFA), Apo-A1, and apolipoprotein B (Apo-B). Atherogenic index was calculated as the ratio of TC to HDL. TC, TG, Apo-A1, and Apo-B were measured on DuPont de Nemours Dimension analyzer with reagents as provided by the manufacturer (26). TC is liberated from all lipoprotein particles by detergents; the esterified fraction (about 70% of TC) is hydrolyzed by the action of cholesterol esterase. TC is then oxidized by cholesterol oxidase, which generates 1 mol hydrogen peroxide for each mole of cholesterol. The peroxide generates a chromophore, which is measured photometrically by a triple-wavelength endpoint technique. The assay is calibrated by human serum samples with reference method-based set points and is directly comparable with the standard method of Abell-Kendall (27). TG are converted to free glycerol by lipase, and the glycerol is oxidized (by glycerol dehydrogenase) to dihydroxy-acetone under formation of NADH, which is measured using a kinetic, bichromatic method. Preexisting glycerol (usually below 0.1 mmol/L) is included in the result (28).

HDL is analyzed after Apo-B, containing lipoprotein particles, has been precipitated from the serum by heparin-MnCl₂ solution (29). LDL is calculated by the following Friedewald formula: LDL = TC – (HDL + VLDL), where VLDL = TG and *0.45 mmol/L (30).

Apo-B in the assay reacts with polyclonal antibodies against human Apo-B to form an immunoprecipitate; the reaction is enhanced by adding polyethylene glycol. The endpoint turbidity is measured by photometry in a bichromatic procedure (31). Apo-A1 is measured with polyclonal antibodies against human Apo-A1 (31). This reaction also is accelerated with polyethylene glycol. FFA are measured by specific enzymatic esterification to Acyl-CoA and a follow-up reaction with acyl-CoA-oxidase to generate hydrogen peroxide. This hydrogen peroxide is then measured after color formation with a chromogen (32). Ascorbate oxidase eliminates vitamin C interference. Dutch age-matched reference values were used for TC and HDL (33). For the other lipids our own reference values of 59 healthy children between 2–10 yr and available reference data (34) were used.

Statistical analysis

One-sample t tests were performed to compare the mean SDS values with normal. Two-sample t tests were used to compare variables with a normal distribution between two groups. We tested if the average within patient change differed from zero with one-sample t test. Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to test the association between two variables with a normal distribution. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used, in case of a nonnormal distribution.

Results

Table 1 shows the results of lumbar spine BMD and BMAD SDS, total body BMD SDS, height SDS, body mass index SDS, and body composition variables at baseline and during GHRx. At baseline, lumbar spine BMD and BMAD SDS and total body BMD SDS were significantly lower than normal (P < 0.001 for lumbar spine and total body BMD SDS and P < 0.02 for BMAD SDS). Lumbar spine BMD and BMAD SDS and total body BMD SDS were below 2 in 11, 3, and 5 patients, respectively. The results of boys did not differ significantly from these of girls. Lumbar spine BMD SDS increased already after 6 months GHRx, lumbar spine BMAD
SDS after 2 yr GHRx (Table 1). Total body BMD SDS decreased initially after 6 months GHRx and increased thereafter (Table 1). The observed changes remained if pubertal growth was considered (SDS after 6 months GHRx and increased thereafter). After 2 yr GHRx, lean tissue mass SDS decreased significantly; after 1 yr, the mean increase was 0.30 (SD 0.79), significantly lower than zero (P < 0.001). The BMC, BMD SDS, and lean tissue mass SDS did not differ significantly from zero. None of the patients had lumbar spine BMD or BMAD SDS below –2 after 2 yr of treatment. Total body BMD SDS of 4 patients was below –2 at that time, and 3 of them also had a low value at baseline. At baseline mean bone mineral content and lean tissue mass SDS were decreased (P < 0.001) and percentage body fat increased (P < 0.001). Bone mineral content SDS started to increase after 6 months GHRx. Lean tissue mass SDS and height SDS increased continuously during GHRx. Fat mass SDS and percentage body fat SDS decreased after 6 months GHRx and remained stable thereafter. After 2 yr GHRx, mean bone mineral content and lean tissue mass SDS were still significantly lower than normal (both P < 0.001); mean fat mass SDS and percentage body fat SDS did not differ significantly from normal. The BMC, BMD SDS, and lean tissue mass SDS did not differ significantly between patients with classic GHD and those with nonclassic GHD. Fat mass and percentage fat SDS were significantly higher in patients with classic GHD, compared with the other patients (both P < 0.001). The changes in lumbar spine BMD SDS, BMAD SDS, total body BMD SDS, and body composition variables during GHRx were not significantly different between the classic and nonclassic GHD patients.

When BMD SDS was calculated for bone age (SDS BA), mean lumbar spine BMD SDS BA at baseline was significantly decreased (mean –0.88, SD 1.11, P < 0.001). Mean lumbar spine BMAD SDS BA and total body BMD SDS BA did not differ from normal. Because bone age was below 4 yr in 12 children, no SDS BA could be calculated, because we had no reference values for bone age. During GH therapy, lumbar spine BMD SDS BA increased significantly; after 1 yr, the mean increase was 0.45 (SD 0.70), significantly lower than zero (P < 0.001). Total body BMD SDS BA decreased after 1 yr (mean –0.45 (SD 0.70), P < 0.001) and increased during the 2nd year (mean 0.41 (SD 0.70), P < 0.05). BMAD SDS BA did not change during the 1st year and increased during the 2nd year (mean 0.22 (SD 0.35), P < 0.02).

Table 2 shows the biochemical results at baseline and during GHRx. Mean osteocalcin, PICP, and ICTP did not differ from normal at baseline. After 6 months GHRx, osteocalcin, PICP, ICTP, alkaline phosphatase, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D, IGF-1, and OHP/creat had increased significantly. Osteocalcin and ICTP remained stable thereafter; PICP, alkaline phosphatase, phosphate, and OHP/creat decreased significantly; 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D and IGF-1 continued to increase. PTH and CA/creat did not change.

### Table 1. Mean (SD) of different variables at baseline and during GHRx

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>1 yr GHRx</th>
<th>2 yr GHRx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine BMD SDS</td>
<td>–1.62 (1.22)</td>
<td>–1.33 (1.17)</td>
<td>–0.98 (1.11)</td>
<td>–0.64 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine BMAD SDS</td>
<td>–0.51 (1.21)</td>
<td>–0.50 (1.17)</td>
<td>–0.37 (1.06)</td>
<td>–0.19 (1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body BMD SDS</td>
<td>–0.94 (1.20)</td>
<td>–1.35 (1.20)</td>
<td>–1.02 (1.2)</td>
<td>–0.61 (1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral content SDS</td>
<td>–2.29 (1.11)</td>
<td>–2.38 (1.56)</td>
<td>–1.52 (2.9)</td>
<td>–1.24 (0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass SDS</td>
<td>–0.02 (1.76)</td>
<td>–0.59 (1.80)</td>
<td>–0.31 (2.00)</td>
<td>–0.59 (1.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body fat SDS</td>
<td>0.93 (2.11)</td>
<td>–0.39 (1.99)</td>
<td>–0.10 (2.04)</td>
<td>–0.45 (1.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height SDS</td>
<td>–2.98 (0.76)</td>
<td>–3.23 (0.84)</td>
<td>–1.86 (0.91)</td>
<td>–1.63 (0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI SDS</td>
<td>0.45 (2.40)</td>
<td>0.24 (2.29)</td>
<td>0.39 (2.42)</td>
<td>0.37 (2.02)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean within patient change from baseline was tested.

* a P < 0.001; b P < 0.02; c P < 0.01 compared to baseline.

### Table 2. Mean (SD) of biochemical parameters at baseline and during GHRx in GHD children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Months</th>
<th>1 yr GHRx</th>
<th>2 yr GHRx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin (μg/L)</td>
<td>13.3 (4.7)</td>
<td>20.8 (8.1)</td>
<td>20.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>16.7 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PICP (μg/L)</td>
<td>372 (240)</td>
<td>582 (262)</td>
<td>458 (152)</td>
<td>355 (149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICTP (μg/L)</td>
<td>12.9 (4.6)</td>
<td>17.4 (5.6)</td>
<td>18.1 (4.3)</td>
<td>16.8 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosph. (U/L)</td>
<td>174.4 (51.7)</td>
<td>245.7 (82.0)</td>
<td>255.6 (93.4)</td>
<td>226.0 (87.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH (ng/L)</td>
<td>18.9 (7.4)</td>
<td>21.0 (7.5)</td>
<td>24.4 (9.2)</td>
<td>24.1 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,25 OH vit. D (pmol/L)</td>
<td>96.2 (25.8)</td>
<td>130.0 (49.3)</td>
<td>141.4 (46.5)</td>
<td>158.9 (41.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-1 (nmol/L)</td>
<td>17.0 (24.4)</td>
<td>34.7 (25.4)</td>
<td>41.5 (29.3)</td>
<td>45.2 (31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.45 (0.09)</td>
<td>2.45 (0.09)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.41 (0.17)</td>
<td>1.70 (0.21)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.18)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine OHP/creat (mg/g)</td>
<td>105 (77)</td>
<td>133 (47)</td>
<td>151 (55)</td>
<td>118 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine Ca/creat</td>
<td>0.45 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.44)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alkaline phosph., alkaline phosphatase; 1,25 OH vit. D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D; The mean within patient change from baseline was tested.

* a P < 0.001; b P < 0.02; c P < 0.01 compared to baseline.
during GHRx. The results were not different when pubertal children were excluded.

Table 3 shows the results of lipid metabolism at baseline and during GHRx. At baseline, the mean levels of the lipid profile were normal. One child had TC above 6 mmol/L, and three children had TG above 1.7 mmol/L. All patients had normal TC and TG after 3 yr GHRx. Only Apo-A1 and atherogenic index changed significantly during GHRx; Apo-A1 had increased and atherogenic index had decreased after 3 yr of GHRx.

At baseline, height SDS correlated with lumbar spine BMD and BMAD SDS (r = 0.43, P < 0.01; and r = 0.36, P < 0.05, respectively) and not with total body BMD SDS. Body mass index SDS related to all three BMD variables (r = 0.51, P < 0.01 for lumbar spine BMD SDS; r = 0.52, P < 0.001 for lumbar spine BMAD SDS; and r = 0.44, P < 0.01 for total body BMD SDS). Biochemical bone parameters and IGF-1 SDS did not relate to lumbar spine BMD, BMAD SDS, total body BMD SDS, or height SDS. IGF-1 SDS correlated with lean tissue mass SDS (r = 0.35, P < 0.05) and not with bone mineral content, fat mass, or percentage body fat SDS. Height SDS correlated with bone mineral content SDS and lean tissue mass SDS (r = 0.69, r = 0.62, respectively; both P < 0.001). The different lipids did not relate to fat mass or percentage body fat SDS or IGF-1 SDS.

The change (Δ) in height SDS between baseline and 2 yr GHRx did not relate to Δ in lumbar spine BMD or BMAD SDS or total body BMD SDS during the same period. Δ in height SDS related to Δ in bone mineral content SDS (r = 0.41, P < 0.02 after 1 yr; and r = 0.44, P < 0.05 after 2 yr GHRx). Only after 6 months GHRX, Δ in 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D had a significant correlation with Δ lumbar spine BMD and BMAD SDS (r = 0.34, P < 0.05; and r = 0.57, P < 0.001, respectively) and not with Δ in total body BMD or bone mineral content SDS. Changes of the other biochemical bone parameters had no significant relation with Δ in lumbar spine BMD or BMAD SDS or total body BMD or bone mineral content SDS. Only Δ in ICTP, after 6 months, correlated with Δ in height SDS during the same period (r = 0.48, P < 0.01 for all children; r = 0.40, P < 0.05 only for prepubertal children). Δ in IGF-1 SDS, after 6 months or after 2 yr, did not relate to Δ height SDS. Δ in 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D between baseline and 2 yr GHRx related to Δ in IGF-1 SDS (r = 0.52, P < 0.05). Δ in IGF-1 SDS, after 6 months, correlated with Δ in lean tissue mass SDS (r = 0.45, P < 0.02). Δ in IGF-1 SDS, between baseline and 2 yr, correlated negatively with Δ percentage body fat (r = −0.54, P < 0.05). Δ in IGF-1 SDS did not relate to Δ in any lipids or atherogenic index. After 2 yr of treatment, IGF-1 SDS related to lean tissue mass SDS (r = 0.52, P < 0.05) and not to the BMD variables or bone mineral content SDS.

Discussion

During GHRx, lumbar spine BMD and BMAD, and total body BMD, together with height and lean tissue mass, increased significantly in children with GHD. Biochemical parameters of bone formation and bone resorption increased, indicating an enhanced bone turnover. GH has both direct and indirect actions on bone. In animal models, GH stimulates osteoblast number and function and the production of various bone matrix factors (35). Paracrine activity of osteoblasts stimulates osteoclasts. Bone formation is enhanced preferentially to bone resorption during GH administration (35). As in other tissues, part of the effects of GH are mediated through IGF-1. In childhood, GH affects both linear bone growth and the accumulation of BMD. In GHD children, both are decreased, as shown by low height SDS and BMD SDS. Some studies found a decreased osteocalcin and PICP (36, 37) in GHD children; others did not find a difference with normals (38, 39). The wide range in normal values may be the reason that osteocalcin and PICP were in the normal range in the present study. Osteocalcin and PICP are related to linear growth velocity (36, 39, 40). Increased values of all markers are found during periods of high growth velocity, such as the first years of life and puberty in healthy children (40). During GHRx, the increase of both formation and resorption markers reflects growth, modeling, and remodeling of bone tissue. The increased bone turnover resulted in an improvement of BMD.

GH increases muscle mass, in agreement with our finding of an increase in lean tissue mass, and strength (35). This may be associated with increased physical activity, which may have a positive effect on BMD.

Lumbar spine BMD of adults with GHD decreases initially after 3–6 months GHRx and starts to increase after more than 1 yr of treatment (1, 7). In the present study, lumbar spine BMD had increased and total body BMD decreased after 6 months treatment. BMD is an areal density and does not adjust for bone size completely. Total body bone mineral

### TABLE 3. Change in lipid profile during GH treatment (GHRx), mean (SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>1 yr GHRx</th>
<th>2 yr GHRx</th>
<th>3 yr GHRx</th>
<th>Reference values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.41 (0.93)</td>
<td>4.30 (1.26)</td>
<td>4.17 (0.82)</td>
<td>3.96 (0.85)</td>
<td>3.2–6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.44)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.34)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.2–1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.75 (0.87)</td>
<td>2.63 (1.12)</td>
<td>2.44 (0.61)</td>
<td>2.46 (0.61)</td>
<td>1.3–3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.28)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.29)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.30)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.9–1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.45 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.1–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free fatty acids (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.47)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.2–1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-A1 (g/L)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.18)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.22)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apo-B (g/L)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.6–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atherogenic index</td>
<td>3.85 (1.25)</td>
<td>3.79 (1.53)</td>
<td>3.41 (0.76)</td>
<td>3.20 (0.72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atherogenic index = total cholesterol to HDL ratio. The mean within patient change from baseline was tested.

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.02 compared to baseline.
content remained stable during the first 6 months, so the initial decrease in total body BMD reflects a faster rate of bone expansion than mineral acquisition. Bone turnover in trabecular bone, present in lumbar spine, is higher than in cortical bone, 80% of the total skeleton (41). This may explain why lumbar spine BMD starts to increase earlier than total body BMD. During GHRx, patients had an increase of height SDS. After 2 yr of treatment, BMAD (corrected for estimated bone volume) had increased, as well, so one may conclude that finally, true bone density improved during GHRx.

The changes in body composition found in the present study are in agreement with known lipolytic and anabolic effects of GH. GHRx had a short-term lipolytic effect during the first 6 months of treatment, while the anabolic effect continued. Similar results have been reported in other studies in adults and children with GHD and short stature children during GHRx (1, 42–44).

GH administration had a stimulatory effect on serum 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D, whereas serum calcium and PTH remained unchanged. More studies found an increase in 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D in adults (2), as well as in children (4). Renal 1α-hydroxylase activity is enhanced through IGF-1 (45), which agrees with the correlation we observed between Δ in IGF-1 SDS and Δ in 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D. The synthesis of osteocalcin is induced by the action of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D (46). Administration of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D to GHD children increased serum osteocalcin levels (47). Part of the stimulatory action on osteoblastic activity of GH might be mediated by 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D. This is supported by our finding of a correlation between the increase of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D and the increase in BMD.

In contrast to adults with GHD, the children in the present study had normal mean values of lipids at baseline. The difference in lipid profile between GHD adults and children may reflect the population trend for a rise in cholesterol and LDL with increasing age (48). Studies in adults reported a decrease in TC, LDL, and apo B after 2–12 months GH administration (8, 9, 49) and an increase of HDL (10). The reported effects of GHD on serum lipids in children with GHD are inconsistent between studies. Some studies showed no changes in TC and HDL during 6–12 months of GHRx (12), whereas others found a decrease in TC (13) or an increase in HDL (11). In the present study, the atherogenic index decreased, in agreement with a study of Kohno et al. (11) in prepubertal boys during 9 months of GH therapy. In a study evaluating the efficacy of lipid profiles, the atherogenic index was the most efficient predictor of coronary heart disease in adults (50). In healthy children, no age-related change in TC was observed between 5 and 10 yr of age, but TC decreased between 10 and 16 yr in boys, as well as in girls (33). Mean HDL decreased slightly in boys and girls until the age of 17 (33). Therefore, the decrease in atherogenic index in the present study may be age-related. However, Apo-A1, the major apolipoprotein of HDL, increased also, so it seems that GHRx has a beneficial effect on lipid metabolism in children with GHD.

In conclusion, children with GHD had low BMD. After 2 yr of GHRx, lumbar spine BMD of all patients was within normal limits. Eighty-one percent of the patients had normal total body BMD at that time. The positive influence of GH on BMD might be mediated partly by the increase of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D. Fat mass decreased and lean tissue mass increased during treatment. In contrast to adults, children with GHD had a normal lipid profile. Atherogenic index improved during GHRx.
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